

Weighted gradient estimates for the class of singular p -Laplace system

Tan Duc Do¹, Le Xuan Truong², Nguyen Ngoc Trong^{3,*}

¹University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

Email: tanducdo.math@gmail.com

²University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

Email: lxuantruong@gmail.com

³ University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City

* Corresponding author

Email: trongnn37@gmail.com

April 7, 2020

Abstract

Let $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$. Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$$

and $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Consider the p -Laplace system

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We obtain a weighted gradient estimate for distributional solutions of this system.

Keywords: Nonlinear elliptic systems, Gradient regularity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary: 35J60, 35J61, 35J62; secondary: 35J75, 42B37.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Tensors and p-harmonic maps	5
3	A comparison estimate	6
4	Good-λ type bounds	23
5	Global weighted gradient estimates	27

1 Introduction

Calderon-Zygmund theory is undoubtedly classical to linear partial differential equations. In the last few years, its extension to non-linear settings has become an active area of research. For a comprehensive survey on this account, cf. [Min10] and also the references therein. Our paper continues this trend with a gradient estimate for the solutions of a p -Laplace system.

Specifically, let $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$. Consider the p -Laplace system

$$-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ belongs to some appropriate Lebesgue space.

Our aim is to derive a general Muckenhoupt-Wheeden-type gradient estimate for (1.1). This result inherits the spirit of [KM18], [NP19], [NP] and [NP20]. Specifically, in [NP19], [NP] the authors obtained such estimates when $N = 1$ and $1 < p \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$. If in addition $\frac{3n-2}{2n-1} < p \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$, pointwise gradient estimates with measure data are also available (cf. [NP20]). In a system setting (i.e. $N \geq 1$) with measure data, pointwise gradient bounds via Riesz potential and Wolff potential for $p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ were obtained in [KM18]. Regarding the method of proof, we follow the general frameworks presented in these papers. Our main contribution involves the reconstructions of a comparison estimate and a good- λ -type bound peculiar to the setting in this paper.

To state our main result, we need some definitions.

Definition 1.1. A function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a distributional (or weak) solution to (1.1) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^{p-2} Du : D\varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \, dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Here Du , which is a counterpart of ∇u in the equation setting, is understood in the sense of tensors. See Section 2 for further details.

Next recall the notion of Muckenhoupt weights.

Definition 1.2. A positive function $\omega \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be an \mathbf{A}_∞ -weight if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\omega(E) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|B|} \right)^\nu \omega(B),$$

for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all measurable subset E of B . The pair (C, ν) is called the \mathbf{A}_∞ -constants of ω and is denoted by $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}$.

In what follows, we will also make use of the maximal function defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{\rho>0} \rho^\beta \int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| \, dy$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta \in [0, n]$, where

$$\int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| \, dy := \frac{1}{|B_\rho(x)|} \int_{B_\rho(x)} f(y) \, dy.$$

When $\beta = 0$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0$ is recovered.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $p \in (1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}]$. Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$$

and $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function that satisfies

$$\Phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty.$$

Furthermore assume that there exists a $c > 1$ such that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq c \Phi(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Then for all $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_\infty$ there exist a $C > 0$ and a $\delta \in (0, 1)$, both depending on n, p, Φ and $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}$ only, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|Du|) \omega \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] \omega \, dx$$

for all distributional solution u of (1.1).

Note that in our setting all functions are vector fields. For short we will write, for instance, $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in place of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ hereafter. When scalar-valued functions are in use, we will explicitly write $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$. This convention applies to all function spaces in the whole paper.

When $n = 1$ it has been known that the distributional solution u is locally $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some exponent $\alpha = \alpha(n, N, p) > 0$, whose result is due to [Uhl77]. Hence we only consider $n \geq 2$ in this project. We also remark that the function Φ in the above theorem is quite general. In particular, we do not require Φ to be convex or to satisfy the so-called ∇_2 condition: $\Phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2a}\Phi(at)$ for some $a > 1$ and for all $t \geq 0$. As such one can take, for examples, $\Phi(t) = t^\alpha$ or $\Phi(t) = [\log(1+t)]^\alpha$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 collects definitions and basic facts about tensors and p -harmonic maps. In Sections 3 and 4 we derive a comparison estimate and a good- λ -type bound respectively. Lastly Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 5.

Notations. Throughout the paper the following set of notation is used without mentioning. Set $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$. For all ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we write $w(B) := \int_B w$. The constants C and c are always assumed to be positive and independent of the main parameters whose values change from line to line. Given a ball $B = B_r(x)$, we let $tB = B_{tr}(x)$ for all $t > 0$. If $p \in [1, \infty)$, then the conjugate index of p is denoted by p' .

Throughout assumptions. In the entire paper, we always assume that $n \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $p \in \left(1, 2 - \frac{1}{n}\right]$ without explicitly stated.

2 Tensors and p -harmonic maps

This section briefly summarizes definitions and basic facts regarding tensors and p -harmonic maps. Further details are available in [KM18, Sections 2 and 3]. These will be used frequently in subsequent sections without mentioning.

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{e^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ be the canonical bases of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^N respectively. Let ζ and ξ be second-order tensors of size (N, n) , that is,

$$\zeta = \zeta_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \xi_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j$$

in which repeated indices are summed. Note that the linear space of all second-order tensors is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$.

The Frobenius product of ζ and ξ is given by

$$\zeta : \xi = \zeta_j^\alpha \xi_j^\alpha,$$

from which we also obtain the Frobenius norm of ζ as $|\zeta|^2 = \zeta : \zeta$. The divergence of ζ is defined by

$$\operatorname{div} \zeta = (\partial_j \zeta_j^\alpha) e^\alpha.$$

Also the gradient of a first-order tensor $u = u^\alpha e^\alpha$ is the second-order tensor

$$Du = (\partial_j u^\alpha) e^\alpha \otimes e_j.$$

Next consider the tensor field

$$A_q(z) := |z|^{q-2} z = |z|^{q-2} z_j^\alpha e^\alpha \otimes e_j$$

defined on the linear space of all second-order tensors, where $q \in (1, \infty)$. The differential of A_q is defined as a fourth-order tensor

$$\partial A_q(z) = |z|^{q-2} \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} + (q-2) \frac{z_i^\alpha z_j^\beta}{|z|^2} \right) (e^\alpha \otimes e_i) \otimes (e^\beta \otimes e_j).$$

Here $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker's delta. This leads to

$$\partial A_q(z) : \xi = |z|^{p-2} \left(\xi + (q-2) \frac{(z : \xi) z}{|z|^2} \right)$$

and

$$(\partial A_q(z) : \xi) : \xi = |z|^{q-2} \left(|\xi|^2 + (q-2) \frac{(z : \xi)^2}{|z|^2} \right).$$

Regarding second-order tensors, the following inequality is well-known (cf. [KM18, (4.51)]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$. There exists a $c = c(n; N; p) \leq 1$ such that*

$$\left(|z_2|^{q-1} z_2 - |z_1|^{q-1} z_1 \right) : (z_2 - z_1) \geq c \left(|z_2|^2 + |z_1|^2 \right)^{(q-2)/2} |z_2 - z_1|^2$$

for all second-order tensors z_1 and z_2 .

We end this section with the definition of a q -harmonic map.

Definition 2.2. *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$. A function $v \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be q -harmonic if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Dv|^{q-2} Dv : D\varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3 A comparison estimate

In this section we prove a comparison estimate between the weak solutions of (1.1) and a p -harmonic map, which is the content of Proposition 3.1.

In what follows it is convenient to denote

$$q_0 = \frac{\beta' (p-1) n}{\beta' (n-1) - n}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $q_0 \in (1, p)$. Also set $B_\sigma = B_\sigma(0)$ for all $\sigma \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $M \geq 1$ and $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$. Let $1 < q < q_0$ and $B = B_r(x_0)$ be a ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$ satisfy*

$$\int_B |u| \, dx \leq Mr. \quad (3.2)$$

Then there exists a positive constant $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, M, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that if

$$\left| \int_B |Du|^{p-2} Du : D\varphi \, dx \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{r} \left(\int_B |\varphi(x)|^{\beta'} \, dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$, then there exist a constant $c = c(n, N, p, q) > 0$ and a p -harmonic map $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon$$

as well as

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |v| dx \leq M 2^n r \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq cM.$$

We divide the proof of Proposition 3.1 into several parts. To begin with, recall the following self-improving property of reverse Holder inequalities (cf. [HK, Lemma 3.38]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < q < a < \gamma < \infty$, $\xi \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$. Let ν be a non-negative Borel measure with finite total mass and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a ball. Suppose $0 \leq g \in L^p(U, \nu)$ satisfies the following: there exists a $c_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{\sigma_1 B} g^\gamma d\nu \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{c_0}{(\sigma - \sigma_1)^\xi} \left(\int_{\sigma B} g^a d\nu \right)^{1/a} + M$$

for all $\kappa \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma \leq 1$, where $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a $c = c(c_0, \xi, \sigma, a, q) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\sigma_1 B} g^\gamma d\nu \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \frac{c}{(1 - \sigma)^\zeta} \left[\left(\int_{\sigma B} g^q d\nu \right)^{1/q} + M \right]$$

for all $\sigma \in (\kappa, 1)$, where

$$\zeta := \frac{\xi p(\gamma - q)}{q(\gamma - a)}.$$

Next we will establish suitable a priori estimates for (scaled) weak solutions of (1.1) under the assumptions in Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M and β be as in Proposition 3.1. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Suppose $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(B_1)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{B_1} |\bar{u}| dx \leq 1 \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |D\bar{u}|^{p-2} D\bar{u} : D\eta dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} \delta \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\eta \in W_0^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_1)$. Then there exists a $c = c(n, N, p, q)$ such that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})} \leq c$$

for all $q \in (1, q_0)$.

Proof. The main idea is to test (3.5) with suitable test functions. Following [KM18, Proof of Theorem 4.1] consider for each $t > 0$ the truncation operator $T_t : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by

$$T_t(z) := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{t}{|z|} \right\} z. \quad (3.6)$$

By direct calculations, $DT_t : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by

$$DT_t(z) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } |z| \leq t \\ \frac{t}{|z|} \left(I - \frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^2} \right) & \text{if } |z| > t, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $I : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N \otimes \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes the identity operator.

Now let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_1; \mathbb{R})$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and then choose

$$\eta := \phi^p T_t(\bar{u})$$

as a test function in (3.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} D\eta &= \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u} < t\}} (\phi^p D\bar{u} + p\phi^{p-1}\bar{u} \otimes D\phi) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u} \geq t\}} \frac{t}{|\bar{u}|} (\phi^p (I - P)D(\bar{u}) + p\phi^{p-1}\bar{u} \otimes D\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where $P := \frac{\bar{u} \otimes \bar{u}}{|\bar{u}|^2}$. Also notice that

$$D\bar{u} : [(I - P)D\bar{u}] = |D\bar{u}|^2 - \frac{u^\alpha D_j \bar{u}^\alpha u^k D_j \bar{u}^k}{|u|^2} = |D\bar{u}|^2 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (D_j u \cdot u)^2}{|u|^2} \geq 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)} &= \left(\int_{B_1} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\beta'} \phi^{p\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} = \left(\int_{B_1} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\theta\beta'} |T_t(\bar{u})|^{\beta'(1-\theta)} \phi^{p\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \\ &\leq t^\theta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$.

Substituting these into (3.5) and using Young's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| < t\}} |D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p dx &\leq c \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| < t\}} |\bar{u}|^p |D\phi|^p dx + c M^{1-p} \delta t^\theta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\quad + ct \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}| \geq t\}} |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for some $c = c(n, N, p) > 0$.

For the rest of the proof we use $c = c(n, N, p)$ whose value may vary from line to line.

Next let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Multiplying (3.9) by $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma-\theta}$ and then integrating on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to t give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\theta + \gamma} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx &\leq \frac{c}{\gamma + \theta} \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx \\ &+ \frac{c}{\gamma} \delta \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} + c \int_{B_1} \frac{|\bar{u}| |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{|\bar{u}| |D\bar{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} dx \leq \frac{1}{2c(\gamma + \theta)} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx + c(\gamma + \theta)^{p-1} \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{-(\gamma+\theta)} |D\phi|^p |\bar{u}|^p dx.$$

Consequently

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx \leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \quad (3.10)$$

The pointwise inequality $|D|\bar{u}|| \leq |D\bar{u}|$ implies

$$|D((1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi)|^p \leq \frac{c |D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1+\gamma}} \phi^p + c(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma} |D\phi|^p.$$

Combining with (3.10), we obtain

$$\int_{B_1} |D((1 + |\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi)|^p dx \leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (3.11)$$

Applying Sobolev's inequality to (3.11) and combining the derived estimate with (3.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx + \left(\int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} \phi^{\frac{pn}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} &\leq c \int_{B_1} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} |D\phi|^p dx \\ &+ \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Next let $7/8 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma \leq 1$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_\sigma)$ be such that

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad \psi|_{B_{\sigma_1}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D\psi| \leq \frac{100}{\sigma - \sigma_1}.$$

With this choice of test function, we deduce from (3.12) that

$$\left(\int_{B_{\sigma_1}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \int_{B_\sigma} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma} dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta} \quad (3.13)$$

for all $\gamma, \theta \in (0, 1)$.

Now we choose $\theta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $p - \theta - \gamma \geq 1$. Then thanks to Lemma 3.2 and (3.4), we get

$$\left(\int_{B_{\sigma_1}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma)n}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|1 + \bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta}.$$

The lemma can now be achieved by iterating (3.14) multiple times. Indeed if we denote $b = \frac{n}{n-p}$ then

(3.14) reads

$$\|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b(p-\theta-\gamma)}(B_{\sigma_1})}^{p-\theta-\gamma} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma} + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_\sigma)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (3.14)$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ set $\gamma_k = (2\beta')^{-k}$ and θ_k such that

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta'}, \\ \theta_{k+1} = 1 - \frac{b}{\beta'}(p - \theta_k - \gamma_k) \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Using (3.14), (3.3), we obtain

$$\|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta_k)}(B_{7/8})} + \|1 + |\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b(p-\theta_k-\gamma_k)}(B_{7/8})} \leq c_k \quad (3.15)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $c_k = c_k(n, N, p, k)$.

By extracting a subsequence when necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_k = \theta_0$.

Then

$$\beta'(1 - \theta_0) = \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p}$$

or equivalently

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\beta'(n - p) - pn}{\beta'(n - p) - n}.$$

Observe that for all $a_1 > 0$ there exists a $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\theta_0 + \frac{a_1}{b} \geq \theta_{k_1} + \gamma_{k_1}$. Therefore (3.15) implies

$$\int_{B_{7/8}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta_0)n}{n-p} - a_1} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_1) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $a_1 > 0$. Choosing a suitable test function in (3.10) leads to

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} dx \leq c \int_{B_{7/8}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} dx + \frac{c}{\gamma} \|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta'(1-\theta)}(B_{7/8})}^{1-\theta}.$$

Then (3.15) in turn implies

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_k+\gamma_k}} dx \leq c_k, \quad (3.17)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $c_k = c_k(n, N, p, k)$.

Analogously for all $a_2 > 0$ there exists a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\theta_0 + a_2 > \theta_{k_2} + \gamma_{k_2}$. Therefore (3.17) gives

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_0 + a_2}} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $a_2 > 0$.

Now let $a = \frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n}$ and apply Holder's inequality for the exponent $\frac{p}{a-a_2}$ to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx &= \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{a-a_2} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{-(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)/p} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)/p} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\bar{u}|^p}{(1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\theta_0 + a_2}} dx \right)^{(a-a_2)/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a-a_2)}{p-a+a_2}} dx \right)^{(p-a+a_2)/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since $(a - a_2)/(p - a + a_2) < a/(p - a)$ and $\beta' > np/(n - p)$, one has

$$\frac{\theta_0 a}{p - a} < \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p}$$

and so

$$\frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)(a - a_2)}{p - a + a_2} < \frac{(\theta_0 + a_2)a}{p - a} < \frac{(p - \theta_0)n}{n - p} - a_1$$

for all $a_1, a_2 > 0$ small enough.

By putting (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) together,

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2) \quad (3.20)$$

for sufficiently small $a_2 > 0$.

We now combine (3.13) and (3.20) to conclude that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-p)-n} - a_1} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta'(p-1)n}{\beta'(n-1)-n} - a_2} dx \leq c(n, N, p, a_2)$$

for all sufficiently small $a_1, a_2 > 0$ (and so trivially for all larger values of a_1 and a_2).

This verifies our claim. ■

Lemma 3.4. *Let M and β be as in Proposition 3.1. Let $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset W^{1,p}(B_1)$ satisfy*

$$\int_{B_1} |u_j| dx \leq 1 \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |Du_j|^{p-2} Du_j : D\varphi dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} 2^{-j} \left(\int_{B_1} |\varphi(x)|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \quad (3.22)$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_1)$. Then there exists a $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$$

for all $q \in (1, q_0)$. Moreover,

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-2} D\tilde{u} : D\varphi dx = 0 \quad (3.23)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/2})$.

Proof. Let $1 < q < q_0$ and $q_1 = (q + q_0)/2$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a $c = c(n, N, p, q)$ such that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^q dx \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^{q_1} dx \leq c \quad (3.24)$$

uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

For convenience we will constantly use $c = c(n, N, p, q)$ without mentioning further, the value of which may vary from line to line.

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume there exist $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$, $b \in L^{q/(p-1)}(B_{3/4})$ and $h \in L^q(B_{3/4})$ such that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^q dx + \sup_j \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^q dx + \sup_j \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\bar{u}_j|^{q_1} dx < \infty, \quad (3.25)$$

$$D\bar{u}_j \rightharpoonup D\tilde{u}, \quad |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| \rightharpoonup h \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(B_{3/4}), \quad (3.26)$$

$$|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j \rightharpoonup b \quad \text{weakly in } L^{q/(p-1)}(B_{3/4}) \quad \text{and} \quad (3.27)$$

$$\bar{u}_j \longrightarrow \tilde{u} \quad \text{strongly in } L^q(B_{3/4}) \quad \text{and pointwise in } B_{3/4}. \quad (3.28)$$

As a consequence of (3.21) and (3.24) we have

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} |\tilde{u}| dx \leq 2^n \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^q dx \leq c. \quad (3.29)$$

Next we aim to prove that $h = 0$ almost everywhere, from which the lemma follows at once. To this end it suffices to show that

$$h(\bar{x}) = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

for all $\bar{x} \in B_{3/4}$ which is a Lebesgue point simultaneously for \tilde{u} , $D\tilde{u}$, h and b , that is,

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\theta(\bar{x})} \left[|\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |h - h(\bar{x})| + |b - b(\bar{x})|^{1/(p-1)} \right]^q dx = 0 \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$|\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| + |h(\bar{x})| + |b(\bar{x})| < \infty. \quad (3.32)$$

To see this, with (3.30) in mind, $D\bar{u}_j \rightarrow D\tilde{u}$ strongly in $L^1(B_{3/4})$. Whence the second bound in (3.29)

and interpolation yield

$$\|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^q(B_{3/4})} \leq \|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^1(B_{3/4})} \|D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}\|_{L^{q_1}(B_{3/4})}^{1-\theta} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where θ is such that $1/q = \theta + (1-\theta)/q_1$.

Now back to the proof of (3.30), let $\bar{x} \in B_{3/4}$ be a simultaneous Lebesgue point for \tilde{u} , $D\tilde{u}$, h and b . Set

$$\alpha_\sigma(x) := (\tilde{u})_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} + D\tilde{u}(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})$$

for all $\sigma \in (0, 3/4)$. Poincaré's inequality for α_σ implies

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \leq c \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})|^q dx = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

By (3.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\bar{x}) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx + \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

We aim to show that $I = II = 0$. For this we estimate each term separately. Term II turns out to be easier to estimate so we do it first.

Term II : We first show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} h dx. \quad (3.35)$$

To this end note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}| \geq \sigma/2\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx \\ &+ \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By invoking (3.25) and (3.28) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}| \geq \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{|\{x \in B_{3/4} : |\bar{u}_j - \tilde{u}|\} \geq \sigma/2|}{|B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})|} \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies (3.35).

Next we use (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} h dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} h^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \sigma/2\}} dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq c \left[\left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |h - h(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{1/q} + h(\bar{x}) \right] \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $II = 0$.

Term I: One has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\tilde{u}| dx &\leq \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx \\ &+ 2^n \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\tilde{u} - D\alpha_\sigma| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\tilde{u} - D\alpha_\sigma| dx \leq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} |D\tilde{u} - D\tilde{u}(\bar{x})| dx = 0$$

by (3.31), it remains to show that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma| dx &\leq \left(\int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left(|D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \left(|D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{2-p} dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the right-hand side is bounded uniformly in j due to (3.24) and (3.29). Hence to achieve (3.36), it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left(|D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 dx = 0.$$

To this end, let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_\sigma(\bar{x}))$ be such that

$$0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \quad \phi|_{B_{\sigma/2}(\bar{x})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |D\phi| \leq \frac{4}{\sigma}.$$

Set $\eta := \phi T_\sigma(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma)$, where T_σ is defined by (3.6). It follows from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : D\eta \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma\}} \left[(|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \right] \phi \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}} \frac{\sigma}{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|} \left[(|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : (I - P_j)D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \right] \phi \\ &+ (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] \\ &=: G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) + G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) + G_{j,\sigma}^3(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_j := \frac{(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes (\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma)}{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad P := \frac{(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes (\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma)}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|^2}.$$

Since α_σ is affine, one has

$$\int_{B_1} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : D\eta dx = 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) dx \leq 2^{-j} \sigma^{1-n} - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx - \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx, \quad (3.37)$$

where we used the monotonicity of the vector field $z \mapsto |z|^{p-2}z$ in the first step.

Next we estimate the two integrals on the right-hand side of the above inequality.

Integral of $G_{j,\sigma}^3$: First we deduce from (3.24) that $\{|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is bounded in $L^{q/(p-1)}$. This together with (3.27) and (3.28) imply that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx = \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} (b - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\bar{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] dx.$$

Holder's inequality then gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} (b - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : [T_\sigma(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \otimes D\phi] dx \right| \\ & \leq c \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b - b(\bar{x})|^{q/(p-1)} + |b(\bar{x})|^{q/(p-1)} + |D\tilde{x}(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left(\frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} dx \right)^{1 - \frac{p-1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first integral on the right-hand side is bounded. For the second integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left(\frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} dx & \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left(\frac{\min\{\sigma, |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|\}}{\sigma} \right)^q dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\frac{q}{q-(p-1)} > q$ and (3.33) in the first and second steps respectively.

Consequently

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^3(x) dx \right| = 0.$$

Integral of $G_{j,\sigma}^2$: We have $D\bar{u}_j : [(I - P_j)D\bar{u}_j] \geq 0$ by a similar argument to that of (3.8). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma) : (I - P_j)D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma) \\ & \geq -|D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j : (I - P_j)D\alpha_\sigma - |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P_j)D(\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Observe also that $\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} P_j \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} P$ a.e. and hence strongly in $L^s(B_{3/4})$ for every $s \geq 1$. The same also applies to the convergence $\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} |\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma|^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| \geq \alpha_\sigma\}} |\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|^{-1}$. These in combination with (3.38) and (3.27) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(- \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx \right) & \leq \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} b : (I - P)D\alpha_\sigma \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|} dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P)D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma| > \sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma|} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate each on the right-hand side separately. As $q > p - 1$ there exists an $s > 1$ such that

$\frac{q(s-1)}{q-p+1} \leq q$. Keeping in mind (3.33) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} b : (I - P)D\alpha_\sigma \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|>\sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|} dx \right| &\leq c \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b| \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^{s-1} dx \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |b|^{q/(p-1)} dx \right)^{(p-1)/q} \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{(s-1)/q} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\alpha_\sigma|^{p-2} D\alpha_\sigma : (I - P)D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|>\sigma\}}}{|\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma|} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D(\tilde{u} - \alpha_\sigma)| \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^{q-1} dx \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} |D\bar{u} - D\bar{u}(\bar{x})|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \left| \frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_\sigma}{\sigma} \right|^q dx \right)^{1-1/q} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(- \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^2(x) dx \right) \leq 0.$$

This finishes our estimate for the integral of $G_{j,\sigma}^2$.

Continuing with (3.37) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} G_{j,\sigma}^1(x) dx = 0. \quad (3.39)$$

We proceed with the proof of (3.36). It follows from (3.39) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\sigma(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{u}_j - \alpha_\sigma| < \sigma\}} \left(|D\bar{u}_j| + |D\alpha_\sigma| \right)^{p-2} |D\bar{u}_j - D\alpha_\sigma|^2 \phi dx = 0.$$

Hence $I = 0$.

That $h(\bar{x}) = 0$ now follows from (3.34), whence $Du \in L^q(B_{3/4})$. Lastly, we let $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.22) to obtain (3.23). This completes our proof. \blacksquare

We now have enough preparation to derive Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We proceed via a proof by contradiction. Our arguments follow [KM18, Step 5 in Proof of Theorem 4.1] closely.

For a contradiction, assume that there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences of balls $\{B_{r_j}(x_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset W^{1,p}(B_{r_j}(x_j))$ such that

$$\int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} |u_j| dx \leq M r_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{B_{r_j}(x_j)} |Du_j|^{p-2} Du_j : D\phi dx \right| \leq \frac{2^{-j}}{r_j} \|\phi\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_{r_j}(x_j))} \quad (3.40)$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{r_j}(x_j)) \cap L^{\beta'}(B_{r_j}(x_j))$, whereas

$$\left(\int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |Du_j - Dv|^q \right)^{1/q} > \epsilon$$

for all $v \in W^{1,p}(B_{r_j/2}(x_j))$ being p -harmonic in $B_{r_j}(x_j)$ and satisfying

$$\int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |v| dx \leq 2^n M r_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int_{B_{r_j/2}(x_j)} |Dv|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \left(\frac{2^n c}{|B_1|} \right)^{1/q} M$$

for all $q \in (1, q_0)$, where $c = c(n, N, p, q)$.

For the rest of the proof, c will always denote a constant depending on n, N, p, q only whose value may vary from line to line.

We first perform a scaling on u_j for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For convenience, we denote $u_0 = u$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$ let

$$\bar{u}_j(x) = \frac{u_j(x_0 + rx)}{M r} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x) = \frac{\varphi(x_0 + rx)}{r}.$$

Then (3.2), (3.3) and (3.40) become

$$\int_{B_1} |\bar{u}_j| dx \leq 1 \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_1} |D\bar{u}_j|^{p-2} D\bar{u}_j : D\eta dx \right| \leq M^{1-p} \delta_j \|\eta\|_{L^{\beta'}(B_1)}, \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$\delta_j := \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 2^{-j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\bar{u}_j\|_{W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})} \leq c$$

for all $q \in (1, q_0)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using Lemma 3.4 there exists a $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$$

for all $q \in (1, q_0)$ with the property that

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-2} D\tilde{u} : D\varphi \, dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{1/2})$.

We aim to show that \tilde{u} is p -harmonic. In particular, we will show that $D\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{1/2})$.

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_{3/4})$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi|_{B_{1/2}} = 1$. It follows from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| < t\}} |D\bar{u}_j|^p \phi^p \, dx &\leq c \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| < t\}} |\bar{u}_j|^p |D\phi|^p \, dx + cM^{1-p} \delta_j t^\theta \left\| \bar{u}_j \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^{\theta'(1-\theta)}(B_1)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\quad + ct \int_{B_1 \cap \{|\bar{u}_j| \geq t\}} |D\bar{u}_j|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the inferior limit both sides of this inequality when $j \rightarrow \infty$ and then referring to Fatou's lemma for the left-hand side, one has

$$\int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| < t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p \, dx \leq c \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| < t\}} |\tilde{u}|^p |D\phi|^p \, dx + ct \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx$$

for all $t > 0$.

Next let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. By multiplying the above inequality by $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma}$, integrating over $(0, \infty)$ with respect to t and then invoking Fubini's theorem we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} \, dx &\leq \frac{c}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p \, dx \\ &\quad + c \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

To handle the second integral on the right-hand side of this inequality, an application of Fubini's theorem and

Young's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
c \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4} \cap \{|\tilde{u}| \geq t\}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx dt &\leq \frac{c}{1-\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} |D\tilde{u}|^{p-1} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma} |D\phi| \phi^{p-1} dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{c\gamma^{p-1}}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \frac{|D\tilde{u}|^p \phi^p}{(1+|\tilde{u}|)^\gamma} dx \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.43)$$

From this there are two possibilities. If $n < p^2$ then $p < q_0$, from which it follows that $u \in L^p(B_{3/4})$. So taking $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in (3.43) yields $Du \in L^p(B_{1/2})$. It remains to consider $p^2 \leq n$. In this case choose $\gamma \geq \frac{n-p^2}{n-p}$. Using the fact that $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,q}(B_{3/4})$ for all $q \in (1, q_0)$ we deduce that right-hand side in (3.43) is finite.

Since

$$\left| D\left((1+|\tilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}} \phi \right) \right|^p \leq \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{p} \right)^p |D\tilde{u}|^p (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{-\gamma},$$

(3.43) implies that

$$\int_{B_{3/4}} \left| D\left((1+|\tilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}} \phi \right) \right|^p dx \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.44)$$

Set $\theta = \frac{n}{n-p} = \frac{p^*}{p}$, where p^* denotes the Sobolev's exponent. Using Sobolev's inequality and (3.44), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B_{3/4}} \left((1+|\tilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma/p} \phi \right)^{\theta p} dx \right)^{1/\theta} \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^p} \int_{B_{3/4}} (1+|\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} \phi |D\phi|^p dx. \quad (3.45)$$

Next we use an iterating argument in the spirit of (finite) Moser's iteration to derive the claim. Define

$$q_j = \theta^j(p-\gamma), \quad \gamma_j = p - q_j, \quad B_j = B_{5/8+1/(j+1)}$$

and correspondingly choose $\{\phi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(B^j)$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1, \quad \phi_{j+1} \leq \phi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_j|_{B_{j+1}} = 1$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing.

Now (3.45) reads

$$\left(\int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\tilde{u}|)^{\theta(p-\gamma_j)} \phi_j^{\theta p} \right)^{1/\theta} \leq c \int_{B_{3/4}} (1 + |\tilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma_j} |D\phi_j|^p dx$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, provided that $\gamma_j > 0$. In other words $u \in L^{q_j}(B^j)$ implies $u \in L^{q_{j+1}}(B^{j+1})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma_j > 0$.

Let $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\gamma_{j_0+1} \leq 0$. Then $u \in L^{q_{j_0+1}}(B^{j_0+1})$. This in particular yields $\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{5/8})$. Combining this with (3.43) and then taking the limit when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ give $D\tilde{u} \in L^p(B_{1/2})$.

The claim now follows by reversing the scaling process at the beginning of the proof. ■

The following lemmas are direct consequences of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ be such that*

$$\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}.$$

Let $B = B_r(x_0)$ be a ball and $f \in L^\beta(B)$. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$ be a weak solution to (1.1) in B . Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (1, q_0)$, where q_0 is defined in (3.1). Then there exist $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ and a p -harmonic map v in $\frac{1}{2}B$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r} \int_B |u - (u)_{B_r}| dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}. \quad (3.46)$$

Proof. We use a scaling argument with

$$\bar{u} := \frac{u - (u)_B}{\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f} := \frac{f}{\lambda^{p-1}}, \quad (3.47)$$

where

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{r} \int_B |u - (u)_B| dx + \left[\frac{r}{\delta} \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}$$

and $\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon)$ is given in Proposition 3.1 with $M = 1$.

It follows that

$$\int_B |\bar{u}| dx \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad -\Delta_p \bar{u} = \bar{f} \quad \text{in } B.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ then u is constant and so we can choose $v = u$.

Next assume that $\lambda > 0$. We have

$$\left| \int_B |D\bar{u}|^{p-2} D\bar{u} : D\varphi dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}} \left(\int_B |\varphi|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'} \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \leq \frac{\delta}{r} \left(\int_B |\varphi|^{\beta'} dx \right)^{1/\beta'},$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B) \cap L^{\beta'}(B)$. Therefore by Proposition 3.1 there exists a p -harmonic map \bar{v} in $\frac{1}{2}B$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |D\bar{u} - D\bar{v}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Scaling back to u with $v = \lambda\bar{v}$ we obtain (3.46). To finish note that v is p -harmonic. ■

Proposition 3.6. *Adopt the assumptions and notation in Lemma 3.5. Then there exist constants*

$$\delta = \delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1), C = C(n, p, q) > 0$$

and a p -harmonic map $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \varepsilon \left(\int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B)} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + C(1 + \varepsilon) \left(\int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.5, Poincaré's and Hölder's inequalities, there exists a p -harmonic map $v \in W^{1,p}(\frac{1}{2}B)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \varepsilon \left(\int_B |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_B |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Next it follows from [KM18, (3.6)] that

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B)} \leq C \int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv| dx \leq C \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}B} |Dv|^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

for a constant $C = C(n, p, q)$.

The claim now follows by combining these two estimates together. ■

4 Good- λ type bounds

In this section we present a good- λ -type estimate - Proposition 4.3. In order to do this, we need two auxiliary results.

The first one can be viewed as a (weighted) substitution for the Calderon-Zygmund-Krylov-Safonov decomposition (cf. [MP11]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let ω be an \mathbf{A}_∞ -weight and B be a ball of radius R in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $E \subset F \subset B$ be measurable and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ satisfy the following property:*

- (i) $\omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B)$.
- (ii) $\omega(E \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x))$ implies $B_\rho(x) \cap B \subset F$ for all $x \in B$ and $\rho \in (0, R]$.

Then there exists a $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$ such that $\omega(E) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F)$.

The next result is a variation of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let ω be an \mathbf{A}_∞ -weight. Let $E \subset F$ be measurable and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ satisfy the following property:*

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$, one has

$$\omega(E \cap B_R(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x)) \quad \text{implies} \quad B_R(x) \subset F. \quad (4.1)$$

Then there exists a $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$ such that $\omega(E) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega(E) \vee \omega(F) < \infty$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and R be sufficiently large such that $\omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x_0))$. Set $S = E \cap B_R(x_0)$ and $T = F \cap B_R(x_0)$. The claim follows directly from Lemma 4.1 with $S, T, B_R(x_0)$ and ε .

Indeed, we have $\omega(S) \leq \omega(E) < \varepsilon \omega(B_R(x_0))$. Assume that $x \in B_R(x_0)$ and $\rho \in (0, R]$ satisfy

$$\omega(S \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x)).$$

Obviously we also have

$$\omega(E \cap B_\rho(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_\rho(x)).$$

Therefore (4.1) implies $B_\rho(x) \subset F$, from which it follows that $B_\rho(x) \cap B_R(x_0) \subset F \cap B_R(x_0) = T$.

Next Lemma 4.1 asserts that there exists a $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$ such that $\omega(E \cap B_R(x_0)) \leq C\varepsilon \omega(F \cap B_R(x_0))$.

Now we let R tend to infinity to complete the proof. ■

Recall the maximal function defined by

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{\rho>0} \rho^\beta \int_{B_\rho(x)} |f(y)| dy$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta \in [0, n]$. The case $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0$.

We now turn to the aforementioned good- λ -type estimate.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_\infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q \in (1, q_0)$. Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{np}{n-p} < \beta' < \frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$ and $f \in L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist constants*

$$C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}), \quad \Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q) > 3^{n/q} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \delta(n, p, q, \varepsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1),$$

such that

$$\omega \left[\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \right] \leq C\varepsilon \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\} \right)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Set

$$E_{\lambda, \delta} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\}$$

and

$$F_\lambda = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\}$$

for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Here $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q)$ is to be chosen later.

We will use Lemma 4.2 for $E_{\lambda, \delta}$ and F_λ . That is, we will verify that

$$\omega(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x)) \geq \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)) \implies B_r(x) \subset F_\lambda$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$, provided that δ is sufficiently small.

Indeed, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$. To avoid triviality, we consider $E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset$. By contraposition, assume that $B_r(x) \cap F_\lambda^c \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $x_1, x_2 \in B_r(x)$ such that

$$\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1) \right)^{1/q} \leq \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda. \quad (4.2)$$

We aim to show that

$$\omega(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x)) < \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)).$$

First note that

$$\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(y) \right)^{1/q} \leq \max \left\{ \left(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, 3^{n/q} \lambda \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $y \in B_r(x)$. Indeed, if $\rho \leq r$ then

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^q dx = \int_{B_\rho(y)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \leq \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y).$$

Otherwise $B_\rho(y) \subset B_{2r+\rho}(x_1)$ and we have

$$\int_{B_\rho(y)} |Du|^q dx \leq \frac{1}{|B_\rho(y)|} \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_1)} |Du|^q dx = 3^n \int_{B_{3\rho}(x_1)} \mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1) \leq 3^n \lambda^q.$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_r(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Du|^q)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \cap B_r(x)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\Lambda_0 \geq 3^{n/q}$.

Applying Proposition 3.6 to $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, B = B_{8r}(x)$ and $\eta \in (0, 1)$, there exist constants $\delta = \delta(n, p, q, \varepsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1)$, $C_0 = C_0(n, p, q) > 0$ and a p -harmonic map $v \in W^{1,p}(B_{4r}(x))$ such that

$$\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x))} \leq \frac{C_0 \eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dy \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + C_0(1 + \eta) \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dy \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\left(\int_{B_{4r}(x)} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[r \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \eta \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Using (4.2) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Du\|_{L^\infty(B_{2r}(x))} &\leq \frac{C_0\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} + C_0(1+\eta) [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1)]^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_0(1+\eta)\lambda \leq 2C_0\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_{4r}(x)} |Du - Dv|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left[R \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |f|^\beta dx \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^{1/(p-1)} + \eta \left(\int_{B_{8r}(x)} |Du|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} + \eta [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x_1)]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \eta\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Clearly

$$\left[\mathbf{M} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^3 f_j \right|^q \right) \right]^{1/q} \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[\mathbf{M}(|f_j|^q) \right]^{1/q}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |E \cap B_r(x)| &\leq \left| \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9\} \cap B_r(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Dv|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9\} \cap B_r(x) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

In view of (4.4) there holds

$$\left| y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \{ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |Dv|^q(y))^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9 \} \cap B_r(x) \right| = 0,$$

provided that $\Lambda_0 \geq \max\{3^{n/q}, 30C_0\}$.

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |E \cap B_r(x)| &\leq \left| \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left[\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q(y)) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} > \Lambda_0\lambda/9 \right\} \cap B_r(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} |D(u-v)|^q dx \leq C\eta^q r^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that \mathbf{M} is of weak type $(1, 1)$ in the second step.

Thus

$$\omega(E \cap B_r(x)) \leq c \left(\frac{|E \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} \right)^v \omega(B_r(x)) \leq c(C\eta^q)^v \omega(B_r(x)) < \varepsilon \omega(B_r(x)),$$

where we chose η small enough such that $c(C\eta^q)^\nu < \epsilon$.

This completes our proof. ■

5 Global weighted gradient estimates

With the knowledge from the previous sections, we are now ready to tackle the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 4.3, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $q \in (1, q_0)$, where q_0 is defined in (3.1) there exist constants $C = C(n, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty})$, $\delta = \delta(n, p, q, \epsilon, [\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_\infty}) \in (0, 1)$ and $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(n, p, q) > 3^{n/q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x))^{1/q} > \Lambda_0 \lambda, \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \leq \delta^{1/(p-1)} \lambda \right\} \right) \\ & \leq C\epsilon \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \lambda \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

By hypothesis Φ is invertible and $\Phi^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : [\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x)]^{1/q} > \Phi^{-1}(t) \right\} \right) & \leq \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} > \frac{\delta^{1/(p-1)}}{\Lambda_0} \Phi^{-1}(t) \right\} \right) \\ & \quad + C\epsilon \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{1/q} > \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{\Lambda_0} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$. This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \leq C\epsilon \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\Lambda_0 \left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\frac{\Lambda_0}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}} \left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \leq C\epsilon \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_1 \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_2 \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\Phi(2t) \leq c \Phi(t)$ and Φ is increasing in the second step. Here $T > 0$, $H_1 = c^{\lceil \log_2(\Lambda_0) \rceil}$ and $H_2 = c^{\lceil \log_2(\frac{\Lambda_0}{\delta^{1/(p-1)}}) \rceil}$, in which $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function.

Using a change of variables we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \leq H_1 C \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_1}} \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \quad + H_2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_2}} \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2H_1C}$ so that the first integral on the right is absorbed by the left-hand term, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt \\ & \leq 2H_2 \int_0^{\frac{T}{H_2}} \omega \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] > t \right\} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|f|) \omega dx = \int_0^\infty \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Phi(|f(x)|) > t\}) dt.$$

Thus by letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}(|Du|^q) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \omega dx \leq 2H_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left[\left(\mathbf{M}_\beta(|f|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1)\beta}} \right] \omega dx$$

as required. ■

References

- [DiB83] DiBENEDETTO, E., $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983), 827–85.
- [Giu03] GIUSTI, E., *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc, River Edge, 2003.
- [HK] HEINONEN, J. and KILPELAINEN, T., *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monograph.

- [KM18] KUUSI, T. and MINGIONE, G., Vectorial nonlinear potential theory. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **20** (2018), 929–1004.
- [Min10] MINGIONE, G., Non-linear aspects of Calderon-Zygmund theory. *Jahresber Dtsch Math-Ver* **112** (2010), 159–191.
- [MP11] MENGESHA, T. and PHUC, N. C., Weighted and regularity estimates for nonlinear equations on Reifenberg flat domains. *Journal of Differential Equations* **250** (2011), 1485–2507.
- [NP] NGUYEN, Q. H. and PHUC, N. C., Existence and regularity estimates for quasilinear equations with measure data: the case $1 < p \leq \frac{3n-2}{2n-1}$. arXiv:2003.03725v1.
- [NP19] ———, Good- λ and Muckenhoupt-Wheeden type bounds in quasilinear measure datum problems with applications. *Math. Ann.* **374** (2019), 67–98.
- [NP20] ———, Pointwise gradient estimates for a class of singular quasilinear equations with measure data. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2020), 2961–2998.
- [Uhl77] UHLENBECK, K., Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems. *Acta Math.* **138** (1977), 219–240.