

Action-angle coordinates on coadjoint orbits and multiplicity free spaces from partial tropicalization

Anton Alekseev

Benjamin Hoffman

Jeremy Lane

Yanpeng Li

Abstract

Coadjoint orbits and multiplicity free spaces of compact Lie groups are important examples of symplectic manifolds with Hamiltonian groups actions. Constructing action-angle variables on these spaces is a challenging task. A fundamental result in the field is the Guillemin-Sternberg construction of Gelfand-Zeitlin integrable systems for the groups $K = U(n), SO(n)$. Extending these results to groups of other types is one of the goals of this paper.

Partial tropicalizations are Poisson spaces with constant Poisson bracket built using techniques of Poisson-Lie theory and the geometric crystals of Berenstein-Kazhdan. They provide a bridge between dual spaces of Lie algebras $\text{Lie}(K)^*$ with linear Poisson brackets and polyhedral cones which parametrize the canonical bases of irreducible modules of $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$.

We generalize the construction of partial tropicalizations to allow for arbitrary cluster charts, and apply it to questions in symplectic geometry. For each regular coadjoint orbit of a compact group K , we construct an exhaustion by symplectic embeddings of toric domains. As a by product we arrive at a conjectured formula for Gromov width of regular coadjoint orbits. We prove similar results for multiplicity free K -spaces.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Methods	5
1.1.1	Dual Poisson-Lie groups	6
1.1.2	The Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism and scaling	6
1.1.3	Coordinates on K^* and cluster algebras	7
1.1.4	Partial tropicalization	8
1.1.5	The cone \mathcal{C} and the Berenstein-Kazhdan potential	9
1.1.6	Proof sketch for coadjoint orbits	9
1.2	Structure of the paper	10
1.3	Acknowledgements	11

Keywords: Poisson-Lie groups, coadjoint orbits, symplectic geometry

2	Background	11
2.1	Lie theory	11
2.1.1	The groups K and G	12
2.1.2	Lattices and Weyl chambers	12
2.1.3	SL_2 triples and the Weyl group	13
2.1.4	Anti-holomorphic involutions on G	13
2.1.5	Generalized minors and double Bruhat cells	13
2.1.6	Langlands dual groups	14
2.1.7	Comparison map and bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}	15
2.2	Hamiltonian K -manifolds	15
2.2.1	The Thimm torus action	16
2.2.2	Toric manifolds and multiplicity free spaces	17
2.3	Hamiltonian Poisson-Lie group actions	18
2.3.1	Compact Poisson-Lie groups	19
2.3.2	Explicit formula for the Poisson bracket on K^*	20
2.3.3	Hamiltonian K -manifolds with K^* -valued moment maps	21
2.3.4	Delinearization of Hamiltonian K -manifolds	21
2.3.5	Legendre transforms on K^*	24
2.4	Cluster algebras	25
2.4.1	Seeds and cluster mutations	25
2.4.2	Cluster algebra structures on double Bruhat cells	26
2.4.3	Homogeneous cluster algebras	27
2.4.4	Homogeneity of cluster variables on double Bruhat cells	28
3	Positivity and polyhedral parameterizations of canonical bases	29
3.1	Positivity theory and tropicalization	29
3.2	Positive structures on the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$	32
3.3	Domination of functions on $G^{w_0, e}$	34
3.4	Geometric crystals	35
3.5	Polyhedral parametrizations of canonical bases	36
3.6	Comparison map on double Bruhat cells	38

4	Partial tropicalization	39
4.1	Coordinates on K^* from cluster charts on $G^{w_0, \epsilon}$	39
4.2	The definition of partial tropicalization	40
4.3	Partial tropicalization as a limit	41
4.4	Structure maps and symplectic leaves	42
4.5	Properties of the partial tropicalization	44
4.5.1	Brackets in special charts	44
4.5.2	Brackets in general charts and relationship with canonical bases	45
5	Main Results: Construction of action-angle coordinates	47
5.1	Big action-angle coordinate charts on the model space $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$	47
5.2	Proof of Theorem 5.2	48
5.3	Big action-angle coordinate charts on multiplicity free spaces	58
6	Main Results: Applications to Gromov width	61

1 Introduction

There is a dichotomy in symplectic geometry between local and global coordinates. Whereas Darboux's theorem tells us that symplectic manifolds have no local invariants, the problem of finding large coordinate charts often relates to subtle properties of symplectic manifolds. Most famously, Gromov's non-squeezing theorem demonstrates that the volume of certain coordinate charts on a symplectic manifold may have an upper bound strictly less than the total volume of the symplectic manifold [22].

Action-angle coordinates are a type of coordinate chart on symplectic manifolds that originate from the study of commutative completely integrable systems in classical mechanics. The domains of action-angle coordinates are products of the form $U \times \mathbb{T}^n$, where U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{T}^n is a direct product of n circles, $S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$. Such domains carry a canonical symplectic form,

$$\omega_{\text{std}} = \sum_{i=1}^n d\varphi_i \wedge d\lambda_i, \tag{1}$$

where λ_i are coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n and φ_i are coordinates on \mathbb{T}^n . The Liouville-Arnold theorem guarantees existence of local action-angle coordinates in a neighbourhood of compact regular fibers of commutative completely integrable systems [8]. A compact symplectic toric manifold of dimension $2n$ with Delzant polytope Δ has a dense subset symplectomorphic to $(\mathring{\Delta} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}})$, where $\mathring{\Delta}$ denotes the interior of Δ . However, there are also many interesting examples of action-angle coordinates on dense subsets that do not arise from a toric structure, such as Gelfand-Zeitlin systems [26], Goldman systems on moduli spaces of flat connections [21, 57], bending flow systems on moduli spaces of polygons [37], and integrable systems constructed by toric degeneration on smooth projective varieties [38, 41, 42].

Multiplicity free spaces are the natural non-abelian generalization of toric manifolds. A *multiplicity free space* (M, ω, μ) is a symplectic manifold (M, ω) equipped with a Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group K generated by an equivariant moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* = \text{Lie}(K)^*$ with the property that the non-empty symplectic reduced spaces are all zero dimensional [27]. Compact multiplicity free spaces are

classified by the quotient M/K , which is identified with a convex polytope, together with the principal isotropy subgroup L , which is a subgroup of the centralizer of a torus subgroup of K [43]. In this paper, we consider the case where L is a subgroup of the maximal torus, T . In this case, the range of possible dimensions of a multiplicity free space is

$$\dim K - \dim T \leq \dim M \leq \dim K + \dim T. \quad (2)$$

Unlike toric manifolds, multiplicity free spaces are not known to have action-angle coordinates on dense subsets, except for several examples. The first and oldest example is that of $SO(3)$ acting by rotation on S^2 , equipped with a rotation invariant area form. The action of a maximal torus $T \leq SO(3)$ gives S^2 the structure of a symplectic toric manifold and the action-angle coordinates are simply cylindrical coordinates. The second major example is Gelfand-Zeitlin systems, which define action-angle coordinates on dense subsets of coadjoint orbits and multiplicity free spaces of compact Lie groups of type A, B, and D [26]. The final example is that of spherical varieties. If a multiplicity free space embeds equivariantly as a projective variety and the symplectic structure is induced by the embedding, then it is a spherical variety and dense action-angle coordinates can be constructed by toric degeneration [38]. However, most multiplicity free spaces are not spherical varieties (in fact, a compact multiplicity free space need not admit an invariant compatible complex structure [58]).

The main result of this paper is a construction of action-angle coordinates on large subsets of compact multiplicity free spaces whose principal isotropy group is contained in a maximal torus. For any subset C of a Euclidean space, let $C(\delta)$ denote the set of points in C that have distance more than δ from the boundary of C .

Theorem 1.1. *Let K a compact connected Lie group and (M, ω, μ) a compact multiplicity free space for K of dimension $2n$ such that the principal isotropy subgroup is contained in the maximal torus of K . Then, there is a convex polytope $\Delta_M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension n such that for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a symplectic embedding*

$$(\Delta_M(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega). \quad (3)$$

Moreover, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the symplectic volumes satisfy

$$\text{Vol}(\Delta_M(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) > \text{Vol}(M, \omega) - \varepsilon.$$

Additionally, the embeddings (3) intertwine naturally defined Hamiltonian $T \times T$ actions; see Theorem 5.15 for a precise statement.

In fact, we construct many polytopes Δ_M which satisfy the conclusions of Theorem 1.1. These polytopes are parameterized by several choices, including a choice of seed for the cluster algebra structure on the big double Bruhat cell in a Borel subgroup of the complexification of K .

One particularly important instance of Theorem 1.1 is the extremal case where $\dim M = \dim K - \dim T$. In this case (M, ω, μ) is a coadjoint orbit, diffeomorphic to K/T , and ω is the canonical Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau symplectic form [44, 45, 56]. Coadjoint orbits diffeomorphic to K/T , also known as regular coadjoint orbits, are parameterized by elements λ in the interior of the positive Weyl chamber. The coadjoint orbit parameterized by λ along with its Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau form is denoted $(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{O}_λ be a regular coadjoint orbit of a compact connected Lie group K . Then, there is a convex polytope Δ_λ of dimension $n = \frac{1}{2}(\dim K - \dim T)$ such that for all $\delta > 0$ there exists a symplectic embedding*

$$(\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda).$$

Moreover, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{Vol}(\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) > \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) - \varepsilon.$$

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have two limitations. First, we must assume that the principal isotropy subgroup is contained in a maximal torus. Second, they do not yield action-angle coordinates on a dense subset. In particular, these action-angle charts do not currently have an interpretation as action-angle coordinates for a globally defined commutative integrable system. On the other hand, these theorems illustrate that there are no non-trivial obstructions to the volume of action-angle coordinates on such multiplicity free spaces.

Returning to the problem of finding large Darboux charts on symplectic manifolds, let $B^{2n}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ denote the open ball of radius $r > 0$ equipped with the standard symplectic structure on \mathbb{R}^{2n} ,

$$\omega_{\text{std}} = dx_1 \wedge dy_1 + \cdots + dx_n \wedge dy_n. \quad (4)$$

The *Gromov width* of a connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) of dimension $2n$, denoted $\text{GWidth}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$, is supremum of all cross-sectional areas πr^2 such that $(B^{2n}(r), \omega_{\text{std}})$ embeds symplectically into (M, ω) . Combining Theorem 1.2 with some results from [18] regarding the geometry of the polytopes Δ_λ yields the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ be a regular coadjoint orbit of a compact connected simple Lie group K . Then,*

$$\text{GWidth}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) \geq \min\{2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+\}, \quad (5)$$

where R_+ is the set of positive roots of K and α^\vee is the coroot of $\alpha \in R_+$.

It follows from the upper bounds of [14] that (5) is an equality. This was already known to be an equality in several cases. The case where K is type A, B, or D and λ is arbitrary was proved using Gelfand-Zeitlin systems in [53]. The case where K is arbitrary type and λ is a positive scalar multiple of a dominant integral weight was proved using toric degenerations by [18] (see [18, Section 2] for a detailed survey of earlier results). In fact, [14] provides upper bounds for Gromov width of non-regular orbits as well. The methods developed in this paper do not apply to those orbits.

Remark 1.4. The authors BH and JL will present an alternate approach to the problem of constructing action-angle coordinates on multiplicity free spaces in a forthcoming paper [35]. Their approach uses a gradient-Hamiltonian flows inside the total space of toric degenerations of the base affine space $G // N$, $G = K^\mathbb{C}$, which were constructed in [13]. These produce integrable systems with dense action-angle coordinates on the symplectic implosion of the cotangent bundle of K (cf. [23]). These integrable systems may then be combined with symplectic contraction (cf. [36]) to construct completely integrable systems on arbitrary compact multiplicity Hamiltonian K -manifolds that have dense action-angle coordinates (no assumption about the principal isotropy subgroup is needed). The polytopes obtained by this construction are similar to the polytopes obtained by [7] in the case of spherical varieties. We expect these results will be sufficient to prove tight lower bounds for the Gromov width of the remaining non-regular coadjoint orbits (modulo combinatorial results about string polytopes). It would be interesting to better understand the relationship between the toric degeneration and Ginzburg-Weinstein approaches to this problem.

1.1 Methods

Our results are obtained by a new method of independent value that combines new findings with previous results from [2, 3, 4]. The main idea is that to each coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_λ one can associate a family of symplectic spaces $D_{\exp(s\lambda)}$ called dressing orbits. The dressing orbits are symplectomorphic to \mathcal{O}_λ for all values of the parameter $s \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. For s small, $D_{\exp(s\lambda)}$ resembles \mathcal{O}_λ , and there is a natural way to include \mathcal{O}_λ in the family at $s = 0$. For $s \ll 0$ large, there are coordinates on $D_{\exp(s\lambda)}$ coming from cluster algebra theory which make its symplectic structure (exponentially) close to the constant one. Using this, one may construct action-angle coordinates on $D_{\exp(s\lambda)}$, and hence on \mathcal{O}_λ , which exhaust the symplectic

volume as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. We call these charts *big*, in the sense that by picking $s \ll 0$, their volume may be made arbitrarily close to the volume of \mathcal{O}_λ .

In what follows we briefly outline the main points used in our construction. While we focus here on coadjoint orbits, in the main body we extend this treatment to allow for arbitrary compact regular multiplicity-free spaces. We make use of some standard Lie-theoretic notation, which is introduced in detail in Section 2.1.

1.1.1 Dual Poisson-Lie groups

The space $\mathfrak{k}^* = \text{Lie}(K)^*$ carries a canonical linear Poisson structure $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$ defined by formula

$$\{f_\xi, f_\eta\} = f_{[\xi, \eta]},$$

where $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $f_\xi(x) = \langle x, \xi \rangle$ for $x \in \mathfrak{k}^*$. Coadjoint orbits are symplectic leaves of $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$.

Consider the complex Lie group $G = K^\mathbb{C}$. It admits the Iwasawa decomposition $G = AN_-K$, where N_- is the maximal nilpotent subgroup and $A = \exp(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t})$. Denote $K^* = AN_-$, and observe that $\text{Lie}(K^*)$ may be identified with $\mathfrak{k}^* = \text{Lie}(K)^*$ under the pairing

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle := 2\text{Im}(x, \xi)_\mathfrak{g}, \quad x \in \text{Lie}(K^*), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathfrak{g}$ is a fixed nondegenerate, Ad-invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C}$.

The group K^* is naturally isomorphic to the symmetric space G/K . Hence, it carries a K -action known as the dressing action. Furthermore, it has a unique Poisson structure π_{K^*} given by the Lu formula

$$\pi_{K^*}^\sharp(\langle \theta^R, \xi \rangle) = -\underline{\xi}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{k},$$

where θ^R is the right-invariant Maurer-Cartan form on K^* and $\underline{\xi}$ is the fundamental vector field of ξ . The group (K^*, π_{K^*}) is the *dual Poisson-Lie group to K* . Symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure π_{K^*} are the orbits of the K -action on K^* , which are called *dressing orbits*.

See Section 2.3 for further exposition of these notions.

1.1.2 The Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism and scaling

There is an intimate link between the Poisson spaces \mathfrak{k}^* and K^* given by the Ginzburg-Weinstein Theorem:

Theorem 1.5. [33] *There is a Poisson isomorphism $\gamma : \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow K^*$ which restricts to the exponential map on $\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$.*

The choice of the Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism γ is non unique. Indeed, it can be pre-composed with any Poisson isomorphism of \mathfrak{k}^* preserving \mathfrak{t}^* or composed with any Poisson isomorphism of K^* preserving A .

The Poisson structure $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$ on \mathfrak{k}^* is linear, and so under scaling transformations $A_s : x \rightarrow sx$ it also scales linearly: $(A_s)_* \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*} = s\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$. Therefore, the map

$$\gamma_s = \gamma \circ A_s : x \mapsto \gamma(sx)$$

is a Poisson isomorphism between $(\mathfrak{k}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*})$ and $(K^*, s\pi_{K^*})$. In particular, for each $s \neq 0$ the map γ_s restricts to a symplectomorphism between the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ through an element λ of the positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{t}_+^* , and the dressing orbit $D_{\exp(s\lambda)} \subset K^*$ through $\gamma_s(\lambda) \in \gamma_s(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$. Therefore, we may study $D_{\exp(s\lambda)}$ for arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ instead of \mathcal{O}_λ .

A construction of γ_s is described in Section 2.3.4 and Remark 2.17.

1.1.3 Coordinates on K^* and cluster algebras

The dual Poisson-Lie group K^* is a real subgroup of the Borel subgroup of G :

$$K^* = AN_- \subset B_-.$$

Recall that the double Bruhat cell

$$G^{w_0, e} = Bw_0B \cap B_- \subset B_-$$

is dense in B_- . Double Bruhat cells carry cluster structures which provide an infinite set of distinguished coordinate systems called *toric charts*, which are parametrized by cluster seeds. These coordinate systems restrict to $K^* \cap G^{w_0, e}$ and define dense charts on K^* . When restricted to K^* , these coordinate systems combine complex and real coordinates. Toric charts on $G^{w_0, e}$ and K^* are described in Sections 3.2 and 4.1, respectively.

For the sake of exposition, we focus here on a finite number of toric charts known as factorization coordinates. They are parametrized by reduced expressions in the Weyl group of G representing the longest element w_0 . The results below apply to all (twisted) cluster charts, see Sections 3 and 4 for a more detailed discussion.¹

Assume K is semisimple of rank r . For a simple root α_i of \mathfrak{g} , denote by $\alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ the corresponding coroot and by $e_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $f_i \in \mathfrak{n}_-$ the corresponding root vectors. Let

$$x_i(t) = \exp(tf_i)$$

be a 1-parameter subgroup of N_- . For a fixed reduced word $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}$, introduce the following map

$$\begin{aligned} L_s &: \mathbb{R}^{m+r} \times (S^1)^m \rightarrow K^* \\ &(\lambda_{-r}, \dots, \lambda_{-1}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_m}) \\ &\mapsto \exp\left(\frac{s}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{-i} \alpha_i^\vee\right) x_{i_1}\left(e^{\frac{s\lambda_1}{2} + \sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}\right) \cdots x_{i_m}\left(e^{\frac{s\lambda_m}{2} + \sqrt{-1}\varphi_m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The map L_s is injective for $s \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, and its image is open and dense in K^* . We regard the λ_i, φ_j as coordinates on K^* which depend on the parameter s . The map L_s is given in general in Definition 4.2.

In the main body of the paper, we consider a wider class of toric charts, so-called *cluster charts* and *twisted cluster charts*; see Definition 3.9. Coordinates in twisted cluster charts are similar to factorization parameters described above while coordinates in cluster charts are generalizations of minors of a matrix. Furthermore, along with the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$ we need to consider its Langlands dual $(G^\vee)^{w_0, e}$ and its (twisted) cluster charts.

We denote

$$\pi_s = (L_s)_*^{-1}(s\pi_{K^*}) = (L_s^{-1} \circ \gamma_s)_* \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$$

wherever L_s^{-1} is defined. While L_s^{-1} is exponentially contracting, the map γ_s is exponentially expanding. For $s \ll 0$, their composition possesses extraordinary properties.

¹Strictly speaking, the following example is related to a certain twisted cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e}$ by a monomial change of coordinates given by [20, Theorem 1.9].

1.1.4 Partial tropicalization

Our strategy is to consider the limit $s \rightarrow -\infty$. A priori, such a limit does not make sense since the Poisson structure $s\pi_{K^*}$ linearly diverges. However, after the coordinate change L_s , the Poisson structure π_s does admit a limit which is described by the following theorem. It makes use of a map

$$\text{hw}^{PT}: \mathbb{R}^{m+r} \times (S^1)^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_+^*$$

to the positive Weyl chamber called the *highest weight map*. This map, and its origin, are described in Sections 4.4 and 3.4, respectively. The following summarizes the main results of Section 4.

Theorem 1.6. *There is a unique open polyhedral cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that:*

1. *The limit*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\pi_s|_{\mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m})$$

exists and is a constant Poisson structure $\pi_{-\infty}$ on $\mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m$.

2. *The symplectic leaves of $(\mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m, \pi_{-\infty})$ are the fibers of $\text{hw}^{PT}: \mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_+^*$.*

3. *For each regular weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, the symplectic volume of $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda)$ is equal to the symplectic volume of \mathcal{O}_λ .*

4. *For a given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, the fiber $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m$ is of the form*

$$\mathring{\Delta}_\lambda \times (S^1)^m,$$

where Δ_λ is a convex polytope.

5. *After a linear change of variables, $\pi_{-\infty}$ acquires the form*

$$\pi_{-\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_i}.$$

The Poisson manifold $(\mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m, \pi_{-\infty})$ is called the *partial tropicalization of the dual Poisson-Lie group K^** . A priori, its definition depends on the coordinate map L_s corresponding to a fixed toric chart. However, one can show that, for all (twisted) cluster charts, the construction gives rise to isomorphic Poisson spaces².

Cluster coordinates on K^* give a good control of the Poisson bracket π_s , and they allow to establish parts 1, 2, 4 and 5 of Theorem 1.6. Twisted cluster coordinates on $G^{w_0, e}$ and on its Langlands dual $(G^\vee)^{w_0, e}$ give a tool for volume estimates and are needed in proving part 3 of Theorem 1.6.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.6 hints that if the map $L_s^{-1} \circ \gamma_s$ had a limit for $s \rightarrow -\infty$, the limit map $\gamma_\infty: \mathcal{O}_\lambda \rightarrow (\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda)$ would give rise to densely defined action-angle coordinates on the coadjoint orbit. This scenario is realized in the case of $K = \text{U}(n)$ [5]. However, for arbitrary compact K it is not known how to choose the Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism γ giving rise to a convergent map $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (L_s^{-1} \circ \gamma_s)$.

Remark 1.8. The real Poisson-Lie group K^* has a complex form, denoted G^* . The group K^* is a connected component of the fixed point set of an anti-holomorphic involution of G^* . There have been several recent approaches to constructing systems of coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n on G^* whose Poisson brackets are log canonical [30, 54, 55], meaning that $\{z_i, z_j\}_{G^*} = c_{i,j} z_i z_j$ for some $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$.

²Strictly speaking, this isomorphism is defined only off a union of hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^m ; see Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.6.

One might expect that, by changing to polar coordinates $z_i = e^{\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}\varphi_i}$, the restriction of the functions λ_i, φ_i to K^* would give a Darboux chart on K^* . However, this is not the case. Assume we are given a \mathbb{C} -valued log canonical coordinate system on a real manifold. This doesn't necessarily give rise to an \mathbb{R} -valued log canonical coordinate system or to a Darboux chart. Indeed, let $z, z' : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{z, z'\} = cz z'$. If $z = e^{\lambda + i\varphi}, w = e^{\lambda' + i\varphi'}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\{\lambda, \lambda'\} - \{\varphi, \varphi'\} &= \Re(c) \\ \{\lambda, \varphi'\} + \{\varphi, \lambda'\} &= \Im(c)\end{aligned}$$

but we cannot conclude whether or not the Poisson bracket is constant in coordinates $\lambda, \lambda', \varphi, \varphi'$.

In our particular example, if $K = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, then

$$G^* = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \right) : a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2) \times \mathrm{SL}(2).$$

Then K^* is the set of points where $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $b = -\bar{c}$. A system of log canonical coordinates constructed in [30] is $z_1 = a, z_2 = ab, z_3 = -bc + a^2 + a^{-2}$. To extract a Darboux coordinate system, following the strategy of [2, Section 6], for instance, one would need the Poisson brackets $\{z_1, z_2\}_{K^*}, \{z_1, \bar{z}_2\}_{K^*}$, and $\{z_2, \bar{z}_2\}_{K^*}$ to be log canonical on K^* . However,

$$\bar{z}_2 = \frac{z_1^2 z_3 - z_1^4 - 1}{z_2}$$

on K^* , and the Poisson bracket $\{z_2, \bar{z}_2\}$ is not log canonical. We will explore the connection between the partial tropicalization of K^* and log canonical coordinate systems on G^* in future work.

1.1.5 The cone \mathcal{C} and the Berenstein-Kazhdan potential

In Theorem 1.6, the cone \mathcal{C} appears as an unexpected and mysterious element of the construction. In fact, it is a version of a well known object in the representation theory of reductive complex Lie groups. For a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P_+$, let V_λ be the irreducible G -module with high weight λ . Then, the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]^N \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} V_\lambda$ can be parametrized as the integral points of the closure $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$.

The defining inequalities of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ are determined by the tropicalization of a distinguished function

$$\Phi_{BK} : G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

called the *Berenstein-Kazhdan potential*. On K^* , the potential Φ_{BK} can be written as a Laurent polynomial in the factorization coordinates $e^{\frac{s\lambda_i}{2} + \sqrt{-1}\varphi_i}$ introduced in the previous section. The coefficients of this Laurent polynomial are positive integers. The function Φ_{BK} is a main technical tool in the theory that follows; see for instance Corollary 3.18.

It is a surprising fact that the $s \rightarrow -\infty$ behavior of all Poisson brackets on K^* is determined (dominated) by the behavior of a single function Φ_{BK} . At the moment, this phenomenon has no conceptual explanation. The function Φ_{BK} was introduced in the context of geometric crystals, which are briefly described in Section 3.4.

1.1.6 Proof sketch for coadjoint orbits

We sketch a proof of Theorem 1.2. For $s < 0$, we have a Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism

$$\gamma_s : \mathcal{O}_\lambda \rightarrow D_{\exp(s\lambda)}.$$

The image $L_s^{-1}(D_{\exp(s\lambda)}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+r} \times (S^1)^m$ has the following property: the symplectic volume of

$$(L_s^{-1}(D_{\exp(s\lambda)})) \cap (\mathcal{C} \times (S^1)^m)$$

can be made arbitrarily close to the volume of $L_s^{-1}(D_{\exp(s\lambda)})$ by fixing $s \ll 0$. This manifold is very near to the symplectic leaf $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda)$ of $\pi_{-\infty}$, and their symplectic forms are also very close to each other (in the appropriate sense). This allows one to use the Moser argument and to construct an embedding

$$(\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times (S^1)^m, \pi_{-\infty}) \hookrightarrow (L_s^{-1}(D_{\exp(s\lambda)}), \pi_s) \cong (D_{\exp(s\lambda)}, s\pi_{K^*}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*})$$

which is an action-angle coordinate chart on \mathcal{O}_λ . Everything in this argument is equivariant with respect to the maximal torus of K and thus the resulting embeddings are also T -equivariant.

The constant $\delta > 0$ depends on s , and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. And, the difference in symplectic volumes

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) - \text{Vol}(\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times (S^1)^m) > 0$$

approaches 0 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. So, by picking $s \ll 0$, one arrives at the desired embedding.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires some additional work. Naïvely, one would like to take action coordinates constructed as above, on subsets of \mathfrak{k}^* , and pull them back via the moment map to a multiplicity free space. However, pullbacks of functions generating periodic flows on \mathfrak{k}^* do not necessarily generate periodic flows: there may be so-called “nutaton effects” that cause the resulting flow to be aperiodic [25]. Thus, one of the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to show that our coordinates generate periodic flows on multiplicity free spaces. This is achieved in Section 5 by first constructing coordinates that generate approximately periodic flows for large s , then deforming (via a Moser trick) to coordinates that generate periodic flows.

1.2 Structure of the paper

The construction presented in the paper requires background material from different fields. Sections 2, 3 and 4 contain an extensive review of this material. We also recall our previous results, and in some cases upgrade them to the level needed for the present paper. Sections 5 and 6 contain the main results of the paper and their proofs.

We can imagine several ways to read the present text. One possibility is to first check the introductory sections and then read the main body of the paper which are Sections 5 and 6. Another interesting option is to start directly with those two sections and then consult the introductory sections for missing details.

In what follows we describe the content of each section with an accent on elements which are either new or require non-standard presentation.

In Section 2, we collect background material on Lie theory, the theory of Hamiltonian and Poisson group actions and on cluster structures. Section 2.1 is devoted to Lie theory including the theory of double Bruhat cells and generalized minors. We also touch upon *comparison maps* between the group G and its Langlands dual G^\vee . Section 2.2 summarizes the theory of Hamiltonian K -manifolds with focus on Thimm torus actions and multiplicity free Hamiltonian spaces. Section 2.3 is a reminder of the theory of Poisson K -actions and of the dual Poisson-Lie group K^* . An interesting new element is the notion of *Legendre transform* on K^* . Section 2.4 provides background on cluster algebras and on cluster structures on double Bruhat cells. A somewhat non-standard part of the discussion concerns *homogeneous cluster algebras*.

Section 3 describes positivity and tropicalization of double Bruhat cells. Section 3.1 is devoted to generalities on positive varieties with potential and their tropicalizations. We also discuss comparison maps between a cluster variety and its Langlands dual. Section 3.2 contains applications of the material of the

previous section to double Bruhat cells $G^{w_0, e}$. In particular, we recall the definition of the Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) potential Φ_{BK} . In Section 3.3, we discuss functions dominated by potential, and we give criteria for domination by Φ_{BK} . Section 3.4 contains elements of the geometric crystal theory. In fact, in this paper we do not need crystal operations. So, we only review the *highest weight* and *weight maps* on geometric crystals. In Section 3.5, we discuss tropicalization of double Bruhat cells and reduced double Bruhat cells. The corresponding polyhedral cones will serve as targets for action variables. In Section 3.6, we recall the comparison map between double Bruhat cells $G^{w_0, e}$ and $G^{\vee; w_0, e}$ in the group G and its Langlands dual G^\vee which is the main tool in volume calculations.

Section 4 defines partial tropicalizations. In Section 4.1, we introduce coordinate systems on the dual Poisson-Lie group K^* induced by the cluster structure on the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$. Then, in Section 4.2 we define the partial tropicalization $PT(K^*)$ which carries a constant Poisson structure $\pi_{-\infty}$. In Section 4.3, we explain how to obtain the Poisson structure of the partial tropicalization as an $s \rightarrow -\infty$ limit of a family of Poisson structures on K^* . Section 4.4 is the description of symplectic leaves and of torus actions on the partial tropicalization. Section 4.5 is devoted to explicit computations of $\pi_{-\infty}$ in cluster charts of Section 4.1. We prove a version of Theorem 1.6 which establishes a Darboux normal form for $\pi_{-\infty}$.

Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Section 5.1 states the main technical result of the paper which is an extension of partial tropicalization to the universal multiplicity free space $K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$. Section 5.2 is devoted to the proof of this result. This section is the core of the paper. In Section 5.3, we construct big action-angle coordinate charts on multiplicity free spaces which is one of our main results.

Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 1.3 on the Gromov width of coadjoint orbits.

1.3 Acknowledgements

We are indebted to A. Berenstein for introducing us to the notion of potential and for his invaluable advice. We would like to thank A. Pelayo for his interesting suggestions.

Research of AA and YL was supported in part by the National Center for Competence in Research (NCCR) SwissMAP and by the grants number 178794 and 178828 of the Swiss National Science Foundation. JL thanks the Fields Institute as well as the organizers of the Toric Topology and Polyhedral Products thematic program for the support of a Fields Postdoctoral Fellowship during the writing of this paper. BH was supported in part by the National Science Graduate Research Fellowship, grant number DGE-1650441.

2 Background

Some of the key statements of this Section are Example 2.3 which introduces the universal multiplicity free space $K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$, the Delinearization Theorem 2.14 which explains how to replace \mathfrak{k}^* valued moment maps with K^* valued ones, Example 2.18 which is an application of this technique to $K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$, Proposition 2.26 which gives a criterion for cluster algebras to be homogeneous and Corollary 2.28 which states that double Bruhat cells are homogeneous cluster varieties.

2.1 Lie theory

In this section we fix notation which will be used throughout the article. Much of the material was developed in [10, 20].

2.1.1 The groups K and G

In all that follows, K will be a compact connected Lie group, and G will be its complexification. Then G is a connected reductive complex algebraic group. Pick a pair of opposite Borel subgroups $B, B_- \subset G$, and let $H = B \cap B_-$ be the Cartan subgroup. Let $T = H \cap K$ be the maximal torus of K , and let $N \subset B$ and $N_- \subset B_-$ be the unipotent radicals. We denote the Lie algebras of these groups with fraktur letters, so for instance $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ and $\text{Lie}(B_-) = \mathfrak{b}_-$.

Let \mathfrak{t}^* be the \mathbb{R} -linear dual of \mathfrak{t} . The projection $\mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ induces a linear isomorphism $(\mathfrak{k}^*)^T \cong \mathfrak{t}^*$, where $(\mathfrak{k}^*)^T$ is the subspace of T -invariant elements of \mathfrak{k}^* . We will identify these two spaces so that $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let \mathfrak{h}^* be the \mathbb{C} -linear dual of \mathfrak{h} . Then $\mathfrak{t}^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{h}^*$.

The operation of taking the center of a Lie group (resp. algebra) is denoted by $Z(\cdot)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{z}(\cdot)$). The Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} split as the direct sums

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \quad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}],$$

where $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ are semisimple. Let r denote the rank of \mathfrak{g} , defined as the rank of the semisimple part of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\tilde{r} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h})$.

2.1.2 Lattices and Weyl chambers

Recall the functors $X_* = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, -)$ and $X^* = \text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. In particular, for a complex torus S of dimension \tilde{r} ,

$$X^*(S) = \text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\tilde{r}}, \quad X_*(S) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, S) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\tilde{r}}$$

are the lattices of *characters* and *cocharacters* of S , respectively. They come with the natural evaluation pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. When H is as in the previous section, we make the standard identifications

$$X^*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*, \quad X^*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}.$$

For a character $\gamma \in X^*(H)$ and $h \in H$, write $h \mapsto h^\gamma$ for the evaluation of γ at h .

Let $R \subset X^*(H)$ be the set of roots of G , and $R^\vee \subset X_*(H)$ the set of coroots. The choice of positive Borel subgroup B determines the positive roots $R_+ \subset R$. Fix an enumeration of the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in R_+$ and simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee \in R^\vee$. We write $[1, r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$ for their index set. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed into root spaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where \mathfrak{g}_α is the α -weight space for the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ be the *fundamental weights*. By definition,

$$\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \langle \omega_i, \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{t}) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall i, j \in [1, r].$$

The positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{t}_+^* \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ is the intersection of half spaces defined by simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee$,

$$\mathfrak{t}_+^* = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \langle \sqrt{-1}\xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

For each $J \subset [1, r]$ define

$$\sigma_J = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^* \mid \langle \sqrt{-1}\xi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle > 0 \text{ if and only if } i \in J\}.$$

The subsets σ_J , $J \subset [1, r]$, define a stratification of \mathfrak{t}_+^* . The maximal stratum of \mathfrak{t}_+^* (with respect to the inclusion partial order) is the relative interior of \mathfrak{t}_+^* , denoted $\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*$.

The fundamental weights and simple roots of \mathfrak{g} generate the *weight lattice* P and *root lattice* Q , respectively. Let $P_+ = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_+^* \cap P$ and $X_+^*(H) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_+^* \cap X^*(H)$ denote the sets of dominant weights and dominant characters, respectively.

2.1.3 SL_2 triples and the Weyl group

The Weyl group $W = \text{Norm}_G(H)/H$ acts on the character lattice $X^*(H)$ by

$$h^{w\gamma} = (\tilde{w}^{-1}h\tilde{w})^\gamma, \quad (6)$$

where $h \in H$, $w \in W$, $\gamma \in X^*(H)$, and $\tilde{w} \in \text{Norm}_G(H)$ is any lift of w . The action (6) does not depend on the choice of lift \tilde{w} . The Weyl group is generated by the simple reflections s_i , $i \in [1, r]$, whose action on $\gamma \in X^*(H)$ is given by

$$s_i(\gamma) = \gamma - \gamma(\alpha_i^\vee)\alpha_i.$$

Let w_0 be the longest element in W , whose length $\ell(w_0)$ in terms of the simple reflections s_i is $m := \ell(w_0)$.

Consider the Chevalley generators e_i, f_i, α_i^\vee of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Define

$$x_i(a) := \exp(ae_i) \in N, \quad y_i(a) := \exp(af_i) \in N_-.$$

We lift the Weyl group to $\text{Norm}_G(H)$ by setting

$$\bar{s}_i = x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1).$$

If $w \in W$ and $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ is any reduced expression for w , define $\bar{w} = \bar{s}_{i_1} \cdots \bar{s}_{i_l} \in G$. The \bar{s}_i 's satisfy the Coxeter relations of W , so the definition of \bar{w} does not depend on the choice of reduced expression.

2.1.4 Anti-holomorphic involutions on G

Consider the \mathbb{C} -antilinear involution $(\cdot)^\dagger: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which fixes \mathfrak{a} and has $e_i^\dagger = f_i$ for all Chevalley generators e_i . This lifts to an anti-holomorphic Lie group anti-involution

$$(\cdot)^\dagger: G \mapsto G : g \mapsto g^\dagger.$$

The fixed points of $g \mapsto (g^{-1})^\dagger$ form the compact subgroup K of G .

Additionally, consider the \mathbb{C} -antilinear involution $\overline{(\cdot)}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ which fixes \mathfrak{a} and has $\bar{e}_i = e_i$ and $\bar{f}_i = f_i$ for all Chevalley generators e_i, f_i . This lifts to an anti-holomorphic Lie group involution $\overline{(\cdot)}: G \rightarrow G$ which restricts to an involution of K .

2.1.5 Generalized minors and double Bruhat cells

An element $x \in G$ is *Gaussian decomposable* if $x \in G_0 = N_-HN$. The set G_0 is an open subvariety of G . For $x \in G_0$, write

$$x = [x]_- [x]_0 [x]_+, \quad \text{where } [x]_- \in N_-, [x]_0 \in H, [x]_+ \in N.$$

Similarly, write $[x]_{\geq 0} = [x]_0 [x]_+$ and $[x]_{\leq 0} = [x]_- [x]_0$.

Let $\gamma \in X_+^*(H)$ be a dominant character. The *principal minor* $\Delta_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ is the regular function uniquely determined by

$$\Delta_\gamma(x) = [x]_0^\gamma, \quad \text{for } \forall x \in G_0.$$

Now, let $u, v \in W$. The *generalized minor* $\Delta_{u\gamma, v\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ is defined to be

$$\Delta_{u\gamma, v\gamma}(x) := \Delta_\gamma(\bar{u}^{-1}x\bar{v}).$$

For $h, h' \in H$, the generalized minors satisfy

$$\Delta_{u\gamma, v\gamma}(h x h') = h^{u\gamma} \Delta_{u\gamma, v\gamma}(x) h^{v\gamma} \quad (7)$$

for all $x \in G$. When $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the generalized minors are minors.

For each pair of Weyl group elements (u, v) , a *double Bruhat cell* and a *reduced double Bruhat cell* are defined respectively by

$$G^{u,v} := BuB \cap B_- v B_-; \quad L^{u,v} := N\bar{u}N \cap B_- v B_-.$$

A point $x \in G^{u,v}$ is contained in $L^{u,v}$ if and only if

$$[\bar{u}^{-1}x]_0 = 1. \quad (8)$$

Multiplication in G induces a biregular isomorphism $H \times L^{u,v} \cong G^{u,v}$.

If $p: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is a covering group of G , then (8) implies that the covering morphism induces biregular isomorphisms

$$p: \widehat{L}^{u,v} \rightarrow L^{u,v} \quad (9)$$

from the reduced double Bruhat cells of \widehat{G} to those of G . For any weight $\gamma \in P$ of G , if γ is not contained in $X^*(H)$, we can still make sense of the generalized minor

$$\Delta_{u\gamma, v\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}[L^{u,v}]$$

by identifying $L^{u,v}$ with the reduced double Bruhat cell of any covering group \widehat{G} for which γ is a character.

In what follows we will focus on the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$, which is an open subvariety of B_- . We recall a special case of the *twist map* of [20]. Our twist map is the biregular involution

$$\zeta: G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow G^{w_0, e}, \quad x \mapsto ([\bar{w}_0^{-1}x]_{\geq 0})^\theta, \quad (10)$$

where $g \mapsto g^\theta$ is a Lie group involution of G determined by

$$x_i(t)^\theta = y_i(t), \text{ for } i \in [1, r]; \quad h^\theta = h^{-1}, \text{ for } h \in H.$$

For a generalized minor $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}$, let $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}^\zeta := \Delta_{\gamma, \delta} \circ \zeta$ be the *twisted minor*. For $\gamma \in X_+^*(H)$,

$$\Delta_{w_0\gamma, \gamma}^\zeta = \Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}^{-1}, \quad \Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}^\zeta = \Delta_{w_0\gamma, \gamma}^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

2.1.6 Langlands dual groups

Let G^\vee be the *Langlands dual group* of G . For a fixed Cartan subgroup $H^\vee \subset G^\vee$, one has

$$X^*(H) = X_*(H^\vee); \quad X^*(H^\vee) = X_*(H).$$

The group G^\vee is important in describing the representation theory of G ; see Section 3.4 below. As with H^\vee , we superscript with \vee those varieties and maps associated with G^\vee (as opposed to G). So for instance the reduced double Bruhat cells of G^\vee are $L^{\vee; u, v}$ rather than $L^{u, v}$.

2.1.7 Comparison map and bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}

The Cartan matrix A of G is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries $A_{i,j} = \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$. Let $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ be a $r \times r$ diagonal matrix, with entries $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $AD = (AD)^T$. The matrix D is then a *symmetrizer* of A .

Fix a non-degenerate, \mathfrak{g} -invariant bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, as follows. Pick $\gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{r}-r}^\vee \in X_*(H) \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee, \gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{r}-r}^\vee$ forms a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathfrak{h} . Then $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is uniquely determined by:

$$(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}} = A_{i,j}d_j, \quad (\gamma_i^\vee, \gamma_j^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp.$$

Denote the induced bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}^* by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$. If V is a (real or complex) linear subspace of \mathfrak{g} or \mathfrak{g}^* , denote the restriction of the bilinear form to V by $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$. When it is clear from context we omit the subscripts.

The *comparison map*

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \tag{12}$$

is the \mathbb{C} -linear map determined by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\langle \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X), Y \rangle = (X, Y)_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, we have similarly defined maps

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{k}}: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^* \tag{13}$$

Then $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha_i^\vee) = d_i \alpha_i$. We will assume we have chosen D so that $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ restricts to a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $X_*(H) \rightarrow X^*(H) = X_*(H^\vee)$, which is possible by [3, Proposition 2.2]. This induces a group homomorphism

$$\Psi_H: H \rightarrow H^\vee.$$

2.2 Hamiltonian K -manifolds

This section recalls basic facts and conventions regarding Hamiltonian group actions. More details may be found in [28].

The Hamiltonian vector field of a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is the vector field X_f defined by the equation $\iota_{X_f} \omega = -df$. Given a Lie group K with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and a smooth left action of K on a manifold M , the fundamental vector field of $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ is the vector field $\underline{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defined point-wise as

$$\underline{X}_m = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp(-tX) \cdot m, \quad m \in M.$$

Definition 2.1 (Hamiltonian K action). Suppose that (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold and K is a connected Lie group. A smooth left action of K on M is *Hamiltonian* if there is a K -equivariant map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ such that $\iota_{\underline{X}} \omega = -d\langle \mu, X \rangle$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{k}$. The map μ is called a *moment map* for the action of K . The data of (M, ω) together with a Hamiltonian action of K and a moment map μ is a *Hamiltonian K -manifold*, denoted (M, ω, K, μ) or, when it is unambiguous, simply (M, ω, μ) .

A map of Hamiltonian K -manifolds (M, ω, μ) and (M', ω', μ') is a K -equivariant symplectic map $F: M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\mu' \circ F = \mu$. If such an F is also a symplectomorphism, then we say that F is an *isomorphism* of Hamiltonian K -manifolds.

Let K be a compact connected Lie group with positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{t}_+^* as in Section 2.1. For every connected Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, ω, μ) there is a unique stratum $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, called the *principal stratum* of (M, ω, μ) , with the property that $\mu(M) \cap \sigma$ is dense in $\mu(M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ [28]. The principal stratum of (M, ω, μ) is the maximal stratum of \mathfrak{t}_+^* with the property that $\mu(M) \cap \sigma$ is non-empty. If the principal stratum of (M, ω, μ) is \mathfrak{t}_+^* , then the symplectic cross-section theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.2 (Symplectic cross-section theorem). [28, Theorem 26.7, Proposition 41.2] *Let K be a compact connected Lie group and let (M, ω, μ) be a connected Hamiltonian K -manifold with principal stratum \mathfrak{t}_+^* . Then,*

(i) *The preimage, $\mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*)$, is a non-empty, symplectic, T -invariant submanifold of M .*

(ii) *The action of T on $\mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map μ .*

Example 2.3 (Symplectic cross-section of T^*K). Let T^*K denote the cotangent bundle of K . Fix the standard trivializations, $T^*K \cong K \times \mathfrak{k}^*$ and $T(T^*K) \cong K \times \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k}^*$ by left invariance. The canonical symplectic form on T^*K is $\omega_{\text{can}} = -d\theta_{\text{taut}}$, where θ_{taut} is the tautological 1-form.

There is a (left) action of $K \times K$ on T^*K by the cotangent lift of left and right multiplication. In terms of the trivialization, the action is $(k_1, k_2) \cdot (k, \xi) = (k_1 k k_2^{-1}, \text{Ad}_{k_2}^* \xi)$. This action is Hamiltonian with moment map

$$(\mu_L, \mu_R): K \times \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad (k, \xi) \mapsto (\text{Ad}_k^* \xi, -\xi). \quad (14)$$

The symplectic cross-section of T^*K with respect to the action of the right copy of K and the opposite Weyl chamber $-\mathfrak{t}_+^*$ is $\mu_R^{-1}(-\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*) = K \times \mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*$. It is a Hamiltonian $K \times T$ manifold.

2.2.1 The Thimm torus action

This section recalls the definition of an additional Hamiltonian T -action, called the *Thimm torus action*, that is defined on certain dense subsets of Hamiltonian K -manifolds and commutes with the action of K . See [26].

Let (M, ω, μ) be a connected Hamiltonian K -manifold with principal stratum³ $\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*$. Consider the symplectic cross-section of (M, ω, μ) with respect to \mathfrak{t}_+^* and the symplectic cross-section of $(T^*K, \omega_{\text{can}}, \mu_R)$ with respect to the opposite Weyl chamber $-\mathfrak{t}_+^*$ (see Example 2.3). Their symplectic product,

$$(K \times \mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^* \times \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*), \omega_{\text{can}} \oplus \omega),$$

is a Hamiltonian $K \times T \times T$ -manifold, with action $(k', t_1, t_2) \cdot (k, \xi, x) = (k' k t_1^{-1}, \xi, t_2 \cdot x)$ and moment map

$$(\mu_L, \mu_R, \mu): K \times \mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^* \times \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad (k, \xi, x) \mapsto (\text{Ad}_k^* \xi, -\xi, \mu(x)).$$

The diagonal action of T by $t \cdot (k, \xi, x) = (k t^{-1}, \xi, t \cdot x)$ is Hamiltonian with moment map $(k, \xi, x) \mapsto \mu(x) - \xi$. The symplectic reduction by the diagonal T -action is a smooth symplectic manifold,

$$K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*) := (K \times \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*)) / T \cong (K \times \mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^* \times \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*), \omega_{\text{can}} \oplus \omega) //_0 T. \quad (15)$$

The isomorphism arises from the T -equivariant map $(k, x) \mapsto (k, \mu(x), x)$.

Let $[k, x] \in K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*)$ denote the T -equivalence class of (k, x) . The reduced space (15) is a Hamiltonian $K \times T$ -manifold, with action $(k', t) \cdot [k, x] = [k' k t, x] = [k' k, t \cdot x]$ and moment map

$$(\bar{\mu}_L, \bar{\mu}_R): K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\mathfrak{t}}_+^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{k}^*, \quad \bar{\mu}_L([k, x]) = \text{Ad}_k^* \mu(x), \quad \bar{\mu}_R([k, x]) = -\mu_R(x) = \mu(x).$$

³We include this assumption only for the sake of simplified exposition; it is not necessary in order to define a Thimm torus action on a dense subset of M .

The map

$$\varphi: K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) \rightarrow M, \quad [k, x] \mapsto k \cdot x \quad (16)$$

is a K -equivariant symplectomorphism onto a dense, K -invariant subset of M and satisfies $\mu \circ \varphi = \bar{\mu}_L$. Denote the image of φ by U . As a consequence of this construction, one has a Hamiltonian action of $K \times T$ on U .

The construction of an extra Hamiltonian T action on U can be rephrased in the following way. Define a map $\mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ by letting $\mathcal{S}(\xi)$ be the unique element of the intersection $\mathcal{O}_\xi \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. By K -equivariance, $U = (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu)^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$. Define an action of T on U by

$$t * x = (k^{-1}tk) \cdot x, \quad (17)$$

where $k \in K$ such that $\text{Ad}_k^* \mu(x) \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. This action is well-defined since the stabilizer subgroup of elements in $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$ is contained in T . The restriction of $\mathcal{S} \circ \mu$ to U is a moment map for this action (in particular, its restriction to U is smooth) [26]. The preceding discussion can be summarized as follows.

Proposition 2.4. *Let K be a compact connected Lie group, let (M, ω, μ) be a connected Hamiltonian K -manifold with principal stratum \mathfrak{t}_+^* , and let $U = (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu)^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$. Then, the map*

$$K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) \rightarrow U, \quad [k, x] \mapsto k \cdot x$$

is an isomorphism of Hamiltonian $K \times T$ -manifolds, i.e. it is a $K \times T$ -equivariant symplectomorphism and the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow \bar{\mu}_L & & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathfrak{k}^* & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathfrak{t}_+^* \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K \times_T \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow \bar{\mu}_R & & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}} & \mathfrak{k}^* \end{array} \quad (18)$$

The extra Hamiltonian T action on U is often referred to as the *Thimm torus action*⁴. It does not in general extend to a well-defined action of T on M .

2.2.2 Toric manifolds and multiplicity free spaces

A Hamiltonian T -manifold (M, ω, μ) is *completely integrable* if T acts locally transitively on fibers of μ . If the action of T is also effective, then (M, ω, μ) is a *toric manifold* (or *toric T -manifold* if we want to emphasize the rôle of the torus).

Example 2.5. As in Example 2.3, the cotangent bundle T^*T of a compact torus T has a canonical symplectic structure, $\omega_{\text{std}} = -d\theta_{\text{taut}}$. With respect to the trivialization $T^*T = \mathfrak{t}^* \times T$, the action of T on T^*T by cotangent lift of left multiplication is $t \cdot (\lambda, t') = (\lambda, tt')$ and the moment map is $\text{pr}(\lambda, t) = \lambda$ (cf. the formula for μ_L in Equation (14)). For any open subset $U \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, $(U \times T, \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ is a toric manifold. For the torus $\mathbb{T}^n = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$ we fix the identification $\text{Lie}(S^1)^* = \mathbb{R}$ such that ω_{std} is given by Equation (1).

By equivariance of the moment map, a Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, ω, μ) is a multiplicity free space if and only if the action of the maximal torus $T \subset K$ on the symplectic cross-section is completely integrable.

⁴Although we like to call it the Thimm torus action, we note that to our knowledge this torus action originated in the work of Guillemin and Sternberg [26].

Let (M, ω, μ) be a compact, connected multiplicity free space with principal stratum \mathfrak{t}_+^* , Kirwan polytope $\Delta = \mu(M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, and principal isotropy subgroup $L \leq T$. Let $\mathring{\Delta}$ denote the relative interior of Δ . Then $W = \mu^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta})$ is an open, dense, T -invariant subset of the symplectic cross-section $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$. The kernel of the action of T on W is the subgroup L and the action of T/L on W is free. The convex set $\mathring{\Delta}$ spans an affine subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* that is a translation of the subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* given by the image of the map $\text{Lie}(T/L)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ dual to the quotient map $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \text{Lie}(T/L)$. In particular, the dimension of $\mathring{\Delta}$ and T/L are equal and $\mathring{\Delta}$ is open as a subset of this affine subspace [43]. Fix a linear identification of $\text{Lie}(T/L)^*$ with the affine subspace spanned by $\mathring{\Delta}$.

With respect to the identification of $\text{Lie}(T/L)^*$ with the affine subspace spanned by $\mathring{\Delta}$, the map $\mu: W \rightarrow \mathring{\Delta}$ is a moment map for the free action of T/L on W . The tuple (W, ω, μ) is thus a toric T/L -manifold.

Define the toric T/L -manifold $(\mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L), \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ as in Example 2.5, taking care to note that $\text{Lie}(T/L)^*$ is identified with the affine subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* spanned by $\mathring{\Delta}$. It follows from compactness of M that μ is proper as a map to $\mathring{\Delta}$. By the classification of non-compact toric manifolds with proper moment maps, (W, ω, μ) is isomorphic as a toric T/L -manifold to $(\mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L), \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ [39]. The preceding discussion is summarized as follows. Note that the commutative diagram (19) is stated in terms of maps to \mathfrak{t}^* . This is due to our identification of $\text{Lie}(T/L)^*$ with an affine subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* .

Lemma 2.6. *Let (M, ω, μ) be a compact, connected, multiplicity free Hamiltonian K -manifold with principal stratum \mathfrak{t}_+^* , Kirwan polytope $\Delta = \mu(M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, and principal isotropy subgroup $L \leq T$. Let (W, ω, μ) and $(\mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L), \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ be the toric T/L -manifolds defined as above. Then (W, ω, μ) and $(\mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L), \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ are isomorphic as Hamiltonian T/L -manifolds, i.e. there is a T -equivariant (therefore also T/L -equivariant) symplectomorphism $(W, \omega) \cong (\mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L), \omega_{\text{std}})$ such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathring{\Delta} \times (T/L) \\
\downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\
\mathfrak{t}^* & \xleftarrow{=} & \mathfrak{t}^*
\end{array} \tag{19}$$

2.3 Hamiltonian Poisson-Lie group actions

This section recalls the relevant details of compact connected Poisson-Lie groups and their Hamiltonian actions from [1, 6, 51]. For further background on Poisson-Lie groups the reader may consult [15, 16, 46].

In general, a *Poisson-Lie group*, (K, π) , is a Lie group K equipped with a Poisson bivector field π such that the group multiplication map $K \times K \rightarrow K$ is a Poisson map (with respect to the product Poisson structure on $K \times K$). The bivector field π necessarily vanishes at the group identity and therefore has a linearization $\delta_\pi: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k} \wedge \mathfrak{k}$. The linearization is a Lie algebra 1-cocycle and the dual map, $\delta_\pi^*: \mathfrak{k}^* \wedge \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, is a Lie bracket. In other words, the tuple $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{k}, \delta_\pi)$ is a Lie bialgebra. Two Lie bialgebras, $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{k}, \delta_\mathfrak{k})$ and $(\mathfrak{l}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{l}, \delta_\mathfrak{l})$, are *dual* to one another if there is a dual pairing, i.e. a non-degenerate bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\langle [X, Y]_\mathfrak{k}, \xi \rangle = \langle X \wedge Y, \delta_\mathfrak{l}(\xi) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle X, [\eta, \xi]_\mathfrak{l} \rangle = \langle \delta_\mathfrak{k}(X), \eta \wedge \xi \rangle \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}, \eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{l}. \tag{20}$$

Two Poisson-Lie groups are *dual*⁵ to one another if their linearizations are dual to each other as Lie bialgebras.

⁵It is common in the literature to define *the* dual Poisson-Lie group of a Poisson Lie group (K, π) to be the unique simply connected dual Poisson-Lie group.

A *Manin triple* is a tuple of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})$ along with a non-degenerate ad-invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} such that: i) \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{l} are Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , ii) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ as vector spaces, and iii) \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{l} are isotropic with respect to the bilinear form. Given a Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l})$ the bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} defines a dual pairing between \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{l} . If $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}}$ denote the maps defined by Equation (20) with respect to this pairing, then $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{k}})$ and $(\mathfrak{l}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{l}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{l}})$ are dual Lie bialgebras.

2.3.1 Compact Poisson-Lie groups

Let K be a compact connected Lie group with complexification G . For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \neq 0$, define the real-valued bilinear form

$$\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_s := \frac{2}{s} \text{Im}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Denote the real Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- \subset \mathfrak{g}$ by \mathfrak{an}_- and the corresponding connected subgroup of G by AN_- . The tuple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{an}_-)$ along with $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_s$ is a Manin triple.⁶ The dual Lie bialgebras defined by this Manin triple are $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{k},s})$ and $(\mathfrak{an}_-, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{an}_-}, \delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-,s})$, where $\delta_{\mathfrak{k},s}$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-,s}$ are defined by Equation (20). Denote $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}} := \delta_{\mathfrak{k},1}$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-} := \delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-,1}$, and observe that $\delta_{\mathfrak{k},s} = s\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-,s} = s\delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-}$. The Lie bialgebras $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, s\delta_{\mathfrak{k}})$ and $(\mathfrak{an}_-, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{an}_-}, s\delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-})$ integrate to dual Poisson-Lie groups $(K, s\pi_K)$ and $(AN_-, s\pi_{K^*})$. For this reason, we often denote $K^* := AN_-$.

Remark 2.7. If $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is a covering group of G , then the covering map restricts to a Lie group isomorphism from $\widehat{AN}_- \subset \widehat{G}$ to $AN_- \subset G$. For this reason, we often assume that G is of the form

$$G \cong Z \times G_{sc}, \quad (21)$$

where G_{sc} is semisimple and simply connected, and Z is an algebraic torus with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 2.8. For K , which is not assumed to be simply connected, the integration of $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is achieved by defining an anti-symmetric r -matrix $\Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{k} \wedge \mathfrak{k}$ such that the Lie algebra 1-cocycle $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a coboundary, i.e. $\partial\Lambda_0 = \delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$. The formula for this r -matrix is the same as in the semisimple or simple case (see e.g. [16]). The Poisson-Lie group structure on K is then defined as $\pi_K := \Lambda_0^L - \Lambda_0^R$, where Λ_0^L and Λ_0^R denote the right and left invariant bivector fields on K with $\Lambda_0^L(e) = \Lambda_0^R(e) = \Lambda_0$.

Remark 2.9. Although there is some choice in the definition of the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the Poisson-Lie groups K and AN_- only depend on the restriction of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, since

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{k}}|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})} = 0 \text{ and } \delta_{\mathfrak{an}_-}|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})} = 0.$$

Definition 2.10. For all $k \in K$ and $b \in AN_-$, the equation

$$kb = b^k k^b \quad (22)$$

uniquely determines elements $b^k \in AN_-$ and $k^b \in K$ by the Iwasawa decomposition, $G = AN_-K$. This defines a left action $K \times AN_- \rightarrow AN_-$, $(k, b) \mapsto b^k$, and a right action, $AN_- \times K \rightarrow K$, $(k, b) \mapsto k^b$. These are the *dressing actions* of K and AN_- on each other.

Note that since T normalizes AN_- , the dressing action of T on AN_- is simply conjugation.

⁶Most references, such as [51], prefer to use \mathfrak{an}_+ in their definition of the standard Manin triple on \mathfrak{g} . Our convention is equivalent: the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $x \mapsto -x^\dagger$, defines an isomorphism of Manin triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{an}_-, \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_s) \cong (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{an}_+, \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{-s})$.

2.3.2 Explicit formula for the Poisson bracket on K^*

The real Poisson structure π_{K^*} can be described in terms of a coboundary Poisson-Lie group structure on G as follows.

Fix a \mathbb{C} -basis of \mathfrak{g} , consisting of $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R$, and $X_j \in \mathfrak{a}$, $j = 1, \dots, \tilde{r}$, subject to

$$(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1, \forall \alpha \in R, \quad (X_i, X_j)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta_{i,j}, i, j \in [1, \tilde{r}]. \quad (23)$$

Taking tensors over \mathbb{R} , define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{r}} (\sqrt{-1}X_j) \otimes X_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (E_\alpha \otimes (\sqrt{-1}E_{-\alpha}) + (\sqrt{-1}E_\alpha) \otimes E_{-\alpha} - E_{-\alpha} \otimes \sqrt{-1}E_{-\alpha} + (\sqrt{-1}E_{-\alpha}) \otimes E_{-\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Let $\pi_G = \mathfrak{r}^L - \mathfrak{r}^R$, where \mathfrak{r}^L and \mathfrak{r}^R denote the left and right-invariant tensor fields on G satisfying $\mathfrak{r}^L(e) = \mathfrak{r}^R(e) = \mathfrak{r}$. If G is viewed as a complex manifold and π_G a section of the complexification of $\wedge^2 TG$, then the formula simplifies, taking tensors over \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathfrak{r} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{r}} X_j \otimes X_j + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} E_\alpha \otimes E_{-\alpha}. \quad (25)$$

The dual Poisson Lie group (AN_-, π_{K^*}) is an anti-Poisson submanifold of (G, π_G) . The following is immediate from the expression (24) for \mathfrak{r} . Here and in what follows, we follow the convention that, for $X \in \mathfrak{b}_-$, and $f \in C^\infty(B_-, \mathbb{C})$, the action of X is

$$(X \cdot f)(b) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(\exp(-tX)b), \quad (f \cdot X)(b) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(b \exp(tX)).$$

Lemma 2.11. *Let f and g be complex valued functions defined on an open subset of B_- . If f is holomorphic and g is anti-holomorphic, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \{f|_{K^*}, g|_{K^*}\}_{K^*} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{r}} ((X_j \cdot f)(X_j \cdot g) - (f \cdot X_j)(g \cdot X_j))|_{K^*} \\ &+ \sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} ((E_{-\alpha} \cdot f)(E_{-\alpha} \cdot g) - (f \cdot E_{-\alpha})(g \cdot E_{-\alpha}))|_{K^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Computing directly from the definition (24), one finds for $b \in K^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{f|_{K^*}, g|_{K^*}\}_{K^*}(b) &= -\{f, g\}_G(b) = \iota_{dg|_b} \iota_{df|_b}(\mathfrak{r}b - b\mathfrak{r}) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{r}} (f(\exp(tX_j)b)g(\exp(tX_j)b) - f(b \exp(tX_j))g(b \exp(tX_j))) \\ &+ \sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (f(\exp(tE_{-\alpha})b)g(\exp(tE_{-\alpha})b) - f(b \exp(tE_{-\alpha}))g(b \exp(tE_{-\alpha}))). \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we use that df is \mathbb{C} -linear and dg is \mathbb{C} -antilinear. The result then immediately follows. \square

2.3.3 Hamiltonian K -manifolds with K^* -valued moment maps

Let (K, π) be a Poisson-Lie group and let (M, Π) be a Poisson manifold. A smooth left action of K on M is a *Poisson action* if the action map $K \times M \rightarrow M$ is a Poisson map (with respect to the product Poisson structure on $K \times M$). Let $\theta^R \in \Omega^1(AN_-, \mathfrak{an}_-)$ denote the right invariant Maurer-Cartan form.

Definition 2.12 (Hamiltonian K -manifold with K^* -valued moment map). Let $s \neq 0$. A Poisson action of $(K, s\pi_K)$ on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *Hamiltonian with K^* -valued moment map* if there is a K -equivariant map $\Psi: M \rightarrow AN_-$ such that

$$\iota_{\underline{X}}\omega = -\Psi^*\langle\langle\theta^R, X\rangle\rangle_s, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

The map Ψ is a K^* -valued moment map. The tuple (M, Ω, Ψ) is a *Hamiltonian K -manifold with K^* -valued moment map*.

A K^* -valued moment map is a Poisson map to $(AN_-, s\pi_{K^*})$ [49, Theorem 4.8]. Hamiltonian K -manifolds with K^* -valued moment maps can be defined more generally for Poisson actions of K under the assumption that dual Poisson-Lie groups K and K^* admit a double [49].

2.3.4 Delinearization of Hamiltonian K -manifolds

Let $(K, s\pi_K)$ and $(AN_-, s\pi_{K^*})$ be as in Section 2.3.1. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define a map

$$E_s: \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow AN_-$$

as follows. Recall from (13) the comparison map $\psi_{\mathfrak{k}}: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ given by the choice of form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}$. For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}^*$, define $E_s(\lambda) := \exp(\frac{s\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi_{\mathfrak{k}}^{-1}(\lambda))$. Extend the definition of E_s to all of \mathfrak{k}^* by K -equivariance with respect to the coadjoint action and the dressing action, i.e.

$$E_s(\text{Ad}_k^* \lambda) := E_s(\lambda)^k \quad \forall k \in K.$$

For $s \neq 0$, E_s is a K -equivariant diffeomorphism.

Let $\theta^L \in \Omega^1(AN_-, \mathfrak{an}_-)$ denote the left invariant Maurer-Cartan form. Following [1], for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\beta_s := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}H(E_s^*(\theta^L \wedge (\theta^L)^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{g}}) \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{k}^*) \quad (26)$$

where $H: \Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{k}^*) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ is the Poincaré homotopy operator defined by the homotopy $[0, 1] \times \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, $(t, \lambda) \mapsto t\lambda$, and $(\theta^L)^\dagger \in \Omega^1(AN_-, \mathfrak{an}_+)$ denotes the anti-involution $(\cdot)^\dagger$ applied to the values of θ^L .

Lemma 2.13. *The 2-form β_s is invariant with respect to the coadjoint action of T .*

Proof. Since the homotopy used to define H is Ad^* -equivariant and the map E_s is equivariant, it suffices to show that $(\theta^L \wedge (\theta^L)^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is invariant with respect to the dressing action of T .

The dressing action of T on AN_- coincides with the action by conjugation, i.e. $b^t = tbt^{-1}$ for all $t \in T$ and $b \in AN_-$ (see Definition 2.10). Let C_t denote conjugation by t , and let $\text{Ad}_t \theta^L$ denote the adjoint action of t on the values of θ^L . Then $C_t^* \theta^L = \text{Ad}_t \theta^L$, and

$$C_t^*(\theta^L \wedge (\theta^L)^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{g}} = ((C_t^* \theta^L) \wedge (C_t^* (\theta^L)^\dagger))_{\mathfrak{g}} = ((\text{Ad}_t \theta^L) \wedge (\text{Ad}_t (\theta^L)^\dagger))_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

A short calculation shows that $((\text{Ad}_t \theta^L) \wedge (\text{Ad}_t (\theta^L)^\dagger))_{\mathfrak{g}} = ((\theta^L) \wedge (\theta^L)^\dagger)_{\mathfrak{g}}$. \square

Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a smooth left action of K . Given a symplectic form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ and a map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, define

$$\Psi^s := E_s \circ \mu, \quad \Omega^s := \omega + \mu^* d\beta^s. \quad (27)$$

For all $s \neq 0$ the forms Ω^s are symplectic [1].

Theorem 2.14 (Linearization/Delinearization Theorem [1]). *Let M be a smooth manifold equipped with a smooth left action of K . Let $\omega, \Omega^s \in \Omega^2(M)$ and Ψ^s, μ be related by (27). Then, for all $s \neq 0$, (M, Ω^s, Ψ^s) is a Hamiltonian $(K, s\pi_K)$ -manifold with $(AN_-, s\pi_{K^*})$ -valued moment map if and only if (M, ω, μ) is a Hamiltonian K -manifold.*

Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$ denote the canonical Poisson structure on \mathfrak{k}^* and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s}$ denote the gauge transformation⁷ of $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}$ by $\sigma^s = d\beta^s$. Define a vector field Y^s on \mathfrak{k}^* by the equation

$$Y^s = (\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s})^\# \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \beta^s \right) \quad (28)$$

and let ψ_s denote the flow of Y^s . By Moser's trick for Poisson manifolds, $(\psi_s)_* \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*} = \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s}$ for all s such that ψ_s is defined. On any Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, ω, μ) , define a Moser vector field X^s by the equation

$$\iota_{X^s} \Omega^s = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu^* \beta^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (29)$$

and let ϕ_s denote the flow of X^s . By Moser's trick, $\phi_s^* \Omega^s = \omega$ for all s such that ϕ_s is defined.

Let $\text{wt}: AN_- \rightarrow A$ be given by $\text{wt}(x) = [x]_0$. If (M, Ω, Ψ) is a Hamiltonian $(K, s\pi_K)$ -manifold with $K^* = AN_-$ -valued moment map, then $(M, \Omega, E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi)$ is a Hamiltonian T -manifold, where T acts as the maximal torus of K .

Proposition 2.15. *The flows ψ_s and ϕ_s have the following properties (valid for all s such that ψ_s and ϕ_s are defined).*

- (i) $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s} = (E_s^{-1})_* s\pi_{K^*}$.
- (ii) The flow ψ_s preserves coadjoint orbits. In particular, ψ_s is defined for all s .
- (iii) $\psi_s \circ \mu = \mu \circ \phi_s$.
- (iv) Both ψ_s and ϕ_s are equivariant with respect to the action of T as the maximal torus of K .
- (v) The flow ϕ_s is equivariant with respect to the Thimm torus action defined on the dense subset $U \subset M$ (see Section 2.2.1).
- (vi) The following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\phi_s} & M \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{S} \circ \mu & & \downarrow \mathcal{S} \circ \mu \\
 \mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{=} & \mathfrak{t}_+^* \\
 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \\
 & & \mathfrak{t}^*
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\phi_s} & M \\
 \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \Psi^s \\
 \mathfrak{k}^* & & K^* \\
 \downarrow \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} & & \downarrow \text{wt} \\
 \mathfrak{t}^* & \xrightarrow{E_s} & A
 \end{array}
 \quad (30)$$

⁷See e.g. [12] for more details on gauge transformations of Poisson structures.

Proof. (i) This is [1, Theorem 1] (see also the remark at the end of [1]).

(ii) The first claim follows from (i) and the definition of Y^s . The second claim follows by the Escape Lemma since coadjoint orbits are compact.

(iii) It suffices to prove that $\mu_* X^s = Y^s$. Letting $(\Omega^s)^{-1}$ denote the Poisson structure on M defined by the symplectic structure Ω^s , observe that (29) can be re-written equivalently as

$$X^s = ((\Omega^s)^{-1})^\# \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu^* \beta^s \right).$$

Since $\Psi^s = E_s \circ \mu$ is a Poisson map with respect to $s\pi_{K^*}$, it follows by (i) that $\mu = E_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s$ is a Poisson map with respect to $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s}$. Thus

$$\mu_* X^s = (\pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}^{\sigma^s})^\# \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \beta^s \right) = Y^s.$$

(iv) Follows by Lemma 2.13 along with Equations (27), (28), (29), and equivariance of μ .

(v) The map μ is equivariant as a map from U to \mathfrak{k}^* with respect to the Thimm torus action of T on U and the trivial action of T on \mathfrak{k}^* . Since β^s is invariant with respect to the trivial action of T on \mathfrak{k}^* , it follows by the same argument as (iv) that ϕ_s is equivariant with respect to the Thimm torus action of T on U .

(vi) The first diagram is a direct consequence of (iii) since \mathcal{S} is constant on coadjoint orbits.

The action of T on (M, ω) as the maximal torus of K is Hamiltonian with moment map $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu$. The action of T on (M, Ω^s) as the maximal torus of K is Hamiltonian with moment map $E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s$. Since M is connected and $\phi_s : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (M, \Omega^s)$ is a T -equivariant symplectomorphism, it follows by uniqueness of moment maps that there is an element $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that

$$E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s \circ \phi_s = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu + \xi.$$

The set $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ is non-empty by K -equivariance of μ . Let $m \in \mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$. Then it follows by (27) and (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s \circ \phi_s(m) &= E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ E_s \circ \mu(\phi_s(m)) \\ &= E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ E_s \circ \psi_s(\mu(m)). \end{aligned}$$

By (ii) and (iv), ψ_s fixes elements of \mathfrak{t}^* . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s \circ \phi_s(m) &= E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ E_s \circ \mu(m) \\ &= \mu(m) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu(m), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\xi = 0$. Thus the second diagram commutes. \square

The flow ϕ_s is defined for all s if M is compact. However, one does not need such a strong assumption.

Corollary 2.16. *Let (M, ω, μ) be a Hamiltonian K -manifold such that the connected components of the fibers of μ are compact. Then, the symplectic forms ω and Ω^s are isotopic for all $s \neq 0$. In particular, if (M, ω, μ) is multiplicity free, then ω and Ω^s are isotopic for all s .*

Proof. Since μ is K -equivariant and K is compact, connected components of fibers of μ are compact if and only if the connected components of $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ are compact for every coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} . Let $m \in M$. By Proposition 2.15 (ii) and (iii), the flow $\phi_s(m)$ is contained in a connected component of $\mu^{-1}(K \cdot \mu(m))$. The result then follows by the Escape Lemma for flows of smooth vector fields. The final claim follows since K acts transitively on connected components of $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ when (M, ω, μ) is multiplicity free. \square

Remark 2.17. Using a similar argument, one can show that $E_1 \circ \psi_1 : (\mathfrak{k}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{k}^*}) \rightarrow (K^*, \pi_{K^*})$ is well defined. It is therefore a Ginzburg-Weinstein isomorphism in the sense of Theorem 1.5.

Example 2.18. Recall the Hamiltonian $K \times T$ -manifold $(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}}, (\mu_L, \mu_R))$ from Example 2.3. Since T is a torus, the Poisson-Lie group structure $s\pi_{K \times T}$ defined in Section 2.3.1 equals $s\pi_K$ and the dual Poisson-Lie group is $(AN_- \times A, s\pi_{K^*})$. The map E_s for $K \times T$ is

$$\left(E_s, \exp \left(\frac{s\sqrt{-1}}{2} \psi_{\mathfrak{k}}^{-1} \right) \right) : \mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow AN_- \times A \quad (31)$$

Since T is a torus, the 2-form $\beta^s \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*)$ coincides with the pullback of the 2-form $\beta^s \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ under projection $\mathfrak{k}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Thus,

$$\Psi^s = \left(E_s \circ \mu_L, \exp \left(\frac{s\sqrt{-1}}{2} \psi_{\mathfrak{k}}^{-1} \circ \mu_R \right) \right), \quad \Omega^s = \omega_{\text{can}} + \mu_L^* d\beta^s. \quad (32)$$

The action of T on $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ coincides with the action of the Thimm torus of K . It follows by Proposition 2.15 (ii), (iii), and (v) that the action of T on $(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*, \Omega^s)$ is also Hamiltonian with moment map μ_R .

An explicit formula for the Poisson structure defined by Ω^s can be given as follows. Fix the same basis of \mathfrak{g} consisting of elements $X_j \in \mathfrak{h}$ and root vectors $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ as in Section 2.3.2. Let $P_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the basis dual to X_j . Then, as a section of $\wedge^2 T^{\mathbb{C}}(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*)$,

$$(\Omega^s)^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{2s\sqrt{-1}(E_\alpha \wedge E_{-\alpha})^L}{\exp(2s\sqrt{-1}\langle \xi, [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] \rangle) - 1} + \sum_j X_j^L \wedge P_j + s\pi_K \quad (33)$$

where for any $X \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$, X^L denotes the left-invariant multivector field on K whose value at e equals X . This formula follows by combining the explicit formula for $s\pi_{K^*}$ given in [50, Proposition 5.12], the fact that $(\Psi^s)_*(\Omega^s)^{-1} = s\pi_{K^*}$, and the definition of Ω^s .

2.3.5 Legendre transforms on K^*

The *Legendre transform* of $f \in C^\infty(K^*)$ is the map $\mathfrak{L}_f : K^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ uniquely defined by the equation

$$\langle \theta^R, \mathfrak{L}_f \rangle_s = df. \quad (34)$$

Note that if $\beta \in \Omega^1(K^*)$, then \mathfrak{L}_β is defined as in (34) by replacing \mathfrak{L}_f with \mathfrak{L}_β and df with β . This is the analogue for K^* -valued moment maps of the Legendre transform on \mathfrak{k}^* used in [25, 26] to study Hamiltonian flows of collective functions (i.e. functions of the form $f \circ \mu$ where μ is a moment map). The main property of Legendre transforms on \mathfrak{k}^* generalizes directly to the setting of Hamiltonian spaces with K^* -valued moment maps as follows.

Proposition 2.19. *If (M, Ω, Ψ) is Hamiltonian K -manifold with K^* -valued moment map, then*

$$(X_{f \circ \Psi})_m = \underline{\mathfrak{L}_f(\Psi(m))}_m, \quad \forall m \in M. \quad (35)$$

Proof. By (34), the moment map equation, and the definition of $X_{f \circ \Psi}$: for all $m \in M$,

$$-\iota_{\underline{\mathfrak{L}_f(\Psi(m))}_m} \Omega = (\Psi^* \langle \theta^R, \mathfrak{L}_f \rangle_s)_m = (\Psi^* df)_m = -\iota_{(X_{f \circ \Psi})_m} \Omega.$$

The proposition then follows by non-degeneracy of Ω . \square

2.4 Cluster algebras

In this section, we first recall some basic definitions from cluster theory. Secondly, we recall the construction of the cluster algebra structure on the double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$. At the end, we recall the homogeneity of the cluster algebra structure on $G^{u,v}$. In the rest of the paper, we mainly focus on the cell $G^{w_0,e}$. More detail can be found in [9, 31].

2.4.1 Seeds and cluster mutations

Definition 2.20. A *seed* $\sigma = (I, J, M)$ consists of a finite set I , a subset $J \subset I$ and an integer matrix $M = [M_{ij}]_{i,j \in I}$ which is skew-symmetrizable, i.e. there exists an $I \times I$ diagonal matrix D with positive integer entries, called a skew-symmetrizer, such that $MD = -(MD)^T$. The *principal part* of M is given by $M_0 = [M_{ij}]_{i,j \in J}$.

Consider the ambient field \mathcal{F} of rational functions over \mathbb{C} in $|I|$ independent variables. A *labeled seed* in \mathcal{F} is a pair (z_σ, σ) where σ is a seed and $z_\sigma := \{z_i \in \mathcal{F} \mid i \in I\}$ is a set of $|I|$ elements forming a free generating set of \mathcal{F} . We refer to z_σ as a *cluster* and the z_i as *cluster variables*. Denote $z_\sigma^0 := \{z_i \mid i \in J\}$, which is set of *unfrozen variables*.

If (I, J, M) is a seed, the mutation $\mu_k(M)$ of M in direction $k \in J$ is the $I \times I$ matrix with entries:

$$\mu_k(M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} -M_{ij}, & \text{if } k \in \{i, j\}; \\ M_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(|M_{ik}M_{kj} + M_{ik}M_{kj}|), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If D is a skew-symmetrizer of M , then D is a skew-symmetrizer of $\mu_k(M)$. A *seed mutation in direction* $k \in J$ transforms a labeled seed (z_σ, σ) into a new labeled seed $\mu_k(z_\sigma, \sigma) = (z_{\sigma'}, \sigma')$, where $\sigma' = (I, J, \mu_k(M))$ and the new cluster $z_{\sigma'} \subset \mathcal{F}$ contains the cluster variables:

$$\mu_k(z_i) = \begin{cases} z_i, & \text{if } i \neq k; \\ z_k^{-1} \left(\prod_{M_{jk} > 0} z_j^{M_{jk}} + \prod_{M_{jk} < 0} z_j^{-M_{jk}} \right), & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Two seeds will be called *mutation equivalent* if they are related by a sequence of mutations. The equivalence class of a labeled seed (z_σ, σ) is denoted by $|\sigma|$.

Definition 2.21. Let (z_σ, σ) be a labeled seed. Let \mathcal{P} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $\{z_i, z_i^{-1} \mid i \in I \setminus J\}$. The *cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$ over \mathcal{P} associated with the labeled seed (z_σ, σ) is the \mathcal{P} -subalgebra of \mathcal{F} generated by the set

$$\{z \in \mathcal{F} \mid z \in z_{\sigma'}^0, \sigma' \in |\sigma|\}$$

of all unfrozen cluster variables. In this case (z_σ, σ) is the *initial seed* of $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$.

Definition 2.22 ([19]). The *(Langlands) dual seed* of a seed $\sigma = (I, J, M)$ is $\sigma^\vee := (I, J, -M^T)$. If (z_σ, σ) is a labeled seed, let \mathcal{F}^\vee be the ambient field of rational functions over \mathbb{C} , in the variables $z_i^\vee, i \in I$. The *dual labeled seed* is $(z_\sigma^\vee, \sigma^\vee)$, where $z_\sigma^\vee = \{z_i^\vee \in \mathcal{F}^\vee \mid i \in I\}$. For a labeled seed (z_σ, σ) , denote by $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}^\vee := \mathcal{A}_{|\sigma^\vee|}$ the cluster algebra associated with $(z_\sigma^\vee, \sigma^\vee)$, which we call the *(Langlands) dual cluster algebra* of \mathcal{A}_σ .

If μ is a sequence of mutations at indices $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$ which takes a labeled seed (z, σ) to (z', σ') , let μ^\vee denote the sequence of mutations at indices j_1, \dots, j_n applied to the dual seed (z^\vee, σ^\vee) . It is straightforward to verify that μ^\vee takes the dual seed (z^\vee, σ^\vee) to (z'^\vee, σ'^\vee) .

Let $(z_\sigma, \sigma = (I, J, M))$ be a labeled seed, and assume a skew-symmetrizer D of M has been fixed, with diagonal entries $D_{ii} = d_i$. For each labeled seed $(z_{\sigma'}, \sigma') \in |\sigma|$, define a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi_{\sigma'}^*: \mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}, \quad z_i^\vee \mapsto z_i^{d_i}, \quad z_i^\vee \in z_{\sigma'}. \quad (37)$$

Note that $\Psi_{\sigma'} \neq \Psi_\sigma$ in general.

2.4.2 Cluster algebra structures on double Bruhat cells

In this section, we assume that G is a connected reductive algebraic group of rank r as before. We will recall how to construct a cluster algebra structure on the coordinate algebra of a reduced double Bruhat cell $L^{u,v}$ and double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v}$, for any pair $(u, v) \in W \times W$.

A *double reduced word* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for (u, v) is a shuffle of a reduced word for u , written in the alphabet $\{-r, \dots, -1\}$, and a reduced word for v , written in the alphabet $\{1, \dots, r\}$, where $n = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$. For $k \in -[1, r] \cup [1, n]$, we denote by

$$k^+ = \min\{j \mid j > k, |i_j| = |i_k|\}. \quad (38)$$

If $|i_j| \neq |i_k|$ for all $j > k$, we set $k^+ = n + 1$. An index k is *\mathbf{i} -exchangeable* if both $k, k^+ \in [1, n]$. Let $e(\mathbf{i})$ denote the set of all \mathbf{i} -exchangeable indices.

Fix a double reduced word \mathbf{i} of (u, v) . Let $I = [-r, -1] \cup [1, n]$, $J = e(\mathbf{i})$, and $L := [-r, -1] \cup e(\mathbf{i}) \subset I$. Construct a $I \times I$ matrix $M(\mathbf{i})$ as in [9, Remark 2.4]: For $k, l \in I$, set $p = \max\{k, l\}$ and $q = \min\{k^+, l^+\}$, and let $\epsilon(k)$ be the sign of k . Then following [9, Remark 2.4], let

$$M(\mathbf{i})_{kl} = \begin{cases} -\epsilon(k-l) \cdot \epsilon(i_p), & \text{if } p = q; \\ -\epsilon(k-l) \cdot \epsilon(i_p) \cdot A_{|i_k|, |i_l|}, & \text{if } p < q \text{ and } \epsilon(i_p)\epsilon(i_q)(k-l)(k^+ - l^+) > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Here and throughout we use the convention that $i_{-k} = k$, for $k \in [1, r]$. Recall that A is the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by $\overline{M}(\mathbf{i}) := [M(\mathbf{i})_{kl}]_{k, l \in L}$ the $L \times L$ submatrix of $M(\mathbf{i})$.

Recall the matrix D , which is the symmetrizer of the Cartan matrix A fixed in Section 2.1.7. Consider the diagonal $I \times I$ matrix D with entries

$$D_{j,j} = D_{|i_j|, |i_j|} = d_{|i_j|} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (40)$$

Let \overline{D} be the submatrix of D with rows and columns indexed by L . Then $M(\mathbf{i})$ is skew-symmetrizable, with skew-symmetrizer D , and $\overline{M}(\mathbf{i})$ is skew-symmetrizable, with skew-symmetrizer \overline{D} .

Define the following seeds:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{i}) := (I, J, M(\mathbf{i})), \quad \overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i}) := (L, J, \overline{M}(\mathbf{i})).$$

If σ is obtained by mutating $\sigma(\mathbf{i})$ at indices j_1, \dots, j_n , let $\overline{\sigma}$ denote the seed obtained by mutating $\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})$ at the same indices. For a double reduced word \mathbf{i} of (u, v) and $k \in [1, n]$, denote

$$u_k := \prod_{\substack{l=1, \dots, k \\ i_l < 0}} s_{|i_l|}, \quad v_k := \prod_{\substack{l=n, \dots, k+1 \\ i_l > 0}} s_{i_l},$$

where the index is increasing (resp. decreasing) in the product on the left (resp. right). Denote

$$\Delta_k := \Delta_{u_k \omega_{|i_k|}, v_k \omega_{|i_k|}} \text{ for } k \in [1, n]; \quad \Delta_k := \Delta_{\omega_{|k|}, v^{-1} \omega_{|k|}} \text{ for } k \in [-r, -1]. \quad (41)$$

Theorem 2.23. [9, Theorem 2.10] For every double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (u, v) , the map

$$\varphi^{u,v}: \mathcal{A}_{|\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L^{u,v}], \quad z_k \mapsto \Delta_k|_{L^{u,v}}, \quad \text{for } k \in L$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. If G is simply connected, the map

$$\phi^{u,v}: \mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}], \quad z_k \mapsto \Delta_k, \quad \text{for } k \in I$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. Here $z_k \in \mathbf{z}_{\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})}$ (resp. $z_k \in \mathbf{z}_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$) is in the initial seed of $\mathcal{A}_{|\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|}$).

Remark 2.24. Theorem 2.10 in [9] is stated for non-reduced double Bruhat cells; the statement for reduced double Bruhat cells follows by passing to a cover as in (9) and specializing the frozen variables $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, \omega_i} = 1$. Strictly speaking, in [9] they show there is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}]$ to the upper cluster algebra of $\sigma(\mathbf{i})$. We ignore this detail here for two reasons: First, it is known that in the case of double Bruhat cells, the cluster algebra and upper cluster algebra coincide (see for instance the introduction of [32]). Second, we only make use of the Laurent phenomenon of $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|}$ in what follows, and so all our results hold if “cluster” is replaced by “upper cluster”.

Let us apply Theorem 2.23 to the Langlands dual group G^\vee of G . Let \mathbf{i} be a double reduced word for (u, v) as before. Let $M^\vee(\mathbf{i})$ be the matrix defined in (39), with respect to the Cartan matrix $A^\vee = A^T$ of G^\vee . Denote by $\overline{M}^\vee(\mathbf{i})$ the submatrix of $M^\vee(\mathbf{i})$ formed by taking the $[-r, -1] \cup e(\mathbf{i})$ rows and columns. Direct computation shows that

$$\overline{M}^\vee(\mathbf{i}) = -\overline{M}^T(\mathbf{i}).$$

Thus $\mathbb{C}[L^{\vee; u, v}]$ is the Langlands dual cluster algebra to $\mathbb{C}[L^{u, v}]$.

2.4.3 Homogeneous cluster algebras

In this section, we recall the notion of homogeneous cluster algebras. For a more detailed discussion, see [31, 34].

Definition 2.25. A cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$ with initial seed $(\mathbf{z}_\sigma, \sigma)$ is *graded* by an abelian group \mathcal{G} if the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}_\sigma^\pm]$ is graded by \mathcal{G} and the initial cluster variables z_i are homogeneous for $i \in I$. We say a graded cluster algebra is *homogeneous* if all cluster variables are homogeneous with respect to the grading. Denote by $|z|$ the degree of a homogeneous element $z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}_\sigma^\pm]$.

Proposition 2.26. A \mathcal{G} -graded cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$ with initial seed $(\mathbf{z}_\sigma, \sigma = (I, J, M))$ is homogeneous if and only if

$$\sum_{i \in I} |z_i| M_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall j \in J, \quad (42)$$

where $z_i \in \mathbf{z}_\sigma$ and $|z_i|$ is the degree of z_i .

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$ is homogeneous, the equation (42) follows from the fact that the cluster variables $z'_k \in \mathbf{z}_{\sigma'}$ of the seed $\sigma' = \mu_k(\sigma)$ are homogeneous. To be more precise, the variable z'_k is homogeneous if and only if the monomials in (36) have the same degree. Then we have:

$$\sum_{j: M_{jk} > 0} |z_j| M_{jk} = - \sum_{j: M_{jk} < 0} |z_j| M_{jk},$$

which is equivalent to (42).

For the other direction, by induction, all we need to show is

$$\sum_{i \in I} |\mu_k(z_i)| \mu_k(M)_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall k, j \in J. \quad (43)$$

First all, note that $\mu_k(z_k)$ has degree:

$$|\mu_k(z_k)| = -|z_k| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} |z_i| |M_{ik}|.$$

Then for $j \neq k$, noting that $M_{kk} = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{i \in I} |\mu_k(z_i)| \mu_k(M)_{ij} &= 2 \sum_{i \neq k} |z_i| \mu_k(M)_{ij} + 2 |\mu_k(z_k)| \mu_k(M)_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq k} |z_i| (2M_{ij} + |M_{ik}| M_{kj} + M_{ik} |M_{kj}|) - \left(\sum_{i \in I} |z_i| |M_{ik}| - 2|z_k| \right) M_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq k} |z_i| |M_{ik}| M_{kj} = |M_{kj}| \sum_{i \in I} |z_i| |M_{ik}| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The relation (42) is used when moving from the second line to the third, and again moving from the third line to the fourth. For $j = k$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} |\mu_k(z_i)| \mu_k(M)_{ik} = - \sum_{i \neq k} |z_i| |M_{ik}| = 0.$$

Thus we get (43). □

2.4.4 Homogeneity of cluster variables on double Bruhat cells

Let G be a simply connected semisimple Lie group. Consider the action of $H \times H$ on $\mathbb{C}[G]$, where $(h, h') \cdot f(x) = f(hxh')$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ and $(h, h') \in H \times H$. Then $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}]$ has a natural $P \times P$ -grading, where the $P \times P$ -homogenous elements are $H \times H$ -eigenvectors in $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}]$.

Thus for a fixed double reduced word \mathbf{i} of $(u, v) \in W \times W$, the generalized minor $\Delta_{\gamma, \delta}$ naturally has a degree $(\gamma, \delta) \in P \times P$:

$$|\Delta_k| := (u_k \omega_{|i_k|}, v_k \omega_{|i_k|}) \text{ for } k \in [1, n]; \quad |\Delta_k| := (\omega_{|k|}, v^{-1} \omega_{|k|}) \text{ for } k \in [-r, -1].$$

Proposition 2.27. [31, Lemma 4.22] *Let $(z_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}, \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = (I, J = e(\mathbf{i}), M(\mathbf{i})))$ be the initial seed as in Section 2.4.2. For any $k \in J$, we have:*

$$\sum_j u_j \omega_{|i_j|} M(\mathbf{i})_{jk} = 0, \quad \sum_j v_j \omega_{|i_j|} M(\mathbf{i})_{jk} = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.23, we define a grading $|\cdot|$ for the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|}$ by:

$$|z_k| := |\Delta_k| \text{ for } k \in [-r, -1] \cup [1, n].$$

Thus it follows immediately:

Corollary 2.28. *Let \mathbf{i} be a double reduced word of (u, v) and $(z_\sigma, \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = (I, J, M(\mathbf{i})))$ be the initial seed as in Section 2.4.2. The $P \times P$ -graded cluster algebra $(\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|}, |\cdot|)$ is homogeneous.*

Now assume $(u, v) = (w_0, e)$. Recall that following (10) we defined the twisted minors

$$\Delta_{u\omega_i, \omega}^\zeta := \Delta_{u\omega_i, \omega_i} \circ \zeta,$$

Note that the twisted minors $\Delta_{u\omega_i, \omega_i}^\zeta$'s are homogeneous:

Proposition 2.29. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}]$ be homogenous of degree $(\gamma, \delta) \in P \times P$. Then $f \circ \zeta$ is homogenous of degree $(-w_0\gamma, -\delta)$. In particular, the function $\Delta_{u\omega_i, \omega_i}^\zeta$ is of degree $(-w_0u\omega_i, -\omega_i)$.*

Proof. By the uniqueness of the Gauss decomposition, we have for all $g \in G_0$ and $h \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} [hg]_{\geq 0} &= h[g]_{\geq 0}, \text{ since } hg = [hg]_- [hg]_{\geq 0} = h[g]_- h^{-1} \cdot h[g]_{\geq 0}; \\ [gh]_{\geq 0} &= [g]_{\geq 0} h, \text{ since } gh = [gh]_- [gh]_{\geq 0} = [g]_- \cdot [g]_{\geq 0} h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus one computes for $x \in G^{w_0, e}$ and $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \zeta(hx) &= f([\overline{w_0}^{-1}hx]_{\geq 0}^\theta) = f([\overline{w_0}^{-1}h\overline{w_0}]^{-1}[\overline{w_0}^{-1}x]_{\geq 0}^\theta) = h^{-w_0\gamma}(f \circ \zeta)(x); \\ f \circ \zeta(xh) &= f([\overline{w_0}^{-1}xh]_{\geq 0}^\theta) = f([\overline{w_0}^{-1}x]_{\geq 0}^\theta \cdot h^{-1}) = f \circ \zeta(x)h^{-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the degree of $f \circ \zeta$. □

Thus by Corollary 2.28 and Proposition 2.29, if $f \in \mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}]$ is a cluster variable or the twist of a cluster variable, then f is a $H \times H$ -eigenvector.

3 Positivity and polyhedral parameterizations of canonical bases

In this section we briefly recall the notions of a positive structure and the tropicalization functor, and describe a fragment of the theory of geometric crystals. More detailed discussions of these subjects can be found in Sections 3.1, 4.2, and 6.3 of [10]. In the next section we will connect these notions to our more analytic perspective, of scaled families of functions on the real manifold AN_- .

Highlights of this Section are Definition 3.3 of a positive variety with potential, Definition 3.7 which introduces the notion of tropicalization, Definition 3.14 of the Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) potential on a double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$, Corollary 3.18 explaining that cluster variables on $G^{w_0, e}$ are dominated by the BK potential, Remark 3.24 stating that tropicalization of $G^{w_0, e}$ in twisted cluster variables gives rise to the sting cone of G^\vee and Theorem 3.26 which establishes an isomorphism (over \mathbb{R}) of sting cones for G and G^\vee .

We will make the abbreviation $\mathbb{C}^{\times n} := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. We fix a reductive algebraic group G with compact form K , as in previous sections.

3.1 Positivity theory and tropicalization

Throughout, we frequently view characters $\gamma \in X^*(H)$ of a complex algebraic torus H as regular functions on H . In particular, the standard coordinates z_1, \dots, z_m on $\mathbb{C}^{\times m}$ are identified with the standard basis of $X^*(\mathbb{C}^{\times m})$.

Definition 3.1. A *toric chart* on irreducible complex algebraic variety A is an open embedding $\theta: H \rightarrow A$ of a complex algebraic torus H . Given a toric chart $\theta: \mathbb{C}^{\times m} \rightarrow A$, the function $z_i \circ \theta^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}(A)$ is a θ -coordinate on A . We often write $z_i = z_i \circ \theta^{-1}$.

Definition 3.2. Let H and S be complex algebraic tori. A rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ is *positive* if it can be written in a subtraction free form

$$f = \frac{f'}{f''}, \quad f' = \sum_{\gamma \in X^*(H)} A_\gamma \gamma, \quad f'' = \sum_{\delta \in X^*(H)} B_\delta \delta \quad (44)$$

for $A_\gamma, B_\delta \geq 0$ with all but finitely many of the coefficients A_γ, B_δ equal to zero. A rational map $F: H \rightarrow S$ is *positive* if F^γ is positive for all $\gamma \in X^*(S)$.

Characters are positive functions and homomorphisms are positive maps, but not conversely.

Definition 3.3. A *positive variety with potential* is a triple (A, Φ_A, Θ_A) , where A is an irreducible complex algebraic variety, Φ_A is a rational function on A called a *potential*, and Θ_A is a non-empty set of toric charts on A , such that:

- (1) there exists a toric chart $\theta \in \Theta_A$, such that $\Phi_A \circ \theta$ is positive; and
- (2) for any pair $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta_A$, the compositions $\theta^{-1} \circ \theta'$ and $(\theta')^{-1} \circ \theta$ are positive.

A *positive* rational function on a positive variety with potential (A, Φ_A, Θ_A) is a rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(A)$ such that $f \circ \theta$ is positive for some (equivalently, any) $\theta \in \Theta_A$.

Toric charts θ, θ' on A that satisfy (2) are *positive equivalent toric charts*. Positive equivalence is an equivalence relation on the set of all toric charts on A . Thus, condition (2) requires that Θ_A is a subset of an equivalence class.

The following special cases deserve their own names. If $\Phi_A = 0$, then $(A, \Theta_A) = (A, 0, \Theta_A)$ is a *positive variety*. If $\Theta_A = \{\theta\}$ is a singleton, then $(A, \Phi_A, \theta) = (A, \Phi_A, \{\theta\})$ is a *framed positive variety with potential*. If $\Phi_A = 0$ and $\Theta_A = \{\theta\}$, then $(A, \theta) = (A, 0, \{\theta\})$ is a *framed positive variety*. Every complex algebraic torus has a natural framed positive variety structure.

Example 3.4. Let A be an irreducible complex algebraic variety and assume that $\mathbb{C}[A]$ is isomorphic to a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$. Let $(z_{\sigma'}, \sigma') \in |\sigma|$ be a labeled seed for $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$. By the Laurent Phenomenon [9], there is an inclusion of rings

$$\mathbb{C}[A] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[z_{\sigma'}^\pm] = \mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, \dots, z_n^\pm]$$

that induces a toric chart $\theta_{\sigma'}: \mathbb{C}^{\times n} \rightarrow A$. Since the mutation equations (36) are subtraction free, toric charts $\theta_\sigma, \theta_{\sigma'}$ associated to mutation equivalent seeds (z_σ, σ) and $(z_{\sigma'}, \sigma')$ are positive equivalent.

Definition 3.5. Let (A, Φ_A, Θ_A) be a positive variety with potential. A rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(A)$ is *dominated by* Φ_A , denoted $f \prec \Phi_A$, if there exists positive rational functions f^+, f^- on (A, Φ_A, Θ_A) and a polynomial $p(\Phi_A)$ in Φ_A with positive real coefficients, such that $f = f^+ - f^-$ and both

$$p(\Phi_A) - f^+, \quad \text{and} \quad p(\Phi_A) - f^-$$

are positive with respect to Θ_A .

Definition 3.6. A *map of positive varieties with potential* $f: (A, \Phi_A, \Theta_A) \rightarrow (B, \Phi_B, \Theta_B)$ is a rational map $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that:

- (1) for some (equivalently, any) $\theta_A \in \Theta_A$ and $\theta_B \in \Theta_B$, $\theta_B^{-1} \circ f \circ \theta_A$ is positive, and
(2) $f^* \Phi_B \prec \Phi_A$.

When $\Phi_A = 0$ and $\Phi_B = 0$, then the second condition holds automatically.

We now recall the tropicalization construction; for a full discussion see [10]. The *tropicalization* of a framed positive variety $(A, \theta_A: H \rightarrow A)$ is

$$(A, \theta_A)^t = X_*(H).$$

The *tropicalization* of a positive rational function f on a framed positive variety (A, θ_A) is

$$f^t: (A, \theta_A)^t \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad f^t = \min_{\substack{\gamma \in X^*(H) \\ A_\gamma \neq 0}} \{\gamma\} - \min_{\substack{\delta \in X^*(H) \\ B_\delta \neq 0}} \{\delta\}$$

where $f \circ \theta_A$ is assumed to have the form (44) and H is the domain of θ_A . The *tropicalization* of a map of framed positive varieties $f: (A, \theta_A) \rightarrow (B, \theta_B)$ is the map $f^t: (A, \theta_A)^t \rightarrow (B, \theta_B)^t$ uniquely defined by the property that $\langle \gamma, f^t \rangle = (f^\gamma)^t$ for all $\gamma \in X^*(S)$, where S is the domain of θ_B . If f is a homomorphism, then $f^t = X_*(f)$.

If k is a nonnegative integer, then $f^t(kp) = kf^t(p)$ for all $p \in X_*(H)$. Define the real extension of f^t ,

$$f^t: X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X_*(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}, \quad f^t(p \otimes x) = \begin{cases} f^t(p) \otimes x & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ f^t(-p) \otimes (-x) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here we have overloaded the notation f^t , but the meaning will be clear from context. This map f^t is piecewise \mathbb{R} -linear and the linearity chambers are cones.

Definition 3.7. Let $(A, \Phi, \theta_A: H \rightarrow A)$ be a framed positive variety with potential. The *tropicalization* of A (and its real points) are the cones

$$(A, \Phi, \theta_A)^t = \{x \in X_*(H) \mid \Phi^t(x) \geq 0\}; \tag{45}$$

$$(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t = \{x \in X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi^t(x) \geq 0\}. \tag{46}$$

For any $\delta \geq 0$, the δ -interior of the tropicalization is

$$(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\delta) = \{x \in X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi^t(x) > \delta\}. \tag{47}$$

The space $(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ has a natural topology defined as follows. An isomorphism $H \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times m}$ induces an isomorphism of sets $(A, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cong \mathbb{R}^m$. The induced topology on $(A, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ is independent of the choice of isomorphism $H \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times m}$. The cone $(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \subset (A, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ has the subspace topology. With respect to this topology, the set $(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0)$ is the topological interior of $(A, \Phi, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$. If $\Phi \circ \theta_A$ is a regular function on H , then $(A, \Phi, \theta_A)^t$ is a polyhedral cone.

If $f: (A, \Phi_A, \theta_A) \rightarrow (B, \Phi_B, \theta_B)$ is a map of framed positive varieties with potential, then the image of $(A, \Phi_A, \theta_A)^t$ under f^t is contained in $(B, \Phi_B, \theta_B)^t$. The *tropicalization* of f is the resulting piecewise \mathbb{Z} -linear map

$$f^t: (A, \Phi_A, \theta_A)^t \rightarrow (B, \Phi_B, \theta_B)^t.$$

The real extension of f^t defines a piecewise \mathbb{R} -linear map $f^t: (A, \Phi_A, \theta_A)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \rightarrow (B, \Phi_B, \theta_B)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$.

Tropicalization is functorial, in that it respects composition of maps of framed positive varieties with potential [10, Theorem 4.12].

Example 3.8. Extending Example 3.4, let A^\vee be a variety with $\mathbb{C}[A^\vee] \cong \mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}^\vee$, and assume a skew symmetrizer D of the mutation matrix of σ has been fixed. Let Θ and Θ^\vee denote the collection of toric charts on A and A^\vee arising from cluster seeds of $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma|}^\vee$. Then, as in (37), for each $(z_{\sigma'}, \sigma') \in |\sigma|$, we have a map of positive varieties

$$(A, \Theta) \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\sigma'}} (A^\vee, \Theta^\vee).$$

Due to [3, Proposition 4.7], the maps $\Psi_{\sigma'}$ and Ψ_σ coincide, after tropicalization. In other words, for any $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\theta^\vee \in \Theta^\vee$, the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, \theta)^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\sigma^t} & (A^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ (A, \theta)^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\sigma'}^t} & (A^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t. \end{array} \quad (48)$$

3.2 Positive structures on the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$

In this section we introduce several important toric charts on $G^{w_0, e}$ and define the Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) potential. This gives us a positive variety with potential $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0, e}))$.

Assume temporarily that G is of the form (21). Let \mathbf{i} be a double reduced word for (w_0, e) (i.e. a reduced word for w_0 written in the alphabet $\{-1, \dots, -r\}$). Let $(z_\sigma, \sigma) \in |\sigma(\mathbf{i})|$. Then

$$z_\sigma = \{z_{-r}, \dots, z_{-1}, z_1, \dots, z_m\},$$

where the frozen cluster variables with negative indices are the principal minors $z_{-i} = \Delta_{\omega_i}$. Extend the cluster variables $z_i \in z_\sigma$ to functions on $Z \times G_{sc}$ by setting $z_i(z, g) = z_i(g)$. Choose characters $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{r}-r} \in X_+^*(H) \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ so that the collection

$$\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{r}-r} \quad (49)$$

forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $X^*(H)$. We use the notation $z_{-(r+j)} := \Delta_{\gamma_j} \in \mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}]$. By Example 3.4, the birational evaluation map

$$G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{r}+m} : g \mapsto (z_{-\tilde{r}}(g), \dots, z_{-r-1}(g), z_{-r}(g), \dots, z_{-1}(g), z_1(g), \dots, z_m(g))$$

induces a toric chart which we denote

$$\theta_\sigma : \mathbb{C}^{\times(\tilde{r}+m)} \hookrightarrow G^{w_0, e}. \quad (50)$$

Recall the twist map $\zeta : G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow G^{w_0, e}$ introduced in (10). For any θ_σ , we get a toric chart

$$\theta_\sigma^\zeta = \zeta \circ \theta_\sigma \quad (51)$$

which is positively equivalent to θ_σ .

Definition 3.9. For G of the form (21), any toric chart $\theta : \mathbb{C}^{\times(\tilde{r}+m)} \rightarrow G^{w_0, e}$ of the form (50) is a *cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e}$* . Any toric chart θ of the form (51) is a *twisted cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e}$* . If θ is either a cluster chart or a twisted cluster chart, we will say that θ is a (twisted) cluster chart.

Remark 3.10. Let \mathbf{i} be any double reduced word for (w_0, e) . It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{|\sigma(\mathbf{i})|}$ that the functions $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, \omega_i}$ and Δ_{ω_i} , $i \in [i, \tilde{r}]$, are θ_σ -coordinates for any $\sigma \in |\sigma(\mathbf{i})|$. By (11), the functions $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, \omega_i}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{\omega_i}^{-1}$, $i \in [i, \tilde{r}]$, are θ_σ^ζ -coordinates for any $\sigma \in |\sigma(\mathbf{i})|$.

Now, let G be any connected reductive algebraic group. Identify $H \times L^{w_0, e} \cong G^{w_0, e}$ using multiplication in G . For a double reduced word \mathbf{i} of (w_0, e) , let $(z_{\bar{\sigma}}, \bar{\sigma})$ be a labeled seed of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_{|\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}]$ as in Theorem 2.23. Define the toric chart

$$\theta_{\bar{\sigma}}: \mathbb{C}^{\times \bar{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times m} \rightarrow H \times L^{w_0, e} \quad (52)$$

which is the product of an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}^{\times \bar{r}} \cong H \quad (53)$$

and the toric chart $\theta_{\bar{\sigma}}: \mathbb{C}^{\times m} \rightarrow L^{w_0, e}$. In what follows we will assume a choice of isomorphism (53) has been fixed.

Definition 3.11. Any toric chart $\theta: \mathbb{C}^{\times \bar{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times m} \rightarrow G^{w_0, e}$ of the form (52) is a *reduced cluster chart*. If $\theta = \zeta \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$ for some reduced cluster chart $\theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$, then θ is a *twisted reduced cluster chart*. In this case, the Langlands dual of θ is defined to be $\zeta^\vee \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}^\vee}$, the twist of the Langlands dual of $\theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$.

Remark 3.12. The reduced and unreduced charts in Definitions 3.9 and 3.11 are used for different purposes. Assume $G = Z \times G_{sc}$ of the form (21), and let H_{sc} be the Cartan subgroup of G_{sc} . Then, the unreduced charts are more convenient to describe the Poisson brackets that arise in Theorem 4.14. On the other hand, the reduced charts are defined on $G^{w_0, e}$ for all reductive G , and are needed in Section 3.4.

Let (z_σ, σ) be a seed for $\mathbb{C}[G_{sc}^{w_0, e}]$, and let $(z_{\bar{\sigma}}, \bar{\sigma})$ be the corresponding reduced seed for $\mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}]$. If $\theta = \theta_\sigma$ and $\theta^{red} = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is the corresponding reduced cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e} \cong Z \times H_{sc} \times L^{w_0, e}$, then the θ -coordinates are related to the θ^{red} -coordinates by a Laurent monomial change of coordinates as follows. Let $i^*: \mathbb{C}[G_{sc}^{w_0, e}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}]$ be the projection dual to the inclusion $L^{w_0, e} \hookrightarrow G_{sc}^{w_0, e}$. Then, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G_{sc}^{w_0, e}] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[Z] \otimes \mathbb{C}[H_{sc}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}] \\ f \otimes z_k &\mapsto f \otimes |z_k|_1 \otimes i^* z_k, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where we write $|z_k| = (|z_k|_1, |z_k|_2) \in P \times P$, using the grading of Corollary 2.28. It is straightforward to show that (54) is an algebra isomorphism, and does not depend on the choice of seed σ . Similarly, if $\theta = \zeta \circ \theta_\sigma$ is a twisted cluster chart and $\theta^{red} = \zeta \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$, then (54) takes θ -coordinates to Laurent monomials in θ^{red} coordinates. In particular, in either case the θ^{red} -coordinates z_i are $P \times P$ -homogenous.

Definition 3.13 (Notation). For a connected reductive algebraic group G , denote by $\Theta(G^{w_0, e})$ the set of all reduced cluster charts arising from all double reduced word \mathbf{i} of (w_0, e) . Moreover, if G is of the form (21), we extend $\Theta(G^{w_0, e})$ to include all (twisted) cluster charts and (twisted) reduced cluster charts arising from all double reduced words \mathbf{i} of (w_0, e) , all the choices of characters γ_i , and all isomorphisms $H \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times \bar{r}}$. In particular, if (z_σ, σ) is mutation equivalent to $(z_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}, \sigma(\mathbf{i}))$, then $\theta_\sigma \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$.

Definition 3.14. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group, and assume the character lattice $X^*(H)$ contains the fundamental weights ω_i . The function

$$\Phi_{BK} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\Delta_{w_0 \omega_i, s_i \omega_i} + \Delta_{w_0 s_i \omega_i, \omega_i}}{\Delta_{w_0 \omega_i, \omega_i}} \quad (55)$$

is a regular function on $G^{w_0, e}$. Following its description in [10, Corollary 1.25], Φ_{BK} is called the *Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) potential*.

More generally, let G be any connected reductive algebraic group, and let $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ be a covering group on which Φ_{BK} is defined. Then the function Φ_{BK} is invariant under translations by the center $Z(\widehat{G})$, and so descends to a well defined function on G . This function will also be denoted Φ_{BK} .

The following is a restatement of [2, Proposition 4.9] and [10, Lemma 3.36].

Proposition 3.15. *The triple $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0, e}))$ is a positive variety with potential.*

By tropicalization, for any $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$, we get a polyhedral cone $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)^t$ called a *Berenstein-Kazhdan (BK) cone*.

3.3 Domination of functions on $G^{w_0, e}$

This section describes a family of functions on $G^{w_0, e}$ that are dominated by Φ_{BK} . This technical property is exploited to describe the limiting behavior of a family of Poisson brackets in Theorem 4.9.

Let G be a reductive algebraic group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra as before. Recall that N_- is the unipotent radical of the Borel B_- . A $N_- \times N_-$ -variety is a variety equipped with a left action of N_- and a right action of N_- , such that the two actions commute.

Since N_- is unipotent, the exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{n}_- \rightarrow N_-$ is algebraic. Thus for any $(F, F') \in \mathfrak{n}_- \times \mathfrak{n}_-$, the map

$$\mathbb{C} \times A \rightarrow A, \quad (t, a) \mapsto \exp(-tF) \cdot a \cdot \exp(tF') \quad (56)$$

is algebraic. The action of the fundamental vector field of $(F, F') \in \mathfrak{n}_- \times \mathfrak{n}_-$ on $f \in C^\infty(A, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$(F \cdot f \cdot F')(a) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(\exp(-tF) \cdot a \cdot \exp(tF')).$$

Since the map (56) is algebraic, the action of (F, F') restricts to a derivation of $\mathbb{C}[A]$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let A be a $N_- \times N_-$ -variety and (A, Φ, Θ) be a positive variety with potential. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of positive rational functions on (A, Φ, Θ) and let $(F, F') \in \mathfrak{n}_- \times \mathfrak{n}_-$. If*

$$\frac{F \cdot a_i \cdot F'}{a_i} \prec \Phi, \quad \forall i \in [1, n],$$

then $(F \cdot f \cdot F')/f \prec \Phi$ for any subtraction free Laurent polynomial $f := f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in the functions a_i .

Proof. First of all, since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_- \times \mathfrak{n}_-$ acts by derivations, for a Laurent monomial $a_1^{m_1} \cdots a_n^{m_n}$ and any positive real number $c > 0$, we have:

$$\frac{F \cdot (ca_1^{m_1} \cdots a_n^{m_n}) \cdot F'}{ca_1^{m_1} \cdots a_n^{m_n}} = \sum_i m_i \frac{F \cdot a_i \cdot F'}{a_i} \prec \Phi.$$

Next, denote by $f = f_1 + \cdots + f_m$ a linear combination of Laurent monomials in the functions a_i with positive coefficients. By the first step, we know $(F \cdot f_i \cdot F')/f_i \prec \Phi$. In other words, one can write

$$\frac{F \cdot f_i \cdot F'}{f_i} = f_i^+ - f_i^-$$

where $p_i(\Phi) - f_i^+$ and $p_i(\Phi) - f_i^-$ are positive with respect to Θ , for some polynomial p_i in Φ with positive coefficients. Then we have:

$$\frac{F \cdot f \cdot F'}{f} = \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} \cdot \frac{F \cdot f_i \cdot F'}{f_i} = \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} \cdot f_i^+ - \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} \cdot f_i^-.$$

Then, putting $p(\Phi) = \sum_i p_i(\Phi)$, one has

$$p(\Phi) - \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} \cdot f_i^+ = \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} (p(\Phi) - f_i^+), \quad p(\Phi) - \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} \cdot f_i^- = \sum_i \frac{f_i}{f} (p(\Phi) - f_i^-)$$

which is positive with respect to Θ . Thus $(F \cdot f \cdot F')/f \prec \Phi$. \square

Our prototypical example of a $N_- \times N_-$ -variety is the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$ with action $(u, g, u') \mapsto ugu'$, where $g \in G^{w_0, e}$ and $u, u' \in N_-$.

Proposition 3.17. *Let G be an algebraic group of the form (21). For the positive variety with potential $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0, e}))$, we have*

$$\frac{F \cdot \Delta \cdot F'}{\Delta} \prec \Phi_{BK}, \quad F, F' \in \mathfrak{n}_-$$

for all generalized minors Δ . In particular, if \mathbf{i} is a double reduced word for (w_0, e) , then $(F \cdot z \cdot F')/z \prec \Phi_{BK}$ for all $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ -coordinates z .

Proof. This was shown in a slightly weaker form in [2, Theorem 4.13]; the proof extends to our context with minor modifications. \square

Corollary 3.18. *Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group, of the form (21). Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$, and let z be a Laurent monomial in θ -coordinates on $G^{w_0, e}$. Then*

$$\frac{F \cdot z \cdot F'}{z} \prec \Phi_{BK},$$

for all $(F, F') \in \mathfrak{n}_- \times \mathfrak{n}_-$.

Proof. First, assume that $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}^\zeta$, for some cluster chart $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ given by a double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) . Let $\{z_{-\tilde{r}}, \dots, z_{-1}, z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ be the set of $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ -coordinates; these are simply generalized minors on $G^{w_0, e}$. By [11, Theorem 5.8], any $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ -coordinate z_i can be written as a subtraction free polynomial in “factorization parameters” t_i . In turn, by [20, Theorem 1.9] these t_i can be written as Laurent monomials in the $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}^\zeta$ -coordinates. So we have

$$z_i = f(z_{-\tilde{r}} \circ \zeta, \dots, z_m \circ \zeta)$$

for some subtraction free Laurent polynomial f . Precomposing both sides by the involution ζ gives $z_i \circ \zeta = f(z_{-\tilde{r}}, \dots, z_m)$. Therefore the θ -coordinate $z_i \circ \zeta$ is a subtraction free Laurent polynomial in the $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ coordinates, and the claim follows from Lemma 3.16 and Proposition 3.17.

Now, assume that θ is any cluster chart. Then by Theorem 2.23 and the Laurent phenomenon for cluster algebras, the θ -coordinates can be written as subtraction free Laurent polynomials in $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ -coordinates, for some double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) . Similarly, if θ is a twisted cluster chart, then the θ -coordinates are subtraction free Laurent polynomials in $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}^\zeta$ -coordinates, for some \mathbf{i} . In the first case, the claim then follows from Lemma 3.16 and Proposition 3.17. In the second case, the claim follows from Lemma 3.16, Proposition 3.17, and the first paragraph of this proof. \square

3.4 Geometric crystals

The authors of [10] defined geometric crystals as a geometric analogue of Kashiwara’s crystals. A (positive decorated) geometric crystal is a positive variety with potential (X, Φ, Θ) with several additional rational structure maps. Though our main examples originate from this theory, we will only use a fragment of the additional structure.

For a connected reductive group G , the positive variety with potential $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0, e}))$ can be enriched with the structure of a geometric crystal, as in [10, Theorem 6.15]. Of the additional geometric crystal structure maps, there are two we are interested in:

$$\text{hw}: G^{w_0, e} \cong H \times L^{w_0, e} \rightarrow H, \quad (h, z) \mapsto h, \quad (57)$$

$$\text{wt}: G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow H, \quad z \mapsto [z]_0. \quad (58)$$

They are called the *highest weight* and *weight* maps, respectively. Using (8) they satisfy the following equalities.

$$\mathrm{hw}(g)^{w_0\gamma} = \Delta_{w_0\gamma,\gamma}(g), \quad \mathrm{wt}(g)^\gamma = \Delta_{\gamma,\gamma}, \quad (59)$$

for any $\gamma \in X^*(H)$, whenever the right hand side is defined. Combining this with Remark 3.10 yields the following lemma.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0,e})$. Then, $\mathrm{wt} \circ \theta$ and $\mathrm{hw} \circ \theta$ are group homomorphisms. Moreover, the induced maps on character lattices, $X^*(\mathrm{wt} \circ \theta)$ and $X^*(\mathrm{hw} \circ \theta)$, are injective with torsion-free cokernels. In particular, $\mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{wt}: (G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0,e})) \rightarrow H$ are positive maps and their tropicalizations with respect to any $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0,e})$ are \mathbb{Z} -linear.*

Let G^\vee be the Langlands dual group of G . Then $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \Theta(G^{\vee;w_0,e}))$ together with the structure maps

$$\mathrm{hw}^\vee: G^{\vee;w_0,e} \rightarrow H^\vee, \quad \mathrm{wt}^\vee: G^{\vee;w_0,e} \rightarrow H^\vee$$

captures aspects of the representation theory of G , according to the following theorem. It is a shadow of [10, Theorem 6.15], obtained by forgetting parts of the Kashiwara crystal structure.

Theorem 3.20. *Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group, let $\theta^\vee \in \Theta(G^{\vee;w_0,e})$ and consider the framed positive variety with potential $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)$. Let $\lambda, \nu \in X^*(H) = X_*(H^\vee)$ be characters of H . If $\lambda \in X_+^*(H)$ is dominant, then the cardinality of*

$$((\mathrm{hw}^\vee)^t)^{-1}(\lambda) \cap ((\mathrm{wt}^\vee)^t)^{-1}(\nu) \subset (G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t \quad (60)$$

is equal to the dimension of the ν -weight space in V_λ , the irreducible G module with high weight λ . If $\lambda \notin X_+^(H)$, then $((\mathrm{hw}^\vee)^t)^{-1}(\lambda) = \emptyset$. What is more, the sets $((\mathrm{hw}^\vee)^t)^{-1}(\lambda)$ and (60) are the lattice points of bounded convex polytopes.*

Part of the last statement of Theorem 3.20 can be seen from Lemma 3.19. In any $\theta^\vee \in \Theta(G^{\vee;w_0,e})$, maps hw^t and wt^t extend to linear maps out of $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \theta^\vee)^t$. The fibers of these maps in $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t$ are the intersection of an affine hyperplane with the polyhedral cone $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t$. A description of these polytopes, as well as their connection to canonical bases, will be given in the next section.

3.5 Polyhedral parametrizations of canonical bases

Let G be a reductive algebraic group. According to [11], for each reduced word \mathbf{i} of w_0 there is a polyhedral cone called the *string cone*. Its integral points parametrize the canonical basis of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$. The name ‘‘string cone’’ comes from the interpretation of points of the cone as strings of operators on $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$. In this section, we show how to recover each string cone as the tropicalization of $L^{w_0,e}$, with respect to a specific toric chart.

Definition 3.21. Let G be a connected reductive group, define the function

$$\Phi_L = \sum_{i \in [1,r]} \Delta_{w_0\omega_i, s_i\omega_i}. \quad (61)$$

It is a well defined regular function on $L^{w_0,e}$ under the identification (9).

Next, we will introduce toric charts for $L^{w_0,e}$. Recall (8) that an element $x \in G^{w_0,e}$ belongs to $L^{w_0,e}$ if and only if $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, \omega_i}(x) = 1$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. Consider any chart $\theta: \mathbb{C}^{\times(m+\tilde{r})} \rightarrow G^{w_0,e}$ in $\Theta(G^{w_0,e})$ as Definition 3.13. Because the functions $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, \omega_i}$ are all Laurent monomials in θ -coordinates, the preimage $\theta^{-1}(L^{w_0,e})$ is a subtorus of $\mathbb{C}^{m+\tilde{r}}$ of dimension m . Then

$$\bar{\theta} := \theta|_{\theta^{-1}(L^{w_0,e})}: \theta^{-1}(L^{w_0,e}) \rightarrow L^{w_0,e}$$

is a toric chart for $L^{w_0,e}$. Denote by $\Theta(L^{w_0,e})$ all toric charts for $L^{w_0,e}$ arising this way.

Remark 3.22. If the chart $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0,e})$ is a reduced cluster chart, then by (52) the chart $\bar{\theta}$ for $L^{w_0,e}$ is nothing but the cluster chart as in Example 3.4. What is more, by [11, Theorem 4.7, Eq(6.1)], the following map

$$\eta: (L^{e,w_0})^T \hookrightarrow G^{w_0,e} \xrightarrow{\zeta} G^{w_0,e} \cong H \times L^{w_0,e} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} L^{w_0,e}$$

is a biregular isomorphism, where pr is the natural projection, ζ is the twist, and $(\cdot)^T: G \rightarrow G$ is the transpose anti-automorphism of [20, Equation 2.1]. The map η is called “twist” in [11]. Therefore, if $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0,e})$ is a twisted reduced chart, $\bar{\theta}$ can be viewed as the composition of a toric chart for $(L^{e,w_0})^T$ and the map η .

Proposition 3.23. *The triple $(L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_L, \Theta(L^{w_0,e}))$ is a positive variety with potential. The natural projection $\text{pr}: G^{w_0,e} \cong H \times L^{w_0,e} \rightarrow L^{w_0,e}$ gives rise to a morphism of positive varieties with potential from $(G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \Theta(G^{w_0,e}))$ to $(L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_L, \Theta(L^{w_0,e}))$. For any $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0,e})$, it induces a surjective map*

$$\text{pr}^t: (G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)^t \rightarrow (L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t.$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case when θ is the twisted reduced cluster chart $\zeta \circ \theta_{\frac{\sigma(i)}{\sigma(i)}}$, as in (52). Write z_1, \dots, z_m for the θ -coordinates which factor through the projection $G^{w_0,e} \rightarrow L^{w_0,e}$. By [11, Theorem 4.8, Theorem 5.8], any generalized minor $\Delta_{\gamma,\delta}$ can be written (as a function on $L^{w_0,e}$), as

$$\Delta_{\gamma,\delta}(\theta(z_1, \dots, z_m)) = \sum_{\pi \in S_{\gamma,\delta}} N_{\pi} z_1^{c_1(\pi)} \dots z_m^{c_m(\pi)}, \quad (62)$$

where $S_{\gamma,\delta}$ is a finite index set, $N_{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and $c_k(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The first statement follows immediately from the positive expression (62) for the generalized minors $\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, s_i\omega_i}$. To show that pr is a morphism of positive varieties with potential, it is enough to show that

$$\Phi_{BK} - \Phi_L \circ \text{pr}$$

is positive with respect to θ . By [3, Proposition 5.16], for any $(h, x) \in H \times L^{w_0,e}$ one has

$$\Phi_{BK}(hx) = \sum_{i \in [1,r]} (\Delta_{w_0\omega_i, s_i\omega_i}(x) + h^{-w_0\alpha_i} \Delta_{w_0s_i\omega_i, \omega_i}(x)).$$

Then

$$\Phi_{BK}(hx) - \Phi_L(x) = \sum_{i \in [1,r]} h^{-w_0\alpha_i} \Delta_{w_0s_i\omega_i, \omega_i}(x), \quad (63)$$

and so $\Phi_{BK} - \Phi_L \circ \text{pr}$ is positive with respect to θ .

It remains to show that $\text{pr}^t: (G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)^t \rightarrow (L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t$ is surjective. If $(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t$, we must find $\lambda^\vee \in X_*(H)$ such that $(\lambda^\vee, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (H \times L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \bar{\theta})^t$. By (63), one has $(\lambda^\vee, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in (H \times L^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \bar{\theta})^t$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m c_k(\pi) t_k - \langle w_0\alpha_i, \lambda^\vee \rangle + \Phi_L^t(t_1, \dots, t_m) \geq 0, \quad (64)$$

for all $\pi \in S_{w_0s_i\omega_i, \omega_i}$ and $i \in [1, r]$. Let $\lambda^\vee = \sum n_i \omega_i^\vee$ for $n_i \geq 0$. Then we have $-\langle w_0\alpha_i, \lambda^\vee \rangle = \sum n_j \langle -w_0\alpha_i, \omega_j^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ since $-w_0\alpha_i$ is a simple root. By picking the n_i sufficiently large one ensures the inequalities (64) all hold. \square

Remark 3.24. If one chooses θ to be the twisted reduced chart $\zeta \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})}$ associated to a double reduced word \mathbf{i} of (w_0, e) , then the cone $(L^{w_0, e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t$ coincides with the string cone associated with \mathbf{i} defined in [11, 17, 18], as follows. By Remark 3.22 and [11, Theorem 4.8], the chart $\bar{\theta}$ is positively equivalent to the factorization chart $x_{\mathbf{i}}$ defined in [11, Eq (4.10)]. Moreover, the $\bar{\theta}$ -coordinates are Laurent monomials in the coordinates of $x_{\mathbf{i}}$, and vice versa. Thus the cone $(L^{w_0, e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t$ is unimodularly isomorphic to the one in [11, Theorem 3.10]. What is more, for $\lambda^\vee \in X_*(H)$, the following maps are injective:

$$(\text{hw}^t)^{-1}(\lambda^\vee) \hookrightarrow (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)^t \twoheadrightarrow (L^{w_0, e}, \Phi_L, \bar{\theta})^t. \quad (65)$$

So one may view the fibers $(\text{hw}^t)^{-1}(\lambda^\vee)$ as subsets of the string cone; these are sometimes known as *string polytopes*.

3.6 Comparison map on double Bruhat cells

In this section, we will discuss comparison maps between $G^{w_0, e}$ and $G^{\vee; w_0, e}$, in the spirit of Langlands dual cluster algebras.

Fix a double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) , and recall that $\mathbb{C}[L^{\vee; w_0, e}] \cong \mathcal{A}_{|\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|}^\vee$ is the Langlands dual cluster algebra of $\mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}] \cong \mathcal{A}_{|\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|}$. Recall from (40) that the matrix $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ is a skew-symmetrizer of $\bar{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{i})$. Then for each labeled seed $(z_{\bar{\sigma}}, \bar{\sigma}) \in |\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})|$, one has the map

$$\Psi_{\bar{\sigma}}^*: \mathbb{C}[L^{\vee; w_0, e}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}] : z_j^\vee \mapsto z_j^{d_{|\mathbf{i}_j|}}$$

as in (37). We extend $\Psi_{\bar{\sigma}}^*$ to a map $\Psi_\sigma : G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow G^{\vee; w_0, e}$, by setting

$$\Psi_\sigma^* := \Psi_H^* \otimes \Psi_{\bar{\sigma}}^* : \mathbb{C}[G^{\vee; w_0, e}] \cong \mathbb{C}[H^\vee] \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^{\vee; w_0, e}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}] \cong \mathbb{C}[H] \otimes \mathbb{C}[L^{w_0, e}].$$

If $\theta = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a reduced cluster chart for $G^{w_0, e}$, and $\theta^\vee = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}^\vee}$ its dual chart, one has a map of positive varieties

$$\Psi_\theta := \Psi_\sigma : (G^{w_0, e}, \theta) \rightarrow (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee). \quad (66)$$

Note that $\Psi_\theta^t : (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t \rightarrow (G^{w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)^t$ is linear and lifts the comparison map $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of (13), in the sense that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\theta^t} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)^t & & (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\theta^t} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)^t \\ \downarrow \text{hw}^t & & \downarrow (\text{hw}^\vee)^t & & \downarrow \text{wt}^t & & \downarrow (\text{wt}^\vee)^t \\ X_*(H) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}} & X_*(H^\vee) & & X_*(H) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}} & X_*(H^\vee) \end{array} \quad (67)$$

According to the following, the tropicalized comparison maps are compatible with the twist map.

Theorem 3.25. [3, Theorem 5.8] *Let θ, θ' be reduced cluster charts on $G^{w_0, e}$. Let θ^\vee and θ'^\vee be the corresponding Langlands dual charts. Then, the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\theta^t} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)^t \\ \downarrow \zeta^t & & \downarrow (\zeta^\vee)^t \\ (G^{w_0, e}, \theta')^t & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\theta'}^t} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta'^\vee)^t \end{array}$$

Additionally, the tropicalized comparison maps preserves the Berenstein-Kazhdan cone.

Theorem 3.26. [3, Theorem 5.21] Assume $\theta = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is a reduced cluster chart for $G^{w_0, e}$, and $\theta^\vee = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}^\vee}$ is its dual chart. Then the comparison map Ψ_θ^t restricts to an injective map

$$\Psi_\theta^t: (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)^t \hookrightarrow (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)^t,$$

which extends to a linear isomorphism of real BK cones:

$$\Psi_\theta^t: (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{\sim} (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t.$$

4 Partial tropicalization

This section recalls the definition and properties of the partial tropicalization of K^* from [2, 3, 4]. In the process, it extends the results of [2, 3, 4] to arbitrary (twisted) cluster charts on $G^{w_0, e}$ (the results in [2, 3, 4] were only stated for cluster charts associated to reduced words).

Some of the key results of this Section are as follows: Lemma 4.8 stating that functions dominated by the BK potential exponentially decay in the tropical limit, Lemma 4.11 which describes the torus action on the partial tropicalization, Lemma 4.12 which describes symplectic leaves of the partial tropicalization and Theorem 4.17 which introduces Darboux coordinates for the Poisson bracket $\pi_{-\infty}$.

4.1 Coordinates on K^* from cluster charts on $G^{w_0, e}$

Fix a choice of $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$ and consider the framed positive variety with potential $(G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)$. Recall (58) the weight map, $\text{wt}: G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow H$, and (57) the highest weight map, $\text{hw}: G^{w_0, e} \rightarrow H$. By Lemma 3.19, their tropicalizations are \mathbb{Z} -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}^t &= (\text{wt} \circ \theta)^t: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t \rightarrow X_*(H), \\ \text{hw}^t &= (\text{hw} \circ \theta)^t: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)^t \rightarrow X_*(H). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\text{wt}^t = X_*(\text{wt} \circ \theta)$ and $\text{hw}^t = X_*(\text{hw} \circ \theta)$. Recall that $A \leq H$ is the real Lie subgroup $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$. As sets, $K^* \cap G^{w_0, e} = \text{wt}^{-1}(A)$. By Lemma 3.19,

$$\theta^{-1}(K^* \cap G^{w_0, e}) = (\text{wt} \circ \theta)^{-1}(A)$$

is a connected real Lie subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$. Let \mathbb{T}_θ denote the maximal compact subtorus of $(\text{wt} \circ \theta)^{-1}(A)$. When it is clear from context we write $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_\theta$. Since the maximal compact subgroup of A is $\{e\}$, we have $\mathbb{T} \subset \ker(\text{wt} \circ \theta)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{T} = m$.

In what follows we will be concerned with the manifold $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$. If z is a positive function on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)$, then we abuse notation and write z^t for the map $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_\theta \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{z^t} \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$, and consider the manifolds $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$ and $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta')_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta'}$. For each open linearity chamber C of the piecewise linear bijection

$$X_*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}) \otimes \mathbb{R} = (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{\text{Id}^t} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta')_{\mathbb{R}}^t = X_*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

consider the unique linear map

$$X_*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X_*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

which agrees with $(\text{Id}^t)|_C$ on C . Let

$$e^{\text{Id}_C}: \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$$

denote the associated group homomorphism. Because $(\text{wt} \circ \text{Id})^t = \text{wt}^t$, we have $e^{\text{Id}_C}(\mathbb{T}_\theta) = \mathbb{T}_{\theta'}$. Define

$$\text{Id}^{PT} = \coprod_C ((\text{Id}^t)|_C \times e^{\text{Id}_C}): \coprod_C C \times \mathbb{T}_\theta \rightarrow (G^{w_0, e}, \theta')_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta'}, \quad (68)$$

where the disjoint union ranges over all the open linearity chambers of Id^t . The map Id^{PT} is the *partially tropicalized change of coordinates*.

For all $s \neq 0$, there is an isomorphism of real Lie groups

$$\mathfrak{P}_s: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (\text{wt} \circ \theta)^{-1}(A) \quad (69)$$

defined by the property that

$$e^{\frac{s}{2}\langle \gamma, x \rangle} t^\gamma = \mathfrak{P}_s(x, t)^\gamma, \quad \forall \gamma \in X^* \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}, (x, t) \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}. \quad (70)$$

Note that since \mathbb{T} is contained in $\ker(\text{wt} \circ \theta)$,

$$t^{\langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle} = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}, \gamma \in X^*(H). \quad (71)$$

Recall that the standard coordinates z_i on $\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$ are identified with the standard basis of $X^*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)})$. With this identification, define the system of polar coordinates λ_i, φ_i on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_i(x, t) &= \langle z_i, x \rangle, \\ e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_i(x, t)} &= t z_i. \end{cases} \quad (72)$$

The coordinates φ_i are defined (modulo 2π) for the indices i such that z_i takes on values outside of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on the subset $G^{w_0, e} \cap K^*$. In other words, φ_i are defined for indices i such that z_i (viewed as a character of $\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$) is not in the image of $X^*(H)$ under $X^*(\text{wt} \circ \theta)$.

Definition 4.2. The *detropicalization map*, L_s^θ , is the composition

$$L_s^\theta := \theta \circ \mathfrak{P}_s: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow G^{w_0, e} \cap K^*.$$

4.2 The definition of partial tropicalization

Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$ be a (twisted) cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e}$ as in the previous section. By Corollary 2.28 and Proposition 2.29, there is \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism,

$$|\cdot|: X^*(\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}) \rightarrow X^*(H) \times X^*(H), \quad (73)$$

defined by the property that $|z_i| = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for all i , where (α_i, β_i) is the homogeneous degree of the θ -coordinate z_i . If $|\gamma| = (\alpha, \beta)$, denote $|\gamma|_1 = \alpha$ and $|\gamma|_2 = \beta$.

Proposition 4.3. Assume G is of the form (21), and let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Let $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the Poisson bracket of π_{K^*} . Restrict the θ -coordinates $z_i \in \mathbb{C}[G^{w_0, e}]$ to functions on $G^{w_0, e} \cap K^*$. Then, there exists $c_{z_i, z_j}, c_{z_i, \bar{z}_j}, c_{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j} \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\{z_i, z_j\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}} = z_i z_j c_{z_i, z_j}; \quad \{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bar{z}_i \bar{z}_j c_{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j}; \quad \{z_i, \bar{z}_j\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}} = z_i \bar{z}_j (c_{z_i, \bar{z}_j} + f_{z_i, \bar{z}_j}), \quad (74)$$

where f_{z_i, \bar{z}_j} is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of

$$\frac{(E_{-\alpha} \cdot z_i)(E_{-\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_j)}{z_i \bar{z}_j}, \frac{(z_i \cdot E_{-\alpha})(\bar{z}_j \cdot E_{-\alpha})}{z_i \bar{z}_j}, \quad \alpha \in R_+. \quad (75)$$

Moreover,

$$c_{z_i, \bar{z}_j} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left((|z_i|_1, |\bar{z}_j|_1)_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (|z_i|_2, |\bar{z}_j|_2)_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \right), \quad c_{z_i, z_j} = -c_{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Q}. \quad (76)$$

Proof. First consider brackets of the form $\{z_i, z_j\} = \{z_i, z_j\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider the holomorphic Poisson-Lie structure $\pi_G^{hol} = (r^{hol})^L - (r^{hol})^R$ on G given by the r -matrix $r^{hol} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}r$, where r is given by (25). The bivector π_G^{hol} restricts to a holomorphic Poisson structure on the double Bruhat cell $G^{w_0, e}$. Therefore, for holomorphic functions on $G^{w_0, e}$, the brackets $\{z_i, z_j\}_{K^*}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}\{z_i, z_j\}_{G^{w_0, e}}$ are equal. By [31, Theorem 4.18] and [29, Theorem 3.1], the bracket of two θ -variables on $G^{w_0, e}$ is log canonical, with rational structure constant.

Next, consider brackets of the form $\{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j\}$. Since the bivector $\pi_{K^*} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TK^*)$ is real, we have $\{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j\} = \overline{\{z_i, z_j\}}$. The brackets $\{z_i, z_j\}$ are pure imaginary, and so this amounts to $c_{z_i, z_j} = -c_{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j}$.

Finally, consider the mixed brackets $\{z_i, \bar{z}_j\}$. The claim follows directly from the expression for the bracket in Lemma 2.11, as well as the $P \times P$ -homogeneity of (twisted) cluster variables established in Corollary 2.28 and Proposition 2.29. \square

Definition 4.4. Assume G is of the form (21), and let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. The *partial tropicalization of K^* with respect to θ* is the Poisson manifold $(PT(K^*, \theta), \pi_{-\infty}^\theta)$, where:

- (1) $PT(K^*, \theta) := (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \times \mathbb{T}$.
- (2) $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$ is defined such that:

$$\{\lambda_i, \varphi_j\} = \sqrt{-1}(c_{z_i, \bar{z}_j} - c_{z_i, z_j}), \quad \{\varphi_i, \varphi_j\} = \{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} = 0, \quad (77)$$

where:

- (a) λ_i, φ_j are the coordinates (72), and
- (b) $c_{z_i, z_j}, c_{z_i, \bar{z}_j}$, and $c_{\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j}$ are as in (74).

Remark 4.5. By the explicit formula in [31, Corollary 4.21], given a double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) , in the chart $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$, the coefficient c_{z_i, z_j} is given by

$$c_{z_i, z_j} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left((|z_i|_1, |z_j|_1)_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (|z_i|_2, |z_j|_2)_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \right)$$

whenever $i < j$. For $i > j$, we have $c_{z_i, z_j} := -c_{z_j, z_i}$.

The following gives an interpretation of coordinate transformations, after partial tropicalization. It follows from [2, Theorem 6.23].

Theorem 4.6. Assume G is of the form (21), and let $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Recall the map Id^{PT} defined in (68). Then, the restriction of Id^{PT} to a densely defined map

$$\text{Id}^{PT}: PT(K^*, \theta) \rightarrow PT(K^*, \theta')$$

has $(\text{Id}^{PT})_*(\pi_{-\infty}^\theta) = \pi_{-\infty}^{\theta'}$ wherever it is defined.

4.3 Partial tropicalization as a limit

For $\delta > 0$, the δ -interior of $PT(K^*, \theta)$ is

$$PT(K^*, \theta, \delta) = (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}.$$

The following results show that for all $\delta > 0$, $\pi_s^\theta := (L_s^\theta)^*(s\pi_{K^*})$ converges uniformly to $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$ on $PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. First, some notation:

Notation 4.7 (Big-O notation). A family of differential forms or multi-vector fields on $PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)$ parameterized by s is $O(e^{s\delta})$ if its coefficients are $O(e^{s\delta})$ as functions on $(-\infty, 0) \times PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)$ when it is written in the coordinates λ_i, φ_i (72).

Lemma 4.8. [2, Lemma 6.17] Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}(G^{w_0, e})$ is dominated by Φ_{BK} , then $(f \circ L_s^\theta)|_{PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)} = O(e^{s\delta})$ for all $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 4.9. Assume G is of the form (21), and let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Then, for all $\delta > 0$

$$\pi_s^\theta|_{PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)} = \pi_{-\infty}^\theta + O(e^{s\delta}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.18 the functions f_{z_i, \bar{z}_j} in (74), considered as functions on $G^{w_0, e}$, are dominated by the potential Φ_{BK} , up to complex conjugation of some terms. The analytical significance of this is explained by Lemma 4.8, and the proof is then exactly as in [2, Theorem 6.18]. \square

4.4 Structure maps and symplectic leaves

The structure maps of the partial tropicalization are:

$$\text{hw}^{PT} : (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_1} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{\text{hw}^t} X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}} \mathfrak{t}^*, \quad (78)$$

$$\text{wt}^{PT} : (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_1} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{\text{wt}^t} X_*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}} \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

Although they are defined on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$, hw^{PT} and wt^{PT} will sometimes denote their restrictions to the subspace $PT(K^*, \theta)$.

Lemma 4.10. Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Then, the following diagram commutes for all $s \neq 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{L_s^\theta} & K^* \cap G^{w_0, e} \\ \downarrow \text{wt}^{PT} & & \downarrow \text{wt} \\ \mathfrak{t}^* & \xrightarrow{E_s} & A \end{array} \quad (79)$$

Proof. For $(x, t) \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$,

$$E_s \circ \text{wt}^{PT}(x, t) = \exp\left(\frac{s\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1}(-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \text{wt}^t(x))\right) = \exp\left(\frac{s}{2}\text{wt}^t(x)\right)$$

Thus it suffices to prove

$$\exp\left(\frac{s}{2}\text{wt}^t(x)\right) = \text{wt}(L_s^\theta(x, t)), \quad \forall (x, t) \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}.$$

Let $\gamma \in X^*(H)$ and $(x, t) \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$ arbitrary. Applying the definitions,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(L_s^\theta(x, t))^\gamma &= (\text{wt} \circ \theta \circ \mathfrak{P}_s(x, t))^\gamma \\ &= \mathfrak{P}_s(x, t)^{\langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{s}{2}\text{wt}^t(x)\right)^\gamma t^{\langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{s}{2}\text{wt}^t(x)\right)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth equality follows by (71). The lemma follows since γ is arbitrary. \square

The degree map (cf. (73)) of a (twisted) cluster chart θ determines a homomorphism

$$\varphi_\theta: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}, \quad \varphi_\theta(h_1, h_2)^\gamma = h_1^{|\gamma|_1} h_2^{|\gamma|_2} \quad \forall \gamma \in X^* \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}.$$

This in turn determines an action of $H \times H$ on $\mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$ by multiplication such that θ is $H \times H$ -equivariant. The image of the anti-diagonal subgroup $\{(h, h^{-1}) \in H \times H \mid h \in H\} \cong H$ is contained in the kernel of $\text{wt} \circ \theta$ since $|\langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle|_1 = |\langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle|_2$ for all $\gamma \in X^*(H)$. Thus, the image of the composition

$$\iota_\theta: T \hookrightarrow H \xrightarrow{h \mapsto (h, h^{-1})} H \times H \xrightarrow{\varphi_\theta} \mathbb{C}^{\times(\bar{r}+m)}$$

is contained in \mathbb{T} . Let T act on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$ by translation on \mathbb{T} with respect to ι_θ , and consider the dressing (conjugation) action of T on $K^* \cap G^{w_0, e}$. Then, \mathfrak{P}_s and $L_s^\theta = \theta \circ \mathfrak{P}_s$ are each T -equivariant.

Recall that the action of T on K^* by conjugation coincides with the dressing action. For all $s \neq 0$, the dressing action of T on $(K^*, s\pi_{K^*})$ is Hamiltonian with moment map $E_s^{-1} \circ [\cdot]_0$ (see the discussion preceding Proposition 2.15). According to the following lemma, this Hamiltonian action survives the limit $s \rightarrow -\infty$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let G be of the form (21), and let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. The kernel of the homomorphism $\iota_\theta: T \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the center of K . Moreover:*

- (1) *For all $s \neq 0$, the action of T on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$ defined by ι_θ is Hamiltonian with respect to π_s^θ with moment map wt^{PT} .*
- (2) *The action of T on $PT(K^*, \theta)$ defined by ι_θ is Hamiltonian with respect to $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$ with moment map wt^{PT} .*

Proof. To see that the kernel of ι_θ is the center of K , it suffices to observe that L_s^θ is T -equivariant and the kernel of the dressing action of T on K^* equals the center of K .

The restriction of $[\cdot]_0$ to $G^{w_0, e} \cap K^*$ equals wt . Since L_s^θ is T -equivariant, the action of T on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$ defined by ι_θ is Hamiltonian with respect to π_s^θ with moment map $E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ L_s^\theta$. By (79), $E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ L_s^\theta = \text{wt}^{PT}$, which completes the proof of item 1.

Since ι_θ does not depend on s , fundamental vector fields $\underline{X} \in \mathfrak{X}((G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T})$, $X \in \mathfrak{t}$, for the action defined by ι_θ do not depend on s . By Theorem 4.9,

$$\underline{X} = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\pi_s^\theta)^\sharp(d\langle \text{wt}^{PT}, X \rangle) = (\pi_{-\infty}^\theta)^\sharp(d\langle \text{wt}^{PT}, X \rangle)$$

at points in $PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)$. This completes the proof of item 2. □

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Then:*

- (1) *The fibers of $\text{hw}^{PT}: PT(K^*, \theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ are the symplectic leaves of $(PT(K^*, \theta), \pi_{-\infty}^\theta)$.*
- (2) *The image of $\text{hw}^{PT}: PT(K^*, \theta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ is \mathfrak{t}_+^* .*
- (3) *For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, let $\omega_{-\infty}^\theta$ denote the symplectic structure on $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda)$ defined by $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$. Then,*

$$\text{Vol}((\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda), \omega_{-\infty}^\theta) = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda). \quad (80)$$

Proof. The proof of Item 1. is the same as the proof of [3, Proposition 6.3] which handles the special case $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(i)}$. Item 2. follows from Theorem 3.20. Item 3. is Theorem 6.5 and Remark 6.6 of [3]. □

The next lemma is crucial for estimating Hamiltonian flows in Section 5. It was proved for the special case $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ in Theorem 6.11 of [3]. The proof in general is the same.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$. Then, For all $X \in \mathfrak{t}$,*

$$\langle \text{hw}^{PT}, X \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S} \circ E_s^{-1} \circ L_s^\theta, X \rangle + O(e^{s\delta}), \quad (81)$$

as a function on $PT(K^*, \theta, \delta)$. Moreover,

$$d\langle \text{hw}^{PT}, X \rangle = d\langle \mathcal{S} \circ E_s^{-1} \circ L_s^\theta, X \rangle + O(e^{s\delta}), \quad (82)$$

as linear operators.

4.5 Properties of the partial tropicalization

This section establishes basic results about $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$. Section 4.5.1 describes the special case $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ or $\theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}^\zeta$, where \mathbf{i} is a double reduced word for (w_0, e) . Versions of these results were proved in [3]. Section 4.5.2 describes the case for general $\theta \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$.

4.5.1 Brackets in special charts

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a double reduced word for (w_0, e) . If $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$, then for all $j \in [-r, -1] \cup [1, m]$ and $k \in [1, m]$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\lambda_j, \varphi_k\} &= 0 && \text{if } j \geq k, \\ \{\lambda_j, \varphi_k\} &= (\omega_{i_j}, \omega_{i_k})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (\omega_{i_j}, s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_k} \omega_{i_k})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} && \text{if } j < k. \end{aligned}$$

If $j < -r$, then $\{\lambda_j, \varphi_k\} = 0$ for all $k \in [1, m]$. In particular, if $z_j = \Delta_{w_0 \omega_{|i_j|}, \omega_{|i_j|}}$ is a θ -coordinate and $\lambda_j = z_j^t$, then $\{\lambda_j, \varphi_k\} = 0$ for all $k \in [1, m]$.

Proof. We refer to the definition (77) of $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$ and use invariance of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ under the Weyl group. The coefficient c_{z_j, \bar{z}_k} is given in (76). The coefficient c_{z_j, z_k} is given in Remark 4.5. The last statement can be shown directly from the previous ones; it also follows from Lemma 4.12(1). \square

Corollary 4.15. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a double reduced word for (w_0, e) , and let $\theta = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$. For $j \in [1, m]$, let $j^- = \max\{k \in [-r, -1] \cup [1, m] \mid |i_k| = |i_j|\}$. Let B denote the $m \times m$ matrix with entries*

$$B_{j,k} = \{\lambda_{j^-}, \varphi_k\}.$$

Then $B = X^{-1}Y$ where Y is an upper triangular unimodular matrix with nonnegative entries and X is the diagonal matrix with $X_{j,j} = d_{|i_j|}$.

Consequently, there is a Lie group automorphism $C_\varphi: \mathbb{T}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_\theta$ so that, if $\varphi'_k = \varphi_k \circ C_\varphi$, then the matrix B' with $B'_{j,k} = \{d_{|i_j|} \lambda_{j^-}, \varphi'_k\}$ is equal to the identity matrix.

Proof. Then, by Theorem 4.14 and invariance of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ with respect to the Weyl group,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{j,j} = \{\lambda_{j^-}, \varphi_j\} &= (\omega_{i_{j^-}}, \omega_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (\omega_{i_j}, s_{1+i_{j^-}} \cdots s_{i_j} \omega_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \\ &= (\omega_{i_j}, \omega_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (\omega_{i_j}, s_{i_j} \omega_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \\ &= (\omega_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 1/d_{|i_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $j > j^- \geq k$, then $B_{j,k} = 0$ by Theorem 4.14. If $j > k > j^-$, then again by invariance

$$B_{j,k} = \{\lambda_{j^-}, \varphi_k\} = (\omega_{i_{j^-}}, \omega_{i_k})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - (\omega_{i_j}, s_{1+i_{j^-}} \cdots s_{i_k} \omega_{i_k})_{\mathfrak{h}^*} = 0.$$

Finally, if $j < k$ then

$$B_{j,k} = \{\lambda_{j^-}, \varphi_k\} = (\omega_{i_{j^-}}, \omega_{i_k} - s_{1+i_{j^-}} \cdots s_{i_k} \omega_{i_k}) = c(\omega_{i_j}, \alpha_{i_j})_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$$

for some nonnegative integer c , since $\omega_{i_k} - s_{1+i_{j^-}} \cdots s_{i_k} \omega_{i_k}$ is a positive integer linear combination of simple roots α_i and $(\omega_{i_j}, \alpha_i) = \delta_{i_j, i}$. The desired automorphism C_φ can be constructed by reducing Y to the identity matrix by multiplying by a unimodular matrix on the right. \square

4.5.2 Brackets in general charts and relationship with canonical bases

By the discussion of Section 3.4, the cone factor of $PT(K^*, \theta)$ is related to the representation theory of G^\vee . Ultimately, we want to connect the Poisson geometry of \mathfrak{k}^* with the representation theory of $K \subset G$.

Proposition 4.16. *Assume G is of the form (21). Let \mathbf{i} be a double reduced word for (w_0, e) , let $\theta = \theta_{\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}} \in \Theta(G^{w_0, e})$, and let $\theta^\vee = \theta_{\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}^\vee} \in \Theta(G^{\vee; w_0, e})$. Let λ_j, φ_k and $\lambda_j^\vee, \varphi_k^\vee$ be coordinates on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$ and $(G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta^\vee}$, respectively, as in (72). Identify $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{\theta^\vee} = \mathbb{T}_\theta$ by setting $\varphi_k = \varphi_k^\vee$ for all k where φ_k is defined.*

Given the fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{r}} \cong H$ as in (53), let $X_1, \dots, X_{\tilde{r}}$ be the associated basis of $X_*(H)$. Let $x_j^\vee = \lambda_{j^-}^\vee$ for $j \in [1, m]$ and $x_{-j}^\vee = \langle X_j, (\text{hw}^\vee)^t \rangle$. There are coordinates $v_1^\vee, \dots, v_m^\vee$, modulo 2π , so that

(1) Under the constant Poisson structure

$$(\Psi_\theta^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}})_*(\pi_{-\infty}^\theta)$$

on $(G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \times \mathbb{T}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_j^\vee, v_k^\vee\} &= 0 & \text{for all } j \in [-\tilde{r}, -1], k \in [1, m]; \\ \{x_j^\vee, v_k^\vee\} &= \delta_{j,k} & \text{for all } j, k \in [1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $x_{-k}^\vee \circ (\Psi_\theta^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}}) = \langle X_k, \text{hw}^{PT} \rangle$ for all $k \in [1, \tilde{r}]$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\theta} = \theta_{\sigma(\mathbf{i})}$ be the unreduced cluster chart on $G^{w_0, e}$ which is related to θ as in Remark 3.12. For each $\hat{\theta}$ -coordinate \hat{z}_j , view the corresponding coordinates $\hat{\lambda}_j, \hat{\varphi}_j$ as functions on $PT(K^*, \theta)$ by precomposing with

$$\text{Id}^{PT}: PT(K^*, \theta) \rightarrow PT(K^*, \hat{\theta});$$

notice that in this case Id^{PT} is globally defined because the coordinate transformation (54) is Laurent monomial. Let $\hat{\varphi}'_k$ be related to $\hat{\varphi}_k$ as in Corollary 4.15, and set $v_k^\vee = \hat{\varphi}'_k$, for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

For $\hat{z}_j = \Delta_{u_j \omega_{|i_j|}, \omega_{|i_j|}}$, write $u_j \omega_{|i_j|} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i w_0 \omega_i$ for $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $z_j = \hat{z}_j|_{L^{w_0, e}}$, we have

$$\lambda_j = \hat{\lambda}_j - \left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \Delta_{w_0 \omega_i, \omega_i}^t \right).$$

Due to the last statement of Theorem 4.14, the functions $\Delta_{w_0 \omega_i, \omega_i}^t$ are Casimirs of $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$. By Corollary 4.15, if $j > 0$ then

$$\{x_j^\vee \circ \Psi_\theta^t, v_k\} = \{\lambda_{j^-} \circ \Psi_\theta^t, \hat{\varphi}'_k\} = \{d_{|i_j|} \lambda_{j^-}, \hat{\varphi}'_k\} = \delta_{j,k},$$

as desired. If $j < 0$ then $\{x_j, v_k\} = 0$ by (2) together with Lemma 4.12(1). This establishes condition (1). The condition (2) follows from the definition of x_{-k}^\vee and the commutivity of the first diagram in (67). \square

The following extends Proposition 4.16 to include arbitrary (twisted) reduced cluster charts.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a double reduced word for (w_0, e) and let $(z_\sigma, \sigma) \in |\sigma(\mathbf{i})|$. Let $\theta = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$ or $\zeta \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}}$, and let $\theta^\vee = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}^\vee}$ or $\zeta^\vee \circ \theta_{\bar{\sigma}^\vee}$, respectively. Let λ_j, φ_k be coordinates on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$ as in (72).*

For the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{\bar{r}} \cong H$ as in (53), let $X_1, \dots, X_{\bar{r}}$ be the associated basis of $X_(H)$. There are linear coordinates $x_{-\bar{r}}, \dots, x_{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_m$ on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$, and coordinates v_1, \dots, v_m modulo 2π on \mathbb{T}_θ , so that:*

(1) *Under the constant Poisson structure $\pi_{-\infty}^\theta$ on $PT(K^*, \theta)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_j, v_k\} &= 0 & \text{for all } j \in [-\bar{r}, -1], k \in [1, m]; \\ \{x_j, v_k\} &= \delta_{j,k} & \text{for all } j, k \in [1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $x_{-j} = \langle X_j, \text{hw}^{PT} \rangle$ for all $j \in [1, \bar{r}]$.

(3) *There is a unimodular map $\mathbb{R}^{\bar{r}+m} \cong (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ which takes the image of $PT(K^*, \theta)$ under*

$$(q, t) \mapsto (x_{-\bar{r}}(q), \dots, x_{-1}(q), x_1(q), \dots, x_m(q)) \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{r}+m}, \quad (q, t) \in PT(K^*, \theta). \quad (83)$$

to $(G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0)$.

Proof. Write $\tilde{\theta} = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})}$ and $\tilde{\theta}^\vee = \theta_{\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})^\vee}$. Identify $\mathbb{T}_\theta \cong \mathbb{T}_{\theta^\vee}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{T}} \cong \tilde{\mathbb{T}}^\vee$ by putting $\varphi_k = \varphi_k^\vee$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_k = \tilde{\varphi}_k^\vee$, using notation for coordinate functions as in (72). Due to the commutivity of (3.25), as well as Theorem 48 (if θ is a twisted reduced cluster chart), the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PT(K, \theta) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\theta^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}_\theta}} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \theta^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta^\vee} \\ \downarrow \text{Id}^{PT} & & \downarrow \text{Id}^{PT} \\ PT(K, \tilde{\theta}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\tilde{\theta}}^t \times \text{Id}_{\tilde{\mathbb{T}}}} & (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}^\vee, \tilde{\theta}^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^\vee} \end{array} \quad (84)$$

wherever the vertical arrows are defined. Let $C \subset (G^{w_0, e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0)$ be an open linearity chamber of the map $\text{Id}^t \circ \Psi_\theta^t = \Psi_\theta^t \circ \text{Id}^t$. Consider the restriction of the map in (84) to $C \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$:

$$C \times \mathbb{T}_\theta \rightarrow (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \tilde{\theta}^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^\vee}.$$

Linearly extend this to a map

$$F: PT(K^*, \theta) \rightarrow (G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \tilde{\theta}^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^\vee}.$$

Notice that, by following the two sides of the diagram (84), one can decompose F as

$$F = F_2 \circ (\Psi_\theta^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}_\theta}) = (\Psi_{\tilde{\theta}}^t \times \text{Id}_{\tilde{\mathbb{T}}}) \circ F_1,$$

so that, when restricted to $C \times \mathbb{T}_\theta$ (resp. $\Phi_\theta^t(C) \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta^\vee}$), the map F_1 agrees with Id^{PT} (resp. F_2 agrees with Id^{PT}). Note that each F_i is the product of a unimodular map f_i in the first factor with a Lie group isomorphism e^{f_i} in the second. Let x_j^\vee and v_k^\vee be the functions on $(G^{\vee; w_0, e}, \tilde{\theta}^\vee)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^\vee}$ constructed in Proposition 4.16. Let

$$x_j = x_j^\vee \circ F, \quad v_k = v_k^\vee \circ F.$$

We will show that x_j and v_k have the desired properties.

First, consider the unique constant Poisson structure $\tilde{\pi}$ on $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \tilde{\theta}^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^{\vee}}$ which coincides with $(\Psi_{\tilde{\theta}}^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}}})_*(\pi_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\theta}})$ on the open subset $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^{\vee}, \tilde{\theta}^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \times \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}^{\vee}}$. By Theorem 4.6, the map F is a Poisson map on the open subset $C \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$ of its domain. Since F is the product of a linear map and a fixed Lie group isomorphism, and because both $\pi_{-\infty}^{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are constant, the map F is a Poisson map on its entire domain. So, by Proposition 4.16(1), the Poisson brackets $\{x_j, v_k\}$ with respect to $\pi_{-\infty}^{\theta}$ have the desired form (1).

Second, by definition $x_{-j} = \langle X_j, (\text{hw}^{\vee})^t \rangle \circ F$. Restricting to the open set C , one then has

$$x_{-j} = \langle X_j, (\text{hw}^{\vee})^t \rangle \circ (\Psi_{\tilde{\theta}}^t \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}_{\tilde{\theta}}}) \circ \text{Id}^{PT}.$$

By Proposition 4.16(2), $x_{-j} = \langle X_k, \text{hw}^{PT} \rangle$ on $C \times \mathbb{T}_{\theta}$. If two linear maps agree on an open subset of their domain, they are equal, and so x_{-j} has the desired form (2) on all of $PT(K^*, \theta)$.

Finally, the map (83) can be decomposed

$$PT(K^*, \theta) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} (G^{w_0,e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\theta}^t} (G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \theta^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0) \xrightarrow{f_2} (G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \tilde{\theta}^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cong \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r}+m}.$$

The isomorphism $(G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \tilde{\theta}^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cong \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r}+m}$ given by the choice of coordinates x_j^{\vee} is unimodular, and the map f_2 is unimodular. Also, $\Psi_{\theta}^t \circ \text{pr}_1(PT(K^*, \theta)) = (G^{\vee;w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}^{\vee}, \theta^{\vee})_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0)$. This gives condition (3). \square

5 Main Results: Construction of action-angle coordinates

We are now able to prove our main results. We first construct action-angle coordinates on the space $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. These are then used in Section 5.3 to build action-angle coordinates on multiplicity free spaces through some standard reduction arguments.

5.1 Big action-angle coordinate charts on the model space $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$

Fix an arbitrary (twisted) reduced cluster chart θ on $G^{w_0,e}$. As in (53) and Theorem 4.17, we have a fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{\times \tilde{r}} \cong H$ and denote $X_1, \dots, X_{\tilde{r}}$ the associated basis of $X_*(H)$. Let:

$$x_{-\tilde{r}}, \dots, x_{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_m, v_1, \dots, v_m \tag{85}$$

be the linear coordinates on $(G^{w_0,e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T}$ given by Theorem 4.17. Let

$$\Upsilon_1, \dots, \Upsilon_{\tilde{r}} \tag{86}$$

denote coordinates on T defined modulo 2π by the dual basis of $X_1, \dots, X_{\tilde{r}}$.

As θ is now fixed, we will frequently suppress it from notation (e.g. $L_s^{\theta} = L_s$). Moreover, denote

$$\mathcal{C} := (G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(0), \quad \mathcal{C}(\delta) := (G^{w_0,e}, \Phi_{BK}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t(\delta).$$

With this notation, $PT(K^*, \theta) = \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 5.1. Given the coordinates $x_{-i}, x_j, v_j, \Upsilon_i$ and \mathcal{C} as defined above (for a particular choice of θ), let

$$\omega_{-\infty} := dv_1 \wedge dx_1 + \dots + dv_m \wedge dx_m + d\Upsilon_1 \wedge dx_{-1} + \dots + d\Upsilon_{\tilde{r}} \wedge dx_{-\tilde{r}}.$$

The symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty})$ has the following immediate properties:

- (A) The map $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T}, \pi_{-\infty}), (x, t, t') \mapsto (x, t)$, is Poisson. This follows from Theorem 4.17.
- (B) The action of T on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ defined by $t \cdot (x, t', t'') = (x, t', tt'')$ is Hamiltonian with respect to $\omega_{-\infty}$ with moment map hw^{PT} (where $\text{hw}^{PT}(x, t, t') := \text{hw}^{PT}(x, t)$). This follows from Theorem 4.17.
- (C) The action of T on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ defined by $t \cdot (x, t', t'') = (x, \iota(t)t', t'')$ is Hamiltonian with respect to $\omega_{-\infty}$ with moment map wt^{PT} (where $\text{wt}^{PT}(x, t, t') := \text{wt}^{PT}(x, t)$). This follows from Lemma 4.11.
- (D) The two T -actions commute, so $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}))$ is a Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifold.

Recall the Hamiltonian $K \times T$ -manifold $(K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}}, (\mu_L, \mu_R))$ from Example 2.3.

Theorem 5.2. *For all $\delta > 0$ and any bounded open subset $U \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta)$ there exists $T \times T$ -equivariant symplectic embeddings $(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}) \hookrightarrow (K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}})$ such that the following diagrams commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \hookrightarrow & K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{hw}^{PT} & & \downarrow -\mu_R \\
\mathfrak{k}_+^* & \xleftarrow{=} & \mathfrak{k}_+^*
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \hookrightarrow & K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{wt}^{PT} & & \downarrow \mu_L \\
\mathfrak{k}^* & \xleftarrow{\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{k}^*}} & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{array}
\tag{87}$$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.2

Throughout the proof of Theorem 5.2 we use big-O notation analogous to Notation 4.7, now used in reference to the coordinates $x_{-i}, x_j, \Upsilon_k, v_l$ on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2: Fix $\delta > 0$ and let U be a bounded open subset of $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$ as in the statement of Theorem 5.2.

Step 1 (delinearize $K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$): Let $(K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*, \Omega^s, \Psi^s)$ be the delinearization of $(K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}}, (\mu_L, \mu_R))$ as described in Example 2.18. Recall that (29) defines an isotopy $\phi_s: K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \rightarrow K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$ such that $\phi_s^* \Omega^s = \omega_{\text{can}}$. By Proposition 2.16, ϕ_s is defined for all s . By Proposition 2.15, it suffices to construct $T \times T$ -equivariant symplectic embeddings of $(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty})$ into $(K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*, \Omega^s)$ such that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \hookrightarrow & K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{hw}^{PT} & & \downarrow -\mu_R \\
\mathfrak{k}_+^* & \xleftarrow{=} & \mathfrak{k}_+^*
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \hookrightarrow & K \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{wt}^{PT} & & \downarrow \Psi^s \\
\mathfrak{k}^* & \xleftarrow{E_s^{-1}} & K^* \\
& & \downarrow \text{wt} \\
& & A
\end{array}
\tag{88}$$

Step 2 (trivialize Ψ^s): Consider the T -invariant orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{t}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{k}$ of \mathfrak{t} and define a map $\mathfrak{t}^\perp \times \mathfrak{k}_+^* \rightarrow K^*$ by sending $(Z, \xi) \mapsto E_s(\text{Ad}_{e^Z}^* \xi)$. For a sufficiently small neighbourhood V of $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\perp$, the restriction of this map to $V \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$ is a tubular neighbourhood of the submanifold $E_s(\mathfrak{k}_+^*)$. Note that $E_s(\mathfrak{k}_+^*)$ does not depend on s . This tubular neighbourhood embedding is T -equivariant with respect to the coadjoint and dressing action: for all $t \in T$ and $(Z, \xi) \in \mathfrak{t}^\perp \times \mathfrak{k}_+^*$,

$$t \cdot (Z, \xi) = (\text{Ad}_t Z, \xi) \mapsto E_s(\text{Ad}_{\exp(\text{Ad}_t Z)}^* \xi) = E_s(\text{Ad}_t^* \text{Ad}_{e^Z}^* \xi) = t E_s(\text{Ad}_{e^Z}^* \xi) t^{-1}.$$

The moment map Ψ^s trivializes over the tubular neighbourhood $V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z, \xi, t) & \longrightarrow & (e^Z t, \xi) \\
\\
\begin{array}{ccc}
(Z, \xi, t) & V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times T & \hookrightarrow K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \text{pr} & \downarrow \Psi^s \\
(Z, \xi) & V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* & \hookrightarrow K^*
\end{array} & & (89) \\
(Z, \xi) & \longrightarrow & E_s(\text{Ad}_{e^Z}^* \xi)
\end{array}$$

This trivialization is $T \times T$ -equivariant with respect to the actions

$$\begin{aligned}
T \times T \times V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times T &\rightarrow V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times T; & (t', t'', Z, \xi, t) &\mapsto (\text{Ad}_{t'} Z, \xi, t' t''^{-1}), \\
T \times T \times K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* &\rightarrow K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*; & (t', t'', k, \xi) &\mapsto (t' k (t'')^{-1}, \xi).
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Step 3 (detropicalize): Let $L_s = L_s^\theta: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow K^*$ be the detropicalization map (Definition 4.2). Define

$$\mathcal{L}_s := L_s \times \text{Id}_T: (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow K^* \times T.$$

Lemma 5.3. [4, Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, Proposition 4.3] For all $\delta > 0$ and any neighbourhood V of $0 \in \mathfrak{t}^\perp$, there exists $s_0 < 0$ such that for all $s \leq s_0$,

$$L_s(\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}) \subset V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \tag{91}$$

where $V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ has been identified with its image in K^* under the embedding from Step 2. What is more, if $p \in \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}$ and $L_s(p) = (Z, \xi) \in V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ under (91), then Z is $O(e^{s\delta})$.

Thus, for $s \ll 0$, we have embeddings such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_s} & V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times T \hookrightarrow K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr} \quad \downarrow \Psi^s \\
\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{L_s} & V \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \hookrightarrow K^*
\end{array} \tag{92}$$

Since L_s is T -equivariant, the map \mathcal{L}_s is $T \times T$ -equivariant.

Step 4 (Poisson bracket estimates): Let $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha: K^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ denote the Legendre transform of $\alpha \in \Omega^1(K^*)$ (see Section 2.3.5). Given $\beta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1(K^*)$, denote $\beta_R, \beta_I \in \Omega^1(K^*)$ such that $\beta = \beta_R + \sqrt{-1}\beta_I$. Define $\mathfrak{L}_\beta^{\mathbb{C}}: K^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by complexifying the equation defining \mathfrak{L}_β . Then, $\mathfrak{L}_\beta^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{L}_{\beta_I}$.

Lemma 5.4. Let z be a Laurent monomial in the θ -coordinates z_i with $\gamma = |z|_1$. Let

$$\beta = \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \beta' = \frac{d\Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}}.$$

Then, as functions $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$,

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\beta_R} \circ L_s = \mathfrak{L}_{\beta'} \circ L_s + O(e^{s\delta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_I} \circ L_s = O(e^{s\delta}).$$

Proof. Given $X \in \mathfrak{an}_-$, let X^R denote the right invariant vector field on K^* with $X^R(e) = X$. By definition of the Legendre transform,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_R} - \mathfrak{L}_{\beta'} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1} \langle X, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_I} \rangle_s &= \langle \theta^R(X^R), \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_R} - \mathfrak{L}_{\beta'} \rangle_s + \sqrt{-1} \langle \theta^R(X^R), \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_I} \rangle_s \\ &= \beta(X^R) - \beta'(X^R) \\ &= \frac{X \cdot \Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}} - \frac{X \cdot z}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7), if $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ then this function is identically 0. If $X \in \mathfrak{n}_-$, then applying Corollary 3.18 and Lemma 4.8 to z and $\Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}$,

$$\left(\frac{X \cdot \Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma, \gamma}} - \frac{X \cdot z}{z} \right) (L_s(p)) = O(e^{s\delta}).$$

The same argument proves a similar result for the pair of forms $\bar{\beta} = \beta_R - \sqrt{-1}\beta_I$ and $\bar{\beta}' = \beta'$. Combining the resulting two equations completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\{-, -\}_s$ denote the Poisson bracket of $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$. Then, for all $i, k \in [1, \tilde{r}]$ and $j \in [1, m]$:*

- (i) $\{x_{-i}, \Upsilon_k\}_s = \delta_{i,k} + O(e^{s\delta})$,
- (ii) $\{x_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s \in \mathbb{Z} + O(e^{s\delta})$,
- (iii) $\{v_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s = O(e^{s\delta})$,

as real-valued functions on $C(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.

Proof. If f is a real valued function defined on $C \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ such that $f(x, t, t') = f(x, t)$, then by (92) and Proposition 2.19,

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, \Upsilon_k\}_s(p) &= \{f \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}, \Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}\}_{\Omega^s}(\mathcal{L}_s(p)) \\ &= \{f \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s, \Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}\}_{\Omega^s}(\mathcal{L}_s(p)). \\ &= d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(X_{f \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \\ &= d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(\underline{\mathfrak{L}_{f \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s}(\Psi^s(\mathcal{L}_s(p)))} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Equation (i): First, consider the case where $f = x_{-i}$, $i \in [1, \tilde{r}]$. By Lemma 4.13, for all $X \in \mathfrak{t}$,

$$x_{-i} \circ L_s^{-1} = \langle \text{hw}^{PT} \circ L_s^{-1}, X_i \rangle = \langle \mathcal{S} \circ E_s^{-1}, X_i \rangle + O(e^{s\delta}). \tag{94}$$

Since $\mathcal{S} \circ E_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s$ is the moment map for the Thimm torus action on $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, it follows again by Lemma 4.13 that

$$X_{x_{-i} \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s} = \underline{X}_i + O(e^{s\delta}), \tag{95}$$

where \underline{X}_i is the fundamental vector field of X_i for the Thimm torus action. Setting $f = x_{-i}$ in (93),

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, \Upsilon_k\}_s(p) &= d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(X_{f \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \\ &= d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) (\underline{X}_i)_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} + O(e^{s\delta}) \\ &= \delta_{i,k} + O(e^{s\delta}). \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

Equation (ii): Let $z = z_j, j \in [1, m], \gamma = |z|_1, \beta = dz/z$, and $\beta' = d\Delta_{\gamma,\gamma}/\Delta_{\gamma,\gamma}$. Then,

$$\beta_R = \frac{s}{2}d(x_j \circ L_s^{-1}), \quad \beta_I = d(v_j \circ L_s^{-1}), \quad \beta'_R = \frac{s}{2}d(g \circ L_s^{-1}), \quad \beta'_I = 0, \quad (97)$$

where $g = \langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \rangle$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s &= \langle \gamma, \text{wt}^t \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s \rangle \\ &= \langle -\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \text{wt}^t \circ L_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s, -\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}\gamma \rangle \\ &= \langle E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s, -\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}\gamma \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

Since $E_s^{-1} \circ \text{wt} \circ \Psi^s$ is the moment map for the action of T on $K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ as the maximal torus,

$$\frac{2}{s} \underline{\mathfrak{L}}_{\beta'_R}(\Psi^s(m))_m = \underline{X}_m,$$

where \underline{X}_m is the fundamental vector field of $X = -\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{t}$ with respect to the same action.

Setting $f = x_j$ in (93), and combining with Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s(p) &= \frac{2}{s}d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(\underline{\mathfrak{L}}_{\beta_R}(\Psi^s(\mathcal{L}_s(p)))_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{s}d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(\underline{\mathfrak{L}}_{\beta'_R}(\Psi^s(\mathcal{L}_s(p)))_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \right) + O(e^{s\delta}) \\ &= d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(\underline{X}_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \right) + O(e^{s\delta}) \\ &\in \mathbb{Z} + O(e^{s\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have that $d(\Upsilon_k \circ \mathcal{L}_s^{-1}) \left(\underline{X}_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\underline{X}_{\mathcal{L}_s(p)}$ is the fundamental vector field of the integral element $-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{t}$ acting as an element of the maximal torus. The action of the maximal torus on the Upsilon coordinates is as in (90). (In fact, one can easily say exactly which integer this formula evaluates to, but we do not need a precise value).

Equation (iii): The same argument as in the previous case, now applied to β_I , shows that $\{v_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s = O(e^{s\delta})$. This completes the proof. \square

The following completes the description of the Poisson brackets of $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$.

Lemma 5.6. Let $\{-, -\}_s$ denote the Poisson bracket of $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$. Then, for all $i, j \in [1, \tilde{r}]$,

$$\{\Upsilon_i, \Upsilon_j\}_s = O(e^{s\delta}),$$

as real-valued functions on $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.

Proof. The brackets $\{\Upsilon_i, \Upsilon_j\}_s$ can be approximated using the formula for $(\Omega^s)^{-1}$ provided in (33). First, note that $P_k(d\Upsilon_i) = 0$ for all $i, k \in [1, \tilde{r}]$. Second, recall from Remark 2.8 that $\pi_K = \Lambda_0^L - \Lambda_0^R$ where $\Lambda_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} E_{\alpha} \wedge E_{-\alpha}$. Third, note that the denominator of the first term of (33) does not approach zero as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. For a fixed $p \in \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}$ and $t \in T$, by Lemma 5.3 we may take s sufficiently negative that we can express $\mathcal{L}_s(p, t) = (e^Z t, \xi) \in K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ in terms of the trivialization (89). Here $Z \in \mathfrak{t}^{\perp}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ are elements which depend on s . Noting that $E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}^{\perp} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^{\perp}$, it then suffices to show that, for any $Y \in \mathfrak{t}^{\perp}$,

$$(Y^L \cdot \Upsilon_i)(e^Z t) = O(e^{s\delta}), \quad (Y^R \cdot \Upsilon_i)(e^Z t) = O(e^{s\delta}), \quad (99)$$

where Y^L and Y^R denote the left- and right-invariant vector fields on K whose value at e is Y .

There exists unique $Z' \in \mathfrak{t}^\perp$ and $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ so that for $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $\log(e^Z e^q \text{Ad}_t Y) = \log(e^{Z+qZ'} e^{qX}) \pmod{q^2}$. Due to Lemma 5.3, the element Z is $O(e^{s\delta})$. Therefore, by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula,

$$Z + q(\text{Ad}_t Y + O(e^{s\delta})) = Z + q(Z' + X + O(e^{s\delta})) \pmod{q^2}.$$

By taking orthogonal projection $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$, we see that $X = O(e^{s\delta})$.

Now, computing from the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (Y^L \cdot \Upsilon_i)(e^Z t) &= \left. \frac{d}{dq} \right|_{q=0} \Upsilon_i(e^Z t e^{qY}) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dq} \right|_{q=0} \Upsilon_i(e^Z e^q \text{Ad}_t Y t) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dq} \right|_{q=0} \Upsilon_i(e^Z e^q \text{Ad}_t Y) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dq} \right|_{q=0} \Upsilon_i(e^{Z+qZ'} e^{qX}) \\ &= d\Upsilon_i(X) = O(e^{s\delta}), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The proof for the action of Y^R is essentially the same. □

Corollary 5.7. *The coordinates (85) and (86) can be chosen such that, on $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$,*

$$\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s = \omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.17 and Theorem 4.9, the coordinates (85) can be chosen so that, under $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$,

$$\{x_j, v_k\}_s = \delta_{j,k} + O(e^{s\delta}), \quad \{x_j, x_k\}_s = \{x_j, x_{-k}\}_s = \{x_{-j}, x_{-k}\}_s = \{v_j, v_k\}_s = O(e^{s\delta})$$

for $j, k > 0$. By Lemma 5.5, one has for this choice of coordinates,

$$\{x_{-i}, \Upsilon_k\}_s = \delta_{i,k} + O(e^{s\delta}), \quad \{x_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s = N_{j,k} + O(e^{s\delta}), \quad \{v_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s = O(e^{s\delta}),$$

where $N_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, by Lemma 5.6,

$$\{\Upsilon_i, \Upsilon_j\}_s = O(e^{s\delta}).$$

Consider the unimodular change of coordinates given by replacing

$$x_j := x_j - \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{r}} N_{j,k} x_{-k}.$$

It is easy to check that the new coordinates $x_{-i}, x_j, \Upsilon_i, v_j$ satisfy all the above equalities except now

$$\{x_j, \Upsilon_k\}_s = O(e^{s\delta}).$$

This completes the proof. □

In what follows we will assume without loss of generality we have chosen the coordinates (85) and (86) so that the conclusion of Corollary 5.7 holds.

Step 5 (correction maps): Unfortunately, the map \mathcal{L}_s does not make the diagrams (88) commute. It is therefore necessary to precompose \mathcal{L}_s with a ‘‘correction map’’.

Lemma 5.8. For all $\delta > 0$ and any bounded open subset $U \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta)$, there exists $T \times T$ -equivariant embeddings $G_s: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ such that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \xleftarrow{G_s} & \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_s} K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \Psi^s \\
U \times \mathbb{T} & & K^* \\
\downarrow \text{hw}^{PT} & & \downarrow E_s^{-1} \\
\mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}} & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{array} \tag{100}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} \times T & \xleftarrow{G_s} & \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_s} K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \\
\downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \Psi^s \\
U \times \mathbb{T} & & K^* \\
\downarrow \text{wt}^{PT} & & \downarrow \text{wt} \\
\mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{E_s^{-1}} & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{array} \tag{101}$$

Moreover, with respect to the coordinates $x_{-i}, x_k, v_k, \Upsilon_i$, the Jacobian $(G_s)_*$ has block-form

$$(G_s)_* = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\tilde{r} \times \tilde{r}} + O(e^{s\delta}) & O(e^{s\delta}) & O(e^{s\delta}) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m \times m} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{m \times m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{\tilde{r} \times \tilde{r}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{102}$$

where $O(e^{s\delta})$ denotes a matrix whose entries are $O(e^{s\delta})$.

Proof. Since the diagram (92) commutes, it suffices to find a T -equivariant map $g_s: U \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}$ such that that the following diagrams commute,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{g_s} & \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{L_s} K^* \\
\downarrow \text{hw}^{PT} & & \downarrow E_s^{-1} \\
\mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}} & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{array} \tag{103}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{g_s} & \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{L_s} K^* \\
\downarrow \text{wt}^{PT} & & \downarrow \text{wt} \\
\mathfrak{t}_+^* & \xleftarrow{E_s^{-1}} & \mathfrak{k}^*
\end{array} \tag{104}$$

and, with respect to the coordinates x_{-i}, x_k, v_k , the Jacobian of g_s has block-form

$$(g_s)_* = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\tilde{r} \times \tilde{r}} + O(e^{s\delta}) & O(e^{s\delta}) & O(e^{s\delta}) \\ 0 & I_{m \times m} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{105}$$

The map $G_s = g_s \times \text{Id}_T: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ will then have the desired properties.

For every bounded open set $U \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta)$, it is possible to find a convex bounded set $C \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ such that

$$U \times \mathbb{T} \subset (\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(C) \cap (\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Thus it is sufficient to construct g_s on subsets of the form $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(C) \cap (\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T})$ for any convex bounded set $C \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$.

Such a map g_s was constructed in [4, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.3] for the case where $C = \{p\}$ for an arbitrary element $p \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. The same implicit function theorem argument used in [4, Lemma 3.2] can be easily extended to construct g_s with the properties described above for any convex bounded open set $C \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$.

Although it was not mentioned in [4], it is easy to see that (104) commutes. By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 4.10, it suffices to show that $\text{wt}^t \circ g_s = \text{wt}^t$. This is easy to see looking at the definition of g_s . \square

To see that the left diagram in (88) commutes, observe that by (100), (27), and since $\mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L = -\mu_R$,

$$\text{hw}^{PT} \circ \text{pr} = \mathcal{S} \circ E_s^{-1} \circ \Psi^s \circ \mathcal{L}_s \circ G_s = \mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L \circ \mathcal{L}_s \circ G_s = -\mu_R \circ \mathcal{L}_s \circ G_s.$$

Step 6 (set up Moser's trick): Fix $\delta > 0$ and let U be a bounded open subset of $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$. Let $\omega_s = G_s^* \mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s \in \Omega^2(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$. The goal is to construct a Moser flow that deforms ω_s to $\omega_{-\infty}$. For $s < 0$, define a closed 2-form ω_s^τ on $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ by the equation

$$\omega_s^\tau = (1 - \tau)\omega_{-\infty} + \tau\omega_s, \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 5.9. *For all $\delta > 0$, $\tau \in [0, 1]$, and $s \ll 0$, the form ω_s^τ is non-degenerate on $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.7, $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s = \omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta})$. By Lemma 5.8,

$$\omega_s = G_s^* \mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s = G_s^* (\omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta})) = \omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta}).$$

Thus

$$\omega_s^\tau = (1 - \tau)\omega_{-\infty} + \tau(\omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta})) = \omega_{-\infty} + O(e^{s\delta})$$

is non-degenerate for $s \ll 0$. \square

Define another closed 2-form $\alpha_s = \omega_s - \omega_{-\infty}$ on $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. Then α_s is $O(e^{s\delta})$.

Lemma 5.10. *Let U be an open subset of $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$. Then α_s is exact on $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.*

Proof. The form $\omega_{-\infty}$ is exact, and so it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$ is exact. Consider the involution

$$K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \rightarrow K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*: \quad (k, \xi) \mapsto (\bar{k}, \xi). \quad (106)$$

By naturality of the canonical symplectic form on T^*K , the involution of $(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}})$ which maps $(k, \xi) \mapsto (\bar{k}, -\xi)$ is symplectic. Therefore (106) is anti-symplectic on $(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*, \omega_{\text{can}})$. Consider also the involution

$$\overline{(\cdot)}: \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \quad \overline{(x_{-i}, x_j, \Upsilon_i, v_j)} = (x_{-i}, x_j, -\Upsilon_i, -v_j). \quad (107)$$

Then \mathcal{L}_s intertwines the involutions (107) and (106), and so by [6, Section 3.4], the involution (106) is anti-symplectic on $(K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*, \Omega^s)$. Consequently, one has $\overline{(\cdot)}^* \mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s = -\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$.

On the other hand, for any cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^2(\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T) \cong H^2(\mathbb{T} \times T)$, one has $\overline{(\cdot)}^* [\omega] = [\omega]$. Therefore the class $[\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s] \in H^2(\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$ is equal to 0, and hence $\mathcal{L}_s^* \Omega^s$ is exact. \square

Lemma 5.11. *Let n be a positive integer, let $\mathbb{T} = (S^1)^n$ and fix a subtorus $\mathbb{T}' \subset \mathbb{T}$. Assume $\sigma_s \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{T})$ be a family of exact smooth \mathbb{T}' -invariant forms, parametrized by $s < 0$. Assume there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma_s = O(e^{s\delta})$. Then, there exists a family $\gamma_s \in \Omega^{k-1}(\mathbb{T})$ of smooth \mathbb{T}' -invariant forms, which satisfy $d\gamma_s = \sigma_s$ and $\gamma_s = O(e^{s\delta})$.*

Proof. Let us first introduce some notation. For any positive integer l , we denote multi-indices by capital letters, eg $J = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$. Write

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\varphi} = (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\varphi_n}) \in \mathbb{T} = (S^1)^n$$

for points of \mathbb{T} , and consider the forms $d\varphi_J = d\varphi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\varphi_{j_l}$. For any form $\omega \in \Omega^l(\mathbb{T})$, write $\omega = \sum_J \omega_J d\varphi_J$. For $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $m \cdot \varphi = m_1\varphi_1 + \dots + m_n\varphi_n$, and let

$$\hat{\omega}_J(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega_J e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m \cdot \varphi}$$

be the m^{th} Fourier coefficient of ω_J . Define

$$\omega[m] = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m \cdot \varphi} \sum_J \hat{\omega}_J(m) d\varphi_J.$$

Then $\omega = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \omega[m]$, where the sum on the right converges uniformly because ω is smooth.

We consider the form $\sigma_s \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{T})$, writing $\sigma = \sigma_s$ for simplicity. For each $0 \neq m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, choose $j(m) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ so that $m_{j(m)} \neq 0$. Define the forms

$$\gamma[m] := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{j(m)}} \iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{j(m)}}} \sigma[m],$$

and let $\hat{\gamma}_J(m)$ be the coefficient of $d\varphi_J$ in $e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m \cdot \varphi} \gamma[m]$, so

$$e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m \cdot \varphi} \gamma[m] = \sum_J \hat{\gamma}_J(m) d\varphi_J.$$

Because the Fourier series of σ converges uniformly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \neq 0} |\hat{\gamma}_J(m)| &\leq \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{2\pi m_{j(m)}} \sum_K |\hat{\sigma}_K(m)| \\ &= \sum_K \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|\hat{\sigma}_K(m)|}{2\pi m_{j(m)}} \\ &< \sum_K \sum_{m \neq 0} |\hat{\sigma}_K(m)| < \infty \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

and hence $\sum_{m \neq 0} \gamma[m]$ converges uniformly to a smooth $k-1$ form. Let us denote this form by $\gamma = \sum_{m \neq 0} \gamma[m]$.

Since the exterior derivative d preserves the Fourier mode m , we have

$$d(\sigma[m]) = (d\sigma)[m] = 0. \tag{109}$$

Applying Cartan's magic formula and (109), we have $d\gamma[m] = \sigma[m]$ for all $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. So $\sigma - d\gamma = \sigma[0]$. Because σ is exact, it follows that $\sigma[0] = \sum_K \hat{\sigma}_K(0) d\varphi_K$ is exact. But this can only be true if $\sigma[0] = 0$. So $\sigma = d\gamma$.

Let us control the size of γ . We compute as in (108) and use Parseval's theorem:

$$|\gamma_J|^2 \leq \sum_K \sum_m |\hat{\sigma}_K(m)|^2 = \sum_K \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\sigma_K|^2.$$

Since σ_s is $O(e^{s\delta})$, we conclude $\sum_K \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\sigma_K|^2$ is $O(e^{2s\delta})$. Hence $\gamma_s = \gamma$ is $O(e^{s\delta})$. Finally, since $d\gamma_s = \sigma_s$ and σ_s is \mathbb{T}' -invariant, by averaging γ_s with respect to translations by \mathbb{T}' we can assume that γ_s is \mathbb{T}' -invariant as well. Because \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{T}' are compact this does not affect the conclusion that γ_s is $O(e^{s\delta})$. \square

Lemma 5.12. *Let U be a convex bounded open subset of $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$. Then there exists a $T \times T$ -invariant form $\beta_s \in \Omega^1(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$ such that $d\beta_s = \alpha_s$ and β_s is $O(e^{s\delta})$.*

Proof. Fix a point $p \in U$. The set U is convex so we may define a straight line retract

$$\begin{aligned} H: [0, 1] \times U \times \mathbb{T} \times T &\rightarrow U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \\ (q, x, v, \Upsilon) &\mapsto H_q(x, v, \Upsilon) = (p + q(x - p), v, \Upsilon) \end{aligned}$$

from $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ to $\{p\} \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. Let $h: \Omega^\bullet(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$ be the homotopy operator associated with H , so

$$h\alpha_s = \int_0^1 \left(\iota_{\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} H^* \alpha_s \right) dq \in \Omega^1(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T).$$

Note that $H_q: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ is $T \times T$ -equivariant for all $q \in [0, 1]$, and that the form and α_s is $T \times T$ -invariant. What is more, α_s is $O(e^{s\delta})$ and U is bounded. Therefore the form $h\alpha_s$ is $T \times T$ -invariant and is $O(e^{s\delta})$. Since h is a homotopy operator and since α_s is closed, one has

$$\alpha_s = dh\alpha_s + H_0^* \alpha_s.$$

By Lemma 5.10, the form $H_0^* \alpha_s$ is exact. It is $T \times T$ -invariant, and is $O(e^{s\delta})$. So by Lemma 5.11, there is a $T \times T$ -invariant $\gamma_s \in \Omega^1(\{p\} \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$ satisfying $d\gamma_s = H_0^* \alpha_s$, which also satisfies $\gamma_s = \mathcal{O}(e^{s\delta})$. Then $\beta_s = h\alpha_s + \gamma_s$ has the desired properties. \square

Step 7 (integrate the Moser vector field): To complete the proof, notice that there exists $0 < \delta' < \delta$ and a convex bounded open set $U' \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta')$ so that $U \subset \bar{U} \subset U'$. We replace U with U' , and all the previous discussion holds with δ replaced by δ' . We will then consider $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ as a submanifold of $U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta') \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.

By Lemma 5.9, the form $\omega_s^\tau \in \Omega^2(U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T)$ is non-degenerate for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, for $s \ll 0$. For any such s , the equation

$$\iota_{X_s^\tau} \omega_s^\tau = -\beta_s \tag{110}$$

defines a τ -dependent vector field X_s^τ , $\tau \in [0, 1]$, on $U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. Denote by ϕ_s^τ the flow of X_s^τ for all points $p \in U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ and all $\tau \in [0, 1]$ for which it is defined.

Lemma 5.13. *The vector field X_s^τ is $O(e^{s\delta'})$ for all τ .*

Proof. By (110),

$$\iota_{X_s^\tau} \omega_s^\tau = \iota_{X_s^\tau} \omega_{-\infty} + \tau \iota_{X_s^\tau} \alpha_s = -\beta_s = O(e^{s\delta'}).$$

Since α_s is $O(e^{s\delta'})$ and $\omega_{-\infty}$ is nondegenerate, the vector field X_s^τ must be $O(e^{s\delta'})$. \square

Proposition 5.14. *There exists $s \ll 0$ and $x_0 \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ such that:*

1. The flow

$$\phi_s^\tau|_{U \times \mathbb{T} \times T}: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$$

is defined for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$;

2. The time 1 flow ϕ_s^1 satisfies $(\phi_s^1)^*(\omega_s^1) = (\phi_s^1)^*(\omega_s) = \omega_{-\infty}$ and is $T \times T$ -equivariant;

3. There exists $x_0 \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t$ so that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_s^1: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T &\rightarrow (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \times T \\ (x, v) &\mapsto (x + x_0, v) \end{aligned}$$

has $\tilde{\phi}_s^1(U \times \mathbb{T} \times T) \subset U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$, satisfies $(\tilde{\phi}_s^1)^*(\omega_s^1) = \omega_{-\infty}$, and additionally satisfies

$$\text{hw}^{PT} \circ \tilde{\phi}_s^1 = \text{hw}^{PT}, \text{ and } \text{wt}^{PT} \circ \tilde{\phi}_s^1 = \text{wt}^{PT}.$$

Proof. Let R denote the minimum distance from ∂U to $\partial U'$, where we consider the standard Euclidean metric on $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cong \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r}+m}$. Let $c > 0$ denote the norm of the linear map

$$(\text{wt}^{PT} \times \text{hw}^{PT}): (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \cong (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \{1\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

Fix a linear section σ of this map, and let $b = \|\sigma\| > 0$. Fix an invariant metric on $\mathbb{T} \times T$, and equip $(G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ with the product metric. Since X_s^τ is $O(e^{s\delta})$, we may choose $s \ll 0$ such that $\|X_s^\tau\| < \min\{\frac{R}{2bc}, \frac{R}{2}\}$ at all points of $U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. Then for all $(x, v) \in U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$ and all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, we have that the distance from $\phi_s^\tau(x, v)$ to (x, v) is less than $\frac{R}{2}$. Therefore the flow of X_s^τ , restricted to $U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$, does not escape $U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$, for $\tau \in [0, 1]$. This establishes the first claim.

The second claim is due to the standard Moser argument: By (110), one has

$$dt_{X_s^\tau} \omega_s^\tau + d\beta_s = L_{X_s^\tau} \omega_s^\tau + \frac{\partial \omega_s^\tau}{\partial \tau} = 0$$

and therefore $(\phi_s^\tau)^* \omega_s^\tau = \omega_s^0 = \omega_{-\infty}$ wherever the flow is defined. Finally, since ω_s^τ and β_s are both $T \times T$ -invariant, the flow of X_s^τ must be $T \times T$ -equivariant.

For the third claim, notice that the map $(\text{wt}^{PT}, \text{hw}^{PT}): \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*$ is a moment map for the $T \times T$ action, with respect to both $\omega_{-\infty}$ and ω_s (cf. properties (B) and (C) and Lemma 5.8). By uniqueness of moment maps there is some $\hat{x}_0 \in \mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that

$$(\text{wt}^{PT} \circ \phi_s^1, \text{hw}^{PT} \circ \phi_s^1) + \hat{x}_0 = (\text{wt}^{PT}, \text{hw}^{PT}).$$

From $\|X_s^\tau\| < \frac{R}{2bc}$ we conclude that $\|\hat{x}_0\| < \frac{R}{2b}$. Let $(x_0, 1) = \sigma(\hat{x}_0) \in (G^{w_0, e}, \theta)_{\mathbb{R}}^t \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. Then $\|x_0\| \leq b\|\hat{x}_0\| \leq R/2$. Therefore, for $(x, v) \in U \times \mathbb{T} \times T$, the distance from (x, v) to $\tilde{\phi}_s^1(x, v)$ is less than $R/2 + R/2 = R$, and hence $\tilde{\phi}_s^1(x, v)$ is contained in $U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T$. The form $\omega_{-\infty}$ is constant, and so a shift by x_0 preserves $\omega_{-\infty}$. Therefore $(\tilde{\phi}_s^1)^*(\omega_s^1) = \omega_{-\infty}$. The final claim is immediate from the construction. \square

We then have the following embedding:

$$F: U \times \mathbb{T} \times T \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_s^1} U' \times \mathbb{T} \times T \xrightarrow{G_s} \mathcal{C}(\delta') \times \mathbb{T} \times T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_s} K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^*.$$

It satisfies $F^* \omega_{\text{can}} = \omega_{-\infty}$, and makes the diagrams (87) commute. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

5.3 Big action-angle coordinate charts on multiplicity free spaces

Theorem 5.2 can be used to construct action-angle coordinates on big subsets of a large family of compact, connected, multiplicity free Hamiltonian K -manifolds. Before stating the theorem, we define the domains of our action-angle coordinates.

Let (M, ω, μ) be a compact, connected multiplicity free space with principal stratum \mathfrak{t}_+^* , Kirwan polytope $\Delta = \mu(M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, and principal isotropy subgroup $L \leq T$. Let $\mathring{\Delta}$ denote the relative interior of Δ . Recall from Section 2.2.2 that there is an associated toric T/L -manifold $(\mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr}: \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L \rightarrow \mathring{\Delta})$, where ω_{std} was defined via a linear identification of $\text{Lie}(T/L)^*$ with the affine subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* spanned by $\mathring{\Delta}$. In what follows, we will view $(\mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr}: \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L \rightarrow \mathring{\Delta})$ as a Hamiltonian T -manifold.

Let $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}))$ be one of the Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds defined in Section 5.1 (depending on the choices described therein). The open submanifolds $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$, $\delta > 0$, are also Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds. We may form the product Hamiltonian $T \times T \times T$ -manifold,

$$(\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \times \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega_{\text{std}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}, \text{pr})).$$

Our model space is the symplectic reduction of this space with respect to the diagonal T action generated by the moment map $\text{pr} - \text{hw}^{PT}$,

$$M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L) := (\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \times \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega_{\text{std}}) //_0 T.$$

Provided that $\mathring{\Delta} \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ and $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, $M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L)$ is non-empty. Since the action of T is free, $M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L)$ is a smooth symplectic manifold. Denote the reduced symplectic form on $M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L)$ by ω_{red} . The moment map $(\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT})$ and the associated $T \times T$ action descend to $M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L)$ so that we have a Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifold,

$$(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT})).$$

Note that we use the same notation for the maps induced on the quotient as for the maps hw^{PT} and wt^{PT} . By definition of the symplectic reduced space, there is a $T \times T$ -equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}) \times \mathbb{T} \times (T/L) \xrightarrow{\cong} M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \quad (x, t, t'L) \mapsto [x, t, t', \text{hw}^{PT}(x), 1],$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta})$ denotes the projection to $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$ of the $T \times T$ -invariant subspace $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\mathring{\Delta}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T$.

Finally, recall from Proposition 2.4 that the dense subset $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu)^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*) \subset M$ is a Hamiltonian $K \times T$ -manifold with moment map $(\mu, \mathcal{S} \circ \mu)$. The extra Hamiltonian T -action on \mathcal{U} is the Thimm torus action. The embeddings constructed in the following theorem are action-angle coordinates by the description of $M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L)$ above.

Theorem 5.15. *Let (M, ω, μ) be a compact⁸, connected, multiplicity free Hamiltonian K -manifold such that $\mathring{\Delta} \subset \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ and let $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu)^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and symplectic embeddings $(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \omega)$ such that:*

(i) *The symplectic volumes satisfy*

$$\text{Vol}(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}) > \text{Vol}(M, \omega) - \varepsilon.$$

⁸Those familiar should note that Theorem 5.15 easily extends to the more general setting of *convex* multiplicity free Hamiltonian K -manifolds (cf. [43, Definition 2.2]).

(ii) The embeddings $(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}) \hookrightarrow (U, \omega)$ are embeddings of Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds:

$$(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT})) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \omega, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu)).$$

Proof. Construction of the embeddings and proof of (ii): The desired embeddings of Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds are constructed by first constructing embeddings of Hamiltonian $T \times T \times T$ -manifolds, then reducing by a certain diagonal copy of T .

First, we consider the following composition of an embedding and an isomorphism of Hamiltonian $T \times T \times T$ -manifolds,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \times \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega_{\text{std}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}, \text{pr})) \\ & \hookrightarrow (K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega_{\text{std}}, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu_L, \text{pr})) \\ & \cong (K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times W, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu_L, \mu)). \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

The embedding exists by Theorem 5.2: since $\mathring{\Delta}$ is the relative interior of a convex polytope and the fibers of hw^{PT} are bounded, the set $\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\delta)$ is bounded. The isomorphism follows by Lemma 2.6.

Next, we apply a diagonal symplectic reduction to this composition, corresponding to the inclusion $T \rightarrow T \times T \times T, t \mapsto (t^{-1}, 1, t)$. On the left side, this action is generated by the moment map $\text{pr} - \text{hw}^{PT}$ and on the right it is generated by $\mu - \mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L$. Since the embeddings, torus actions, and moment maps above are all compatible with this reduction, we obtain an embedding,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}) \times \mathbb{T} \times T \times \mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega_{\text{std}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}, \text{pr})) //_0 T \\ & \hookrightarrow (K \times \mathfrak{t}_+^* \times W, \omega_{-\infty} \oplus \omega, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu_L, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu_L, \mu)) //_0 T. \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

The reduced space on the right embeds densely as a Hamiltonian $T \times T$ submanifold of $(\mathcal{U}, \omega, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu))$ by Proposition 2.4. The space on the left is our model space. Thus, we have constructed the desired embedding of Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds,

$$(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT})) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \omega, (\mathcal{S} \circ \mu, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu)).$$

Proof of (i): Let β_M denote the Liouville measure of (M, ω) and let β_W denote the Liouville measure of (W, ω) as a Hamiltonian T -manifold. Recall, e.g. from [24], that

$$\text{Vol}(M, \omega) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \mu_* \beta_M = \int_{\mathfrak{t}_+^*} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\xi, \omega_\xi) \mu_* \beta_W. \quad (113)$$

Let $d\lambda$ denote the measure on $\text{hw}^{-1}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{C}$ defined by the lattice $\frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbb{Z}^m$. By Lemma 4.12,

$$\int_{\text{hw}^{-1}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{C}} d\lambda = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\xi, \omega_\xi).$$

By definition of $\mathcal{C}(\delta) \subset \mathcal{C}$, there exists a constant $c(\xi) > 0$, depending continuously on $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, such that

$$\int_{\text{hw}^{-1}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{C}(\delta)} d\lambda \geq \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\xi, \omega_\xi) - c(\xi)\delta.$$

Since the measure $\mu_* \beta_W$ is compactly supported and $c(\xi)$ depends continuously on $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$C \geq \int_{\mathfrak{t}_+^*} c(\xi) \mu_* \beta_W.$$

Finally, let β denote the Liouville measure of $(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}})$. By Lemma 2.6, the pushforward of the Liouville measure of $(\mathring{\Delta} \times T/L, \omega_{\text{std}}, \text{pr})$ to \mathfrak{t}^* by pr equals $\mu_*\beta_W$ (noting again that we have linearly identified $\text{Lie}(T/L^*)$ with the affine subspace of \mathfrak{t}^* spanned by $\mathring{\Delta}$). The Duistermaat-Heckman measure of $(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}})$ as a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T} \times T$ -manifold is therefore $d\lambda \times \mu_*\beta_W$, which is supported on $\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta})$. Combining these facts and applying Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, T_W), \omega_{\text{red}}) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}(\delta, \mathring{\Delta})} d\lambda \times \mu_*\beta_W \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{t}_+^*} \left(\int_{\text{hw}^{-1}(\xi) \cap \mathcal{C}(\delta)} d\lambda \right) \mu_*\beta_W \\ &\geq \int_{\mathfrak{t}_+^*} (\text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\xi, \omega_\xi) - c(\xi)\delta) \mu_*\beta_W \\ &\geq \int_{\mathfrak{t}_+^*} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\xi, \omega_\xi) \mu_*\beta_W - C\delta \\ &= \text{Vol}(M, \omega) - C\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta < \varepsilon/C$ completes the proof. \square

As discussed in the introduction, Theorem 1.2 has a more direct proof than Theorem 5.15 since there cannot be nutation effects in the case of coadjoint orbits. Nonetheless, the following proof shows how Theorem 1.2 can be derived as a corollary of Theorem 5.15.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $(M, \omega, \mu) = (\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda, \iota)$ be a regular coadjoint orbit of K , equipped with the coadjoint action of K with moment map the inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{O}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Then $(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda, \iota)$ is a multiplicity free space with principal isotropy subgroup $L = T$ and Kirwan polytope $\Delta = \{\lambda\}$. Let $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}, (\text{hw}^{PT}, \text{wt}^{PT}))$ be one of the Hamiltonian $T \times T$ -manifolds defined in Section 5.1.

The model space is

$$M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L) \cong (\mathcal{C}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T} \times T, \omega_{-\infty}) //_\lambda T \cong (\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}, \omega_{\text{red}}).$$

Here $\Delta_\lambda(\delta)$ is the intersection of the subspace $(-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \text{hw}^t)(\lambda)$ with $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$. It follows from the definition of $\omega_{-\infty}$ (Definition 5.1) that the reduced symplectic form ω_{red} equals

$$\omega_{\text{std}} := dv_1 \wedge dx_1 + \cdots + dv_m \wedge dx_m.$$

Thus, Theorem 5.15 gives us the desired embeddings $(\Delta_\lambda(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}, \omega_{\text{std}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$. \square

Remark 5.16. By Theorem 5.15, we have constructed embeddings of Hamiltonian T -manifolds

$$(M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L), \omega_{\text{red}}, \text{wt}^{PT}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega, \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu)$$

where one recalls that $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \circ \mu$ is the moment map for the action of T on M as the maximal torus of K . Reduction by T at an arbitrary element $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ yields symplectic embeddings

$$M(\delta, \mathring{\Delta}, L) //_\xi T \hookrightarrow M //_\xi T.$$

In the case of coadjoint orbits, the space on the right is a symplectic analogue of a weight variety and the space on the left has the form $\Delta_{\lambda, \xi}(\delta) \times \mathbb{T}/T$ where $\Delta_{\lambda, \xi}(\delta)$ is the intersection of $\Delta_\lambda(\delta)$ with the affine subspace $(-\sqrt{-1}\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \text{wt}^t)(\xi)$. It should be straightforward to show using Theorem 3.20 that such embeddings are volume exhausting, provided the space on the right is non-empty.

6 Main Results: Applications to Gromov width

Given two symplectic manifolds (N, τ) and (M, ω) , a *symplectic embedding* of (N, τ) into (M, ω) is an injective immersion $\varphi: N \hookrightarrow M$ such that $\varphi^*\omega = \tau$. The *Gromov width* of a connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) of dimension $2n$ is

$$\text{GWidth}(M, \omega) = \sup_{r>0} \{ \pi r^2 \mid \exists \text{ a symplectic embedding } (B^{2n}(r), \omega_{\text{std}}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega) \},$$

where ω_{std} is defined as in (4). Following earlier work by [40, 48, 59, 53], Caviedes Castro proved the following.

Theorem 6.1. [14] *Let K be a compact connected simple Lie group. For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$,*

$$\text{GWidth}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) \leq \min\{2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+ \text{ and } \langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle > 0\}. \quad (114)$$

Example 6.2. Let $K = SU(2)$, let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, and let α denote the positive root. In this case,

$$\text{GWidth}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) = 2\pi \frac{(\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha)}{(\frac{1}{2}\alpha, \alpha)} = 2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle.$$

Lower bounds for Gromov width of symplectic manifolds with action-angle coordinates $U \times \mathbb{T}^n$ can be obtained by studying the “integral affine geometry” of the domain U as follows. The *open simplex of size 1* is

$$\Delta^n := \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n < \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{ and } x_i > 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n \right\}. \quad (115)$$

The open simplex of size $\ell > 0$ is the scaling $\ell\Delta^n$ (i.e. the set of points $\ell\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^n$). The group of *integral affine transformations* of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$, denoted $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$, consists of all transformations $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$, where $A \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Given $U, U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, an *integral affine embedding* of U into U' is an integral affine transformation $\Psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that $\Psi(U) \subset U'$.

Definition 6.3. The *integral affine width* of a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$c_\Delta(U) := \sup_{\ell \geq 0} \{ \ell \mid \exists \Psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n) \text{ such that } \Psi(\ell\Delta^n) \subset U \}.$$

Integral affine width has two important properties that are immediate from the definition.

- (i) (conformality) For all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $c_\Delta(\beta U) = |\beta| \cdot c_\Delta(U)$, where $\beta U = \{\beta u \mid u \in U\}$.
- (ii) (monotonicity) If $\Psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $U, U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Psi(U) \subset U'$, then $c_\Delta(U) \leq c_\Delta(U')$.

Gromov width has analogous properties. Conformality is the property that

$$\text{GWidth}(M, \beta\omega) = |\beta| \text{GWidth}(M, \omega)$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Monotonicity is the property that if (N, τ) embeds symplectically into (M, ω) , then $\text{GWidth}(N, \tau) \leq \text{GWidth}(M, \omega)$.

We note two additional properties of integral affine width. First, for all $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $c_\Delta(U) = c_\Delta(U^{\text{int}})$, where U^{int} denotes the interior of U . The second property is continuity. Recall the Hausdorff distance between non-empty sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$d_H(A, B) := \inf_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \{ \varepsilon \mid A \subset B_\varepsilon \text{ and } B \subset A_\varepsilon \},$$

where $A_\varepsilon := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(\mathbf{x}, A) \leq \varepsilon\}$ and $d(\mathbf{x}, A) := \inf\{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in A\}$. The Hausdorff distance defines a metric on the set \mathcal{K}_n of non-empty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Integral affine width is continuous as a real valued function on (\mathcal{K}_n, d_H) .

Lemma 6.4. *Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then,*

$$\text{GWidth}(U \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) \geq c_{\Delta}(U), \quad (116)$$

where ω_{std} denotes the standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$ defined in (1).

Proof. Let $\Psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\ell > 0$ such that $\Psi(\ell\Delta^n) \subset U$. The map

$$\tilde{\Psi}: (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}), \quad \tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = (\Psi(\mathbf{x}), (\Psi^{-1})^T(\theta))$$

is symplectic. Restriction of $\tilde{\Psi}$ defines a symplectic embedding of $(\ell\Delta^n \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}})$ into $(U \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}})$. It is known that $\text{GWidth}(\ell\Delta^n \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) = \ell$ (see e.g. [18, Proposition 2.1] and the references therein). By monotonicity of Gromov width, it follows that $\text{GWidth}(U \times \mathbb{T}^n, \omega_{\text{std}}) \geq \ell$. Taking the supremum over all ℓ such that there exists $\Psi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\Psi(\ell\Delta^n) \subset U$ completes the proof. \square

Assume that K is a simple compact Lie group. Fix a double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) , and let $\theta = \zeta \circ \theta_{\overline{\sigma}(\mathbf{i})}$, as in Remark 3.24. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, let $\Delta_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the projection to \mathbb{R}^m of the fiber $(\text{hw}^{PT})^{-1}(\lambda) \subset PT(K^*, \theta)$; see also (65). The parameterization $\mathfrak{t}_+^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_m$, $\lambda \mapsto \Delta_\lambda$ is continuous with respect to d_H . Note that for $\beta > 0$, $\Delta_{\beta\lambda} = \beta\Delta_\lambda$.

Lemma 6.5. *With K and θ as above, for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$,*

$$c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda) \geq \min\{2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+\}. \quad (117)$$

Proof. For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, let $\ell_\lambda := \min\{2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+\}$. By Remark 3.24 together with [18, Theorem 7.2] and [52], there exists an integral affine embedding of $\ell_\lambda\Delta^m$ into Δ_λ for all $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}P \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. For all $\beta > 0$ and $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}P \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, conformality of integral affine width implies

$$c_{\Delta}(\Delta_{\beta\lambda}) = c_{\Delta}(\beta\Delta_\lambda) = \beta c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda) \geq \beta \ell_\lambda = \ell_{\beta\lambda}.$$

Thus (117) holds for all λ in the set

$$\mathfrak{t}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}^* := \{\beta \cdot \lambda \mid \beta > 0, \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^* \text{ such that } \lambda \in \sqrt{-1}P \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*\}.$$

Now let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ arbitrary. The set $\mathfrak{t}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}^*$ is dense in \mathfrak{t}_+^* , so we can find a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathfrak{t}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}^*$ converging to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. Then Δ_{λ_n} converge to Δ_λ with respect to d_H . It follows by continuity of c_{Δ} and ℓ_λ that

$$c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\Delta}(\Delta_{\lambda_n}) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{\lambda_n} = \ell_\lambda. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let K be an arbitrary compact connected simple Lie group and fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ arbitrary. By Theorem 6.1, it remains to prove the lower bound.

Fix any choice of double reduced word \mathbf{i} for (w_0, e) , and let θ be as above. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the $1/k$ -interior $\Delta_\lambda(1/k)$ of Δ_λ . Since $\Delta_\lambda(1/k)$ converges to Δ_λ with respect to d_H , continuity of c_{Δ} implies that for k sufficiently large,

$$c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda(1/k)) \geq c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda) - \varepsilon. \quad (118)$$

By Theorem 1.2, there exists a symplectic embedding $(\Delta_\lambda(1/k) \times \mathbb{T}, \omega_{\text{std}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$. Combining this with monotonicity of Gromov width, Lemma 6.4, Equation (118), and Lemma 6.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GWidth}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \omega_\lambda) &\geq \text{GWidth}(\Delta_\lambda(1/k) \times \mathbb{T}, \omega_{\text{std}}) \\ &\geq c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda(1/k)) \\ &\geq c_{\Delta}(\Delta_\lambda) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \min\{2\pi\langle\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+\} - \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.6. As we see, our lower bounds come from an upper semicontinuity property enjoyed by integral affine width. One might wonder if there is a more direct approach using an upper semicontinuity property of the Gromov width of regular coadjoint orbits. To our knowledge, it is an open problem whether Gromov width has such a property. See [18][Remark 4.1] for more details.

Remark 6.7. Note that by Theorem 6.1 and (119),

$$\min\{2\pi\langle\sqrt{-1}\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle \mid \alpha \in R_+\} \geq c_\Delta(\Delta_\lambda).$$

Thus the inequality of Lemma 6.5 is in fact an equality.

References

- [1] Alekseev, A.: On Poisson actions of compact Lie groups on symplectic manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* 45, 241–256 (1997)
- [2] Alekseev, A., Berenstein, A., Hoffman, B., Li, Y.: Poisson structures and potentials. In: *Lie groups, geometry, and representation theory*, pp. 1–40. *Progr. Math.*, 326, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, (2018)
- [3] Alekseev, A., Berenstein, A., Hoffman, B., and Li, Y.: Langlands duality and Poisson-Lie duality via cluster theory and tropicalization. *arXiv: 1806.04104*
- [4] Alekseev, A., Hoffman, B., Lane, Li, Y.: Concentration of symplectic volumes on Poisson homogeneous spaces. To appear in *J. Symplectic Geom.* *arXiv:1808.06975*
- [5] Alekseev, A., Lane, J., Li, Y.: The $U(n)$ Gelfand-Zeitlin system as a tropical limit of Ginzburg-Weinstein diffeomorphisms. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* 376 (2018)
- [6] Alekseev, A., Meinrenken, E., Woodward C.: Linearization of Poisson actions and singular values of matrix products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 51(6), 1691–1717 (2001)
- [7] Alexeev, V., Brion, M.: Toric degenerations of spherical varieties. *Sel. math., New ser.* 10, 453–478 (2005)
- [8] Arnol’d, V. I.: *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1989)
- [9] Berenstein, A., Fomin, S., Zelevinsky, A.: Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells. *Duke Math. J.* 126(1), 1–52 (2005)
- [10] Berenstein, A., Kazhdan, D.: Geometric and unipotent crystals II: From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases quantum groups. *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 433, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 13–88 (2007)
- [11] Berenstein, A., Zelevinsky, A.: Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties. *Invent. Math.* 143, 77–128 (2001)
- [12] Bursztyn, H.: On gauge transformations of Poisson structures. In: Carow-Watamura U., Maeda Y., Watamura S. (eds) *Quantum Field Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol 662, pp. 89–112. Springer, Heidelberg (2005)
- [13] Caldero, P.: Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties. *Transform. Groups* 7(1), 51–60 (2002)
- [14] Caviedes-Castro, A.: Upper bound for the Gromov width of coadjoint orbits of compact Lie groups. *J. Lie Theory* 26(3), 821–860 (2016)

- [15] Chari, V., Pressley, A.: A Guide to Quantum Groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994)
- [16] Etingof, P., Schiffmann, O.: Lectures on Quantum Groups. International Press, Somerville (2002)
- [17] Fang, X., Fourier, G., Littelmann, P.: On toric degenerations of flag varieties. In: Representation theory current trends and perspectives, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep. Eur. Math. Soc., pp. 187–232 (2017)
- [18] Fang, X., Littelmann, P., Pabiniak, M.: Simplices in Newton-Okounkov bodies and the Gromov width of coadjoint orbits. Bull. London Math. Soc. 50, 202–218 (2018)
- [19] Fock, V. V., Goncharov, A. B.: The quantum dilogarithm and representations of quantum cluster varieties. Invent. Math. 175(2), 223–286 (2009)
- [20] Fomin, S., Zelevinsky, A.: Double Bruhat Cells and total positivity. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, 335–380 (1999)
- [21] Goldman, W.: Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations. Invent. Math. (1982)
- [22] Gromov, M.: Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. Invent. Math. 82(2), 307–347 (1985)
- [23] Guillemin, V., Jeffrey, L., Sjamaar, R.: Symplectic implosion. Transform. Groups 7(2), 155–184 (2002)
- [24] Guillemin, V., Prato, E.: Heckman, Kostant, and Steinberg formulas for symplectic manifolds. Adv. Math. 82, 160–179 (1990)
- [25] Guillemin V., Sternberg, S.: The moment map and collective motion. Ann. Physics 127, 220–253 (1980)
- [26] Guillemin V., Sternberg, S.: The Gelfand-Cetlin system and quantization of the complex flag manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. 52, 106–128 (1983)
- [27] Guillemin V., Sternberg, S.: Multiplicity free spaces. J. Diff. Geo. 19, 31–56 (1984)
- [28] Guillemin, V., Sternberg, S.: Symplectic Techniques in Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984)
- [29] Gekhtman, M., Shapiro, M., Vainshtein, A.: Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry. Mosc. Math. J. 3, 899–934 (2003)
- [30] Gekhtman, M., Shapiro, M., Vainshtein, A.: Periodic Staircase Matrices and Generalized Cluster Structures. ArXiv:1912.00453.
- [31] Gekhtman, M., Shapiro, M., Vainshtein, A.: Cluster Algebras and Poisson Geometry. In: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 167. Amer. Math. Soc. (2010)
- [32] Goodearl, K., Yakimov, M.: Cluster algebra structures on Poisson nilpotent algebras. ArXiv:1801.01963.
- [33] Ginzburg, V. L., Weinstein, A.: Lie-Poisson structure on some Poisson Lie groups. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5(2), 445–453 (1992)
- [34] Grabowski, J.E.: Graded cluster algebras. J. Algebr. Comb. 42, 1111–1134 (2015)

- [35] Hoffman, B., Lane, J.: Generalized Gelfand-Zeitlin systems from toric degenerations of the base affine space $G // N$, in preparation.
- [36] Hilgert, J., Manon, M., Martens, J.: Contraction of Hamiltonian K -spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2017(20), 6255–6309 (2017)
- [37] Kapovich, M., Millson, J.: The symplectic geometry of polygons in Euclidean space. *J. Differential Geom.* 44(3), 479–513 (1996)
- [38] Harada, M., Kaveh, K.: Integrable systems, toric degenerations and Okounkov bodies. *Invent. Math.* 202, 927–985 (2015)
- [39] Karshon, Y., Lerman, E.: Non-compact symplectic toric manifolds. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 11(055), 37 pages (2015)
- [40] Karshon, Y., Tolman, S.: The Gromov width of complex Grassmannians. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 5, 911–922 (2005)
- [41] Kaveh, K.: Toric degenerations of spherical varieties. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 10(4), 453–478 (2004)
- [42] Kaveh, K.: Toric degenerations and symplectic geometry of smooth projective varieties. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2018)
- [43] Knop, F.: Automorphisms of multiplicity free Hamiltonian manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24(2), 567–601 (2001)
- [44] Kostant, B.: Orbits and quantization theory. *Actes, Congrès intern. Math.* 2, 395–400 (1970)
- [45] Kirillov, A. A.: *Elements de la théorie des représentations*. Ed. MIR, Moscou (1974)
- [46] Laurent-Gengoux, C., Pichereau, A., Vanhaecke, P.: *Poisson Structures*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (2013)
- [47] Losev, I. V.: Proof of the Knop conjecture. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 59(3), 1105–1134 (2009)
- [48] Lu, G.: Symplectic capacities of toric manifolds and related results. *Nagoya Math. J.* 181, 149–184 (2006)
- [49] Lu, J.H.: Momentum mappings and reduction of Poisson actions. In: Dazord, P., Weinstein, A. (eds.) *Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems*, pp. 209–226. Springer-Verlag, New York (1991)
- [50] Lu, J.H.: Classical dynamical r -matrices and homogeneous Poisson structures on G/H and K/T , *Comm. in Math. Phys.*, 212(2), 337–370 (2000)
- [51] Lu, J.H., Weinstein, A.: Poisson-Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions. *J. Differential Geom.* 31(2), 501–526 (1990)
- [52] Morier-Genoud, S.: Relèvement géométrique de la base canonique et involution de Schützenberger, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I* 337, 371–374 (2003)
- [53] Pabiniak, M.: Gromov width of non-regular coadjoint orbits of $U(n)$, $SO(2n)$ and $SO(2n + 1)$. *Math. Res. Lett.* 21(1), 187–205 (2014)
- [54] Schrader, G., Shapiro, A.: Quantum groups, quantum tori, and the Grothendieck-Springer resolution. *Adv. Math.* 321, 431–474 (2017)

- [55] Shen, L.: Duals of semisimple Poisson-Lie groups and cluster theory of moduli spaces of G -local systems. ArXiv: 2003.07901
- [56] Souriau, J.-M.: Structures des systèmes dynamiques. Dunod Ed., Paris (1970)
- [57] Weitsman, J.: Real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface. Comm. Math. Phys. (1992).
- [58] Woodward, C.: Multiplicity free Hamiltonian actions need not be Kähler. Invent. Math. 131, 311–319 (1998)
- [59] Zoghi, M.: The Gromov width of coadjoint orbits of compact Lie groups. PhD Thesis, University of Toronto (2010)

SECTION OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA, 2-4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, C.P. 64, 1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: Anton.Alekseev@unige.ch

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, 310 MALOTT HALL, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA

E-mail address: bsh68@cornell.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON HALL, 1280 MAIN STREET W, HAMILTON, ON, L8S 4K1, CANADA

E-mail address: lanej5@math.mcmaster.ca

SECTION OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA, 2-4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, C.P. 64, 1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: yanpeng.li@unige.ch