

SEMISIMPLICITY AND INDECOMPOSABLE OBJECTS IN INTERPOLATING PARTITION CATEGORIES

JOHANNES FLAKE AND LAURA MAASSEN

ABSTRACT. We study tensor categories which are interpolations of representation categories of easy quantum groups, and which we view as variations of Deligne’s interpolation categories for the symmetric groups. Focusing on semisimplicity and descriptions of indecomposable objects, we generalise results known for special cases, including Deligne’s $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$. In particular, we identify those interpolation parameters t which correspond to semisimple and nonsemisimple categories, respectively, for all group-theoretic partition categories, and prove a parametrisation of the indecomposables for almost all partition categories. A crucial ingredient is an abstract analysis of certain subobject lattices developed by Knop, which we adapt to categories of partitions. As an application, we parametrise the indecomposables for hyperoctahedral partition categories and for their non-crossing versions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Interpolating partition categories	4
3. Semisimplicity for interpolating partition categories	9
4. Semisimplicity in the group-theoretical case	10
5. Indecomposable objects	16
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we link the representation theory of easy quantum groups to Deligne’s interpolating categories. This provides many new examples for the latter theory. Each of these examples is a subcategory of one of Deligne’s categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ with the same objects, but restricted morphism spaces. We will start reviewing some background material on (easy) quantum groups in order to put our results into context. However, in the course of the paper, we will be mostly using the combinatorial aspects of this theory.

There are various settings in which the term quantum group is used. Originally, quantum groups were introduced by Drinfeld [Di87] and Jimbo [Ji85] as Hopf algebra deformations of the universal enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras. However, in this article we consider topological quantum groups in the sense of Woronowicz [Wo87]. A compact matrix quantum group is a deformation of the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on a compact matrix group

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18D10, 20G42, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. partition categories, easy quantum groups, Deligne categories, interpolation categories, semisimple categories, indecomposable objects.

into a non-commutative setting. In 1988, Woronowicz proved a Tannaka–Krein type result [Wo88] showing that any compact matrix quantum group can be fully recovered from its representation category.

This was the starting point for Banica and Speicher to introduce (orthogonal) easy quantum groups. These form a subclass of compact matrix quantum groups, which can be build up from purely combinatorial structures, called categories of partitions. Categories of partitions are made up of set partitions with a relatively simple graphical calculus. For any category of partitions \mathcal{C} , Banica and Speicher defined a series of C^* -tensor categories, later in this article denoted by $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, n), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. An easy quantum group is then a compact matrix quantum group whose representation category is the image of some category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, n)$ under a certain fiber functor. An example of an easy quantum group is the n -th symmetric group S_n induced by the category of all partitions $\mathcal{C} = P$. An honest quantum group example, where the underlying algebra is non-commutative, is Wangs’s [Wa98] free symmetric quantum groups S_n^+ induced by the category of all non-crossing partitions. In 2016, Raum and Weber [RW16] completed the classification of all categories of partitions and we will use this classification throughout the paper.

In [De07], Deligne introduced and studied categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ interpolating the representation categories of all symmetric groups. Deligne’s categories depend on a complex interpolation parameter t , they are always Karoubian (pseudo-abelian) and monoidal. However, for $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, they turn out semisimple, while for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, they are not. Instead, there is a unique semisimple quotient category, the semisimplification in the sense of Barrett–Westbury ([BW99], see also [EO18]). Its defining tensor ideal is formed by all negligible morphisms, that is, morphisms whose compositions with other morphisms have trace 0 whenever they are endomorphisms. The semisimplification of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ in the case $t = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(S_t)$, the ordinary category of representations of the n -th symmetric group, whose finitely many irreducible objects have a well-known parametrisation by a finite set of Young diagrams, depending on n . This description extends to a parametrisation of the indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ by Young diagrams of arbitrary size, independent from t (see [CO11]).

An intriguing feature of Deligne’s categories is their combinatorial definition via set partitions, which looks very much like the calculus used for easy quantum groups. In fact, we have $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_n) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(P, n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As categories of partitions \mathcal{C} can be regarded as subcategories of the category of all partitions P , it is natural to consider interpolation categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ such that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ is recovered as a special case for $\mathcal{C} = P$. The definition of such interpolation categories can also be found in Freslon [Fr17], who employed them to study a version of Schur–Weyl duality. However, they have never been studied systematically within the framework of Deligne’s interpolating categories and we intend to initiate such an endeavour.

In particular, we want to systematically study the semisimplicity and the indecomposable objects in such interpolating partition categories. The following table summarises known results about special cases, together with results obtained in this paper which are new to our knowledge:

\mathcal{C}	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$	Non-semisimple	Indecomposable objects up to isomorphism	Reference
all partitions	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$	$t \in \mathbb{N}_0$	Young diagrams of arbitrary size	[CO11]

partitions with block size two	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t)$	$t \in \mathbb{N}_0$	Young diagrams of arbitrary size	[CH17]
partitions with even block size	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$	$t \in \mathbb{N}_0$	bipartitions of arbitrary size	Thm. 4.18, Prop. 5.25
non-crossing partitions	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+)$	$t = 2 \cdot \cos(j\pi/l),$ $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq l-1}$	modified Jones–Wenzl idempotents	Lem. 3.6, Lem. 5.21
non-crossing partitions with block size two	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$	$t = 4 \cdot \cos(j\pi/l)^2,$ $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq l-1}$	Jones–Wenzl idempotents	[GW02]
non-crossing partitions with even block size	$\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$	$t = 4 \cdot \cos(j\pi/l)^2,$ $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq l-1}$	finite binary sequences of arbitrary length	Lem. 3.7, Prop. 5.25

It turns out that, indeed, many results on the semisimplicity and indecomposable objects can be derived for general interpolating partition categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$. We find that, as semisimplicity can be encoded in polynomial conditions, such categories will be semisimple for generic values of the deformation parameter t , that is, for all values outside of a set of algebraic complex numbers depending on \mathcal{C} . We recall these special values for t for several known special cases before proving a general result for *group-theoretical* categories of partitions, an uncountable family covering all but countably many cases of categories of partitions (as described by [RW15]).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.18). *Let \mathcal{C} be a any group-theoretical category of partitions. Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$.*

In particular, this recovers and generalises known results for $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ as well as the interpolation categories for the hyperoctahedral groups, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$. To prove this general result, we observe that for group-theoretical categories of partitions, certain lattices of subobjects are, in fact, sublattices of the corresponding lattices of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$. This enables us to apply techniques developed by Knop ([Kn07]) originally to study generalisations of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, which involve a concise analysis of the mentioned sublattices, and which we carry out for arbitrary categories of partitions.

We go on deriving a parametrisation of the indecomposable objects in interpolating partition categories. Since we are working in the context of Karoubian categories, the study of indecomposables amounts to an analysis of primitive idempotents in endomorphism algebras, which in our case are the algebras spanned by partitions with a fixed number of upper and lower points $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We use a family of distinguished partitions to define quotient algebras of said endomorphism algebras, whose primitive idempotents we call Λ_k (see Definition 5.7). This yields a general description of the indecomposable objects for all but four categories of partitions. Those excluded categories are exactly those containing a certain partition \uparrow (see Section 2.1).

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.8). *Let \mathcal{C} be a category of partitions not containing \uparrow . Then the non-zero indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ are in bijection with (and explicitly constructible from) $\bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_k$.*

In particular, the set of primitive idempotents Λ_k corresponds to the parametrisation of the indecomposables by Young diagrams of arbitrary size for $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ as explained above.

We show that it also corresponds to the known description of indecomposables by Jones–Wenzl idempotents for the Temperley–Lieb categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$ (Proposition 5.13), which we relate to the interpolation category of all non-crossing partitions $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+)$ by constructing a suitable monoidal equivalence (Lemma 5.20, Proposition 5.21).

Beyond that, we use the sets Λ_k to obtain a concrete parametrisation of the indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$, and to conclude our discussion with a concrete description of the indecomposable objects also for the non-crossing version, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$:

Proposition 1.3 (Proposition 5.25, Proposition 5.26). *Assume $t \neq 0$. Then the non-zero indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$ are in bijection with bipartitions of arbitrary size, and the non-zero indecomposables in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$ are in bijection with finite binary sequences of arbitrary length.*

It is an interesting question, if the general result of Theorem 1.1 can be converted to concrete parametrisations for more families of partition categories.

Beyond this, it seems intriguing to study semisimplicity and indecomposable objects in interpolation categories of unitary easy quantum groups [TW17], corresponding to a calculus of two-colored partitions, or of linear categories of partitions [GW19], whose generators are not necessarily partitions, but more generally, linear combinations thereof. Eventually, such an analysis can be undertaken for the generalisations of partition categories described in [MR19], whose morphisms involve finite graphs.

Structure of this paper. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition and classification of categories of partitions and introduce the interpolating categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$. In Section 3, we provide some general results on the semisimplicity of these categories and recall explicit computations for several known special cases. Then, in Section 4, we determine all parameters t for which $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple in the case that \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical. We start Section 5 with some general results on indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$, and conclude with an explicit description of such in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$.


Acknowledgements. Both authors thank Gerhard Hi for his encouragement to link the theory of Deligne’s interpolating categories to easy quantum groups. We are also very grateful to Moritz Weber for many useful discussions and his constant support. Both authors were supported by the collaborative research centre SFB-TRR 195 “Symbolic Tools in Mathematics and their Application” and this article contributes to the project “I.13. – Computational classification of orthogonal quantum groups”. The second author is also supported by the Scholarship for Doctoral Students of the RWTH Aachen University. This article is part of the second author’s PhD thesis supervised by Gerhard Hiss and Moritz Weber.

2. INTERPOLATING PARTITION CATEGORIES

In this section, we introduce interpolating partition categories. To this end, we start by recalling the theory of categories of partitions, including their classification. At the end of the section, we explain how interpolating partition categories interpolate the representation categories of the corresponding easy quantum groups.

2.1. Categories of partitions. For the following definitions and examples we refer to the initial article [BS09]. For any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we denote by $P(k, l)$ the set of partitions of $\{1, \dots, k, 1', \dots, l'\}$ into disjoint, non-empty subsets. These subsets are called the *blocks of p* and we denote their number

by $\#p$. We can picture every partition $p \in P(k, l)$ as a diagram with k upper and l lower points, where all points in the same block of p are connected by a string.



Note that only the connected components of a diagram of a partition are unique, not the diagram itself. In the following we will repeatedly consider the following special partitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \uparrow = \{\{1'\}\} \in P(0, 1), & \times = \{\{1, 2'\}, \{2, 1'\}\} \in P(2, 2), \\
 | = \{\{1, 1'\}\} \in P(1, 1), & \setminus = \{\{1\}, \{2, 1'\}, \{2'\}\} \in P(2, 2), \\
 \vdots = \{\{1\}, \{1'\}\} \in P(1, 1), & \sqcup = \{\{1, 2, 1', 2'\}\} \in P(2, 2), \\
 \sqcup = \{\{1, 2\}\} \in P(2, 0), & \sqsubset = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1', 2'\}\} \in P(2, 2), \\
 \sqcap = \{\{1', 2'\}\} \in P(0, 2), & \searrow = \{\{1, 3'\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1', 2'\}\} \in P(3, 3).
 \end{array}$$

A *category of partitions* \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets $\mathcal{C}(k, l) \subseteq P(k, l), k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, containing the partitions $\sqcup \in P(2, 0)$ and $| \in P(1, 1)$, which is closed under the following operations:

- The *tensor product* $p \otimes q \in P(k + k', l + l')$ is the horizontal concatenation of two partitions $p \in P(k, l)$ and $q \in P(k', l')$.
- The *involution* $p^* \in P(l, k)$ is obtained by turning a partition $p \in P(k, l)$ upside-down.
- Let $p \in P(k, l)$ and $q \in P(l, m)$. Then we can consider the vertical concatenation of the partitions p and q . We may obtain connected components, called *loops*, which are neither connected to upper nor to lower points. We denote their number by $l(q, p)$. The *composition* $qp \in P(k, m)$ of p and q is the vertical concatenation, where we remove all loops.

Example 2.1. $\sqcap \otimes | \otimes \sqcup = \searrow$, $(\sqcap)^* = \sqcup$, $(|)(|) = |$, $(\sqcup)(\sqcap) = \sqsubset$, $(\sqcup)^2 = \sqcup$, $(\sqsubset)^2 = \sqsubset$.

For any subset $E \subseteq P = \bigsqcup_{k, l} P(k, l)$ we denote by $\langle E \rangle$ the category of partitions, which is obtained by taking the closure of $E \cup \{\sqcap, |\}$ under tensor products, involution and composition.

Example 2.2. We will study the following examples throughout the paper.

- ★ The category of all partitions P is obviously a category of partitions and we have $P = \langle \times, \uparrow, \sqcup \rangle$.
- ★ The category of partitions $P_{\text{even}} := \langle \times, \sqcup \rangle$ consists of the partitions which have only blocks of even size.
- ★ The category of partitions $P_2 := \langle \times \rangle$ consists of those partitions which have only blocks of size two.
- ★ The category of partitions $NC := \langle \uparrow, \sqcup \rangle$ consists of all non-crossing partitions, i.e. partitions whose representing diagrams have no strings that cross each other. Note that this is independent of the choice of the representing diagram.
- ★ The category of partitions $NC_{\text{even}} := \langle \sqcup \rangle$ consists of the non-crossing partitions which have only blocks of even size.
- ★ The category of partitions NC_2 consists of those non-crossing partitions which have only blocks of size two; it is the minimal category of partitions in the sense that it is generated by $\emptyset \subset P$.

Definition 2.4 (Interpolating partition categories). For any category of partitions \mathcal{C} and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the category $\underline{\text{Rep}}_0(\mathcal{C}, t)$ has:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Objects:} & \quad [k], k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ \text{Morphisms:} & \quad \text{Hom}([k], [l]) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}(k, l), \\ \text{Composition:} & \quad \circ : \text{Hom}([l], [m]) \times \text{Hom}([k], [l]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}([k], [m]) \\ & \quad \text{with } q \circ p = t^{\langle q, p \rangle} qp \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathcal{C}(k, l) \end{aligned}$$

The *interpolating partition category* $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is the Karoubi envelope or (pseudo-abelian completion) of $\underline{\text{Rep}}_0(\mathcal{C}, t)$, that is, the idempotent completion of the additive completion.

Example 2.5. By definition, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(P_2, t) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t)$, the category interpolating the representation categories of the orthogonal groups $\text{Rep}(O_n)$ introduced by Deligne in 1990 [De90] and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(P, t) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, the category interpolating the representation categories of the symmetric groups $\text{Rep}(S_n)$ introduced by Deligne in 2007 [De07].

The tensor product of partitions turns $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ into a (strict) tensor category with unit object $\mathbf{1} = [0]$. Moreover, we can define duals in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ via $[k]^\vee := [k]$ and the (co)evaluation maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_k : [k]^\vee \otimes [k] &\rightarrow \mathbf{1} \text{ given by} & \text{coev}_k : \mathbf{1} &\rightarrow [k]^\vee \otimes [k] \text{ given by} \\ \text{ev}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} \right] &\in P(2k, 0), & \text{coev}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} \right] &\in P(0, 2k). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is a rigid tensor category (or tensor category with duals). Note that we defined the evaluation and coevaluation maps differently than Deligne, insofar as the i -th point is paired with the $(2k + 1 - i)$ -th point, not with the $k + i$ -th point in the above diagrams. Moreover, the involution of partitions $p \mapsto p^*$ turns it into a rigid \mathbb{C}^* -tensor category, a category that captures the essential properties of the category of Hilbert spaces with bounded linear operators as morphism (see [NT13]).

We can also define a trace on $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ via

$$\text{tr}(p) = \text{ev}_k \circ (p \otimes \text{id}_{[k]}) \circ \text{coev}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} \right] \in \text{End}([0]) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

for any $p \in \mathcal{C}(k, k)$ which turns $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ into a spherical category. By construction, the category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is pseudo-abelian, but in general it is not abelian. A category is called (*abelian*) *semisimple* if it is abelian and any object is a direct sum of simple objects. The following remark shows that we can construct a semisimplification of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ (for more details see [EO18]).

Remark 2.6 ([EGNO15]). Let \mathcal{R} be a \mathbb{C} -linear spherical category. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{R} is called *negligible* if $\text{tr}(f \circ g) = 0$ for all morphisms $g : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{R} . Then the set \mathcal{N} of all negligible morphisms in \mathcal{R} is a tensor ideal and \mathcal{R} is semisimple if and only if \mathcal{N} is trivial.

Moreover, the semisimplification of \mathcal{R} is the quotient category

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}.$$

The simple objects of $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ are the indecomposable objects of \mathcal{R} of non-zero dimension.

2.3. Interpolating partition categories and easy quantum groups. Categories of partitions have initially been introduced by Banica and Speicher to define easy quantum groups. In this subsection, we recall their definition and explain how interpolating partition categories interpolate the representation categories of the corresponding easy quantum groups. For the rest of this article, however, we will only work with the interpolating partition categories themselves and no knowledge of easy quantum groups is required.

Let us start by briefly recalling the theory of compact matrix quantum groups. A *compact matrix quantum group* is a triple $G = (A, u, n)$ of a C^* -algebra A , a matrix $u \in A^{n \times n}$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the elements $\{u_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ generate A , the matrix $u = (u_{ij})$ is unitary and its transpose is invertible and the map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A, u_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism, see [Wo87]. A *finite-dimensional (co)representation of G* is a matrix $v \in A^{m \times m}$ with $\Delta(v_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^m v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}$. A morphism between two (co)representations $v \in A^{m \times m}$ and $v' \in A^{m' \times m'}$ is a linear map $T : (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m'}$ with $Tv = v'T$.

In 1988, Woronowicz proved a Tannaka-Krein type result [Wo88] for CMQGs showing that any compact matrix quantum group G is uniquely determined by its representation category $\text{Rep}(G)$, i.e. the category of finite-dimensional, unitary (co)representation, (for more details see for instance [We17, 4]). In 2009, Banica and Speicher [BS09] defined for any category of partitions \mathcal{C} and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} : \underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, n) &\rightarrow \text{Hilb}_f \text{ with} \\ \mathcal{F}([k]) &= u^{\otimes k} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{F}(p) &\in \text{Hom}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes k}, (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes l}) \text{ for any } p \in P(k, l) \end{aligned}$$

such that the image of \mathcal{F} is the representation category of some compact matrix quantum group $G_n(\mathcal{C})$. These quantum groups are called (*orthogonal*) *easy quantum group*.

Example 2.7. The easy quantum group $G_n(P)$ is the triple $(C(S_n), u, n)$ where $C(S_n)$ is the set of complex-valued continuous functions over the symmetric group S_n (regarded as a matrix group) and u is the matrix of coordinate functions. Similarly, $G_n(P_{\text{even}})$ corresponds to the hyperoctahedral group $H_n = S_2 \wr S_n$ and $G_n(P_2)$ corresponds to the orthogonal group O_n . This fits together with Example 2.5 and based on that notation we denote $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t) := \underline{\text{Rep}}(P_{\text{even}}, t)$.

The easy quantum groups $S_n^+ = G_n(NC)$, $H_n^+ = G_n(NC_{\text{even}})$ and $O_n^+ = G_n(NC_2)$ are called free symmetric quantum group, free hyperoctahedral quantum group and free orthogonal quantum group, respectively, and we denote $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+) := \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC, t)$, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+) := \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC_{\text{even}}, t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+) := \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC_2, t)$.

The definition of easy quantum groups of Banica and Speicher implies that, for any category of partitions \mathcal{C} , the categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, interpolate the representation categories of the corresponding easy quantum groups $\text{Rep}(G_n(\mathcal{C}))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in the following sense (for $\mathcal{C} = P$ compare with [De07, Thm.6.2.], for $\mathcal{C} = P_2$ compare with [De07, Thm.9.6.]):

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category of partitions and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the induced functor*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} : \widehat{\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, n)} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G_n(\mathcal{C}))$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. By the definition of $G_n(\mathcal{C})$ the functor $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, is full and essentially surjective. Since $\text{Rep}(G_n(\mathcal{C}))$ is semisimple, all negligible morphisms are trivial. As the image of a morphism f under a full tensor functor is negligible if and only if f is negligible, the functor $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is faithful and hence an equivalence of categories. \square

3. SEMISIMPLICITY FOR INTERPOLATING PARTITION CATEGORIES

In this section we analyse the categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ with respect to semisimplicity. We consider the categories of Example 2.7, whereas in the next section, we follow a generic approach due to Knop to analyse $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ for all group-theoretical categories of partitions \mathcal{C} . In both cases we use the following result which shows that it suffices to check whether certain determinants vanish.

Definition 3.1 ([BC07, Def. 4.2.]). For any category of partitions \mathcal{C} , we introduce the short-hand notation $\mathcal{C}(k) = \mathcal{C}(0, k)$, denoting the partitions in \mathcal{C} with no upper points. The *Gram matrices* are given by

$$G^{(k)} := (t^{l(p^* \cdot q)})_{p, q \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Notice that the entries of the Gram matrix are just the traces of the compositions p^*q .

Example 3.2. The following table features the entries of the Gram matrix $G^{(1)}$ for $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & \text{id}_1 & \text{!} \\ \hline \text{id}_1 & t & t \\ \text{!} & t & t^2 \end{array}$$

Its determinant is $t(t-1)$.

For more concrete examples of Gram matrices see [CO11, Ex. 3.14].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and let \mathcal{C} be a category of partitions. Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if it satisfies $\det(G^{(k)}) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By [EO18], $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if it does not contain any non-trivial negligible morphisms, see Remark 2.6.

Now $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is constructed as a Karoubi envelope, that is, an idempotent completion of an additive completion, but we claim that negligibility can be traced back to the original category, $\underline{\text{Rep}}_0(\mathcal{C}, t)$ in this case. First, as any negligible morphism of a direct summand extends trivially to a negligible morphism of the full object, we only have to worry about the additive completion. We can think of its morphisms as matrices whose entries are morphisms in the original category. One sees that, for such a matrix to be a negligible morphism, all of its entries have to be negligible. Hence, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if there are no non-trivial negligible morphism $f \in \text{Hom}([k], [l])$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Comparing diagrams we see that this is equivalent to it having no non-trivial negligible morphism $f \in \text{Hom}([0], [k])$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if the form

$$\text{Hom}([0], [k]) \times \text{Hom}([0], [k]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (p, q) \mapsto t^{l(q^* \cdot p)}$$

is non-degenerate. The Gram matrix of this form is exactly $G^{(k)}$, and hence, the form is non-degenerate if and only if $G^{(k)}$ has a trivial kernel. Thus the claim follows (note that $\det(G^{(0)}) = 1$). \square

Corollary 3.4. *For any category of partitions \mathcal{C} and any transcendental $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple.*

Proof. The determinant of the Gram matrix $\det(G^{(k)})$ depends on t polynomially for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Deligne showed that both $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t)$ are semisimple if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, see [De07, Thm.2.18. and Thm.9.7.]. We will show that this is also the case for all group-theoretical categories of partitions, including $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$.

Remark 3.5. The category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$ is exactly the (Karoubian version of) the Temperley–Lieb category $TL(q)$ with $t = q + q^{-1}$. It is well-known to be semisimple if and only if q is not a $2l$ -th root of unity, i.e. $q \notin \{e^{\frac{2j\pi}{l}} \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}\}$ (for instance, this follows from results in [GW02]). This implies that the category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$ is semisimple if and only if

$$t \notin \{2 \cdot \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{l}\right) \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}\}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *The category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+)$ is semisimple if and only if*

$$t \notin \{4 \cdot \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{l}\right)^2 \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}\}.$$

Proof. By [Tu93] or [Ju19, Prop. 5.37.], the determinants described in Lemma 3.3 are non-zero if and only if t is of the asserted form. This implies the assertion with Lemma 3.3. \square

Proposition 3.7. *The category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$ is semisimple if and only if*

$$t \notin \{4 \cdot \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{l}\right)^2 \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}\}.$$

Proof. By [Ah16], the determinants described in Lemma 3.3 are non-zero if and only if t is of the asserted form. This implies the assertion with Lemma 3.3. \square

4. SEMISIMPLICITY IN THE GROUP-THEORETICAL CASE

In this section we show our first main theorem, namely that any category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ associated to a group-theoretical category of partitions \mathcal{C} is semisimple if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. In 2007, Knop [Kn07] studied tensor envelopes of regular categories and Deligne’s category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ is a special case in his setting. Using the semilattice structure of subobjects, he gives a criterion for semisimplicity for most of the tensor categories he is considering, including $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$. We will mimic his proof by studying it in the special case of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, and then generalising it to all categories $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ associated to group-theoretical categories of partitions.

The key observation which allows us to use Knop’s idea is the following. If we consider Knop’s work in the special case of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, the semilattice of subobjects of $[k]$ corresponds to the meet-semilattice on partitions of k points given by the refinement order. It is well-known that the (reversed) refinement order induces a lattice structure on partitions on k points or non-crossing partitions on k points, see for instance [NS06]. In the following, we will use that group-theoretical categories of partitions are closed under common coarsening of partitions, the meet with respect to the refinement order, and hence we also obtain a semilattice structure.

Let us start by briefly recalling some basics on partially ordered sets and semilattices, see [NS06, Ch. 9] and [Kn07, Ch. 7].

Definition 4.1 ([NS06, Def. 9.15.]). Let (L, \leq) be a finite partially ordered set (poset). For two elements $u, v \in L$ we consider the set $\{w \in L \mid w \leq u, w \leq v\}$. If the maximum of this set exists, it is called the *meet of u and v* and denoted by $u \wedge v$. If any two elements of L have a meet, then (L, \wedge) is called the *meet-semilattice of L* .

Remark 4.2 ([NS06, Rem. 10.2.]). Let L be a poset and let $L = \{u_1, \dots, u_{|L|}\}$ be a listing. We consider the $|L| \times |L|$ -matrix M with

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i \leq u_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then M is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}^{|L| \times |L|}$ and the function

$$\mu : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, (u_i, u_j) \mapsto (M^{-1})_{ij}$$

is independent of the choice of the listing.

Definition 4.3 ([NS06, Dfe. 10.5.]). Let L be a poset. Then the above-noted function, $\mu : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, is called the *Mbius function of L* .

As usually, we write $u < v$ if $u \leq v$ and $u \neq v$ for $u, v \in L$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let L be a poset and let $u, v \in L$.*

- (i) *Then $\mu(u, u) = 1$.*
- (ii) *If v covers u , i.e. $u < v$ and there is no element $w \in L$ with $u < w < v$, then $\mu(u, v) = -1$.*

Proof. We can choose a listing of L such that matrix M , which defines the Mbius function, is unitriangular, see [NS06, Ex. 10.25]. Hence $\mu(u, u) = (M^{-1})_{uu} = 1$. If v covers u , we can additionally assume that u and v appear one after the other in the listing of L . Then

$$N := \begin{pmatrix} M_{uu} & M_{vu} \\ M_{uv} & M_{vv} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a block on the diagonal of M . Since M is unitriangular, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} (M^{-1})_{uu} & (M^{-1})_{vu} \\ (M^{-1})_{uv} & (M^{-1})_{vv} \end{pmatrix} = N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\mu(u, v) = (M^{-1})_{uv} = -1$. □

Lemma 4.5 ([Kn07, Lem. 7.1.]). *Let $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function on a finite poset L which has a meet-semilattice. Then*

$$\det(\phi(u \wedge v))_{u, v \in L} = \prod_{x \in L} \left(\sum_{\substack{y \in L \\ y \leq x}} \mu(y, x) \cdot \phi(y) \right).$$

Now, we recall the definition of the refinement order on partitions and show that partitions of k lower points in a group-theoretical category of partitions have a meet-semilattices with respect to this partial order. Note that Nica and Speicher are considering the reversed refinement order in [NS06], and hence our definition is dual to theirs.

Definition 4.6 ([NS06, Ch. 9]). Let $k, l \geq 0$, and partitions $p, q \in P(k, l)$ on $k+l$ points. We write $p \leq q$ if and only if each block of q is completely contained in one of the blocks of p . The induced partial order is called the *refinement order*.

Note that $p \leq q$, if p can be obtained by coarsening the block structure of q and we say that p is *coarser* than q . Moreover, the meet $p \wedge q$ of p and q exists in $P(k, l)$ and is the *common coarsening*, i.e. the finest partition which is coarser than both p and q .

Lemma 4.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category of partitions. Then \mathcal{C} is closed under common coarsenings if and only if \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is closed under coarsening, then it contains $\overline{\searrow} \overline{\swarrow}$, since this partition is a coarsening of the partition $\searrow \swarrow$, which is contained in any category of partitions.

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to show that a group-theoretical \mathcal{C} is closed under connecting arbitrary blocks of a partition and by [RW15, Thm. 4.4.] we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \quad \overset{a}{\bullet} \quad \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \quad \overset{b}{\bullet} \quad \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \quad \text{---} \quad | \quad \text{---} \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \quad \underset{a'}{\bullet} \quad \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \quad \underset{b'}{\bullet} \quad \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \end{array} \in \mathcal{C}(k, k)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq a < b \leq k$, which does the job. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a group-theoretical category of partitions \mathcal{C} and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the poset $\mathcal{C}(k) = \mathcal{C}(0, k)$ has a meet-semilattice with respect to the refinement order.*

This allows us to give a condition for the semisimplicity of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$, see [Kn07, Lemma 8.2].

Lemma 4.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be an group-theoretical category of partitions. Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only*

$$\Omega_k := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \left(\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{C}(k) \\ q \leq p}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(q, p) \cdot t^{\#q} \right) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if the matrices

$$G^{(k)} = (t^{l(u^*, v)})_{u, v \in \mathcal{C}(k)}$$

have non-zero determinants for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the map $\phi : \mathcal{C}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, p \mapsto t^{\#p}$ and since $\#(u \wedge v) = l(u^*, v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(k)$, Lemma 4.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(G^{(k)}) &= \det(\phi(u \wedge v))_{u, v \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \left(\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{C}(k) \\ q \leq p}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(q, p) \cdot \phi(q) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \left(\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{C}(k) \\ q \leq p}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(q, p) \cdot t^{\#q} \right) \\ &= \Omega_k \end{aligned}$$

\square

To compute the above-noted determinant, we will further factorise it. For this purpose we recall a definition of Knop's in the special case of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\underline{k} := \{1, \dots, k\}$ and denote by $s_k \in P(k)$ the finest partition in $P(k)$, where each block is of size one. Moreover, we set $\underline{0} := \emptyset$ and $s_0 := \text{id}_0 \in P(0)$.

Definition 4.10 (See [Kn07, 8]). Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq l$ and let $e : \underline{k} \hookrightarrow \underline{l}$ be an injective map. We define two maps

$$e_* : P(l) \rightarrow P(k) \text{ and } e^* : P(k) \rightarrow P(l)$$

as follows. For any $p \in P(l)$ we label the points from the left to the right by \underline{l} . Then we define $e_*(p) \in P(k)$ as the restriction of p to the points in $e(\underline{k})$. For any $q \in P(k)$ we define $e^*(q) \in P(l)$ as the partition with $e_*(e^*(q)) = q$ such that all points in $\underline{l} \setminus e(\underline{k})$ are singletons.

Moreover, we define a scalar

$$w_e := \sum_{\substack{q \in P(l) \\ e_*(q) = s_k}} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q-k} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that the sum runs over all partitions in $P(l)$ and, hence, w_e is independent of the group-theoretical category of partitions we are considering. Before we go on, we consider this definition in two special cases.

Remark 4.11. We consider the case $k = 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there is just one map $e : \underline{0} \rightarrow \underline{l}$, since $\underline{0} = \emptyset$. Moreover, the set $P(0)$ consists of only one partition $s_0 = \text{id}_0$ and $\#s_0 = 0$. Thus it follows from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned} e_* : P(l) &\rightarrow P(0), p \mapsto s_0, \\ e^* : P(0) &\rightarrow P(l), s_0 \mapsto s_l, \\ w_e &= \sum_{q \in P(l)} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $e : \underline{l} \rightarrow \underline{l}$ be a bijection. Then $w_e = 1$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that $e_* = e^* = \text{id}_{P(l)}$ and hence the only partition $q \in P(l)$ with $e_*(q) = s_l$ is the partition s_l itself. Hence, we have

$$w_e = \sum_{\substack{q \in P(l) \\ e_*(q) = s_l}} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q-l} = \mu_P(s_l, s_l) \cdot t^{l-l} = 1.$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be an group-theoretical category of partitions. Then*

$$\Omega_k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \#p} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{C}(k)$. Since \mathcal{C} is a group-theoretical category of partitions, any coarsening of p lies again in \mathcal{C} . Thus there is a natural bijection

$$f : \mathcal{C}_{\leq p} := \{q \in \mathcal{C}(k) \mid q \leq p\} \rightarrow P(\#p)$$

mapping a coarsening of p to the partition indicating the fusion of the blocks of p . It is easy to check that

- $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(q, q') = \mu_P(f(q), f(q'))$ for all $q, q' \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq p}$,
- $\#q = \#(f(q))$ for all $q \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq p}$ and
- $f(p) = s_{\#p}$.

Together with Remark 4.11 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_k &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \left(\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{C}(k) \\ q \leq p}} \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(q, p) \cdot t^{\#q} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} \left(\sum_{q \in P(\#p)} \mu_P(q, s_{\#p}) \cdot t^{\#q} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{C}(k)} w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \#p}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus Lemma 4.9 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.14. *Let \mathcal{C} be an group-theoretical category of partitions. Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \#p} \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}(k)$.*

In the following, we factorise the elements $w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \#p}$ with $p \in \mathcal{C}(k)$. As they are independent of \mathcal{C} we can apply [Kn07, Lemma 8.4.] in the special case of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, which shows that the elements w_e are multiplicative.

Lemma 4.15 (See [Kn07, Lemma 8.4.]). *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq l$ and let $e : \underline{k} \hookrightarrow \underline{l}$ be an injective map. Then the pair (e_*, e^*) is a Galois connection between $P(l)$ and $P(k)$, i.e. $e_*(p) \leq q$ if and only if $p \leq e^*(q)$ for all $p \in P(l)$ and $q \in P(k)$.*

Proof. First, let $e_*(p) \leq q$. We consider two points $x, y \in \underline{l}$ of $e^*(q)$ which lie in the same block. As all points in $\underline{l} \setminus e(\underline{k})$ are singletons, we have $x, y \in e(\underline{k})$. Thus $e^{-1}(x)$ and $e^{-1}(y)$ lie in the same block of q and as $e_*(p) \leq q$, they lie in the same block of $e_*(p)$. It follows that x and y lie in the same block of p and thus $p \leq e^*(q)$.

Let $p \leq e^*(q)$. We consider two points $x, y \in \underline{k}$ of q which lie in the same block. Thus $e(x)$ and $e(y)$ lie in the same block of $e^*(q)$ and as $p \leq e^*(q)$, they lie in the same block of p . It follows that x and y lie in the same block of $e_*(p)$ and thus $e_*(p) \leq q$.

□

In the following, let us extend the coarsening operation \mathbb{Z} -linearly to \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of partitions.

Lemma 4.16 (See [Kn07, Lemma 8.4.]). *Let $j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq k \leq l$ and let $\underline{j} \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} \underline{k} \xrightarrow{e} \underline{l}$ be injective maps. Then we have*

$$w_{e\bar{e}} = w_e w_{\bar{e}}.$$

Proof. By [Kn07, Lemma 7.2.] we have

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in P(l) \\ q \leq p}} \mu_P(q, p) q = \left(\sum_{\substack{r \in P(k) \\ r \leq e_*(p)}} \mu_P(r, e_*(p)) e^*(r) \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{\substack{s \in P(l) \\ s \leq p \\ e_*(s) = e_*(p)}} \mu_P(s, p) s \right)$$

for all $p \in P(l)$. For $p = s_l$ we obtain

$$\sum_{q \in P(l)} \mu_P(q, s_l) q = \left(\sum_{r \in P(k)} \mu_P(r, s_k) e^*(r) \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{\substack{s \in P(l) \\ e_*(s) = s_k}} \mu_P(s, s_l) s \right).$$

We define a \mathbb{C} -linear map by the action on partitions as follows:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{C}P(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, q \mapsto \begin{cases} t^{\#q-j} & (e\bar{e})_*(q) = s_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We apply φ on both sides of the equation and obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in P(l) \\ (e\bar{e})_*(q) = s_j}} \mu_P(q, s_l) t^{\#q-j} = \sum_{r \in P(k)} \sum_{\substack{s \in P(l) \\ e_*(s) = s_k}} \mu_P(r, s_k) \mu_P(s, s_l) \varphi(e^*(r) \wedge s).$$

Thus to prove that $w_{e\bar{e}} = w_e w_{\bar{e}}$, we will show

$$\varphi(e^*(r) \wedge s) = \begin{cases} (t^{\#r-j})(t^{\#s-k}) & \bar{e}_*(r) = s_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $r \in P(k), s \in P(l)$ with $e_*(s) = s_k$. Since $e_*(s) = s_k$ implies that

$$(e\bar{e})_*(e^*(r) \wedge s) = \bar{e}_*(r \wedge e_*(s)) = \bar{e}_*(r \wedge s_k) = \bar{e}_*(r),$$

we have $(e\bar{e})_*(e^*(r) \wedge s) = s_j$ if and only if $\bar{e}_*(r) = s_j$. Since all parts of $e^*(r)$ involving the points $l \setminus e(\underline{k})$ are singletons and since $e_*(s) = s_k$, the common coarsening $e^*(r) \wedge s$ has exactly $\#r$ blocks which are connected to a point in $e(\underline{k})$ and $\#s - k$ blocks which are not connected to a point in $e(\underline{k})$. It follows that $\#(e^*(r) \wedge s) = \#r + \#s - k$ and hence

$$\varphi(e^*(r) \wedge s) = t^{\#r + \#s - k - j} = (t^{\#r-j})(t^{\#s-k}).$$

□

Let us illustrate the lemma above with an example.

Example 4.17. Let \mathcal{C} be an group-theoretical category of partitions, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}(m)$. We set $l = \#p$ and consider an arbitrary injective map $e : \underline{1} \hookrightarrow \underline{\#p}$. Then $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \underline{l}$ decomposes into

$$\emptyset \hookrightarrow \{1\} \xrightarrow{e} \underline{l}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \{1\}} &= \sum_{q \in P(1)} \mu_P(q, s_1) \cdot t^{\#q} = \mu_P(s_1, s_1) \cdot t^1 = t \\ \text{and } w_e &= \sum_{\substack{q \in P(l) \\ e_*(q) = s_1}} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q-1} = \sum_{q \in P(l)} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q-1} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \underline{l}} = \sum_{q \in P(l)} \mu_P(q, s_l) \cdot t^{\#q} = w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \{1\}} \cdot w_e.$$

Now, we are ready to prove our first main theorem, see Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.18. *Let \mathcal{C} be a group-theoretical category of partitions. Then $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.14, the category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $w_{\emptyset \hookrightarrow \underline{\#p}} \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathcal{C}(m)$. Hence Lemma 4.16 implies that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $w_e \neq 0$ for any map $e : \underline{k} \hookrightarrow \underline{l}, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which does not have a factorisation $e = e_1 e_2$ with e_1, e_2 injective and not bijective maps, i.e. for all $e : \underline{k} \hookrightarrow \underline{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let us describe w_e for a given injective map $e : \underline{k} \hookrightarrow \underline{k+1}$. Set $l = k + 1$. We can assume that $e(i) = i$ for any $i \in \underline{k}$, since this can be achieved by post-composing with an isomorphism $e' : \underline{l} \rightarrow \underline{l}$ and $w_{e'} = 1$ by Lemma 4.12. Thus $\{q \in P(l) \mid e_*(q) = s_k\}$ contains the partition $s_l \in P(l)$ and $X := \{q \in P(l) \mid e_*(q) = s_k\} \setminus \{s_l\}$ contains exactly the k partitions where the l -th point is in a block of size two and all other blocks have size one. It follows that

$$w_e = \mu_P(s_l, s_l)t^{l-k} + \sum_{q \in X} \mu_P(q, s_l)t^{k-k}.$$

Since s_l covers every partition $q \in X$, we can apply Lemma 4.4 and conclude that

$$w_e = 1 \cdot t^1 + \sum_{q \in X} (-1)t^0 = t - k.$$

This proves our assertion that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is semisimple if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. \square

Together with Lemma 3.3, our previous result implies that there are negligible morphisms in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ as soon as $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let us give an example.

Definition 4.19. For any group-theoretical category of partitions \mathcal{C} , any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and any partition $p \in \mathcal{C}(k, l)$, we define recursively

$$x_p := p - \sum_{q \lesssim p} x_q \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)}([k], [l]).$$

Example 4.20. If $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $x_{\text{id}_{t+1}}$ is a non-trivial negligible endomorphism in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(P, t)$ by [CO11, Rem. 3.22.], hence it is also negligible in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$.

5. INDECOMPOSABLE OBJECTS

In this section, we take a look at indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ for any category of partitions \mathcal{C} . Notions like End and Hom are meant with respect to the category $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$. We prove our main result Theorem 1.2 in Subsection 5.1 before turning to the computation of concrete examples in Subsection 5.2–5.4.

5.1. Indecomposable objects in interpolating partition categories. For $\mathcal{C} = P$, the following statements are discussed in [CO11, Prop. 2.20.]. They follow in our more general situation from the fact that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is a Karoubi category with finite-dimensional endomorphism algebras.

Recall the following definitions.

Definition 5.1. Let R be a ring. Two elements $a, b \in R$ are said to be *conjugate* if there exists an invertible element $c \in R$ such that $a =cbc^{-1}$.

An element $e \in R$ is called *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. Two idempotents $e_1, e_2 \in R$ are said to be *orthogonal*, if $e_1e_2 = e_2e_1 = 0$. An idempotent $e \in R$ is called *primitive* if it is non-zero and can not be decomposed as a sum of two orthogonal non-zero idempotents.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category of partitions and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. For any object $A \in \underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ and any idempotent $e \in \text{End}(A)$ we denote the image of A under e by (A, e) .*

- (i) *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $e \in \text{End}([k])$ be an idempotent. Then $([k], e)$ is indecomposable in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ if and only if e is primitive.*
- (ii) *For any two idempotents $e, e' \in \text{End}([k])$ the objects $([k], e)$ and $([k], e')$ are isomorphic if and only if e and e' are conjugate in $\text{End}([k])$.*

Now for any idempotent $e \in \text{End}([k])$, the partitions $(pep')pe = pe$ and $ep'(pep') = ep'$ define mutually inverse isomorphisms between $([k], e)$ and $([k+2], pep')$.

Part (ii) is easy to check and (iii) follows from the fact that every composition $([0], \text{id}_0) \rightarrow ([l], e) \rightarrow ([0], \text{id}_0)$ is a non-zero power of t , and hence zero, if $l > 0$. \square

Now we are ready to classify indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ up to isomorphism for any category of partitions \mathcal{C} with $\uparrow \notin \mathcal{C}$. Note that the only categories of partitions with $\uparrow \in \mathcal{C}$ are $\{P, NC, \langle \times, \uparrow \rangle, \langle \uparrow \rangle\}$, see Remark 2.3. For all other categories of partitions, we record a useful feature.

Lemma 5.6. *If $\uparrow \notin \mathcal{C}$, then $\text{Hom}([k], [l]) = \emptyset$ whenever $k \not\equiv l \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a partition $p \in \mathcal{C}(k, l)$ with $k \not\equiv l \pmod{2}$. By successive composition with $|\otimes \cdots \otimes |\otimes \sqcap$ and $|\otimes \cdots \otimes |\otimes \sqcup$ we would obtain the partition $\uparrow \in \mathcal{C}(0, 1)$ or $\downarrow \in \mathcal{C}(1, 0)$ and hence $\uparrow \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

Definition 5.7. Let us define

$$\Lambda_k := \Lambda(\text{End}([k]) / (\nu_k)),$$

the set of primitive idempotents in the quotient algebras defined by the idempotents $\nu_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and for any $e \in \Lambda_k$, we denote its unique (primitive idempotent) lift in $\Lambda(\text{End}([k])$ by L_e (see Lemma 5.4).

$$\text{Note that } \Lambda_0 = \{\text{id}_0\} \text{ and } \Lambda_1 = \begin{cases} \{\text{id}_1 - \frac{1}{t}\uparrow, \frac{1}{t}\uparrow\} & \text{if } \uparrow \in \mathcal{C}(1, 1) \text{ and } t \neq 0, \\ \{\text{id}_1\} & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

We now prove our main result Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.8. *Assume $\uparrow \notin \mathcal{C}$. Then there is a bijection*

$$\phi : \bigsqcup_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_k \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}, \Lambda_k \ni e \mapsto ([k], L_e).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that ϕ restricts to bijections

$$\bigsqcup_{0 \leq l \leq k} \Lambda_l \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable subobjects} \\ \text{of some } [l] \text{ for } l \leq k \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}$$

for each $k \geq 0$. We prove this statement by induction in k . The claim is easy to check for $k = 0, 1$. So we consider some $k \geq 2$ and by induction we may assume that

$$X := \{([l], L_e) \mid l \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}, e \in \Lambda_l\}$$

is a complete set of non-isomorphic indecomposable subobjects of $[l]$ for all $l \leq k-1$. Thus we have to consider indecomposable subobjects of $[k]$ and distinguish which of them are isomorphic to objects in X and which are not. By Lemma 5.2 the set $\{([k], e) \mid e \in \Lambda(\text{End}([k]))\}$ is a complete set of non-isomorphic indecomposable subobjects of $[k]$. By Lemma 5.4 there exists a bijection

$$\Lambda(\text{End}([k])) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \Lambda(\nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k) \sqcup \Lambda_k.$$

We will show that all objects in $\{([k], e) \mid e \in \Lambda(\nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k)\}$ are isomorphic to objects in X , but none of the objects in $\{([k], L_e) \mid e \in \Lambda_k\}$ is. Then the assertion follows.

Let $e \in \Lambda(\nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k)$. If $t = 0$ and $k = 2$, then $\Lambda(\nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k) = \Lambda(\{0\}) = \emptyset$ and hence we assume that this not the case. Then Lemma 5.5 tells us that $([k], e)$ is isomorphic to an indecomposable subobjects of $[k-2]$, and thus to some object in X .

Now, let $e \in \Lambda_k$. We have to show that $([k], e)$ is isomorphic to none of the objects in X . By Lemma 5.6, $([k], e)$ can not be isomorphic to any $([l], f) \in X$ with $k \not\equiv l \pmod{2}$. So we consider indecomposables of the form $([l], f)$ with $l \leq k-1$ and $k \equiv l \pmod{2}$. If $t = 0$ and $l = 0$, then $([l], f)$ cannot be isomorphic to $([k], e)$ by Lemma 5.5(iii) and we assume that this not the case. Then an iterative application of Lemma 5.5 implies that there exists an idempotent $f' \in \nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k$ with $([l], f) \cong ([k], f')$. But since $\Lambda(\nu_k \text{End}([k]) \nu_k)$ and Λ_k are disjoint, the idempotents f' and e cannot be conjugate. Hence $([l], f)$ is not isomorphic to $([k], e)$. \square

Remark 5.9. Theorem 5.8 and the auxiliary results used in the proof imply that if $\uparrow \notin \mathcal{C}$, then any object X in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathcal{C}, t)$ is a subobject of $[k] \oplus [k+1]$ for some sufficiently large k . As there are no non-zero morphisms between $[k]$ and $[k+1]$, the endomorphism algebra of X is a direct summand in $\text{End}([k] \oplus [k+1])$, so in particular, $\text{End}(X)$ is semisimple if $\text{End}([k])$ is semisimple for any $k \geq 0$, which can be checked by verifying that $G^{(2k)} \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.3). This refinement of Lemma 3.3 can also be obtained for $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, even though \uparrow is present there, because in this case, every object is a subobject already of $[k]$ for some sufficiently large k (see [CO11, Pf. of Lem. 3.6]).

For the rest of this section, we compute indecomposable objects for the concrete categories of Example 2.7.

5.2. Indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$, $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$. In 2011, Comes and Ostrik showed that indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t)$ up to isomorphism correspond to Young diagrams of arbitrary size.

Proposition 5.10 ([CO11, Thm. 3.7.]). *For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a bijection*

$$\phi : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagrams } \lambda \\ \text{of arbitrary size} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}.$$

In 2017, Comes and Heidersdorf showed that indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t)$ up to isomorphism also correspond to Young diagrams of arbitrary size.

Proposition 5.11 ([CH17, Thm. 3.5.]). *For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a bijection*

$$\phi : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagrams } \lambda \\ \text{of arbitrary size} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The indecomposable objects of the Temperley–Lieb category (introduced in [GL98]) $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC_2, t)$ have been studied in various settings and can be described using Jones–Wenzl idempotents, discovered by Jones [Jo83]. The following inductive definition is due to Wenzl [We87]. Set

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ 2 \cdot \cos \left(\frac{j\pi}{l} \right) \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\} \right\},$$

then for any $t \notin \mathcal{S}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the Jones–Wenzl idempotent $e_k \in \text{End}_{\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)}([k])$ is recursively defined via:

$$e_0 = \text{id}_0, \quad e_1 = \text{id}_1,$$

$$e_k = \left[\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{e_{k-1}} \\ | \\ \dots \end{array} \right] - a_k \left[\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{e_{k-1}} \\ | \\ \dots \\ | \\ \boxed{e_{k-1}} \\ | \\ \dots \end{array} \right] \in NC_2(k, k).$$

with $a_1 = 0$ and $a_k = (t - a_{k-1})^{-1}$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Example 5.12. For instance, $e_2 = \left| \left| - \frac{1}{t} \right| \right|$.

Using Theorem 5.8, we recover a known result about the Temperley–Lieb categories.

Proposition 5.13. *For any $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the non-zero indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$ up to isomorphism are indexed by the non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_0 . If $t \notin \mathcal{S}$ then*

$$\phi : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}, k \mapsto ([k], e_k)$$

is a bijection.

Proof. We claim that $\text{End}([k])/\nu_k$ is one-dimensional, so by Theorem 5.8, Λ_k has exactly one element for each $k \geq 0$. For $k = 0, 1$, already $\text{End}([k])$ is one-dimensional and $\nu_k = 0$. For $k \geq 2$, we recall that the elements

$$u_i := \text{id}_i \otimes \left| \left| \right| \otimes \text{id}_{k-2-i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k-2$$

generate the Temperley–Lieb algebra $\text{End}([k])$. As we assume $t \neq 0$, $\nu_k = \frac{1}{t}u_0$ if $k = 2$. If $k \geq 2$, we can compose ν_k with suitable tensor products of id_1 , $\left| \left| \right|$, and $\left| \left| \right|$, to obtain all u_i . So (ν_k) contains u_0, \dots, u_{k-2} . On the other hand, $\text{id}_k \notin (\nu_k)$, since any element in the ideal will have upper points which are not connected to lower points. Thus, $\text{End}([k])/\nu_k$ is one-dimensional for all $k \geq 0$, as desired.

Now if $t \notin \mathcal{S}$, the Jones–Wenzl idempotents are indeed lifts of the unique primitive idempotent in $\text{End}([k])/\nu_k$: the recursive definition implies that the identity partition appears with coefficient 1, so the image of any Jones–Wenzl idempotent modulo (ν_k) is not zero. \square

Remark 5.14. If $t \in \mathcal{S}$, then only finitely many Jones–Wenzl idempotents are defined, and the last one of them generates the negligible morphisms in $\underline{\text{Rep}}_0(NC_2, t)$ (see [GW02]). Out of the infinitely many indecomposables in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$, only finitely many are not isomorphic to the zero object in the semisimplification of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$, the category obtained as a quotient by the tensor ideal of negligible morphisms; they correspond to the finitely many Jones–Wenzl idempotents, except the last one (see, for instance, [Ch14]).

5.3. Indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_t^+)$. Let us recall that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_t^+) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(\text{NC}, t)$. Even though this is probably known to experts, we give a proof that $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_{t^2}^+)$ is equivalent to a full subcategory of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{O}_t^+)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Using this, we can specify the indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_t^+)$.


Definition 5.15. Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(t)$ the full subcategory of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{O}_t^+)$ with objects

$$\{(A, e) \in \underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{O}_t^+) \mid A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l [k_i], k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ even, for any } 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}(t)$ is the Karoubi envelope of the full subcategory of $\underline{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbb{0}}(\mathbf{O}_t^+)$ with objects $\{[k] \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ even}\}$.

Definition 5.16 ([NS06, Ex. 9.42.]). Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. To any partition $p \in \text{NC}_2(2k, 2l)$ we associate a partition $\widehat{p} \in \text{NC}(k, l)$ as follows. For any odd upper point $m \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2k-1\}$, we insert a new point to the right of m . Similarly, for any odd lower point $m' \in \{1', 3', \dots, (2k-1)'\}$, we insert a new point on the right of m' . Then $\widehat{p} \in \text{NC}(k, l)$ is the coarsest partition on all new points such that no strings of the nested partitions cross. Note that this definition is independent of the choice of the occurring diagrams. Moreover, we set $\widehat{\text{id}}_0 = \text{id}_0$.

Example 5.17. The following diagram shows that, for $p = | \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} |$, we have $\widehat{p} = | \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} |$:



It is well-known that the map $\text{NC}_2(2k, 2l) \rightarrow \text{NC}(k, l)$, $p \mapsto \widehat{p}$, called *fattening operation*, is a bijection, see [NS06, Ex. 9.42.]. We will now show that, together with a suitable scaling, this map induces an equivalence of monoidal categories between $\mathcal{D}(t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_{t^2}^+)$.

Definition 5.18. Let $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\sqrt{t} \in \mathbb{C}$ be any square root of t . We denote the trace in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{O}_t^+)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{S}_{t^2}^+)$ by tr and tr_2 , respectively. We set

$$\mathcal{G}([2k]) := [k], \quad \mathcal{G}(p) := a(p)\widehat{p} \in \text{NC}(k, l) \quad \text{for all } k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in \text{NC}_2(2k, 2l),$$

where

$$p' := \begin{cases} p \otimes \sqcup \otimes \dots \otimes \sqcup \in \text{NC}_2(2l, 2l) & l \geq k \\ p \otimes \sqcap \otimes \dots \otimes \sqcap \in \text{NC}_2(2k, 2k) & k \geq l \end{cases}$$

$$a(p) := \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{|k-l|} \frac{\text{tr}(p')}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}')}.$$

Lemma 5.19. *We make the same assumptions as in the above lemma and let $p \in \text{NC}_2(2k, 2l)$.*

- (i) *We have $a(p) = (\sqrt{t})^{k-l} a(p')$.*
- (ii) *We have $a(p \otimes \text{id}_{2m}) = a(p)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*
- (iii) *If $k = l$, then $a(p \otimes r) = \frac{1}{t^m} a(p)$ with $r = \begin{array}{c} \sqcup \\ \sqcap \end{array} \otimes \dots \otimes \begin{array}{c} \sqcup \\ \sqcap \end{array} \in P(2y, 2y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. (i) The claim follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{G} , since $a(p') = \frac{\text{tr}(p')}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}')}$.

(ii) Let $q = p \otimes \text{id}_{2m}$. If $k = l$, then we have $\widehat{q} = \widehat{p} \otimes \text{id}_2$ and hence

$$a(q) = \frac{\text{tr}(q)}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{q})} = \frac{\text{tr}(p) \cdot t^{2m}}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}) \cdot (t^2)^m} = a(p).$$

Now, let $k > l$. The case $k < l$ follows analogously. Without loss of generality we assume that $m = 2$. By (i) we have to show that $a(q') = a(p')$. We have

$$\text{tr}(q') = \text{tr} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \end{array} \right) = \text{tr}(p')$$

Analogously one can check that $\text{tr}_2(\widehat{q}') = \text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}')$ and hence

$$a(q') = \frac{\text{tr}(q')}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{q}')} = \frac{\text{tr}(q')}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}')} a(p').$$

(iii) Since $k = l$, we have $\widehat{p \otimes r} = \widehat{p} \otimes \widehat{r}$ and $\widehat{r} = \text{!} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{!} \in P(y, y)$. It follows that

$$a(p \otimes r) = \frac{\text{tr}(p \otimes r)}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p \otimes r})} = \frac{\text{tr}(p) \cdot t^y}{\text{tr}_2(\widehat{p}) \cdot (t^2)^y} = \frac{1}{t^y} a(p).$$

□

Lemma 5.20. \mathcal{G} defines an equivalence of monoidal categories $\mathcal{D}(t) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rep}}(S_{t^2}^+)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that \mathcal{G} is a monoidal functor since \mathcal{G} is full, faithful and essentially surjective, as $p \mapsto \widehat{p}$ is a bijection.

Step 1: We start by showing that $\mathcal{G}(q \circ p) = \mathcal{G}(q) \circ \mathcal{G}(p)$ for all $p \in NC_2(2k, 2l)$ and $q \in NC_2(2l, 2m)$. Comparing diagrams one can check that $\widehat{q \circ p} = \widehat{q} \widehat{p}$. Together with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(q \circ p) &= t^{l(q,p)} \mathcal{G}(qp) = t^{l(q,p)} a(qp) \widehat{qp}, \\ \mathcal{G}(q) \circ \mathcal{G}(p) &= a(p) q(p) (\widehat{q} \circ \widehat{p}) = a(p) q(p) (t^2)^{l(\widehat{q}, \widehat{p})} (\widehat{qp}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that it suffices to show that $t^{l(q,p)} a(qp) = (t^2)^{l(\widehat{q}, \widehat{p})} a(p) a(q)$.

Step 1.1: Kodiyalam and Sunder showed that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the map

$$\text{End}_{\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)}([2n]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_{t^2}^+)}([n]), p \mapsto \mathcal{G}(p)$$

is an algebra isomorphism (see [KS08, Thm. 4.2.]). Hence the claim follows for $k = l = m$.

Step 1.2: For arbitrary $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $x := \max(k, l, m)$ and extend p and q to partitions in $P(x, x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} &:= p \otimes \sqcup \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqcup \otimes \sqcap \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqcap \in P(x, x), \\ \bar{q} &:= q \otimes \sqcup \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqcup \otimes \sqcap \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqcap \in P(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Step 1.1 implies that

$$t^{l(\bar{q}, \bar{p})} a(\bar{q} \bar{p}) = (t^2)^{l(\widehat{\bar{p}}, \widehat{\bar{q}})} a(\bar{q}) a(\bar{p})$$

Moreover, by construction we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{l(\bar{q}, \bar{p})} &= t^{l(q,p)} t^{x-l} \\ (t^2)^{l(\widehat{\bar{p}}, \widehat{\bar{q}})} &= (t^2)^{l(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q})} (t^2)^{x-l}. \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$t^{l(q,p)} a(\bar{q} \bar{p}) = t^{x-l} (t^2)^{l(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q})} a(\bar{q}) a(\bar{p}). \quad (1)$$

Step 1.3: We claim that

$$a(p) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} a(\bar{p}), \quad (2)$$

$$a(q) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-m} a(\bar{q}), \quad (3)$$

$$a(qp) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-m} a(\bar{q}\bar{p}). \quad (4)$$

We prove the first equation since the others follow analogously. If $x \in \{k, l\}$, then we have $\bar{p} = p'$ and hence Lemma 5.19(i) implies $a(p) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{|k-l|} a(p') = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} a(\bar{p})$.

If $x = m$, then we have $\bar{p} = p' \otimes r$ with $r = \underset{\sqcup}{\sqcup} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{\sqcup}{\sqcup} \in P(2y, 2y)$. Lemma 5.19(iii) implies that $a(p') = t^y a(\bar{p})$ and together with Lemma 5.19(i) it follows that $a(p) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{|k-l|} a(p') = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{|k-l|} t^y a(\bar{p}) = \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} a(\bar{p})$.

Step 1.4: We are ready to show that $t^{l(q,p)} a(qp) = (t^2)^{l(\hat{q}, \hat{p})} a(p) a(q)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{l(q,p)} a(qp) \\ \stackrel{(4)}{=} & \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-m} t^{l(q,p)} a(\bar{q}\bar{p}) \\ \stackrel{(1)}{=} & \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-m} t^{x-l} (t^2)^{l(\hat{p}, \hat{q})} a(\bar{q}) a(\bar{p}) \\ = & (t^2)^{l(\hat{p}, \hat{q})} \left(\left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-m} a(\bar{q}) \right) \left(\left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-k} \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{x-l} a(\bar{p}) \right) \\ \stackrel{(2),(3)}{=} & (t^2)^{l(\hat{p}, \hat{q})} a(q) a(p). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: It remains to show that $\mathcal{G}(p \otimes q) = \mathcal{G}(p) \otimes \mathcal{G}(q)$ for all $p \in NC_2(2k, 2l), q \in NC_2(2m, 2n)$. Again by comparing diagrams one can check that $\widehat{p \otimes q} = \widehat{p} \otimes \widehat{q}$ and thus we have to show that $a(p \otimes q) = a(p) a(q)$. By Step 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a(p \otimes q) \\ &= a((\text{id}_{2l} \otimes q)(p \otimes \text{id}_{2m})) \\ &= a(\text{id}_{2l} \otimes q) a(p \otimes \text{id}_{2m}). \end{aligned}$$

and since $a(\text{id}_{2l} \otimes q) = a(q)$ and $a(p \otimes \text{id}_{2m}) = a(p)$ by Lemma 5.19(ii), the claim follows. \square

Since there are no morphisms in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O_t^+)$ between subobjects of $[k_1]$ with k_1 even and subobjects of $[k_2]$ with k_2 odd, Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 5.20 imply the following:

Proposition 5.21. *For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$, the non-zero indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+)$ up to isomorphism are indexed by the non-negative integers \mathbb{N}_0 .*

If $t \notin \{0\} \cup \{4 \cdot \cos(\frac{j\pi}{l})^2 \mid l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}\}$ then

$$\phi : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(S_t^+) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}, k \mapsto ([k], \mathcal{G}(e_{2k}))$$

is a bijection.

5.4. **Indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{H}_t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(\mathbf{H}_t^+)$.** In this subsection, we apply Theorem 5.8 to compute all indecomposable objects up to isomorphism in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(P_{\text{even}}, t)$ and $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC_{\text{even}}, t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Recall that

$$\nu_0 = \nu_1 = 0, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{1}{t} \begin{array}{c} \sqcup \\ \sqcap \end{array}, \quad \nu_k := \text{id}_{k-3} \otimes \begin{array}{c} \sqcup \\ \sqcap \end{array} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and note that in this case, $(\nu_k) = (\text{id}_{k-2} \otimes \begin{array}{c} \sqcup \\ \sqcap \end{array})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.


To apply Theorem 5.8, we have to describe primitive idempotents in $\Lambda_k := \Lambda(\text{End}([k]) / (\nu_k))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We start by describing the elements in these algebras.

Definition 5.22. Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p \in P(k, l)$. A block of p is called *through-block* if it contains upper points as well as lower points.


Lemma 5.23. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \{P_{\text{even}}, NC_{\text{even}}\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. We denote $A := \mathbb{C}\mathcal{C}(k, k) / (\nu_k)$ and $\bar{p} := p + (\nu_k) \in A$ for $p \in \mathcal{C}(k, k)$. Then $A = \mathbb{C}\{\bar{p} \mid p \in Q\}$ with

$$Q = \{p \in \mathcal{C}(k, k) \mid p \text{ has only through-blocks and any block has at most 2 upper and at most 2 lower points}\}.$$


Proof. Although the statement applies to both $\mathcal{C} = P_{\text{even}}$ and $\mathcal{C} = NC_{\text{even}}$, we have to distinguish the two cases most of the time. Let $p \in \mathcal{C}(k, k)$. At first we assume that p has a block with more than 2 upper points a, b, c :



We have




If $\mathcal{C} = P_{\text{even}}$, then $\times \in P_{\text{even}}$ implies that



and thus we have $p = qp \in (\nu_k)$.

If $\mathcal{C} = NC_{\text{even}}$, we have



and it follows by induction that

$$q_1 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \in (\nu_k).$$

Moreover, there exist two subpartitions which are not connected to any other point of p : $\hat{p}_1 \in NC_{even}(b-a-1, 0)$ by restricting p to the points $\{a+1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $\hat{p}_2 \in NC_{even}(c-b-1, 0)$ by restricting p to the points $\{b+1, \dots, c-1\}$.

$$p = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hat{p}_1 \\ \cdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hat{p}_2 \\ \cdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} c \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

We set

$$q_2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hat{p}_1 \\ \cdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hat{p}_2 \\ \cdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} c \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

and it follows that $p = q_2 q_1 p \in (\nu_k)$.

Now we assume that any block of p has at most 2 upper and at most 2 lower points and that p has a non-through block.

$$p = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} b \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

If $\mathcal{C} = P_{even}$, again $\times \in P_{even}$ implies that

$$q = \frac{1}{t} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} a \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \in (\nu_k).$$

and hence we have $p = qp \in (\nu_k)$.


If $\mathcal{C} = NC_{even}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} = \frac{1}{t^2} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \in (\nu_k)$$


and it follows by induction that

$$q_1 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \in (\nu_k).$$

Moreover, since p is non-crossing, the restriction of p to the points $\{a+1, \dots, b-1\}$ yields a subpartition $\hat{p} \in NC_{\text{even}}(b-a-1, 0)$ which is not connected to any other points in p :



We set



and it follows that $p = q_2 q_1 p \in (\nu_k)$.

Thus we have shown that $\mathcal{CC}(k, k) \setminus (\nu_k) \subseteq \mathcal{CQ}_k$ and the claim follows. \square

Remark 5.24. Note that we have explicitly used that \mathcal{C} either contains \times or it contains only non-crossing partitions. Moreover, although all appearing partitions in the case $\mathcal{C} = NC_{\text{even}}$ also lie in $\mathcal{C} = P_{\text{even}}$, we cannot apply this technique for $\mathcal{C} = P_{\text{even}}$, since the partitions $\hat{p}, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2$ might then be connected to other points in p .

Now we compute all indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t)$ up to isomorphism for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. It is well-known that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, inequivalent irreducible representations of the hyperoctahedral group H_n can be indexed by bipartitions of size n , i.e. pairs (λ_1, λ_2) of partitions of some $n_1 \leq n$ and $n_2 \leq n$, respectively, with $n = n_1 + n_2$, see [GK76]. We show that this description extends to a description of the non-isomorphic indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(P_{\text{even}}, t)$ by bipartitions of arbitrary size.

For any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define

$$e_{k_1, k_2} := \text{id}_{k_1} \otimes \text{⌞} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{⌞} \in P_{\text{even}}(k_1 + 2k_2, k_1 + 2k_2),$$

Proposition 5.25. *Let $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a bijection*

$$\phi : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Bipartitions } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ \text{of arbitrary size} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. We denote $m_\lambda := |\lambda_1| + 2|\lambda_2|$ for any bipartition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. We claim that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Bipartitions } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ \text{with } m_\lambda = k \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \Lambda(\text{End}([k]) / (\nu_k)).$$

Then the statement follows from Theorem 5.8. The claim is easy to check for $k = 0, 1$ and so let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. We denote $A := \text{End}([k]) / (\nu_k)$ and $\bar{p} := p + (\nu_k) \in A$ for $p \in \text{End}([k])$.

Step 1: By Lemma 5.4 we have

$$\Lambda(A) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \Lambda(e_{k,1} A e_{k,1}) \sqcup \Lambda(A / (e_{k,1}))$$

and

$$\Lambda(e_{k_1, k_2} A e_{k_1, k_2}) \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \Lambda(e_{k_1-2, k_2+1} A e_{k_1-2, k_2+1}) \sqcup \Lambda(e_{k_1, k_2} A e_{k_1, k_2} / (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1}))$$

for all $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + 2k_2 = k$. Thus it suffices to compute $\Lambda(e_{k_1, k_2} A e_{k_1, k_2} / (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1}))$ for all $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + 2k_2 = k$, since then we can compute $\Lambda(A)$ inductively.

Step 2: We claim that for any $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + 2k_2 = k$,

$$\overline{e_{k_1, k_2} A e_{k_1, k_2}} / \overline{(e_{k_1-2, k_2+1})} \cong (\mathbb{C}S_{k_1}) \cdot (\mathbb{C}S_{k_2}).$$

By Lemma Lemma 5.23 we have $A = \mathbb{C}\{\bar{p} \mid p \in Q\}$ with

$$Q = \{p \in P_{\text{even}}(k, k) \mid p \text{ has only through-blocks and any block} \\ \text{has at most 2 upper and at most 2 lower points}\}$$

and hence

$$\overline{e_{k_1, k_2} A e_{k_1, k_2}} = \{\bar{p} \mid p \in Q \cap e_{k_1, k_2} P_{\text{even}}(k, k) e_{k_1, k_2}\}.$$

So we consider $p \in Q \cap e_{k_1, k_2} P_{\text{even}}(k, k) e_{k_1, k_2}$ with $p \notin (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1})$. If any two of the left k_1 upper points were connected, we would have $p \in (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1})$ since $\times \in P_{\text{even}}$. The same holds if any of the left k_1 lower points were connected, so this is not the case. If any of the left k_1 upper or k_1 lower points were connected to any of the right $2k_2$ upper or $2k_2$ lower points, this block would have at least four points and at least three points would lie in the upper or in the lower row. Hence we would have $p \notin Q$. Thus there exist $p_1 \in S_{k_1}$ and $p_2 \in \mathbb{C}P_{\text{even}}(k_2, k_2)$ with $p = p_1 \otimes p_2$. In p_2 , all blocks consist of two adjacent upper and two adjacent lower points. Thus if we identify connected adjacent points in p_2 , we can identify p_2 with an element in S_{k_2} .

Moreover, partitions of this form can not lie in (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1}) , as they have $k_1 + k_2$ blocks and all partitions in (e_{k_1-2, k_2+1}) have at most $k_1 + k_2 - 1$ blocks. Hence the claim of step 2 follows.

Step 3: By Step 1 and Step 2 we have

$$\Lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \bigsqcup_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + 2k_2 = k}} \Lambda((\mathbb{C}S_{k_1}) \cdot (\mathbb{C}S_{k_2})) \sqcup \Lambda(A/(e_{k,1})) \sqcup \Lambda(e' A e')$$

with $e' = e_{0, k/2}$ if k is even and $e' = e_{1, (k-1)/2}$. One can check that $A/(e_{k,1}) \cong \mathbb{C}S_k$. Moreover, if k is even, then $e_{0, k/2} = \overline{\text{---}} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\text{---}} \in P_{\text{even}}(k, k)$ and we have $e_{0, k/2} A e_{0, k/2} \cong (S_{k/2})$. If k is odd, then $e_{1, (k-1)/2} = |\otimes \overline{\text{---}} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\text{---}} \in P_{\text{even}}(k, k)$ and it follows that $e_{1, (k-1)/2} A e_{1, (k-1)/2} \cong (S_{(k-1)/2})$.

Thus it follows that

$$\Lambda(A) \xrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \bigsqcup_{\substack{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \\ k_1 + 2k_2 = k}} \Lambda((\mathbb{C}S_{k_1}) \cdot (\mathbb{C}S_{k_2})) \xrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Bipartitions } \lambda \text{ with} \\ m_\lambda = k \end{array} \right\}$$

is a bijection. \square

We conclude our discussion by computing all indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+)$ up to isomorphism for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In [BV09, Th. 7.3.] Banica and Vergnioux showed that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, inequivalent irreducible representations of the free hyperoctahedral quantum group H_n^+ are indexed by finite binary sequences. We show that also non-isomorphic indecomposable objects in $\underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+) = \underline{\text{Rep}}(NC_{\text{even}}, t)$ are indexed by finite binary sequences.

Proposition 5.26. *Let $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a bijection*

$$\phi : \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{1, 2\}^b \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-zero indecomposable objects} \\ \text{in } \underline{\text{Rep}}(H_t^+) \text{ up to isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. We denote $m_a := \sum_{i=1}^b a_i$ for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_b) \in \{1, 2\}^b$ with $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We claim that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, there exists a bijection

$$\{a \in \{1, 2\}^b \mid b \in \mathbb{N}, m_a = k\} \longleftrightarrow \Lambda(\text{End}([k]) / (\nu_k)).$$

Then the statement follows from Theorem 5.8. The claim is easy to check for $k = 0, 1$, so let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

Step 1: For any $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote $A_l := \text{End}([l]) / (\nu_l)$ and $\bar{p} = p + (\nu_l) \in A_l$ for $p \in \mathbb{C}\text{End}([l])$. Moreover, for $l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, we set $\xi_l := \text{id}_{l-2} \otimes \overline{\quad} \in NC_{\text{even}}(l, l)$ and claim that

$$\Lambda(A_l) \xrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \Lambda(A_{l-2}) \sqcup \Lambda(A_{l-1}).$$

We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} A_{l-2} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\xi_l A_l \xi_l}, \bar{p} \mapsto \overline{p \otimes \overline{\quad}} \\ A_{l-1} &\xrightarrow{\sim} A_l / (\overline{\xi_l}), \bar{p} \mapsto \overline{p \otimes \quad}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Lambda(A_l) \xrightarrow{\text{bij.}} \Lambda(\xi_l A_l \xi_l) \sqcup \Lambda(A / (\xi_l))$$

by Lemma 5.4, the claim follows.

Step 2: If we apply the result above inductively, we obtain a bijection

$$\{a \in \{1, 2\}^b \mid b \in \mathbb{N}, m_a = k\} \longleftrightarrow \Lambda(A_k).$$

where $a + (a_1, \dots, a_b)$ gets mapped to a lift of the partition $e_{a_1} \otimes e_{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{a_b} \in NC_{\text{even}}(k, k)$ with $e_1 = \text{id}_1$ and $e_2 = \overline{\quad}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ah16] C. A. AHMED, Representation Theory of Diagram Algebras: Subalgebras and Generalisations of the Partition Algebra (Doctoral dissertation), University of Leeds (2016).
- [BC07] T. BANICA AND B. COLLINS, Integration over Compact Quantum Groups, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **43** (2007), 277–302.
- [BJ97] D. BISCH AND V. JONES, Algebras associated to intermediate subfactors, *Invent. Math.* **128** (1997), no. 1, 89–157.
- [BS09] T. BANICA AND R. SPEICHER, Liberation of orthogonal Lie groups, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), no. 4, 1461–1501.
- [BV09] T. BANICA AND R. VERGNIoux, Fusion rules for quantum reflection groups, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **3** (2009), 327–359.
- [BW99] J. BARRETT AND B. WESTBURY, Spherical categories, *Adv. Math.* **143** (1999), 357–375.
- [Ch14] J. CHEN, The Temperley-Lieb categories and skein modules, arXiv:1502.06845 (2014).
- [CH17] J. COMES AND T. HEIDERSDORF, Thick ideals in Deligne’s category $\text{Rep}(O_\delta)$, *J. Algebra* **480** (2017), 237–265.
- [CO11] J. COMES AND V. OSTRIK, On blocks of Deligne’s category $\text{Rep}(S_t)$, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 2, 1331–1377.
- [De07] P. DELIGNE, La catégorie des représentations du groupe symétrique St , lorsque t n’est pas un entier naturel, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 209 – 273. *Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai* (2007).
- [De90] P. DELIGNE, Catégories tannakiennes., The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 111195, *Progr. Math.* **87** (1990).
- [Di87] V. G. DRINFELD, Quantum groups., in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1,2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, *Amer. Math. Soc.* (1987), 798–820.
- [EGNO15] P. ETINGOF, S. GELAKI, D. NIKSHYCH AND V. OSTRIK, Tensor categories, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 205*, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 2015.
- [EO18] P. ETINGOF AND V. OSTRIK, On semisimplification of tensor categories, arXiv:1801.04409 (2018).

- [Fr17] A. FRESLON, On the partition approach to Schur-Weyl duality and free quantum groups, *Transform. Groups* **22** (2017), no. 3, 707–751.
- [GK76] L. GEISSINGER AND D. KINCH, Representations of the hyperoctahedral groups, *J. Algebra* **53** (1976), 1–20.
- [GL98] J. GRAHAM AND G. LEHRER, The representation theory of affine Temperley-Lieb algebras, *Enseign. Math.* (2) **44** (1998), no. 3-4, 173–218.
- [GW02] F. GOODMAN AND H. WENZL, Ideals in the Temperley Lieb category, (2002), arXiv:0206301.
- [GW19] D. GROMADA AND M. WEBER, Generating linear categories of partitions, (2019), arXiv:1904.00166.
- [Ja92] U. JANNSEN, Motives, numerical equivalence, and semi-simplicity, *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), no. 3, 447–452.
- [Ji85] M. JIMBO, A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1985), 63–69.
- [Jo83] V. F. R. JONES, Index for subfactors, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), no. 1, 1–25.
- [Ju19] S. JUNG, Linear independences of maps associated to partitions, (2019), arXiv:1906.10533.
- [Kn07] F. KNOP, Tensor envelopes of regular categories, *Adv. Math.* **214** (2007), no. 2, 571–617.
- [KS08] V. KODIYALAM AND V. S. SUNDER, Temperley-Lieb and noncrossing partition planar algebras, *Contemp. Math.*, 456, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [MR19] L. MANČINSKA AND D. ROBERSON, Quantum isomorphism is equivalent to equality of homomorphism counts from planar graphs, (2019), arXiv:1910.06958.
- [NS06] A. NICA AND R. SPEICHER, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 335, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [NT13] S. NESHVEYEV AND L. TUSET, Compact quantum groups and their representation categories., *Cours Spécialis [Specialized Courses]*, 20. Socit Mathématique de France, Paris (2013).
- [RW15] S. RAUM AND M. WEBER, Easy quantum groups and quantum subgroups of a semi-direct product quantum group, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **9** (2015), no. 4, 1261–1293.
- [RW16] S. RAUM AND M. WEBER, The full classification of orthogonal easy quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **341** (2016), no. 3, 751–779.
- [Tu93] W. T. TUTTE, The Matrix of Chromatic Joins, *J. Comb. Theory* **57** (1993), 269–288.
- [TW17] P. TARRAGO AND M. WEBER, Unitary Easy Quantum Groups: the free case and the group case, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **18** (2017), 5710–5750.
- [Wa98] S. WANG, Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998), no. 1, 195–211.
- [We13] M. WEBER, On the classification of easy quantum groups, *Adv. Math.*, **245** (2013), 500–533.
- [We17] M. WEBER, Introduction to compact (matrix) quantum groups and Banica-Speicher (easy) quantum groups, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, **127** (2017), no. 5, 881–933.
- [We87] H. WENZL, On sequences of projections, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* **9** (1987), no. 1, 5–9.
- [Wo87] S. L. WORONOWICZ, Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.
- [Wo88] S. L. WORONOWICZ, Tannaka-Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted $SU(N)$ groups, *Invt. Math.* **93** (1988), no. 1, 35–76.

CHAIR OF ALGEBRA AND REPRESENTATION THEORY, RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, PONTDRIESCH 10-16, 52062 AACHEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: flake@art.rwth-aachen.de

LEHRSTUHL D FÜR MATHEMATIK, RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, PONTDRIESCH 10-16, 52062 AACHEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: laura.maassen@rwth-aachen.de