Making It Rain: How Giving Me Telescope Time Can Reduce Drought

MICHAEL B. LUND¹

¹CalTech/IPAC-NExScI
mlund@ipac.caltech.edu

Abstract

In this paper we assess the correlation between recent observing runs (2018 and 2019) and inclement weather, and demonstrate that these observing runs have seen much more rainfall than would otherwise be expected, an increase of over 200%. We further look at a number of observatory sites in areas that are facing or will face drought, and suggest that a strong environmental benefit would follow from telescope allocation committees providing us an inordinate amount of telescope time at facilities located around the globe.

I. Introduction

The concept of rainfall being directly linked to a single individual has a long history of speculation within the literature (Kinney, 1954; Lovy, 1967; Braybrooks, 1996). Indeed, even the possibility of harnessing this effect is discussed in Adams (1984).

The first demonstration in a laboratory setting of artificial precipitation was achieved by using silver iodine to stimulate the formation of ice crystals necessary for rain (Vonnegut, 1949). It was further shown that by intentionally seeding clouds with silver iodine, it is possible to create artificial ice crystal formation (Vonnegut and Maynard, 1952) a necessary prequesite for rain (Nye, 1995). This process has been demonstrated, and can be implemented to create human-triggered precipitation (French et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been argued that ionizing radiation can function as a seed in a similar fashion, removing the need for cloud doping with particle deposition (Svensmark et al., 2017).

Though it has been suggested that humans can artificially impact the weather, the broader question of the anthropogenic influence over climate is much more robust. Indeed, the impact of humans on the climate has received enough study to raise this question to the level of scientific fact (IPCC et al., 2018). This in-

cludes human activity resulting in increases in rain (Allan, 2011), and so it is not impossible to suggest that small-scale corrections in weather and climate can be made with targeted actions to increase rainfall.

In this paper, we use a combination of historical data and our own observations (presented in Section II), and demonstrate in Section III) that our observing runs have had significantly more rainy days and rainfall than expected, even when accounting for the seasonal distribution of our observing runs, with estimated rainfall at roughly 350% the expected amount. We make the case that the author being awarded additional telescope time at observatories located in areas prone to drought can improve deficiencies in rainfall (Section IV, and we summarize our results in Section V.

II. Data

We rely on two data sets for this work, both relating to observing runs that took place over 2018 and 2019 at Palomar Observatory on Mount Palomar in San Diego County, California. Palomar Observatory is owned and operated by the California Institute of Technology. The historic climate data is outlined in Subsection II.1 and our observing track record is discussed in Subsection II.2.

II.1. Mount Palomar Climate

In order to characterize the baseline climate for Mount Palomar, we gathered rainfall data for Mount Palomar (ZIP 92060) from best-places.net, a site that sources a range of climate data with monthly resolution¹. Of particular interest to our inquiry is the number of rainy days in a month and the inches of rain per month, both displayed in Table 2 (yearly totals may not match source due to rounding differences).

Table 1: Mount Palomar Climate Data

Month	Rainy Days	Inches of Rain
Jan	6.9	4.7
Feb	7.9	5.0
Mar	7.2	4.0
Apr	4.7	1.7
May	2.4	0.5
Jun	0.6	0.1
Jul	1.3	0.3
Aug	1.8	0.5
Sep	2.0	0.5
Oct	3.2	1.0
Nov	4.4	2.0
Dec	6.2	3.4
Total	48.6	23.7

This data is visualized in Figure 1. We take the fraction of days in the month that were rainy and combine this with the total amount of rain per month to calculate the average rainfall that occurs on an individual rainy day.

Additional weather data is available from Weather Underground², that we refer to here but do not reproduce in this paper. We use this data as a supplemental approach to our main work, but note that while Weather Underground provides both historical and average rainfall with daily binning, the closest weather station to Palomar Mountain with historical data available through the site is the McClellanâÄŞPalomar Airport Weather Station in Carlsbad, CA, about 45 kilometers WSW of Palomar Mountain and 1600 meters lower. This

distance and change in elevation results in significant uncertainty in applying this data to Mount Palomar. Individual days were queried from Weather Underground as detailed in Section III.

II.2. Observing Runs

Here we consider nine observing runs, all of which were scheduled on the 200-in Hale Telescope, and using an instrument which requires traveling to Palomar Observatory to observe. Travel actually occurred for 8 of these runs, however the Feb 21-22, 2019 run was significantly impacted by weather such that the top of the mountain could not be safely reached. We include this case in our analysis because the key element is the intention to go to Palomar Observatory, not necessarily the action of it, as it has been argued that the brain treats activates in the same fashion for both thinking about and carrying out an action (Ptak et al., 2017). We also do not include any observing runs that took place that the author was not scheduled to travel for. The full list of observing runs and the number of rainy nights are listed in Table 2, with the dates corresponding to the start of each night (i.e. Feb 21-22, 2019 was the nights of Feb 21 and 22, but did not end until the morning of Feb 23).

Table 2: Weather on Observing Runs

Observing Run	Rainy Nights	
Feb 21-22, 2019	2	
Mar 20-21, 2019	2	
Apr 17, 2019	0	
May 15-16, 2019	2	
Jun 11-13, 2019	3	
Jun 22, 2019	1	
Jul 22, 2019	1	
Nov 8-9, 2019	2	
Mar 9-10, 2020	2	

We had 8 nights where rain or snow prevented observations. Data taken on the successful nights has all been reduced and submitted

https://www.bestplaces.net/climate/zip-code/california/palomar_mountain/92060

²www.wunderground.com




Figure 1: Climate data for Mount Palomar. The top left figure shows the average number of rainy days per month. In the top right, we show the average monthly rainfall. In the bottom figure, we show the average rainfall per rainy day, based on the data in the other two figures.

to ExoFOP-TESS³, and a more in-depth analysis of those observations will appear in Lund et al (in prep).


III. Methods

In order to compare our observing run to what could be otherwise expected for rainfall, we created 525,600 simulated observing runs. For each run, we preserve the months that we observed in, and for each night determine if the day is rainy based on the fraction of rainy days in a month (Figure 1, top left). If the day is rainy, then we assign to that day the average amount of rain per rainy day in that month (Figure 1, bottom). The distributions of total number of rainy days and total amount of rain expected are shown in Figure 2. We determine

our own approximated rainfall by summing the average rainy day rainfall for all nights where we suffered inclement weather. We find that the rainfall we observed exceeds the 99th percentile, with 8 days of rain being four times the median of 2 days of rain expected, and 3.9 inches of rain being about 350% of the expected rainfall. Indeed, in 16 nights over 14 months (February 2019 to March 2020), our observing runs account for just under 4% of nights, but 13% of rainy days and 12% of expected rainfall.

As an alternative approach, we also use the Weather Underground data previously discussed in Section II.1. This data source provides daily rain totals, but at the somewhat distant location of Carlsbad, CA. As the Weather Underground data defines the change of day at midnight, we also include the day that our

³https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/






Figure 2: We simulated 525,600 sets of comparable observing runs. On the left we show the distribution of how many rainy nights to expect, with the median being one fourth of what we observed. On the right we show the expected rainfall is much less than our observed rainfall. In both cases, our observed rainfall exceeds the 99th percentile.

observing concludes on in our queries. Summaries for each observing run are listed in Table 3, with the total rainfall of our observing runs and the total average rainfall on the same dates included at the bottom. While we note the greater uncertainty in this measurement, we still find an increase in rainfall using this method of about 60%, which is consistent with a significant increase in rainfall during our observing runs.

Table 3: Weather Underground Data for McClellanâĂŞ-Palomar Airport Weather Station

Observing Run	Total Rainfall [inches]	Average Rainfall [inches]
Feb 21-22, 2019	0.14	0.37
Mar 20-21, 2019	0.35	0.17
Apr 17, 2019	0.00	0.06
May 15-16, 2019	0.18	0.01
Jun 11-13, 2019	0.00	0.02
Jun 22, 2019	0.03	0.00
Jul 22, 2019	0.00	0.00
Nov 8-9, 2019	0.00	0.09
Mar 9-10, 2020	0.76	0.17
Total	1.46	0.89

IV. Discussion

Most modern observatories are located in climates favorable to observing. Two of the most important site qualities required to increase on-sky time and improve seeing are low cloud cover and low precipitable water vapor (Aksaker et al., 2020). However, sites that regularly exhibit both of these qualities are more susceptible to drought conditions. Given that we have already demonstrated that our observing runs result an increase of rainfall, we now discuss where telescope time can be allocated to us such that it can provide maximal benefits to the surrounding environment. We address several regions, and show the locations of the observatories in Figure 3.

IV.1. Canary Islands

The Canary Islands of Spain have seen several drought seasons in the last ten years, and these patterns pose a particular risk for some of the niche ecosystems that exist on the islands (Olano et al., 2017). This region may require the stabilization provided by greater rainfall to counter the damage from global warming in the coming years. The island of La Palma also is home to Roque de los Muchachos Observatory (ORM), frequently cited as the second-best

location for optical astronomy in the northern hemisphere, and features telescopes like the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias.

IV.2. Chile

Central Chile has experienced what has been referred to as a 'mega-drought' after facing a series of dry years since 2010, with rainfall down 20-40% from normal (Garreaud et al., 2020). This region is home to the majority of Chile's population, supporting approximately 10 million people. Additional rainfall would prevent the further loss of livestock and replenish reservoirs that are running low. On the northern boundary of this region are several astronomical facilities: the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) includes the 4m Victor M. Blanco Telescope on Cerro Tololo and the 4.1m Southern Astrophysical Research Telescope on nearby Cerro Panchón.

IV.3. South Africa

In 2018, Cape Town, South Africa was at a very real risk of being the first major city to run out of water due to a long-term trend in reduced winter rainfall and significant seasonal variability in rainfall (Burls et al., 2019). Additional precipitation would be a vital contribution to Cape Town's water supply, and so the rains are very important (Toto, 1982). Approximately 250-300 km northeast of Cape Town is Sutherland and the South African Astronomical Observatory (SAAO). As one of the largest observatories in Africa, the site is also home to the ∼10m Southern African Large Telescope.

IV.4. Southeast Australia

The wheat belt that stretches across southeast Australia (predominantly in New South Wales) is expected to see an increasing frequency of droughts, and these droughts will have greater severity (Feng et al., 2019). More critically, this is a region of key agricultural output, and further decreases in rainfall threaten to

put increased stress on the regional food supply. Located roughly within this region of the sunburnt country (Mackellar, 1908) is Siding Spring Observatory (SSO), outside of Coonabarabran. Siding Spring Observatory is the site of numerous telescopes, the largest being the 3.9m Anglo-Australian Telescope.

IV.5. Southern California

A study of the San Diego region showed that rainfall has declined over the timespan from 1985-2017 (Mosase et al., 2019), and that droughts can play a key role in devastating fires in Southern California (Taylor, 1970). Palomar Observatory (PO) is located about 100 km NNE of San Diego and falls within the San Diego region. While Southern California is known for having very little rain, when it does rain the rain can be quite heavy (Hammond, 1972). We have already demonstrated the utility of additional observing time at Palomar Observatory to increase rainfall.

IV.6. Southwest United States

Throughout the Southwest of the United States (Arizona, New Mexico, Texas, and Oklahoma) there has been a major decrease in soil water storage. Climate change has caused decreases in atmospheric water input, which has been a major to dominant factor in this change in soil water storage (Liu et al., 2019). This region also includes major population centers that now are at risk of running out of water, such as Phoenix, Arizona and El Paso, Texas, and could greatly benefit from additional rain⁴. The southwest United States is also rich in observing facilities. Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO) is located in southern Arizona, with almost two dozen telescopes, including the Mayall 4-meter Telescope. Over 700 km east in this same region is McDonald Observatory (MDO), with 4 research telescopes, including the 10m Hobby-Eberly Telescope. Prior unpublished observations have also confirmed that the author's presence at McDonald Observa-

⁴https://weather.com/forecast-change/news/2019-06-03-5-us-cities-that-could-potentially-run-out-of-water




Figure 3: All observatories that we have selected as ideal for getting telescope time to counter drought, spanning 5 continents. We label each site with the observatory abbreviation and the subsection that they are discussed in.

tory correlated with higher levels of rainfall than would be otherwise expected for the time of year that the observing runs took place.

V. Summary

In this paper we have demonstrated how our observing runs at Palomar Observatory have corresponded with an increase in rainy nights. Our 16 nights of observing saw ~4 inches of rain, more than three times the median expected rainfall of 1.1 inches. The chance of this much rain by chance is significantly less than 1%. We have further discussed that many premier facilities globally are located in areas that are prone to drought, spanning five continents. We believe that this provides a valuable opportunity for drought conditions to be reduced by awarding the author significant telescope time.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Savannah R. Jacklin for her valuable feedback on this manuscript. The author also thanks David R. Ciardi for not firing him after three consecutive unsuccessful observing runs, and the Palomar staff for ensuring high quality observations when it was not raining.

This research made use of Astropy,⁵ a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013; Price-Whelan et al., 2018).

REFERENCES

Adams, D. (1984). So Long, and Thanks for All the Fish: the Hitchhikers' Huide to the Galaxy 4. Pan, London.

Aksaker, N., Yerli, S. K., Erdoğan, M. A., Kurt, Z., Kaba, K., Bayazit, M., and Yesilyaprak, C. (2020). Global Site Selection for Astronomy.

⁵http://www.astropy.org

- Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 493(1):1204–1216.
- Allan, R. P. (2011). Human influence on rainfall. Nature, 470(7334):344–345.
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. (2013). Astropy: A community Python package for astronomy. A&A, 558:A33.
- Braybrooks, A. (1996). <u>Eeyore, you're the best.</u> Western Pub. Co, Racine, Wis.
- Burls, N. J., Blamey, R. C., Cash, B. A., Swenson, E. T., Fahad, A. a., Bopape, M.-J. M., Straus, D. M., and Reason, C. J. C. (2019). The cape town "day zero" drought and hadley cell expansion. npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 2(1):27.
- Feng, P., Liu, D. L., Wang, B., Waters, C., Zhang, M., and Yu, Q. (2019). Projected changes in drought across the wheat belt of southeastern australia using a downscaled climate ensemble. <u>International Journal of Climatology</u>, 39(2):1041–1053.
- French, J. R., Friedrich, K., Tessendorf, S. A., Rauber, R. M., Geerts, B., Rasmussen, R. M., Xue, L., Kunkel, M. L., and Blestrud, D. R. (2018). Precipitation formation from orographic cloud seeding. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>, 115(6):1168–1173.
- Garreaud, R. D., Boisier, J. P., Rondanelli, R., Montecinos, A., Sepúlveda, H. H., and Veloso-Aguila, D. (2020). The central chile mega drought (2010-2018): A climate dynamics perspective. <u>International Journal of Climatology</u>, 40(1):421–439.
- Hammond, A. (1972). It never rains in southern california. In <u>It Never Rains in Southern</u> California. Mums.
- IPCC, Allen, M., Babiker, M., Chen, Y., de Coninck, H., Connors, S., van Diemen, R., Dube, O., Ebi, K., Engelbrecht, F., Ferrat, M., Ford, J., Forster, P., Fuss, S., Guillén Bolaños,

- T., Harold, J., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Hourcade, J.-C., Huppmann, D., and Zickfeld, K. (2018). Summary for Policymakers. In: Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report.
- Kinney, J. (1954). <u>Casey Bats Again</u>. Walt Disney Productions, Burbank, CA.
- Liu, J., Gao, L., Yuan, F., Guo, Y., and Xu, X. (2019). Climate change made major contributions to soil water storage decline in the southwestern us during 2003-2014. Water, 11(9):1947.
- Lovy, A. (1967). <u>Fiesta Fiasco</u>. Warner Brothers Animation, Burbank, CA.
- Mackellar, D. (1908). Core of my heart. <u>The</u> Spectator.
- Mosase, E., Ahiablame, L., Light, F., and Dwomoh, F. (2019). A case study of rainfall and temperature trends in san diego region, 1985-2017. Hydrology, 6(4).
- Nye, B. (1995). Water cycle. In <u>Bill Nye</u> the Science Guy, volume 3. KCTS Seattle, McKenna / Gottlieb Producers, Public Broadcasting Service (PBS).
- Olano, J. M., Brito, P., Águeda M^a. González-Rodríguez, Martín-Esquivel, J. L., García-Hidalgo, M., and Rozas, V. (2017). Thirsty peaks: Drought events drive keystone shrub decline in an oceanic island mountain. Biological Conservation, 215:99 106.
- Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., Günther, H. M., et al. (2018). The Astropy Project: Building an Open-science Project and Status of the v2.0 Core Package. AJ, 156:123.
- Ptak, R., Schnider, A., and Fellrath, J. (2017). The dorsal frontoparietal network: A core system for emulated action. <u>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</u>, 21(8):589–599.
- Svensmark, H., Enghoff, M. B., Shaviv, N. J., and Svensmark, J. (2017). Increased ionization supports growth of aerosols into cloud condensation nuclei. Nature Communications, 8(1):2199.

Taylor, J. (1970). Fire and rain. In <u>Sweet Baby</u> James. Warner Brothers.

Toto (1982). Africa. In Toto IV. Columbia.

Vonnegut, B. (1949). Nucleation of super-

cooled water clouds by silver iodide smokes. Chemical Reviews, 44(2):277–289.

Vonnegut, B. and Maynard, K. (1952). Spraynozzle type silver-iodide smoke generator for airplane use. <u>Bulletin of the American</u> Meteorological Society, 33(10):420–428.