

A Strong Solution of the Navier-Stokes Equation on $L_p(\Omega)$

Maoting Tong

Department of Mathematical Science, Xi'anJiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, 215123, P.R.China, E-mail address: Maoting.Tong18@student.xjtlu.edu.cn

Daorong Ton*

Hohai University, Nanjing, 210098, P.R.China, E-mail address: 1760724097@qq.com, Current address : 1-3306 Moonlight Square, Nanjing, 210036, P.R.China.

*- The corresponding author

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the Navier-Stokes initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique smooth local strong solution $u(t, x)$ and $p(t, x)$ if $f(t) \in DL_p(\Omega)$ is Hölder continuous about t on $(0, T]$ and the initial value $u_0 \in D((-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap (C^\infty(\Omega))^3$ satisfies $\frac{\partial u_{0i}}{\partial x_j} = 0 (i \neq j)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 35Q30, 76N10; Secondary 47D06.

Keywords. Navier-Stokes equation, Existence and uniqueness, Local solution, Semigroup of operators, Invariance, Fractional powers.

(1) Introduction

The Navier-Stokes initial value problem can be written in the following form as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \nabla p - (u \bullet \nabla)u + f, x \in \Omega, t \in (t_0, \infty) \dots\dots\dots (1.1) \\ \nabla \bullet u \equiv \operatorname{div} u = 0 \dots\dots\dots (1.2) \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, t \in (0, \infty) \dots\dots\dots (1.3) \\ u|_{t=t_0} = u_0, x \in \Omega \dots\dots\dots (1.4) \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^3 with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^3 , $u =$

$u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), u_3(t, x))$ is the velocity field, $u_0 = u_0(x)$ is the initial velocity,

$p = p(t, x)$ is the pressure, $f = f(t, x)$ is the external force. In [17] we proved that the Navier-Stokes initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique smooth local strong solution on $L_2(\Omega)$ if the initial velocity u_0 and the external force f satisfy some conditions. In this paper we will extend this result to $L_p(\Omega)$.

Let $L_p(\Omega)$ ($2 \leq p < \infty$) be the Banach space of real vector functions in $L^p(\Omega)$ with the inner product defined in the usual way. That is

$$L_p(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow R^3, u = (u_1, u_2, u_3), u_i \in L^p(\Omega) (i = 1, 2, 3)\}.$$

For $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L_p(\Omega)$, we define the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = (\sum_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The set of all real vector functions u such that $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is denoted by $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $DL_p(\Omega)$ be the closure of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$ in $L_p(\Omega)$. If $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ then $u \in DL_2(\Omega)$ implies $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. (see p.270 in [4]). We have

$$C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset L_p(\Omega) \text{ and } DL_p(\Omega) = \overline{C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)} \subset L_p(\Omega) \subset L_2(\Omega),$$

$$DL_p(\Omega) \subset L_p(\Omega) = W^{0,p}(\Omega), \quad \|\bullet\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} = \|\bullet\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

and

$$L_p(\Omega) = DL_p(\Omega) \oplus DL_p(\Omega)^\perp. \quad (2)$$

From [4] and [5] we see that $DL_p(\Omega)^\perp = \{\nabla h; h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$. Let P be the orthogonal projection from $L_p(\Omega)$ onto $DL_p(\Omega)$. By applying P to (1.1) and taking account of the other equations, we are let the following abstract initial value problem, Pr. II

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = P\Delta u + Fu + Pf, t \in (t_0, \infty) \dots\dots\dots (3.1) \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, x \in \Omega \dots\dots\dots (3.2) \end{cases}$$

where $Fu = -P(u \bullet \nabla)u$.

We consider equation (3.1) in integral form Pr.III

$$u(t) = e^{tP\Delta}u_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-s)P\Delta} (Fu(s) + Pf)ds. \quad (4)$$

For $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L_p(\Omega)$ we define $\Delta u = (\Delta u_1, \Delta u_2, \Delta u_3)$ and $\nabla u = (\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3})$

where ∇ is considered as an operator operating on vector functions. Since the operator

$-\nabla = -\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ is strongly elliptic of order 2. From Theorem 7.3.6 in [12] Δ is the

infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of contractions on $L^p(\Omega)$ with

$D(\Delta) = W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Hence Δ is also the infinitesimal generator of an analytic

semigroup of contraction on $L_p(\Omega)$ with $D(\Delta) = W_{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_{1,p,0}(\Omega)$, where $W_{2,p}(\Omega)$ and

$W_{1,p,0}(\Omega)$ are the Sobolev spaces of vector value in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ respectively. We

will prove that Δ is also the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of contraction on

$DL_p(\Omega)$. This is a key point for our purpose.

(2)Some lemmas

Lemma 1. If $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ then $u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ implies $\text{div } u = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap DL_p(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence

$\{u^n \in C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n$, that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - u^n\|_{DL_p(R^3)} = 0$ uniformly on

Ω and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i - u_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0$ uniformly on Ω for $i = 1, 2, 3$. And so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_i(x) - u_i^n(x)| = 0$ ^{a.e.}

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(x) = u_i(x)$ ^{a.e.} uniformly on Ω for $i = 1, 2, 3$. (see Theorem 1.39 in [15]) It from the

proof of Theorem 7.11 in [14] follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} u &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n)}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\lim_{x_i \rightarrow x_{i0}} \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(x_i) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^n(x_{i0})}{x_i - x_{i0}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x_i \rightarrow x_{i0}} \frac{u_i^n(x_i) - u_i^n(x_{i0})}{x_i - x_{i0}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u_i^n}{\partial x_i} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\frac{\partial u_i^n}{\partial x_i} \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is to say $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. \square

Lemma 2. For every $u \in L_p(\Omega)$, $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{div} (\lambda I - \Delta)u = 0$ for

$$\lambda \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\lambda : \mathcal{G} - \pi < \arg \lambda < \pi - \mathcal{G}, |\lambda| \geq r\}$$

where $0 < \mathcal{G} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of lemma 1 in [17].

Lemma 3. (1.5.12 in [8]) Let $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on a Banach space X . If

Y is a closed subspace of X such that $T(t)Y \subset Y$ for all $t \geq 0$, i.e., if Y is $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant, then the restrictions

$$T(t)|_Y := T(t)|_Y$$

form a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)|_Y : t \geq 0\}$, called the subspace semigroup on the Banach space Y .

Lemma 4. (Proposition 2.2.3 in [8]) Let $(A, D(A))$ be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on a Banach space X and assume that the restricted semigroup (subspace semigroup) $\{T(t)|_Y : t \geq 0\}$ is a C_0 -semigroup on some $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant Banach space $Y \rightarrow X$. Then the generator of $\{T(t)|_Y : t \geq 0\}$ is the part $(A|_Y, D(A|_Y))$ of A in Y .

Lemma 5. The operator $\Delta|_{DL_p(\Omega)}$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of contractions on $DL_p(\Omega)$.

Proof. We have know that Δ is a infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of contraction on $L_p(\Omega)$. Let $\{T(t)|_Y : t \geq 0\}$ be the restriction of the analytic semigroup generated

by Δ on $L_p(\Omega)$ to the real axis. Then $\{T(t)|t \geq 0\}$ is a C_0 semigroup of contractions . We have already noted that $DL_p(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $L_p(\Omega)$. We want to show that $DL_p(\Omega)$ is $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant.

For every $u \in L_p(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ and $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta) \cap \Sigma_g = \{\lambda : \vartheta - \pi < \arg \lambda < \pi - \vartheta, |\lambda| \geq r\}$ we have $(\lambda I - \Delta)[R(\lambda : \Delta)u] = u$ where Σ_g is the same as in the proof of lemma 2. From Lemma 2 it follows that $\operatorname{div} R(\lambda : \Delta)u = 0$. That is to say that $R(\lambda : \Delta)$ is preserving divergence-free for $\lambda \in \rho(\Delta) \cap \Sigma_g$. From Theorem 2.5.2 (c) in [12] it follows that $\rho(\Delta) \supset R^+$, and so $\rho(\Delta) \cap \Sigma_g \supset \{\lambda : \lambda \geq r\}$. Hence $R(\lambda : \Delta)$ is preserving divergence-free for every $\lambda \geq r$. Let $u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ then there exists a sequence u_n such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ and $\operatorname{div} u_n = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $R(\lambda : \Delta)$ is bounded and so is continuous . Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\lambda : \Delta)u_n = R(\lambda : \Delta)u$ and $\operatorname{div} R(\lambda : \Delta)u_n = 0$ for every $\lambda \geq r$. Therefore $R(\lambda : \Delta)u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ for every $\lambda \geq r$. It follows that $DL_p(\Omega)$ is $R(\lambda : \Delta)$ -invariant for every $\lambda \geq r$. Now Theorem 4.5.1 in [12] implies that $DL_p(\Omega)$ is $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 it follows that $\Delta|_{DL_p(\Omega)}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the C_0 semigroup $\{T(t)|_{DL_p(\Omega)} : t \geq 0\}$ of contractions on $DL_p(\Omega)$.

By the proof of Theorem 2.5.2 in [12] we see that the analytic semigroup generated by infinitesimal generator Δ on $L_p(\Omega)$ has a representation

$$T(z) = T(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^{(n)}(t)}{n!} (z-t)^n$$

for $z = t + is \in \Delta_1 = \{z : |\arg z| < \arctan 1/Ce\}$ where C is a constant with $\|T'(t)\| = \|\Delta T(t)\| \leq C/t$ by Theorem 2.5.2(d) in [12]. For every $u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T(z)u \\
&= T(t)u + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^{(n)}(t)}{n!} (z-t)^n u \\
&= T(t)u + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\Delta_{|DL_2(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^n}{n!} (is)^n u \\
&= T(t)u + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\left[\Delta_{|DL_2(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^n}{n!} (is)^n u \\
&= T(t)u - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k s^{2n} \frac{\left[\Delta_{|DL_2(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^{2n}}{(2n)!} u.
\end{aligned}$$

We used the lemma 2.4.5 in [12] in the second step, and the fact that subsequence converges to the same limit in the fourth step. Since $DL_p(\Omega)$ is $\Delta_{|DL_p(\Omega)}$ -invariant and $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant, hence

$$\left[\Delta_{|DL_p(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^{2n} u \in DL_p(\Omega)$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=1}^k s^{2n} \frac{\left[\Delta_{|DL_p(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^{2n}}{(2n)!} u \in DL_p(\Omega).$$

Finally we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k s^{2n} \frac{\left[\Delta_{|DL_p(\Omega)} T(\frac{1}{n}) \right]^{2n}}{(2n)!} u \in DL_p(\Omega)$$

because $DL_p(\Omega)$ is closed. Therefore $T(z)u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ for $z \in \Delta_1$. This is to say that

$DL_p(\Omega)$ is $T(z)_{z \in \Delta_1}$ -invariant and $\Delta_{|DL_p(\Omega)}$ is a infinitesimal generator of the analytic semigroup $\{T(z) : z \in \Delta_1\}$ on $DL_p(\Omega)$. \square

Suppose that $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)$ on a Banach space X . From the results of section 2.6 in [12] we can define the fraction powers A^α for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and A^α is a closed linear invertible operator with domain $D(A^\alpha)$ dense in X and $A^{-\alpha}$ is bounded. $D(A^\alpha)$ equipped with the norm $\|x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha x\|$ is a Banach space denoted by X_α . It is clear that $0 < \alpha < \beta$ implies $X_\alpha \supset X_\beta$ and that the embedding of X_β

into X_α is continuous. If $-A = \Delta$ and $\gamma > 3/4 > 1/2$ then $X_\gamma \subset X_{1/2}$ and $D((-\Delta)^\gamma) \subset D((-\Delta)^{1/2})$, so the norms $\|\bullet\|_{DL_p(R^3)_\gamma}$ and $\|\bullet\|_{DL_p(R^3)_{1/2}}$ are equivalent (see p291 in [9]), i.e.

there exists $L_0 > 0$ such that for any $u \in D((-\Delta)^\gamma)$

$$\|(-\Delta)^\gamma u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_\gamma} \leq L_0 \|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}}. \quad (5)$$

For $u \in D(\Delta)$ we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} = \|-\nabla u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} = \|(-\Delta)^{1/2} u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}}. \quad (6)$$

In [5] Giga proved the following result:

Lemma 6. (Lemma 2.2 in [5]) Let $0 \leq \delta < 1/2 \leq n(1-r^{-1})/2$. Then

$$\|A^{-\delta} P(u, \nabla) v\|_{0,r} \leq M \|A^g u\|_{0,r} \|A^\omega v\|_{0,r}$$

with some constant $M = M(\delta, g, \omega, r)$, provided $\delta + g + \omega \geq n/2r + 1/2$, $g > 0, \omega > 0, \omega + \delta > 1/2$.

From the Lemma 6 and the formula (5) we see that if take $n=3, r=2$

$\delta=0, g=3/4$ and $\omega=3/4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u \bullet \nabla) v\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} &= \|(u \bullet \nabla) v\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \|(u \bullet \nabla) v\|_{W^{0,p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq M \|(-\Delta)^g u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \|(-\Delta)^\omega v\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq ML_0^2 \|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}} \|v\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

with some constant M for any $u, v \in DL_p(\Omega)$. Hence we have

Lemma 7. Suppose that $u, v \in DL_p(\Omega)$ are velocity fields and $(u \bullet \nabla) v \in DL_p(\Omega)$, then

$$\|(u \bullet \nabla) v\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \leq ML_0^2 \|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}} \|v\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{1/2}}.$$

Now we introduce the main lemmas of this paper.

Assumption (F). Let $X = DL_p(\Omega)$ and U be an open subset in $R^+ \times X_\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$)

The function $f: U \rightarrow X$ satisfies the assumption (F) if for every $(t, u) \in U$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ and constants $L \geq 0$, $0 < \theta \leq 1$ such that for all $(t_i, u_i) \in V$ ($i = 1, 2$)

$$\|f(t_1, u_1) - f(t_2, u_2)\|_X \leq L(|t_1 - t_2|^\theta + \|u_1 - u_2\|_\alpha). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 8.(Theorem 6.3.1 in [12]) Let $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)$ on the Banach space $X = DL_p(\Omega)$ satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq 1$ and assume further that $0 \in \rho(-A)$. If, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and f satisfies the assumption (F), then for every initial data $(t_0, u_0) \in U$ the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(t, u(t)), t \in (t_0, \infty) \dots\dots\dots (8.1) \\ u(t_0) = u_0 \dots\dots\dots (8.2) \end{cases}$$

has a unique local solution

$$u \in C([t_0, T]: DL_p(\Omega)) \cap C^1((t_0, T): DL_p(\Omega))$$

where $T = T(u_0)$.

In what follows we will need Banach lattice (see [3]). A real vector space G which is ordered by some order relation \leq is called a vector lattice (or Riesz space) if any two elements $f, g \in G$ have a least upper bound, denoted by $f \vee g$, and a greatest lower bound, denoted by $f \wedge g$, and the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) If $f \leq g$, then $f + h \leq g + h$ for all $f, g, h \in G$,
- (ii) If $0 \leq f$, then $0 \leq tf$ for all $f \in G$ and $0 \leq t \in R$.

A Banach lattice is a real Banach space G endowed with an ordering \leq such that (G, \leq) is a vector lattice and the norm is a lattice norm, that is $|f| \leq |g|$ implies $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ for $f, g \in G$,

where $|f| = f \vee (-f)$ is the absolute value of f and $\|\bullet\|$ is the norm in G . In a Banach lattice G we define for $f \in G$

$$f^+ := f \vee 0, \quad f^- := (-f) \vee 0.$$

The absolute value of f is $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ and $f = f^+ - f^-$.

$$0 \leq f \leq g \Rightarrow |f| \leq |g| \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \|g\|.$$

In what follows one will need the above formula .

In $L^p(\Omega)$ we define the order for $f, g \in L^p(\Omega)$

$$f \leq g \Leftrightarrow f(x) \leq g(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

and $(f \vee g)(x) := \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$, $(f \wedge g)(x) := \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $L^p(\Omega)$, $L_p(\Omega)$ and $DL_p(\Omega)$ are all Banach lattices.

(see [1] p.148)

Lemma9. Suppose that $u, v \in DL_p(\Omega)$ are divergence free satisfying $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = 0, \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} = 0$

($i \neq j$). Then $(u \bullet \nabla)v, (v \bullet \nabla)u \in DL_p(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $u, v \in DL_p(\Omega)$ are divergence free satisfying $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = 0, \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} = 0$ ($i \neq j$). From

【5】 and **【6】** we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u \bullet \nabla h dx = 0, \int_{\Omega} v \bullet \nabla h dx = 0 \text{ for all } h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

That is $\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} dx = 0$. Since $L_p(\Omega)$ is a Banach lattice and $\nabla h \in DL_p(\Omega)^\perp$ for

$h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $u = u^+ - u^-, u^+, u^- \in DL_p(\Omega)$, $\nabla h = (\nabla h)^+ - (\nabla h)^-$. From proposition 10.8

in [3] the lattice operations \wedge and \vee are continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla h)^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}\right)^+ \\ \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2}\right)^+ \\ \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_3}\right)^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_{10}} \frac{h(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h(x_{10}, x_2, x_3)}{x_1 - x_{10}}\right)^+ \\ \left(\lim_{x_2 \rightarrow x_{20}} \frac{h(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h(x_1, x_{20}, x_3)}{x_2 - x_{20}}\right)^+ \\ \left(\lim_{x_3 \rightarrow x_{30}} \frac{h(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h(x_1, x_2, x_{30})}{x_3 - x_{30}}\right)^+ \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_{10}} \frac{h^+(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h^+(x_{10}, x_2, x_3)}{x_1 - x_{10}} \\ \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow x_{20}} \frac{h^+(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h^+(x_1, x_{20}, x_3)}{x_2 - x_{20}} \\ \lim_{x_3 \rightarrow x_{30}} \frac{h^+(x_1, x_2, x_3) - h^+(x_1, x_2, x_{30})}{x_3 - x_{30}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h^+}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial h^+}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h^+}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix} = \nabla(h^+),
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\nabla h)^- = \nabla(h^-)$. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{0,p}(\Omega) = L_p(\Omega)$ are all Banach spaces.

$h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ implies $h^+, h^- \in W^{1,p}(R^3)$, $\int_{\Omega} u^+ \bullet \nabla(h^+) dx = 0$. Since v is divergence free,

$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} = 0$, so $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$ are all bounded, $\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq L$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) for some constant $L > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -L \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^+ \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}\right)^+ dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (u^+ \bullet \nabla) v \bullet (\nabla h)^+ dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^+ \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}\right)^+ dx \leq L \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^+ \frac{\partial(h^+)}{\partial x_i} dx = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int_{\Omega} (u^+ \bullet \nabla) v \bullet (\nabla h)^+ dx = 0$. Similarly, $\int_{\Omega} (u^+ \bullet \nabla) v \bullet (\nabla h)^- dx = 0$. So we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^+ \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx = \int_{\Omega} (u \bullet \nabla) v \bullet [(\nabla h)^+ - (\nabla h)^-] dx = 0.$$

Similarly, $\int_{\Omega} (u^- \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx = 0$. Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} (u \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx = \int_{\Omega} ((u^+ - u^-) \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx = \int_{\Omega} (u^+ \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx - \int_{\Omega} (u^- \bullet \nabla) v \bullet \nabla h dx = 0$$

and so $(u \bullet \nabla) v \in DL_p(\Omega)$. Similarly $(v \bullet \nabla) u \in DL_p(\Omega)$. \square

(3) Main result

Now we study the Navier-Stokes initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4).

A function u which is differentiable almost everywhere on $[0, T]$ such that $u' \in L^1[0, T; DL_2(\Omega)]$ is called a strong solution of the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4) if $u(0) = u_0$ and u satisfies (1.1)-(1.4) a.e. on $[0, T]$. A function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow DL_2(\Omega)$ is a classical solution of (3.1)-(3.2) on $[0, T]$ if u is continuous on $[0, T]$, continuous differentiable on $(0, T)$, $u \in D(\Delta)$ for $t \in (0, T)$ and (3.1)-(3.2) are satisfied on $[0, T]$.

Let $H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ denote the space of all Hölder continuous functions $u(t, x)$ on $[0, T]$ with different exponents in $(0, 1]$ and with divergence free functions values satisfying $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = 0$ ($i \neq j$) in the Banach space $DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then from lemma 9 for any $u \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, $(u(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u(t_2) \in DL_p(\Omega)$; and for any $u_1, u_2 \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and any $t \in [0, T]$, $(u_1(t) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t) \in DL_p(\Omega)$. In the following we will use these facts. Let

$$H = \{u(t) : u \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}), t \in (0, T)\}$$

H is a subset of $DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ which consists of function values of all functions in $H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Let $u_k(t, x) = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ ($t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \Omega$, $k_i \in R, i = 1, 2, 3$). Then $u_k \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u_k(t) \in H$ for all $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in R$ and all $t \in [0, T]$. Suppose that $u_i(x_i) \in DL_p(\Omega)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i(x_i)}{\partial x_i} = 0$. Let $u(x) = (u_1(x_1), u_2(x_2), u_3(x_3))$

and $u(t, x) \equiv u(x)$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Then $u(t, x) \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $u(x) \in H$.

Hence H is not empty. Take the open kernel H^0 of H in $DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. H^0 is also not empty. It is clear that H^0 is a open subset in $DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The bilinear form $(v \bullet \nabla)u$ on H^0 takes value in

$DL_p(\Omega)$. Let

$$U = (0, T) \times H^0.$$

Then U is an open subset of $[0, T] \times DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. If $u_0 \in H^0$, that is, there exist $u \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $t \in [0, T]$ such that $u_0 = u(t)$. Let $u_0(t) \equiv u_0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. It is easy to see that $u_0(t) \in H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence u_0 is also a value of another function.

That is to say that a function $u(t) \in H^0$ can be value of different functions in $H([0, T]; DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Theorem. The Navier-Stokes initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique smooth local strong solution $u(t, x)$ and $p(t, x)$ if the following condition are satisfied

- (1) $f \in DL_p(\Omega)$ is Hölder continuous about t on $(0, T]$,
- (2) The initial value $u_0 \in D((-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap (C^\infty(\Omega))^3$ satisfies $\frac{\partial u_{0i}}{\partial x_j} = 0 (i \neq j)$.

Proof. (Step1) First, $F(t, u(t)) = -(u(t) \bullet \nabla)u(t)$ is a function : $U \rightarrow DL_p(\Omega)$ because $(u(t) \bullet \nabla)u(t) \in DL_p(\Omega)$ according to the definition of U .

We will find that by incorporating the divergence-free condition we can remove the pressure term from our equation. (see p. 271³ in 【4】 , p. 234₆ and p. 239₉ in 【13】) In fact, from

$DL_p(\Omega)^\perp = \{\nabla h; h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$ we see that $\nabla p \in DL_p(\Omega)^\perp$ and so $P\nabla p = 0$. For $u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ we have $\Delta u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ because to Lemma 5. Hence by applying P to the equation (1.1) we have $P\Delta u = \Delta u$. It follows from $(u \bullet \nabla)u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ that $P(u \bullet \nabla)u = (u \bullet \nabla)u$.

Therefore we can first rewrite (1) into an abstract initial value problem on $DL_p(\Omega)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = \Delta u + F(t, u(t)), t \in [t_0, T] \\ u|_{t=t_0} = u_0, x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $F(t, u(t)) = -(u \bullet \nabla)u$. From Lemma 4 $\Delta|_{DL_p(\Omega)}$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)$ of contraction on $DL_p(\Omega)$. So $\|T(t)\| \leq 1$. From Theorem 2.5.2(c) in **【15】** $0 \in \rho(\Delta)$.

(Step2) If $u(t)$ is Hölder continuous about t on $[0, T]$ in $DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$, so there is a constant C and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ such that

$$\|u(t_1, x) - u(t_2, x)\|_{DL_p(\mathbb{R}^3)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C|t_1 - t_2|^\beta \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in [0, T] \quad (10)$$

For any $(t_1, u_1(t_1)), (t_2, u_2(t_2)) \in U$ we have $(u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_1(t_1), (u_2(t_2) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t_2) \in DL_p(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_1(t_1) - (u_2(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t_1) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &= \| (u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_1(t_1) - (u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t_1) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &+ \| (u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t_1) - (u_2(t_1) \bullet \nabla)u_2(t_1) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \| (u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla)(u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_1)) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &+ \| [(u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_1)) \bullet \nabla]u_2(t_1) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq ML_0^2 (\|u_1(t_1)\|_{DL_2(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &+ \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \|u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}}) \\ &= ML_0^2 \left(\|u_1(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq ML_0^2 \left(\|u_1(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\left(\|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|u_2(t_2) - u_2(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq ML_0^2 \left(\|u_1(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\left(\|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + C_1|t_1 - t_2|^{\beta_1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We used lemma 6 in the above third step. For any $u(t_1), u(t_2) \in DL_p(\Omega)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (u(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u(t_1) - (u(t_2) \bullet \nabla) u(t_2) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \| (u(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u(t_1) - (u(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u(t_2) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} + \| (u(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u(t_2) - (u(t_2) \bullet \nabla) u(t_2) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& = \| (u(t_1) \bullet \nabla) (u(t_1) - u(t_2)) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} + \| [(u(t_1) - u(t_2)) \bullet \nabla] u(t_2) \|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& \leq ML_0^2 (\|u(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} \|u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}) \\
& = ML_0^2 (\|u(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + \|u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}) \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} \\
& \leq ML_0^2 C (\|u(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + \|u(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}) |t_1 - t_2|^\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We used the Lemma 6 in the above third step and the formula (10) in fifth step.

(Step 3) Suppose that $u_0 \in DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}$ is smooth satisfying $\frac{\partial u_{0i}}{\partial x_j} = 0$ ($i \neq j$). Then u_0 is

divergence free from lemma 1 and $(t_0, u_0) \in U$. Set

$$V = B_\varepsilon(t_0, u_0) = \left\{ (t, u(t)) \in U : |t - t_0| < \varepsilon \leq 1, \|u - u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then for $(t, u(t)) \in V$,

$$\|u\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} = \|u - u_0 + u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} \leq \|u - u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + \|u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} \leq \varepsilon + \|u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}.$$

Let $L = \varepsilon + \|u_0\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}$, $L_1 = 2ML_0^2 L$, $L_2 = 2ML_0^2 L(C_1 + C)$, $L_3 = \max(L_2, L_1)$ and

$\beta_2 = \min(\beta, \beta_1)$, then from (10), (11) and (12) for all $(t_i, u_i) \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F(t_1, u_1(t_1)) - F(t_2, u_2(t_2))\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \|F(t_1, u_1(t_1)) - F(t_1, u_2(t_1))\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} + \|F(t_1, u_2(t_1)) - F(t_2, u_2(t_2))\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& = \|(u_1(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u_1(t_1) - (u_2(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u_2(t_1)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} + \|(u_2(t_1) \bullet \nabla) u_2(t_1) - (u_2(t_2) \bullet \nabla) u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)} \\
& \leq 2ML_0^2 L \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + 2ML_0^2 LC_1 |t_1 - t_2|^{\beta_1} + 2ML_0^2 LC |t_1 - t_2|^\beta \\
& \leq L_1 \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}} + L_2 |t_1 - t_2|^{\beta_2} \\
& \leq L_3 (|t_1 - t_2|^{\beta_2} + \|u_1(t_1) - u_2(t_2)\|_{DL_p(\Omega)^{1/2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $F(t, u(t))$ satisfies the assumption (F) . Therefore from lemma 8 for every initial data

$(t_0, u_0) \in U_1$ the initial value problem (9) has unique local solution

$$u(t) \in C([t_0, t_1]: DL_p(\Omega)) \cap C^1((t_0, t_1): DL_p(\Omega)) \quad (13)$$

where $t_1 = t_1(u_0)$.

The solution (13) of (9) is also the solution of (4). The Theorem 3.4 in [5] mean that as long as the solution of (4) exists, this solution is smooth. From Theorem 3.4 in [5] we have the solution $u(t, x) \in C^\infty([0, t_1] \times \overline{\Omega})^3$. Substituting $u(t, x)$ into (1.1) we get the solution $p(t, x)$. We also have $p(t, x) \in C^\infty([0, t_1] \times \overline{\Omega})$. It follows from the lemma 1 and $u \in DL_p(\Omega)$ that the solution $u(t, x)$ is divergence-free. Changing the value of u on $\partial\Omega$ to zero we get a unique smooth local strong solution of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem (1.1)-(1.4). \square

If Ω is a bounded open subset of R^3 then the solution (13) is a classical solution of (9) and (3.1)-(3.2).

Acknowledments

The first author is grateful to her supervisors Chi-Kun Lin and Xinyao Yang for their teaching and cultivation.

References

- [1] S.Agmon, On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic value problems. Comm.Pure Appl.Math. 15(1962)119-147.
- [2] S.Agmon and L.Nireberg, Properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Comm.Pure Appl.Math. 16(1963)121-239.
- [3] Andras Batkai, Marjeta Kramar Fijavz, Abdelaziz Rhani, Positive Operator Semigroups, Birkhauser (2017).
- [4] H.Fujita,T.Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 16(1964),269-315.
- [5] Y.Giga,T.Miyakava, Solutions in L_r of the Vavier-Stokesinitial value problem,

Arch.Ration.Mech.Anal. 89(1985)267-281.

[6] Philip Isett, Hölder Continuous Euler Flows in Three Dimensions with Compact Support in Time, Princeton University Press,(2017).

[7] T.Kato, Strong L_p -solution of the Navier-Stokes equation in R^m , with application to weak solutions, Math. Z. 187(1984)471-480.

[8] Engel Klaus-Jochen, Rainer Nagel. One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations, Springer, (2000).

[9] E.Kreyszig, Introduction functional analysis with applications, John Wiley & Sons (1978).

[10] Peter Meyer-Nieberg, Banach Lattices, Springer-Verlag, (1991).

[11] Mukhtarbay.Otelbaev, Existence of a strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equation, Mathematical Journal(Russia), 13(4)(2013),5-104.

[12] A.Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer Verlag (1983,reprint in China in 2006).

[13] James C. Robinson, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, (2001).

[14] Walter Rudin, Principals of Mathematical Analysis, China Machine Press, 2004.

[15] Walter Rudin, Real and Complex analysis, China Machine Press, 2004

[16] Veli B.Shakhmurov, Nonlocal Navier-Stokes problems in abstract function, Nonlinear Analysis:Real World Applications , 26(2015)19-43.

[17] Maoting Tong, Daorong Ton, A local strong solution of the Navier-Stokes problem in $L_2(\Omega)$, Journal of Mathematical Sciences: Advances and applications,

Volume No:62, Issue no:1,May(2020).1-19. Available at <http://scientificadvances.co.in>

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18642/jmsaa_7100122125