

Gravitational Field on the Lorentz Tangent Bundle: Generalized Paths and Field Equations

A. Triantafyllopoulos,^{1,*} E. Kapsabelis,^{1,†} and P. C. Stavrinou,^{2,‡}

¹*Section of Astrophysics, Astronomy and Mechanics, Department of Physics,*

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 15784, Athens, Greece

²*Department of Mathematics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 15784, Athens, Greece*

We investigate the dynamics of gravitational field and particles in a generalized framework of a Lorentz tangent bundle. By varying an appropriate action for each case, we obtain generalized forms of paths and generalized field equations for a Sasaki type metric. We show that Stokes theorem is modified with respect to general relativity due to local anisotropy and the presence of a nonlinear connection which induces an adapted basis in our space.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 04.50.-h, 04.50.Kd,

I. INTRODUCTION

The gravitational field is an essential property of spacetime and it is intimately related to the mass in the universe. The fundamental ingredients of the gravitational field are not yet completely known in physics.

In the last two decades there have been attempts by Theoretical Physicists and Cosmologists to discover observational imprints of the gravitational field which is spread out in more than four dimensions [1–5]. These considerations lead to the increase of degrees of freedom of the gravitational field. Alternatively, gravity can also be studied in a different way in the framework of an 8-dimensional Lorentz tangent bundle or a vector bundle including extra internal/dynamical degrees of freedom [6–11]. In some cases the gravitational field has been considered in higher dimensions in relation to the Kaluza-Klein general relativity, string theory or the braneworld models [12–14]. This approach is provided by a generalized geometrical structure in the dynamics of the gravitational field and the field equations.

Furthermore, locally anisotropic structures of spacetime in higher dimensions have been studied in the framework of Finsler and Finsler-like geometries [15–17]. The development of research for the evolution of the universe can be combined with a locally anisotropic structure of the Finslerian gravitational field. Finsler-gravity models allow intrinsically local anisotropies including vector variables $y^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}$, ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$), in the framework of a vector/tangent (Lorentz) bundle [6–9, 18, 19]. The y -dependence essentially characterizes the Finslerian gravitational field and has been combined with the concept of anisotropy and the broken Lorentz symmetry which causes the deviation from Riemannian geometry, since the latter cannot explain all the gravitational effects in the universe. Therefore, the consideration of Finsler geometry as a candidate for studying gravitational theories ensures that matter dynamics take place [20, 21]. Finsler gravity and cosmology models were developed by extending geometrical and physical ideas and have been related to quantum gravity and modified gravity theories, e.g. [22–25].

A basic characteristic concept in such theories is the nonlinear connection which connects external and internal structures of spacetime in higher order dimensions. The derived field equations of these gravitational and cosmological models constitute a generalized form of field equations with more than one curvature and energy momentum tensors. It is fundamental for studying locally-anisotropic space on the tangent bundle of a 4-dimensional spacetime manifold with internal structure [26, 27].

In the present work we study the dynamics of the gravitational field. In section III we study the generalized equations of paths in the framework of a Lorentz tangent bundle. In section IV we derive the field equations in a sufficiently generalized form by using torsion terms. In section V some concluding remarks are given.

*Electronic address: alktrian@phys.uoa.gr

†Electronic address: manoliskapsabelis@yahoo.gr

‡Electronic address: pstavrin@math.uoa.gr

II. PRELIMINARIES

The natural background space for a locally anisotropic gravity is the tangent bundle of a differentiable Lorentzian spacetime manifold called a Lorentz Tangent Bundle (we will refer to it as TM hereafter) [26, 27]. TM is itself an 8-dimensional differentiable manifold, so we can define coordinate charts and tensors on it in the usual way. TM is equipped with local coordinates $\{\mathcal{U}^A\} = \{x^\mu, y^\alpha\}$ where x^μ are the local coordinates on the base manifold M around $\pi(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in TM$, and y^α are the coordinates on the fiber. The range of values for the indices is $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots = 0, \dots, 3$ and $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \theta = 4, \dots, 7$.

The adapted basis on the total space TTM is defined as $\{E_A\} = \{\delta_\mu, \dot{\partial}_\alpha\}$ where

$$\delta_\mu = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - N_\mu^\alpha(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\dot{\partial}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha} \quad (2)$$

where N_μ^α are the components of a nonlinear connection. The curvature of the nonlinear connection is defined as

$$\Omega_{\nu\kappa}^\alpha = \frac{\delta N_\nu^\alpha}{\delta x^\kappa} - \frac{\delta N_\kappa^\alpha}{\delta x^\nu} \quad (3)$$

The nonlinear connection induces a split of the total space TTM into a horizontal distribution $T_H TM$ and a vertical distribution $T_V TM$. The above-mentioned split is expressed with the Whitney sum:

$$TTM = T_H TM \oplus T_V TM \quad (4)$$

The horizontal distribution or h-space is spanned by δ_μ , while the vertical distribution or v-space is spanned by $\dot{\partial}_\alpha$. Under a local coordinate transformation, the adapted basis vectors transform as:

$$\delta_{\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \delta_\mu \quad , \quad \dot{\partial}_{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^{\alpha'}} \dot{\partial}_\alpha \quad (5)$$

The adapted dual basis of the adjoint total space T^*TM is $\{E^A\} = \{dx^\mu, \delta y^\alpha\}$ with the definition

$$\delta y^\alpha = dy^\alpha + N_\nu^\alpha dx^\nu \quad (6)$$

The transformation rule for $\{dx^\mu, \delta y^\alpha\}$ is:

$$dx^{\mu'} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu \quad , \quad \delta y^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^\alpha} \delta y^\alpha \quad (7)$$

The bundle TM is equipped with a distinguished metric (d -metric) \mathcal{G} :

$$\mathcal{G} = g_{\mu\nu}(x, y) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \delta y^\alpha \otimes \delta y^\beta \quad (8)$$

where the h-metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and v-metric $v_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined to be of Lorentzian signature $(-, +, +, +)$. A tangent bundle equipped with such a metric will be called a Lorentz tangent bundle. In some cases, the following homogeneity conditions will be assumed: $g_{\mu\nu}(x, ky) = g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$, $v_{\alpha\beta}(x, ky) = v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)$, $k > 0$. When these conditions are met, the following relations hold:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \text{sgn}(g) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_g^2}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \quad (9)$$

$$v_{\alpha\beta} = \text{sgn}(v) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_v^2}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \quad (10)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\delta}_\alpha^\mu \tilde{\delta}_\beta^\nu g_{\mu\nu}$ ¹, $\text{sgn}(g)$ is the sign of $g_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)y^\alpha y^\beta$, $\text{sgn}(v)$ is the sign of $v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)y^\alpha y^\beta$ and

$$F_g(x, y) = \sqrt{|g_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)y^\alpha y^\beta|} \quad (11)$$

$$F_v(x, y) = \sqrt{|v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)y^\alpha y^\beta|} \quad (12)$$

From the above relations, the following conditions become obvious:

¹ The generalized Kronecker symbols are defined as: $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha^\mu = \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha = 1$ for $a = \mu + 4$ and equal to zero otherwise.

1. F_m , $m = g, v$, is continuous on TM and smooth on $\widetilde{TM} \equiv TM \setminus \{0\}$ i.e. the tangent bundle minus the null set $\{(x, y) \in TM | F_m(x, y) = 0\}$
2. F_m is positively homogeneous of first degree on its second argument:

$$F_m(x^\mu, ky^\alpha) = kF_m(x^\mu, y^\alpha), \quad k > 0 \quad (13)$$

3. The form

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_m^2}{\partial y^\alpha \partial y^\beta} \quad (14)$$

defines a non-degenerate matrix:

$$\det [f_{\alpha\beta}] \neq 0 \quad (15)$$

In this work, we consider a distinguished connection (d -connection) D on TM . This is a linear connection with coefficients $\{\Gamma_{BC}^A\} = \{L_{\nu\kappa}^\mu, L_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha, C_{\nu\gamma}^\mu, C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha\}$ which preserves by parallelism the horizontal and vertical distributions:

$$D_{\delta_\kappa} \delta_\nu = L_{\nu\kappa}^\mu(x, y) \delta_\mu, \quad D_{\dot{\delta}_\gamma} \delta_\nu = C_{\nu\gamma}^\mu(x, y) \delta_\mu \quad (16)$$

$$D_{\delta_\kappa} \dot{\delta}_\beta = L_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha(x, y) \dot{\delta}_\alpha, \quad D_{\dot{\delta}_\gamma} \dot{\delta}_\beta = C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x, y) \dot{\delta}_\alpha \quad (17)$$

From these, the definitions for partial covariant differentiation follow as usual, e.g. for $X \in TTM$ we have the definitions for covariant h-derivative

$$X_{|\nu}^A \equiv D_\nu X^A \equiv \delta_\nu X^A + L_{B\nu}^A X^B \quad (18)$$

and covariant v-derivative

$$X^A|_\beta \equiv D_\beta X^A \equiv \dot{\delta}_\beta X^A + C_{B\beta}^A X^B \quad (19)$$

A d -connection can be uniquely defined given that the following conditions are satisfied:

- The d -connection is metric compatible
- Coefficients $L_{\nu\kappa}^\mu, L_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha, C_{\nu\gamma}^\mu, C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ depend solely on the quantities $g_{\mu\nu}, v_{\alpha\beta}$ and N_μ^α
- Coefficients $L_{\kappa\nu}^\mu$ and $C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ are symmetric on the lower indices, i.e. $L_{[\kappa\nu]}^\mu = C_{[\beta\gamma]}^\alpha = 0$

We use the symbol \mathcal{D} instead of D for a connection satisfying the above conditions, and call it a canonical and distinguished d -connection. Metric compatibility translates into the conditions:

$$\mathcal{D}_\kappa g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\kappa v_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\gamma g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\gamma v_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (20)$$

The coefficients of canonical and distinguished d -connection are

$$L_{\nu\kappa}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} (\delta_\kappa g_{\rho\nu} + \delta_\nu g_{\rho\kappa} - \delta_\rho g_{\nu\kappa}) \quad (21)$$

$$L_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha = \dot{\delta}_\beta N_\kappa^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} v^{\alpha\gamma} (\delta_\kappa v_{\beta\gamma} - v_{\delta\gamma} \dot{\delta}_\beta N_\kappa^\delta - v_{\beta\delta} \dot{\delta}_\gamma N_\kappa^\delta) \quad (22)$$

$$C_{\nu\gamma}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \dot{\delta}_\gamma g_{\rho\nu} \quad (23)$$

$$C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} v^{\alpha\delta} (\dot{\delta}_\gamma v_{\delta\beta} + \dot{\delta}_\beta v_{\delta\gamma} - \dot{\delta}_\delta v_{\beta\gamma}) \quad (24)$$

Curvature and torsion in TM can be defined as multilinear maps:

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = [\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_Y]Z - \mathcal{D}_{[X, Y]}Z \quad (25)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}(X, Y) = \mathcal{D}_X Y - \mathcal{D}_Y X - [X, Y] \quad (26)$$

where $X, Y, Z \in TTM$. We use the definitions

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta_\lambda, \delta_\kappa)\delta_\nu = R_{\nu\kappa\lambda}^\mu \delta_\mu \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\dot{\partial}_\delta, \dot{\partial}_\gamma)\dot{\partial}_\beta = S_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha \dot{\partial}_\alpha \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\delta_\kappa, \delta_\nu) = \mathcal{T}_{\nu\kappa}^\mu \delta_\mu + \mathcal{T}_{\nu\kappa}^\alpha \dot{\partial}_\alpha \quad (29)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\dot{\partial}_\gamma, \dot{\partial}_\beta) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta\gamma}^\mu \delta_\mu + \mathcal{T}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \dot{\partial}_\alpha \quad (30)$$

The h-curvature tensor of the d -connection in the adapted basis and the corresponding h-Ricci tensor have, respectively, the components

$$R_{\nu\kappa\lambda}^\mu = \delta_\lambda L_{\nu\kappa}^\mu - \delta_\kappa L_{\nu\lambda}^\mu + L_{\nu\kappa}^\rho L_{\rho\lambda}^\mu - L_{\nu\lambda}^\rho L_{\rho\kappa}^\mu + C_{\nu\alpha}^\mu \Omega_{\kappa\lambda}^\alpha \quad (31)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu\kappa}^\kappa = \delta_\kappa L_{\mu\nu}^\kappa - \delta_\nu L_{\mu\kappa}^\kappa + L_{\mu\nu}^\rho L_{\rho\kappa}^\kappa - L_{\mu\kappa}^\rho L_{\rho\nu}^\kappa + C_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa \Omega_{\nu\kappa}^\alpha$$

The v-curvature tensor of the d -connection in the adapted basis and the corresponding v-Ricci tensor have, respectively, the components

$$S_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha = \dot{\partial}_\delta C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - \dot{\partial}_\gamma C_{\beta\delta}^\alpha + C_{\beta\gamma}^\epsilon C_{\epsilon\delta}^\alpha - C_{\beta\delta}^\epsilon C_{\epsilon\gamma}^\alpha \quad (32)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^\gamma = \dot{\partial}_\gamma C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - \dot{\partial}_\beta C_{\alpha\gamma}^\gamma + C_{\alpha\beta}^\epsilon C_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma - C_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon C_{\epsilon\beta}^\gamma \quad (33)$$

The generalized Ricci scalar curvature in the adapted basis is defined as

$$\mathcal{R} = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + v^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} = R + S \quad (34)$$

where

$$R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad S = v^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} \quad (35)$$

III. GENERALIZED EQUATIONS OF PATHS FROM A VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

In this section, we investigate the curves of point particles on the Lorentz tangent bundle with a Lagrangian function of the form $L(x, \dot{x}, y)$. Our goal is to examine under which conditions there is a relation between y and \dot{x} directional variables.

In many cases, if someone wants to describe curves $(x(s), y(s))$ of point particles with mass m in Finsler or Finsler-like geometry, he uses the Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}) = -m (g_{\mu\nu}(x, y) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu)^{1/2}$ with $\dot{x}^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$. In this case, variation of the action $K = \int L ds$ with respect to x^μ gives the geodesics equation

$$\ddot{x}^\mu + \gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu(x, y) \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{x}^\lambda = 0 \quad (36)$$

where $\gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\mu(x, y)$ are the Christoffel symbols for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ and we assumed the homogeneity condition $g_{\mu\nu}(x, ky) = g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ for $k > 0$. Equation (36) does not fully define a curve on TM , since $y(s)$ remains undefined. Usually, in gravitational models on a Lorentz tangent bundle, the fiber coordinates y^α represent 4-velocity components \dot{x}^ν .

A condition that relates y and \dot{x} is of the form

$$y^\alpha = \tilde{\delta}_\nu^\alpha \dot{x}^\nu \quad (37)$$

and so the curves on TM are fully described by relations (36) and (37)². We note that relation (37) is not derived from a variational principle.

On the other hand, there have been some studies to derive geodesics and their deviation equations for both x and y from an action on the tangent bundle [28, 29], however, in the resulting equations the fiber elements do not have any apparent relation to 4-velocities.

² The generalized Kronecker symbols are defined as: $\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha = 1$ for $a = \mu + 4$ and zero otherwise, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

A. Curves from a total Lagrangian

In the following, we present a different approach for the derivation of curves by a variational principle. We choose a Lagrangian function L for the fields \dot{x}^μ and y^α with the following properties:

- L includes a free term for the field \dot{x}^μ . A suitable choice is the norm of \dot{x}^μ scaled by some constant a , namely $ag_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu$, with $g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ the h-metric of (8).
- L includes a free term for the field y^α . A natural choice would be the norm of y scaled by some constant c , namely $cv_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta$, with $v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)$ the v-metric of (8).
- L includes an interaction term between \dot{x}^μ and y^α . We assume that the interaction is dependent on the internal space v-metric $v_{\alpha\beta}$ so we choose $b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha v_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\mu y^\beta$, with b constant.

We write the full Lagrangian as:

$$L(x, \dot{x}, y) = \left(ag_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu + b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha v_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\mu y^\beta + cv_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta \right)^{1/2} \quad (38)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for (38) are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\kappa} - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\kappa} \right) = 0 \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^\gamma} - \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}^\gamma} \right) = 0 \quad (40)$$

Now, relation (40) gives

$$v_{\alpha\gamma} \left(b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu + 2cy^\alpha \right) + \dot{\partial}_\gamma v_{\alpha\beta} \left(b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu + cy^\alpha y^\beta \right) + a\dot{\partial}_\gamma g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = 0 \quad (41)$$

Contraction with y^γ gives

$$y_\alpha \left(b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu + 2cy^\alpha \right) = 0 \quad (42)$$

where we assumed the homogeneity conditions $g_{\mu\nu}(x, ky) = g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$, $v_{\alpha\beta}(x, ky) = v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)$, $k > 0$. A general solution for (42) is

$$b\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu = -2cy^\alpha \Leftrightarrow y^\alpha = -\frac{b}{2c} \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \quad (43)$$

We can redefine the parameter on the curve as $s \rightarrow s' = -\frac{2c}{b}s$ so that the last relation reads

$$y^\alpha = \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu \quad (44)$$

where we have redefined $\dot{x} = dx/ds'$.

Lagrangian (38) with the new definitions can be written in the following equivalent form:

$$L(x, \dot{x}, y) = \left[-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu + z \left(-2\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha v_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\mu y^\beta + v_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (45)$$

with $z = -b^2/4ac$. The remaining Euler-Lagrange equations (39) along with (44) give the curve equation

$$\left(\delta_\kappa^\nu + zg^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{\delta}_\kappa^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^\beta v_{\alpha\beta} \right) \ddot{x}^\kappa + (\gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu + z\sigma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu) \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{x}^\lambda = 0 \quad (46)$$

with

$$\sigma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu} \left(\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^\beta \partial_\kappa v_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\kappa^\beta \partial_\lambda v_{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{\delta}_\kappa^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\lambda^\beta \partial_\mu v_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (47)$$

where we have set the normalization condition

$$\frac{d}{ds} L = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(g_{\mu\nu} + z\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\nu^\beta v_{\alpha\beta} \right) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \right] = 0 \quad (48)$$

From (48) we get

$$\int_{x_0}^x \sqrt{\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu} = \lambda(s - s_0) \quad (49)$$

with λ integration constant and we have set $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + z\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta v_{\alpha\beta}$. This condition constrains the parameter along the curves up to affine transformations.

Remark: Relations (44) and (46) do not describe curves of stationary length with respect to Sasaki-type metric (8). However, in the limit $z \rightarrow 0$, relation (46) converges to the geodesics equation (36), which is a stationary curve with respect to the horizontal metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

B. Curves from two distinct Lagrangians

In this paragraph, we study the two distinct sets of curves that come from two Lagrangians $L_H = \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}$ and $L_V = \sqrt{\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\mu y^\beta + c v_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta}$. The first is derived from (38) by keeping just the horizontal term and the second is derived by keeping the vertical and interaction terms.

Euler-Lagrange equations for L_H give:

$$\ddot{x}^\nu + \gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{x}^\lambda + 2C_{\lambda\beta}^\nu \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{y}^\beta = 0 \quad (50)$$

$$\dot{\partial}_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = 0 \quad (51)$$

and respectively for L_V they give:

$$\partial_\mu v_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\delta}_\kappa^\alpha \dot{x}^\kappa y^\beta - \left(\partial_\kappa v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\kappa + \dot{\partial}_\gamma v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\gamma \right) \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha y^\beta - v_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta = 0 \quad (52)$$

$$y^\beta = -\frac{1}{2c} \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\beta \dot{x}^\mu \quad (53)$$

We redefine the parameter along the curves as $s \rightarrow s' = -2cs$ so that (53) reads

$$y^\beta = \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\beta \dot{x}^\mu \quad (54)$$

In the above cases, we have set $L_H = L_V = 1$. We note that the first set of equations (50), (51) can accept the partial solution $y^\alpha = \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \dot{x}^\mu$, which does not come directly from Lagrangian L_H . Using this solution, (50) becomes

$$\ddot{x}^\nu + \gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^\nu \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{x}^\lambda = 0 \quad (55)$$

due to homogeneity of $C_{\lambda\alpha}^\nu$ on y , while (51) is identically verified.

C. Geodesics

In this section we will derive the geodesics equations on TM , i.e. the curves of stationary length with respect to the metric (8):

$$\mathcal{G} = g_{\mu\nu}(x, y) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + v_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \delta y^\alpha \otimes \delta y^\beta \quad (56)$$

The Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + v_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta y^\alpha}{\delta s} \frac{\delta y^\beta}{\delta s}} \quad (57)$$

with $\dot{x}^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$, where s is the arclength and

$$\frac{\delta y^\alpha}{\delta s} = \dot{y}^\alpha + N_k^\alpha \dot{x}^k \quad (58)$$

So the Lagrangian can be written in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{(g_{\mu\nu} + v_{\alpha\beta} N_\mu^\alpha N_\nu^\beta) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + 2v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\alpha N_\lambda^\beta \dot{x}^\lambda + v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta} \quad (59)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\rho} = \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^\rho} \quad (60)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^\gamma} = \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{y}^\gamma} \quad (61)$$

We define :

$$h_{\mu\nu} = v_{\alpha\beta} N_\mu^\alpha N_\nu^\beta \quad (62)$$

And we calculate the terms required below:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\rho} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}} [(\partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\rho h_{\mu\nu}) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + 2\partial_\rho v_{\alpha\beta} N_\lambda^\beta \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda + 2v_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho N_\lambda^\beta \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda + \partial_\rho v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta] \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^\rho} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}} [2(g_{\mu\rho} + h_{\mu\rho}) \dot{x}^\mu + 2v_{\alpha\beta} N_\rho^\beta \dot{y}^\alpha] \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{L}} [(\dot{\partial}_\gamma g_{\mu\nu} + \dot{\partial}_\gamma h_{\mu\nu}) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + 2\dot{\partial}_\gamma (v_{\alpha\beta} N_\lambda^\beta) \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{y}^\alpha + \dot{\partial}_\gamma v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta] \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{y}^\gamma} = \partial_\kappa (v_{\beta\gamma} N_\lambda^\beta) \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{x}^\lambda + \dot{\partial}_\alpha (v_{\beta\gamma} N_\lambda^\beta) \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda + \partial_\kappa v_{\beta\gamma} \dot{y}^\beta \dot{x}^\kappa + \dot{\partial}_\alpha v_{\beta\gamma} \dot{y}^\beta \dot{y}^\alpha + v_{\beta\gamma} \ddot{y}^\beta \quad (66)$$

Where we normalized \mathcal{L} to 1.

Taking the relations (65), (66) and substituting them to (61) we get the geodesics equation of the vertical space:

$$\ddot{y}^\delta + C_{\alpha\beta}^\delta \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta = \frac{1}{2} v^{\gamma\delta} (\dot{\partial}_\gamma g_{\mu\nu} + \dot{\partial}_\gamma h_{\mu\nu}) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + v^{\gamma\delta} \dot{\partial}_\gamma (v_{\alpha\beta} N_\lambda^\beta) \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{x}^\lambda - v^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\kappa v_{\beta\gamma} \dot{x}^\kappa \dot{y}^\beta \quad (67)$$

Now, if we consider $\dot{x} = 0$ in our space, we get a subspace of TM which is called the tangent Riemannian space $T_x M$. It has been shown that in $T_x M$ the geodesics equation has the form [30]:

$$\ddot{y}^\delta + C_{\alpha\beta}^\delta \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta = 0 \quad (68)$$

We observe that we get the same equation if we set $\dot{x} = 0$ in (67). This means that in our metric space the Lagrangian (59) provides us with a set of generalized geodesics equations.

Like before, by taking (63), (64) and substituting them to (60) we get the geodesics equation for the horizontal space.

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}^\kappa + \gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\lambda &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\rho h_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + g^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\rho (v_{\alpha\beta} N_\lambda^\beta) \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{y}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\rho v_{\alpha\beta} \dot{y}^\alpha \dot{y}^\beta - g^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\lambda h_{\mu\rho} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\lambda - g^{\rho\kappa} h_{\mu\rho} \ddot{x}^\mu \\ &\quad - g^{\rho\kappa} (\dot{\partial}_\gamma g_{\mu\rho} + \dot{\partial}_\gamma h_{\mu\rho}) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{y}^\gamma - g^{\rho\kappa} \ddot{y}^\alpha v_{\alpha\beta} N_\rho^\beta - g^{\rho\kappa} \partial_\lambda (v_{\alpha\beta} N_\rho^\beta) \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{y}^\alpha - g^{\rho\kappa} \dot{\partial}_\gamma (v_{\alpha\beta} N_\rho^\beta) \dot{y}^\gamma \dot{y}^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where we have used $\gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa$ which are the Christoffel symbols for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$.

Remark: We can find the necessary conditions so that the Lagrangian in (57) and the Lagrangian in (38) are equal. These conditions, after some calculations, are shown below:

$$2\dot{y}^\alpha N_\nu^\gamma = b\tilde{\delta}_\nu^\alpha y^\gamma \quad (70)$$

$$b^2 y^\gamma y^\delta b \tilde{\delta}_\nu^\alpha b \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\beta = 4c y^\alpha y^\beta N_\nu^\gamma N_\mu^\delta \quad (71)$$

This system has the trivial solution $y^\alpha = \dot{y}^\alpha = 0$. For $N_\nu^\gamma \neq 0, y^\alpha \neq 0, \dot{y}^\alpha \neq 0, b \neq 0$ and $c > 0$, if we substitute (70) to (71) we get

$$\frac{b}{\sqrt{c}} \dot{y}^\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\beta = y^\beta \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha \quad (72)$$

For (72) to have nontrivial solutions, it must be that $\tilde{\delta}_\mu^\alpha = \tilde{\delta}_\mu^\beta = 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \mu + 4$. Under this condition, (72) gives

$$\dot{y}^\alpha = \lambda y^\alpha \quad (73)$$

where $\lambda = b/\sqrt{c}$. We can solve (73) to get

$$y^\alpha = y_0^\alpha e^{\lambda s + k} \quad (74)$$

with y_0^α and k integration constants.

We have shown that solutions of (46) for which (74) holds are geodesics of the Lorentz tangent bundle.

IV. FIELD EQUATIONS FOR AN EINSTEIN-HILBERT-LIKE ACTION

In a previous work, field equations on the Lorentz tangent bundle have been derived from a proper action [7]. In this paragraph, we use an extension of Stokes theorem on the Lorentz tangent bundle to derive field equations for the metric and the nonlinear connection.

An Einstein-Hilbert-like action with a matter sector on the Lorentz tangent bundle is defined as

$$K = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{R} + 2\kappa \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{L}_M \quad (75)$$

for some closed subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset TM$, where $|\mathcal{G}|$ is the absolute value of the metric determinant, \mathcal{L}_M is the Lagrangian of the matter fields, κ is a constant and

$$d^8\mathcal{U} = dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3 \wedge dy^4 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^7 \quad (76)$$

Variation with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$, $v_{\alpha\beta}$ and N_κ^α gives

$$\Delta K = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} (\bar{R} + S) \Delta \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} + \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} (\Delta \bar{R} + \Delta S) + 2\kappa \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \Delta (\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{L}_M) \quad (77)$$

with

$$\Delta \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} (g_{\mu\nu} \Delta g^{\mu\nu} + v_{\alpha\beta} \Delta v^{\alpha\beta}) \quad (78)$$

$$\Delta \bar{R} = 2g^{\mu[\kappa} \dot{\partial}_\alpha L_{\mu\nu}^{\nu]} \Delta N_\kappa^\alpha + \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} \Delta g^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}_\kappa Z^\kappa \quad (79)$$

$$\Delta S = S_{\alpha\beta} \Delta v^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{D}_\gamma B^\gamma \quad (80)$$

where $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{(\mu\nu)} + \Omega_{\kappa(\mu}^\alpha C_{\nu)\alpha}^\kappa$, $\bar{R} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = R$ and

$$Z^\kappa = g^{\mu\nu} \Delta L_{\mu\nu}^\kappa - g^{\mu\kappa} \Delta L_{\mu\nu}^\nu = -\mathcal{D}_\nu \Delta g^{\nu\kappa} + g^{\kappa\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_\lambda \Delta g^{\mu\nu} + 2(g^{\kappa\mu} C_{\lambda\alpha}^\lambda - g^{\kappa\lambda} C_{\lambda\alpha}^\mu) \Delta N_\mu^\alpha \quad (81)$$

$$B^\gamma = v^{\alpha\beta} \Delta C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - v^{\alpha\gamma} \Delta C_{\alpha\beta}^\beta = -\mathcal{D}_\alpha \Delta v^{\alpha\gamma} + v^{\gamma\delta} v_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}_\delta \Delta v^{\alpha\beta} \quad (82)$$

The extension of Stokes theorem on the Lorentz tangent bundle is given by the relations

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_\mu H^\mu = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha H^\mu + \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_\mu H^\mu \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \quad (83)$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_\alpha W^\alpha = - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} C_{\mu\alpha}^\mu W^\alpha + \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_\alpha W^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \quad (84)$$

where $H = H^\mu \delta_\mu$ and $W = W^\alpha \dot{\partial}_\alpha$ are vector fields on TM , $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{N}$, (n_μ, n_α) is the normal vector on the boundary and $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\beta}^\alpha = \dot{\partial}_\beta N_\mu^\alpha - L_{\beta\mu}^\alpha$. For more details see Appendix A. Using relation (83) and eliminating boundary terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_\kappa Z^\kappa &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{T}_{\kappa\alpha}^\alpha Z^\kappa \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_\nu \left[\mathcal{T}_{\kappa\beta}^\beta (-\Delta g^{\nu\kappa} + g^{\nu\kappa} g_{\mu\lambda} \Delta g^{\mu\lambda}) \right] - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \left[-\mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{T}_{\mu\beta}^\beta + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^\lambda \mathcal{T}_{\lambda\beta}^\beta \right] \Delta g^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{T}_{\kappa\beta}^\beta (g^{\kappa\mu} C_{\lambda\alpha}^\lambda - g^{\kappa\lambda} C_{\lambda\alpha}^\mu) \Delta N_\mu^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where we have used the Leibniz rule for the covariant derivative. Using (83) again and eliminating the new boundary terms we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\kappa Z^\kappa = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\left(\delta_\nu^{(\lambda}\delta_\mu^{\kappa)} - g^{\kappa\lambda}g_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_\kappa\mathcal{T}_{\lambda\beta}^\beta - \mathcal{T}_{\kappa\gamma}^\gamma\mathcal{T}_{\lambda\beta}^\beta\right)\Delta g^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}4\mathcal{T}_{\kappa\beta}^\beta g^{\kappa[\mu}C_{\lambda\alpha}^{\lambda]}\Delta N_\mu^\alpha \quad (86)$$

Similarly, using relation (84) and eliminating the boundary terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\alpha B^\alpha &= - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}C_{\mu\beta}^\mu B^\beta \\ &= - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\alpha \left[C_{\mu\beta}^\mu \Delta v^{\alpha\beta} - v^{\alpha\beta}v_{\gamma\delta}C_{\mu\beta}^\mu \Delta v^{\gamma\delta} \right] \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\left(\mathcal{D}_\alpha C_{\mu\beta}^\mu - v^{\gamma\delta}v_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{D}_\gamma C_{\mu\delta}^\mu\right)\Delta v^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where again we used the Leibniz rule. Applying (84) again and eliminating the new boundary terms we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\alpha B^\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\left(v^{\gamma\delta}v_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\alpha^{(\gamma}\delta_\beta^{\delta)}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_\gamma C_{\mu\delta}^\mu - C_{\nu\gamma}^\nu C_{\mu\delta}^\mu\right) \quad (88)$$

Finally, combining equations (77-82), (86), (88) and setting $\Delta K = 0$ we get the following equations

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{R} + S)g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\delta_\nu^{(\lambda}\delta_\mu^{\kappa)} - g^{\kappa\lambda}g_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_\kappa\mathcal{T}_{\lambda\beta}^\beta - \mathcal{T}_{\kappa\gamma}^\gamma\mathcal{T}_{\lambda\beta}^\beta\right) = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (89)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{R} + S)v_{\alpha\beta} + \left(v^{\gamma\delta}v_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\alpha^{(\gamma}\delta_\beta^{\delta)}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_\gamma C_{\mu\delta}^\mu - C_{\nu\gamma}^\nu C_{\mu\delta}^\mu\right) = \kappa Y_{\alpha\beta} \quad (90)$$

$$g^{\mu[\kappa}\dot{\partial}_\alpha L_{\mu\nu}^{\nu]} + 2\mathcal{T}_{\mu\beta}^\beta g^{\mu[\kappa}C_{\lambda\alpha}^{\lambda]} = \frac{\kappa}{2}Z_\alpha^\kappa \quad (91)$$

with

$$T_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}}\frac{\Delta\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{L}_M\right)}{\Delta g^{\mu\nu}} \quad (92)$$

$$Y_{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}}\frac{\Delta\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{L}_M\right)}{\Delta v^{\alpha\beta}} \quad (93)$$

$$Z_\alpha^\kappa \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}}\frac{\Delta\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{L}_M\right)}{\Delta N_\kappa^\alpha} \quad (94)$$

where δ_ν^μ and δ_β^α are the Kronecker symbols.

We will make some comments in order to give some physical interpretation in relation to the equations (92), (93) and (94). Lorentz violations produce anisotropies in the space and the matter sector [21, 31]. These act as a source of local anisotropy and can contribute to the energy-momentum tensors of the horizontal and vertical space $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $Y_{\alpha\beta}$. As a result, the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ contains the additional information of local anisotropy of matter fields. $Y_{\alpha\beta}$, on the other hand, is an object with no equivalent in Riemannian gravity. It contains more information about local anisotropy which is produced from the metric $v_{\alpha\beta}$ which includes additional internal structure of spacetime. Finally, the energy-momentum tensor Z_α^κ reflects the dependence of matter fields on the nonlinear connection N_μ^α , a structure which induces an interaction between internal and external spaces. This is different from $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $Y_{\alpha\beta}$ which depend on just the external or internal structure respectively.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work we study Lagrangians $L(x, \dot{x}, y)$ that include directional variables y and tangent vectors \dot{x} on generalized paths $(x(s), y(s))$, with s an affine parameter, on a Lorentz tangent bundle TM of a spacetime manifold M . This

Lagrangian includes interaction terms and a condition between \dot{x} and y is provided by this framework. In addition, the geodesic equations (69), (67) on TM with a normalized Lagrangian are compared with the generalized paths (44), (46) of $L(x, \dot{x}, y)$ and we find the necessary conditions for $L(x, \dot{x}, y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y})$ to be equal.

Finally, we derive the field equations on the Lorentz tangent bundle (89-94) by using an extension of the Stokes theorem on the bundle. The third set of equations, namely (91), (94), include the concept of nonlinear connection and its direct influence on the matter fields.

Appendix A: Stokes theorem on the Lorentz tangent bundle

To eliminate terms of the form $\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_A V^A$, we need an extension of Stokes theorem on the Lorentz tangent bundle. In its general form, the theorem reads:

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d\omega = \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} \omega \quad (\text{A1})$$

where \mathcal{N} is a closed subspace and ω a $(n-1)$ -form of an n -dimensional manifold. In our case, the role of the manifold is played by the 8-dimensional tangent bundle, so $n = 8$.

We begin by calculating $d\omega$ on the adapted basis. The properties of the exterior derivative are

1. $df(Y) = Y(f)$, with $f(\mathcal{U})$ a real function and Y a vector field on TM .
2. $d(\omega \wedge \psi) = d\omega \wedge \psi + (-1)^p \omega \wedge d\psi$, with $\omega : p$ -form and $\psi : q$ -form.
3. $d(\omega + \psi) = d\omega + d\psi$
4. $d^2\omega = 0$

For the p -form

$$\omega = \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} E^{A_1} \otimes E^{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{A_p} = \frac{1}{p!} \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} E^{A_1} \wedge E^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_p} \quad (\text{A2})$$

we get

$$d\omega = \frac{1}{p!} \left[E_A \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} E^A \wedge E^{A_1} \wedge E^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_p} + \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} dE^{A_1} \wedge E^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_p} - \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} E^{A_1} \wedge dE^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_p} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1} \omega_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_p} E^{A_1} \wedge E^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dE^{A_p} \right] \quad (\text{A3})$$

where we used the properties of the exterior derivative. We find

$$\begin{aligned} dE^A &= \delta_\mu^A d^2 x^\mu + \delta_\alpha^A d\delta y^\alpha \\ &= \delta_\alpha^A (d^2 y^\alpha + dN_\mu^\alpha \wedge dx^\mu + N_\mu^\alpha d^2 x^\mu) \\ &= \delta_\alpha^A \left(\delta_\nu N_\mu^\alpha dx^\nu \wedge dx^\mu + \dot{\partial}_\beta N_\mu^\alpha \delta y^\beta \wedge dx^\mu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Additionally, we write

$$E_B \omega_{A_1 \dots A_p} = \mathcal{D}_B \omega_{A_1 \dots A_p} + \Gamma_{A_1 B}^A \omega_{A \dots A_p} + \dots + \Gamma_{A_p B}^A \omega_{A_1 \dots A} \quad (\text{A5})$$

From (A3), (A4) and (A5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p! d\omega &= \left(\mathcal{D}_{[B} \omega_{A_1 \dots A_p]} + \Gamma_{[A_1 B}^A \omega_{A \dots A_p]} + \dots + \Gamma_{[A_p B}^A \omega_{A_1 \dots A]} \right) \wedge E^B \wedge E^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_p} \\ &\quad + p \omega_{\alpha A_1 \dots A_{p-1}} \left(\delta_\nu N_\mu^\alpha dx^\nu \wedge dx^\mu + \dot{\partial}_\beta N_\mu^\alpha \delta y^\beta \wedge dx^\mu \right) \wedge E^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{A_{p-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Now, the Hodge dual of a q -form ψ is defined as the $(n-q)$ -form:

$$(\star\psi)_{M_1 \dots M_{n-q}} = \frac{1}{q!} \mathcal{E}^{N_1 \dots N_q}{}_{M_1 \dots M_{n-q}} \psi_{N_1 \dots N_q} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where \star is the Hodge duality operator and \mathcal{E} the Levi-Civita tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} E^0 \wedge \dots \wedge E^7 \\ &= \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3 \wedge dy^4 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^7 \\ &= \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} d^8\mathcal{U}\end{aligned}\tag{A8}$$

In (A1), ω is a $n-1$ -form, so it is the Hodge dual of a one form $V = V_N E^N$ of TM . From (A7) we get

$$\omega_{A_1 \dots A_p} = (\star V)_{A_1 \dots A_p} = \mathcal{E}^N_{A_1 \dots A_p} V_N = \mathcal{E}_{N A_1 \dots A_p} V^N\tag{A9}$$

From relations (A6) and (A9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(d\omega)_{B A_1 \dots A_p} &= (d \star V)_{B A_1 \dots A_p} \\ &= (p+1) \left(\mathcal{E}_{N[A_1 \dots A_p} \mathcal{D}_B] V^N + \mathcal{E}_{N A[A_1 \dots A_p} \Gamma^A_{A_1 B]} V^N \right. \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{E}_{N[A_1 \dots |A|} \Gamma^A_{A_p B]} V^N + p \mathcal{E}_{N A[A_2 \dots A_p} K^A_{A_1 B]} V^N \right)\end{aligned}\tag{A10}$$

with

$$K^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\nu} N^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \quad K^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta} = \dot{\partial}_{\beta} N^{\alpha}_{\mu}\tag{A11}$$

and $K^A_{BM} = 0$ for every other combination of indices. From (A9) and for $p = n-1$ we find the Hodge dual of expression (A10) as the zero-form field

$$\begin{aligned}\star d\omega &= \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{E}^{B A_1 \dots A_{n-1}} (d \star V)_{B A_1 \dots A_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^s}{n!} n [(n-1)! \mathcal{D}_N V^N - (n-1)2!(n-2)! \delta_N^{[C} \delta_M^{B]} V^N (\Gamma^M_{CB} + K^M_{CB})] \\ &= \mathcal{D}_N V^N - 2 \left(\Gamma^M_{[NM]} + K^M_{[NM]} \right) V^N\end{aligned}\tag{A12}$$

where in the second step we used the identity

$$\mathcal{E}^{M_1 \dots M_q A_1 \dots A_{n-q}} \mathcal{E}_{M_1 \dots M_q B_1 \dots B_{n-q}} = (-1)^s q!(n-q)! \delta_{B_1}^{[A_1} \dots \delta_{B_{n-q}}^{A_{n-q}]}\tag{A13}$$

with s the signature of the metric, in the case of (8) we have $s = 2$. Now, $\star d\omega$ is a zero form field or equivalently a scalar field, let's call it Φ . Acting on it with \star gives

$$\star \Phi = \star \star d\omega = d\omega\tag{A14}$$

where in the last equality we used definition (A7) and the identity (A13). From (A7) and (A8) we also get

$$\star \Phi = \Phi \mathcal{E}_{M_1 \dots M_N} E^{M_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{M_N} = \Phi \mathcal{E} = \Phi \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} d^8\mathcal{U}\tag{A15}$$

Acting on (A12) with \star and using (A14) and (A15) we get

$$d\omega = \left[\mathcal{D}_N V^N - 2 \left(\Gamma^M_{[NM]} + K^M_{[NM]} \right) V^N \right] \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} d^8\mathcal{U}\tag{A16}$$

On the other hand, it is a known fact that

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} \omega = \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_M V^M \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tag{A17}$$

with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ the Levi-Civita tensor on the boundary and n_M a normal vector field on the boundary. Putting together equations (A1), (A16) and (A17) we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \mathcal{D}_N V^N = \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_M V^M \tilde{\mathcal{E}} + 2 \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U} \sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} \left(\Gamma^M_{[NM]} + K^M_{[NM]} \right) V^N\tag{A18}$$

Finally, for a canonical and distinguished d -connection (21-24), relations (A11) and (A18) give

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\mu H^\mu = \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\left(\dot{\partial}_\alpha N_\mu^\alpha - L_{\alpha\mu}^\alpha\right)H^\mu + \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_\mu H^\mu \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}\mathcal{D}_\alpha W^\alpha = - \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^8\mathcal{U}\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}C_{\mu\alpha}^\mu W^\alpha + \int_{\partial\mathcal{N}} n_\alpha W^\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \quad (\text{A20})$$

for the vector fields $H = H^\mu\delta_\mu$ and $W = W^\alpha\partial_\alpha$.

Acknowledgments

This research is co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund-ESF) through the Operational Programme ‘‘Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning’’ in the context of the project ‘‘Strengthening Human Resources Research Potential via Doctorate Research’’ (MIS-5000432), implemented by the State Scholarships Foundation (IKY). We also want to sincerely thank Mr. Christos Savopoulos for the valuable discussions on our work.

-
- [1] A. Perez-Lorenzana, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **18**, 24 (2005)
- [2] S. Chakraborty, K. Chakravarti, S. Bose and S. SenGupta, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no. 10, 104053 (2018)
- [3] V. Satheesh Kumar and P. Suresh, ISRN Astron. Astrophys. **2011**, 131473 (2011)
- [4] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, Living Reviews in Relativity **11**, 6 (2008)
- [5] D. Z. Freedman and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Scientific American **252**, No. 3, pp. 74-83 (1985)
- [6] P.C. Stavrinos and S. Ikeda, Rep. Math. Phys. **44**, 221-230 (1999).
- [7] A. Triantafyllopoulos and P. Stavrinos, Class. Quant. Grav. **35**, no.8, 085011 (2018)
- [8] M. Hohmann, C. Pfeifer and N. Voicu, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.6, 064035 (2019)
- [9] C. Pfeifer and M. N. Wohlfarth, The Thirteenth Marcel Grossmann Meeting, pp. 1116-1118 (2015)
- [10] S. I. Vacaru, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **8**, 9-21 (2011)
- [11] S. I. Vacaru, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 884-913 (2010)
- [12] J. Overduin and P. Wesson, Phys. Rept. **283**, 303-380 (1997)
- [13] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory Vol. 1&2*, 25th Anniversary Edition, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012)
- [14] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 5 (2010)
- [15] G. Minas, E. N. Saridakis, P. C. Stavrinos and A. Triantafyllopoulos, Universe **5**, 74 (2019)
- [16] S. Ikeda, E. N. Saridakis, P. C. Stavrinos and A. Triantafyllopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.12, 124035 (2019)
- [17] P. C. Stavrinos, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 3029-3045 (2012)
- [18] P. C. Stavrinos and S. I. Vacaru, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 055012 (2013)
- [19] P. Stavrinos, O. Vacaru and S. I. Vacaru, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, no.11, 1450094 (2014)
- [20] G. Papagiannopoulos, S. Basilakos, A. Paliathanasis, S. Savvidou and P.C. Stavrinos, Class. and Quantum Grav. **34**, 225008 (2017)
- [21] V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004)
- [22] C. C. Perelman, Annals of Physics, In Press, Journal Pre-proof, Available online 25 March 2020, doi:10.1016/j.aop.2020.168143
- [23] A. Fuster and C. Pabst, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.10, 104072 (2016)
- [24] S. I. Vacaru, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 215001 (2011)
- [25] A. V. Kostelecky and N. Russell, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 443-447 (2010)
- [26] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Fundam. Theor. Phys. (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1994)
- [27] S. Vacaru, P. Stavrinos, E. Gaburov and D. Gonta, *Clifford and Riemann-Finsler structures in geometric mechanics and gravity*, Differential Geometry – Dynamical Systems, Monograph 7 (Geometry Balkan Press, Bucharest, 2006)
- [28] G. S. Asanov and P. C. Stavrinos, Reports on mathematical physics **30**, 63–69 (1991)
- [29] V. Balan and P. C. Stavrinos, *Proc. of The Int. Conf. of Geometry and Its Applications, Thessaloniki, Greece, 27–37* (1999)
- [30] P. C. Stavrinos, Reports on mathematical physics **31**, 1–4 (1992)
- [31] A. Kouretsis, M. Stathakopoulos and P. C. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 104011 (2009)