

# Surfaces with $c_1^2 = 9$ and $\chi = 5$ whose canonical classes are divisible by 3

Masaaki Murakami

## Abstract

We shall study minimal complex surfaces with  $c^2 = 9$  and  $\chi = 5$  whose canonical classes are divisible by 3 in the integral cohomology groups, where  $c_1^2$  and  $\chi$  denote the first Chern number of an algebraic surface and the Euler characteristic of the structure sheaf, respectively. The main results are a structure theorem for such surfaces, the unirationality of the moduli space, and a description of the behavior of the canonical map. As a byproduct, we shall also rule out a certain case mentioned in a paper by Ciliberto–Francia–Mendes Lopes. Since the irregularity  $q$  vanishes for our surfaces, our surfaces have geometric genus  $p_g = 4$ .

## 1 Introduction

When one wants to study the behavior of canonical maps of algebraic surfaces, surfaces of general type with  $p_g = 4$  are in a sense the most primitive objects, since their canonical images are in most cases hypersurfaces of the 3-dimensional projective space  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Partly for such reasons, these surfaces have attracted many algebraic geometers, even from the time of classical Italian school.

After Noether and Enriques studied the case  $c_1^2 = 4$ , surfaces with  $p_g = 4$  have been studied from various view points (e.g. [6], [9], [14]). As for the classification, Horikawa and Bauer completed that for the surfaces of cases  $4 \leq c_1^2 \leq 7$  ([12], [11], [13], [1]). Complete classification of the surfaces of case  $c_1^2 = 8$  seems not completely out of reach, but for the moment, only partial classifications and several examples are known (e.g., [3], [9], [10]). We

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14J29; Secondary 13J10, 32G05

Key Words and Phrases. surfaces of general type, moduli spaces, canonical maps

The author acknowledges the support by JSPS Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research(C) 15K04825.

also notice that even though the surfaces have been classified for the case  $c_1^2 = 6$ , the number of the irreducible components of the moduli space remains unknown even after [2].

Among such works, the results most connected to the present paper are those on even surfaces for the case  $c_1^2 = 8$ . Recall that an algebraic surface is said to be even if its canonical class is divisible by 2. In [17], Oliverio studied regular even surfaces of case  $c_1^2 = 8$ , and showed that if  $S$  is a surface of this class with base point free canonical system, then its canonical model is a  $(6, 6)$ -complete intersection in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3, 3)$ . He also showed that these surfaces fill up an open dense subset of a 35-dimensional irreducible component  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{8,4,0}^{\text{ev}}$  of even regular surfaces of case  $c_1^2 = 8$ . Though this paper [17] studied these surfaces only under the condition that the canonical systems are base point free, Catanese, Liu, and Pignatelli later in [7] classified all even regular surfaces with  $c_1^2 = 8$  and  $p_g = 4$  and showed that  $\mathcal{M}_{8,4,0}^{\text{ev}}$  consists exactly of two irreducible components  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , both of dimension 35 and intersecting each other in codimension one locus.

In this paper, we go one step up, and study regular surfaces of case  $c_1^2 = 9$  with canonical classes divisible by 3. We shall prove three theorems. Our first theorem asserts that any surface of this class has the canonical model isomorphic to a  $(6, 10)$ -complete intersection of the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  (Theorem 1). Our second theorem asserts that the moduli space of our surfaces is unirational of dimension 34, hence also the uniqueness of the diffeomorphic type of our surfaces (Theorem 2). Our third theorem asserts that the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|}$  of a surface of this class is either birational onto a singular sextic or generically two-to-one onto a cubic surface (Theorem 3). The surfaces with birational  $\Phi_{|K|}$  and those with generically two-to-one  $\Phi_{|K|}$  form an open dense subset and a 33-dimensional locus, respectively, in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Possibility of the existence of surfaces with  $c_1^2 = 9$  and  $p_g = 4$  and with canonical classes divisible by 3 has already been mentioned in [10, (ii), Proposition 1.7], though for the case of canonical map composite with a pencil. In fact, the construction of examples of Case (ii) above was one of the motivations for our work. In the course of the proof of our Theorem 1, however, we shall show that this Case (ii) never occurs, even for the case of positive irregularity (Proposition 2). This sharpens their Proposition 1.7 slightly.

Let  $L$  be a divisor linearly equivalent to the canonical divisor of our surface. Our strategy of the first part is to study the map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  to compute the dimensions of some cohomology groups, where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is the map associated to the linear system  $|2L|$ . Although the main tools for this part are classical ones, e.g., the double cover technique, a result by the author given in [16] on

the torsion groups of surfaces with  $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$  is also used to rule out some cases. Then we divide our argument into two cases depending on whether  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil or not, and study each case. For the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil, it turns out that we are in Case (ii) of [10, Proposition 1.7]. Using results in [10] and applying to  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  the structure theorem for genus 3 fibrations given in [8], we shall rule out this case. For the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil, we shall study the semicanonical ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}(nL))$ . Using arguments similar to those in [5], we shall find generators of the ring  $R$  and relations among them, which gives us the structure theorem. As for the results on the moduli space and the canonical maps, we shall prove them using this structure theorem. In addition to the theorems stated above, we shall also give a double cover description of our surfaces with  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 2$  (Proposition 6).

After all the main results of the present paper were obtained, Kazuhiro Konno pointed out the normality of the canonical images of our surfaces of case  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 1$  (Proposition 5). As informed to the author by him, our surfaces therefor give one of the missing examples of the list given in Konno's work [15] on normal canonical surfaces with  $p_g = 4$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses his gratitude to Prof. Kazuhiro Konno, who kindly gave him the comment on the normality of the canonical image (Proposition 5).

#### NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

All varieties in this article are defined over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $V$  be a smooth variety. We denote by  $K_V$  and  $\omega_V$  a canonical divisor and the dualizing sheaf, respectively, of  $V$ . For a divisor  $D$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  the coherent sheaf associated to  $D$ . For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $V$ , we denote by  $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $h^i(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ , the  $i$ -th cohomology group of  $\mathcal{F}$ , its dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(\mathcal{F})$ , and the Euler characteristic  $\sum (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{F})$ , respectively. We denote by  $S^n(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\bigwedge^n \mathcal{F}$  the  $n$ -th symmetric product and the  $n$ -th exterior product, respectively, of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a morphism to a smooth variety  $W$ , and  $D$ , a divisor on  $W$ . We denote by  $f^*(D)$  the total transform of  $D$ .

The symbols  $\sim$  and  $\sim_{\text{num}}$  mean the linear equivalence and the numerical equivalence, respectively, of two divisors. If  $D$  and  $D'$  are two divisors on  $V$  and  $D - D'$  is a non-negative divisor, we write  $D \succeq D'$ .

For a smooth algebraic surface  $S$ , we denote by  $c_1(S)$ ,  $p_g(S)$ , and  $q(S)$ , the first Chern class, the geometric genus, and the irregularity of  $S$ , respectively.

## 2 Some numerical restrictions

Let  $S$  be a minimal algebraic surface with  $c_1^2 = 9$  and  $\chi = 5$  whose canonical class is divisible by 3 in the cohomology group  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ . We take a divisor  $L$  such that  $K = K_S \sim 3L$ . In this section, as a preliminary, we shall find some restrictions to numerical invariants associated to the divisor  $L$ .

Let us begin with the dimension  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $3 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) \leq 5$ .

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we see that

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) \geq 4. \quad (1)$$

By this together with  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$ , we obtain  $2 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$ . But if  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 2$ , then by (1) we must have  $2 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L))$ , which contradicts  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) \geq 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 1$ . Thus we obtain  $3 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$ . To obtain the remaining inequality, use  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + K^2 = 14$  and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) \geq 3h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) - 2$ .  $\square$

Let  $\Phi_{|2L|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l_2}$  be the rational map associated to the linear system  $|2L|$ , where  $l_2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) - 1$ . We have two cases: the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil and the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil. First, we study the former case.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that the rational map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 2$  and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 3$  hold. Moreover  $|L|$  has no fixed component, and the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by  $\Phi_{|L|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since  $S$  is regular and  $|2L|$  is complete, there exists an effective divisor  $D_2$  of  $S$  such that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(D_2)) \geq 2$  and  $|2L| = |l_2 D_2| + F_2$ , where  $F_2$  is the fixed part of  $|2L|$ , and  $l_2$  is as in the definition of  $\Phi_{|2L|}$ . Naturally, we have

$$2 = 2L^2 = l_2 D_2 L + F_2 L. \quad (2)$$

Assume that we have  $D_2 L = 0$ . Then we have  $F_2 L = 2$ , which together with  $2L D_2 = l_2 D_2^2 + D_2 F_2$  and  $2L F_2 = l_2 D_2 F_2 + F_2^2$  implies  $F_2^2 = 4$  and  $D_2^2 = D_2 F_2 = 0$ . Then by Hodge's Index Theorem, we obtain  $D_2 = 0$ , which contradicts the definition of the divisor  $D_2$ .

Thus  $D_2 L > 0$  holds. Since we have  $l_2 \geq 2$  by Lemma 2.1, we see from this together with (2) that  $l_2 = 2$ ,  $D_2 L = 1$ , and  $F_2 L = 0$ . In particular, we obtain  $2 = 2L D_2 = l_2 D_2^2 + D_2 F_2$ . But  $D_2^2$  is odd, since  $D_2 K = 3$ . Thus this implies  $D_2^2 = 1$  and  $D_2 F_2 = F_2^2 = 0$ , hence  $F_2 = 0$ . Thus we obtain

$2L \sim l_2 D_2 + F_2 \sim 2D_2$ . This however implies  $L \sim D_2$ , since by [16, Theorem 4] the surface  $S$  has no torsion. Since  $l_2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) - 1$ , the assertion follows from this linear equivalence and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) \geq 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 1$ .  $\square$

Next, we study the latter case. In what follows, we denote by  $|M_2|$  and  $F_2$  the variable part and the fixed part, respectively, of the linear system  $|2L|$ . We also denote by  $p_2 : \tilde{S}_2 \rightarrow S$  the shortest composite of quadric transformations such that the variable part of  $p_2^*|M_2|$  is free from base points.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that the rational map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil. Then  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 3$ ,  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 1$ , and  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 0$  hold. Moreover, the inequality  $2 \leq \tilde{M}_2^2 \leq 4$  holds, where  $|\tilde{M}_2|$  is the variable part of the linear system  $p_2^*|M_2|$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 we have  $2 \leq l_2 \leq 4$ , where  $l_2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) - 1$ . Since we have assumed that  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil, a general member  $\tilde{M}_2$  of  $|\tilde{M}_2|$  is a smooth irreducible curve on  $\tilde{S}_2$ . In what follows, we assume that  $\tilde{M}_2$  is general, hence smooth, and define the divisors  $E_2$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  by  $p_2^*|M_2| = |\tilde{M}_2| + E_2$  and  $\tilde{K} = K_{\tilde{S}_2} \sim p_2^*(3L) + \varepsilon_2$ , respectively.

First, let us show that  $l_2 \leq 3$ . By the Serre duality and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_2}(p_2^*(L + F_2) + \varepsilon_2 + E_2)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(K - M_2))$  we have  $h^2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_2}(\tilde{M}_2)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_2}(\tilde{K} - \tilde{M}_2)) < p_g(S) = 4$ . From this together with the standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_2}(\tilde{M}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}_2}(\tilde{M}_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

we see easily that  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}_2}(\tilde{M}_2)) \geq 1$ . Thus applying Clifford's theorem for  $\tilde{M}_2|_{\tilde{M}_2}$ , we obtain

$$2(l_2 - 1) \leq \tilde{M}_2^2 \leq \tilde{M}_2^2 + \tilde{M}_2 E_2 + M_2 F_2 + 2L F_2 = (2L)^2 = 4, \quad (3)$$

hence in particular  $l_2 \leq 3$ .

Assume that we have  $l_2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) - 1 = 2$ . Then by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) + 4 \geq 1$ . And also, we have  $3 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) \geq 2h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 1$ , hence  $2 \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L))$ . The case  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 2$  however is impossible, since we have assumed that  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil. Thus we obtain  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 1$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 0$ . Moreover, the inequality  $2 \leq \tilde{M}_2^2 \leq 4$  follows from (3), hence as in the assertion. Therefore, we only need to rule out the case  $l_2 = 3$ .

So assume that we have  $l_2 = 3$ . In this case we obtain by (3) that  $\tilde{M}_2 E_2 = M_2 F_2 = 2L F_2 = 0$ , which implies the base point freeness of the linear system  $|2L|$ . Since  $S$  is of general type, we infer easily from this that  $\deg \Phi_{|2L|} = \deg \Phi_{|2L|}(S) = 2$ . Thus we have two cases:

Case A: the image  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a smooth quadric;

Case B: the image  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a quadric cone.

In what follows, we put  $g = \Phi_{|2L|}$ . We shall rule out the two cases separately.

**Case A.** Assume that  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S)$  is a smooth quadric. Then the image  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S)$  is the Hirzebruch surface  $\Sigma_0$  of degree 0 embedded by  $|\Delta_0 + \Gamma|$ , where  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Gamma$  denote the minimal section and a fiber of the Hirzebruch surface  $\Sigma_0$ , respectively. Let  $R$  and  $B = g_*(R)$  denote the ramification divisor and the branch divisor of the generically two-to-one morphism  $g : S \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ , respectively. Then since  $2L \sim g^*(\Delta_0 + \Gamma)$ , we see easily that  $R \sim 7L$ , hence  $B\Delta_0 = B\Gamma = 7$ . This however is impossible, because  $B$  needs to be linearly equivalent to twice a divisor on  $\Sigma_0$ . Thus Case A does not occur.

**Case B.** Assume that  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S)$  is a quadric cone. Then the image  $\Phi_{|2L|}(S)$  is the image of the morphism  $\Phi_{|\Delta_0+2\Gamma|} : \Sigma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ , where  $\Sigma_2$  is a Hirzebruch surface of degree 2, and  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Gamma$  are its minimal section and a fiber, respectively. Let  $p'_2 : S'_2 \rightarrow S$  be the shortest composite of the quadric transformations such that  $g \circ p'_2$  lifts to a morphism  $g' : S'_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ . We denote by  $K' = K_{S'_2}$  a canonical divisor of  $S'_2$ , and define the divisor  $\varepsilon'_2$  by  $K' \sim p'_2^*(3L) + \varepsilon'_2$ . We also denote by  $R$  and  $B = g'_*(R)$  the ramification divisor and the branch divisor of the generically two-to-one morphism  $g' : S'_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ .

Since  $\varepsilon'_2$  is contracted by  $g \circ p'_2$ , there exists a natural number  $\nu$  such that  $g'_*(\varepsilon'_2) = \nu\Delta_0$ . Then from  $p'_2^*(3L) + \varepsilon'_2 \sim g'^*(-2\Delta_0 - 4\Gamma) + R$  and  $p'_2(2L) \sim g'^*(\Delta_0 + 2\Gamma)$  we infer that  $B\Delta_0 = -2\nu$  and  $B\Gamma = 7 + \nu$ . Since  $B$  is linearly equivalent to twice a divisor on  $\Sigma_2$ , this implies  $\nu \geq 1$ , hence  $B\Delta_0 < 0$ . Thus  $\Delta_0$  is a component of the branch divisor  $B$ . In particular, we have  $\nu = 1$ , from which we see that the multiplicity in  $\varepsilon'_2$  of the  $(-1)$ -curve appearing at the last quadric transformation in  $p'_2$  is equal to 1. Thus  $p'_2 : S'_2 \rightarrow S$  is a blowing up at one point, and  $\varepsilon'_2$  is a  $(-1)$ -curve. Then by  $p'_2^*(2L) \sim g'^*(\Delta_0 + 2\Gamma)$  we obtain  $2(p'_2^*L - \varepsilon'_2 - g'^*\Gamma) \sim 0$ . This implies the linear equivalence  $p'_2^*L \sim \varepsilon'_2 + g'^*\Gamma$ , since by [16, Theorem 4] our surface  $S$  has no torsion. Thus we obtain  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2}(\Gamma)) = 2$ . This however is impossible, since we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 4$  and  $4 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L)) \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) - 1$ . Thus Case B does not occur. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

### 3 Study of the map $\Phi_{|2L|}$

In this section, we shall study the map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$ , and rule out the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil. Assume that the rational map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 3$  and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 2$ . The linear system  $|L|$  has a unique base point, which is

simple. Moreover, since  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_L) = 1$  holds,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pencil of curves of genus 3, whose members correspond to fibers of  $\Phi_{|L|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $p : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  be the blow up of  $S$  at the base point of  $|L|$ , and  $E$ , its exceptional curve. We denote by  $f = \Phi_{|p^*L - E|} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B = \mathbb{P}^1$  the morphism associated to the linear system  $|p^*L - E|$ .

Since the multiplication map  $S^3(H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L))) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L))$  is surjective, the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  is also composite with the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus we are in Case (ii) of [10, Proposition 1.7]. In particular, any general member of  $|L|$  is non-hyperelliptic, and all the fibers of  $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B$  are 2-connected. Therefor, we can utilize the structure theorem given in [8] for 2-connected non-hyperelliptic fibrations of genus 3.

In what follows, we put  $\tilde{L} = p^*L - E$  and  $\tilde{K} = K_{\tilde{S}} = p^*(3L) + E$ , and denote by  $\omega_{S|B} = \mathcal{O}_S(\tilde{K} - f^*K_B)$  the relative canonical sheaf of the fibration  $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B$ . Moreover we denote by  $V_n = f_*(\omega_{\tilde{S}|B}^{\otimes n})$  the direct image by  $f$  of the sheaf  $\omega_{\tilde{S}|B}^{\otimes n}$ . Recall that for any integer  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$\mathrm{rk} V_n = 4n - 2, \quad \deg V_n = 7 + 12n(n - 1).$$

The latter equality on  $\deg V_n$  is valid also for  $n = 1$ , but for the former equality on  $\mathrm{rk} V_n$ , we have instead  $\mathrm{rk} V_1 = 3$  for  $n = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The following hold:*

- 1)  $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5)$ ,
- 2)  $V_2 \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{k=2}^4 \mathcal{O}_B(k)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)$ ,
- 3)  $V_4 \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{k=4}^{14} \mathcal{O}_B(k)\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(16)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(20)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that we have  $\mathrm{rk} V_1 = 3$  and  $\deg V_1 = 7$ . Thus we can put  $V_1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{O}_B(a_i)$ , where  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i = 7$ . Moreover we have  $\omega_{\tilde{S}|B} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(\tilde{K} - f^*K_B) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(5\tilde{L} + 4E)$ . Thus we obtain

$$h^0(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-k)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}((5 - k)\tilde{L} + 4E)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S((5 - k)L))$$

for any  $k \geq 1$ , from which we infer  $h^0(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-1)) - h^0(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-2)) = 3$ . This implies  $a_i \geq 1$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ . Since  $h^0(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-k)) - h^0(V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-(k + 1)))$  is equal to the numbers of  $i$ 's satisfying  $a_i \geq k$ , using Lemma 2.2, we obtain the assertion 1).

The assertions 2) and 3) can be proved exactly in the same way. For these two, use  $\omega_{\tilde{S}|B}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(10\tilde{L} + 8E)$  and  $\omega_{\tilde{S}|B}^{\otimes 4} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(20\tilde{L} + 16E)$ .  $\square$

In what follows, we denote by  $X_0$ ,  $X_1$ , and  $X_2$  local bases of the direct summands  $\mathcal{O}_B(1)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(1)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_B(5)$ , respectively, of the sheaf  $V_1$ . We also denote by  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $U_0$  local bases of the direct summands

$\mathcal{O}_B(2)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(3)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(4)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(6)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_B(6)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_B(10)$ , respectively, of the sheaf  $V_2$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$S^2(V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10),$$

where the local bases of the direct summands are given by  $X_0^2$ ,  $X_0X_1$ ,  $X_1^2$ ,  $X_0X_2$ ,  $X_1X_2$ , and  $X_2^2$ , respectively. With these local bases, the multiplication morphism  $\sigma_2 : S^2(V_1) \rightarrow V_2$  is expressed by a  $6 \times 6$  matrix  $A$  in the following form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A' & O_3 \\ * & I_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Here  $O_3$  and  $I_3$  denote the  $3 \times 3$  zero matrix and the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix, respectively, and  $a_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B)$ ,  $\alpha_j \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$ , and  $\beta_k \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(2))$  are global sections for each  $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 2$ .

Let us describe the 5-tuple for our genus 3 fibration  $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B$ . For the notion of the 5-tuple, see [8]. Let  $\tau$  be the effective divisor of degree  $\deg \tau = 3$  on  $B$  determined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^2(V_1) \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\tau \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\mathcal{C} : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \rightarrow S^2(S^2(V_1))$  be the morphism given by  $(a \wedge b)(c \wedge d) \mapsto (ac)(bd) - (ad)(bc)$ . Then the morphism  $S^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathcal{C} : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \rightarrow S^2(V_2)$  has a locally free cokernel of rank 15, which we shall denote by  $\tilde{V}_4 = \text{Cok}(S^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathcal{C})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}'_4$  and  $\mathcal{L}_4$  the kernel of the natural surjection  $\tilde{V}_4 \rightarrow V_4$  and that of the natural morphism  $S^4(V_1) \rightarrow V_4$ , respectively. Then we obtain the natural inclusion morphism

$$\mathcal{L}'_4 \simeq (\det V_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(\tau) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(10) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_4. \quad (6)$$

With the notation above,  $B$ ,  $V_1$ ,  $\tau$ , (5), and (6) form the admissible 5-tuple associated to our fibration  $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow B$ .

By Lemma 3.1 we have  $\bigwedge^2 V_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  and  $S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 3}$ . We decompose each of the five sheaves  $S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)$ ,  $S^2(V_1)$ ,  $S^2(S^2(V_1))$ ,  $V_2$ , and  $S^2(V_2)$  into the lower degree part (L) and the



higher degree part (H) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) &= [\mathcal{O}_B(4)] \oplus [\mathcal{O}_B(8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(12)^{\oplus 3}] \\
&= S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \oplus S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}, \\
S^2(V_1) &= [\mathcal{O}_B(2)^{\oplus 3}] \oplus [\mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)] \\
&= S^2(V_1)^{(L)} \oplus S^2(V_1)^{(H)}, \\
S^2(S^2(V_1)) &= [S^2(S^2(V_1)^{(L)})] \oplus [(S^2(V_1)^{(L)} \otimes S^2(V_1)^{(H)}) \oplus S^2(S^2(V_1)^{(H)})] \\
&= S^2(S^2(V_1))^{(L)} \oplus S^2(S^2(V_1))^{(H)}, \\
V_2 &= \left[ \bigoplus_{k=2}^4 \mathcal{O}_B(k) \right] \oplus [\mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(10)] \\
&= V_2^{(L)} \oplus V_2^{(H)}, \\
S^2(V_2) &= [S^2(V_2^{(L)})] \oplus [(V_2^{(L)} \otimes V_2^{(H)}) \oplus S^2(V_2^{(H)})] \\
&= S^2(V_2)^{(L)} \oplus S^2(V_2)^{(H)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in each expression the first  $[ \ ]$  term corresponds to the lower degree part (L), and the second  $[ \ ]$  term corresponds to the higher degree part (H).

Let  $\gamma : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow S^2(V_2)^{(L)}$  be the composition of the morphism  $\mathcal{C}|_{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)}} : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow S^2(S^2(V_1))^{(L)}$  and the morphism  $S^2(A') : S^2(S^2(V_1))^{(L)} = S^2(S^2(V_1)^{(L)}) \rightarrow S^2(V_2)^{(L)} = S^2(V_2^{(L)})$ , where  $A'$  is the  $3 \times 3$  matrix given in (4).

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\mathcal{L}'_4, \text{Cok } \gamma) \neq \{0\}$ .

Proof. Note that by (4) we have  $(S^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathcal{C})(S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}) \subset S^2(V_2)^{(H)}$ . Thus  $(S^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathcal{C}) : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) \rightarrow S^2(V_2)$  induces a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -modules

$$\gamma' : \frac{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}} \simeq S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \frac{S^2(V_2)}{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}} \simeq S^2(V_2)^{(L)}.$$

Our morphism  $\gamma$  coincides with this  $\gamma'$ , when we view  $\gamma'$  as a morphism from  $S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)}$  to  $S^2(V_2)^{(L)}$ . Thus by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)} & \longrightarrow & S^2(V_2)^{(H)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1) & \xrightarrow{S^2(\sigma_2) \circ \mathcal{C}} & S^2(V_2) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{V}_4 \longrightarrow 0
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

and  $3 \times 3$  Lemma, we obtain the following two short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \frac{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}} &\rightarrow \frac{S^2(V_2)}{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}} \rightarrow \text{Cok } \gamma' \simeq \text{Cok } \gamma \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \frac{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}} &\rightarrow \tilde{V}_4 \rightarrow \text{Cok } \gamma' \simeq \text{Cok } \gamma \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now, assume that we have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\mathcal{L}'_4, \text{Cok } \gamma) = \{0\}$ . Then by the short exact sequence (8) above, we obtain the surjectivity of the morphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\mathcal{L}'_4, \frac{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\mathcal{L}'_4, \tilde{V}_4)$ . This implies that the morphism  $\frac{S^2(V_2)^{(H)}}{S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(H)}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_4$  in (7) factors through the inclusion morphism (6). On the other hand, however, since  $\sigma_2|_{S^2(V_1)^{(H)}} : S^2(V_1)^{(H)} \rightarrow V_2^{(H)}$  is an isomorphism by (4), we have also the surjectivity of the morphism  $V_2 \otimes S^2(V_1)^{(H)} \rightarrow S^2(V_2)^{(H)} = V_2 \cdot V_2^{(H)}$ . Then with the help of the commutative diagram (7), we find immediately a contradiction to the definition of an admissible 5-tuple (see [8, Condition (iv), Definition 7.10]). Thus  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_B}(\mathcal{L}'_4, \text{Cok } \gamma) = \{0\}$  is impossible.  $\square$

Note that by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$S^2(V_2)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8).$$

Local bases of the direct summands are given by  $S_0^2, S_0S_1, S_1^2, S_0S_2, S_1S_2$ , and  $S_2^2$ , respectively. In what follows, we shall compute the sheaf  $\text{Cok } \gamma$ , and rule out the case where  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is composite with a pencil. For this we divide our argument into several cases, normalizing the matrix  $A'$ .

First, by replacing the bases  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  of the sheaf  $V_1$ , we may assume  $a_1 = 1$ . Then by replacing the bases  $S_0, S_1$ , and  $S_2$  of the sheaf  $V_2$ , we may assume  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_1 = 0$ . Then we obtain

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 & a_2 \\ \alpha_0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_0 & 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have two cases:

Case 1:  $a_0a_2 \neq 1$ ;

Case 2:  $a_0a_2 = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Case 1 does not occur.*

*Proof.* The composite of the morphism  $\gamma$  and the natural projection  $S^2(V_2)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(4)$  coincides with  $(a_0a_2 - 1) \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{O}_B(4)$ . Thus if we are in Case 1, then the image  $\text{Im } \gamma$  is a direct summand of  $S^2(V_2)^{(L)}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8),$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

Let us study Case 2. In this case, the composite of the morphism  $\gamma$  and the natural projection  $S^2(V_2)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(4)$  is a zero morphism. Thus  $\gamma$  is a composite of a morphism

$$\gamma_0 : S^2\left(\bigwedge^2 V_1\right)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)$$

and the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow S^2(V_2)^{(L)}$ , and we find  $\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \text{Cok } \gamma_0$ . By replacing the bases  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  by their multiples by non-zero constants, we may assume  $a_0 = a_2 = 1$ . Then by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^2\left(\bigwedge^2 V_1\right)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \text{Cok } \gamma_0 \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) &= 8 \\ h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) &= 3 + \dim \text{Ker } ((\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) \times), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) \times : H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-3)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-2))$  is the morphism induced by the multiplication morphism by  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2$  of sheaves.

Case 2 splits into two cases:

Case 2-1:  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \neq 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$ ;

Case 2-2:  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 = 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$ .

**Case 2-1.** Let us study Case 2-1. In this case the morphism  $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2) \times : H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-3)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-2))$  is surjective. Therefor by (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) &= 4 \\ h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) &= 1 + \dim \text{Ker } ({}^t(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 \alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2) \times), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  ${}^t(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 \alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2) \times : H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-4)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(-2)^{\oplus 2})$  is the morphism induced by the multiplication morphism by  ${}^t(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0 \alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2)$  of sheaves.

Case 2-1 splits into two cases:

Case 2-1-1:  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_0 \alpha_2$ , and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  have no common zero;

Case 2-1-2:  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_0 \alpha_2$ , and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  have a common zero.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Case 2-1-1 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that we are in Case 2-1-1. Let us denote by  $\gamma_0^{(1)} : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  the multiplication morphism by  ${}^t(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0\alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2)$ . Then both  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0$  and  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)}$  are locally free, and we have  $\text{rk Cok } \gamma_0 = 4$  and  $\text{rk Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} = 2$ . Put  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \mathcal{O}_B(b_i)$  where  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2$ . Then by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) = 3$  and  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) = 1$ . From these together with  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} = 13$ , we infer  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7)$ , which in turn together with (11) implies  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 1$ . This together with (10) and (11) implies  $b_0 = 6$  and  $b_i \geq 7$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Then since  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_0 = 28$ , we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \text{Cok } \gamma_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8),$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Case 2-1-2 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that we are in Case 2-1-2. Without loss of generality we may assume  $\alpha_0 \neq 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$ . Since the three sections  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_0\alpha_2$ , and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  have a common zero, there exist a number  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and a section  $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$  such that  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 = (1+a)\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2 = \lambda\alpha_0$  hold. Note that we have  $1+a \neq 0$ , since we are in Case 2-1. Since  $\beta_0\beta_2 = \beta_0(\lambda\alpha_0 - \beta_0)$ , if the two sections  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_2$  and  $\beta_0\beta_2$  have a common zero  $P$ , then at this point  $P$ , the rank of  $\sigma_2 \otimes k(P)$  drops at least by 2, which is impossible (see [8]). Thus  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0$  is locally free.

Put  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \mathcal{O}_B(b_i)$ , where  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3$ . Let us denote by  $\gamma_0^{(1)} : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  the multiplication morphism by  ${}^t(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_0\alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2) = {}^t((1+a)\alpha_0, a\alpha_0^2, \lambda\alpha_0)$ . Then denoting by  $\gamma_0^{(2)} : \mathcal{O}_B(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  the multiplication morphism by  ${}^t((1+a), a\alpha_0, \lambda)$ , we have  $\gamma_0^{(1)} = \gamma_0^{(2)} \circ (\alpha_0 \times)$ , where  $\alpha_0 \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(5)$  is the multiplication morphism by  $\alpha_0$ . From this we see easily that the morphism  $\gamma_0^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8) : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(-2)^{\oplus 2}$  induces a morphism  $H^1(S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_B(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(-2)^{\oplus 2})$  of rank 4. Thus we obtain  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 2$ , which together with (10) and (11) implies  $b_0 = b_1 = 6$ . Then since  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_0 = 28$ , we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \text{Cok } \gamma_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_3),$$

where  $(b_2, b_3) = (8, 8)$  or  $(7, 9)$ , which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Case 2-2.** Let us study Case 2-2. In this case we have  $\alpha_2 = -\alpha_0 \neq 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_0$  is a composite of a morphism

$$\gamma_1 : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)$$

and the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$ , and we find  $\text{Cok } \gamma_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \text{Cok } \gamma_1$ .

Case 2-2 splits into two cases:

Case 2-2-1:  $\alpha_0 \alpha_2 = -\alpha_0^2$  and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  have no common zero;

Case 2-2-2:  $\alpha_0 \alpha_2 = -\alpha_0^2$  and  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  have a common zero.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Case 2-2-1 does not occur.*

*Proof.* Assume that we are in Case 2-2-1. Then the sheaf  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1$  is locally free of rank 3. Put  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_B(b_i)$ , where  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3$ . Then since the multiplication morphism  ${}^t(\alpha_0 \alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_0) \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  by  ${}^t(\alpha_0 \alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_0)$  has a cokernel isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_B(8)$ , we obtain by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \text{Cok } \gamma_1 \rightarrow 0$$

that  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) = 5$  and  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 2$ , which imply  $b_i \geq 7$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Since  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_1 = 23$ , we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_3),$$

where  $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (7, 7, 9)$  or  $(7, 8, 8)$ , which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

Let us study Case 2-2-2. In this case there exists a section  $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_B(1))$  such that  $\beta_0 + \beta_2 = \lambda \alpha_0$ . Then since  $\beta_0 \beta_2 = \beta_0(\lambda \alpha_0 - \beta_0)$  holds, if the two sections  $-\alpha_0^2$  and  $\beta_0 \beta_2$  have a common zero  $P$ , then at this point  $P$ , the rank of  $\sigma_2 \otimes k(P)$  drops at least by 2, which is impossible. Thus  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1$  is locally free of rank 3. Put  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_B(b_i)$ , where  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3$ . Then by the same short exact sequence as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we obtain

$$h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) = 8, \quad h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) = 5. \quad (12)$$

Case 2-2-2 splits into two cases:

Case 2-2-2-1:  $\alpha_0$  and  $\lambda$  have no common zero;

Case 2-2-2-2:  $\alpha_0$  and  $\lambda$  have a common zero.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Case 2-2-2-1 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that we are in Case 2-2-2-1. Then since the multiplication morphism  ${}^t(\alpha_0\alpha_2, \beta_0 + \beta_2) \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  is the composite of the two morphisms  $\alpha_0 \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(5)$  and  ${}^t(-\alpha_0, \lambda) \times : \mathcal{O}_B(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$ , we see by the same short exact sequence as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 that  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 2$ , which together with (12) implies  $b_i \geq 7$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Since  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_1 = 23$ , we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(b_3),$$

where  $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (7, 7, 9)$  or  $(7, 8, 8)$ , which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Case 2-2-2-2 does not occur.*

Proof. Assume that we are in Case 2-2-2-2. Then there exists a number  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda = c\alpha_0$ . If we have  $c = 0$ , then we obtain  $\beta_2 = -\beta_0$ . This however is impossible since  $\sigma_2 \otimes k(P)$  needs to have rank 6 at a general point  $P$  of  $B$ . Thus we obtain  $c \neq 0$ . Note that the multiplication morphism  ${}^t(-\alpha_0^2, \beta_0 + \beta_2) \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  is the composite of the two morphisms  $\alpha_0^2 \times : S^2(\bigwedge^2 V_1)^{(L)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)$  and  ${}^t(-1, c) \times : \mathcal{O}_B(6) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$ . Since we have  $\text{Cok } ({}^t(-1, c) \times) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(6)$ , we see by the same short exact sequence as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 that

$$h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 3. \quad (13)$$

Since  $\alpha_0\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_0 = \alpha_0(c\alpha_0^2 - 2\beta_0)$ , if the two sections  $\alpha_0$  and  $c\alpha_0^2 - 2\beta_0$  has a common zero  $P$ , then at this point  $P$ , the rank of  $\sigma_2 \otimes k(P)$  drops at least by 2, which is impossible. Thus  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)}$  is locally free of rank 2, where we denote by

$$\gamma_1^{(1)} : \mathcal{O}_B(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(7) \quad (14)$$

the multiplication morphism by  ${}^t(-\alpha_0, c\alpha_0, c\alpha_0^2 - 2\beta_0)$ . Since the multiplication morphism  ${}^t(-\alpha_0, c\alpha_0) \times : \mathcal{O}_B(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$  is the composite of the two morphisms  $\alpha_0 \times : \mathcal{O}_B(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)$  and  ${}^t(-1, c) \times : \mathcal{O}_B(6) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(6)^{\oplus 2}$ , we obtain by (14) that  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-6)) = 4$ ,  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-7)) = 2$ , and  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-8)) = 1$ . From these together with  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)} = 14$ , we infer  $\text{Cok } \gamma_1^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8)$ . Thus by the same short exact sequence as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we see that  $h^0(\text{Cok } \gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-9)) = 1$ , which together with (12) and (13) implies that  $b_1 = 6$  and  $b_i \geq 8$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq 3$ . Since  $\deg \text{Cok } \gamma_1 = 23$ , we obtain

$$\text{Cok } \gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_B(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(6) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(8) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(9),$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

By Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 1.** *The map  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  is not composite with a pencil.*

**Digression.** As we have already cited the result in our proof, Ciliberto–Francia–Mendes Lopes [10, Proposition 1.7] shows that if a minimal surface  $S$  of general type with  $4 \leq p_g$  and  $K^2 \leq 9$  has canonical map composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ , then either (i)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pencil of curves of genus 2, or (ii)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a rational pencil of non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. Their proposition moreover shows that in the latter case  $K^2 = 9$ ,  $p_g = 4$ , and  $K \sim 3C$  hold, where  $C$  is a general member of the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ . As a byproduct of our computation, we prove the following:

**Proposition 2.** *Case (ii) in [10, Proposition 1.7] never occurs. Thus if a minimal surface  $S$  with  $4 \leq p_g$  and  $K^2 \leq 9$  has canonical map composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pencil of curves of genus 2.*

*Proof.* Assume that the surface  $S$  has numerical invariants as in the assertion, and the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|}$  is composite with a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  of curves of genus 3. Then we have  $K^2 = 9$ ,  $p_g = 4$ , and  $K \sim 3C$ , where  $C$  is a general member of the pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is non-hyperelliptic. If  $S$  has irregularity  $q = 0$ , then it contradicts our Proposition 1. Thus it suffices to rule out the case  $q > 0$ , where  $q$  is the irregularity of our surface  $S$ . In what follows we assume  $q > 0$ .

Note that we have  $q \leq 2$ . Indeed, since the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(C))$  has rank at least 1, the map  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4C)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(4C))$  also has rank at least 1. This together with the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(3C) = \mathcal{O}_S(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(4C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(4C) = \omega_C \rightarrow 0$$

implies the inequality. Thus we obtain  $\chi = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 3$ . Then by so-called Severi inequality proved by Pardini, we see that  $S$  is not of Albanese general type. We denote by  $\alpha : S \rightarrow B$  the Albanese fibration of our surface  $S$ . Naturally we have  $g(B) = q$ , where  $g(B)$  is the genus of the base curve  $B$ .

First, let us rule out the case  $q = 2$ . Assume that we have  $q = 2$ . Then  $B$  is a non-singular curve of genus  $g(B) = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unique base point  $x$ , the restriction  $\alpha|_C : C \rightarrow B$  is surjective for any general  $C \in \mathcal{P}$ . Moreover, by Hurwitz formula, we see that  $\alpha|_C$  is an étale double cover. Let  $F_0$  denote the fiber of  $\alpha$  passing through the base point  $x$ . Then since  $(F_0 \cdot C)_x = 1$ , we see that  $x$  is a smooth point of  $F_0$  and that for any  $y \in F_0 \setminus \{x\}$  there exists a unique member  $C_y \in \mathcal{P}$  passing through  $y$ . This however contradicts the rationality of our pencil  $\mathcal{P}$ , since  $F_0$  is a non-singular curve of genus 4.

Next, let us rule out the case  $q = 1$ . We use the method used in the proof of [10, Proposition 2.4]. For the reader's convenience, we include the outline

of our proof. Assume that we have  $q = 1$ . Then  $B$  is an elliptic curve. We take a point  $o \in B$ , and use this point for the zero of the additive structure of the elliptic curve  $B$ . For any closed point  $b \in B$ , we put  $\xi_b = \mathcal{O}_B(b - o)$ .

We first claim  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_b) = 1$  for any  $b \neq o \in B$ . Indeed, assume otherwise. Then by the same method as in [10, Proposition 2.4] and the upper-semicontinuity, we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_{b'}) = 0$  holds for any general  $b' \in B$ . Then again by the same method as in [10, Proposition 2.4], we obtain  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(2C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_{b'}^\vee) \geq 3$  for any general  $b' \neq o \in C$ . We however have  $g(C) = 3$  and  $\deg \mathcal{O}_C(2C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_{b'}^\vee = 2$ . Thus this is impossible, since the curve  $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_C(2C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_{b'}^\vee|}(C)$  needs to be non-degenerate.

Now put  $U = B \setminus \{o\}$ , and denote by  $\pi : C \times U \rightarrow U$  the second projection. Let  $\Xi$  be an invertible sheaf on  $C \times U$  such that  $\Xi|_{C \times \{b\}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_b$  holds for all  $b \in U$ . Then by what we have shown in the preceding paragraph, we see that the direct image  $\pi_* \Xi$  is an invertible sheaf on  $U$  and that the natural morphism  $\pi^* \pi_* \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$  is non-trivial. Thus replacing  $U$  by smaller one if necessary, we obtain an effective divisor  $Z$  on  $C \times U$  such that  $Z \cap (C \times \{b\}) = \text{div } s_b$  holds for all  $b \in U$ , where  $s_b \neq 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_b)$  is the unique non-zero global section to  $\mathcal{O}_C(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_b$ . Then the restriction  $\pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow U$  is birational, since  $\deg \mathcal{O}_C(C) \otimes \alpha^* \xi_b = 1$ . By [10, Proposition 1.6], however, the first projection  $Z \rightarrow C$  is dominant. Thus this contradicts the inequality  $g(C) = 3 > g(B) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 4 Structure theorem

Let us go back to the study of our surface  $S$  with  $c_1^2 = 9$ ,  $\chi = 5$ , and  $K \sim 3L$ . By Proposition 1 and Lemma 2.3, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L)) = 1$ ,  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 3$ , and  $2 \leq \deg \Phi_{|2L|} \leq 4$ , where  $\deg \Phi_{|2L|}$  is the degree of the rational map  $\Phi_{|2L|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ . In this section, we shall give a structure theorem for our surface  $S$ , by studying the structure of the graded ring  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(nL))$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $|K| = |3L| = |M_3| + F_3$  be the decomposition of the canonical system  $|K|$  into the variable part  $|M_3|$  and the fixed part  $F_3$ . Then  $KF_3 = 0$  holds. In particular  $F_3$  is at most a sum of fundamental cycles of rational double points.*

*Proof.* Let  $M_3$  and  $F_3$  be divisors as above. Let  $p_3 : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow S$  be the shortest composite of quadric transformations such that the variable part  $|\tilde{M}_3|$  of  $p_3^*|M_3|$  is free from base points. Then we have  $M_3^2 \geq \tilde{M}_3^2 \geq 4$  and  $K^2 = M_3^2 + M_3F_3 + KF_3$ , where  $M_3F_3 \geq 0$  and  $KF_3 \geq 0$  hold. Since we have  $M_3^2 + M_3F_3 = KM_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , this implies  $M_3^2 + M_3F_3 = 6$  or  $9$ .



Assume that  $M_3^2 + M_3F_3 = 6$ . Then by  $M_3^2 \geq 4$ , we have  $0 \leq M_3F_3 \leq 2$ . From this together with Hodge's Index Theorem  $M_3^2F_3^2 = M_3^2(KF_3 - M_3F_3) \leq (M_3F_3)^2$ , we see that  $M_3F_3 = 2$ ,  $M_3^2 = 4$ , and  $F_3^2 = 1$ , hence  $M_3 \sim_{\text{num}} 2F_3$  and  $K \sim_{\text{num}} 3L \sim_{\text{num}} 3F_3$ . Then by [16, Theorem 4], we obtain  $L \sim F_3$  and  $M_3 \sim 2L$ , which contradicts  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)) = 3$  in Lemma 2.3, since we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(M_3)) = p_g(S) = 4$ . Thus we obtain  $M_3^2 + M_3F_3 = 9$ , hence the assertion.  $\square$

Take a base  $x_0$  of the space of global sections  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L))$ . The following lemma is trivial.

**Lemma 4.2.** 1) *There exist two elements  $y_0, y_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$  such that  $x_0^2, y_0$ , and  $y_1$  form a base of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$ .*

2) *There exists an element  $z_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L))$  such that  $x_0^3, x_0y_0, x_0y_1$ , and  $z_0$  form a base of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L))$ .*

Take three elements  $x_0, y_1$ , and  $y_2$  as in the lemma above. In what follows, we denote by  $C$  the unique member of the linear system  $|L|$ , and by  $C_0$ , its unique irreducible component such that  $LC_0 = 1$ . For the proof of the following lemma, see [5, Lemma 1.2]:

**Lemma 4.3.** *If a member  $D \in |2L|$  satisfies  $D \succeq C_0$ , then  $D = 2C$ .*

Let us study higher homogeneous parts of the ring  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(nL))$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L))$  has the decomposition  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L)) = x_0H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{2-i}$ .*

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L)) = 7$ . Thus it suffices to prove that seven elements  $x_0^4, x_0^2y_0, x_0^2y_1, x_0z_0, y_0^2, y_0y_1$ , and  $y_1^2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that these seven elements has a nontrivial linear relation. Then there exist  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  and  $(\beta_0, \beta_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $(\alpha_0y_0 + \alpha_1y_1)(\beta_0y_0 + \beta_1y_1) \in x_0H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3L))$ . This contradicts Lemma 4.3, since  $x_0^2, y_0$ , and  $y_1$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *There exists an element  $u_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L))$  such that the equality  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L)) = x_0H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{1-i} z_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} u_0$  holds.*

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L)) = 10$ . Thus it suffices to prove that a base of  $x_0H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L))$  together with  $y_0z_0$  and  $y_1z_0$  forms a set of linearly independent nine elements of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L))$ . Assume that these nine elements are not linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists an element  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $(\alpha_0y_0 + \alpha_1y_1)z_0 \in x_0H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(4L))$ . The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 however

shows that  $\operatorname{div}(\alpha_0 y_0 + \alpha_1 y_1) \not\geq C_0$ . Thus we obtain  $\operatorname{div} z_0 \geq C_0$ , which contradicts Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

Take an element  $u_0$  as in the lemma above. In what follows, we denote by  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  the weighted polynomial ring with  $\deg X_0 = 1$ ,  $\deg Y_0 = \deg Y_1 = 2$ ,  $\deg Z_0 = 3$ , and  $\deg U_0 = 5$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *There exists a homogeneous element  $f_6 \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  of degree 6, unique up to multiplication by a non-zero constant, such that the equality  $f_6(x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0, u_0) = 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L))$  holds. The coefficient of  $Z_0^2$  in  $f_6$  is non-vanishing. Therefore the space of global sections to  $6L$  decomposes as  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) = x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{3-i}$ . Moreover, by a proper choice of  $z_0$ , the polynomial  $f_6$  can be set in such a way that it includes no term linear with respect to  $Z_0$ .*

*Proof.* The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L))$  contains 15 monomials of  $x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0$ , and  $u_0$ ; ten belonging to  $x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L))$ , four of the form  $y_0^i y_1^{3-i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ), and  $z_0^2$ . Meanwhile we have  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) = 14$ . Thus there exists at least one non-trivial linear relation  $f_6(x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0, u_0) = 0$  among these 15 monomials. Assume that the coefficient of  $Z_0^2$  in  $f_6$  vanishes. Then by Lemma 4.5, there exist three elements  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), (\beta_0, \beta_1), (\gamma_0, \gamma_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $(\alpha_0 y_0 + \alpha_1 y_1)(\beta_0 y_0 + \beta_1 y_1)(\gamma_0 y_0 + \gamma_1 y_1) \in x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L))$ , from which we infer a contradiction by the same argument as in Lemma 4.5. Thus we obtain the non-vanishing of the coefficient of  $Z_0^2$ , hence also the uniqueness of  $f_6$ . The irreducibility of  $f_6$  follows from Lemmas 4.2, 4.4, and 4.5.  $\square$

In what follows, in view of the lemma above, we assume that  $f_6$  includes no term linear with respect to  $Z_0$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *The linear system  $|2L|$  has no base point. Thus the map  $\Phi_{|2L|} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  associated to  $|2L|$  is a morphism of degree 4.*

*Proof.* Assume that the linear system  $|2L|$  has a base point  $b \in S$ . Then this point  $b$  is a common zero of  $x_0, y_0$ , and  $y_1$ . This together with Lemma 4.6 however implies that  $b$  is a base point of  $|6L| = |2K|$ , which contradicts the base point freeness of the bicanonical system (see [4, Theorem 2]).  $\square$

Now let  $\varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5) = \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  denote the morphism induced by  $X_0 \mapsto x_0, Y_i \mapsto y_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ),  $Z_0 \mapsto z_0$ , and  $U_0 \mapsto u_0$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *The morphism  $\varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  is birational onto its image.*

*Proof.* Since the morphism  $\varphi_S$  factors through the bicanonical map  $\Phi_{|2K|}$ , it suffices to prove the birationality of  $\Phi_{|2K|} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{13}$ . Assume that  $\Phi_{|2K|}$  is non-birational. Then by [10, Theorem 1.8, Theorem 2.1], the surface  $S$  has

a pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  of curves of genus 2. Moreover, by their proof, we see that  $\mathcal{P}$  can be chosen in such a way that a general member  $D \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $D^2 = 0$  and  $DK = 2$ . This however contradicts the equivalence  $K \sim 3L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(7L))$  has the decomposition  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(7L)) = x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{1-i} u_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{2-i} z_0$ .*

Proof. Assume otherwise. Then, since  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(7L)) = 19$  and  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(6L)) = 14$ , there exists a non-zero homogeneous element  $g_7 \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  of degree 7 satisfying  $g_7(x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0, u_0) = 0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(7L))$  in which at least one of the five monomials  $Y_0 U_0$ ,  $Y_1 U_0$ ,  $Y_0^2 Z_0$ ,  $Y_0 Y_1 Z_0$ , and  $Y_1^2 Z_0$  has non-vanishing coefficient. By subtracting a multiple of  $f_6$ , we may assume that the coefficient of  $X_0 Z_0^2$  in  $g_7$  vanishes. Moreover,  $Y_0 U_0$  or  $Y_1 U_0$  has non-vanishing coefficient in  $g_7$ . Indeed, if both  $Y_0 U_0$  and  $Y_1 U_0$  have vanishing coefficient, then the same argument as in Lemma 4.5 shows that  $\text{div } z_0 \succeq C_0$ , which contradicts Lemma 4.1.

Now let  $\mathcal{Q}_7 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  be the subvariety defined by  $f_6 = g_7 = 0$ , and  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_7} : \mathcal{Q}_7 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2)$ , the restriction to  $\mathcal{Q}_7$  of the natural dominant map  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2)$ . Since  $g_7$  is not a multiple of  $f_6$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$ , and since  $f_6$  is irreducible, we have  $\dim \mathcal{Q}_7 = 2$ . Moreover, since  $Z_0$  appears quadratically and  $U_0$  appears at most linearly in  $f_6$ , and since  $U_0$  appears linearly and  $Z_0$  appears at most linearly in  $g_7$ , we see that  $\deg \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_7} \leq 2$ . Meanwhile, since  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_7} \circ \varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2)$  coincides with  $\Phi_{|2L|}$  via the natural isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L)))$ , we see by Lemma 4.7 that  $\deg \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_7} \circ \varphi_S = 4$ . Thus we obtain  $\deg \varphi_S \geq 2$ , which contradicts Lemma 4.8.  $\square$

By the same method, we can prove the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 4.10.** *The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(8L))$  has the decomposition  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(8L)) = x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(7L)) \oplus \mathbb{C} z_0 u_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{4-i}$ .*

**Lemma 4.11.** *The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(9L))$  has the decomposition  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(9L)) = x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(8L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{2-i} u_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{3-i} z_0$ .*

Indeed, we just need to consider  $\mathcal{Q}_k = \{f_6 = g_k = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{Q}_k} : \mathcal{Q}_k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2)$  for  $k = 8, 9$ : we obtain easily  $\deg \pi_{\mathcal{Q}_k} \leq 3$  for  $k = 8, 9$ , which leads us to a contradiction to Lemma 4.8.

**Corollary 4.1.** *The linear system  $|5L|$  has no base point.*

Proof. Note that by Lemma 4.7 the three sections  $x_0^2, y_0, y_1 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(2L))$  have no common zero. Since we have  $x_0^5, x_0^2 z_0, y_0 z_0, y_1 z_0, u_0 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(5L))$ , this implies that the base locus of  $|5L|$  is contained in the subset  $\{x_0 = z_0 =$

$u_0 = 0\} \subset S$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.11, if the linear system  $|5L|$  has a base point  $b \in S$ , then this point  $b$  is also a base point of  $|9L| = |3K|$ , which contradicts the base point freeness of the tricanonical system (see [4, Theorem 2]).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** *There exists a homogeneous element  $g_{10} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  of degree 10 not multiple of  $f_6$  such that  $g_{10}(x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0, u_0) = 0$  holds in  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(10L))$ . The coefficient of  $U_0^2$  in  $g_{10}$  is non-vanishing. The polynomial  $g_{10}$  can be chosen in such a way that it includes no monomial divisible by  $Z_0^2$ , and with this last condition imposed, the polynomial  $g_{10}$  is unique up to multiplication by a non-zero constant. Moreover the space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(10L))$  decomposes as  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(10L)) = x_0 H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(9L)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{1-i} z_0 u_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^5 \mathbb{C} y_0^i y_1^{5-i}$ .*

Proof. The space  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(10L))$  includes 41 monomials not divisible by  $z_0^2$  of  $x_0, y_0, y_1, z_0$ , and  $u_0$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(10L)) = 40$ , this implies that there exists at least one homogeneous element  $g_{10} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]_{10}$  as in the first assertion. Since  $g_{10}$  includes no monomial divisible by  $Z_0^2$ , it is not a multiple of  $f_6$ . Assume that the coefficient of  $U_0^2$  in  $g_{10}$  vanishes. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we see that either the coefficient of  $Y_0 Z_0 U_0$  or that of  $Y_1 Z_0 U_0$  is non-vanishing. This however together with the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.9 leads us to a contradiction to Lemma 4.8. Thus the coefficient of  $U_0^2$  is non-vanishing, from which the last assertion and the uniqueness of  $g_{10}$  follow.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  defined by the ideal  $(f_6, g_{10})$ . We define the subvarieties  $\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{Z}_1$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  of  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_0 &= \{X_0 = Z_0 = U_0 = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_1 &= \{X_0 = Y_0 = Y_1 = U_0 = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 &= \{X_0 = Y_0 = Y_1 = Z_0 = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that outside  $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i$  the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  has no singularity. The restriction of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  to  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i$  is invertible.

**Proposition 3.** 1) *The morphism  $\varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  surjects to  $\mathcal{Q}$ .*

2) *The variety  $\mathcal{Q}$  does not intersect the locus  $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i$ .*

3) *The inclusion map  $\varphi_S^* : \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0] / (f_6, g_{10}) \rightarrow R(S, L)$  is an isomorphism of graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, where  $R(S, L) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(nL))$ . The variety  $\mathcal{Q}$  has at most rational double points as its singularities.*

Proof. Since  $\deg \mathcal{Q} = 1 = L^2$ , the assertion 1) follows from Lemma 4.8. Then the assertion 2) follows from the non-vanishing of the coefficient of  $Z_0^2$  in  $f_6$ , that of the coefficient of  $U_0^2$  in  $g_{10}$ , Lemma 4.5, and Corollary 4.1. It only remains to prove the assertion 3). By the assertion 2), we see that

$\mathcal{Q}$  is Gorenstein. Moreover we have  $\omega_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3)$ , hence  $\omega_S \simeq \varphi_S^* \omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Q}$  has at most rational double points as its singularities. Since  $\varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  gives the minimal desingularization of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we obtain the assertion 3).  $\square$

Naturally,  $R(S, L)^{(3)} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(3nL))$  is the canonical ring of the surface  $S$ . Thus, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 1.** *If a minimal surface  $S$  has  $c_1^2 = 9$  and  $\chi = 5$ , and its canonical class is divisible by 3 in its integral cohomology group, then its canonical model is a  $(6, 10)$ -complete intersection of the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  that does not intersect the locus  $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i$ . Conversely, if a  $(6, 10)$ -complete intersection  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  satisfying  $\mathcal{Q} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i = \emptyset$  has at most rational double points as its singularities, then its minimal desingularization  $S$  is a minimal surface with  $c_1^2 = 9$  and  $\chi = 5$  whose canonical class is divisible by 3.*

Note that for general  $f_6$  and  $g_{10} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  of degree 6 and 10, respectively, the subvariety  $\mathcal{Q} = \{f_6 = g_{10} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  is non-singular. This can be verified with  $X_0^6, Y_0^3, Y_1^3, Z_0^2 \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]_6$ ,  $X_0^{10}, Y_0^5, Y_1^5, U_0^2 \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]_{10}$ , and Bertini's Theorem.

*Remark 1.* Let  $S$  and  $S'$  be two minimal algebraic surfaces with invariants as in Theorem 1. Then as one can see from the proof of Theorem 1, the surfaces  $S$  and  $S'$  are isomorphic to each other, if and only if the varieties  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'$  are projectively equivalent in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'$  are the  $(6, 10)$ -complete intersections corresponding to  $S$  and  $S'$ , respectively.

*Remark 2.* Let  $f_6$  and  $g_{10} \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  be homogeneous polynomials of weighted degree 6 and 10, respectively. Assume that the coefficient of  $Z_0^2$  in  $f_6$  and that of  $U_0^2$  in  $g_{10}$  are non-vanishing. Let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  denote the subvariety defined by the polynomials  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i = \emptyset$  holds, if and only if the two sections  $f_6(0, Y_0, Y_1, 0, 0) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3))$  and  $g_{10}(0, Y_0, Y_1, 0, 0) \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5))$  have no common zero on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Prpj } \mathbb{C}[Y_0, Y_1]$ .

## 5 Moduli space and the canonical maps

In this section, we study the moduli space. We also study the behavior of the canonical map of our surface  $S$ . Let us begin with the normal form of the defining polynomials  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal surface as in Theorem 1. Then the defining polynomials  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  of its canonical model  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be taken in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} f_6 &= Z_0^2 + \alpha_0 X_0 U_0 + \alpha_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1), \\ g_{10} &= U_0^2 + \beta_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) X_0 Z_0 + \beta_5(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant,  $\alpha_3$ , a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3, and  $\beta_i$ , a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $i$  for  $i = 3, 5$ .

*Proof.* By completing the square with respect to  $Z_0$ , we can take  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_6 &= Z_0^2 + \alpha_0 X_0 U_0 + \alpha_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1), \\ g_{10} &= U_0^2 + \beta_1(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) Z_0 U_0 + \beta_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) X_0 Z_0 + \beta_5(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $X_0 = X'_0$ ,  $Y_0 = Y'_0$ ,  $Y_1 = Y'_1$ ,  $Z_0 = Z'_0 + \alpha_0 \beta_1 X'_0/4$ , and  $U_0 = U'_0 - \beta_1 Z'_0/2 - \alpha_0 \beta_1^2 X'_0/4$ , and employing  $X'_0, Y'_0, Y'_1, Z'_0, U'_0$  as new  $X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0$ , respectively, we easily obtain new  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  in which the term  $\beta_1 Z_0 U_0$  vanishes.  $\square$

Using this proposition, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *The coarse moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of surfaces as in Theorem 1 is a unirational variety of dimension 34. In particular, any two surfaces  $S$ 's as in Theorem 1 are deformation equivalent to each other.*

*Proof.* In what follows, for two weighted homogeneous polynomials  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  as in Proposition 4, we denote by  $S_{(f_6, g_{10})}$  the minimal desingularization of the variety  $\mathcal{Q}_{(f_6, g_{10})} = \{f_6 = g_{10} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$ . Note that the pair  $(f_6, g_{10})$  in the normal form as in Proposition 4 has 42 linear parameters. Denote by  $V$  the Zariski open subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{42}$  consisting of all  $(f_6, g_{10})$ 's such that 1)  $\mathcal{Q}_{(f_6, g_{10})}$  has at most rational double points as its singularities, and 2)  $\mathcal{Q}_{(f_6, g_{10})} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i = \emptyset$  holds. Then by the existence of the natural family of the canonical models  $\mathcal{Q}_{(f_6, g_{10})}$ 's over the space of parameters  $V$ , we obtain the irreducibility of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let us compute the dimension of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that for two points  $(f_6, g_{10})$  and  $(f'_6, g'_{10})$  of  $V$ , the corresponding surfaces  $S_{(f_6, g_{10})}$  and  $S_{(f'_6, g'_{10})}$  are isomorphic to each other if and only if the ideals  $(f_6, g_{10})$  and  $(f'_6, g'_{10})$  are equivalent under the action by the group of homogeneous transformations on the graded algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$ . Since no monomial divisible by  $Z_0^2$  appears in  $g_{10}$  and  $g'_{10}$ , and since  $10 - \deg U_0 < \deg Z_0^2$  holds, this is equivalent to the condition that the points  $(f_6, g_{10})$  and  $(f'_6, g'_{10})$  of

$V$  are equivalent under the action by the group of homogeneous transformations of  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$ . Moreover, we see easily that if a point  $v \in V$  corresponding to a surface  $S$  is sufficiently general, then there exists a point  $(f_6, g_{10}) \in V$  that gives the same isomorphism class of  $S$  and such that  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  are in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_6 &= Z_0^2 + X_0 U_0 + Y_0^3 + Y_1^3 + a_0 X_0^2 Y_0 Y_1 + X_0^4 (a_1 Y_0 + a_2 Y_1) + X_0^6, \\ g_{10} &= U_0^2 + \beta_3 (X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) X_0 Z_0 + \beta_5 (X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $V'$  the 34-dimensional subvariety of  $V$  consisting of all  $(f_6, g_{10})$ 's in this form. Then the restriction  $V' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  of the natural morphism  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is dominant.

Let us study fibers of the morphism  $V' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $G$  be the group of homogeneous transformations of  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  that preserve the subvariety  $V' \subset V$ . We denote by  $\omega$  the third root of unity, and define the two transformations  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \quad U_0 &\mapsto U_0, & Z_0 &\mapsto Z_0 & Y_0 &\mapsto \omega Y_0, & Y_1 &\mapsto \omega^2 Y_1 & X_0 &\mapsto X_0 \\ \tau : \quad U_0 &\mapsto U_0, & Z_0 &\mapsto Z_0 & Y_0 &\mapsto Y_1, & Y_1 &\mapsto Y_0 & X_0 &\mapsto X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  generate a subgroup  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3 \subset G$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  is the symmetric group of degree 3. Since each element of  $G$  induces a permutation of three prime divisors of  $Y_0^3 + Y_1^3$ , we have a natural group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3$ , whose restriction  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3$  to  $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \subset G$  is an isomorphism. Thus if we define  $\Psi_{(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu_0, a)} \in G$  by  $U_0 \mapsto a^5 U_0$ ,  $Z_0 \mapsto (-1)^{\mu_0} a^3 Z_0$ ,  $Y_1 \mapsto \omega^{\lambda_1} a^2 Y_1$ ,  $Y_0 \mapsto \omega^{\lambda_0} a^2 Y_0$ , and  $X_0 \mapsto a X_0$  for each  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu_0, a) \in (\mathbb{Z}/3)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^\times$ , then each element of  $G$  can be written as  $\rho \circ \Psi_{(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu_0, a)}$  for an element  $\rho \in \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$  and an element  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu_0, a) \in (\mathbb{Z}/3)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^\times$ . This implies that for a general point of  $\mathcal{M}$  the fiber of  $V' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  over this point consists of at most 108 points. Now since  $V'$  is a Zariski open subset of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^{34}$ , we see that  $\mathcal{M}$  is unirational of dimension 34.  $\square$

Finally, we study the behavior of the canonical map of our surface  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q} \simeq \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0] / (f_6, g_{10})$  be the canonical model of our surface  $S$ , where  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  are in the normal form as in Proposition 4. Since the birational morphism  $\varphi_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  factors through the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ , the study of the behavior of  $\Phi_{|K|}$  is reduced to that of the behavior of the rational map  $\Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3)|} : \mathcal{Q} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ . Let  $\xi_0, \eta_0, \eta_1$ , and  $\zeta_0$  be the homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  corresponding to the base  $X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1, Z_0$  of  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3))$ . Note that for an integer  $d \geq 1$ , an equation of  $\Phi_{|K|}(S)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d$  corresponds to a relation among  $X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1$ , and  $Z_0$  in the homogeneous part of degree  $3d$  of  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0] / (f_6, g_{10})$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal surface as in Theorem 1, and  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$ , the defining polynomials in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 2, 3, 5)$  of its canonical model  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Assume that  $f_6$  and  $g_{10}$  are in the normal form as in Proposition 4.*

1) *If  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ , then the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|}$  of  $S$  is birational onto its image, and the canonical image  $\Phi_{|K|}(S)$  is a sextic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defined by*

$$[\xi_0 \zeta_0^2 + \alpha_3(\xi_0, \eta_0, \eta_1)]^2 + \alpha_0^2 [\beta_3(\xi_0, \eta_0, \eta_1) \xi_0^2 \zeta_0 + \beta_5(\xi_0, \eta_0, \eta_1) \xi_0] = 0.$$

*Surfaces  $S$ 's with birational  $\Phi_{|K|}$  form an open dense subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

2) *If  $\alpha_0 = 0$ , then the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|}$  of  $S$  is generically two-to-one onto its image, and the canonical image  $\Phi_{|K|}(S)$  is a cubic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defined by*

$$\xi_0 \zeta_0^2 + \alpha_3(\xi_0, \eta_0, \eta_1) = 0.$$

*Surfaces  $S$ 's with non-birational  $\Phi_{|K|}$  form a 33-dimensional locus in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* The only non-trivial relation in the homogeneous part of degree 6 of  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]/(f_6, g_{10})$  is given by  $f_6 = Z_0^2 + \alpha_0 X_0 U_0 + \alpha_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) = 0$ . Assume that  $f_6$  is a polynomial of  $X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1$  and  $Z_0$ . Then  $\alpha_3(0, Y_0, Y_1)$  must be zero in  $\mathbb{C}[Y_0, Y_1]$ . In this case, however, we have  $f_6(0, Y_0, Y_1, 0, 0) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[Y_0, Y_1]$ , which contradicts the condition  $\mathcal{Q} \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{Z}_i = \emptyset$  (see Remark 2). Thus  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  satisfies no equation of degree 2.

Assume that  $X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1$ , and  $Z_0$  have a non-trivial relation in the homogeneous part of degree 9 of  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]/(f_6, g_{10})$ . Then this relation must be written as  $\gamma_1(X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1, Z_0) f_6 = 0$ , where  $\gamma_1$  is a linear form with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since this left hand is a polynomial of  $X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1$ , and  $Z_0$ , we see with the help of Remark 2 that  $\alpha_0 = 0$  holds and that  $\gamma_1(X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1, Z_0)$  is a multiple of  $X_0^3$ . Thus if  $\alpha_0 = 0$ , then  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a cubic surface as in the assertion, and if  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ , then  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  satisfies no equation of degree 3.

Now that we have shown the assertion for the case  $\alpha_0 = 0$ , we assume in what follows that  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ . By an argument similar to that in the preceding paragraph, we can prove the absence of equations of degree  $d$  of  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  for  $d = 4, 5$ . On the other hand, we can easily find an equation of degree 6 that is satisfied by  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . Note that in  $\mathbb{C}[X_0, Y_0, Y_1, Z_0, U_0]$  we have  $-\alpha_0 X_0 U_0 \equiv Z_0^2 + \alpha_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1)$  and  $-U_0^2 \equiv \beta_3(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1) X_0 Z_0 + \beta_5(X_0^2, Y_0, Y_1)$  modulo the ideal  $(f_6, g_{10})$ . Eliminating  $U_0$  from these two and then multiplying it by  $X_0^6$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [X_0^3 Z_0^2 + \alpha_3(X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1)]^2 \\ & + \alpha_0 X_0^3 [\beta_3(X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1) X_0^3 Z_0 + \beta_5(X_0^3, X_0 Y_0, X_0 Y_1)] \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$



modulo the ideal  $(f_6, g_{10})$ . From this together with the absence of equation of lower degree, we see that if  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$  then  $\Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a sextic surface defined by the equation as in the assertion.

Now let us compute the mapping degree of the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|} : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ . Let  $|K| = |3L| = |M_3| + F_3$  be the decomposition as in Lemma 4.1, and  $p_3 : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow S$ , the shortest composite of quadric transformations such that the variable part  $|\tilde{M}_3|$  of  $p_3^*|M_3|$  is free from base points. Then we have

$$\deg \Phi_{|K|} \deg \Phi_{|K|}(S) = \tilde{M}_3^2 \leq M_3^2 \leq K^2 = 9. \quad (15)$$

Assume that  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ . Then since  $\deg \Phi_{|K|}(S) = 6$ , we infer from the inequalities above that  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 1$ . Assume that  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . Then since  $\deg \Phi_{|K|}(S) = 3$ , we infer in the same way that  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} \leq 3$ . If  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 3$  holds, however, we see from (15) that the linear system  $|K|$  is base point free. This is impossible, because by Lemma 4.2 the canonical system  $|K|$  needs to have a base point. Thus we obtain  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 2$ .

It is trivial that the surfaces  $S$ 's with birational  $\Phi_{|K|}$  form an open dense subset in  $\mathcal{M}$ . To show that the surfaces  $S$ 's with non-birational  $\Phi_{|K|}$  form a 33-dimensional locus in  $\mathcal{M}$ , we just need to use the same method as in the computation of  $\deg \mathcal{M}$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

Let us conclude this article by giving some more details on the canonical map and its image of our surface  $S$ . In what follows, we denote by  $W$  the canonical image  $\Phi_{|K|}(S)$ . Moreover, we denote by  $p_3 : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow S$  the shortest composite of quadric transformations such that the variable part of  $p_3^*|K|$  is free from base points, and by  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W$ , the unique morphism such that  $\Phi_{|K|} = \varphi \circ p_3^{-1}$ .

First we study the case  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 1$ . In this case, the canonical image  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a sextic surface. Recall that for a singularity  $(W, x)$  of our surface  $W$ , the fundamental genus of  $(W, x)$  is the arithmetic genus of its fundamental cycle. Moreover, since  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W$  gives the minimal desingularization of the canonical image  $W$ , the geometric genus of  $(W, x)$  is the dimension of the vector space  $(R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_3})_x$ , where  $R^1\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_3}$  is the first higher direct image of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}_3}$ . The following proposition is a comment given to the author by Kazuhiro Konno:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal algebraic surface as in Theorem 1. Suppose that  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 1$  and that the canonical system  $|K|$  has no fixed component. Then the canonical image  $W = \Phi_{|K|}(S) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is normal. Moreover, if the surface  $S$  is sufficiently general, then the singularity  $(W, x)$  of  $W$  is a double point with fundamental genus 3 and geometric genus 6, where  $x \in W$  is a point given by  $(\xi_0 : \eta_0 : \eta_1 : \zeta_0) = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $|K|$  has no fixed component, as is indeed the case for our general  $S$  by Proposition 4. Then  $p_3 : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow S$  is a blowing up at three simple base points of  $|K|$ . Thus for any hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , the arithmetic genus of  $W \cap H$  equals that of the pullback  $\varphi^*(W \cap H) \in |p_3^*(K) - \varepsilon|$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the sum of the total transforms of the three  $(-1)$ -curves appearing by  $p_3 : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow S$ . Since the variable part  $|p_3^*(K) - \varepsilon|$  of  $p_3^*|K|$  is free from base points, this together with Bertini's Theorem implies that  $W$  has at most isolated singularities. This however implies that  $W$  is normal, since the canonical image  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a hypersurface. Note that the local equation at  $x$  of  $W$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is analytically in the form  $w^2 - f_8(u, v) = 0$ . Thus the invariants of the double point  $(W, x)$  can be computed by the canonical resolution.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* From Proposition 4, we see easily that the point  $x$  is the only singularity of  $W$  for a sufficiently general  $S$ . Thus one can compute the geometric genus of  $(W, x)$  also by writing down the Leray spectral sequence of  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W$  and comparing the invariants of  $W$  and those of  $\tilde{S}_3$ .

Next, we study the case  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 2$ . In this case, the canonical image  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a cubic surface. We shall describe the branch divisor of the canonical map  $\Phi_{|K|}$ . For simplicity, we shall do this only for the case where  $S$  satisfies the following three generality conditions:

- i) the canonical image  $W = \Phi_{|K|}(S)$  is smooth;
- ii) the unique member  $L \in |L|$  is irreducible;
- iii) the base locus of  $|K|$  consists of three distinct points.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal algebraic surface as in Theorem 1, and  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W = \Phi_{|K|}(S)$ , the morphism such that  $\Phi_{|K|} = \varphi \circ p_3^{-1}$ . Suppose that  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 2$  and that  $S$  satisfies the three conditions above. Then the branch divisor  $B$  of  $\varphi$  splits as  $B = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i + B'$ , where  $\Gamma_i$ 's are three coplanar lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  meeting at one point  $x \in W$ , and  $B'$  is a member of  $|-5K_W|$  that has an ordinary 5-tuple point at  $x$  and such that all other singularities if any are negligible ones.*

Proof. By the generality conditions, the three base points of the canonical system  $|K|$  are non-singular points of the unique member  $L$ . Thus if we denote by  $\varepsilon$  the divisor such that  $|K_{\tilde{S}_3}| = p_3^*|K| + \varepsilon$ , then we have  $p_3^*(L) = p_{3*}^{-1}(L) + \varepsilon$ . Moreover, the divisor  $\varepsilon$  is a sum of three  $(-1)$ -curves. Thus from this together with  $\varphi^*(-K_W) \sim p_3^*(3L) - \varepsilon$ , we see that  $\varphi_*\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i$ , where  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ , and  $\Gamma_3$  are the three lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  corresponding to the irreducible components of the divisor  $\varepsilon$ .

Let  $R$  and  $B = \varphi_*(R)$  be the ramification divisor and the branch divisor of  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W$ , respectively. Then by

$$R \sim p_3^*(3L) + \varepsilon - \varphi^*(K_W) \sim 2\varphi^*(-K_W) + 2\varepsilon, \quad (16)$$

we have  $BD = (-4K_W + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i)D$  for any divisor  $D$  on  $W$ , which implies  $B \in |-4K_W + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i|$ . Now let us denote by  $\tilde{L}_3$  the strict transform by  $p_3$  of the divisor  $L$ . By  $\tilde{L}_3 \varphi^*(-K_W) = 0$ , we see that  $\varphi$  contracts  $\tilde{L}_3$  to a single point  $x \in W$ , where we have  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i$ . Moreover, since  $p_g(S) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_W(-K_W)) = 4$  and hence  $3\tilde{L}_3 + 2\varepsilon \in |p_3^*(3L) - \varepsilon| = \varphi^*|-K_W|$ , we obtain a member  $\Gamma \in |-K_W|$  such that  $\varphi^*(\Gamma) = 3\tilde{L}_3 + 2\varepsilon$  holds. Since we have  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i$  for this  $\Gamma$ , we see that the three lines  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$ , and  $\Gamma_3$  are coplanar, and that  $\varepsilon + \tilde{L}_3 \preceq R$ , since we have  $\varphi(\tilde{L}_3) = \{x\}$ . We therefore can put  $R = \varepsilon + \tilde{L}_3 + R'$ , where  $R'$  is a non-negative divisor on  $\tilde{S}_3$ . We put  $B' = \varphi_*(R') \in |-5K_W|$ .

Now let  $\hat{q} : \hat{W} \rightarrow W$  be the blowing up at  $x$ , and  $\Delta$ , its exceptional divisor. Then by  $\varphi^*(\Gamma) = 3\tilde{L}_3 + 2\varepsilon$ , we obtain  $\varphi^*(\Gamma_i) = 2\bar{\Gamma}_i + \tilde{L}_3$  for each integer  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , where  $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ 's are three  $(-1)$ -curves appearing by  $p_3$ . This implies the liftability of  $\varphi : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow W$  to a morphism  $\hat{\varphi} : \tilde{S}_3 \rightarrow \hat{W}$ . Moreover, we obtain  $\hat{\varphi}^*(\Delta) = \tilde{L}_3$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is not a component of the branch divisor of  $\hat{\varphi}$ , from which we infer  $\hat{\varphi}_*(R') = \hat{q}_*^{-1}(B')$ . Since we have  $\hat{\varphi}_*(R')\Delta = R'\varepsilon = 5$  by (16), we see from this  $\text{ord}_x B' = 5$ . But the standard double cover argument implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{q}_*^{-1}(\Gamma_i) + \hat{q}_*^{-1}(B')$  has at most negligible singularities. Thus the point  $x$  is an ordinary 5-tuple point of  $B'$ , and all other singularities of  $B'$  are negligible ones. Finally, the equality  $(\sum_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_i \cdot B')_x = 15$  follows from  $\hat{\varphi}^*(\Delta) = \tilde{L}_3$ , since this latter implies the absence of singularities lying on  $\Delta$  of the divisor  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{q}_*^{-1}(\Gamma_i) + \hat{q}_*^{-1}(B')$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.* Conversely, a non-singular cubic surface  $W \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  and a member  $B \in |-6K_W|$  having the same properties as in Proposition 6 yields a minimal algebraic surface  $S$  as in Theorem 1 with  $\deg \Phi_{|K|} = 2$ . Naturally, one easily finds the divisor  $L$ , guided by the proof of the proposition above.

## References

- [1] BAUER, I. Surfaces with  $K^2 = 7$  and  $p_g = 4$ , *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **152** (2001), no. 721.
- [2] BAUER, I., CATANESE, F., PIGNATELLI, R. The moduli space of surfaces with  $K^2 = 6$  and  $p_g = 4$ , *Math. Ann.*, **336** (2006), no. 2, 421–438.
- [3] BAUER, I., PIGNATELLI, R. Surfaces with  $K^2 = 8$ ,  $p_g = 4$  and canonical involution, *Osaka J. Math.*, **46** (2009), no. 3, 799–820.
- [4] BOMBIERI, E. Canonical models of surfaces of general type, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **42** (1973), 171–219.

- [5] CATANESE, F. The moduli and the global period mapping of surfaces with  $K^2 = p_g = 1$ : A counter example to the global Torelli problem, *Compositio Math.*, **41** (1980), no.3, 401–414.
- [6] CATANESE, F. Babbage’s conjecture, contact of surfaces, symmetric determinantal varieties and applications, *Invent. Math.*, **63** (1981), no. 3, 433–465.
- [7] CATANESE, F., LIU, W., PIGNATELLI, R. The moduli space of even surfaces of general type with  $K^2 = 8$ ,  $p_g = 4$  and  $q = 0$ , *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **101** (2014), 925–948.
- [8] CATANESE, F., PIGNATELLI, R. Fibrations of low genus, I, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, **39** (2006), 1011–1049.
- [9] CILIBERTO, C. Canonical surfaces with  $p_g = p_a = 4$  and  $K^2 = 5, \dots, 10$ , *Duke Math. J.*, **48** (1981), no. 1, 121–157.
- [10] CILIBERTO, C., FRANCA, P., MENDES LOPES, M. Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, *Math. Z.*, **224** (1997), 137–166.
- [11] HORIKAWA, E. On deformations of quintic surfaces, *Invent. Math.*, **31** (1975), 43–85.
- [12] HORIKAWA, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small  $c_1^2$ . I, *Ann. of Math.*, **104** (1976), no. 2, 358–387.
- [13] HORIKAWA, E. Algebraic surfaces of general type with small  $c_1^2$ . III, *Invent. Math.*, **47** (1978), no. 3, 209–248.
- [14] KODAIRA, K. On characteristic systems of families of surfaces with ordinary singularities in a projective space, *Amer. J. Math.*, **87** (1965), 227–256.
- [15] KONNO, K. Normal canonical surfaces in projective 3-space, *Internat. J. Math.*, **28** (2017), 1750076, 17 pp..
- [16] MURAKAMI, M. Remarks on surfaces with  $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 1$  having non-trivial 2-torsion, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **65** (2013), 51–95.
- [17] OLIVERIO, P. On even surfaces of general type with  $K^2 = 8$ ,  $p_g = 4$ ,  $q = 0$ , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **113** (2005), 1–14.

Masaaki Murakami  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Kagoshima University  
Korimoto 1-21-35 , Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan  
`murakami@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp`