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#### Abstract

Let $n \in\{2,3,4, \ldots\}, N \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $p \in\left(1,2-\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Let $\beta \in(1, \infty)$ be such that $$
\frac{n p}{n-p}<\beta^{\prime}<\frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}
$$


and $f \in L^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Consider the $p$-Laplace system

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=-\operatorname{div}\left(|D u|^{p-2} D u\right)=f \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

We obtain a weighted gradient estimate for distributional solutions of this system.

Keywords: Nonlinear elliptic systems, Gradient regularity.
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## 1 Introduction

Calderon-Zygmund theory is undoubtedly classical to linear partial differential equations. In the last few years, its extension to non-linear settings has become an active area of research. For a comprehensive survey on this account, cf. [Min10] and also the references therein. Our paper continues this trend with a gradient estimate for the solutions of a $p$-Laplace system.

Specifically, let $n \in\{2,3,4, \ldots\}, N \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $p \in\left(1,2-\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Consider the $p$-Laplace system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=-\operatorname{div}\left(|D u|^{p-2} D u\right)=f \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ belongs to some appropriate Lebesgue space.

Our aim is to derive a general Muckenhoupt-Wheeden-type gradient estimate for (1.1). This result inherits the spirit of [KM18], [NP19], [NP] and [NP20]. Specifically, in [NP19], [NP] the authors obtained such estimates when $N=1$ and $1<p \leq 2-\frac{1}{n}$. If in addition $\frac{3 n-2}{2 n-1}<p \leq 2-\frac{1}{n}$, pointwise gradient estimates with measure data are also available (cf. [ NP 20$]$ ). In a system setting (i.e. $N \geq 1$ ) with measure data, pointwise grandient bounds via Riesz potential and Wolff potential for $p>2-\frac{1}{n}$ were obtained in [KM18]. Regarding the method of proof, we follow the general frameworks presented in these papers. Our main contribution involves the reconstructions of a comparison estimate and a good- $\lambda$-type bound peculiar to the setting in this paper.

To state our main result, we need some definitions.

Definition 1.1. A function $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a distributional (or weak) solution to (1.1) if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|D u|^{p-2} D u: D \varphi d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \varphi d x
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Here $D u$, which is a counterpart of $\nabla u$ in the equation setting, is understood in the sense of tensors. See Section 2 for further details.

Next recall the notion of Muckenhoupt weights.

Definition 1.2. A positive function $\omega \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be an $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$-weight if there exist constants $C>0$ and $v>0$ such that

$$
\omega(E) \leq C\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^{v} \omega(B)
$$

for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and all measurable subset $E$ of $B$. The pair $(C, v)$ is called the $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$-constants of $\omega$ and is denoted by $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}$.

In what follows, we will also make use of the maximal function defined by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\beta}(f)(x)=\sup _{\rho>0} \rho^{\beta} f_{B_{\rho}(x)}|f(y)| d y
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\beta \in[0, n]$, where

$$
f_{B_{\rho}(x)}|f(y)| d y:=\frac{1}{\left|B_{\rho}(x)\right|} \int_{B_{\rho}(x)} f(y) d y .
$$

When $\beta=0$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_{0}$ is recovered.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \in\{2,3,4, \ldots\}, N \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $p \in\left(1,2-\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Let $\beta \in(1, \infty)$ be such that

$$
\frac{n p}{n-p}<\beta^{\prime}<\frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}
$$

and $f \in L^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing function that satisfies

$$
\Phi(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)=\infty
$$

Furthermore assume that there exists ac>1 such that

$$
\Phi(2 t) \leq c \Phi(t)
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Then for all $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_{\infty}$ there exist a $C>0$ and a $\delta \in(0,1)$, both depending on $n, p, \Phi$ and $[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}$ only, such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(|D u|) \omega d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right] \omega d x
$$

for all distributional solution $и$ of (1.1).

Note that in our setting all functions are vector fields. For short we will write, for instance, $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in place of $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ hereafter. When scalar-valued functions are in use, we will explicitly write $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. This convention applies to all function spaces in the whole paper.

When $n=1$ it has been known that the distributional solution $u$ is locally $C^{1, \alpha}$ for some exponent $\alpha=$ $\alpha(n, N, p)>0$, whose result is due to [Uhl77]. Hence we only consider $n \geq 2$ in this project. We also remark that the function $\Phi$ in the above theorem is quite general. In particular, we do not require $\Phi$ to be convex or to satisfy the so-called $\nabla_{2}$ condition: $\Phi(t) \geq \frac{1}{2 a} \Phi(a t)$ for some $a>1$ and for all $t \geq 0$. As such one can take, for examples, $\Phi(t)=t^{\alpha}$ or $\Phi(t)=[\log (1+t)]^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha>0$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 collects definitions and basic facts about tensors and $p$ harmonic maps. In Sections 3 and 4 we derive a comparison estimate and a good- $\lambda$-type bound respectively. Lastly Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 5 .

Notations. Throughout the paper the following set of notation is used without mentioning. Set $\mathbb{N}=$ $\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{*}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \wedge b=\min \{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b=\max \{a, b\}$. For all ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we write $w(B):=\int_{B} w$. The constants $C$ and $c$ are always assumed to be positive and independent of the main parameters whose values change from line to line. Given a ball $B=B_{r}(x)$, we let $t B=B_{t r}(x)$ for all $t>0$. If $p \in[1, \infty)$, then the conjugate index of $p$ is denoted by $p^{\prime}$.

Throughout assumptions. In the entire paper, we always assume that $n \in\{2,3,4, \ldots\}, N \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $p \in\left(1,2-\frac{1}{n}\right]$ without explicitly stated.

## 2 Tensors and $p$-harmonic maps

This section briefly summarizes definitions and basic facts regarding tensors and p-harmonic maps. Further details are available in [KM18, Sections 2 and 3]. These will be used frequently in subsequent sections without mentioning.

Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{e^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{N}$ be the canonical bases of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively. Let $\zeta$ and $\xi$ be second-order tensors of size $(N, n)$, that is,

$$
\zeta=\zeta_{j}^{\alpha} e^{\alpha} \otimes e_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=\xi_{j}^{\alpha} e^{\alpha} \otimes e_{j}
$$

in which repeated indices are summed. Note that the linear space of all second-order tensors is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$.

The Frobenius product of $\zeta$ and $\xi$ is given by

$$
\zeta: \xi=\zeta_{j}^{\alpha} \xi_{j}^{\alpha}
$$

from which we also obtain the Frobenius norm of $\zeta$ as $|\zeta|^{2}=\zeta: \zeta$. The divergence of $\zeta$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{div} \zeta=\left(\partial_{j} \zeta_{j}^{\alpha}\right) e^{\alpha}
$$

Also the gradient of a first-order tensor $u=u^{\alpha} e^{\alpha}$ is the second-order tensor

$$
D u=\left(\partial_{j} u^{\alpha}\right) e^{\alpha} \otimes e_{j}
$$

Next consider the tensor field

$$
A_{q}(z):=|z|^{q-2} z=|z|^{q-2} z_{j}^{\alpha} e^{\alpha} \otimes e_{j}
$$

defined on the linear space of all second-order tensors, where $q \in(1, \infty)$. The differential of $A_{q}$ is defined as a fourth-order tensor

$$
\partial A_{q}(z)=|z|^{q-2}\left(\delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{i j}+(q-2) \frac{z_{i}^{\alpha} z_{j}^{\beta}}{|z|^{2}}\right)\left(e^{\alpha} \otimes e_{i}\right) \otimes\left(e^{\beta} \otimes e_{j}\right)
$$

Here $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$ is the Kronecker's delta. This leads to

$$
\partial A_{q}(z): \xi=|z|^{p-2}\left(\xi+(q-2) \frac{(z: \xi) z}{|z|^{2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\partial A_{q}(z): \xi\right): \xi=|z|^{q-2}\left(|\xi|^{2}+(q-2) \frac{(z: \xi)^{2}}{|z|^{2}}\right)
$$

Regarding second-order tensors, the following inequality is well-known (cf. [KM18, (4.51)]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $q \in(1, \infty)$. There exists $a c=c(n ; N ; p) \leq 1$ such that

$$
\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{q-1} z_{2}-\left|z_{1}\right|^{q-1} z_{1}\right):\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right) \geq c\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{(q-2) / 2}\left|z_{2}-z_{1}\right|^{2}
$$

for all second-order tensors $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.

We end this section with the definition of a $q$-harmonic map.

Definition 2.2. Let $q \in(1, \infty)$. A function $v \in W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be $q$-harmonic if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|D v|^{q-2} D v: D \varphi d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

## 3 A comparison estimate

In this section we prove a comparison estimate between the weak solutions of (1.1) and a $p$-harmonic map, which is the content of Proposition 3.1 .

In what follows it is convenient to denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-1)-n} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $q_{0} \in(1, p)$. Also set $B_{\sigma}=B_{\sigma}(0)$ for all $\sigma \in(0,1]$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\varepsilon>0, M \geq 1$ and $\beta \in(1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{n p}{n-p}<\beta^{\prime}<\frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$. Let $1<q<q_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{B}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose $u \in W^{1, p}(\boldsymbol{B})$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B}|u| d x \leq M r . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $\delta=\delta(n, N, p, q, M, \varepsilon) \in(0,1)$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|f_{B}\right| D u\right|^{p-2} D u: D \varphi d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\delta}{r}\left(f_{B}|\varphi(x)|^{\beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}}\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(B) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}(B)$, then there exist a constant $c=c(n, N, p, q)>0$ and a p-harmonic map $v \in W^{1, p}\left(\frac{1}{2} B\right)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq \varepsilon
$$

as well as

$$
f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|v| d x \leq M 2^{n} r \quad \text { and } \quad\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D v|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq c M .
$$

We divide the proof of Proposition 3.1 into several parts. To begin with, recall the following selfimproving property of reverse Holder inequalities (cf. [HK, Lemma 3.38]).

Lemma 3.2. Let $0<q<a<\gamma<\infty, \xi \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$. Let $v$ be a non-negative Borel measure with finite total mass and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a ball. Suppose $0 \leq g \in L^{p}(U, v)$ satisfies the following: there exists a $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\sigma_{1} B} g^{\gamma} d \nu\right)^{1 / \gamma} \leq \frac{c_{0}}{\left(\sigma-\sigma_{1}\right)^{\xi}}\left(\int_{\sigma B} g^{a} d \nu\right)^{1 / a}+M
$$

for all $\kappa \leq \sigma_{1}<\sigma \leq 1$, where $\kappa \in(0,1)$. Then there exists $a c=c\left(c_{0}, \xi, \sigma, a, q\right)>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\sigma_{1} B} g^{\gamma} d \nu\right)^{1 / \gamma} \leq \frac{c}{(1-\sigma)^{\zeta}}\left[\left(\int_{\sigma B} g^{q} d \nu\right)^{1 / q}+M\right]
$$

for all $\sigma \in(\kappa, 1)$, where

$$
\zeta:=\frac{\xi p(\gamma-q)}{q(\gamma-a)} .
$$

Next we will establish suitable a priori estimates for (scaled) weak solutions of (1.1) under the assumptions in Proposition 3.1 .

Lemma 3.3. Let $M$ and $\beta$ be as in Proposition 3.1 Let $\delta \in(0,1)$. Suppose $\bar{u} \in W^{1, p}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{1}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{1}}|\bar{u}| d x \leq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|f_{B_{1}}\right| D \bar{u}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}: D \eta d x \mid \leq M^{1-p} \delta\|\eta\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\eta \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Then there exists a $c=c(n, N, p, q)$ such that

$$
\|\bar{u}\|_{W^{1, q\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)}} \leq c
$$

for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$.

Proof. The main idea is to test (3.5) with suitable test functions. Following [KM18, Proof of Theorem 4.1] consider for each $t>0$ the truncation operator $T_{t}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}(z):=\min \left\{1, \frac{t}{|z|}\right\} z \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct calculations, $D T_{t}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is given by

$$
D T_{t}(z)= \begin{cases}I & \text { if }|z| \leq t  \tag{3.7}\\ \frac{t}{|z|}\left(I-\frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^{2}}\right) & \text { if }|z|>t\end{cases}
$$

where $I: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N}$ denotes the identity operator.

Now let $\phi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(B_{1} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and then choose

$$
\eta:=\phi^{p} T_{t}(\bar{u})
$$

as a test function in (3.5). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \eta= & \mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u}<t\}}\left(\phi^{p} D \bar{u}+p \phi^{p-1} \bar{u} \otimes D \phi\right) \\
& +\mathbf{1}_{\{\bar{u} \geq t\}} \frac{t}{|\bar{u}|}\left(\phi^{p}(I-P) D(\bar{u})+p \phi^{p-1} \bar{u} \otimes D \phi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P:=\frac{\bar{u} \otimes \bar{u}}{|\bar{u}|^{2}}$. Also notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \bar{u}:[(I-P) D \bar{u}]=|D \bar{u}|^{2}-\frac{u^{\alpha} D_{j} \bar{u}^{\alpha} u^{k} D_{j} \bar{u}^{k}}{|u|^{2}}=|D \bar{u}|^{2}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(D_{j} u \cdot u\right)^{2}}{|u|^{2}} \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\eta\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)}} & =\left(\int_{B_{1}}\left|T_{t}(\bar{u})\right|^{\beta^{\prime}} \phi^{p \beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}}=\left(\int_{B_{1}}\left|T_{t}(\bar{u})\right|^{\theta \beta^{\prime}}\left|T_{t}(\bar{u})\right|^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)} \phi^{p \beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}} \\
& \leq t^{\theta}\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\theta<1$.

Substituting these into (3.5) and using Young's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{1} \cap\{|\bar{u}|<t\}}|D \bar{u}|^{p} \phi^{p} d x \leq & c \int_{B_{1} \cap\{|\bar{u}|<t\}}|\bar{u}|^{p}|D \phi|^{p} d x+c M^{1-p} \delta t^{\theta}\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \\
& +c t \int_{B_{1} \cap\{|\bar{u}| \geq t\}}|D \bar{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c=c(n, N, p)>0$.

For the rest of the proof we use $c=c(n, N, p)$ whose value may vary from line to line.
Next let $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Multiplying (3.9) by $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma-\theta}$ and then integrating on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to $t$ give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta+\gamma} \int_{B_{1}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} d x \leq & \frac{c}{\gamma+\theta} \int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta}|D \phi|^{p} d x \\
& +\frac{c}{\gamma} \delta\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta}+c \int_{B_{1}} \frac{|\bar{u}||D \bar{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$
\int_{B_{1}} \frac{|\bar{u}||D \bar{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\gamma+\theta}} d x \leq \frac{1}{2 c(\gamma+\theta)} \int_{B_{1}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} d x+c(\gamma+\theta)^{p-1} \int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{-(\gamma+\theta)}|D \phi|^{p}|\bar{u}|^{p} d x .
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} d x \leq c \int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta}|D \phi|^{p} d x+\frac{c}{\gamma}\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pointwise inequality $|D| \bar{u}||\leq|D \bar{u}|$ implies

$$
\left|D\left((1+|\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi\right)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{c|D \bar{u}|^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{1+\gamma}} \phi^{p}+c(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma}|D \phi|^{p} .
$$

Combining with (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}}\left|D\left((1+|\bar{u}|)^{1-\frac{\theta+\gamma}{p}} \phi\right)\right|^{p} d x \leq c \int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta}|D \phi|^{p} d x+\frac{c}{\gamma}\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Sobolev's inequality to (3.11) and combining the derived estimate with (3.10) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{1}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} d x+\left(\int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma) n}{n-p}} \phi^{\frac{p n}{n-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq & c \int_{B_{1}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta}|D \phi|^{p} d x  \tag{3.12}\\
& +\frac{c}{\gamma}\left\|\bar{u} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta}
\end{align*}
$$

Next let $7 / 8 \leq \sigma_{1}<\sigma \leq 1$ and $\psi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\sigma}\right)$ be such that

$$
0 \leq \psi \leq 1,\left.\quad \psi\right|_{B_{\sigma_{1}}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad|D \psi| \leq \frac{100}{\sigma-\sigma_{1}}
$$

With this choice of test function, we deduce from (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B_{\sigma_{1}}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma) n}{n-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma-\sigma_{1}} \int_{B_{\sigma}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\theta-\gamma} d x+\frac{c}{\gamma}\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta^{(1-\theta)}\left(B_{\sigma}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma, \theta \in(0,1)$.

Now we choose $\theta, \gamma \in(0,1)$ such that $p-\theta-\gamma \geq 1$. Then thanks to Lemma3.2 and (3.4), we get

$$
\left(\int_{B_{\sigma_{1}}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\frac{(p-\theta-\gamma) n}{n-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma}+\frac{c}{\gamma}\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{\sigma}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma}+\frac{c}{\gamma}\|1+\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{\sigma}\right)}}^{1-\theta}
$$

The lemma can now be achieved by iterating (3.14) multiple times. Indeed if we denote $b=\frac{n}{n-p}$ then (3.14) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|1+|\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b(p-\theta-\gamma)\left(B_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}}^{p-\theta-\gamma} \leq \frac{c}{1-\sigma}+\frac{c}{\gamma}\|1+|\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{\sigma}\right)}}^{1-\theta} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ set $\gamma_{k}=\left(2 \beta^{\prime}\right)^{-k}$ and $\theta_{k}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{1}=1-\frac{1}{\beta^{\prime}} \\
\theta_{k+1}=1-\frac{b}{\beta^{\prime}}\left(p-\theta_{k}-\gamma_{k}\right) \in(0,1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (3.14), (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|1+|\bar{u}|\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}\left(1-\theta_{k}\right)}\left(B_{7 / 8}\right)}+\|1+|\bar{u}|\|_{L^{b\left(p-\theta_{k}-\gamma_{k}\right)\left(B_{7 / 8}\right)}} \leq c_{k} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, where $c_{k}=c_{k}(n, N, p, k)$.
By extracting a subsequence when necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{k}=\theta_{0}$. Then

$$
\beta^{\prime}\left(1-\theta_{0}\right)=\frac{\left(p-\theta_{0}\right) n}{n-p}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\theta_{0}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}(n-p)-p n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-p)-n}
$$

Observe that for all $a_{1}>0$ there exists a $k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $\theta_{0}+\frac{a_{1}}{b} \geq \theta_{k_{1}}+\gamma_{k_{1}}$. Therefore (3.15) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{7 / 8}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\frac{\left(p-\theta_{0}\right) n}{n-p}-a_{1}} d x \leq c\left(n, N, p, a_{1}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1}>0$. Choosing a suitable test function in (3.10) leads to

$$
\int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta+\gamma}} d x \leq c \int_{B_{7 / 8}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{p-\gamma-\theta} d x+\frac{c}{\gamma}\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{7 / 8}\right)}}^{1-\theta} .
$$

Then (3.15) in turn implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta_{k}+\gamma_{k}}} d x \leq c_{k} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, where $c_{k}=c_{k}(n, N, p, k)$.
Analogously for all $a_{2}>0$ there exists a $k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $\theta_{0}+a_{2}>\theta_{k_{2}}+\gamma_{k_{2}}$. Therefore (3.17) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta_{0}+a_{2}}} d x \leq c\left(n, N, p, a_{2}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{2}>0$.
Now let $a=\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-1)-n}$ and apply Holder's inequality for the exponent $\frac{p}{a-a_{2}}$ to arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-1)-n}-a_{2}} d x & =\int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \bar{u}|^{a-a_{2}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{-\left(\theta_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a-a_{2}\right) / p}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\left(\theta_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a-a_{2}\right) / p} d x \\
\leq & \left(\int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \bar{u}|^{p}}{(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\theta_{0}+a_{2}}} d x\right)^{\left(a-a_{2}\right) / p}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \times\left(\int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\bar{u}|)^{\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a-a_{2}\right)}{p-a+a_{2}}} d x\right)^{\left.p-a+a_{2}\right) / p}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(a-a_{2}\right) /\left(p-a+a_{2}\right)<a /(p-a)$ and $\beta^{\prime}>n p /(n-p)$, one has

$$
\frac{\theta_{0} a}{p-a}<\frac{\left(p-\theta_{0}\right) n}{n-p}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+a_{2}\right)\left(a-a_{2}\right)}{p-a+a_{2}}<\frac{\left(\theta_{0}+a_{2}\right) a}{p-a}<\frac{\left(p-\theta_{0}\right) n}{n-p}-a_{1}
$$

for all $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ small enough.
By putting (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) together,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-1)-n}-a_{2}} d x \leq c\left(n, N, p, a_{2}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $a_{2}>0$.
We now combine (3.13) and (3.20) to conclude that

$$
\int_{B_{3 / 4}}|\bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-p)-n}-a_{1}} d x \leq c\left(n, N, p, a_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \bar{u}|^{\frac{\beta^{\prime}(p-1) n}{\beta^{\prime}(n-1)-n}-a_{2}} d x \leq c\left(n, N, p, a_{2}\right)
$$

for all sufficiently small $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ (and so trivially for all larger values of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ ).
This verifies our claim.

Lemma 3.4. Let $M$ and $\beta$ be as in Proposition 3.1 Let $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \subset W^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right| d x \leq 1 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|f_{B_{1}}\right| D u_{j}\right|^{p-2} D u_{j}: D \varphi d x \mid \leq M^{1-p} 2^{-j}\left(f_{B_{1}}|\varphi(x)|^{\beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Then there exists a $\tilde{u} \in W^{1, q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{j}=\tilde{u} \quad \text { in } W^{1, q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)
$$

for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{1 / 2}}|D \tilde{u}|^{p-2} D \tilde{u}: D \varphi d x=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $1<q<q_{0}$ and $q_{1}=\left(q+q_{0}\right) / 2$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a $c=c(n, N, p, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{q} d x \leq c \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{q_{1}} d x \leq c \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.
For convenience we will constantly use $c=c(n, N, p, q)$ without mentioning further, the value of which may vary from line to line.

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume there exist $\tilde{u} \in W^{1, q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right), b \in L^{q /(p-1)}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ and $h \in L^{q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{q} d x+\sup _{j} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{q} d x+\sup _{j} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{q_{1}} d x<\infty,  \tag{3.25}\\
& D \bar{u}_{j} \rightharpoonup D \widetilde{u}, \quad\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| \rightarrow h \quad \text { weakly in } L^{q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right),  \tag{3.26}\\
& \left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j} \rightarrow b \text { weakly in } \quad L^{q /(p-1)}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right) \text { and }  \tag{3.27}\\
& \bar{u}_{j} \longrightarrow \widetilde{u} \text { strongly in } L^{q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right) \text { and pointwise in } B_{3 / 4} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of (3.21) and (3.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{3 / 4}}|\widetilde{u}| d x \leq 2^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{q} d x \leq c . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we aim to prove that $h=0$ almost everywhere, from which the lemma follows at once. To this end it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\bar{x})=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\bar{x} \in B_{3 / 4}$ which is a Lebesgue point simultaneously for $\tilde{u}, D \tilde{u}, h$ and $b$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} f_{B_{\theta}(\bar{x})}\left[|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{u}(\bar{x})|+|D \widetilde{u}-D \widetilde{u}(\bar{x})|+|h-h(\bar{x})|+|b-b(\bar{x})|^{1 /(p-1)}\right]^{q} d x=0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{u}(\bar{x})|+|D \widetilde{u}(\bar{x})|+|h(\bar{x})|+|b(\bar{x})|<\infty . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, with (3.30) in mind, $D \bar{u}_{j} \rightarrow D \widetilde{u}$ strongly in $L^{1}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$. Whence the second bound in (3.29) and interpolation yield

$$
\left\|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)} \leq\left\|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)}\left\|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right\|_{L^{q_{1}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0,
$$

where $\theta$ is such that $1 / q=\theta+(1-\theta) / q_{1}$.
Now back to the proof of (3.30), let $\bar{x} \in B_{3 / 4}$ be a simultaneous Lebesgue point for $\tilde{u}, D \tilde{u}, h$ and $b$. Set

$$
\alpha_{\sigma}(x):=(\widetilde{u})_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}+D \widetilde{u}(\bar{x}) \cdot(x-\bar{x})
$$

for all $\sigma \in(0,3 / 4)$. Poincare's inequality for $\alpha_{\sigma}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|\frac{\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q} d x \leq c \lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|D \widetilde{u}-D \widetilde{u}(\bar{x})|^{q} d x=0 . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.26) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h(\bar{x}) & =\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \\
& =\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x+\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \\
& =I+I I . \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We aim to show that $I=I I=0$. For this we estimate each term separately. Term $I I$ turns out to be easier to estimate so we do it first.

Term II: We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \leq f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma\right\}} h d x . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \leq & f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\widetilde{u}\right| \geq \sigma / 2\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \\
& +f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma / 2\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By invoking (3.25) and (3.28) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\widetilde{u}\right| \geq \sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x & \left(f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}\left(\frac{\left|\left\{x \in B_{3 / 4}:\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\widetilde{u}\right|\right\}\right| \geq \sigma / 2}{\left|B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})\right|}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This justifies (3.35).
Next we use (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma / 2\right\}} h d x & \leq\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} h^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \sigma / 2\right\}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
\leq & c\left[\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|h-h(\bar{x})|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}+h(\bar{x})\right]\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|\frac{\bar{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $I I=0$.

Term I: One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \widetilde{u}\right| d x \leq & f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right| d x \\
& +2^{n} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \widetilde{u}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \widetilde{u}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right| d x \leq \lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})}|D \widetilde{u}-D \widetilde{u}(\bar{x})| d x=0
$$

by (3.31), it remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right| d x=0 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right| d x \leq & \left(f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|+\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})}\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|+\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right)^{2-p} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second integral on the right-hand side is bounded uniformly in $j$ due to (3.24) and (3.29). Hence to achieve (3.36), it suffices to show that

$$
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|+\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{2} d x=0
$$

To this end, let $\phi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})\right)$ be such that

$$
0 \leq \phi \leq 1,\left.\quad \phi\right|_{B_{\sigma / 2}(\bar{x})}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad|D \phi| \leq \frac{4}{\sigma}
$$

Set $\eta:=\phi T_{\sigma}\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)$, where $T_{\sigma}$ is defined by (3.6). It follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right): D \eta \\
& \quad=\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right\}}\left[\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right): D\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)\right] \phi \\
& \quad+\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|>\sigma\right\}} \frac{\sigma}{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|}\left[\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right):\left(I-P_{j}\right) D\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)\right] \phi \\
& \quad+\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right):\left[T_{\sigma}\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \otimes D \phi\right] \\
& \quad=: G_{j, \sigma}^{1}(x)+G_{j, \sigma}^{2}(x)+G_{j, \sigma}^{3}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
P_{j}:=\frac{\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \otimes\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)}{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad P:=\frac{\left(\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \otimes\left(\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)}{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Since $\alpha_{\sigma}$ is affine, one has

$$
\int_{B_{1}}\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}: D \eta d x=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{1}(x) d x \leq 2^{-j} \sigma^{1-n}-f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{2}(x) d x-f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{3}(x) d x \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the monotonicity of the vector field $z \mapsto|z|^{p-2} z$ in the first step.
Next we estimate the two integrals on the right-hand side of the above inequality.
Integral of $G_{j, \sigma}^{3}$ : First we deduce from (3.24) that $\left\{\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ is bounded in $L^{q /(p-1)}$. This together with (3.27) and (3.28) imply that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{3}(x) d x=f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left(b-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right):\left[T_{\sigma}\left(\bar{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \otimes D \phi\right] d x
$$

Holder's inequality then gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left(b-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right):\left[T_{\sigma}\left(\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \otimes D \phi\right] d x\right| \\
\leq & c\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|b-b(\bar{x})|^{q /(p-1)}+|b(\bar{x})|^{q /(p-1)}+|D \widetilde{x}(\bar{x})|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \\
& \times\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left(\frac{\min \left\{\sigma,\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right\}}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} d x\right)^{1-\frac{p-1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the first integral on the right-hand side is bounded. For the second integral, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left(\frac{\min \left\{\sigma,\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right\}}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}} d x & \leq f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left(\frac{\min \left\{\sigma,\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right\}}{\sigma}\right)^{q} d x \\
& \leq f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|\frac{\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q} d x \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\frac{q}{q-(p-1)}>q$ and (3.33) in the first and second steps respectively.
Consequently

$$
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{3}(x) d x\right|=0
$$

Integral of $G_{j, \sigma}^{2}$ : We have $D \bar{u}_{j}:\left[\left(I-P_{j}\right) D \bar{u}_{j}\right] \geq 0$ by a similar argument to that of (3.8). Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}\right):\left(I-P_{j}\right) D\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \\
\geq & -\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}:\left(I-P_{j}\right) D \alpha_{\sigma}-\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}:\left(I-P_{j}\right) D\left(\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) . \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe also that $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \alpha_{\sigma}\right\}} P_{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \alpha_{\sigma}\right\}} P$ a.e. and hence strongly in $L^{s}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ for every $s \geq 1$. The same also applies to the convergence $\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \alpha_{\sigma}\right\}}\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right| \geq \alpha_{\sigma}\right\}}\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{-1}$. These in combination with (3.38) and (3.27) yield that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(-f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{2}(x) d x\right) \leq & f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} b:(I-P) D \alpha_{\sigma} \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|>\sigma\right\}}}{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|} d x \\
& +f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}:(I-P) D\left(\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|>\sigma\right\}}}{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we estimate each on the right-hand side separately. As $q>p-1$ there exists an $s>1$ such that
$\frac{q(s-1)}{q-p+1} \leq q$. Keeping in mind (3.33) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} b:(I-P) D \alpha_{\sigma} \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|>\sigma\right\}}}{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|} d x\right| & \leq c f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|b|\left|\frac{\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{s-1} d x \\
& \leq c\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|b|^{q /(p-1)} d x\right)^{(p-1) / q}\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|\frac{\bar{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{(s-1) / q} \\
& \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

At the same time,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{p-2} D \alpha_{\sigma}:(I-P) D\left(\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right) \frac{\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|>\sigma\right\}}}{\left|\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|} d x \\
\leq & c f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|D\left(\widetilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right)\right|\left|\frac{\tilde{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q-1} d x \\
\leq & c\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}|D \bar{u}-D \bar{u}(\bar{x})|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}\left(f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})}\left|\frac{\bar{u}-\alpha_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1-1 / q} \\
& \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\limsup \limsup _{\sigma \rightarrow 0}\left(-f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{2}(x) d x\right) \leq 0
$$

This finishes our estimate for the integral of $G_{j, \sigma^{*}}^{2}$
Continuing with (3.37) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} G_{j, \sigma}^{1}(x) d x=0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed with the proof of (3.36). It follows from (3.39) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\limsup _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\sigma}(\bar{x})} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}-\alpha_{\sigma}\right|<\sigma\right\}}\left(\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|+\left|D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}-D \alpha_{\sigma}\right|^{2} \phi d x=0
$$

Hence $I=0$.

That $h(\bar{x})=0$ now follows from (3.34), whence $D u \in L^{q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$. Lastly, we let $j \longrightarrow \infty$ in (3.22) to obtain (3.23). This completes our proof.

We now have enough preparation to derive Proposition 3.1,

Proof of Proposition 3.1, We proceed via a proof by contradiction. Our arguments follow [KM18, Step 5 in Proof of Theorem 4.1] closely.

For a contradiction, assume that there exist an $\epsilon>0$ and sequences of balls $\left\{B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ and $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \subset$ $W^{1, p}\left(B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)}\left|u_{j}\right| d x \leq M r_{j} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad\left|f_{B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)}\right| D u_{j}\right|^{p-2} D u_{j}: D \phi d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{2^{-j}}{r_{j}}\|\phi\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}\left(B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)}}\right. \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$, whereas

$$
\left(f_{B_{r_{j} / 2}\left(x_{j}\right)}\left|D u_{j}-D v\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}>\epsilon
$$

for all $v \in W^{1, p}\left(B_{r_{j} / 2}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$ being $p$-harmonic in $B_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and satisfying

$$
f_{B_{r_{j} / 2}\left(x_{j}\right)}|v| d x \leq 2^{n} M r_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(f_{B_{r_{j} / 2}\left(x_{j}\right)}|D v|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq\left(\frac{2^{n} c}{\mid B_{1}}\right)^{1 / q} M
$$

for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$, where $c=c(n, N, p, q)$.
For the rest of the proof, $c$ will always denote a constant depending on $n, N, p, q$ only whose value may vary from line to line.

We first perform a scaling on $u_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For convenience, we denote $u_{0}=u$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(B) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}(B)$ let

$$
\bar{u}_{j}(x)=\frac{u_{j}\left(x_{0}+r x\right)}{M r} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta(x)=\frac{\varphi\left(x_{0}+r x\right)}{r}
$$

Then (3.2), (3.3) and (3.40) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{1}}\left|\bar{u}_{j}\right| d x \leq 1 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|f_{B_{1}}\right| D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}_{j}: D \eta d x \mid \leq M^{1-p} \delta_{j}\|\eta\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)}} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{j}:= \begin{cases}\delta & \text { if } j=0 \\ 2^{-j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\left\|\bar{u}_{j}\right\|_{W^{1, q\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)}} \leq c
$$

for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
Using Lemma 3.4 there exists a $\tilde{u} \in W^{1, q}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{3 / 4}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{j}=\tilde{u} \quad \text { in } W^{1, q}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{3 / 4}\right)
$$

for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$ with the property that

$$
f_{B_{1 / 2}}|D \tilde{u}|^{p-2} D \tilde{u}: D \varphi d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$.
We aim to show that $\widetilde{u}$ is $p$-harmonic. In particular, we will show that $D \widetilde{u} \in L^{p}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$.
Let $\phi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{B_{1 / 2}}=1$. It follows from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{1} \cap\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{j}\right|<t\right\}}\left|D \bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p} \phi^{p} d x \leq & c \int_{B_{1} \cap\left\{\left\{\bar{u}_{j} \mid<t\right\}\right.}\left|\bar{u}_{j}\right|^{p}|D \phi|^{p} d x+c M^{1-p} \delta_{j} t^{\theta}\left\|\bar{u}_{j} \phi^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\left(B_{1}\right)}}^{1-\theta} \\
& +c t \int_{B_{1} \cap\left\{\left|\overline{u_{j}}\right| \geq t\right\}}|D \bar{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking the inferior limit both sides of this inequality when $j \rightarrow \infty$ and then referring to Fatou's lemma for the left-hand side, one has

$$
\int_{B_{3 / 4} \cap\{|\widetilde{u}|<t\}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p} \phi^{p} d x \leq c \int_{B_{3 / 4} \cap\{|\widetilde{u}|<t\}}|\widetilde{u}|^{p}|D \phi|^{p} d x+c t \int_{B_{3 / 4} \cap\{|\widetilde{u}| \geq t\}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x
$$

for all $t>0$.
Next let $\gamma \in(0,1)$. By multiplying the above inequality by $(1+t)^{-1-\gamma}$, integrating over $(0, \infty)$ with respect to $t$ and then invoking Fubini's theorem we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \widetilde{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\gamma}} d x \leq & \frac{c}{\gamma} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma}|D \phi|^{p} d x \\
& +c \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\gamma}} \int_{B_{3 / 4} \cap\{|\widetilde{u}| \geq t\}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

To handle the second integral on the right-hand side of this inequality, an application of Fubini's theorem and

Young's inequality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\gamma}} \int_{B_{3 / 4} \cap\{|\widetilde{u}| \geq t\}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p-1}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x d t \leq & \frac{c}{1-\gamma} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p-1}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma}|D \phi| \phi^{p-1} d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2 \gamma} \int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \widetilde{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\gamma}} d x \\
& +\frac{c \gamma^{p-1}}{(1-\gamma)^{p}} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma}|D \phi|^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}} \frac{|D \widetilde{u}|^{p} \phi^{p}}{(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\gamma}} d x \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^{p}} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma}|D \phi|^{p} d x . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this there are two possibilities. If $n<p^{2}$ then $p<q_{0}$, from which it follows that $u \in L^{p}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{3 / 4}\right)$. So taking $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in (3.43) yields $D u \in L^{p}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$. It remains to consider $p^{2} \leq n$. In this case choose $\gamma \geq \frac{n-p^{2}}{n-p}$. Using the fact that $\tilde{u} \in W^{1, q}\left(B_{3 / 4}\right)$ for all $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$ we deduce that right-hand side in (3.43) is finite.

Since

$$
\left|D\left((1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}}\right)\right|^{p} \leq\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{p}\right)^{p}|D \widetilde{u}|^{p}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{-\gamma},
$$

(3.43) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left|D\left((1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\frac{p-\gamma}{p}} \phi\right)\right|^{p} d x \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^{p}} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma}|D \phi|^{p} d x . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\theta=\frac{n}{n-p}=\frac{p^{*}}{p}$, where $p^{*}$ denotes the Sobolev's exponent. Using Sobolev's inequality and (3.44), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B_{3 / 4}}\left((1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{1-\gamma / p} \phi\right)^{\theta_{p}} d x\right)^{1 / \theta} \leq \frac{c}{(1-\gamma)^{p}} \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma} \phi|D \phi|^{p} d x \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we use an iterating argument in the spirit of (finite) Moser's interation to derive the claim. Define

$$
q_{j}=\theta^{j}(p-\gamma), \quad \gamma_{j}=p-q_{j}, \quad B_{j}=B_{5 / 8+1 /(j+1)}
$$

and correspondingly choose $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(B^{j}\right)$ such that

$$
0 \leq \phi_{j} \leq 1, \quad \phi_{j+1} \leq \phi_{j} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \phi_{j}\right|_{B_{j+1}}=1
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing.

Now (3.45) reads

$$
\left(\int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{\theta\left(p-\gamma_{j}\right)} \phi_{j}^{\theta p}\right)^{1 / \theta} \leq c \int_{B_{3 / 4}}(1+|\widetilde{u}|)^{p-\gamma_{j}}\left|D \phi_{j}\right|^{p} d x
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, provided that $\gamma_{j}>0$. In other words $u \in L^{q_{j}}\left(B^{j}\right)$ implies $u \in L^{q_{j+1}}\left(B^{j+1}\right)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma_{j}>0$.

Let $j_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\gamma_{j_{0}+1} \leq 0$. Then $u \in L^{q_{0}+1}\left(B^{j_{0}+1}\right)$. This in particular yields $\tilde{u} \in L^{p}\left(B_{5 / 8}\right)$. Combining this with (3.43) and then taking the limit when $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ give $D \tilde{u} \in L^{p}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$.

The claim now follows by reversing the scaling process at the beginning of the proof.

The following lemmas are direct consequences of Proposition 3.1

Lemma 3.5. Let $\beta \in(1, \infty)$ be such that

$$
\frac{n p}{n-p}<\beta^{\prime}<\frac{n}{n(2-p)-1} .
$$

Let $B=B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ be a ball and $f \in L^{\beta}(B)$. Let $u \in W^{1, p}(B)$ be a weak solution to (1.1) in $B$. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$, where $q_{0}$ is defined in (3.1). Then there exist $\delta=\delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in(0,1)$ and a p-harmonic map $v$ in $\frac{1}{2} B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r} f_{B}\left|u-(u)_{B_{r}}\right| d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use a scaling argument with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}:=\frac{u-(u)_{B}}{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{f}:=\frac{f}{\lambda^{p-1}}, \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda:=\frac{1}{r} f_{B}\left|u-(u)_{B}\right| d x+\left[\frac{r}{\delta}\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

and $\delta=\delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon)$ is given in Proposition 3.1 with $M=1$.

It follows that

$$
f_{B}|\bar{u}| d x \leq r \quad \text { and } \quad-\Delta_{p} \bar{u}=\bar{f} \quad \text { in } B .
$$

If $\lambda=0$ then $u$ is constant and so we can choose $v=u$.

Next assume that $\lambda>0$. We have

$$
\left.\left|f_{B}\right| D \bar{u}\right|^{p-2} D \bar{u}: D \varphi d x \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}}\left(f_{B}|\varphi|^{\beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}}\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta} \leq \frac{\delta}{r}\left(f_{B}|\varphi|^{\beta^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \beta^{\prime}}\right.,
$$

for all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(B) \cap L^{\beta^{\prime}}(B)$. Therefore by Proposition 3.1 there exists a $p$-harmonic map $\bar{v}$ in $\frac{1}{2} B$ such that

$$
\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D \bar{u}-D \bar{v}|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq \varepsilon
$$

Scaling back to $u$ with $v=\lambda \bar{v}$ we obtain (3.46). To finish note that $v$ is $p$-harmonic.

Proposition 3.6. Adopt the assumptions and notation in Lemma 3.5 Then there exist constants

$$
\delta=\delta(n, N, p, q, \varepsilon) \in(0,1), C=C(n, p, q)>0
$$

and a $p$-harmonic map $v \in W^{1, p}\left(\frac{1}{2} B\right)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}+\varepsilon\left(f_{B}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

and

$$
\|D v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4} B\right)} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}+C(1+\varepsilon)\left(f_{B}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.5, Poincare's and Holder's inequalities, there exists a $p$-harmonic map $v \in$ $W^{1, p}\left(\frac{1}{2} B\right)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq \varepsilon\left(f_{B}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}
$$

Next it follows from [KM18, (3.6)] that

$$
\|D v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{4} B\right)} \leq C f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D v| d x \leq C\left(f_{\frac{1}{2} B}|D v|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

for a constant $C=C(n, p, q)$.
The claim now follows by combining these two estimates together.

## 4 Good- $\lambda$ type bounds

In this section we present a good- $\lambda$-type estimate - Proposition 4.3. In order to do this, we need two auxiliary results.

The first one can be viewed as a (weighted) substitution for the Calderon-Zygmund-Krylov-Safonov decomposition (cf. [MP11]).

Lemma 4.1. Let $\omega$ be an $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$-weight and B be a ball of radius $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $E \subset F \subset B$ be measurable and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ satisfy the following property:
(i) $\quad \omega(E)<\varepsilon \omega(B)$.
(ii) $\quad \omega\left(E \cap B_{\rho}(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon \omega\left(B_{\rho}(x)\right)$ implies $B_{\rho}(x) \cap B \subset F$ for all $x \in B$ and $\rho \in(0, R]$.

Then there exists a $C=C\left(n,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right)$ such that $\omega(E) \leq C \varepsilon \omega(F)$.

The next result is a variation of Lemma4.1.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\omega$ be an $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$-weight. Let $E \subset F$ be measurable and $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ satisfy the following property: For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $R \in(0, \infty)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(E \cap B_{R}(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon \omega\left(B_{R}(x)\right) \quad \text { implies } \quad B_{R}(x) \subset F . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $a C=C\left(n,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right)$ such that $\omega(E) \leq C \varepsilon \omega(F)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega(E) \vee \omega(F)<\infty$. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $R$ be sufficiently large such that $\omega(E)<\varepsilon \omega\left(B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Set $S=E \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $T=F \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$. The claim follows directly from Lemma 4.1 with $S, T, B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\varepsilon$.

Indeed, we have $\omega(S) \leq \omega(E)<\varepsilon \omega\left(B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Assume that $x \in B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\rho \in(0, R]$ satisfy

$$
\omega\left(S \cap B_{\rho}(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon \omega\left(B_{\rho}(x)\right) .
$$

Obviously we also have

$$
\omega\left(E \cap B_{\rho}(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon \omega\left(B_{\rho}(x)\right) .
$$

Therefore (4.1) implies $B_{\rho}(x) \subset F$, from which it follows that $B_{\rho}(x) \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset F \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)=T$.
Next Lemma4.1] asserts that there exists a $C=C\left(n,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right)$ such that $\omega\left(E \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq C \varepsilon \omega\left(F \cap B_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$. Now we let $R$ tend to infinity to complete the proof.

Recall the maximal function defined by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\beta}(f)(x)=\sup _{\rho>0} \rho^{\beta} f_{B_{\rho}(x)}|f(y)| d y
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\beta \in[0, n]$. The case $\beta=0$ corresponds to the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_{0}$.

We now turn to the aforementioned good- $\lambda$-type estimate.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\omega \in \mathbf{A}_{\infty}, \epsilon>0$ and $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$. Let $\beta \in(1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{n p}{n-p}<\beta^{\prime}<\frac{n}{n(2-p)-1}$ and $f \in L^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then there exist constants

$$
C=C\left(n,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right), \quad \Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(n, p, q)>3^{n / q} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=\delta\left(n, p, q, \varepsilon,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right) \in(0,1)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left[\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / q}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda,\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}} \leq \delta^{1 /(p-1)} \lambda\right\}\right] \\
\leq & C \varepsilon \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / q}>\lambda\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$.

## Proof. Set

$$
E_{\lambda, \delta}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(y)\right)^{1 / q}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda,\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}} \leq \delta^{1 /(p-1)} \lambda\right\}
$$

and

$$
F_{\lambda}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(y)\right)^{1 / q}>\lambda\right\}
$$

for each $\delta \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda>0$. Here $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(n, p, q)$ is to be chosen later.
We will use Lemma 4.2 for $E_{\lambda, \delta}$ and $F_{\lambda}$. That is, we will verify that

$$
\omega\left(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_{r}(x)\right) \geq \varepsilon \omega\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad B_{r}(x) \subset F_{\lambda}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r \in(0, \infty)$ and $\lambda>0$, provided that $\delta$ is sufficiently small.
Indeed, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r \in(0, \infty)$ and $\lambda>0$. To avoid triviality, we consider $E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_{r}(x) \neq \emptyset$. By contraposition, assume that $B_{r}(x) \cap F_{\lambda}^{c} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $x_{1}, x_{2} \in B_{r}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{1 / q} \leq \lambda \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}} \leq \delta^{1 /(p-1)} \lambda \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We aim to show that

$$
\omega\left(E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_{r}(x)\right)<\varepsilon \omega\left(B_{r}(x)\right)
$$

First note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(y)\right)^{1 / q} \leq \max \left\{\left(\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D u|^{q}\right)(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, 3^{n / q} \lambda\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in B_{r}(x)$. Indeed, if $\rho \leq r$ then

$$
f_{B_{\rho}(y)}|D u|^{q} d x=f_{B_{\rho}(y)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D u|^{q} d x \leq \mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D u|^{q}\right)(y)
$$

Otherwise $B_{\rho}(y) \subset B_{2 r+\rho}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and we have

$$
f_{B_{\rho}(y)}|D u|^{q} d x \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{\rho}(y)\right|} \int_{B_{3 \rho}\left(x_{1}\right)}|D u|^{q} d x=3^{n} f_{B_{3 \rho}\left(x_{1}\right)} \mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 3^{n} \lambda^{q}
$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$
E_{\lambda, \delta} \cap B_{r}(x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D u|^{q}\right)(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda,\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}} \leq \delta^{1 /(p-1)} \lambda\right\} \cap B_{r}(x)
$$

for all $\lambda>0$ and $\Lambda_{0} \geq 3^{n / q}$.
Applying Proposition 3.6 to $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f, B=B_{8 r}(x)$ and $\eta \in(0,1)$, there exist constants $\delta=$ $\delta\left(n, p, q, \varepsilon,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right) \in(0,1), C_{0}=C_{0}(n, p, q)>0$ and a $p$-harmonic map $v \in W^{1, p}\left(B_{4 r}(x)\right)$ such that

$$
\|D v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2 r}(x)\right)} \leq \frac{C_{0} \eta}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|f|^{\beta} d y\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}+C_{0}(1+\eta)\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|D u|^{q} d y\right)^{1 / q}
$$

and

$$
\left(f_{B_{4 r}(x)}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[r\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}+\eta\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Using (4.2) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|D v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{2 r}(x)\right)} & \leq \frac{C_{0} \eta}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}+C_{0}(1+\eta)\left[\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \leq C_{0}(1+\eta) \lambda \leq 2 C_{0} \lambda \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{B_{4 r}(x)}|D u-D v|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left[R\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|f|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / \beta}\right]^{1 /(p-1)}+\eta\left(f_{B_{8 r}(x)}|D u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq \frac{\eta}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)\left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}+\eta\left[\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \leq \eta \lambda \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\left[\mathbf{M}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{3} f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)\right]^{1 / q} \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left[\mathbf{M}\left(\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)\right]^{1 / q}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E \cap B_{r}(x)\right| \leq & \left|\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D(u-v)|^{q}(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda / 9\right\} \cap B_{r}(x)\right| \\
& +\left|\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D v|^{q}(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda / 9\right\} \cap B_{r}(x)\right| \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (4.4) there holds

$$
\left|y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left\{\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D v|^{q}(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda / 9\right\} \cap B_{r}(x)\right|=0,
$$

provided that $\Lambda_{0} \geq \max \left\{3^{n / q}, 30 C_{0}\right\}$.

Combining (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E \cap B_{r}(x)\right| \leq & \left|\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left[\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D(u-v)|^{q}(y)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda / 9\right\} \cap B_{r}(x)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{q}} \int_{B_{2 r}(x)}|D(u-v)|^{q} d x \leq C \eta^{q} r^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\mathbf{M}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ in the second step.
Thus

$$
\omega\left(E \cap B_{r}(x)\right) \leq c\left(\frac{\left|E \cap B_{r}(x)\right|}{\left|B_{r}(x)\right|}\right)^{v} \omega\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{r}(x)\right) \leq c\left(C \eta^{q}\right)^{\nu} \omega\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{r}(x)\right)<\varepsilon \omega\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{r}(x)\right)
$$

where we chose $\eta$ small enough such that $c\left(C \eta^{q}\right)^{v}<\epsilon$.

This completes our proof.

## 5 Global weighted gradient estimates

With the knowledge from the previous sections, we are now ready to tackle the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1.3, By Theorem 4.3, for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $q \in\left(1, q_{0}\right)$, where $q_{0}$ is defined in (3.1) there exist constants $C=C\left(n,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right), \delta=\delta\left(n, p, q, \varepsilon,[\omega]_{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}\right) \in(0,1)$ and $\Lambda_{0}=\Lambda_{0}(n, p, q)>3^{n / q}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / q}>\Lambda_{0} \lambda,\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}} \leq \delta^{1 /(p-1)} \lambda\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / q}>\lambda\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$.
By hypothesis $\Phi$ is invertible and $\Phi^{-1}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left[\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right]^{1 / q}>\Phi^{-1}(t)\right\}\right) \leq & \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}>\frac{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}{\Lambda_{0}} \Phi^{-1}(t)\right\}\right) \\
& +C \varepsilon \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / q}>\frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{\Lambda_{0}}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t>0$. This in turn implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
\leq & C \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\Lambda_{0}\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
\leq & C \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: H_{1} \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: H_{2} \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\Phi(2 t) \leq c \Phi(t)$ and $\Phi$ is increasing in the second step. Here $T>0, H_{1}=c^{\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)\right\rceil}$ and $H_{2}=c^{\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{0}}{\delta^{1 /(p-1)}}\right)\right\rceil}$, in which $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ denotes the ceiling function.

Using a change of variables we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
\leq & H_{1} C \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{H_{1}}} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
& +H_{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{H_{2}}} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we choose $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2 H_{1} C}$ so that the first integral on the right is absorbed by the left-hand term, which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t \\
\leq & 2 H_{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{H_{2}}} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right]>t\right\}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(|f|) \omega d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Phi(|f(x)|)>t\right\}\right) d t
$$

Thus by letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we arrive at

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}\left(|D u|^{q}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \omega d x \leq 2 H_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left[\left(\mathbf{M}_{\beta}\left(|f|^{\beta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{(p-1) \beta}}\right] \omega d x
$$

as required.
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