

# POISSON STRUCTURE ON CHARACTER VARIETIES

INDRANIL BISWAS AND LISA C. JEFFREY

ABSTRACT. We show that the character variety for a  $n$ -punctured oriented surface has a natural Poisson structure.

*Résumé.* Nous démontrons que la variété des caractères d'une surface compacte orientée percée en  $n$  points est dotée d'une structure de Poisson naturelle.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact connected oriented surface. Given any real or complex reductive Lie group  $G$ , the character variety  $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$  parametrizes the equivalence classes of completely reducible  $G$ -homomorphisms of the fundamental group of  $X$ . Alternatively,  $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$  parametrizes the isomorphism classes of completely reducible flat  $G$ -connections on  $X$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$  has a natural symplectic structure; this symplectic structure was constructed by Atiyah–Bott and Goldman in [3], [8] respectively.

Fix finitely many points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  of  $X$ , and fix a conjugacy class  $C_i$  in  $G$  for each  $x_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$  be the character variety for  $X_0 = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C \subset \mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$  be the locus of all flat  $G$ -connections on  $X_0$  for which the local monodromy around each  $x_i$  lies in the conjugacy class  $C_i$ . It is known that this subset is equipped with a natural symplectic structure [5], [6]. When  $G$  is a compact group, and  $X$  is equipped with a complex structure, then  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$  is the moduli space of semistable parabolic  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundles [14], where  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexification of  $G$ .

We prove that  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$  has a natural Poisson structure (see Section 3.2). The above submanifolds  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$  of it equipped with symplectic structure are the symplectic leaves for this Poisson structure.

This result has been known for many years – for example, see the proof given in M. Audin's article ([4], Theorem 2.2.1). However Audin's proof proceeds by using loop groups and central extensions of the Lie algebra of a loop group. Our proof is much simpler; one of the reasons for it is that we are able to use the known result that  $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$  are symplectic manifolds.

## 2. TANGENT AND COTANGENT BUNDLES OF CHARACTER VARIETIES

Let  $X$  be a compact connected oriented  $C^\infty$  surface. Fix a nonempty finite subset

$$D := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D17, 14H60, 14D21.

*Key words and phrases.* Surface group, character variety, symplectic form, Poisson structure.

Let  $X_0 := X \setminus D$  be the complement. Fix a base point  $x_0 \in X_0$ . For notational convenience, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X_0, x_0)$  will be denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic Lie group, which is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . This implies that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$  admits a  $G$ -invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form. Fix a  $G$ -invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$B \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*). \quad (2.1)$$

Consider the character variety

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(X_0, G) := \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^0/G, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^0 \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  is the locus of homomorphisms with completely reducible image. We note that the points of  $\mathcal{R}$  correspond to the equivalence classes of homomorphisms  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  such that the Zariski closure of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is a reductive subgroup of  $G$ .

Take any homomorphism  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ . Let  $E_G^\rho \rightarrow X_0$  be the corresponding principal  $G$ -bundle on  $X_0$  equipped with a flat connection. We briefly recall the construction of the flat bundle  $E_G^\rho$ . Let  $q_0 : (\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X_0, x_0)$  be the universal cover of  $X_0$  for the base point  $x_0$ . The total space of  $E_G^\rho$  is the quotient of  $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$ , where two points  $(x_1, g_1)$  and  $(x_2, g_2)$  of  $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$  are identified if there is an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $x_2 = x_1\gamma$  and  $g_2 = \rho(\gamma)^{-1}g_1$  (the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\tilde{X}_0$  as deck transformations). The projection of  $E_G^\rho$  to  $X_0$  is given by the map  $(x, g) \mapsto q_0(x)$ . The action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$  given by the right-translation action of  $G$  on itself produces an action of  $G$  on the quotient space  $E_G^\rho$ , making  $E_G^\rho$  a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $X_0$ . The trivial connection on the trivial principal  $G$ -bundle  $\tilde{X}_0 \times G \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$  descends to a flat connection on the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G^\rho$ . This flat connection on  $E_G^\rho$  will be denoted by  $\widehat{\nabla}^\rho$ .

The flat connection  $\widehat{\nabla}^\rho$  induces a flat connection on every fiber bundle associated to the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_G^\rho$ . In particular, it induces a flat connection on the adjoint vector bundle  $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$  associated to  $E_G^\rho$  for the adjoint action of  $G$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This induced flat connection on  $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$  will be denoted by  $\nabla^\rho$ .

Let

$$\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho) \rightarrow X_0 \quad (2.3)$$

be the locally constant sheaf on  $X_0$  given by the sheaf of covariant constant sections of the vector bundle  $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$  for the flat connection  $\nabla^\rho$ . It is known that the tangent spaces of  $\mathcal{R}$  defined in (2.2) have the following description: For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$T_\rho \mathcal{R} = H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)$  is constructed in (2.3) [8], [3]. Since  $X_0$  is oriented, this gives the following description of the cotangent space:

$$T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} = H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))^* = H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $H_c^i$  is the compactly supported cohomology [6], [8], [5], and  $\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*$  is the dual local system. The pairing between  $H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))$  and  $H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*)$  is constructed in the following way:

$$H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*) \otimes H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) \rightarrow H_c^2(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^* \otimes \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))$$

$$\longrightarrow H_c^2(X_0, k) = H_c^2(X, k) = k, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $k$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  depending on whether the Lie group  $G$  is real or complex.

The bilinear form  $B$  in (2.1), being  $G$ -invariant, produces a fiberwise symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in C^\infty(X_0, \text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*))$ . This section  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is clearly covariant constant with respect to the flat connection on  $\text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*)$  induced by the above flat connection  $\nabla^\rho$  on  $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$  associated to  $\rho$ . In other words, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in H^0(X_0, \text{Sym}^2(\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*));$$

note that  $\text{Sym}^2(\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*)$  coincides with the local system on  $X_0$  defined by the sheaf of covariant constant sections of  $\text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*)$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  produces an isomorphism of local systems

$$\mathcal{B} : \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} = H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)). \quad (2.8)$$

For any sheaf  $F$  on  $X_0$ , there is a natural homomorphism  $H_c^1(X_0, F) \longrightarrow H^1(X_0, F)$  given by the inclusion homomorphism of the corresponding sheaves. In particular, we have a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\rho : H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)), \quad (2.9)$$

which, using (2.4) and (2.8), gives a homomorphism  $T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_\rho \mathcal{R}$ . This homomorphism  $T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_\rho \mathcal{R}$  defines an element  $\Phi_\rho \in T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The above element  $\Phi_\rho$  lies in the subspace  $\wedge^2 T_\rho \mathcal{R} \subset T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\alpha, \beta \in H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) = T_\rho^* \mathcal{R}$ . For the pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle$  in (2.6), we have

$$\langle \alpha, \tilde{\Phi}_\rho(\beta) \rangle = -\langle \beta, \tilde{\Phi}_\rho(\alpha) \rangle, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}_\rho$  is the homomorphism in (2.9); the isomorphism in (2.7) has been used in (2.10). The lemma follows from (2.10).  $\square$

The above pointwise construction of  $\Phi_\rho$ , being canonical, produces a section

$$\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R}, \wedge^2 T\mathcal{R}). \quad (2.11)$$

We will show, in the next section, that this  $\Phi$  defines a Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

### 3. POISSON STRUCTURE ON $\mathcal{R}$

**3.1. A criterion for Poisson structure.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let

$$\Theta \in C^\infty(M, \wedge^2 TM)$$

be a smooth section. For any point  $x \in M$ , let

$$\Theta_x : T_x^* M \longrightarrow T_x M \quad (3.1)$$

be the homomorphism defined by the equation  $w(\Theta_x(v)) = \Theta(x)(v \wedge w)$  for all  $v, w \in T_x^* M$ . The image

$$V_x := \Theta_x(T_x^* M) \subset T_x M$$

is equipped with a symplectic form. To prove this, let

$$\varphi : T_x^*M \longrightarrow V_x^*$$

be the dual of the inclusion map  $V_x \hookrightarrow T_xM$ , so  $\varphi$  is surjective. We will prove that  $\varphi$  vanishes on the subspace  $\text{kernel}(\Theta_x) \subset T_x^*M$ . For any  $v, w \in T_x^*M$ , we have

$$w(\Theta_x(v)) + v(\Theta_x(w)) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

because  $\Theta$  is skew-symmetric. So if  $v \in \text{kernel}(\Theta_x)$ , then  $v(\Theta_x(w)) = 0$  for all  $w$ , implying that  $v(V_x) = 0$ . So  $\varphi$  vanishes on  $\text{kernel}(\Theta_x)$ , and hence it descends to a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}_x : \frac{T_x^*M}{\text{kernel}(\Theta_x)} = \text{image}(\Theta_x) = V_x \longrightarrow V_x^*.$$

From (3.2) it follows that  $\widehat{\varphi}'_x \in \wedge^2 V_x^*$ , where  $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$  is the bilinear form on  $V_x$  defined by  $\widehat{\varphi}_x$ . Since  $\varphi$  is surjective, it follows that this  $\widehat{\varphi}_x$  is also surjective. This implies that the bilinear form  $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$  is nondegenerate. So,  $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$  is a symplectic form on  $V_x$ .

The section  $\Theta$  is called a Poisson structure if the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket  $[\Theta, \Theta]$  vanishes identically [1]. An equivalent formulation of this definition is the following: Given a pair of  $C^\infty$  functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  on  $M$ , define the function

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = \Theta((df_1) \wedge (df_2)).$$

Then  $\Theta$  is Poisson if and only if

$$\{f_1, \{f_2, f_3\}\} + \{f_2, \{f_3, f_1\}\} + \{f_3, \{f_1, f_2\}\} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $C^\infty$  functions  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ .

Let  $\widehat{\Theta} := T^*M \longrightarrow TM$  be the homomorphism given by  $\Theta$ , so  $\widehat{\Theta}(x) = \Theta_x$  for every  $x \in X$ .

The following proposition is in the literature already. For example, see Proposition 1.8 and Remark 1.10 of the notes [15] from E. Meinrenken's 2017 graduate course on Poisson geometry. However we have included a proof for completeness.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The section  $\Theta$  is Poisson if and only if the following two hold:*

- (1) *The subsheaf  $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M) \subset TM$  is closed under the Lie bracket operation.*
- (2) *For any leaf  $\mathbb{L}$  of the nonsingular locus of the integrable distribution  $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M)$ , let  $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$  be the two-form on  $\mathbb{L}$  defined by the equation*

$$\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}(x)(v_1, v_2) = \widehat{\varphi}'_x(v_1, v_2)$$

*for all  $x \in \mathbb{L}$ , and  $v_1, v_2 \in T_x\mathbb{L}$ , where  $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$  is constructed above. Then  $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$  is a symplectic form on  $\mathbb{L}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Theta$  is Poisson, it is standard that the above two conditions hold. We shall prove the converse.

Take any point  $x \in M$  where the distribution  $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M)$  is nonsingular, meaning the dimension of the subspace  $\widehat{\Theta}(y)(T_y^*M) \subset T_yM$  is unchanged for all points  $y$  in an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Let  $\mathbb{L}$  denote the leaf, passing through  $x$ , of the foliation restricted to

a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $M$ . For any two smooth functions  $f, g$  defined on  $U$ , consider the function

$$\{f, g\} : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad y \longmapsto \Theta(y)(df(y), dg(y)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $h$  be a smooth function defined on  $U$  such that  $h|_{\mathbb{L}} = f|_{\mathbb{L}}$ . We will prove that

$$\{f, g\} = \{h, g\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that  $f - h$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{L}$ . For notational convenience, denote the function  $f - h$  by  $\delta$ . To prove (3.4), consider the function  $\{f - h, g\}$ . For any  $y \in \mathbb{L}$ , we have

$$\{\delta, g\}(y) = dg(y)(\Theta_y(d\delta(y))) = -d\delta(y)(\Theta_y(dg(y))), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\Theta_y$  is constructed as in (3.1). The pullback of the 1-form  $d\delta$  to the submanifold  $\mathbb{L} \subset U$  vanishes identically because the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $\mathbb{L}$  is identically zero. On the other hand, the tangent vector  $\Theta_y(dg(y)) \in T_yU$  lies in the subspace  $\mathbb{L}_y \subset T_yU$  (recall that  $\mathbb{L}_y = \Theta_y(T_y^*M)$ ). Therefore, we have

$$d\delta(y)(\Theta_y(dg(y))) = 0.$$

Hence  $\{\delta, g\}(y) = 0$  by (3.5). This proves (3.4).

In view of (3.4) to prove that the Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$  satisfies the Jacobi identity in (3.3), it suffices to show that the Jacobi identity is satisfied by the Poisson bracket operation on functions on a leaf  $\mathbb{L}$ , where the Poisson bracket is defined using the nondegenerate two-form on the leaf given by  $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$ . But condition (2) in the proposition says that  $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$  is symplectic on a leaf, and hence the Poisson bracket on a leaf satisfies the Jacobi identity. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**3.2. Application of the criterion.** Using Proposition 3.1, it will be shown that  $\Phi$  constructed in (2.11) is a Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Consider the homomorphism  $\Phi_1 : T^*\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T\mathcal{R}$  constructed from  $\Phi$  in (2.11) as follows:

$$v(\Phi_1(\rho)(w)) = \Phi(\rho)(w \wedge v)$$

for all  $v, w \in T_{\rho}^*\mathcal{R}$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ . So,  $\Phi_1(\rho) : T_{\rho}^*\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_{\rho}\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ , coincides with the homomorphism  $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\rho}$  in (2.9). Therefore, the image of  $\Phi_1$  corresponds to the foliation on  $\mathcal{R}$  given by loci with fixed conjugacy classes for the punctures  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . In particular, the distribution  $\Phi_1(T^*\mathcal{R})$  is integrable; so the first condition in Proposition 3.1 is satisfied. On each leaf the two-form is symplectic [6], [5], [8]; so the second condition in Proposition 3.1 is also satisfied.

#### 4. EXTENDED MODULI SPACE

It is shown in [7] (Theorem 4.3) that the quotient of a symplectic manifold by a group action preserving the symplectic structure is a Poisson manifold. We may apply this to the symplectic manifold given in Section 2.3 of [13] (the extended moduli space, which is a symplectic quotient of the space of all connections on a vector bundle over an oriented 2-manifold by the based gauge group). The symplectic structure on the extended moduli space is given in Section 3.1 of [13].

In this section only, let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group. The extended moduli space  $M_{ext}$  may be written as the push-out of the Lie algebra of  $G$  and the space of representations  $M = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  of the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  of a surface with one boundary component, where the map from the Lie algebra to  $G$  is the exponential map, and the map from the space of flat connections to  $G$  is the holonomy around the boundary component. In the case of one boundary component, this is summarized by the following commutative diagram. Let  $M$  be the space  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . The symplectic structure is defined in [13] in terms of gauge equivalence classes of flat connections. The map  $\text{Hol}$  denotes the holonomy of the connection around the boundary component. The symplectic structure on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$  is described from the point of view of representations of the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  in the work of Goldman [8], [9].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{ext} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow & & \exp \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{Hol}} & G \end{array}$$

At a regular point, the extended moduli space is a cover of the representation space  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  with fiber the integer lattice of  $G$  (the kernel of the exponential map).

For the case of multiple boundary components, we refer to Hurtubise-Jeffrey [11], Hurtubise-Jeffrey-Sjamaar [12] and Huebschmann [10].

The description in Section 3.1 of [13] establishes that  $M_{ext}$  is symplectic (where it is smooth). At points in  $\mathfrak{g}$  where the exponential map is a diffeomorphism, there is a local diffeomorphism between an open neighbourhood in  $M_{ext}$  and an open neighbourhood of  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . Taking the quotient of  $M_{ext}$  by  $G$  we thus conclude that  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$  is a Poisson manifold.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*. Translated from the Russian by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 60. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* **308** (1983), 523–615.
- [4] M. Audin, Lectures on gauge theory and integrable systems. In *Gauge Theory and Symplectic Geometry* (J. Hurtubise, F. Lalonde, ed., Kluwer, 1997), 1–48.
- [5] I. Biswas and K. Guruprasad, Principal bundles on open surfaces and invariant functions on Lie groups, *Internat. Jour. Math.* **4** (1993), 535–544.
- [6] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey and A. Weinstein, Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *Duke Math. Jour.* **89** (1997), 377–412.
- [7] R. L. Fernandes and I. Marcut, *Lectures on Poisson geometry*, Springer, 2015.
- [8] W. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces, *Adv. Math.* **54** (1984), 200–225.
- [9] W. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986), 263–302.
- [10] J. Huebschmann, On the variation of the Poisson structures of certain moduli spaces, *Math. Ann.* **319** (2001), 267–310.
- [11] J. Hurtubise and L. Jeffrey, Representations with weighted frames and framed parabolic bundles, *Canadian Jour. Math.* **52** (2000), 1235–1268.

- [12] J. Hurtubise, L. Jeffrey and R. Sjamaar, Moduli of Framed Parabolic Sheaves, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **28** (2005), 351–370.
- [13] L. Jeffrey, Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Annalen* **298** (1) (1994), 667–692.
- [14] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structure, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [15] E. Meinrenken, *Introduction to Poisson Geometry*,  
[http://www.math.toronto.edu/mein/teaching/MAT1341\\_PoissonGeometry/Poisson8.pdf](http://www.math.toronto.edu/mein/teaching/MAT1341_PoissonGeometry/Poisson8.pdf)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD,  
MUMBAI 400005

*E-mail address:* `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `jeffrey@math.toronto.edu`