

NOTES ON THE CURVATURE ESTIMATES FOR HESSIAN EQUATIONS

CHANGYU REN AND ZHIZHANG WANG

ABSTRACT. The main result of this paper gives a plenary proof on the curvature estimates for k curvature equations with general right hand sides with $n < 2k$ based on a concavity inequality. We further give a explicit lower bound of the inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we continue to study the longstanding problem about the global curvature estimates for curvature equations with general right hand side

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = \psi(X, \nu(X)), \quad \forall X \in M,$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric function, $\nu(X)$ and $\kappa(X)$ denote the outer normal vector and the principal curvatures of the hypersurface $X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, respectively. This problem was clearly posed by Guan-Li-Li in [20] at first. Moreover, it is very nature to consider the equation (1.1) with the right hand side containing the normal vector or in other words, gradient terms.

Equation (1.1) is associated with many important geometric problems. In particular, the famous Minkowski problem, namely, the prescribed Gauss-Kronecker curvature on the outer normal, has been widely discussed by Nirenberg [32], Pogorelov [38], Cheng-Yau [11]. Alexandrov [2, 17] also posed the problem of prescribing general Weingarten curvature on the outer normal. Moreover, the prescribing curvature measure problem in convex geometry has been extensively studied by Alexandrov [1], Pogorelov [37], Guan-Lin-Ma [19], Guan-Li-Li [20], while the prescribing mean curvature problem and Weingarten curvature problem also have been considered and obtained fruitful results by Bakelman-Kantor [4], Treibergs-Wei [44], Oliker [33], Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [9, 10]. More geometric applications can be found in [31, 6, 3, 34, 35, 21, 46, 7], etc. Very recently, Ren-Wang-Xiao [41] obtained the convexity of bounded entire space like hypersurfaces with constant σ_{n-1} curvature in Minkowski space and constructed a lot of examples of these type, by using some techniques developed in [22, 28] and [39].

The C^2 a priori estimate for (1.1) has been studied extensively. When ψ is independent of the normal vector, the C^2 -estimate was obtained by Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [8] for a general class of fully nonlinear operators. Ivochkina [25, 26] considered the Dirichlet problem of equation (1.1) on domains in \mathbb{R}^n , the C^2 estimate

Research of the first author is supported by NSFC Grant No. 11871243 and the second author is supported by NSFC Grants No.11871161 and 11771103.

was proved there under some extra conditions on the dependence of ψ on ν . The Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimate for the Hessian equation have been obtained by Chou-Wang [13]. Sheng-Urbas-Wang [42] obtained the Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimate for the curvature equation of the graphic hypersurface. C^2 estimates for the complex Hessian equations defined on Kähler manifolds have been obtained by Hou-Ma-Wu [23]. The C^2 estimate was also established for the equation of the prescribed curvature measure problem by Guan-Li-Li [20] and Guan-Lin-Ma [19]. If the function ψ is convex with respect to the normal, the global C^2 estimate is well known, which is obtained by Guan [16]. Recently, Guan [18] obtained an important result on C^2 estimates for some fully nonlinear equations defined on Riemannian manifolds.

In recent years, the authors have made many progresses on establishing C^2 estimates for equation (1.1). More precisely, Guan-Ren-Wang [22] obtained the global curvature estimate of the closed convex hypersurface and the star-shaped 2-convex hypersurface. The corresponding case in complex setting has been established by Phong-Picard-Zhang [36] on the Kähler manifold and Dong [15] on the Hermitian manifold. Li-Ren-Wang [29] improved the convex condition to $k+1$ -convex condition for any Hessian equations and derived the Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimates. For the case $k = n - 1$, Ren-Wang [39] obtained the global curvature estimates of $n - 1$ convex solutions for $n - 1$ Hessian equations and completely solved the long-standing problem. Chen-Li-Wang [12] established the global curvature estimate for the prescribed curvature problem in arbitrary warped product spaces. Li-Ren-Wang [28] considered the global curvature estimate of convex solutions for a class of general Hessian equations. Spruck-Xiao [43] obtained the curvature estimate for the prescribed scalar curvature problem in space forms and gave a simple proof of Theorem 1.6 in [22].

Before starting our main theorem, we need to introduce the admissible set for equation (1.1). Following [8], we define an open, convex, symmetric (invariant under the interchange of any two κ_i) cone with vertex at the origin, containing the positive cone, $\Gamma_+ = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n; \text{each component } \kappa_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$:

Definition 1. For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a function $v \in C^2(\Omega)$ is called k -convex if the eigenvalues $\kappa(x) = (\kappa_1(x), \dots, \kappa_n(x))$ of the hessian $\nabla^2 v(x)$ is in Γ_k for all $x \in \Omega$, where Γ_k is the Gårding's cone

$$\Gamma_k = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_m(\kappa) > 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

A C^2 regular hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called k -convex if its principal curvature vector $\kappa(X) \in \Gamma_k$ for all $X \in M$.

The purpose of the present paper is to establish the global curvature estimate based on the following concavity conjecture:

Conjecture 2. Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$ with $n < 2k$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ , and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has the absolutely positive lower bound and upper bound, $N_0 \leq \sigma_k(\kappa) \leq N_1$. For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, the following

quadratic form is non negative,

$$(1.2) \quad \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \geq 0,$$

for any n dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, when κ_1 and the constant K are sufficiently large. Here a_j is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad a_j = \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa).$$

Here, the notations $\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa), \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa), \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa), \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa)$ mean

$$\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_i}, \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_j}, \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_p \partial \kappa_q}, \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_i \partial \kappa_j}.$$

Note that, in [39] and [40], we have proved the Conjecture for $k = n - 1$ and $k = n - 2$. If $k = n$, the inequality (1.2) is well known. Thus, until to now, the above Conjecture holds when $k \geq n - 2$.

The main theorem of this paper is following:

Theorem 3. *Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a closed k -convex hypersurface satisfying the curvature equation (1.1) with $2k > n$ for some positive function $\psi(X, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$. Assume Conjecture 2 holds, then there is a constant C depending only on $n, k, \|M\|_{C^1}, \inf \psi$ and $\|\psi\|_{C^2}$, such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \max_{X \in M, i=1, \dots, n} \kappa_i(X) \leq C.$$

If one would like to derive global curvature estimate, the inequality (1.2) needs to be carefully studied. Thus, the second result of this paper is to give a relatively explicit lower bound of the left hand side of (1.2).

For any fixed indices $1 \leq a, b, c \leq n$, we always let

$$\sigma_k(\kappa|a) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_a}, \sigma_k(\kappa|ab) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_a \partial \kappa_b}, \sigma_k(\kappa|abc) = \frac{\partial^3 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_a \partial \kappa_b \partial \kappa_c}.$$

Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional vector. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq n$ is some given index. We define four quadratic forms, $\mathbf{A}_{k;i}, \mathbf{B}_{k;i}, \mathbf{C}_{k;i}, \mathbf{D}_{k;i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i} [\sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq)] \xi_p \xi_q; \\ \mathbf{B}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} 2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) \xi_p \xi_q; \\ \mathbf{C}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} [\kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij)] \xi_j^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i, p \neq q} [\sigma_k(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq)] \xi_p \xi_q; \\ \mathbf{D}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i,} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|iq) \xi_p \xi_q. \end{aligned}$$

For any positive constant K , we define

$$(1.5) \quad c_{k,K} = \frac{1}{K\kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 1}.$$

Indeed, $c_{k,K}$ should be very small, if we let K be sufficiently large, which we will detailed explain in the next section. Using the above notations, one has:

Theorem 4. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has a positive lower bound $\sigma_k(\kappa) \geq N_0$. Then for any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, for any n dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} \left[\kappa_i^2 \mathbf{A}_{k;i} + \sigma_k(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{k;i} + \mathbf{C}_{k;i} - c_{k,K} \mathbf{D}_{k;i} \right], \end{aligned}$$

when κ_1 and K both are sufficiently large. Here a_j and $c_{k,K}$ are defined by (1.3) and (1.5).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we will list the notations and lemmas needed in our proof. Section 3 will prove Theorem 3. Section 4 will prove Theorem 4.

2. PRELIMINARY

The operator $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ for $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has been defined by

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k}.$$

Korevaar [27] has shown that the cone Γ_k also can be characterized as

$$\left\{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n; \sigma_k(\kappa) > 0, \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1}} > 0, \dots, \frac{\partial^k \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \partial \kappa_{i_k}} > 0, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \right\}.$$

Suppose $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$, then using the above fact, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa_k + \kappa_{k+1} + \dots + \kappa_n > 0 \quad \text{for } \kappa \in \Gamma_k.$$

Thus, if $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, the number of possible negative entries of κ is at most $n - k$.

Let $\kappa(A)$ be the eigenvalue vector of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. Suppose F is a function defined on the set of symmetric matrices. We let

$$f(\kappa(A)) = F(A).$$

Thus, we denote

$$F^{pq} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{pq}}, \text{ and } F^{pq,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a_{pq} \partial a_{rs}}.$$

For a local orthonormal frame, if A is diagonal at a point, then at this point, we have

$$F^{pp} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \kappa_p} = f_p, \text{ and } F^{pp,qq} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \kappa_p \partial \kappa_q} = f_{pq}.$$

Thus the definition of the k -th elementary symmetric function can be extended to symmetric matrices. Suppose W is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and $\kappa(W)$ is its eigenvalue vector. We define

$$\sigma_k(W) = \sigma_k(\kappa(W)),$$

which is the summation of the k -th principal minors of the matrix W .

Now we will list some algebraic identities and properties of σ_k . In this paper, we will denote $(\kappa|a) = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{a-1}, \kappa_{a+1}, \dots, \kappa_n)$. For any $1 \leq l \leq n$, the notation $\sigma_l(\kappa|ab\dots)$ means $\sigma_l((\kappa|ab\dots))$. Thus, we define

- (i) $\sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) := \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_p} = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p)$ for any given index $p = 1, \dots, n$;
- (ii) $\sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) := \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_p \partial \kappa_q} = \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq)$ for any given indices $p, q = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sigma_k^{pp,pp}(\kappa) = 0$.

Using the above definitions, we have

- (iii) $\sigma_k(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_k(\kappa|i)$ for any given index i ;
- (iv) $\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) = k \sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Thus, for a Codazzi tensor $W = (w_{ij})$, we have

$$(v) - \sum_{p,q,r,s} \sigma_k^{pq,rs}(W) w_{pql} w_{rsl} = \sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W) w_{pql}^2 - \sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W) w_{ppl} w_{qql},$$

where w_{pql} means the covariant derivative of w_{pq} with respect to l and $\sigma_k^{pq,rs}(W) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(W)}{\partial w_{pq} \partial w_{rs}}$. The meaning of Codazzi tensors can be found in [22].

For $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, suppose $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$, then we have

$$(vi) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|n) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) > 0.$$

More details about the proof of these formulas can be found in [24] and [45].

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the famous Maclaurin's inequality.

$$(vii) \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{C_n^k} \right]^{1/k} \leq \left[\frac{\sigma_l(\kappa)}{C_n^l} \right]^{1/l} \text{ for } k \geq l \geq 1, \kappa \in \Gamma_k. \text{ (Maclaurin's inequality)}$$

Here C_n^k is the combinational number, namely $C_n^k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

Now, we list several lemmas frequently used in the other sections.

Lemma 5. *Assume that $k > l$, $W = (w_{ij})$ is a Codazzi tensor which is in Γ_k . Denote $\alpha = \frac{1}{k-l}$. Then, for $h = 1, \dots, n$ and any $\delta > 0$, we have the following inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad - \sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W) w_{pph} w_{qqh} + \left(1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{\delta}\right) \frac{(\sigma_k(W))_h^2}{\sigma_k(W)} \\ \geq \sigma_k(W) (\alpha + 1 - \delta\alpha) \left(\frac{(\sigma_l(W))_h}{\sigma_l(W)} \right)^2 - \frac{\sigma_k(W)}{\sigma_l(W)} \sum_{p,q} \sigma_l^{pp,qq}(W) w_{pph} w_{qqh}.$$

The proof can be found in [20] and [22]. Now we give another Lemma whose proof is in [30].

Lemma 6. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$. Then for any given indices $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, if $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_j$, we have*

$$|\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)| \leq \Theta \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j), \text{ where } \Theta = \sqrt{\frac{k(n-k)}{n-1}}.$$

We also have

Lemma 7. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Then for any $0 \leq s \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\kappa_1^s \sigma_{k-s}(\kappa)}{\sigma_k(\kappa)} \geq \frac{C_n^{k-s}}{C_n^k}.$$

Proof. Obviously, we have $\kappa_1 > 0$. Define $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa_1} = \left(1, \dots, \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_1}\right)$. Thus, we have

$\frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k} \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\kappa} \in \Gamma_k$. By Maclaurin's inequality, we get

$$\frac{\sigma_{k-s}(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^{k-s}} \geq \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k} \right]^{\frac{k-s}{k}} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\kappa_1^s \sigma_{k-s}(\kappa)}{\sigma_k(\kappa)} = \frac{\sigma_{k-s}(\tilde{\kappa})}{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})} \geq \frac{C_n^{k-s}}{C_n^k}.$$

□

Using the above lemma, we can prove

Lemma 8. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Suppose any given indices i, j satisfy $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$.*

(a) *If $\kappa_i \leq 0$, then $-\kappa_i < \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k}$.*

(b) *If $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_j \leq 0$, then $-(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) < \frac{2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}$.*

Proof. (a) Since $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, by

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_k(\kappa|i) > 0, \text{ and } \kappa_i \leq 0,$$

we know that $\sigma_k(\kappa|i) > 0$, which implies $(\kappa|i) \in \Gamma_k$. Applying Lemma 7 to $(\kappa|i)$ and using the above inequality, we get

$$-\kappa_i < \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa|i)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)} \leq \frac{C_{n-1}^k \kappa_1}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} = \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k}.$$

(b) Same as (a), using $\kappa_j \leq 0$, we know $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) > 0$. Thus, it is clear that

$$\sigma_k(\kappa|j) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) + \sigma_k(\kappa|ij) > 0,$$

then, rewriting the above inequality, we have $-\kappa_i < \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}$. Since $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_j \leq 0$, the above inequality implies $-(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) < \frac{2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}$. \square

Lemma 9. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Then for any $1 \leq s < k$, we have*

$$\sigma_s(\kappa) > \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_s.$$

Proof. Using $\kappa \in \Gamma_k \subset \Gamma_s$, we have

$$\kappa_1 > 0, \kappa_2 > 0, \dots, \kappa_s > 0,$$

and

$$\sigma_s(\kappa|1) > 0, \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|12) > 0, \dots, \sigma_1(\kappa|12 \cdots s) > 0.$$

Using the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_s(\kappa) &= \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|1) + \sigma_s(\kappa|1) \\ &> \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|1) = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|12) + \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|12) \\ &> \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|12) = \cdots \\ &> \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_s. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 10. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. For any given indices $1 \leq j \leq k$, there exists a positive constant θ only depending on n, k such that*

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \frac{\theta \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\kappa_j}.$$

Epecially, we have $\kappa_1 \sigma_k(\kappa|1) \geq \theta \sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Proof. We note that $\kappa_j > 0$. We divide into two cases to prove our Lemma.

(a) If we have $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) \leq 0$, we easily see that

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) = \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa) - \sigma_k(\kappa|j)}{\kappa_j} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{\kappa_j}.$$

(b) If we have $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) > 0$, using $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, we have $(\kappa|j) \in \Gamma_k$. Thus, applying Lemma 9 to $(\kappa|j)$, we get

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_k}{\kappa_j}.$$

In view of (2.1), for any $j > k$, we have $|\kappa_j| \leq n\kappa_k$. Thus, there exists some constant θ only depending on n, k such that $\theta \sigma_k(\kappa) \leq \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_k$. Therefore, we obtain our lemma. \square

It is easy to see that if $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have

$$\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \geq \kappa_1 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1)/2 \geq \theta \sigma_k(\kappa)/2 > 0,$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here θ is the constant given in Lemma 10. Therefore, $c_{k,K}$ defined by (1.5) is a positive constant and can be very small if the constant K is sufficiently large. Thus, throughout the paper, we always assume K is sufficiently large and then $c_{k,K}$ is positive.

3. THE GLOBAL CURVATURE ESTIMATES

In this section, we will derive the global C^2 -estimates for the curvature equation (1.1) based on Conjecture 2, namely, to prove Theorem 3.

Denote X, ν to be the position vector and outer normal vector of M . Set $u(X) = \langle X, \nu(X) \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of the ambient space. By the assumption that M is a starshaped hypersurface with a C^1 bound, u is bounded from below and above by two positive constants. At every point in the hypersurface M , choose a local coordinate frame $\{\partial/(\partial x_1), \dots, \partial/(\partial x_{n+1})\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that the first n vectors are the local coordinates of the hypersurface and the last one is the unit outer normal vector.

We let h_{ij} be the second fundamental form of the hypersurface M . The following geometric formulas are well known (e.g., [20]),

$$(3.1) \quad h_{ij} = \langle \partial_i X, \partial_j \nu \rangle,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{ij} &= -h_{ij} \nu && \text{(Gauss formula)} \\ (\nu)_i &= h_{ij} \partial_j && \text{(Weigarten equation)} \\ h_{ijk} &= h_{ikj} && \text{(Codazzi formula)} \\ R_{ijkl} &= h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk} && \text{(Gauss equation)}, \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ijkl} is the $(4,0)$ -Riemannian curvature tensor. We also have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{ijkl} &= h_{ijlk} + h_{mj} R_{imlk} + h_{im} R_{jmlk} \\ &= h_{klij} + (h_{mj} h_{il} - h_{ml} h_{ij}) h_{mk} + (h_{mj} h_{kl} - h_{ml} h_{kj}) h_{mi}. \end{aligned}$$

For the function u , we consider the following test function

$$\phi = \log \log P - N \ln u.$$

Here N is some undetermined constant and the function P is defined by

$$P = \sum_l e^{\kappa_l}.$$

We may assume that the maximum of ϕ is achieved at some point $X_0 \in M$. By a proper rotation of the coordinates, we may assume the matrix (h_{ij}) is diagonal at that point, and we can further assume that $h_{11} \geq h_{22} \cdots \geq h_{nn}$. Since $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n$ denote the principal curvatures of M , then we have $\kappa_i = h_{ii}$.

Covariant differentiating the function ϕ twice at X_0 , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \phi_i = \frac{P_i}{P \log P} - N \frac{h_{ii} \langle X, \partial_i \rangle}{u} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ii} &= \frac{P_{ii}}{P \log P} - \frac{P_i^2}{P^2 \log P} - \frac{P_i^2}{(P \log P)^2} - \frac{N}{u} \sum_l h_{il,i} \langle \partial_l, X \rangle - \frac{N h_{ii}}{u} \\ &\quad + N h_{ii}^2 + N \frac{h_{ii}^2 \langle X, \partial_i \rangle^2}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$P_i = \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{li}, \quad P_{ii} = \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{l ii} + \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{e^{\kappa_\alpha} - e^{\kappa_\beta}}{\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\beta} h_{\alpha\beta i}^2,$$

and at X_0 ,

$$h_{l ii} = h_{ii, ll} + h_{ii} h_{ll}^2 - h_{ii}^2 h_{ll}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ii} &\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \left[\sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ii, ll} + \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} (h_{ii} h_{ll}^2 - h_{ii}^2 h_{ll}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \frac{e^{\kappa_\alpha} - e^{\kappa_\beta}}{\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\beta} h_{\alpha\beta i}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{P \log P} \right) P_i^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{N \sum_l h_{ii l} \langle \partial_l, X \rangle}{u} - \frac{N h_{ii}}{u} + N h_{ii}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting with σ_k^{ii} , we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_k^{ii} \phi_{ii} &\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \left[\sum_l e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii, ll} + k \psi \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll}^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sigma_k^{ii} \frac{e^{\kappa_\alpha} - e^{\kappa_\beta}}{\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\beta} h_{\alpha\beta i}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{P \log P} \right) \sigma_k^{ii} P_i^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{N \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii l} \langle \partial_l, X \rangle}{u} - \frac{N k \psi}{u} + N \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2. \end{aligned}$$

At X_0 , differentiating the equation (1.1) twice, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii l} = d_X \psi(\partial_l) + h_{ll} d_\nu \psi(\partial_l),$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii ll} + \sigma_k^{pq, rs} h_{pql} h_{rsl} \geq -C - C h_{11}^2 + \sum_s h_{sll} d_\nu \psi(\partial_s),$$

where C is some uniform constant.

Inserting (3.7) into (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} \phi_{ii} &\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \left[\sum_l e^{\kappa_l} (-C - Ch_{11}^2 - \sigma_k^{pq,rs} h_{pql} h_{rst}) + \sum_{l,s} e^{\kappa_l} h_{sll} d_\nu \psi(\partial_s) \right. \\
&\quad + k\psi \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll}^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{ll} + \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2 \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sigma_k^{ii} \frac{e^{\kappa_\alpha} - e^{\kappa_\beta}}{\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\beta} h_{\alpha\beta i}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{P \log P} \right) \sigma_k^{ii} P_i^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{N \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iil} \langle \partial_l, X \rangle}{u} - \frac{Nk\psi}{u} + N\sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{P \log P} \sum_{l,s} e^{\kappa_l} h_{sll} d_\nu \psi(\partial_s) - \frac{N}{u} \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iil} \langle \partial_l, X \rangle = - \frac{N}{u} \sum_l d_X \psi(\partial_l) \langle X, \partial_l \rangle.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i &= e^{\kappa_i} \left[K(\sigma_k)_i^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppi} h_{qqi} \right], \quad B_i = 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \sigma_k^{ii,ll} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2, \\
C_i &= \sigma_k^{ii} \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2; \quad D_i = 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \sigma_k^{ll} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} h_{lli}^2, \quad E_i = \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sigma_k^{ii} P_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$- \sum_l \sigma_k^{pq,rs} h_{pql} h_{rst} = \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{pql}^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppl} h_{qql},$$

and (3.8), for any $K > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} \phi_{ii} &\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \left[\sum_l e^{\kappa_l} \left(K(\sigma_k)_l^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppl} h_{qql} + \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{pql}^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_l \sigma_k^{ii} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sigma_k^{ii} \frac{e^{\kappa_\alpha} - e^{\kappa_\beta}}{\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\beta} h_{\alpha\beta i}^2 - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sigma_k^{ii} P_i^2 \\
&\quad \left. - CP - CKPh_{11}^2 \right] + (N-1) \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \sum_i (A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i) + (N-1) \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 - \frac{C + CKh_{11}^2}{\log P}.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(3.11) \quad A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore by (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq \sigma_k^{ii} \phi_{ii} &\geq \frac{1}{P \log P} \sum_i (A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i) \\ &\quad + (N-1) \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 - \frac{C + CK h_{11}^2}{\log P} \\ &\geq (N-1) \theta h_{11} - \frac{C + CK h_{11}^2}{\log P}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Lemma 10. Choosing a sufficiently large positive constant N , we obtain an upper bound of h_{11} .

Next, we will divide into two cases to prove our claim (3.11).

(I) $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$;

(II) $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$.

At first, we need to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 11. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $2k > n$, and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . Denote $\delta_k = \frac{1}{3k}$, then we have*

$$(3.12) \quad (2 - \delta_k) e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + (2 - \delta_k) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)$$

for all indices i, l satisfying $l \neq i$, if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. Obviously we have the following identity,

$$\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + (\kappa_i - \kappa_l) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il).$$

Multiplying $\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i}$ in both sides of the above identity, we have

$$(3.13) \quad e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) = e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i).$$

Using (3.13), in order to prove (3.12), we only need to show

$$(3.14) \quad (2 - \delta_k) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

which we will divide into four cases to prove.

Case (a): Suppose $\kappa_l \leq \kappa_i$. We have

$$\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} = e^{\kappa_l} \frac{e^{\kappa_i - \kappa_l} - 1}{\kappa_i - \kappa_l} \geq e^{\kappa_l} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here we have used the inequality $e^t > 1 + t$ for $t > 0$.

Case (b): Suppose $0 < \kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq 1$. By the mean value theorem, there exists some constant ξ satisfying $\kappa_i < \xi < \kappa_l$, such that

$$\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} = e^\xi \geq e^{\kappa_i} \geq e^{\kappa_l - 1} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Case (c): Suppose $1 < \kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq \kappa_1$. We have

$$(2 - \delta_k) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \delta_k) e^{\kappa_l} \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \delta_k)(1 - e^{-1}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1}.$$

Case (d): Suppose $\kappa_l - \kappa_i > \kappa_1$. In this case, our condition implies $\kappa_i < 0$. By Lemma 8 and $2k > n$, we know that $-\kappa_i < \frac{n-k}{k} \kappa_1 \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \kappa_1$, then we have

$$\kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \kappa_i < \frac{2k-1}{k} \kappa_1.$$

Thus, in this case,

$$(2 - \delta_k) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \delta_k) e^{\kappa_l} \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa_1}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq \frac{(2 - \delta_k)(1 - e^{-\kappa_1})}{(2k-1)/k} \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1}.$$

We obviously have

$$\frac{(2 - \delta_k)}{(2k-1)/k} > 1 + \frac{1}{3k}.$$

Thus we get $\frac{(2 - \delta_k)(1 - e^{-\kappa_1})}{(2k-1)/k} \geq 1$ if κ_1 is sufficiently large, which gives the desired inequality. \square

Next lemma will handle the case (I).

Lemma 12. *Assume $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, $2k > n$, and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . For given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ then we have*

$$A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0,$$

when the constant K and the biggest eigenvalue κ_1 both are sufficiently large.

Proof. Firstly, by Lemma 2.2 of [22], we have $A_i > 0$, if the constant K is sufficiently large. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} P_i^2 &= e^{2\kappa_i} h_{iii}^2 + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} h_{iii} h_{lli} + \left(\sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli} \right)^2 \\ &\leq e^{2\kappa_i} h_{iii}^2 + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} h_{iii} h_{lli} + (P - e^{\kappa_i}) \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ll, ii} h_{lli}^2 + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ll} h_{lli}^2 - \frac{1}{\log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 + e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{2\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 - 2 \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii} h_{lli}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\log P > \kappa_1$, using Lemma 11, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \left(2 - \frac{1}{3k}\right) \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ll,ii} h_{lli}^2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{3k}\right) \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ll} h_{lli}^2 - \frac{1}{\log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{l \neq i,1} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 - 2 \sum_{l \neq i,1} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii} h_{lli} \geq - \sum_{l \neq i,1} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2.$$

Then, using the above two inequalities, (3.16) becomes

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \\ & \geq \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{11i}^2 + e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq 1} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 - 2 \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_1} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii} h_{11i} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{3k} e^{\kappa_1} \sigma_k^{11,ii} h_{11i}^2 + \frac{1}{3k} \frac{e^{\kappa_1} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{11} h_{11i}^2. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq 1} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 & \geq \left(\frac{e^{\kappa_1}}{P} - \frac{1}{\log P} \right) e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{n+1} e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_1} \sigma_k^{ii} |h_{iii} h_{11i}| & \geq - \frac{3}{P} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_1} \sigma_k^{ii} |h_{iii} h_{11i}| \\ & \geq - 3 e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} |h_{iii} h_{11i}|, \end{aligned}$$

hold at the same time if κ_1 is sufficiently large. We let $l = 1$ in (3.13) and we have

$$(3.20) \quad e^{\kappa_1} \sigma_k^{11,ii} h_{11i}^2 + \frac{e^{\kappa_1} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{11} h_{11i}^2 = e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{11,ii} h_{11i}^2 + \frac{e^{\kappa_1} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{11i}^2.$$

By Taylor's Theorem, we also have

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{e^{\kappa_1} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{11i}^2 = e^{\kappa_i} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_i)^{m-1}}{m!} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{11i}^2.$$

Combining the previous four formulas and using (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \\ & \geq e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} \left[\frac{1}{n+1} h_{iii}^2 - 3 |h_{iii} h_{11i}| + \frac{1}{3k} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_i)^{m-1}}{m!} h_{11i}^2 \right] \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. □

For the case (II), we first prove that

Lemma 13. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $n < 2k$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has a lower bound $\sigma_k(\kappa) \geq N_0 > 0$. Then for any given indices i, j satisfying $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{2\kappa_i(1 - e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i})}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii, jj}(\kappa),$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. If $\kappa_i = \kappa_j$, the left hand side of (3.22) should be viewed as a limitation when κ_j converging to κ_i , about which we refer [5] for more explanation. It is easy to see that the limitation is $2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa)$. Thus, a straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &= 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6, $|\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)|$ can be bounded by $\Theta \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$. Thus, since we have $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$, the above formula is positive if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

If $\kappa_i \neq \kappa_j$, we have the following identity,

$$(3.23) \quad \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii, jj}(\kappa) = \frac{2\kappa_i}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) - \frac{\kappa_i + \kappa_j}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa).$$

In view of (3.23), in order to prove (3.22), it suffices to show

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{-2\kappa_i e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i}}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq -\frac{\kappa_i + \kappa_j}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa).$$

Let's define some function:

$$(3.25) \quad L = \begin{cases} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) & \kappa_i > \kappa_j, \\ 2\kappa_i e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) & \kappa_i < \kappa_j. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $L \geq 0$ implies (3.24). Thus, let's prove $L \geq 0$ in the following for $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$ and $\kappa_i < \kappa_j$ respectively.

If $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$, we let $t = \kappa_i - \kappa_j$. Thus we have $t > 0$. We divide into two cases to prove L is non negative for $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$.

Case (a): Suppose $t \geq \sqrt{\kappa_1}$. In this case, our assumption gives $e^t \geq e^{\sqrt{\kappa_1}} > \frac{(\sqrt{\kappa_1})^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$. Here we have used the Taylor expansion in the second inequality.

If $\kappa_j \leq 0$, using $n \leq 2k-1$ and Lemma 8, we have $-\kappa_j < \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k} \leq \frac{(k-1)\kappa_1}{k}$. Thus, since $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have $\kappa_i + \kappa_j > \frac{\kappa_1}{2k}$ if $\kappa_1 > 10$. If $\kappa_j > 0$, it is easy to see $\kappa_i + \kappa_j > \frac{\kappa_1}{2k}$. Thus, in any cases, we have

$$L \geq \frac{\kappa_1^{k+\frac{3}{2}}}{2k(2k+1)!} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 2\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \kappa_1 \left(\frac{\kappa_1^{k-1} \sqrt{\kappa_1} \theta N_0}{2k(2k+1)!} - 2\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \right) \geq 0,$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here we have used $\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \geq \theta \sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Case (b): Suppose $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}$. Using $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$ if $\kappa_1 > 10$. For simplification purpose, denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|i,j)$. We have

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)e^t \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \\ &= (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)e^t (\kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) - 2\kappa_i (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\ &= [\kappa_j(\kappa_j + \kappa_i)(e^t - 1) - t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) - t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [(\kappa_i + \kappa_j)(e^t - 1) - t] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we have used $t = \kappa_i - \kappa_j$. We further divide into two sub-cases to prove the nonnegativity of L .

Subcase (b1): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \geq 0$. Note that $e^t > 1 + t$. By (3.26) and $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$, we get $L \geq 0$.

Subcase (b2): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$. Inserting the identity

$$(3.27) \quad (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} = \sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k$$

into the last equality of (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.28) \quad L &= [(\kappa_j^2 + \kappa_j \kappa_i)(e^t - 1) - t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) - t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - t \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\ &\quad + (e^t - 1) [\sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k] \\ &\geq [\kappa_j^2 (e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (e^t - 1) [\sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_j > 0$, $t > 0$, and $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$.

For $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$, we have following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (3.29) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) &= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - t \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|i,j) \\ &= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \frac{t}{\kappa_i} [\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i,j)] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t}{\kappa_i}\right) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \frac{t}{\kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i,j) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{t(1+\Theta)}{\kappa_i}\right) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j), \end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here in the fourth inequality, we have used Lemma 6 to estimate the term $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i,j)$. We also have

$$(3.30) \quad \kappa_j^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k = (\kappa_j + \kappa_i) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad 3\kappa_i = 3\kappa_j + 3t \leq 3\kappa_j + 3\sqrt{\kappa_1} \leq 4\kappa_j,$$

where we have used $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$ and κ_1 is sufficiently large. Thus, using (3.30) and (3.31), (3.28) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\geq (e^t - 1)(\kappa_j + \kappa_i)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 3t\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&\geq t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 4t\kappa_j\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&= t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + 4t\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\geq t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)/2 + 4t\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\geq \frac{t}{2}(\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4 - 8\Theta)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here in the forth inequality, we have used (3.29) and in the last inequality, we have used Lemma 6 to give the lower bound of $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)$.

If $\kappa_i < \kappa_j$, we let $t = \kappa_j - \kappa_i$, which yields $0 < t \leq \kappa_1 - \kappa_i < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$. For simplification purpose, we still denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ij)$. Thus, using $t = \kappa_j - \kappa_i$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.32) \quad L &= 2\kappa_i e^t \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\
&= 2\kappa_i e^t (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)(\kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\
&= [2\kappa_i^2 (e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i - t^2]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [2\kappa_i (e^t - 1) - t]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We divide into two cases to prove $L \geq 0$.

Case (c1): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \geq 0$. Since we have $e^t > 1 + t$, $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ and $\kappa_j > \kappa_i > \kappa_1/2$, in view of (3.32), we get $L \geq 0$.

Case (c2): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$. Inserting the identity (3.27) into the last formula of (3.32) and using $2\kappa_i = \kappa_i + \kappa_j - t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.33) \quad L &= [2\kappa_i^2 (e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i - t^2]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - te^t \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\quad + (e^t - 1)[\sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k] \\
&\geq [(\kappa_i^2 - \kappa_i t)(e^t - 1) - 4t\kappa_i]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (e^t - 1)[\sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$, $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n < \kappa_i$ and κ_1 is sufficiently large. Note that comparing the previous case $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$, this case exchanges the position of i and j . Thus, exchanging the indices i and j in (3.30) and (3.29), we get the formulae,

$$(3.34) \quad \kappa_i^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k = (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j), \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2}.$$

Combing (3.33) with (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\geq (e^t - 1)(\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - [(e^t - 1)t + 4t]\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&= [(e^t - 1)(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (e^t + 3)t]\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + (e^t + 3)t\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\geq [(e^t - 1)(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (e^t + 3)t]\frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2} + (e^t + 3)t\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\geq [(e^t - 1)(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (1 + 2\Theta)(e^t + 3)t]\frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2} \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here, in the fourth inequality, we have used Lemma 6 to give the lower bound of $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}$, and in the last inequality, we have used $e^t > 1 + t$, $\kappa_j \geq \kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, $t \leq \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$. \square

Now, we are in the position to handle the case (II).

Lemma 14. *Assume $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, $2k > n$, and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . For given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, then we have*

$$A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0,$$

when the positive constant K and the biggest eigenvalue κ_1 both are sufficiently large.

Proof. Using (3.16), we have

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \\ & \geq e^{\kappa_i} [K(\sigma_k)_i^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppi} h_{qqi}] + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ll,ii} h_{lli}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 + \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ll} h_{lli}^2 + e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{2\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ & \quad - 2 \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii} h_{lli}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\log P > \kappa_1$, using Conjecture 2 and Lemma 13, we have

$$(3.36) \quad e^{\kappa_i} [K(\sigma_k)_i^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppi} h_{qqi}] + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ll} h_{lli}^2 \geq \frac{1}{\log P} e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2.$$

Now, we will show

$$(3.37) \quad 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ll,ii} h_{lli}^2 - \frac{1}{\log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{lli}^2 \geq 0.$$

It is clear that

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{ii,ll}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) & \geq 2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\ & = \kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) \leq 0$, (3.37) obviously holds. If $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) > 0$, we have $(\kappa|1i) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Therefore, by Lemma 7, we have

$$(3.39) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) \leq \frac{n-k}{k-1} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) \kappa_1.$$

Thus if we have $n < 2k$ which implies $n \leq 2k - 1$, combing (3.38) with (3.39), we get

$$2\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{ii,ll}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \geq \frac{2k-1-n}{k-1} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) \kappa_1 \geq 0,$$

which gives the inequality (3.37).

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ll}^2 - 2 \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_i + \kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii} h_{lli} \\ & \geq - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.36), (3.37) and (3.40) into (3.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\log P} e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 + e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} e^{2\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l + \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii} h_{iii}^2 \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. AN INEQUALITY

In this section, we will prove Theorem 4. The argument is closed to [39], but will become a little more complicated.

Before to prove our Theorem, we need some algebraic identities.

Lemma 15. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$. Suppose $1 \leq i, j, p, q \leq n$ are given indices. a_j and $c_{k,K}$ are defined by (1.3) and (1.5). We have the following five identities:*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) [-\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa)] - \kappa_i^2 [\sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa)]^2 + a_j [\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 1] \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \\ & = \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} [\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa)] (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_i \left[\sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,qq}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \right] - \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \\ & \quad - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,qq}(\kappa) + \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \\ & = -\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\left[\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \right] (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) = 2\sigma_k(\kappa) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij).$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \\ & \quad + \kappa_q \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_q \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq). \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) &= \sigma_k\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_k(\kappa|ipq)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) \\ &\quad - \kappa_q\kappa_i\sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) + \kappa_q\kappa_i\sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplification purpose, we omit the κ in our notations in the following argument, which means that we let

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp} = \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp,qq} = \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa).$$

(1) Using the identity

$$-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i\sigma_k^{ii,jj} + \sigma_k^{ii} = (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij),$$

and $a_j = \sigma_k^{jj} + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_k^{ii,jj}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4.1) \quad & \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 + a_j [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \\ &= \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj} + \sigma_k^{ii}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{jj} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj} - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij).\end{aligned}$$

Here in the above last equality we have used the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned}& (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) [\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij)] + \sigma_k^{jj} [\kappa_j \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)] \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\ &= -\sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij).\end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}& \kappa_i (\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq} - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \\ &= -\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q).\end{aligned}$$

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj})(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \kappa_j \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \kappa_j \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\
&= 2\sigma_k - \sigma_k(\kappa|i) - \sigma_k(\kappa|j) + \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\quad + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&= 2\sigma_k - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) We further denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ipq)$ here. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \\
&= [\kappa_p \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|pq)] \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) - \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq) [\kappa_p \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip)] \\
&= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|pq) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) - \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \\
&= (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1})(\kappa_q \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}) - (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2})(\kappa_q \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\
&= \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2 - \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \kappa_q \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} - \kappa_q \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(5) We also denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ipq)$ here. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|iq) &= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p) [\kappa_p \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq)] \\
&= \kappa_p \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= [\sigma_k - \sigma_k(\kappa|p)] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [\kappa_q \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= \sigma_k \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - [\kappa_q \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} + \tilde{\sigma}_k] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&\quad + [\kappa_q \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= \sigma_k \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_k \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \kappa_q \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2 + \kappa_q \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of the Theorem 4: For the sake of simplification, we still omit the κ in the following calculation, which means that we still let

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp} = \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp,qq} = \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa).$$

Let's calculate the left hand side of (1.2). By Lemma 10, we have

$$K \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} - 1 \geq K \kappa_1 \sigma_k^{11} / 2 - 1 \geq K \theta \sigma_k / 2 - 1 \geq 0,$$

if the positive constant K and κ_1 both are sufficiently large. A straightforward calculation shows,

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \\
&= \kappa_i K \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 + 2\kappa_i \xi_i \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right] \\
&\quad + [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 - \kappa_i \sum_{p \neq i, q \neq i} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \\
&\geq \kappa_i K \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \frac{\kappa_i^2 \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right]^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 - \kappa_i \sum_{p \neq i, q \neq i} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{jj})^2 - \frac{\kappa_i^2 (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} + a_j \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq} - \frac{\kappa_i^2 (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp} - \sigma_k^{ii,pp})(K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{qq} - \sigma_k^{ii,qq})}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} - \kappa_i \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \right] \xi_p \xi_q,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second inequality, we have used,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\kappa_i^2 \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right]^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} + 2\kappa_i \xi_i \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right] \\
&+ [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \xi_i^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can multiple the term $\kappa_i K(\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}$ in both sides of (4.2). Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & [\kappa_i K(\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \left\{ \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \right\} \\
& \geq \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 + a_j (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} [\kappa_i (\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq}] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
& = \sum_{j \neq i} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) [\kappa_i (\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq}] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
& = \sum_{j \neq i} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\kappa_i \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
& = (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2\sigma_k \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - c_{k,K} \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma_k \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_k(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - c_{k,K} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
& = \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} \left[\kappa_i^2 \mathbf{A}_{k;i} + \sigma_k \mathbf{B}_{k;i} + \mathbf{C}_{k;i} - c_{k,K} \mathbf{D}_{k;i} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality, we have used identities (1),(2) of Lemma 15 and in the forth equality, we have used identities (3),(4),(5) of Lemma 15. We have completed our proof.

Notes: The present paper is a plenary version of the section 3 and section 4 in [40]. We also would like to mention an interesting paper by Chu [14] which appeared after [40].

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D. Alexandrov, *Existence and uniqueness of a convex surface with a given integral curvature*. Doklady Acad. Nauk Kasah SSSR, 36 (1942), 131-134.
- [2] A.D. Alexandrov, *Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. I* (Russian) Vestnik Leningrad. Univ., 11, (1956), 5-17. English translation: AMS Translations, series 2, 21, (1962), 341-354.
- [3] F.J. Andrade, J.L. Barbosa, J.H. de Lira, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in warped product manifolds*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58(4), 1691-1718 (2009).
- [4] I. Bakelman and B. Kantor, *Existence of spherically homeomorphic hypersurfaces in Euclidean space with prescribed mean curvature*. Geometry and Topology, Leningrad, 1, (1974), 3-10.
- [5] J. Ball, *Differentiability properties of symmetric and isotropic functions*. Duke Math. J., 51, (1984), 699-728.
- [6] J.L. Barbosa, J.H. de Lira, V.I. Oliker, *A priori estimates for starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed m -th curvature function in space forms*. Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics and Related Topics, vol. I, pp. 35-52. Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), 1, Kluwer/Plenum, New York (2002).
- [7] P. Bryan, M.N. Ivaki, J. Scheuer, *A unified flow approach to smooth, even L_p -Minkowski problems*. Anal. PDE 12, (2019), no. 2, 259-280.
- [8] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian*. Acta Math., 155, (1985), 261 - 301.
- [9] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Starshaped compact Weigarten hypersurfaces*. Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, 1985, 1-26.
- [10] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations. V. The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurfaces*. Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 41 (1988), pp. 41-70.
- [11] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 29, (1976), 495-516.
- [12] D. Chen, H. Li and Z. Wang, *Starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed Weingarten curvature in warped product manifolds*. Calc. Var. (2018) 57: 42.
- [13] K.S. Chou and X.J. Wang, *A variational theory of the Hessian equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54, (2001), 1029-1064.
- [14] J. Chu, *Global curvature estimate of the k -Hessian equation for $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$* . arXiv:2003.00634.
- [15] W. Dong, *Second order estimates for complex Hessian equations on Hermitian manifolds*. arXiv: 1908.03599.
- [16] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations on Riemannian manifolds*. Calc. Var., 8, (1999), 45-69.
- [17] B. Guan and P. Guan, *Convex Hypersurfaces of Prescribed Curvature*. Ann. of Math., 156, (2002), 655-674.
- [18] B. Guan, *Second order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 163 (2014), 1491-1524.
- [19] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and X. Ma, *The Existence of Convex Body with Prescribed Curvature Measures*. Int. Math. Res. Not., (2009) 1947-1975.
- [20] P. Guan, J. Li and Y.Y. Li, *Hypersurfaces of Prescribed Curvature Measure*. Duke Math. J., 161, (2012), 1927-1942.
- [21] P. Guan and S. Lu, *Curvature estimates for immersed hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*. Invent. Math. Vol. 208, Issue 1, 191-215.
- [22] P. Guan, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Global C^2 estimates for curvature equation of convex solution*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. LXVIII (2015), 1287-1325.
- [23] Z. Hou, X. Ma and D. Wu, *A second order estimate for complex Hessian equations on a compact Kähler manifold*. Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), no.3, 547-561.

- [24] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*. Acta Math. 183 (1999), no. 1, 45-70.
- [25] N. Ivochkina, *Solution of the Dirichlet problem for curvature equations of order m* . Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik, 67, (1990), 317-339.
- [26] N. Ivochkina, *The Dirichlet problem for the equations of curvature of order m* . Leningrad Math. J. 2-3, (1991), 192-217.
- [27] N. J. Korevaar, *A priori interior gradient bounds for solutions to elliptic Weingarten equations*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 4 (1987), 405-421.
- [28] C. Li, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Curvature estimates for convex solutions of some fully nonlinear Hessian-type equations*. Calc. Var. PDE 58 (2019), no. 6, Art. 188.
- [29] M. Li, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *An interior estimate for convex solutions and a rigidity theorem*. J. Funct. Anal. 270 (2016), 2691-2714.
- [30] M. Lin and N. S. Trudinger, *On some inequalities for elementary symmetric functions*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 50 (1994), 317-326.
- [31] Y.Y. Li and V.I. Oliker, *Star shaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed m -th mean curvature in elliptic space*. J. Partial Differ. Equ. 15(3), 68-80 (2002).
- [32] L. Nirenberg, *The Weyl and Minkowski problems in differential geometry in the large*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 6, (1953), 337-394.
- [33] V.I. Oliker, *Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with prescribed Gaussian curvature and related equations of Monge-Ampère type*. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 9(8), 807-838 (1984).
- [34] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *The Fu-Yau equation with negative slope parameter*. Invent. Math. 209 (2017), no. 2, 541-576.
- [35] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *On estimates for the Fu-Yau generalization of a Strominger system*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 751 (2019), 243-274.
- [36] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *A second order estimates for general complex Hessian equations*. Anal. PDE, Vol 9, 2016, No.7, 1693-1709.
- [37] A.V. Pogorelov, *On the question of the existence of a convex surface with a given sum principal radii of curvature (in Russian)*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 8, (1953), 127-130.
- [38] A.V. Pogorelov, *The Minkowski Multidimensional Problem*. John Wiley, 1978.
- [39] C. Ren and Z. Wang, *On the curvature estimates for Hessian equations*. Amer. J. Math. 141 (2019), no. 5, 1281-1315.
- [40] C. Ren and Z. Wang, *The global curvature estimates for the $n - 2$ Hessian equation*. arXiv:2002.08702.
- [41] C. Ren, Z. Wang and L. Xiao, *Entire spacelike hypersurfaces with constant σ_{n-1} curvature in Minkowski space*. Preprint.
- [42] W. Sheng, J. Urbas and X. Wang, *Interior curvature bounds for a class of curvature equations*. Duke Math. J., 123, (2004), no. 2, 235-264.
- [43] J. Spruck and L. Xiao, *A note on star-shaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed scalar curvature in space forms*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 33 (2017), 547-554.
- [44] A. Treibergs and S.W. Wei, *Embedded hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature*. J. Diff. Geom. , 18, (1983), 513-521.
- [45] X. Wang, *The k -Hessian equation. Geometric analysis and PDEs*. 177-252, Lecture Notes in Math., (1977), Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- [46] L. Xiao, *Motion of level set by general curvature*. arXiv:1602.0211.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, CHINA
E-mail address: rency@jlu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA
E-mail address: zzwang@fudan.edu.cn