

Nonequilibrium density wave order in an atom-cavity system

Christoph Georges,^{1,*} Jayson G. Cosme,^{1,2,*} Hans Keßler,^{1,2} Ludwig Mathey,^{1,2} and Andreas Hemmerich^{1,2,3}

¹Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien and Institut für Laser-Physik, Universität Hamburg, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

²The Hamburg Center for Ultrafast Imaging, Luruper Chaussee 149, Hamburg 22761, Germany

³Wilczek Quantum Center, School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

(Dated: December 22, 2023)

We demonstrate the emergence of a nonequilibrium density wave order in a driven-dissipative system. A Bose-Einstein condensate is placed inside a high finesse optical resonator and pumped sideways by an optical standing wave. The pump strength is chosen to induce a superradiant checkerboard order of the atoms stabilized by a strong intracavity light field. When in addition the pump is modulated close to a resonance frequency, the atoms occupy higher momentum modes leading to a nonequilibrium subradiant density wave order as pump-induced light scattering into the cavity is suppressed. Our observations together with theoretical modelling reveal the distinct dynamical nature of this higher order density wave state.

The established characterization of an ordered state in an equilibrium system is via the emergence of a static order parameter. In general, the notion of order can be broadened to include periodic motion in time. Indeed, the idea of a time-periodic order parameter is central in defining unique nonequilibrium phases of matter such as time crystals [1–3]. Here, we create a nonequilibrium order by periodic driving of a dissipative many-body system. We note that dynamical control of solids via optical driving, with the over-arching goal of creating unique functionalities, is an active and exciting field [4–8]. For example, intense light pulses were used to suppress a dominant charge density wave (CDW) phase in the proximity of topological defects [9, 10] allowing for a subdominant CDW order to form in the vicinity of the defect [11]. Understanding the fundamental mechanism for such light-induced phenomena in solid state systems is theoretically challenging due to its nonequilibrium and many-body nature [5, 12].

An ultracold gas of atoms inside a high-finesse optical standing wave cavity is one example of a versatile yet simple platform for exploring dynamically driven phase transitions in many-body systems [13–15]. For transverse pumping above a critical strength, this system undergoes a phase transition from a spatially homogeneous Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) phase into a self-organized density wave (DW) phase, where the atoms form a checkerboard pattern that scatters photons into the cavity mode akin to a Bragg grating [14, 16–18]. Dissipation in the cavity balances the external pumping of photons, which makes the self-organized phase a genuine steady state. Recently, however, significant attention has been devoted to nonstationary phenomena in the atom-cavity platform [19–30]. In a recent experiment [31] it was shown, that by adding a second frequency component to the pump, the checkerboard DW phase can be suppressed and the coherent BEC phase is fully restored in analogy to the observation of light-induced superconductivity in Ref. [8].

In this work, we investigate a nonequilibrium phase excited by modulating the pump strength, when the atom-cavity system is prepared in the checkerboard DW phase. In accordance with predictions made in Ref. [25], for driving frequencies close to a resonance, we observe a suppression of

the original checkerboard DW and the formation of a new DW order, which may be termed subradiant [32], as it suppresses light scattering into the cavity. This DW order is dynamical in two ways: (i) it is driving-induced and, (ii) the system periodically switches between spatially ordered states. Using the local density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$, this nonequilibrium order can be characterized by an order parameter $DW(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int dt d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{-i\omega t}$. It describes a density modulation at wavevector \mathbf{k} that oscillates with the frequency ω in time.




FIG. 1. (a) A BEC inside a high-finesse cavity is pumped along the transverse direction. Schematic illustration of momentum distribution of the BEC for (b) unmodulated and (c) resonantly modulated pump intensity. Above a critical pump strength, the atom-cavity system undergoes a phase transition to a checkerboard density wave order depicted in (b). In order to excite a higher order density wave phase in (c), the intensity of the pump field with wavelength λ is modulated in resonance with the momentum excitations at $\{\hbar k_y, \hbar k_z\} = \{\pm 3, \pm 1\}$, where \mathbf{k} is in units of $2\pi/\lambda$.

In our experimental setup, we couple a BEC of ^{87}Rb atoms to the light field inside a high finesse cavity as sketched in Fig. 1(a). The details are described in Ref. [14]. First, we prepare an elongated BEC, held in a magnetic trap [33], with $N_a \approx 10^5$ atoms in the $|F = 2, m_f = 2\rangle$ state. The BEC is magnetically transported into the TEM₀₀ mode of the cavity,




FIG. 2. (a) Experimental choice for the frequencies $\omega_p, \omega_{p\pm} = \omega_p \pm \omega_d, \omega_{c,eff} = \omega_c + \delta_-$. (b) Experimental driving protocol (gray trace): first, the pump intensity is ramped up over 10 ms. Next, at $t = 0$ ms, the modulation strength is linearly ramped up during 0.5 ms and kept constant thereafter. The blue [dark gray] trace shows the intracavity photon number.

which has a beam waist of $w_0 \approx 31.2 \mu\text{m}$ and a finesse of $\mathcal{F} = 3.44 \times 10^5$. An optical standing wave, perpendicular to the cavity axis (z -axis), pumps the atoms. The pump wavelength $\lambda = 803 \text{ nm}$ is far detuned from the relevant atomic transitions of ^{87}Rb , which are the D_1 and D_2 lines at 795 nm and 780 nm, respectively, and hence the atom light coupling is dispersive. The pump strength ϵ_p is measured in terms of the recoil energy $E_{rec} = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m = 2\pi\hbar \times 3.55 \text{ kHz}$, where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wavenumber and m is the atomic mass. For a uniform atomic ensemble and left circularly polarized light, the TEM_{00} resonance frequency is dispersively shifted by an amount $\delta_- = \frac{1}{2}N_a U_0$, where the experimentally measured light shift per photon is $U_0 \approx -2\pi \times 0.36 \text{ kHz}$. For 10^5 atoms, the system operates in the regime of strong cooperative coupling, i.e., the negative collective frequency shift $\delta_- \approx -2\pi \times 18 \text{ kHz}$ exceeds the cavity field decay rate $\kappa \approx 2\pi \times 4.5 \text{ kHz}$. The timescales of the dynamics of the atoms and the cavity photons, \hbar/E_{rec} and κ^{-1} , respectively, are comparable. Hence, the light-field induces a retarded long range interaction between the atoms. For the pump frequency ω_p , we choose a fixed value $\omega_p = \omega_{c,eff} - 2\pi \times 30 \text{ kHz}$, where ω_c is the resonance frequency of the empty cavity and $\omega_{c,eff} \equiv \omega_c + \delta_-$ is the cavity resonance shifted by the coupling to the atoms. In Fig. 2(a), the frequencies ω_p, ω_c , and $\omega_{c,eff}$ are compared.

To prepare the system in the checkerboard phase, we linearly increase the pump strength for 10 ms and monitor the intracavity photon number by measuring the photons leaking out from the cavity with a single photon counting module. Around 6 ms, the pump strength ϵ_p (cf. gray trace in Fig. 2(b)) surpasses the critical value $\epsilon_{crit} \approx 2.3 E_{rec}$ and a rapid increase of the intracavity photon number (cf. blue [dark gray] trace in Fig. 2(b)) indicates the transition into the checkerboard phase, where photons from the pump are scattered into the cavity. This phase is characterized by self-organized DW

pattern at wavevector $\mathbf{k} = \{k_y, k_z\} = \{1, 1\}$ (in units of $2\pi/\lambda$). This results in a substantial number of atoms $n_{\mu,\nu}$ in the momentum modes at momenta $\{\mu\hbar k, \nu\hbar k\}$, with $\mu, \nu = \pm 1$. The order parameter $\text{DW}(\mathbf{k} = \{1, 1\}, \omega = 0)$ is nonzero for this stationary checkerboard phase, hereafter referred to as $\text{DW}_{1,1}$ order. The pump strength is further increased to $\epsilon_0 = 4 E_{rec}$ to prepare the atoms well within the $\text{DW}_{1,1}$ phase.

Next, we introduce a periodic modulation of the pump intensity quantified by $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon_0(1 + f_0 \cos(\omega_d t))$, which leads to frequency sidebands $\omega_{p\pm} \equiv \omega_p \pm \omega_d$ in the pump. As illustrated in Fig. 2(a), the blue sideband ω_{p+} is detuned to the blue side of the effective cavity resonance $\omega_{c,eff}$ by only several kHz, while ω_p and ω_{p-} are detuned to the red side of $\omega_{c,eff}$ by significantly larger amounts. Without inducing excessive heating we can access modulation strengths in the range of $f_0 \in [0, 0.625]$ in the frequency range $\omega_d/(2\pi) \in [30, 50] \text{ kHz}$. The driving sequence follows the gray trace in Fig. 2(b): at $t = 0$, the modulation strength is ramped up linearly during $500 \mu\text{s}$ to the desired strength f_0 and subsequently is kept fixed for typically another $500 \mu\text{s}$. As seen in Fig. 2(b), the modulation leads to a suppression of the intracavity photon number. Finally, the pump field is switched off rapidly, and the atomic sample is released from the magnetic trap. Following a ballistic expansion with a time of flight (TOF) of 25 ms, the momentum mode populations $n_{\mu,\nu}$ are extracted from an absorption image. We normalize the populations $n_{\mu,\nu}(f_0)$ in presence of modulation at strength f_0 with respect to the population of the condensate mode $n_{0,0}(0)$ with no modulation applied, thus determining the relative occupations $F_{\mu,\nu}(f_0) = n_{\mu,\nu}(f_0)/n_{0,0}(0)$.

In Fig. 3(a), we map out the experimental phase diagram by plotting the quantity $F_{3,1} - F_{1,1}$ versus the modulation strength f_0 and the modulation frequency ω_d . Outside the interval $\omega_d/(2\pi) \in [30, 50] \text{ kHz}$, upon increase of f_0 , the $\text{DW}_{1,1}$ phase and the intracavity photon number are depleted, and the BEC phase is recovered, in agreement with the findings for single sideband driving in Ref. [31]. Within the red region in Fig. 3(a), we observe the emergence of a new dominant density wave phase, close to the theoretically predicted resonance frequency $\omega_{3,1} \approx (3^2 + 1^2)\omega_{rec} = 36.5 \text{ kHz}$ [25], associated with modes at momenta $\{\pm 3\hbar k, \pm 1\hbar k\}$. Mean-field calculations suggest a light-shift induced increase of $\omega_{3,1}$ for strong pump intensities. Since we initialize the system deep in the $\text{DW}_{1,1}$ phase at $\epsilon_0/\epsilon_{crit} = 1.33$, the resonance frequency observed in Fig. 3(a) is shifted to 39 kHz. Because of driving-induced heating for $f_0 > 0.6$, other higher order DW phases, theoretically predicted in Ref. [25], cannot be observed such that we focus here on the DW order defined by wavevector $\mathbf{k} = \{3, 1\}$. This order comes with a density grating structurally distinct from the light field supported checkerboard pattern of the $\text{DW}_{1,1}$ phase with the consequence that the intracavity field is suppressed, as seen in Fig. 2(b). This phase goes beyond the often applied mapping of the atom-cavity system onto the Dicke model [34]. While higher order DW phases completely suppresses the intracavity field for strong near-resonant driving, the atoms remain under the in-




FIG. 3. (a) Phase diagram plotting $F_{3,1} - F_{1,1}$ versus the modulation strength f_0 and frequency ω_d . For weak driving ($f_0 < 0.3$), the $DW_{1,1}$ phase is dominant (dark blue area). For strong driving ($f_0 > 0.4$), the observed state depends on ω_d . Outside the shown interval for ω_d , the $DW_{1,1}$ phase is depleted and the condensate mode is recovered. In contrast, within the shown frequency interval, the $DW_{3,1}$ phase can be excited and becomes dominant in the red colored area. The gray circles connected by dashed lines indicate the boundary above which $F_{3,1}$ exceeds 25% of its maximal value. A set of TOF pictures show momentum spectra for $\omega_d/(2\pi) = 40$ kHz with (b) $f_0 = 0.60$, (c) $f_0 = 0.47$ and (d) $f_0 = 0.10$.

fluence of the pump standing wave. This pump field, however, does not stabilize a static higher order DW phase but rather gives rise to a structural instability, which drives the system into a non-stationary phase [19–25, 29]. A nonzero dynamical order parameter $DW(\mathbf{k} = \{3, 1\}, \omega_{DW})$ defines this nonequilibrium order, hereafter referred to as $DW_{3,1}$. The transition from $DW_{1,1}$ to $DW_{3,1}$ order for increasing f_0 and fixed ω_d is also illustrated in the momentum distributions shown in Figs. 3(b)-(d).

To investigate the structural instability, we measure the temporal evolution of the momentum distribution. In Fig. 4, we show the dynamics of the relative populations $F_{1,1}$ and $F_{3,1}$ during 1.25 ms in steps of $20 \mu\text{s}$. Here, the driving strength after a linear increase during $500 \mu\text{s}$ is kept constant for $750 \mu\text{s}$. For weak driving strength shown in Fig. 4(a), we observe a linear decrease of $F_{1,1}$ over the full modulation period, consistent with the suppression of the $DW_{1,1}$ phase observed in Ref. [31], while only a very small fraction of the atoms are transferred into $F_{3,1}$. On the other hand, for strong driving strength depicted in Fig. 4(b), the time evolution is fundamentally different. The occupation $F_{3,1}$ increases significantly as the modulation is ramped up and exceeds $F_{1,1}$ around $t = 750 \mu\text{s}$. At later times, $F_{3,1}$ swings back on a comparable timescale again. Theoretical considerations in the remainder of this article attribute a frequency to the observed oscillation of $F_{3,1}$ given by $\omega_{DW} = \omega_d - \omega_{3,1}$. With $\omega_d/(2\pi) = 40$ kHz and $\omega_{3,1}/(2\pi) = 39$ kHz this amounts to $\omega_{DW}/(2\pi) \approx 1$ kHz in good agreement with the observation in Fig. 4(b).

To better understand the dynamical aspects of our experi-




FIG. 4. Dynamics of the $DW_{3,1}$ order: The time evolution of the two relative populations $F_{1,1}$ and $F_{3,1}$ is shown. The modulation frequency is $\omega_d/(2\pi) = 40$ kHz. The modulation strength is (a) $f_0 = 0.3$ (weak driving) and (b) $f_0 = 0.65$ (strong driving). The insets at the right edge show the stationary DW order associated with $F_{1,1}$ (I) and the dynamical DW order associated with $F_{3,1}$, which oscillates between the pattern in (II) and (III) at twice the resonance frequency $\omega_{3,1}$ (cf. text).

mental findings, we now explore observables and timescales theoretically, some of which are challenging to attain experimentally. The dynamics of the atom-cavity system can be modelled by the equations of motion for the BEC mode $\Psi(y, z)$ and the cavity mode α given by [13]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(y, z)}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U_{\text{dip}}(y, z, t) \right) \Psi(y, z) \quad (1)$$

$$i \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = (-\delta_c + U_0 \mathcal{B} - i\kappa) \alpha + \sqrt{U_0 \epsilon(t)} \Theta_{1,1} + i\xi.$$

Here, δ_c is the detuning between the pump frequency and the empty cavity resonance, $\mathcal{B} = \langle \cos^2(kz) \rangle$ is the bunching parameter and $\Theta_{1,1} = \langle \cos(kz) \cos(ky) \rangle$ is the $DW_{1,1}$ order parameter. In Eq. (1), we neglect the effects of collisional atom-atom interactions. The time-dependent dipole potential U_{dip} due to the cavity and pump fields, $f(z) = \cos(kz)$ and $g(y) = \cos(ky)$, respectively, is

$$U_{\text{dip}}(y, z, t)/\hbar = U_0 f(z)^2 |\alpha|^2 + \epsilon(t) |g(y)|^2 + \sqrt{U_0 \epsilon(t)} f(z) g(y) (\alpha + \alpha^*). \quad (2)$$

The fluctuations of the cavity field are captured by the stochastic noise term $\xi(t)$ satisfying $\langle \xi^*(t) \xi(t') \rangle = \kappa \delta(t - t')$ [13]. We employ the truncated Wigner approximation (TWA) [35–37] to simulate the dynamics of the system. This phase-space method approximates the dynamics by treating quantum operators as c numbers. By including initial quantum and stochastic noises, TWA can test the stability of nonequilibrium phases against inherent perturbations present in the system [22, 25]. For our numerical simulations, we use the same parameters as in the experiment. The equations of motion in Eq. (1) are numerically solved by expanding the BEC wavefunction in the plane-wave basis $\Psi(y, z) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \phi_{\mu, \nu} e^{i\mu ky} e^{i\nu kz}$, where $\phi_{\mu, \nu}$ is a single-particle momentum mode. The atomic mo-

momentum occupations is $n_{\mu,\nu} = \langle \phi_{\mu,\nu}^\dagger \phi_{\mu,\nu} \rangle$. Numerical convergence is guaranteed for $\{\mu, \nu\} \in [-6, 6]$.




FIG. 5. Fractional occupation of momentum modes (a) $n_{1,1}/N_a$ and (b) $n_{3,1}/N_a$ versus the modulation strength f_0 and driving frequency ω_d calculated by time-averaging over 1 ms of driving. Inset: profile of the pump intensity in the simulations. Exemplary dynamics of $n_{1,1}/N_a$ and $n_{3,1}/N_a$ for (c) stable $DW_{1,1}$, (d) competing $DW_{1,1}$ and $DW_{3,1}$, and (e) metastable $DW_{3,1}$. Corresponding order parameters from a single mean-field trajectory are shown in (f)-(h). Gray area indicates the experimentally accessible timescales during the drive. The parameters $\{\omega_d/2\pi, f_0\}$ are: (c),(f) $\{35.0 \text{ kHz}, 0.30\}$; (d),(g) $\{40.5 \text{ kHz}, 0.30\}$; (e),(h) $\{38.5 \text{ kHz}, 0.55\}$.

The theoretical time sequence for the pump intensity is shown in the inset of Fig. 5(b). A 5 ms hold time for the pump intensity ensures that the system enters the $DW_{1,1}$ phase before the modulation is switched on. Atom losses from the resonant driving contribute to an experimentally accessible timescale of about 1 ms or $30 \leq T \leq 40$ driving cycles. Nevertheless, our numerical results suggest that this timescale is enough to observe dynamical features qualitatively similar to the long-time regime, as seen in the dynamics of $n_{1,1}$ and $n_{3,1}$ in Figs. 5(c-e). The time-averaged $n_{1,1}$ and $n_{3,1}$ for varying driving parameters are presented in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Our simulations capture the appearance of resonance lobes in the experimental observations.

The dynamical nature of the higher-order DW phase is revealed by the time-dependent order parameter $\Theta_{\mathbf{k}=\{\mu,\nu\}}$,

$$\Theta_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \int dydz |\Psi(y, z, t)|^2 \cos(\mu ky) \cos(\nu kz), \quad (3)$$

which is related to $DW(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \int dt \Theta_{\mathbf{k}}(t) e^{-i\omega t}$. Coherent switching in the sign of $\Theta_{\mu,\nu}$ signals a dynamical switching between states with broken spatial-symmetry [25, 26, 29]. Light-induced coherence for intermediate modulation strength [25, 31] is exemplified in Figs. 5(c) and 5(f),

where a modest decrease in the $n_{1,1}$ mode and the conservation of odd-even parity of the $DW_{1,1}$ order are seen. For near-resonant driving, the system develops periodic structures in both space and time as atoms alternate between pairs of spatially ordered states. This space-time ordering is exemplified in the dynamics of $\Theta_{\mu,\nu}$ in Fig. 5(g), where the order parameter associated to the $DW_{3,1}$ order beats with the detuning between the driving and resonant frequencies, $\omega_{DW} = \omega_d - \omega_{3,1}$. This beating dynamics can be understood in terms of an approximation of the driven atom-cavity system as driven oscillators [26, 27]. Also, the order parameter for the $DW_{1,1}$ order oscillates around a constant value at the same frequency ω_{DW} . The slow oscillations of $\Theta_{\mu,\nu}$ manifest themselves in the dynamics of the momentum occupations in Figs. 5(d). That is, not only do the momentum modes $n_{3,1}$, which are irrelevant in the equilibrium $DW_{1,1}$ phase (see Fig. 5(c)), become significantly occupied but they also oscillate at a subharmonic frequency ω_{DW} . This subharmonic response along with the presence of long-range cavity-mediated interaction and robustness from noise within TWA for intermediate driving strengths (see Fig. 5(d)) suggests that the dynamical $DW_{3,1}$ order is a time crystalline phase. In particular, it belongs to the class of mean-field time crystals [3] predicted in atom-cavity systems [28–30]. In the experiment, however, the oscillation of the populations is strongly damped. This can be attributed to contact interaction between the atoms, which breaks the collective coupling induced by the cavity, rendering a time crystal metastable [29, 30]. Nevertheless, the frequency of the first undulation in Fig. 4(b) has been measured to be $1.04 \pm 0.03 \text{ kHz}$, which is comparable to the theoretical prediction $\omega_{DW} = 1 \text{ kHz}$. For stronger driving, the system enters a chaotic phase, where the $DW_{3,1}$ order becomes metastable as the occupations of the $\phi_{\pm 3, \pm 1}$ modes decay with time (see Fig. 5(e)). Still, the corresponding mean-field order parameters exhibit oscillations as shown in Fig. 5(h).

In summary, we presented an experiment where a BEC, strongly coupled to a high finesse cavity, is driven into a non-equilibrium density wave phase. For amplitude modulation with frequencies in the vicinity of a resonance, the subradiant $DW_{3,1}$ phase emerges. In this case, the self-organization of atoms at highly unstable positions in the pump field leads to a dynamical instability, where the system periodically switches between symmetry broken states. In combination with theoretical results, we have identified the dynamical character of this new DW phase. The present work opens up new perspectives for driven atom-cavity systems as a platform to study competing density wave phases in non-equilibrium scenarios.

We acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the SFB 925 and the Hamburg Cluster of Excellence Advanced Imaging of Matter (AIM).

* These authors contributed equally to this work

[1] F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 160401 (2012).

- [2] K. Sacha and J. Zakrzewski, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 016401 (2018).
- [3] D. V. Else, C. Monroe, C. Nayak, and N. Y. Yao, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **11**, 467 (2020).
- [4] Y. Tokura, M. Kawasaki, and N. Nagaosa, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 1056 (2017).
- [5] D. N. Basov, R. D. Averitt, and D. Hsieh, *Nat. Mat.* **16**, 1077 (2017).
- [6] R. I. Tobey, D. Prabhakaran, A. T. Boothroyd, and A. Cavalleri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 197404 (2008).
- [7] A. A. Patel and A. Eberlein, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 195139 (2016).
- [8] D. Fausti, R. I. Tobey, N. Dean, S. Kaiser, A. Dienst, M. C. Hoffmann, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, and A. Cavalleri, *Science* **331**, 189 (2011).
- [9] A. Zong, A. Kogar, Y.-Q. Bie, T. Rohwer, C. Lee, E. Baldini, E. Ergeçen, M. B. Yilmaz, B. Freelon, E. J. Sie, H. Zhou, J. Straquadine, P. Walmsley, P. E. Dolgirev, A. V. Rozhkov, I. R. Fisher, P. Jarillo-Herrero, B. V. Fine, and N. Gedik, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 27 (2018).
- [10] M. Maschek, D. A. Zocco, S. Rosenkranz, R. Heid, A. H. Said, A. Alatas, P. Walmsley, I. R. Fisher, and F. Weber, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 094304 (2018).
- [11] A. Kogar, A. Zong, P. E. Dolgirev, X. Shen, J. Straquadine, Y.-Q. Bie, X. Wang, T. Rohwer, I.-C. Tung, Y. Yang, R. Li, J. Yang, S. Weathersby, S. Park, M. E. Kozina, E. J. Sie, H. Wen, P. Jarillo-Herrero, I. R. Fisher, X. Wang, and N. Gedik, *Nat. Phys.* (2019).
- [12] J. Eisert, M. Friesdorf, and C. Gogolin, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 124 (2015).
- [13] H. Ritsch, P. Domokos, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 553 (2013).
- [14] J. Klinder, H. Keßler, M. Wolke, L. Mathey, and A. Hemmerich, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 3290 (2015).
- [15] R. Landig, L. Hruby, N. Dogra, M. Landini, R. Mottl, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **532**, 476 (2016).
- [16] P. Domokos and H. Ritsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 253003 (2002).
- [17] D. Nagy, G. Szirmai, and P. Domokos, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **48**, 127 (2008).
- [18] K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, *Nature* **464**, 1301 (2010).
- [19] J. Keeling, M. J. Bhaseen, and B. D. Simons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 043001 (2010).
- [20] M. J. Bhaseen, J. Mayoh, B. D. Simons, and J. Keeling, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 013817 (2012).
- [21] F. Piazza and H. Ritsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 163601 (2015).
- [22] H. Keßler, J. G. Cosme, M. Hemmerling, L. Mathey, and A. Hemmerich, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 053605 (2019).
- [23] E. I. Rodríguez Chiacchio and A. Nunnenkamp, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 193605 (2019).
- [24] N. Dogra, M. Landini, K. Kroeger, L. Hruby, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, *Science* **366**, 1496 (2019).
- [25] J. G. Cosme, C. Georges, A. Hemmerich, and L. Mathey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 153001 (2018).
- [26] P. Molignini, L. Papariello, A. U. J. Lode, and R. Chitra, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 053620 (2018).
- [27] R. Chitra and O. Zilberberg, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023815 (2015).
- [28] Z. Gong, R. Hamazaki, and M. Ueda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 040404 (2018).
- [29] J. G. Cosme, J. Skulte, and L. Mathey, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 053615 (2019).
- [30] B. Zhu, J. Marino, N. Y. Yao, M. D. Lukin, and E. A. Demler, *New J. Phys.* **21**, 073028 (2019).
- [31] C. Georges, J. G. Cosme, L. Mathey, and A. Hemmerich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 220405 (2018).
- [32] P. Wolf, S. C. Schuster, D. Schmidt, S. Slama, and C. Zimmermann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 173602 (2018).
- [33] T. Esslinger, I. Bloch, and T. W. Hänsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, R2664 (1998).
- [34] P. Kirton, M. M. Roses, J. Keeling, and E. G. Dalla Torre, *Adv. Quantum Technol.* **2**, 1800043 (2019).
- [35] P. B. Blakie, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, R. J. Ballagh, and C. W. Gardiner, *Adv. Phys.* **57**, 363 (2008).
- [36] A. Polkovnikov, *Ann. Phys.* **325**, 1790 (2010).
- [37] I. Carusotto and C. Ciuti, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 299 (2013).