

POISSON STRUCTURE ON CHARACTER VARIETIES

INDRANIL BISWAS AND LISA C. JEFFREY

ABSTRACT. We show that the character variety for a n -punctured oriented surface has a natural Poisson structure.

Résumé. Nous démontrons que la variété des caractères d'une surface compacte orientée percée en n points est dotée d'une structure de Poisson naturelle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected oriented surface. Given any real or complex reductive Lie group G , the character variety $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$ parametrizes the equivalence classes of completely reducible G -homomorphisms of the fundamental group of X . Alternatively, $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$ parametrizes the isomorphism classes of completely reducible flat G -connections on X . It is known that $\mathcal{R}(X, G)$ has a natural symplectic structure; this symplectic structure was constructed by Atiyah–Bott and Goldman in [3], [8] respectively.

Fix finitely many points $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of X , and fix a conjugacy class C_i in G for each x_i . Let $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$ be the character variety for $X_0 = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C \subset \mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$ be the locus of all flat G -connections on X_0 for which the local monodromy around each x_i lies in the conjugacy class C_i . It is known that this subset is equipped with a natural symplectic structure [5], [6]. When G is a compact group, and X is equipped with a complex structure, then $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$ is the moduli space of semistable parabolic $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundles [14], where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of G .

We prove that $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)$ has a natural Poisson structure (see Section 3.2). The above submanifolds $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$ of it equipped with symplectic structure are the symplectic leaves for this Poisson structure.

This result has been known for many years – for example, see the proof given in M. Audin's article ([4], Theorem 2.2.1). However Audin's proof proceeds by using loop groups and central extensions of the Lie algebra of a loop group. Our proof is much simpler; one of the reasons for it is that we are able to use the known result that $\mathcal{R}(X_0, G)_C$ are symplectic manifolds.

2. TANGENT AND COTANGENT BUNDLES OF CHARACTER VARIETIES

Let X be a compact connected oriented C^∞ surface. Fix a nonempty finite subset

$$D := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D17, 14H60, 14D21.

Key words and phrases. Surface group, character variety, symplectic form, Poisson structure.

Let $X_0 := X \setminus D$ be the complement. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X_0$. For notational convenience, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_0, x_0)$ will be denoted by Γ .

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic Lie group, which is defined over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . This implies that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ admits a G -invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form. Fix a G -invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$B \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*). \quad (2.1)$$

Consider the character variety

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(X_0, G) := \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^0 / G, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^0 \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ is the locus of homomorphisms with completely reducible image. We note that the points of \mathcal{R} correspond to the equivalence classes of homomorphisms $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ such that the Zariski closure of $\rho(\Gamma)$ is a reductive subgroup of G .

Take any homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$. Let $E_G^\rho \rightarrow X_0$ be the corresponding principal G -bundle on X_0 equipped with a flat connection. We briefly recall the construction of the flat bundle E_G^ρ . Let $q_0 : (\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X_0, x_0)$ be the universal cover of X_0 for the base point x_0 . The total space of E_G^ρ is the quotient of $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$, where two points (x_1, g_1) and (x_2, g_2) of $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$ are identified if there is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x_2 = x_1\gamma$ and $g_2 = \rho(\gamma)^{-1}g_1$ (the fundamental group Γ acts on \tilde{X}_0 as deck transformations). The projection of E_G^ρ to X_0 is given by the map $(x, g) \mapsto q_0(x)$. The action of G on $\tilde{X}_0 \times G$ given by the right-translation action of G on itself produces an action of G on the quotient space E_G^ρ , making E_G^ρ a principal G -bundle over X_0 . The trivial connection on the trivial principal G -bundle $\tilde{X}_0 \times G \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0$ descends to a flat connection on the principal G -bundle E_G^ρ . This flat connection on E_G^ρ will be denoted by $\hat{\nabla}^\rho$.

The flat connection $\hat{\nabla}^\rho$ induces a flat connection on every fiber bundle associated to the principal G -bundle E_G^ρ . In particular, it induces a flat connection on the adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$ associated to E_G^ρ for the adjoint action of G on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . This induced flat connection on $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$ will be denoted by ∇^ρ .

Let

$$\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho) \rightarrow X_0 \quad (2.3)$$

be the locally constant sheaf on X_0 given by the sheaf of covariant constant sections of the vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$ for the flat connection ∇^ρ . It is known that the tangent spaces of \mathcal{R} defined in (2.2) have the following description: For any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$T_\rho \mathcal{R} = H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)$ is constructed in (2.3) [8], [3]. Since X_0 is oriented, this gives the following description of the cotangent space:

$$T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} = H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))^* = H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*), \quad (2.5)$$

where H_c^i is the compactly supported cohomology [6], [8], [5], and $\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*$ is the dual local system. The pairing between $H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))$ and $H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*)$ is constructed in the following way:

$$H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*) \otimes H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) \rightarrow H_c^2(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^* \otimes \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho))$$

$$\longrightarrow H_c^2(X_0, k) = H_c^2(X, k) = k, \quad (2.6)$$

where k is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} depending on whether the Lie group G is real or complex.

The bilinear form B in (2.1), being G -invariant, produces a fiberwise symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in C^\infty(X_0, \text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*))$. This section $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is clearly covariant constant with respect to the flat connection on $\text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*)$ induced by the above flat connection ∇^ρ on $\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)$ associated to ρ . In other words, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in H^0(X_0, \text{Sym}^2(\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*));$$

note that $\text{Sym}^2(\underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*)$ coincides with the local system on X_0 defined by the sheaf of covariant constant sections of $\text{Sym}^2(\text{ad}(E_G^\rho)^*)$. Consequently, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ produces an isomorphism of local systems

$$\mathcal{B} : \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)^*. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} = H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)). \quad (2.8)$$

For any sheaf F on X_0 , there is a natural homomorphism $H_c^1(X_0, F) \longrightarrow H^1(X_0, F)$ given by the inclusion homomorphism of the corresponding sheaves. In particular, we have a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\rho : H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) \longrightarrow H^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)), \quad (2.9)$$

which, using (2.4) and (2.8), gives a homomorphism $T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_\rho \mathcal{R}$. This homomorphism $T_\rho^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_\rho \mathcal{R}$ defines an element $\Phi_\rho \in T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The above element Φ_ρ lies in the subspace $\wedge^2 T_\rho \mathcal{R} \subset T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Take $\alpha, \beta \in H_c^1(X_0, \underline{\text{ad}}(E_G^\rho)) = T_\rho^* \mathcal{R}$. For the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ in (2.6), we have

$$\langle \alpha, \tilde{\Phi}_\rho(\beta) \rangle = -\langle \beta, \tilde{\Phi}_\rho(\alpha) \rangle, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_\rho$ is the homomorphism in (2.9); the isomorphism in (2.7) has been used in (2.10). The lemma follows from (2.10). \square

The above pointwise construction of Φ_ρ , being canonical, produces a section

$$\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R}, \wedge^2 T\mathcal{R}). \quad (2.11)$$

We will show, in the next section, that this Φ defines a Poisson structure on \mathcal{R} .

3. POISSON STRUCTURE ON \mathcal{R}

3.1. A criterion for Poisson structure. Let M be a smooth manifold. Let

$$\Theta \in C^\infty(M, \wedge^2 TM)$$

be a smooth section. For any point $x \in M$, let

$$\Theta_x : T_x^* M \longrightarrow T_x M \quad (3.1)$$

be the homomorphism defined by the equation $w(\Theta_x(v)) = \Theta(x)(v \wedge w)$ for all $v, w \in T_x^* M$. The image

$$V_x := \Theta_x(T_x^* M) \subset T_x M$$

is equipped with a symplectic form. To prove this, let

$$\varphi : T_x^*M \longrightarrow V_x^*$$

be the dual of the inclusion map $V_x \hookrightarrow T_xM$, so φ is surjective. We will prove that φ vanishes on the subspace $\ker(\Theta_x) \subset T_x^*M$. For any $v, w \in T_x^*M$, we have

$$w(\Theta_x(v)) + v(\Theta_x(w)) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

because Θ is skew-symmetric. So if $v \in \ker(\Theta_x)$, then $v(\Theta_x(w)) = 0$ for all w , implying that $v(V_x) = 0$. So φ vanishes on $\ker(\Theta_x)$, and hence it descends to a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}_x : \frac{T_x^*M}{\ker(\Theta_x)} = \text{image}(\Theta_x) = V_x \longrightarrow V_x^*.$$

From (3.2) it follows that $\widehat{\varphi}'_x \in \wedge^2 V_x^*$, where $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$ is the bilinear form on V_x defined by $\widehat{\varphi}_x$. Since φ is surjective, it follows that this $\widehat{\varphi}_x$ is also surjective. This implies that the bilinear form $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$ is nondegenerate. So, $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$ is a symplectic form on V_x .

The section Θ is called a Poisson structure if the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket $[\Theta, \Theta]$ vanishes identically [1]. An equivalent formulation of this definition is the following: Given a pair of C^∞ functions f_1 and f_2 on M , define the function

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = \Theta((df_1) \wedge (df_2)).$$

Then Θ is Poisson if and only if

$$\{f_1, \{f_2, f_3\}\} + \{f_2, \{f_3, f_1\}\} + \{f_3, \{f_1, f_2\}\} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for all C^∞ functions f_1, f_2, f_3 .

Let $\widehat{\Theta} := T^*M \longrightarrow TM$ be the homomorphism given by Θ , so $\widehat{\Theta}(x) = \Theta_x$ for every $x \in X$.

The following proposition is in the literature already. For example, see Proposition 1.8 and Remark 1.10 of the notes [15] from E. Meinrenken's 2017 graduate course on Poisson geometry. However we have included a proof for completeness.

Proposition 3.1. *The section Θ is Poisson if and only if the following two hold:*

- (1) *The subsheaf $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M) \subset TM$ is closed under the Lie bracket operation.*
- (2) *For any leaf \mathbb{L} of the nonsingular locus of the integrable distribution $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M)$, let $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the two-form on \mathbb{L} defined by the equation*

$$\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}(x)(v_1, v_2) = \widehat{\varphi}'_x(v_1, v_2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{L}$, and $v_1, v_2 \in T_x\mathbb{L}$, where $\widehat{\varphi}'_x$ is constructed above. Then $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a symplectic form on \mathbb{L} .

Proof. If Θ is Poisson, it is standard that the above two conditions hold. We shall prove the converse.

Take any point $x \in M$ where the distribution $\widehat{\Theta}(T^*M)$ is nonsingular, meaning the dimension of the subspace $\widehat{\Theta}(y)(T_y^*M) \subset T_yM$ is unchanged for all points y in an open neighborhood of x . Let \mathbb{L} denote the leaf, passing through x , of the foliation restricted to

a sufficiently small open neighborhood U of x in M . For any two smooth functions f, g defined on U , consider the function

$$\{f, g\} : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad y \longmapsto \Theta(y)(df(y), dg(y)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let h be a smooth function defined on U such that $h|_{\mathbb{L}} = f|_{\mathbb{L}}$. We will prove that

$$\{f, g\} = \{h, g\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $f - h$ vanishes on \mathbb{L} . For notational convenience, denote the function $f - h$ by δ . To prove (3.4), consider the function $\{f - h, g\}$. For any $y \in \mathbb{L}$, we have

$$\{\delta, g\}(y) = dg(y)(\Theta_y(d\delta(y))) = -d\delta(y)(\Theta_y(dg(y))), \quad (3.5)$$

where Θ_y is constructed as in (3.1). The pullback of the 1-form $d\delta$ to the submanifold $\mathbb{L} \subset U$ vanishes identically because the restriction of δ to \mathbb{L} is identically zero. On the other hand, the tangent vector $\Theta_y(dg(y)) \in T_y U$ lies in the subspace $\mathbb{L}_y \subset T_y U$ (recall that $\mathbb{L}_y = \Theta_y(T_y^* M)$). Therefore, we have

$$d\delta(y)(\Theta_y(dg(y))) = 0.$$

Hence $\{\delta, g\}(y) = 0$ by (3.5). This proves (3.4).

In view of (3.4) to prove that the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity in (3.3), it suffices to show that the Jacobi identity is satisfied by the Poisson bracket operation on functions on a leaf \mathbb{L} , where the Poisson bracket is defined using the nondegenerate two-form on the leaf given by $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$. But condition (2) in the proposition says that $\widehat{\varphi}'_{\mathbb{L}}$ is symplectic on a leaf, and hence the Poisson bracket on a leaf satisfies the Jacobi identity. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

3.2. Application of the criterion. Using Proposition 3.1, it will be shown that Φ constructed in (2.11) is a Poisson structure on \mathcal{R} .

Consider the homomorphism $\Phi_1 : T^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T \mathcal{R}$ constructed from Φ in (2.11) as follows:

$$v(\Phi_1(\rho)(w)) = \Phi(\rho)(w \wedge v)$$

for all $v, w \in T_{\tilde{\rho}}^* \mathcal{R}$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$. So, $\Phi_1(\rho) : T_{\rho}^* \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_{\rho} \mathcal{R}$, $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$, coincides with the homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}_{\rho}$ in (2.9). Therefore, the image of Φ_1 corresponds to the foliation on \mathcal{R} given by loci with fixed conjugacy classes for the punctures $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. In particular, the distribution $\Phi_1(T^* \mathcal{R})$ is integrable; so the first condition in Proposition 3.1 is satisfied. On each leaf the two-form is symplectic [6], [5], [8]; so the second condition in Proposition 3.1 is also satisfied.

4. EXTENDED MODULI SPACE

It is shown in [7] (Theorem 4.3) that the quotient of a symplectic manifold by a group action preserving the symplectic structure is a Poisson manifold. We may apply this to the symplectic manifold given in Section 2.3 of [13] (the extended moduli space, which is a symplectic quotient of the space of all connections on a vector bundle over an oriented 2-manifold by the based gauge group). The symplectic structure on the extended moduli space is given in Section 3.1 of [13].

In this section only, let G be a compact connected Lie group. The extended moduli space M_{ext} may be written as the push-out of the Lie algebra of G and the space of representations $M = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ of the fundamental group Γ of a surface with one boundary component, where the map from the Lie algebra to G is the exponential map, and the map from the space of flat connections to G is the holonomy around the boundary component. In the case of one boundary component, this is summarized by the following commutative diagram. Let M be the space $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$. The symplectic structure is defined in [13] in terms of gauge equivalence classes of flat connections. The map Hol denotes the holonomy of the connection around the boundary component. The symplectic structure on $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$ is described from the point of view of representations of the fundamental group Γ in the work of Goldman [8], [9].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{ext} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow & & \exp \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{Hol}} & G \end{array}$$

At a regular point, the extended moduli space is a cover of the representation space $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ with fiber the integer lattice of G (the kernel of the exponential map).

For the case of multiple boundary components, we refer to Hurtubise-Jeffrey [11], Hurtubise-Jeffrey-Sjamaar [12] and Huebschmann [10].

The description in Section 3.1 of [13] establishes that M_{ext} is symplectic (where it is smooth). At points in \mathfrak{g} where the exponential map is a diffeomorphism, there is a local diffeomorphism between an open neighbourhood in M_{ext} and an open neighbourhood of $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$. Taking the quotient of M_{ext} by G we thus conclude that $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$ is a Poisson manifold.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*. Translated from the Russian by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 60. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* **308** (1983), 523–615.
- [4] M. Audin, Lectures on gauge theory and integrable systems. In *Gauge Theory and Symplectic Geometry* (J. Hurtubise, F. Lalonde, ed., Kluwer, 1997), 1–48.
- [5] I. Biswas and K. Guruprasad, Principal bundles on open surfaces and invariant functions on Lie groups, *Internat. Jour. Math.* **4** (1993), 535–544.
- [6] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey and A. Weinstein, Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *Duke Math. Jour.* **89** (1997), 377–412.
- [7] R. L. Fernandes and I. Marcut, *Lectures on Poisson geometry*, Springer, 2015.
- [8] W. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces, *Adv. Math.* **54** (1984), 200–225.
- [9] W. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986), 263–302.
- [10] J. Huebschmann, On the variation of the Poisson structures of certain moduli spaces, *Math. Ann.* **319** (2001), 267–310.
- [11] J. Hurtubise and L. Jeffrey, Representations with weighted frames and framed parabolic bundles, *Canadian Jour. Math.* **52** (2000), 1235–1268.

- [12] J. Hurtubise, L. Jeffrey and R. Sjamaar, Moduli of Framed Parabolic Sheaves, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **28** (2005), 351–370.
- [13] L. Jeffrey, Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Annalen* **298** (1) (1994), 667–692.
- [14] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structure, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [15] E. Meinrenken, *Introduction to Poisson Geometry*,
http://www.math.toronto.edu/mein/teaching/MAT1341_PoissonGeometry/Poisson8.pdf

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD,
MUMBAI 400005

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

E-mail address: `jeffrey@math.toronto.edu`