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#### Abstract

We prove global existence of the $3 D$ relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system for a class of arbitrary large regular initial data with spherical symmetry, in which the initial distribution function of particles is assumed to decay fast but polynomially towards infinity.


## 1. Introduction

The $3 D$ relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system is one of the fundamental models in the collisionless plasma physics. It reads as follows,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} f+\hat{v} \cdot \nabla_{x} f+(E+\hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla_{v} f=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\nabla \cdot E=4 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) d v, \quad \nabla \cdot B=0 \\
\partial_{t} E=\nabla \times B-4 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) \hat{v} d v, \quad \partial_{t} B=-\nabla \times E \\
f(0, x, v)=f_{0}(x, v), \quad E(0, x)=E_{0}(x), \quad B(0, x)=B_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{v}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$denotes the distribution function of particles, $E, B: \mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denote the electromagnetic field, $\hat{v}:=v / \sqrt{1+|v|^{2}}$. As a result of direct computations, we can reduce the Maxwell system into the standard wave equations as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}^{2} B-\Delta B=-4 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \hat{v} \times \nabla_{x} f(t, x, v) d v, \quad \partial_{t}^{2} E-\Delta E=-4 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \hat{v} \partial_{t} f(t, x, v) d v-4 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla_{x} f(t, x, v) d v . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following conservation law holds for the RVM system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|E^{2}(t, x)\right|+\left|B^{2}(t, x)\right| d x+8 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sqrt{1+|v|^{2}} f(t, x, v) d v=\mathcal{H}(0) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for any $p \in[1, \infty]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, x, v)\|_{L_{x, v}^{p}}=\|f(0, x, v)\|_{L_{x, v}^{p}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the following system of equations satisfied by the backward characteristics,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d s} X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\widehat{V}\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
\frac{d}{d s} V\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)=K\left(s, X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right), V\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right) \\
X\left(t ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)=x_{0}, V\left(t ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K(s, x, v):=E(s, x)+\hat{v} \times B(s, x)$. Due to the transport nature of the Vlasov equation, we have $f\left(t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)=$ $f\left(s, X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right), V\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right)$ for any $s \in(-T, T)$, where $T$ denotes the maximal time of existence.

There is a large literature in the study of the Vlasov-Maxwell system. Large data global solutions for the RVM have been constructed in two dimensions and two and half dimensions, we refer readers to [4, 13] and reference therein for more comprehensive introduction and more details. Moreover, global solutions for the RVM in the three dimensions for small data have also been constructed, we refer readers to [6, 19, 21] for more details.

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the large data Cauchy problem of RVM in the $3 D$ case. The result of GlasseyStrauss [5] says that the classical solution can be globally extended as long as the particle density has compact support in $v$ for all the time. A new proof of this result based on Fourier analysis was given by Klainerman-Staffilani [9], which adds a new perspective to the study of $3 D$ RVM system, see also [3, 14, 20]. An interesting line of research is the continuation criterion for the global existence of the Vlasov-Maxwell system. In [7], Glassey-Strauss showed that the lifespan of the solution of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system can be continued if the initial data decay at rate $|v|^{-7}$ as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|(1+|v|) f(t, x, v)\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{v}^{1}}$ remains bounded for all time. An improvement of this result and a new continuation criterion was given by Luk-Strain [13], which says that a regular solution can be extended as long as $\left\|\left(1+|v|^{2}\right)^{\theta / 2} f(t, x, v)\right\|_{L_{x}^{q} L_{v}^{1}}$ remains bounded for $\theta>2 / q, 2<q \leq+\infty$, see also Kunze[10], Pallard[15], and Patel[16] for the recent improvements on the continuation criterion.

We assume that the initial data $\left(f_{0}(x, v), E_{0}(x), B_{0}(x)\right)$ are spherically symmetric in the following sense,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(R x, R v)=f_{0}(x, v), \quad E_{0}(R x)=R E_{0}(x), \quad B_{0}(R x)=R B_{0}(x), \quad \forall R \in S O(3) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of direct computation and the uniqueness of solution, we know that the above radial symmetry property can be propagated from the initial data. Our main result in this paper is summarized as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that initial data $\left(f_{0}, E_{0}, B_{0}\right)$ are radial (in the sense of (1.6), $f_{0}(x, v) \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{v}^{3}\right), E_{0}, B_{0} \in$ $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, s \geq 6$. Moreover, for $N_{0}:=10^{8}$, we assume that the following estimate holds for the initial distribution function $f_{0}(x, v)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{6},|\alpha| \leq s}\left\|(1+|x|+|v|)^{N_{0}} \nabla_{x, v}^{\alpha} f_{0}(x, v)\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}}<+\infty \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system (1.1) admits global solution $(f(t), E(t), B(t))$ in $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{v}^{3}\right) \times H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{3}\right) \times$ $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{3}\right)$.
1.1. Local theory and the main idea of proof. Since the assumption imposed on the initial data in 1.7) is stronger than the assumptions required for initial data in the work of Luk-Strain [13], the continuation criteria obtained there can be applied directly in this paper. From the work of Luk-Strain [13], we know that the $3 D$ relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system is local well-posed and the regularity can be propagated within the time interval of existence $[0, T)$, where $T$ denotes the maximal time of existence. Moreover, from the work of Luk-Strain [13], we know that the lifespan can be extended to $[0, T+\epsilon]$ for some positive number $\epsilon$ if the following assumption holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T)} \sup _{x_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|E\left(s, X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right)\right|+\left|B\left(s, X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right)\right|\right) d s<+\infty . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Readers are refereed to [13][Theorem 5.7] for more details.
The main goal of this paper is to show that the acceleration accumulated along characteristics is indeed uniformly bounded for all time. Hence finishing the proof of global regularity for the $3 D$ relativistic RVM system.

To this end, we propagate a high order moment of the distribution function of particles. By using the Glassey-Strauss decomposition and the spherical symmetry of the solution, we show that the quantity in 1.8 is controlled from the above by the moment of the distribution function, see the estimate 4.17) in Lemma 4.4

Actually, we not only show that the boundedness of the high order moment but also show that it grows at most polynomially over time, see the estimates (3.28) and (3.29). To this end, as summarized in the Proposition 3.1, the main observation is that the majority of particles, which are localized around zero due to the polynomial decay assumption on the initial data, will not be accelerated much after the speed of particles reaches a certain level.

Intuitively speaking, particles will travel toward infinity when the speed of particles reaches a certain level. Due to the spherical symmetry, the electromagnetic field is localized around zero and it becomes weaker as the radius becomes larger. As a result, the accumulate acceleration caused by the electromagnetic field is not strong. To get more transparent intuition, we refer readers to [22] for a similar result in the $3 D$ relativistic Vlasov-Poisson system, which is a simpler model of RVM.

To prove Proposition 3.1, we measure carefully the gain and the loss of using the smoothing effects. One type of the smoothing effects comes from the oscillation in time for the electromagnetic field itself. The other type of the smoothing effect, as pointed out by Klainerman-Staffilani [9], is that the integration of electromagnetic field along the characteristic is smoother.

This paper is organized as follows.

- In section 2, we introduce the notation and prove two basic lemmas used in this paper.
- In section3, we introduce the set-up of propagation of moment and prove the Theorem 1.1 under the assumption that we have a good control of the electromagnetic field and the increment of characteristics in terms of the moment of the distribution function.
- In section 4 , we give a rough control of the electromagnetic field based on the Glassey-Strauss decomposition.
- In section 5, by exploiting the smoothing effect, we use a Fourier method to control the increment of the magnitudes of the spatial characteristic $X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ and the velocity characteristic $V\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ over time.

Acknowledgment The author is supported by NSFC-11801299.

## 2. Preliminary

For any two numbers $A$ and $B$, we use $A \lesssim B$ and $B \gtrsim A$ to denote $A \leq C B$, where $C$ is an absolute constant. We use the convention that all constants which only depend on the initial data, e.g., the conserved quantities $\left(\|f(t, x, v)\|_{L_{x, v}^{p}}\right.$, $\left.p \in[1, \infty],\left\|\left(1+|v|^{2}\right) f(t, x, v)\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{1}},\|E(t)\|_{L^{2}},\|B(t)\|_{L^{2}}\right)$, will be treated as absolute constants.

For any two vectors $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we use $\angle(v, u)$ to denote the angle between $v$ and $u$ and use the convention that $\angle(v, u) \in$ $[0, \pi]$. For any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we use $r_{-}$to denote $\min \{r, 1\}$. For any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}$, we use $\tilde{v}:=v /|v| \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ to denotes the direction of $v$. Note that, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}$, we define $S_{v}:=\left\{\omega, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{2}, \omega \cdot \tilde{v}=0\right\}$ to be the great circle on sphere that is orthogonal to the direction $\tilde{v}$.

We fix an even smooth function $\tilde{\psi}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$, which is supported in $[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$ and equals to " 1 " in $[-5 / 4,5 / 4]$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the cutoff functions $\psi_{k}, \psi_{\leq k}, \psi_{\geq k}: \cup_{n=1,3} \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows,

$$
\psi_{k}(x):=\tilde{\psi}\left(|x| / 2^{k}\right)-\tilde{\psi}\left(|x| / 2^{k-1}\right), \quad \psi_{\leq k}(x):=\tilde{\psi}\left(|x| / 2^{k}\right)=\sum_{l \leq k} \psi_{l}(x), \quad \psi_{\geq k}(x):=1-\psi_{\leq k-1}(x)
$$

Moreover, for any $l, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq n$, we define the cutoff function $\varphi_{l ; n}(\cdot)$ with threshold $n$ as follows,

$$
\varphi_{l ; n}(x)= \begin{cases}\psi_{\leq n}(x) & \text { if } l=n  \tag{2.1}\\ \psi_{l}(x) & \text { if } l>n\end{cases}
$$

If $n=0$, then we use the convention that $\varphi_{j}(\cdot)$ denotes $\varphi_{j ; 0}(\cdot)$.
We first record the classic Kirchhoff's formula, which allows us to represent the solution of linear wave in physical space.
Lemma 2.1. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, the following equality holds,

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\nabla|^{-1} \sin (t|\nabla|) h(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} t \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} h(x+t \theta) d \theta  \tag{2.2}\\
|\nabla|^{-1} \cos (t|\nabla|) h(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}|\nabla|^{-1} h(x+t \theta) d \theta+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} t \theta \cdot \frac{\nabla}{|\nabla|} h(x+t \theta) d \theta \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} h(x+t \theta) d \theta d x=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} e^{i t \xi \cdot \theta} \hat{h}(\xi) d \theta=2 \pi \hat{h}(\xi) \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i t|\xi| \cos (\phi)} \sin (\phi) d \phi=\frac{4 \pi \sin (t|\xi|)}{t|\xi|} \hat{h}(\xi) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of the desired formula (2.2). Our desired equality (2.3) holds after taking derivative with respect to " $t$ " for the equality (2.2).

Recall the equations satisfied by the electromagnetic field in (1.2) and the Kirchhoff's formula in (2.2). From the Duhamel's formula, the following decomposition holds after we do dyadic decomposition for the velocity variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t)=K_{\text {free }}(t)+\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} K_{j}(t), \quad K \in\{E, B\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\text {free }}(t), K \in\{E, B\}$, denote the linear wave solution determined by the initial data of RVM (1.1),

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\text {free }}(t)=\cos (t|\nabla|) K_{0}+\left.\sin (t|\nabla|)|\nabla|^{-1}\left(\partial_{t} K\right)\right|_{t=0}, \quad K \in\{E, B\}  \tag{2.6}\\
E_{j}(t):=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(t-s)\left(\hat{v} \partial_{t} f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v)+\nabla_{x} f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v)\right) \varphi_{j}(v) d \theta d v d s  \tag{2.7}\\
B_{j}(t):=-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(t-s) \hat{v} \times \nabla_{x} f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d \theta d v d s \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The benefit of radial symmetry is mainly exploited in the following Lemma. Essentially speaking, it says that the average on sphere for an integrable radial function is well controlled if the center of sphere is far away from zero. Also, it's very natural that the radial symmetry won't provide any gain when the center is close to zero, which explains why the estimates (2.9) and (2.10) behaves badly when $r$ approaches to zero.

Lemma 2.2. For any fixed $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$, any radial function $h: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the following estimate holds for any $l \in \mathbb{Z} \cap$ $(-\infty, 2], x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} /\{|x|\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} h(x+s \omega) \psi_{\leq l}\left(\angle\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)\right) d \omega\right| \lesssim \frac{2^{l}}{\left(2^{l}+\left|\tilde{x} \times \omega_{0}\right|\right) r s} \min \left\{\frac{1}{|r-s|}\|h\|_{L_{x}^{1}}, \sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{3},|z| \in[|r-s|, r+s]} s|z \| h(z)|\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{x}:=x /|x|$ and $r:=|x|$. Moreover, for any fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and any radial function $f: \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{v}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$in the sense of (1.6), the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{S_{v}} f\left(x-a \tilde{v}-b \omega_{v}+s \omega, v\right) \psi_{\leq l}\left(\angle\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)\right) d \omega_{v} d \omega d v\right| \lesssim \frac{2^{l}\|f(x, v)\|_{L_{x, v}^{1}}}{\left(2^{l}+\left|\tilde{x} \times \omega_{0}\right|\right) r s|r-s|} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}, S_{v}:=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{2}, \theta \cdot \tilde{v}=0\right\}$.

Proof. Since $h$ is radial and fixed $\omega_{0}$ is arbitrary, without loss of generality, we assume that $x=(r, 0,0), r:=|x|$. Let $\omega:=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi)$ and $\omega_{0}:=\left(\cos \theta_{0}, \sin \theta_{0} \cos \phi_{0}, \sin \theta_{0} \sin \phi_{0}\right)$. Due to the cutoff function $\psi_{\leq l}\left(\angle\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)\right)$, we know that

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\phi}\left(\psi_{\leq l}\left(\angle\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right| \lesssim \frac{2^{l}}{2^{l}+\sin \theta_{0}} \sim \frac{2^{l}}{2^{l}+\left|\tilde{x} \times \omega_{0}\right|}=: C\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)
$$

Therefore, from the above estimate and the radial symmetry of $h$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} h(x+s \omega) \psi_{\leq l}\left(\angle\left(\omega, \omega_{0}\right)\right) d \omega\right| \lesssim C\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|h\left(\left(\sqrt{r^{2}+s^{2}+2 r s \cos \theta}, 0,0\right)\right)\right| \sin \theta d \theta \\
\lesssim & \frac{C\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)}{r s} \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s}|h((z, 0,0))| z d z \lesssim \frac{C\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)}{r s} \min \left\{\frac{1}{|r-s|} \int_{|r-s|}^{r+s}|h((z, 0,0))| z^{2} d z, \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3},|y| \in[|r-s|, r+s]} s|y||h(y)|\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (2.9). In the above estimate, we used the change coordinates $\theta \longrightarrow z:=$ $\sqrt{r^{2}+s^{2}+2 r s \cos \theta}$. For fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$
\rho(x ; a, b):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{S_{v}} f\left(x-a \tilde{v}-b \omega_{v}, v\right) d \omega_{v} d v .
$$

Note that, $\forall R \in S O(3)$, the following equality holds from the radial symmetry of $f(x, v)$ in (1.6),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(R x ; a, b)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{S_{v}} f\left(R x-a \tilde{v}-b \omega_{v}, v\right) d \omega_{v} d v=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{S_{u}} f\left(R x-a R \tilde{u}-b R \omega_{u}, R u\right) d \omega_{u} d v \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{S_{u}} f\left(x-a \tilde{u}-b \omega_{u}, u\right) d \omega_{u} d v=\rho(x ; a, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, our desired estimate (2.10) holds directly after we applying the estimate (2.9) to the radial function $\rho(x ; a, b)$.

## 3. Propagation of moments and the proof of Theorem

Let $N_{1}=N_{0} \times 10^{-3}=10^{5}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N_{1}}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(1+|v|)^{N_{1}} f(t, x, v) d x d v, \quad \tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t):=(1+t)^{N_{1}^{2}}+\sup _{s \in[0, t]} M_{N_{1}}(s) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $t \in[0, T), j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) \psi_{j}(v) d v \leq \min \left\{2^{3 j}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) \psi_{j}(v) d v\right\} \lesssim 2^{3 j(1-1 / p)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) \psi_{j}(v) d v\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Hence, we obtain the following basic estimate for any $p \in[1, \infty]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(t, x, v) \psi_{j}(v)\right\|_{L_{x}^{p} L_{v}^{1}} \lesssim 2^{3 j(1-1 / p)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(t, x, v) \psi_{j}(v) d v\right)^{1 / p} \lesssim 2^{3 j(1-1 / p)}\left(\min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-N_{1} j} M_{N_{1}}(t)\right\}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{t}$ denotes the minimum integer such that $2^{M_{t}} \geq\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{1 / N_{1}}$. Hence $2^{M_{t}} \sim\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{1 / N_{1}}$. We define a set of majorities of particles at time $s$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R(t, s):=\left\{\left(X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right), V\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right):\left|X\left(0 ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|+\mid V\left(0 ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right) \mid \leq 2^{\beta M_{t}}, x_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}, \quad \beta:=1 / 300 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in[0, T)$ and the initial data $\left(x_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in R(t, 0)$ of characteristics in 1.5$)$ be fixed. For the simplicity of notation, we will omit the dependence of characteristics with respect to the initial data and view the spatial characteristic $X(s)$ and velocity characteristic $V(s)$ as regular functions with respect to time $s$.

We will use a standard bootstrap argument to show that the size of any velocity characteristic $V(s)$, which starts from the major set $R(t, 0)$, is uniformly bounded by $2^{(1-\beta) M}, \beta:=1 / 300$, for all $s \in[0, t]$. More precisely, we have
Proposition 3.1. For any $t \in[0, T)$, the following relation holds for some sufficiently large absolute constant $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t, t) \subset B\left(0, C 2^{\beta M_{t}}\right) \times B\left(0, C 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall (3.3) and the system of equations satisfied by characteristics in (1.5). First of all, for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, t]$, the following rough estimate holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| X\left(t_{2}\right)\left|-\left|X\left(t_{1}\right)\right|\right| \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|\tilde{X}(s) \cdot \hat{V}(s)| d s \leq\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|, \quad \Longrightarrow \sup _{s \in[0, t]}|X(s)| \leq 2^{\beta M_{t}}+|t|<2^{\beta M_{t}+1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of first part of (3.4).

From the continuity of characteristics and the size of initial data in $R(t, 0)$, we know that one of the following two scenarios holds.
(i) There exists a maximal time $T^{*} \in(0, t)$ and a maximal number $T^{* *}>T^{*}$ such that the following estimates holds,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{s \in\left[0, T^{*}\right]}|V(s)| \leq 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-1}, \quad\left|V\left(T^{*}\right)\right|=2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-1}  \tag{3.6}\\
2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-2} \leq \inf _{s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]}|V(s)| \leq \sup _{s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]}|V(s)| \leq 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}, \quad\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t] . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii) The following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in[0, t]}|V(s)| \leq 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the second scenario happens or $\tilde{M}_{t} \lesssim 1$, then there is nothing left to be proved, we restrict ourself to the first scenario under the assumption that $\tilde{M}_{t} \gg 1$. We will show that the estimate (3.7) can be improved hence close the bootstrap argument. To obtain an improved estimate, we control the increment of velocity between any two time in $\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$, which is also the main mission of this paper.

As a result of direct computations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s}|X(s)|^{2}=2 X(s) \cdot \hat{V}(s), \quad \frac{d}{d s}|V(s)|=2 \tilde{V}(s) \cdot E(s, X(s)) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To better see the dynamics of the magnitude of spatial characteristic, we also study the second order derivative of $|X(s)|^{2}$. As a result, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d s}(X(s) \cdot \hat{V}(s))= & |\hat{V}(s)|+\frac{X(s) \cdot(E(s, X(s))+\hat{V}(s) \times B(s, X(s)))}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^{2}}}-\frac{X(s) \cdot V(s)}{\left(1+|V(s)|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}(V(s) \cdot E(s, X(s))) \\
& =|\hat{V}(s)|+C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot E(s, X(s))+C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot B(s, X(s)) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(X(s), V(s)):=\frac{X(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^{2}}}-\frac{X(s) \cdot V(s)}{\left(1+|V(s)|^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} V(s), \quad C_{2}(X(s), V(s)):=\frac{X(s) \times \hat{V}(s)}{\sqrt{1+|V(s)|^{2}}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the estimate (5.2) in Proposition5.1, the following rough estimate holds for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$, s.t., $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
X\left(t_{2}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(t_{2}\right)-X\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \frac{4}{5}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)-\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot E(s, X(s)) d s\right| \\
-  \tag{3.12}\\
\left\lvert\, \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot B(s, X(s)) d s \left\lvert\, \geq \frac{2}{3}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}}\right., \quad \alpha:=1 / 100=3 \beta .\right.\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Case 1: If there exists a time $\tau_{\star} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$ s.t., $X\left(\tau_{\star}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(\tau_{\star}\right)=0$.
From the estimate (3.12), the following estimate holds for $s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 0$ s.t, $s_{1}+\tau_{\star},-s_{2}+\tau_{\star} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|^{2}-\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}-s_{2}\right)\right|^{2} & =\int_{0}^{s_{2}} 2 X\left(\tau_{\star}-s_{2}+\tau\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(\tau_{\star}-s_{2}+\tau\right) d \tau \leq \int_{0}^{s_{2}}-\frac{4}{3}\left(s_{2}-\tau\right)+2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}} d \tau \\
& \Longrightarrow\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}-s_{2}\right)\right|^{2} \geq\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{3} s_{2}^{2}-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}} s_{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+s_{1}\right)\right|^{2} & -\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \int_{0}^{s_{1}} 2\left(\frac{2}{3} \tau-2^{-2 M_{t}+7 \alpha M_{t}}\right) d \tau \gtrsim s_{1}^{2}-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}} s_{1} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2: If there doesn't exist a time $\tau_{\star} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$ s.t., $X\left(\tau_{\star}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(\tau_{\star}\right)=0$.
For this case we know that $|X(s)|^{2}$ is a monotonic function with respect to $s$, which implies that either $\left|X\left(T^{*}\right)\right|^{2}$ or $\left|X\left(T^{* *}\right)\right|^{2}$ is the minimum. If $\left|X\left(T^{* *}\right)\right|^{2}$ is the minimum, i.e., $X(s) \cdot \hat{V}(s) \leq 0$, then the following estimate holds from (3.12),

$$
\begin{gather*}
-X\left(T^{* *}-s\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(T^{* *}-s\right) \geq X\left(T^{* *}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(T^{* *}\right)-X\left(T^{* *}-s\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(T^{* *}-s\right) \geq \frac{2}{3} s-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}} \\
\Longrightarrow\left|X\left(T^{* *}\right)\right|^{2}-\left|X\left(T^{* *}-\tau\right)\right|^{2}=\int_{0}^{\tau} 2 X\left(T^{* *}-s\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(T^{* *}-s\right) d s \leq-\frac{2}{3} \tau^{2}+2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}+1} \tau \\
\Longrightarrow\left|X\left(T^{* *}-\tau\right)\right|^{2} \geq\left|X\left(T^{* *}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \tau^{2}-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}+1} \tau, \quad \tau \in\left[0, T^{* *}-T^{*}\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\left|X\left(T^{*}\right)\right|^{2}$ is the minimum , i.e., $X(s) \cdot \hat{V}(s) \geq 0$, then the following estimate holds from (3.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X\left(T^{*}+\tau\right)\right|^{2}-\left|X\left(T^{*}\right)\right|^{2}=\int_{0}^{\tau} 2 X\left(T^{*}+s\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(T^{*}+s\right) d s \geq \frac{2}{3} \tau^{2}-2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}+1} \tau, \quad \tau \in\left[0, T^{* *}-T^{*}\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum up, from the estimates $3.13-3.16$, we have a good control of the magnitude of characteristic for all time except a small neighborhood of the fixed local (global) minimum.

Since the Case 2 is of the same type as in the Case 1, without loss of generality, we restrict ourself to the Case 1. Let $\tau_{0}:=2^{-2 M_{t}+20 \alpha M_{t}}$. Based on the possible size of $\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|$, we separate into two cases as follows.
$\oplus \quad$ If $\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right| \geq 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3}$.
From the rough estimate of increment of magnitude of spatial characteristic in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in\left[\tau_{\star}-\tau_{0}, \tau_{\star}+\tau_{0}\right] \cap\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]}|X(s)| \geq 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3}-2^{-2 M_{t}+20 \alpha M_{t}} \gtrsim 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above estimate, the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma4.2 the following estimate holds for any $\kappa \in\left[-2 \tau_{0}, 2 \tau_{0}\right]$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right|-\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|\right| \lesssim 2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}+\left|\int_{0}^{\kappa} \frac{2^{(1-\alpha+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+s\right)\right|_{-}} d s\right| \lesssim 2^{2 M_{t} / 3+20 \alpha M_{t}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\kappa \in\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]^{c}$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$, from the estimates (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$
\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right| \gtrsim\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|+|\kappa|
$$

From the above estimate, the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 and the estimate (5.1) in Proposition5.1 the following estimate holds for $\kappa \in\left[-2 \tau_{0}, 2 \tau_{0}\right]^{c}$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right|-\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\operatorname{sign}(\kappa) \tau_{0}\right)\right|\right| \lesssim 2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}+\left|\int_{\tau_{\star}+\operatorname{sign}(\kappa) \tau_{0}}^{\tau_{\star}+\kappa} \frac{2^{(1-\alpha+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{\min \left\{\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|+\left|s-\tau_{\star}\right|, 1\right\}} d s\right| \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}+2 \epsilon M_{t}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon:=60 / N_{1}=6 \times 10^{-4}$.
$\oplus \quad$ If $\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right| \leq 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3}$.
From the rough estimate of increment of magnitude of spatial characteristic in (3.5), the following estimate holds for $s \in\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]$ s.t., $\tau_{\star}+s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+s\right)\right| \leq 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3}+s \lesssim 2^{-5 M_{t} / 3} .
$$

Since now $\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+s\right)\right|, s \in\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]$, is small, from the estimate (5.2) in Proposition 5.1 the following improved estimate holds, for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[\tau_{\star}-\tau_{0}, \tau_{\star}+\tau_{0}\right] \cap\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$, s.t., $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$,

$$
X\left(t_{2}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(t_{2}\right)-X\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot \hat{V}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq \frac{2}{3}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)-2^{-5 M_{t} / 3-2 M_{t} / 3+9 \alpha M_{t}}
$$

With the above improved estimate, the following improved estimate holds for any $s \in\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Longrightarrow\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+s\right)\right|^{2} \geq\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{3} s^{2}-2^{-7 M_{t} / 3+9 \alpha M_{t}}|s| . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau_{1}:=2^{-7 M_{t} / 3+10 \alpha M_{t}}<\tau_{0}$. Recall the decomposition of electromagnetic field in (2.5). From the rough estimate of electromagnetic field (4.13) in Lemma 4.3, which is used for the case $j \leq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}$, and the second estimate in (4.17) in Lemma 4.4, which is used for the the case $j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}$, the following estimate holds for any $\kappa \in\left[-2 \tau_{1}, 2 \tau_{1}\right]$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right|-\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|\right| \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{\tau_{\star}}^{\tau_{\star}+\kappa}\left|K_{j}(s, X(s))\right| d s \lesssim 2^{-7 M_{t} / 3+10 \alpha M_{t}} 2^{3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}} \lesssim 2^{2 M_{t} / 3+11 \alpha M_{t}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\kappa \in\left[-\tau_{1}, \tau_{1}\right]^{c}$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$, from the estimates 3.14), 3.13), which are used for $\tau \in\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]^{c}$ and the estimate (3.20), which is used when $\tau \in\left[-\tau_{1}, \tau_{1}\right]^{c} \cap\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]$, we have

$$
\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right| \gtrsim\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|+|\kappa| .
$$

From the above estimate, the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2, the estimate (5.1) in Proposition 5.1 the following estimate holds for any $\kappa \in\left[-2 \tau_{1}, 2 \tau_{1}\right]^{c}$, s.t., $\tau_{\star}+\kappa \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\kappa\right)\right|-\left|V\left(\tau_{\star}+\operatorname{sign}(\kappa) \tau_{1}\right)\right|\right| \lesssim 2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}+\left|\int_{\tau_{\star}+\operatorname{sign}(\kappa) \tau_{1}}^{\tau_{\star}+\kappa} \frac{2^{(1-\alpha+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{\min \left\{\left|X\left(\tau_{\star}\right)\right|+\left|s-\tau_{\star}\right|, 1\right\}} d s\right| \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha+2 \epsilon) M_{t}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum up, in whichever case, from the estimates (3.18), (3.19), (3.21), and (3.22), the following estimate holds for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\left|V\left(t_{1}\right)\right|-\left|V\left(t_{2}\right)\right|\right| \lesssim 2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}+2 \epsilon M_{t}}<2^{(1-2 \beta) M_{t}}  \tag{3.23}\\
\Longrightarrow \frac{3}{4} 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-1} \leq \inf _{s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{*}+\epsilon\right]}|V(s)| \leq \sup _{s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{*}+\epsilon\right]}|V(s)| \leq \frac{5}{4} 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}-1} \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence improving the bootstrap assumption in (3.7). Therefore, we can extend the size of $\epsilon$ such that $T^{* *}=t$. To sum up, in whichever case, we have $\sup _{s \in[0, t]}|V(s)|<2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}$. Hence finishing the proof of (3.4).

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Recall (3.1). From the conservation law (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{|v| \leq 2^{(1-\beta+\epsilon) M_{t}}}(1+|v|)^{N_{1}} f(t, x, v) d x d v\right| \lesssim 2^{\left(N_{1}-1\right)(1-\beta+\epsilon) M_{t}} \leq\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{(1-\beta+\epsilon)} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of the majority set $R(t, s)$ in (3.3). From the relation (3.4) in Proposition 3.1, we have $|X(0 ; t, x, v)|+$ $|V(0 ; t, x, v)| \gtrsim 2^{\beta M_{t}}$ if $|x| \gtrsim 2^{\beta M_{t}}$ or $|v| \gtrsim 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}$. Therefore, the polynomial decay of the initial data in (1.7) implies that the following estimate holds if $|v| \gtrsim 2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}$,

$$
|f(t, x, v)|=\left|f_{0}(X(0 ; t, x, v), V(0 ; t, x, v))\right| \lesssim(1+|X(0 ; t, x, v)|+|V(0 ; t, x, v)|)^{-N_{0}} \lesssim 2^{-10 N_{1} M_{t} / 3}
$$

Moreover, if $|x| \geq 2^{2 \epsilon M_{t}}$, then from the estimate (3.5], we have

$$
|X(t, 0, x, v)| \geq|x|-t \geq|x|-2^{\epsilon M_{t}} \gtrsim(1+|x|)
$$

If $|v| \geq 2^{3(1+4 \epsilon) M_{t}}$, then the following estimate holds from the equation (3.9) and the first estimate in (4.17) in Lemma 4.4,

$$
|V(t, 0, x, v)| \geq|v|-\int_{0}^{t}(\mid E(s, X(s)|+| B(s, X(s) \mid) d s \gtrsim(1+|v|)
$$

Therefore, from the above three estimates and the assumption of initial data in (1.7), the following estimate holds if $|v| \gtrsim$ $2^{(1-\beta) M_{t}}$ regardless the size of $|x|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x, v)|=\left|f_{0}(X(t, 0, x, v), V(t, 0, x, v))\right| \lesssim(1+|x|)^{-4}(1+|v|)^{-N_{1}-4} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the above estimate, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{|v| \geq 2^{(1-\beta+\epsilon) M_{t}}}(1+|v|)^{N_{1}} f(t, x, v) d x d v\right| \lesssim 1 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum up, from the estimates (3.25) and (3.27), we have

$$
M_{N_{1}}(t) \lesssim\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{1-\beta+\epsilon}
$$

Since the above estimate holds for any $t \in[0, T)$ and $\tilde{M}_{n}(t)$ is an increasing function with respect to $t$, the following estimate holds for any $s \in[0, t]$,

$$
M_{N_{1}}(s) \lesssim\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(s)\right)^{1-\beta+\epsilon} \leq\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{1-\beta+\epsilon}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)=\sup _{s \in[0, t]} M_{N_{1}}(s)+(1+t)^{N_{1}^{2}} \lesssim\left(\tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t)\right)^{1-\beta+\epsilon}+(1+t)^{N_{1}^{2}}, \quad \Longrightarrow \tilde{M}_{N_{1}}(t) \lesssim(1+t)^{N_{1}^{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the above estimate and the first estimate in 4.17) in Lemma 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \sup _{t \in[0, T)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|K_{j}\left(s, X\left(s ; t, x_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right)\right| d s \lesssim(1+T)^{4 N_{1}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (1.8) and the theorem from the the decomposition of the electromagnetic field in (2.5).

## 4. Rough estimates of the electromagnetic field

In this section, we provide several rough estimates for the electromagnetic field in terms of the moment of the distribution function, which will be used as basic tools in the next section for more sophisticated analysis of the increment of the sizes of characteristics. In particular, as stated in (4.6) and (4.17), we give a point-wise estimate for the electromagnetic field and give an upper bound for the targeted quantity in (1.8) respectively.

To prove our desired rough estimates, for simplicity, we don't distinguish the frequencies of the electromagnetic field. The Glassey-Strauss decomposition as stated in the following Lemma is very convenient and useful.

Lemma 4.1. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, the following decomposition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}(t, x)=\sum_{-j \leq l \leq 2, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{t} K_{S ; j}^{l}(t, s, x)+K_{T ; j}^{l}(t, s, x) d s, \quad K \in\{E, B\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{T ; j}^{l}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \frac{\left(1-|\hat{v}|^{2}\right)(\hat{v}+\theta)}{(1+\hat{v} \cdot \theta)^{2}} f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) d \theta d v  \tag{4.2}\\
B_{T ; j, l}^{l}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \frac{\left(1-|\hat{v}|^{2}\right)(\theta \times \hat{v})}{(1+\hat{v} \cdot \theta)^{2}} f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) d \theta d v  \tag{4.3}\\
E_{S ; j}^{l}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(t-s) K(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) \cdot \nabla_{v}\left(\frac{\varphi_{j}(v)(\hat{v}+\theta)}{1+\hat{v} \cdot \theta}\right) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) d \theta d v  \tag{4.4}\\
B_{S ; j}^{l}(t, s, x)=  \tag{4.5}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(t-s) K(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) \cdot \nabla_{v}\left(\frac{\varphi_{j}(v)(\theta \times \hat{v})}{(1+\hat{v} \cdot \theta)}\right) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) f(s, x+(t-s) \theta, v) d \theta d v
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The desired decomposition (4.1) follows from redoing the Glassey-Strauss decomposition first for $K_{j}, K \in\{E, B\}$, and then used a dyadic decomposition with threshold $-j$ for the angular between $v$ and $-\theta$. See [6][Theorem 3] for more details.

Lemma 4.2. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}, s \in[0, t]$, the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}\left|K_{j}(s, x)\right| \lesssim \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{r_{-}}, \quad r_{-}:=\min \{1, r\}, \quad \epsilon:=60 / N_{1}=6 \times 10^{-4} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have the following estimate as a byproduct,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \notin\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} K_{j}(s) \lesssim \frac{2^{(1-\alpha+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{r_{-}}, \quad \alpha:=1 / 100=3 \beta . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition of electromagnetic field in (2.5) and the Glassey-Strauss decomposition in (4.1).
We first estimate the "T" part. Recall (4.2) and (4.3). Note that, from the estimate (2.10) in Lemma 2.2, the estimate (3.2), and the volume of support of $v$ and $\theta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|B_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{-j-2 l} \min \left\{\frac{1}{r|s-\tau||r-(s-\tau)|} \min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right\}, 2^{3 j+2 l}\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate the " $S$ " part. Recall (4.4) and (4.5). From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the estimates in Lemma 2.2. the estimate (3.2), and the volume of support of $v$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|E_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|B_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{-j-2 l}|s-\tau|\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} f(\tau, x+(s-\tau) \theta, v) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) d v d \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}\left(|E(\tau, x+(s-\tau) \theta)|^{2}+|B(\tau, x+(s-\tau) \theta)|^{2}\right) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\theta)) d v d \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \lesssim 2^{-j-2 l}|s-\tau|\left(\frac{2^{3 j+2 l}}{r|s-\tau||r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\min \left\{\frac{\min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right\}}{r|s-\tau||r-(s-\tau)|}, 2^{3 j+2 l}\right\}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the possible size of $j$, we separate into two cases as follow.
Case 1: If $j \geq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}$. From the estimate (4.8) and the estimate (4.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \geq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|K_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \\
\lesssim & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \geq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}}(1+j)\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left(2^{2 j}\right)^{9 / 10}\left(\frac{2^{j} 2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}}{r|s-\tau||r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 10} d \tau\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{0}^{s}(s-\tau)^{1 / 4} 2^{3 j / 2}\left(\frac{1}{r|r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}}{r|r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(2^{3 j+2 l}\right)^{1 / 4} d s \lesssim \frac{1}{r_{-}^{3 / 4}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: If $j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}$. Let $\delta:=2^{-(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}}$. From the estimate (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2)} \sum_{M_{t}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{[s-\delta, s] \cup[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]} 2^{2 j} d \tau \\
+ & \int_{[0, s-\delta] \cap[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]^{c}} \frac{2^{-2 j-2 l}}{r|s-\tau||r-(s-\tau)|} d \tau \lesssim \frac{\left(M_{t}\right)^{2}}{r \delta}+M_{t} 2^{(2+\epsilon) M_{t}} \delta \lesssim \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{r_{-}} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\tilde{\delta}:=2^{-n M_{t}}$. From the estimate (4.9), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2)} \sum_{M_{t}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \\
\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{[0, s] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}} \frac{2^{-l}}{r|r-(s-\tau)|} d \tau \\
+\int_{[0, s] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]} \frac{(1+j) 2^{2 j}(s-\tau)^{1 / 2}}{(r|r-(s-\tau)|)^{1 / 2}} d \tau \lesssim \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}} M_{t}}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{1 / 2}} \lesssim \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{r_{-}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

To sum up, our desire estimate (4.6) holds from the estimates (4.10), 4.11, and 4.12).
By using the same strategy used in above estimates and letting $\delta:=\left(\tilde{M}_{n}(t)\right)^{-(1-\epsilon / 2) /(n-1)}$ and $\tilde{\delta}:=\left(\tilde{M}_{n}(t)\right)^{-1}$, we obtain our desired estimates 4.7) after combining the estimate 4.10) and changing the range of the summation with respect to $j$ in (4.12) from $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1+\epsilon / 2) M_{t}$ to $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, j \leq(1-\alpha) M_{t}$.

Lemma 4.3. The following estimate holds for any $s \in[0, t], j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, s.t., $j \leq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{j}(s, x)\right|+\left|B_{j}(s, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{3(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that our desired estimate (4.13) is a trivial consequence of (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 if $|x| \geq 1$. It would be sufficient to consider the case $|x| \leq 1$. Recall the Glassey-Strauss decomposition in (4.1). From the obtained estimate (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|E_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|B_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim(1+j)|t| 2^{2 j} \lesssim 2^{2(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it remains to estimate the " $S$ " part. Recall (4.4) and (4.5). From the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma4.2 and the obtained estimate (4.9), we know that the following estimate holds if $\tau \neq s-r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}\left|K_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim \min \left\{\frac{(s-\tau) 2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{|r-(s-\tau)|} 2^{-j-2 l} 2^{3 j+2 l}, 2^{2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}\left(\frac{s-\tau}{r|r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the estimate (4.15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}\left|K_{S ; j}(s, x)\right| \lesssim & \int_{s-r-r / 2}^{s-r+r / 2} 2^{2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}\left(\frac{s-\tau}{r|r-(s-\tau)|}\right)^{1 / 2} d \tau+\int_{[0, s] \cap[s-r-r / 2, s-r+r / 2] c} \frac{(s-\tau) 2^{3(1+\epsilon) M}}{|r-(s-\tau)|} d \tau \\
& \lesssim 2^{2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} r^{1 / 2}+2^{3(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}(1+|t \ln (t)|+r \ln (1 / r)) \lesssim 2^{3(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (4.13) holds from the estimates (4.14) and 4.16).
Lemma 4.4. For any $t \in[0, T)$, the following estimates hold for any initial data $x_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of characteristics,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{t}\left|K_{j}(s, X(s))\right| d s \lesssim 2^{3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{t}\left|K_{j}(s, X(s))\right| d s \lesssim 1 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition of electromagnetic field in (2.5) and the Glassey-Strauss decomposition in (4.1). Note that, the following estimate holds from the estimate (4.6) in Lemma 4.2, the estimate (4.10), the estimate (4.13) in Lemme4.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}|K(t, x)| \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{r^{3 / 4}}+2^{3(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right) \psi_{\leq 2}(r)+2^{(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}} \psi_{\geq 2}(r) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the following rough estimates hold straightforwardly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, X(s))\right| d \tau d s \\
\lesssim 2^{j} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\tau, X(s)+(s-\tau) \omega, v) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\omega)) \sin \theta d \theta d \phi d v d s d \tau  \tag{4.19}\\
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, X(s))\right| d \tau d s \\
\lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} 2^{j} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}|K(\tau, X(s)+(s-\tau) \omega)|  \tag{4.20}\\
\times \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\omega))(s-\tau) f(\tau, X(s)+(s-\tau) \omega, v) \sin \theta d \theta d \phi d v d s d \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

As observed by Pallard [14], for fixed $\tau$, we can do change of coordinates $(s, \theta, \phi) \longrightarrow(X(s)+(s-\tau) \omega)$. As a result of direct computation (see also [14]), the Jacobian of the transformation is $\left(X^{\prime}(s) \cdot \omega+1\right)(s-\tau)^{2} \sin \theta$. From the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} h(\tau & , X(s) \omega)\left.\sin \theta d \theta d \phi d s|\lesssim| \int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}|h(\tau, X(s)+(s-\tau) \omega)|^{p}\left(X^{\prime}(s) \cdot \omega+1\right)(s-\tau)^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi d s\right|^{1 / p} \\
& \times\left|\int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\left(X^{\prime}(s) \cdot \omega+1\right)(s-\tau)^{2}\right)^{-q / p}(\sin \theta)^{(1-1 / p) q} d \theta d \phi d s\right|^{1 / q}, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the following estimate holds for any $q \in(1,3 / 2)$,

$$
\int_{\tau}^{t} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\left(X^{\prime}(s) \cdot \omega+1\right)(s-\tau)^{2}\right)^{-q+1} \sin \theta d \theta d \phi d s \lesssim(1+t)^{2}
$$

Let $p=3 /(1-1 / n)$ and $q=13$. From the estimates 4.18-4.21) and the estimate (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \sum_{-j \leq l \leq 2, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{S ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, X(s))\right| d \tau d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left|K_{T ; j}^{l}(s, \tau, X(s))\right| d \tau d s \\
\lesssim \sum_{j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} 2^{j}(1+t)^{2}\left[t \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|K(\tau, x)| f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{p}} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{p}} d \tau\right]  \tag{4.22}\\
\lesssim \sum_{j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} \sup _{\tau \in[0, t]} 2^{j}(1+t)^{4}\left[2^{3(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{p}}+\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} r^{-3 / 4} \psi_{\leq 2}(r) f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{p}}\right] \\
\lesssim \sum_{j \geq(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} 2^{j}\left[2^{3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}} 2^{3 j(1-1 / p)}\left(2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right)^{1 / p}+2^{3 j(1-1 / q)}\left(2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right)^{1 / q}\right] \lesssim 1 \tag{4.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Our desired estimates in (4.17) hold from the above estimate and the estimate (4.13) in Lemma (4.3).

## 5. Increment of the sizes of characteristics over time

Recall the equations satisfied by the magnitudes of characteristics in (3.9). As stated in the following Proposition, our main goal in this section is to quantitatively control the increment of the size of characteristics over time.
Proposition 5.1. Under the assumptions in (3.6) and (3.7), the following estimate holds for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot E(s, X(s)) d s\right| \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|K(s, X(s))| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-3 M_{t} / 2+24 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \\
& \quad+2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{2^{(1-\alpha+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}} d s, \quad \alpha:=1 / 100, \quad \epsilon:=60 / N_{1}=6 \times 10^{-4}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot E(s, X(s)) d s\right|+\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot B(s, X(s)) d s\right| \lesssim 2^{-(\alpha-2 \beta-3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right| \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1,2}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-2 M_{t}+15 \alpha M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|_{-}}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $C_{2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined in (3.11).
Proof. To improve presentation, we postpone the proof of the above two estimates to the end of this subsection.

Recall the decomposition of electromagnetic field in (2.5). Because the rough estimate of $K_{j}, K \in\{E, B\}$, in (4.7) in Lemma 4.2 is sufficient for our purpose, we only need to consider the uncovered case $j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$. In the rest of this section, we use a Fourier method to prove our desired estimates for the uncovered case.

First of all, we introduce the set-up of different frameworks for the electromagnetic field in different scenarios. Recall the detailed formulas of $E_{j}(t, x)$ and $B_{j}(t, x)$ in (2.7) and (2.8). After represented them on Fourier side and using the Vlasov equation to substitute $\partial_{t} f$, the following decomposition holds after doing dyadic decompositions for both the frequency and the angle between $\xi$ and $v$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}(t, x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} \frac{-i}{2}\left(K_{k ; j, l}(t, x)-\overline{K_{k ; j, l}(t, x)}\right), \quad K \in\{E, B\}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{k ; j, l}(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} V_{k ; j, l}^{b}(t, s, x) d s, \quad E_{k ; j, l}(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} V_{k ; j, l}^{e}(t, s, x)+H i g h_{k ; j, l}^{0}(t, s, x) d s  \tag{5.4}\\
V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|} m_{u}(\xi, v) \widehat{f}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) d \xi d v d s, \quad u \in\{e, b\}  \tag{5.5}\\
H i g h_{k ; j, l}^{0}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|} \frac{1}{|\xi|} \nabla_{v} \hat{v} \cdot(\widehat{E}(s, \xi-\eta)+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(s, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(s, \eta, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) d \xi d \eta d v \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the cutoff function $\varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)$ and the symbols $m_{u}(\xi, v), u \in\{e, b\}$, are defined as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v):=\psi_{k}(\xi) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)), \quad m_{e}(\xi, v):=i \frac{(\hat{v} \cdot \xi) \hat{v}-\xi}{|\xi|}, \quad m_{b}(\xi, v):=-i \frac{\hat{v} \times \xi}{|\xi|} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cutoff functions $\varphi_{j}(\cdot)$ and $\varphi_{l ;-j}(\cdot)$ are defined in (2.1).
We remind readers that the angular cutoff function in (5.7), which measure the angle between frequency $\xi$ and $v$, different from the one we used in the Glassey-Strauss decomposition (4.1), which measure the angle in physical space.

Recall (1.1). To take account of the linear effect, we study the profile $g(t, x, v):=f(t, x+t \hat{v}, v)$ of the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} g(t, x, v)=(E(t, x+t \hat{v})+\hat{v} \times B(t, x+t \hat{v})) \cdot \nabla_{v} f(t, x+t \hat{v}, v), \quad f(t, x, v)=g(t, x-t \hat{v}, v) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To exploit the oscillation with respect to time in some cases, we will use the normal form transformation for $V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x)$ in (5.5). More precisely, after doing integration by parts with respect to $s$, the following equality holds,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{t} V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x) d s=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|-i s \hat{v} \cdot \xi} m_{u}(\xi, v) \widehat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) d \xi d v d s \\
=E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, t, x)-E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, 0, x)+\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x) d s, \quad u \in\{e, b\} \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{End}_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, t, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi-i t \hat{v} \cdot \xi} \frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \widehat{g}(t, \xi, v) d \xi d v \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} \frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \widehat{f}(t, \xi, v) d \xi d v  \tag{5.10}\\
E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, 0, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|} \frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \widehat{f}(0, \xi, v) d \xi d v  \tag{5.11}\\
\operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|-i s \hat{v} \cdot \xi} \frac{m_{u}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \partial_{t} \widehat{g}(s, \xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) d \xi d v  \tag{5.12}\\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|} \nabla_{v}\left(\frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)}\right) \cdot(\widehat{E}(s, \xi-\eta)+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(s, \xi-\eta)) \hat{f}(s, \eta, v) d \eta d \xi d v \tag{5.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, 0, x)$ only depends on the initial data, which is regular, it is uniformly bounded over time.
For simplicity of notation, we formulate $\operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{m}(t, s, x), m \in\{0, e, b\}$, in (5.6) and (5.13), uniformly as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H i g h_{k ; j, l}^{m}(t, s, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t|\xi|-i s|\xi|} \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v)(\widehat{E}(s, \xi-\eta)+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(s, \xi-\eta)) \hat{f}(s, \eta, v) d \eta d v d \xi \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; 0}(\xi, v)=\varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)(|\xi|)^{-1} \nabla_{v} \hat{v}, \quad \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; u}(\xi, v)=\nabla_{v}\left(\frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)}\right), \quad u \in\{e, b\} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed out by Klainerman-Staffilani [9](see also the smoothing effect pointed out by Bouchut-Golse-Pallard [1]), a very important observation, which will also be used here, is that the integration of electromagnetic field along the characteristic
is smoother than the electromagnetic field itself. Intuitively speaking, the smoothing effect comes from the different speeds of wave and particles.

However, we need to be very careful about the gain and the loss of using the smoothing effect, which, in practice, is doing integration by parts with respect to " $s$ ". This process will be carried out in (5.20).

As a matter of fact, the loss of doing integration over time along the spatial characteristic $X(s)$ depends on the angle between the frequency and the velocity characteristics $V(s)$, see the resulted symbol in (5.24). Presumably, the loss can be very large because another electromagnetic field, which is the main unknown, will be introduced when " $\partial_{s}$ " hits the velocity characteristics, see (5.23) and the system of equations satisfied by characteristics in (1.5).

To better measure the loss, we dyadically localize the angle between the frequency variable and the velocity characteristics $V(s)$, which is fixed at any fixed time $s$. Motivated from the above discussion and the general form of nonlinearities in (5.14), we study the following bilinear form,
$T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i(s-\tau)|\xi|} \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) m_{1}(\xi, v) m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right) \varphi_{n ; l}\left(\angle\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right) \widehat{K}(\tau, \xi-\eta) \hat{f}(\tau, \eta, v) d \eta d v d \xi$,
where $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is fixed, $k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, K \in\{E, B\}$, the cutoff function $\varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi)$ was defined in (5.7), and the symbols $m_{1}(\xi, v)$ and $m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the following assumption for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{|a| \leq N_{1}^{2}} \sup _{\xi, v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[2^{a k}\left|\left(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla_{\xi}\right)^{a} m_{1}(\xi, v)\right|+2^{a(k+l)}\left|\left(\tilde{v} \times \nabla_{\xi}\right)^{a} m_{1}(\xi, v)\right|\right] \varphi_{k ; j, l}(\xi, v) \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \\
\sup _{|a| \leq N_{1}^{2}} \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \omega_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}}\left[2^{a k}\left|\left(\omega_{0} \cdot \nabla_{\xi}\right)^{a} m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right|+2^{a(k+n)}\left|\left(\omega_{0} \times \nabla_{\xi}\right)^{a} m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right|\right] \psi_{[k-4, k+4]}(\xi) \varphi_{n ; l}\left(\angle\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right) \lesssim\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} . \tag{5.18}
\end{array}
$$

We use $\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}$ and $\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\infty}}$, which are not norms, simply for notational convenience.
In applications, $\omega_{0}$ will be the direction (module the sign) of velocity characteristics $\tilde{V}(s)$, the symbol $m_{1}(\xi, v)$ will be the price of using the normal form transformation or one (if not using the normal form transformation), and the symbol $m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)$ will be the price of doing integration over time along the spatial characteristic $X(s)$ or one. More precisely, recall (5.14), after doing dyadic decomposition for the angle between $\xi$ and $-V(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{m}(s, \tau, x)=\sum_{n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}} H_{k ; j, l}^{m ; n}(s, \tau, x), \quad H_{k ; j, l}^{m ; n}(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i(s-\tau)|\xi|} \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v) \\
\times \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(s)))(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta)+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \hat{f}(\tau, \eta, v) d \eta d v d \xi \tag{5.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $C: \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times R_{v}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3}$ be a differentiable function. To control the increment of magnitude of characteristics between any two time $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$, we estimate the following general form, which is applicable for quantities in (3.9) and (3.10). Moreover, recall (5.19), the following equality holds after doing integration by parts with respect to " $s$ ",

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} C(X(s), V(s)) \cdot H_{k ; j, l}^{m ; n}(s, \tau, X(s)) d \tau d s=\sum_{i=1,2,3} G_{m}^{n ; i}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in\{0, e, b\}$ and the detailed formulas of $G_{m}^{n ; i}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\}$, are given as follow,

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i X(s) \cdot \xi} \mathcal{M}_{m}(C)(s, \xi, v) \cdot[(\widehat{E}(s, \xi-\eta)+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(s, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(s, \eta, v)] d v d \xi d \eta d s  \tag{5.21}\\
G_{m}^{n ; 2}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1,2}(-1)^{i} \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i X\left(t_{i}\right) \cdot \xi+i t_{i}|\xi|-i \tau|\xi|} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}(C)\left(t_{i}, \xi, v\right) \cdot[(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta) \\
\quad+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(\tau, \eta, v)] d v d \tau d \xi d \eta  \tag{5.22}\\
G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(e^{i X(s) \cdot \xi+i s|\xi|-i \tau|\xi|}\right) \partial_{s} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}(C)(s, \xi, v) \cdot[(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta) \\
\quad+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(\tau, \eta, v)] d v d \tau d s d \xi \tag{5.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}(C)(s, \xi, v):=\left(\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v)\right)^{\top} C(X(s), V(s))((|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi))^{-1} \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(s))) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$. For the sake of readers, based on the possible size of $k$ (corresponds to the size of output frequency $\xi$ ), $l$ (corresponds to the size of angle between $\xi$ and $-v$ ) and $n$ (corresponds to the size of angle between $\xi$ and velocity characteristic $-V(s)$ ), we summarize our main strategies as follows.

- The case $k \leq 40 M_{t}$ and $k \leq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$. For this case, we work with the formulation (5.4) directly. We don't use the normal form transformation and don't use the integration by parts in characteristic time.
- The case $k \leq 40 M_{t}$ and $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$. For this case, we always use the normal form transformation and work with the formulation (5.9). Moreover, we don't use the integration by parts in characteristic time if $l$ is large or $n$ is small and do use it if $l$ is small and $n$ is large.
- The case $k \geq 40 M_{t}$. For the very large frequency case, we use both the normal form transformation and the integration by parts in characteristic time.

Lemma 5.1. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k \leq 40 M_{t}, j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$, and $k \leq$ $-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, the following estimate holds for any $s \in[0, t]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}\left|K_{k ; j, l}(s, x)\right| \lesssim \min \left\{\frac{2^{(1-\alpha) M}}{r_{-}}, 2^{3 k+3 l-j}+2^{2 k+2 l}\right\}, \quad r:=|x| \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the decomposition (5.4) and the detailed formulas of $V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(t, s, x), u \in\{e, b\}$, and $\operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{0}(t, s, x)$ in 5.55.6). From the volume of support of $\xi$ and the estimate (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{u \in\{e, b\}}\left|V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{3 k+3 l}\left\|\widehat{f}(\tau, \xi, v) \varphi_{j}(v)\right\|_{L_{v}^{1} L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{3 k+3 l-j} \\
\left|H i g h_{k ; j, l}^{0}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} 2^{2 k+2 l-j}\left\|\mid K(\tau, x) f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v)\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{1}} \lesssim 2^{2 k+2 l} \tag{5.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the above two estimates, we know that the second part of our desired estimate (5.25) holds. Moreover, it allows us to focus on the case $k \geq(1-\alpha) M_{t} / 2$ for obtaining first part of the desired estimate in 5.25).

From the Kirchhoff's formulas (2.2) and (2.3) in Lemma 2.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{k ; j, l}^{u}(s, \tau, x)=I_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)+I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x), \quad I_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(s-\tau) K_{k, l}^{u}(y, \theta, v) f(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta, v) \psi_{j}(v) d y d v d \theta  \tag{5.27}\\
& I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} E_{k, l}^{u}(y, v) f(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta, v) \psi_{j}(v) d y d v d \theta, \quad u \in\{e, b\}  \tag{5.28}\\
& \operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{0}(s, \tau, x)= J_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)+J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x), \quad J_{u}^{1}(t, s, x):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(s-\tau) K_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, \theta, v)(E(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta) \\
&\quad+\hat{v} \times B(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta)) \cdot \nabla_{v} \hat{v} f(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta, v) \psi_{j}(v) d y d v d \theta  \tag{5.29}\\
& J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} E_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, v)(E(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta)+\hat{v} \times B(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta)) \cdot \nabla_{v} \hat{v} \\
& \quad \times f(\tau, x-y-(s-\tau) \theta, v) \psi_{j}(v) d y d v d \theta \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{k, l}^{u}(y, \theta, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} i m_{u}(\xi, v)(|\xi|+\theta \cdot \xi) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) \psi_{k}(\xi) d \xi, \quad u \in\{e, b\},  \tag{5.31}\\
K_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, \theta, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi}(1+\theta \cdot \xi /|\xi|) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) \psi_{k}(\xi) d \xi  \tag{5.32}\\
E_{k, l}^{u}(y, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} m_{u}(\xi, v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) \psi_{k}(\xi) d \xi, \quad u \in\{e, b\}  \tag{5.33}\\
E_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi}|\xi|^{-1} \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) \psi_{k}(\xi) d \xi \tag{5.34}
\end{gather*}
$$

After doing integration by parts in $\tilde{v}$ direction and $\tilde{v}^{\perp}$ directions, the following estimate holds for any $u \in\{e, b\}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{2}} 2^{-k-l}\left|K_{k, l}^{u}(y, \theta, v)\right|+\left|K_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, \theta, v)\right|+2^{-l}\left|E_{k, l}^{u}(y, v)\right|+2^{k}\left|E_{k, l}^{h i g h ; 0}(y, v)\right| \\
\lesssim 2^{3 k+2 l}\left(1+2^{k}|y \cdot \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10}\left(1+2^{k+l}|y \times \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10} . \tag{5.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in (5.27,5.30), we can represent it in terms of the cylinder coordinate system as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=(\tilde{v} \cdot y) \tilde{v}+r_{y} \omega_{y}, \quad r_{y} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \omega_{y} \in S_{v}, \quad S_{v}:=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{2}: \omega \cdot v=0\right\} . \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the estimate of kernel in (5.35), the estimate (2.10) in Lemma 2.2) and the estimate (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|I_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{S_{v}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(s-\tau) 2^{4 k+3 l}\left(1+a 2^{k}\right)^{-10}\left(1+r 2^{k+l}\right)^{-10} f\left(\tau, x-a \tilde{v}-r \omega_{v}-(s-\tau) \theta, v\right) \psi_{j}(v) r d r d a d \omega_{v} d v d \theta \\
 \tag{5.37}\\
\lesssim \frac{2^{k+l}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}}}{r|r-(t-s)|} \lesssim \frac{2^{k+l-j}}{r|r-(t-s)|}
\end{gather*}
$$

Following the same strategy, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim \frac{2^{l-j}}{r(t-s)|r-(t-s)|} .  \tag{5.38}\\
\left|J_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right|  \tag{5.39}\\
\lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \frac{2^{-j}}{r|r-(t-s)|}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|K(\tau, x)| f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{r|r-(t-s)|}  \tag{5.40}\\
\lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \frac{2^{-k-j}}{r(t-s)|r-(t-s)|}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|K(\tau, x)| f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}} \lesssim \frac{2^{-k}}{r(t-s)|r-(t-s)|} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, from the estimate of kernels in (5.35), Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the volume of support of $v$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{k+l+3 j+\epsilon M_{t}}, \quad\left|I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{l+3 j}, \quad\left|J_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{3 k / 2+l+2 j+\epsilon M_{t}},\left|J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim 2^{k / 2+l+2 j} . \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta=2^{-10 M_{t}}$. From the above estimate (5.41) and the estimates (5.37-5.40), the following estimate holds for any $u \in$ $\{e, b\}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{s}\left(\left|I_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|J_{u}^{1}(s, \tau, x)\right|\right) d \tau \\
\lesssim \int_{[0, s] \cap[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}\left(2^{k+l+3 j}+2^{3 k / 2+l+2 j}\right) d \tau+\int_{[0, s] \cap[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]^{c}} \frac{2^{k+l-j}+1}{r-(s-\tau) \mid} d \tau \\
\lesssim \delta 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}\left(2^{k+l+3 j}+2^{3 k / 2+l+2 j}\right)+\ln (1 / \delta) \frac{1}{r}\left(2^{k+l-j}+1\right) \lesssim \frac{2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}}}{r_{-}}  \tag{5.42}\\
\int_{0}^{s}\left(\left|I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right|\right) d \tau \\
\lesssim \int_{[0, s-\delta] \cap[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]^{c}} \frac{2^{-j}+2^{-k}}{r(s-\tau)|r-(s-\tau)|} d \tau+\int_{([0, s-\delta] \cap[s-r-\delta, s-r+\delta]) \cup[s-\delta, t]} 2^{3 j} d \tau \\
\lesssim \delta 2^{3 j}+\frac{\left(2^{-j}+2^{-k}\right) \ln (1 / \delta)}{r^{2}} \lesssim \frac{2^{-(1-\alpha-\epsilon) M_{t} / 2}}{r_{-}^{2}} \tag{5.43}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the above estimate (5.43) is sufficient for the estimate of $I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)$ and $J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)$ if $r \geq 2^{-3(1-\alpha-\epsilon) M_{t} / 2}$. If $r \leq 2^{-3(1-\alpha-\epsilon) M_{t} / 2}$, then from the estimates (5.38), (5.40), and (5.41), the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{s}\left(\left|I_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right|+\left|J_{u}^{2}(s, \tau, x)\right|\right) d \tau & \lesssim \int_{s-2^{-M_{t}}}^{s}\left(2^{l+3 j}+2^{k / 2+l+2 j}\right) d \tau+\int_{0}^{\max \left\{s-2^{-M_{t}}, 0\right\}} \frac{2^{-j}+2^{-k}}{r(s-\tau)|r-(s-\tau)|} d \tau \\
& \lesssim 2^{2(1+2 \epsilon) M_{t}}+\frac{2^{M_{t}}\left(2^{-j}+2^{-k}\right)}{r} \lesssim \frac{2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}}}{r} \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall the decomposition (5.4) and the decompositions in (5.27) and (5.29). To sum up, our desired estimate (5.25) holds from the estimates (5.42), (5.43), and (5.44).

Now, we proceeds to consider the case when $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$. As we explained at the beginning, we will use normal form transformation for this case. Recall (5.9). The estimate of endpoint case is summarized in the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.2. For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right], k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, the following estimate holds for any $s \in[0, t]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E n d_{k ; j, l}^{e}(s, s, x)\right|+\left|E n d_{k ; j, l}^{b}(s, s, x)\right| \lesssim \min \left\{\frac{2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}}}{r_{-}}, 2^{-k-l+3 j}\right\} \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the detailed formula of $E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(s, s, x), u \in\{e, b\}$, in (5.10). In terms of kernel, we have

$$
\operatorname{End}_{k ; j, l}^{u}(s, s, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \widetilde{K_{k, l}^{u}}(y, v) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d y d v, \quad u \in\{e, b\}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{K_{k, l}^{u}}(y, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} \frac{m_{u}(\xi, v) \psi_{k}(\xi)}{|\xi|(|\xi|+\hat{v} \cdot \xi)} \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(v,-\xi)) d \xi
$$

Recall the detailed formulas of symbol $m_{u}(\xi, v)$ in (5.7). After doing integration by parts in $\tilde{v}$ direction and $\tilde{v}^{\perp}$ directions, the following estimate holds for the kernels,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{K_{k, l}^{u}}(y, v)\right| \lesssim 2^{2 k+l}\left(1+2^{k}|y \cdot \tilde{v}|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+l}|y \times \tilde{v}|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon} \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above estimate of kernels and the volume of support of $v$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid E n d_{k ; j, l}^{u}(s, s, x) \lesssim 2^{-k-l+3 j} \lesssim 2^{(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}} \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, from the above estimate, we have the following estimate if $|x| \leq 2^{-4 \alpha M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E n d_{k ; j, l}^{e}(s, s, x)\right|+\left|E n d_{k ; j, l}^{b}(s, s, x)\right| \lesssim r^{-1} 2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}} \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we restrict ourself to the case $|x| \geq 2^{-4 \alpha M_{t}}$. Due to the fast decay rate of kernels in the estimate (5.46), the following estimate holds if $|y| \geq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in\{e, b\}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{|y| \geq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}} \widetilde{K_{k, l}^{u}}(y, v) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d y d v\right| \lesssim 2^{-k-l-50 j} \lesssim r^{-1} \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $|x-y| \sim|x|$ if $|y| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$ and $|x| \geq 2^{-4 \alpha M_{t}}$. From the radial symmetry of $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and the estimate of kernel in (5.46), the following estimate holds if $|x| \geq 2^{-4 \alpha M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in\{e, b\}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}} \widetilde{K_{k, l}^{u}}(y, v) f(s, x-y, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d y d v\right| \lesssim 2^{2 k+l} \frac{2^{-2 k-2 l+2 \epsilon M_{t}}}{r^{2}} 2^{-j} \lesssim \frac{2^{2 \epsilon M_{t}}}{r^{2}} \lesssim \frac{2^{5 \alpha M_{t}}}{r} \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.45) holds from the estimates (5.47), (5.48), (5.49), and (5.50).
Recall the decompositions in (5.4) and (5.9). Now our mission is reduced to estimate the quadratic terms, $\operatorname{High} h_{k ; j, l}^{m}(t, s, x)$, $m \in\{0, e, b\}$ in 5.14) for the case $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$.

Recall the decomposition of $\operatorname{High}_{k ; j, l}^{m}(t, s, x), m \in\{0, e, b\}$, in (5.19). The key ingredients of estimating $H_{k ; j, l}^{m ; n}(t, s, x)$, are two point-wise bilinear estimates in Lemma5.7, which are applicable regardless whether using the integration by parts in characteristic time. We will use them as black boxes for the estimate of $H_{k ; j, l}^{m ; n}(t, s, x), m \in\{0, e, b\}$, in the next two Lemmas. For the sake of clarity, we postpone and and elaborate the proof of Lemma 5.7 to the next subsection.
Lemma 5.3. Under the assumptions (3.6) and (3.7), for any fixed $s \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t], k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k \leq 40 M_{t}, j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$, and $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, if moreover we have $l \geq-2(1-7 \alpha) M_{t} / 3$ or $n \leq-M_{t} / 2-7 \alpha M_{t}$, then the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{s} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{s} C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot H_{k ; j, l}^{b ; n}(s, \tau, X(s)) d \tau\right| \lesssim 2^{-\alpha M_{t}}  \tag{5.51}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{s} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau\right| \lesssim \min \left\{\frac{2^{(1-\alpha) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{k / 2+3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Recall the detailed formulas of symbols $\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v)$ in (5.15) and smooth coefficients in (3.11). Note that, the following decomposition holds for any $u$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \cdot \nabla_{v}=u \cdot \tilde{v}\left(\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla_{v}\right)+\sum_{i=1,2,3} u \cdot\left(\tilde{v} \times \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)\left(\left(\tilde{v} \times \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \cdot \nabla_{v}\right) \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{1}:=(1,0,0), \mathbf{e}_{2}:=(0,1,0), \mathbf{e}_{3}:=(0,0,1)$. Recall (5.17). With the above equality, as a result of direct computations, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; 0}(\xi, v)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{-k-j}, \quad\left\|\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; e}(\xi, v)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}+\left\|\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; b}(\xi, v)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{-k-j-2 l}  \tag{5.54}\\
\left\|\tilde{V}(s) \cdot \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; e}(\xi, v) \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(t)))\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{-k-j-2 l+n}  \tag{5.55}\\
\left\|C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; e}(\xi, v) \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(t)))\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}+\left\|C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; b}(\xi, v) \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(t)))\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \\
\lesssim|X(s)|\left(|\tilde{X}(s) \times \tilde{V}(s)|+2^{n}\right) 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-j-2 l} \tag{5.56}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, from the above estimates of symbols, the estimate (3.5), and the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma 5.7 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{0}^{s} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{s} C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot H_{k ; j, l}^{b ; n}(s, \tau, X(t s)) d \tau\right| \\
\lesssim|X(s)| 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-j-2 l} \frac{2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}} \lesssim 2^{-\alpha M_{t}} . \\
\left|\int_{0}^{s} \tilde{V}(s) \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau\right| \lesssim \min \left\{\frac{2^{-3 l / 2+n+4 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{k / 2+3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{3(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimates (5.51) and (5.52).

Lemma 5.4. Under the assumptions (3.6) and (3.7), for any fixed $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t], k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k \leq 40 M_{t}, j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$, and $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, if moreover we have $l \leq-2(1-7 \alpha) M_{t} / 3$ and $n \geq-M_{t} / 2-7 \alpha M_{t}$, then the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau d s\right|+\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} C_{2}(X(s), V(s)) \cdot H_{k ; j, l}^{b ; n}(s, \tau, X(s)) d \tau d s\right| \\
& \quad \lesssim \sum_{i=1,2}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-2 M_{t}+15 \alpha M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|_{-}}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\}+2^{-M_{t} / 6+16 \alpha M_{t}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)  \tag{5.57}\\
& \left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} \tilde{V} \cdot\left(H_{k ; j, l}^{0 ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))+H_{k ; j, l}^{e ; n}(s, \tau, X(s))\right) d \tau d s\right| \lesssim 2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \min \left\{\frac{2^{-M_{t} / 2+10 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|-}, 2^{3 M_{t} / 2+4 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|K(s, X(s))| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-3 M_{t} / 2+24 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|-}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \tag{5.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For this case, we do integration by parts in characteristic time. Recall the decomposition (5.20) and the associated symbol in (5.24). Recall (5.18). Based on possible destination of $\partial_{s} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}(C)(s, \xi, v)$, we separate $G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ further into three parts as follows,

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=G_{m ; 1}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+G_{m ; 2}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+G_{m ; 3}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)  \tag{5.59}\\
G_{m ; 1}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(e^{i X(s) \cdot \xi+i s|\xi|-i \tau|\xi|}\right) \hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{x} C(X(s), V(s)) \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v) \cdot[(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta) \\
+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(\tau, \eta, v)]((|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi))^{-1} \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi, \tilde{V}(s))) d v d \tau d s d \xi  \tag{5.60}\\
G_{m ; 2}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(e^{i X(s) \cdot \xi+i s|\xi|-i \tau|\xi|}\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}}(C)(s, \xi) \cdot\left[\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v)(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta)\right. \\
\quad+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(\tau, \eta, v)] \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi, \tilde{V}(s))) d v d \tau d s d \xi  \tag{5.61}\\
G_{m ; 3}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(e^{i X(s) \cdot \xi+i s|\xi|-i \tau|\xi|}\right) C(X(s), V(s)) \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v) \cdot[(\widehat{E}(\tau, \xi-\eta) \\
+\hat{v} \times \widehat{B}(\tau, \xi-\eta)) \widehat{f}(\tau, \eta, v)] \partial_{s}\left((|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi)^{-1} \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi, \tilde{V}(s)))\right) d v d \tau d s d \xi, \quad a \in\{1,2\} \tag{5.62}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}}(C)(s, \xi)=\left(K(s, X(s), V(s)) \cdot \nabla_{v} C(X(s), V(s))(|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi)^{-1}\right. \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall (5.18) and (3.11). As a result of direct computations, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|(|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim 2^{-k-2 n},  \tag{5.64}\\
\left\|\partial_{s}\left((|\xi|+\hat{V}(s) \cdot \xi)^{-1} \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi, \tilde{V}(s)))\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}|K(s, X(s))| 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-3 n}  \tag{5.65}\\
\left\|\hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{x} C_{1}(X(s), V(s)) \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; e}(\xi, v)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}} \lesssim 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-j-2 l+n}, \quad \hat{V}(s) \cdot \nabla_{x} C_{2}(X(s), V(s))=0,  \tag{5.66}\\
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}}\left(C_{1}\right)(s, \xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\infty}}+\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}}\left(C_{2}\right)(s, \xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}|X(s) \| K(s, X(s))| 2^{-k-2 n-2(1-\beta) M_{t}}  \tag{5.67}\\
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{m}^{n}}(\tilde{V})(s, \xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}}|K(s, X(s))| 2^{-k-2 n-(1-\beta) M_{t}} \tag{5.68}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the above preparation, we are ready to estimate the terms in decompositions (5.20) and (5.59) one by one. Recall (5.21). From the estimate (5.77) in Lemma 5.5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{e}^{n ; 1}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0}^{n ; 1}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| & +\left|G_{b}^{n ; 1}\left(C_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim 2^{-M_{t} / 2+10 \alpha M_{t}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)  \tag{5.69}\\
\left|G_{e}^{n ; 1}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0}^{n ; 1}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| & \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \min \left\{\frac{2^{-M_{t} / 2+10 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{3 M_{t} / 2+4 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \tag{5.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall (5.22). From the estimates (5.56) and (5.64), and the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma5.7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|G_{e}^{n ; 2}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0}^{n ; 2}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{b}^{n ; 2}\left(C_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} 2^{-k-2 n} 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-j-2 l}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \times \min \left\{\frac{2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|_{-}}, 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-2 M_{t}+15 \alpha M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|-}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+2 \alpha M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the estimates (5.55) and (5.64) and the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma5.7) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|G_{e}^{n ; 2}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0}^{n ; 2}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \\
\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} 2^{-k-j-2 l+n} 2^{-k-2 n} \min \left\{\frac{2^{k+l / 2+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|_{-}}, 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} \\
\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} \min \left\{\frac{2^{-M_{t}+15 \alpha M_{t}}}{\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|_{-}}, 2^{3 M_{t} / 2+2 \alpha M_{t}}\left|X\left(t_{i}\right)\right|+2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} \lesssim 2^{M_{t} / 3+7 \alpha M_{t}} \tag{5.72}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recall (5.60). From the estimates (5.66) and (5.54) and the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma5.7) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|G_{e ; 1}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0 ; 1}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{b ; 1}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 2^{-(1-\beta) M_{t}-k-j-2 l+n} 2^{-k-2 n} \min \left\{\frac{2^{k+l / 2+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} d s \\
 \tag{5.73}\\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \min \left\{\frac{2^{-2 M_{t}+16 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \lesssim 2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the function $\tilde{V}:(X(s), V(s)) \longrightarrow \tilde{V}(s)$ doesn't depend on $X(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{e ; 1}^{n ; 2}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0 ; 1}^{n ; 2}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|=0 \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall (5.61) and (5.62). From the estimates of symbols in (5.54), (5.56), (5.64), (5.65), and (5.67), the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma 5.7, and the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{a=2,3}\left|G_{e ; a}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0 ; a}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{b ; a}^{n ; 3}\left(C_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|X(s)||K(s, X(s))| \min \left\{2^{-k-2(1-\beta) M_{t}-3 n} 2^{-k-j-2 l^{2}} \frac{\sum^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|-},\right. \\
\left.2^{-k-2(1-\beta) M_{t}-3 n} 2^{-k-j-2 l}\left[2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right]\right\} d s \\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \min \left\{2^{(1+20 \alpha) M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{-M / 6+16 \alpha M}, \frac{2^{-3 M / 2+24 \alpha M}}{|X(s)|-}\right\} d s \lesssim 2^{-M / 6+16 \alpha M}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) . \tag{5.75}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the estimates of symbols in (5.55), (5.64), and (5.65), and the estimates (5.96) and (5.97) in Lemma5.7) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{a=2,3}\left|G_{e ; a}^{n ; 3}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|G_{0 ; a}^{n ; 3}(\tilde{V})\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|K(s, X(s))| 2^{-k-(1-\beta) M_{t}-2 n} \\
\times 2^{-k-j-2 l} \min \left\{\frac{2^{k+l / 2+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}}}{|X(s)|-}, 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right\} d s \\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|K(s, X(s))| \min \left\{\frac{2^{-3 M_{t} / 2+24 \alpha M_{t}}}{|X(s)|_{-}}, 2^{M_{t} / 2+3 \alpha M_{t}}|X(s)|+2^{-2 M_{t} / 3+8 \alpha M_{t}}\right\} d s \tag{5.76}
\end{gather*}
$$

To sum up, recall the decompositions (5.20) and (5.59), our desired estimate (5.57) holds from the estimates (5.69), (5.71), (5.73), and (5.75). The desired estimate (5.58) holds from the estimates (5.70), (5.72), (5.74), and (5.76).

Lemma 5.5. Under the assumptions (3.6) and (3.7), for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t]$, any differentiable function $C$ : $\mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times R_{v}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n \in[l, 2]$, s.t., $k \leq 40 M_{t}, j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right]$, and $k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, the following estimate holds for any $m \in\{0, u, b\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))| \min \left\{\left(|X(\tau)|_{-}\right)^{-1} 2^{-3 k / 2+2 j-2 l+2 \alpha M_{t}}, 2^{-k / 2+2 j-l}\right\} d \tau \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall (5.21) and (5.24). In terms of kernel, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{k ; n}^{1}(\tau, y) K_{k ; l, m}(z, v)(E(\tau, X(\tau)-y-z)+\hat{v} \times B(\tau, X(\tau)-y-z)) \\
& \times f(\tau, X(\tau)-y-z, v) \varphi_{j}(v) \psi_{\leq n+4}(\angle(v, \tilde{V}(\tau))) d v d y d z d \tau \tag{5.78}
\end{align*}
$$

where the kernel $K_{k ; l, m}(z, v)$ and the kernel $K_{k ; n}^{1}(y, v)$ are defined as follows,

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{k ; l, m}(z, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i z \cdot \xi} \tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) \psi_{[k-4, k+4]}(\xi) d \xi  \tag{5.79}\\
K_{k ; n}^{1}(\tau, y):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} C(X(\tau), V(\tau))((|\xi|+\hat{V}(\tau) \cdot \xi))^{-1} \psi_{k}(\xi) \varphi_{n ; l}(\angle(\xi,-\tilde{V}(\tau))) d \xi
\end{gather*}
$$

In the equality (5.78), we used the fact that the angle between $v$ and $\tilde{V}(\tau)$ is less than $2^{n+2}$ because the angle between $-v$ and $\xi$ is less than $2^{l}$ and the angle between between $\xi$ and $-\tilde{V}(\tau)$, which is a fixed vector, is less than $2^{n}$.

Recall the detailed formulas of symbols $\tilde{m}_{k, j, l ; m}(\xi, v)$ in (5.15). After doing integration by parts in $\xi$ in directions $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{v}^{\perp}$, the following estimate holds for any $m \in\{0, e, b\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{k ; l, m}(z, v)\right| \lesssim 2^{2 k-j}\left(1+2^{k}|z \cdot \tilde{v}|\right)^{-1000 / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+l}|z \times \tilde{v}|\right)^{-1000 / \epsilon} . \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

After doing integration by parts in $\xi$ in directions $\tilde{V}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{V}(\tau)^{\perp}$, the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{k ; n}^{1}(\tau, y)\right| \lesssim 2^{2 k}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))|\left(1+2^{k}|y \cdot \tilde{V}(\tau)|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+n}|y \times \tilde{V}(\tau)|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon} \tag{5.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the integration with respect to $z$, the conservation laws in (1.3), the boundedness of $L_{x, v}^{\infty}$ - norm of $f$ and the volume of support of $v$. As a result, the following estimate holds from the estimates of kernels in (5.80) and (5.81),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \\
\lesssim \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|K_{k ; n}^{1}(\tau, y)\right| \psi_{\leq n+4}(\angle(v, \tilde{V}(\tau)))\|K(\tau, X(\tau)-y-z)\|_{L_{z}^{2}}\left\|K_{k ; l, m}(z, v)\right\|_{L_{z}^{2}} \varphi_{j}(v) d y d v d \tau \\
 \tag{5.82}\\
\lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))| 2^{-k / 2-j-2 n-l} 2^{3 j+2 n} d \tau \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))| 2^{-k / 2+2 j-l} d \tau
\end{gather*}
$$

For fixed $\tau$, based on the possible size of $|X(\tau)|$, we separate into two cases as follow.
Case 1: If $|X(\tau)| \leq 2^{-k-l+2 \epsilon M_{t}}$. From the estimate (5.82), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))|(|X(\tau)|)^{-1} 2^{-3 k / 2+2 j-2 l+2 \epsilon M_{t}} d \tau \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: If $|X(\tau)| \geq 2^{-k-l+2 \epsilon M_{t}}$. For this case we have $|X(\tau)-y-z| \sim|X(\tau)|$ if $|y|+|z| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$, which is the main subcase we only have to consider. If $|y|+|z| \geq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$, then from the estimates of kernels in (5.81) and (5.80), we know that the size of kernels is very small. From the rough estimate of electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 , the estimates of kernels and the volume of support of $v$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{m}^{n ; 1}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}|C(X(\tau), V(\tau))|\left[2^{-2 k-j-2 n-2 l}\left(|X(\tau)|_{-}\right)^{-1} 2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} 2^{3 j+2 n}+2^{-500 M_{t}}\right] d \tau \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum up, our desired estimate (5.77) holds from the estimates (5.82), (5.83) and (5.84).
Lemma 5.6. Under the assumptions (3.6) and (3.7), for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left[T^{*}, T^{* *}\right] \subset[0, t], m \in\{0, e, b\}$, the following estimate holds for any differentiable function $C: \mathbb{R}_{x}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{v}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ s.t., $\|C(x, v)\|_{L_{x, v}^{\infty}} \lesssim 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s} C(X(s), V(s)) H i g h_{k ; j, l}^{m}(s, \tau, X(s)) d \tau d s\right| \lesssim 2^{-2 k / 7+5 M_{t}+4 j}\left(\min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right\}\right)^{1 / 14} \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For this case, we do integration by parts in characteristic time. Recall the equality in (5.20) and the corresponding symbols in (5.24), and (5.15). Note that from the $L_{x}^{\infty} \longrightarrow L^{7 / 4}$ type Sobolev embedding, the estimate of velocity of characteristics in (3.6), and the basic estimate in (3.2), the following estimate holds for any $m \in\{0, e, b\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}\left|G_{m}^{n ; i}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim 2^{-j-2 l} 2^{12 k / 7} 2^{-2 k} 2^{2(1-\beta) M_{t}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|K(s, x)| f(s, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{7 / 4}} d s \\
\lesssim & 2^{-j-2 l} 2^{-2 k / 7+2 M_{t}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(s, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{14}} d s \lesssim 2^{-2 k / 7+2 M_{t}+4 j}\left(\min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-N_{1} j+N_{1} M_{t}}\right\}\right)^{1 / 14} \tag{5.86}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall the detailed formula of $G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ in (5.23). Similarly, by using the same strategy used in the above estimate, the following estimate holds for $G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ from the first estimate 4.17) in Lemma4.4,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}}\left|G_{m}^{n ; 3}(C)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \lesssim \sum_{K_{1}, K_{2} \in\{E, B\}} 2^{-j-2 l} 2^{12 k / 7} 2^{-2 k+2(1-\beta) M_{t}} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|K_{1}(s, X(s))\right| \\
\times & \int_{0}^{s}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|K_{2}(\tau, x)\right| f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{7 / 4}} d \tau d s \lesssim 2^{-2 k / 7+5 M_{t}} 2^{4 j}\left(\min \left\{2^{-j}, 2^{-n j+n M_{t}}\right\}\right)^{1 / 14} . \tag{5.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, our desired estimate (5.85) holds straightforwardly from the estimate (5.86) and the estimate (5.87).

## Proof of Proposition 5.1

Recall the decomposition of the electromagnetic field in (2.5) and the decompositions of $K_{j}$ in (5.3), (5.4) and (5.9).
The desired estimate (5.1) follows from the second estimate in 4.17) in Lemma 4.4 which is used for the case $j \geq$ $(1+\epsilon) M_{t}$, the estimate 4.7) in Lemma4.2 and the estimate (4.13) in Lemma4.3, which are used for the case $j \leq(1-\alpha) M_{t}$, the estimate (5.25) in Lemma 5.1, which is used for the case $j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right], k \leq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, the first estimate in (5.45) in Lemma 5.2, the estimate (5.52) in Lemma 5.3 , the estimate (5.58) in Lemma 5.4 which are used for the case $j \in\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right],-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t} \leq k \leq 40 M_{t}$, and the second estimate in (5.45) in Lemma 5.2 and the estimate (5.85) in Lemma 5.6, which are used for the case when $k \geq 40 M_{t}$.

Similarly, from the estimate (4.7) in Lemma 4.2, the estimate (5.25) in Lemma 5.1 , the estimate (5.45) in Lemma 5.2 , the estimate (5.51) in Lemma 5.3, the estimate (5.57) in Lemma 5.4, the estimate (5.85) in Lemma5.6, we know that our desired estimate (5.2) holds.
5.1. A pointwise bilinear estimate. As summarized in the Lemma 5.7 our main goal of this subsection is to prove two point-wise estimates for the bilinear form $T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(t, s, x)$, which have been used as black boxes previously in the proof of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 These two estimates schematically give their dependence to the localized angles and the symbols of the bilinear form.

Recall (5.16). From the Kirchhoff's formulas in (2.2) and (2.3). We have the following formulation in terms of kernel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)=M_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)+\operatorname{Err}_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x) \tag{5.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x):= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(s-\tau) K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta) f(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta, v) \\
& \times \mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v) K_{k ; n}(z) \varphi_{j}(v) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(v,-\omega_{0}\right)\right) d \theta d y d z d v,  \tag{5.89}\\
E_{r r}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta) f(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta, v) \\
& \times \mathcal{E}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, v) K_{k ; n}(z) \varphi_{j}(v) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(v,-\omega_{0}\right)\right) d \theta d y d z d v, \tag{5.90}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact that $\angle\left(v,-\omega_{0}\right) \leq 2^{n+2}$ as $\angle\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right) \leq 2^{n}$ and $\angle(\xi,-v) \leq 2^{l}$ due to the cutoff functions and the assumption that $n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and the kernels are defined as follows,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} i(|\xi|+\theta \cdot \xi) m_{1}(\xi, v) \psi_{k}(\xi) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) d \xi  \tag{5.91}\\
\mathcal{E}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} m_{1}(\xi, v) \psi_{k}(\xi) \varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(\xi,-v)) d \xi, \quad K_{k ; n}(z):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i y \cdot \xi} \varphi_{n ; l}\left(\angle\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right) m_{2}\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right) \psi_{[k-4, k+4]}(\xi) d \xi \tag{5.92}
\end{gather*}
$$

By doing integration by parts in $\tilde{v}\left(\omega_{0}\right)$ direction and $\tilde{v}^{\perp}\left(\omega_{0}^{\perp}\right)$ directions, the following estimate holds from assumptions of symbols in (5.17) and (5.18),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{2}} \mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v) \lesssim 2^{4 k+2 l}\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left(1+2^{k}|y \cdot \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+l}|y \times \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}  \tag{5.93}\\
\left|\mathcal{E}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, v)\right| \lesssim 2^{3 k+2 l}\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left(1+2^{k}|y \cdot \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+l}|y \times \tilde{v}|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}  \tag{5.94}\\
\left|K_{k ; n}(z)\right| \lesssim 2^{3 k+2 n}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left(1+2^{k}\left|z \cdot \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+n}\left|z \times \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon} \tag{5.95}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 5.7. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} /\{0\}, s \in[0, t], k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, l \in[-j, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}, n \in[l, 2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, s.t., $k \leq 40 M_{t}, j \in$ $\left[(1-\alpha) M_{t},(1+\epsilon) M_{t}\right], k \geq-l+j+(1-3 \alpha / 2) M_{t}$, the following estimate holds for the bilinear form defined in (5.16),

Moreover, the following rough estimate also holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{0}^{s}\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}} r+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right] \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\delta}:=2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$. Based on the possible size of $t-s$, we separate into two cases as follows.
Case 1: If $|s-\tau| \leq \tilde{\delta}$, i.e., $\tau \in[s-\tilde{\delta}, s]$. Recall (5.16). Note that after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the integration with respect to $\xi$ and the volume of support of $\xi$ and $v$, the following estimate holds if $r:=|x| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{(3 k+2 l) / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\|K(\tau, x) f(\tau, x, v)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} \varphi_{j}(v) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(-v, \omega_{0}\right)\right) d v \\
&  \tag{5.98}\\
& \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l} \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \frac{2^{k / 2+3 j+2 n+2 \epsilon M_{t}}}{r}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, $|x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta| \sim|x|$ if $|y|+|z| \leq \tilde{\delta}$ and $|x| \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$. Moreover, from the estimates of kernels in (5.93-5.95), we know that the kernel is extremely small if $|y|+|z| \geq \tilde{\delta}$. Therefore, from the rough estimate of electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 and the volume of support of $v$, the following estimate holds if $r:=|x| \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[(s-\tau) 2^{k} \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{r} 2^{3 j+2 n}+2^{-10 M_{t}}\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|K(\tau, x)| f(\tau, x, v) \varphi_{j}(v) d v\right\|_{L_{x}^{1}}\right] \tag{5.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the estimate (5.98) and the estimate (5.99), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{s-\tilde{\delta}}^{s}\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d s & \left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[\frac{2^{-k / 2+3 j+2 n-l+3 \epsilon M_{t}}}{r}+\frac{2^{-k+3 j+2 n-2 l+(1+6 \epsilon) M_{t}}}{r}+2^{-5 M_{t}}\right] \\
& \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \frac{2^{k+l / 2+2 n+(1+10 \alpha) M_{t} / 2}}{r_{-}} \tag{5.100}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2: If $|s-\tau| \geq \tilde{\delta}$, i.e., $\tau \in[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$.
To better see the angular relations, we localize the angle of $v$ by using the following partition of unity. Let $\eta_{i}(\omega), \omega \in$ $\mathbb{S}^{2}, i \in\{1, \cdots, K\}$ be a labeled partition of unit for the unit sphere such that the support of $\eta_{i}(\cdot)$ is contained in small ball on sphere with radius of size $2^{l}$ and the supports of $\eta_{i}(\cdot)$ overlaps only finite times. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{2}, \quad 1=\sum_{i=1, \cdots, L} \eta_{i}(\omega), \quad\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}(\cdot)\right)\right| \lesssim 2^{2 l}, \quad|L| \sim 2^{-2 l}, \quad \eta_{i}(\omega) \geq 0, \quad \eta_{i}(\omega) \eta_{j}(\omega)=0 \text { if }|i-j| \geq C \tag{5.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we fix a choice of $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{L} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2}$. s.t., $\omega_{i} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}(\cdot)\right)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}(\cdot)\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{\leq l+10}\left(\cdot-\omega_{i}\right)\right)$.
Once we localize $\tilde{v}$ inside $\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}(\cdot)\right)$, due to the cutoff function $\varphi_{l ;-j}(\angle(-v, \xi))$, we know that $\xi$ is also localized in a sector of size $2^{l}$ centered at $\omega_{i}$. Moreover, due to the cutoff function $\psi \leq n+4\left(\angle\left(\xi, \omega_{0}\right)\right.$, where $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is fixed, we know that there are at most $2^{2 n-2 l}$ sectors on sphere to be considered.

Recall the integral (2.4) in the proof of Kirchhoff's formula. From the stationary phase point of view, we know that $\theta$ is localized near $\xi /|\xi|$ and $-\xi /|\xi|$ with radius of size $(|s-\tau||\xi|)^{-1 / 2}$. Hence, it is also localized roughly near the fixed direction $\omega_{0}$ with radius of size $(|s-\tau \| \xi|)^{-1 / 2}+2^{n}$.

Motivated from the above discussion, we define $\bar{\theta}_{s}^{l}:=\left(1+|s| 2^{k}\right)^{-1 / 2} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}+2^{l+20}$ and the following two cutoff functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{e s s ; i}(s, \theta):=\sum_{\mu \in\{+,-\}} \psi\left(\angle\left(\theta, \mu \omega_{i}\right) / \bar{\theta}_{s}^{l}\right) \psi\left(\angle\left(\theta, \mu \omega_{0}\right) / \bar{\theta}_{s}^{n}\right), \quad \varphi_{g d}(s, \theta)=1-\sum_{i=1, \cdots L} \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s, \theta) \tag{5.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall (5.89). After using the partition of unity in (5.101) for the direction of $v, \tilde{v}$, and the partition of unity in (5.102) for $\theta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)=M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; g d}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)+\sum_{i=1, \cdots K_{n}} M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x), \quad K_{n} \sim 2^{2 n-2 l} \tag{5.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the angular localized $M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; u}(K, f)(s, \tau, x), u \in\{g d, e s s ; i\}$, in physical space are defined as follows,

$$
\left.M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; u}(K, f)(s, \tau, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}(s-\tau) \mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v) K_{k ; n}(z) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(-v, \omega_{0}\right)\right)\right) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{u}(s-\tau, \theta) \eta_{i}(\tilde{v})
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta) f(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta, v) d y d z d v d \theta, \quad u \in\{g d, e s s ; i\} \tag{5.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi$ be fixed. After changing coordinates such that $\xi \cdot \theta=|\xi| \cos \sigma$, we do integration by parts in $\sigma$ many times. As a result, the following estimate holds for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$if $|s-\tau| \geq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_{t}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} e^{i(s-\tau) \xi \cdot \theta} \varphi_{g d}(s-\tau, \theta) d \theta\right| \lesssim_{N} 2^{-N M_{t}} \tag{5.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from the above estimate and the volume of support of $\xi$, the following estimate holds for any $\tau \in[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; g d}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{-200 M_{t}} 2^{(3 k+2 l) / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\||K(\tau, z)| f(\tau, z, v)\|_{L_{z}^{2}} \varphi_{j}(v) d v \\
& \lesssim 2^{-10 M_{t}}\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \tag{5.106}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we focus on the essential part. We first rule out the case $|y|+|z| \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}=2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t} / 2}$, in which the kernels provide sufficiently fast decay. Note that, from the rough estimate of the electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 and the estimates of kernels in (5.93) and (5.95), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{|y|+|z| \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}}(s-\tau) \mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v) K_{k ; n}(z) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(-v, \omega_{0}\right)\right)\right) \varphi_{j}(v) \varphi_{u}(s-\tau, \theta) \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \\
\times K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta) f(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta, v) d y d z d \theta d v \mid \\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{|y|+|z| \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}}(s-\tau) \frac{2^{4 k+2 l} 2^{3 k+2 n}\left(1+2^{k+l}|y|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+n}|z|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}}{|x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta|-} \\
\times 2^{3 j+(1+\epsilon) M_{t}} d y d z d \theta \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \tag{5.107}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recall the estimate of kernel $K_{k ; n}(z)$ in (5.95). Note that, the following estimate holds from the above estimate (5.107) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for fixed $z$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\right. \\
\left.+\int_{|z| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}(s-\tau) 2^{3 k+2 n}\left(1+2^{k}\left|z \cdot \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+n}\left|z \times \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-10^{3} / \epsilon} F(s, \tau, x, z) d z\right] \tag{5.108}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq F(s, \tau, x, z) \\
& \lesssim\left[\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v)\right||K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta)|^{2} \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s-\tau, \theta) \varphi_{j}(v) d v d \theta d y\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{i=1, \cdots K_{n}} \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v)\right||f(\tau, x-z-y+(s-\tau) \theta, v)| \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s-\tau, \theta) \varphi_{j}(v) d v d \theta d y\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{5.109}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that,

$$
\text { if }|\tilde{v}-\theta| \geq 2^{5} \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad(\tilde{v}, \theta) \notin \cup_{i=1, \cdots, L} \operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(t, \theta)\right) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}
$$

With the above fact, from the estimate of kernel in (5.93) and the estimate (2.9) in Lemma 2.2 and the point-wise estimate of electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2 and the volume of support of $v$, the following estimate holds for any fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \sum_{K \in\{E, B\}} \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2-\epsilon M_{t} / 2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v)\right||K(\tau, x-y-z+(s-\tau) \theta)|^{2} \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s-\tau, \theta) \varphi_{j}(v) d v d \theta d y \\
& \quad \lesssim \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}} 2^{4 k+2 l}\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \frac{\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n} 2^{3 j}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2} \min \left\{| | x-y-z|-(s-\tau)|^{-1}, 2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t} \mid}|s-\tau|\right\}}{\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}+\left|\tilde{x} \times \omega_{0}\right|\right)|x-y-z|(s-\tau)} d y \tag{5.110}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, after changing coordinates for $y$ in terms of the cylinder coordinates system with $\tilde{v}$ as axis as in (5.36), from the estimate of kernel in (5.93), the following estimate holds after using the estimate (2.10) in Lemma 2.2 or using the volume of support of $\theta$ and $v$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots K_{n}} \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2-\epsilon M_{t} / 2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\mathcal{M}_{k ; j}^{l}(y, \theta, v)\right||f(\tau, x-z-y+(s-\tau) \theta, v)| \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s-\tau, \theta) \varphi_{j}(v) d v d \theta d y \\
\lesssim \sum_{i=1, \cdots K_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{S}_{v}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2}} 2^{4 k+2 l}\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{S_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left(1+2^{k} a\right)^{-10}\left(1+2^{k+l} r\right)^{-10} \eta_{i}(\tilde{v}) \varphi_{e s s ; i}(s-\tau, \theta) \varphi_{j}(v) \\
\times f\left(\tau, x-z-a \tilde{v}-r \omega_{v}+(s-\tau) \theta, v\right) d \theta d \omega_{v} d v r d r d a
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \min \left\{\frac{2^{k} C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n} 2^{-j}}{|x-z|(s-\tau)| | x-z|-(s-\tau)|},\left|K_{n}\right| 2^{k} 2^{3 j+2 l}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{5.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right):=\left(2^{n}+\left|\tilde{x} \times \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-1}$.
With the above preparations, based on the possible size of " $r$ " and " $\tau$ ", we separate into four sub-cases as follows.
Subcase 1: If $r \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$ and $\tau \in[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}] \cap[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$. Recall (5.104). Note that we have $|s-\tau| \lesssim \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$ for the case we are considering. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the integration with respect to $y$, the estimate of kernels in (5.93) and (5.95), and the volume of support of $\theta$ and $v$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots K_{n}} \int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{\min \{s-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}\}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{s-r+\tilde{\delta}}(s-\tau)\left|K_{n}\right| 2^{5 k / 2+l}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2} 2^{3 j+2 l} d \tau \\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{5 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+6 \epsilon M_{t}} 2^{-2 k-l} \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+4 \alpha M_{t}+2 n+l / 2} r^{-1} . \tag{5.112}
\end{gather*}
$$

Subcase 2: If $r \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$ and $\tau \in[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}] \cap[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$.
For this case we are considering, we have $|s-\tau| \sim r$ and $|x-y-z|,|x-z| \sim r$ if $|y|+|z| \leq \tilde{\delta}=2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$. From the estimate (5.109) and the estimates (5.110) and (5.111), the following estimate holds for fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ s.t., $|z| \leq \tilde{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{s-r+\tilde{\delta}}(s-\tau) F(s, \tau, x, z) d \tau \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} \int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{s-r+\tilde{\delta}}(s-\tau)\left(\min \left\{2^{3 j+2 n+k}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}, \frac{C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) 2^{k-j} \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}}{r^{2} \| x-z|-(s-\tau)|}\right\}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\times\left(\int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}} \frac{2^{2 \epsilon M_{t}} C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n} 2^{4 k+2 l+3 j}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}}{r^{2} \| x-y-z|-(s-\tau)|^{1-\epsilon}} d y\right)^{1 / 2} d \tau \\
\quad \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left[C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) 2^{5 k / 2+l+3 j / 2+\epsilon M_{t}}\left(\int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{s-r+\tilde{\delta}} \int_{|y| \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}} \frac{1}{\| x-y-z|-(s-\tau)|^{1-\epsilon}} d y d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
\left.\times\left(\int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{s-r+\tilde{\delta}} \min \left\{2^{2 n+3 j}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{4} \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}, \frac{2^{-j}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}\right)^{2}}{r^{2} \| x-z|-(s-\tau)|}\right\} d \tau\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) 2^{k+3 j / 2-l / 2+4 \epsilon M_{t}} \\
\times\left(\min \left\{\tilde{\delta} 2^{3 j+2 n}\left(2^{4 l+n}+r^{-2} 2^{-2 k+n}+r^{-5 / 2} 2^{-5 k / 2}\right), r^{-2} 2^{-j+2 l+2 n}+r^{-3} 2^{-j-k+2 n}+r^{-4} 2^{-j-2 k}\right\}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{5.113}\\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) 2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}} r^{-1} \tag{5.114}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the above final estimate, we used the second estimate in (5.113) if $r \geq 2^{-k-2 l}$ and used the first estimate in (5.113) if $\tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}} \leq r \leq 2^{-k-2 l}$. Therefore, after combining the estimates (5.108) and (5.114), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \int_{s-r-\tilde{\delta}}^{\min \{s-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}\}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}} r_{-}^{-1} \tag{5.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 3: If $r \geq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 3}$ and $\tau \in[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c} \cap[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$. For this case, we have $|x-y-z|,|x-z| \sim r$ and $||x-y|-(s-\tau)| \sim||x|-(s-\tau)|$ if $|y|,|z| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t} / 2}=\tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}$. From the estimate (5.109) and the estimates (5.110) and (5.111), the following estimate holds for fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ s.t., $|z| \leq \tilde{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap\left[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}^{c} c\right.}(s-\tau) F(s, \tau, x, z) d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left[\int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}}(s-\tau)\right. \\
\left.\times \min \left\{\frac{2^{k+j-l / 2}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right) \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}}{(r(s-\tau)|r-(s-\tau)|)}, \frac{2^{k+3 j-l / 2+n}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n}\right)^{1 / 2}}{(r(s-\tau)|r-(s-\tau)|)^{1 / 2}}\right\} d s\right] \tag{5.116}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $|s-\tau| 2^{k} \geq 2^{-2 l}$, i.e., $\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l} \leq 2^{l+\epsilon M_{t}}$, then we use the first estimate in (5.116). Meanwhile, if $|s-\tau| 2^{k} \leq 2^{-2 l}$, i.e., $\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l} \leq(s-\tau)^{-1 / 2} 2^{-k / 2+\epsilon M_{t}}$, then we use the second estimate in (5.116). As a result, we have

$$
\int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}}(s-\tau) F(s, \tau, x, z) d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}} r_{-}^{-1}
$$

After combining it with the estimate (5.108), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+l / 2+n+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}} r_{-}^{-1} \tag{5.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 4: If $r \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 3}$ and $\tau \in[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c} \cap[0, s-\tilde{\delta}]$. For this case, we have $||x-y-z|-(s-\tau)| \sim$ $|s-\tau|$ if $|y|+|z| \leq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t} / 2}=\tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}$. Recall (5.104). From the estimates of kernels in (5.93) and (5.95), the rapid decay rate of kernel if $|y| \geq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t} / 2}=\tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}$, the point-wise estimate of electromagnetic field 4.6) in Lemma 4.2 and the estimate (5.111), the following estimate holds,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[\int_{|z| \leq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M / 2}} \int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}} 2^{3 k+2 n}\left(1+2^{k}\left|z \cdot \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon}\left(1+2^{k+n}\left|z \times \omega_{0}\right|\right)^{-100 / \epsilon}\right. \\
\left.\times(s-\tau) \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{|s-\tau|} \min \left\{\frac{2^{k} C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) \bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{n} 2^{-j}}{|x-z|(s-\tau)| | x-z|-(s-\tau)|},\left|K_{n}\right| 2^{k} 2^{3 j+2 l}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}\right\} d \tau d z+2^{-10 M_{t}}\right] . \tag{5.118}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $s-\tau \leq 2^{-k-2 l+\alpha M_{t}}$ then from the second estimate of (5.118), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (5.118) } \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+4(1+\epsilon) M_{t}+2 n}\left(2^{-k-2 l+\alpha M_{t}} 2^{2 l}+2^{-k}\right) r^{-1} 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}} \lesssim r^{-1} 2^{k+l+2 n+6 \alpha M_{t}} \tag{5.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s-\tau \geq 2^{-k-2 l+\alpha M_{t}}$, (i.e., $\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l} \sim 2^{l}$ ), and $2^{-k-n+2 \epsilon M_{t}} \leq r \leq \tilde{\delta} 2^{\epsilon M_{t}}$, then we have $|x-z| \sim r$ if $|z| \leq 2^{-k-n+\epsilon M / 2}$. From the geometric mean of two estimates in (5.118), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (5.118) } \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} r^{-1 / 2} 2^{k+2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}+l+3 n / 2} \\
& \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} r^{-1} 2^{k+(1+2 \alpha) M_{t}+l+3 n / 2} \tag{5.120}
\end{align*}
$$

If $s-\tau \geq 2^{-k-2 l+\alpha M_{t}}$, (i.e., $\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l} \sim 2^{l}$ ), and $r \leq 2^{-k-n+2 \epsilon M_{t}}$, then from the geometric mean of two estimates in (5.118), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (5.118) } \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} \int_{|z| \leq 2^{-k-n+2 \epsilon M_{t}}} 2^{3 k+2 n}|x-z|^{-1 / 2} 2^{k+2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}+l+3 n / 2} d z \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right) \\
& \times\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{k+2(1+\epsilon) M_{t}+l+3 n / 2} 2^{k / 2-n / 2+6 \epsilon M_{t}} \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} r^{-1} 2^{k+(1+2 \alpha) M_{t}+3 l / 2} \tag{5.121}
\end{align*}
$$

To sum up, after combining the estimates (5.119 5.121), in whichever case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1, \cdots, K_{n}} \int_{[0, s-\tilde{\delta}] \cap[s-r-\tilde{\delta}, s-r+\tilde{\delta}]^{c}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n ; e s s, i}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} r^{-1} 2^{k+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}+l / 2+n} \tag{5.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the decomposition (5.103). To sum up, after combining the estimates (5.106), (5.112), (5.115), (5.117), and (5.122), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s-\tilde{\delta}}\left|M_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty} r_{-}^{-1} 2^{k+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}+l / 2+n} . .} \tag{5.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the detailed formulas of $M_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)$ in (5.89) and $E r r_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)$ in (5.90) and the estimate of corresponding kernels in (5.93) and (5.94). Note that we have $s-\tau \geq \tilde{\delta} \geq 2^{-k+\epsilon M_{t}}$. The smallness of extra $2^{-k}$ comes from the symbol compensate the loss of smallness " $s-\tau$ " in $E r r_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)$. Therefore, with minor modification of the proof of the estimate (5.123), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s-\tilde{\delta}}\left|E r r_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| d \tau \lesssim C_{n}\left(x, \omega_{0}\right)\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} r_{-}^{-1} 2^{k+(1+3 \alpha) M_{t}+l / 2+n} \tag{5.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the decomposition (5.88). To sum up, our desired estimate (5.96) holds from the estimates (5.100), (5.123), and (5.124).

Moreover, we obtain a rough point-wise estimate as a byproduct of the above argument, which will be used when $r$ is extremely small. Recall (5.16). Note that after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the integration with respect to $\xi$ and the volume of support of $\xi$ and $v$, the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{(3 k+2 l) / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\|K(\tau, x) f(\tau, x, v)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} \varphi_{j}(v) \psi_{\leq n+4}\left(\angle\left(-v, \omega_{0}\right)\right) d v \\
\lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}} 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l} \tag{5.125}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for any fixed $\tau \in[0, s]$ s.t., $|s-\tau| \geq 10\left(r+2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}\right)$, we have $|x-y-z-(s-\tau)| \sim(s-\tau)$ if $|y|,|z| \leq$ $2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}}$. Therefore, from the the rapid decay rate of kernels in (5.93) and (5.95) if $|y|,|z| \geq 2^{-k-l+\epsilon M_{t} / 2}=\tilde{\delta} 2^{-\epsilon M_{t} / 2}$, the estimate of good part in (5.106), the point-wise estimate of electromagnetic field (4.6) in Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x)\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[(s-\tau) \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{|s-\tau|}\left|K_{n}\right| 2^{k} 2^{3 j+2 l}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{5.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the estimates (5.125) and (5.126), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{s}\left|T_{k ; j}^{l, n}(K, f)(s, \tau, x) d \tau\right| \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left[\int_{[0, s] \cap\left[s-10\left(r+2^{\left.-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}\right), s+10\left(r+2^{\left.\left.-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}\right)\right]}\right.}\right.\right.} 2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l} d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{[0, s] \cap\left[s-10\left(r+2^{\left.-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}\right), s+10\left(r+2^{\left.\left.-k-l+\epsilon M_{t}\right)\right] c}\right.}\right.\right.}(s-\tau) \frac{2^{(1+\epsilon) M_{t}}}{|s-\tau|}\left|K_{n}\right| 2^{k} 2^{3 j+2 l}\left(\bar{\theta}_{s-\tau}^{l}\right)^{2} d \tau\right] \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|m_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k, j, l}^{\infty}}\left\|m_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k ; n, l}^{\infty}}\left(2^{3 k / 2+3 j+2 n+l+\epsilon M_{t}} r+2^{k+3 j+2 n+2 l+(1+3 \epsilon) M_{t}}\right) \tag{5.127}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence finishing the proof of our desired estimate (5.97).
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