

Holographic de Sitter Spacetime and Quantum Corrections to The Cosmological Constant

Shuichi Yokoyama*

*Center for Gravitational Physics,
Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-Ku, Kyoto, Japan*

Abstract

A dynamical aspect of quantum gravity on de Sitter spacetime is investigated by holography or the dS/CFT correspondence. We show that de Sitter spacetime emerges from a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model by complexifying the ghost fields and flowing them in parallel to the imaginary axis. We confirm that the emergence of de Sitter spacetime is ensured by conformal symmetry. We also compute the quantum corrections to the cosmological constant up to the next-to-leading order of the $1/N$ expansion in a proposed holographic approach. As a result the sub-leading corrections have the opposite sign to the classical value. This implies that a quantum gravity on de Sitter spacetime is perturbatively stable and quantum effects make the universe flatter and the cosmological constant smaller.

*shuichi.yokoyama[at]yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Observation of high redshift supernova [1, 2] and cosmic microwave background [3, 4] indicates the accelerating expansion of the current universe with non-zero vacuum energy. Vacuum energy in the universe, which may have dominated at early times to induce the inflationary expansion [5, 6], is currently observed to be much smaller than the expected particle physics scale [7]. This mystery known as the cosmological constant problem has stimulated theoretical physicists to invent various new ideas and approaches [8–11], but still remains unsolved.

An innovative method to explore quantum gravity has been proposed known as holography, which realizes gravitational theory on a manifold by quantum field theory on its boundary [12, 13]. Holography for a gravitational theory on de Sitter spacetime has been proposed [14], in which dual quantum field theory at boundary is some conformal field theory (CFT) as in the case of the holography for AdS [15]. In the dS/CFT correspondence, CFT is defined on Euclidean space and non-unitary. It has been claimed that the time emerges from a renormalization group flow at boundary [16] as the emergence of an AdS radial coordinate from CFT [15, 17], and that a bulk wave function at late times is a generating function in CFT at boundary [18]. (See also [19–25] for other earlier studies.)

A concrete example of the dS/CFT correspondence has been put forward [26], which claims that a higher spin gravity theory on de Sitter spacetime corresponds to the singlet sector of a conformal $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model at boundary in the large N limit. This proposal may be viewed as the dS/CFT version of higher spin/vector model duality [27] including cases for interacting theories [28, 29]. (See also [30–32].) The non-unitary nature of an $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model comes from the fact that the system consists of Grassmann-valued scalar fields [33–35]. This proposal enables one to test the dS/CFT correspondence or higher spin/ $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model duality by explicit large N calculation. (See [36–38] for related studies.)

Recently a new approach for holography has been proposed [39–42], in which a holographic direction is emergent from a flow equation that coarse-grains operators non-locally [43, 44]. This flow equation approach makes it possible to construct a bulk gravitational theory explicitly, and turns out not to be restricted to AdS nor to classical geometry [45–48]. The aim of this paper is to combine the proposals and techniques mentioned above to construct de Sitter spacetime and shed light on a dynamical aspect of quantum gravity on de Sitter spacetime from the holographic viewpoint.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly study a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model and discuss complexification of a general $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model for later use. In section 3.2 we flow the complexified $\text{Sp}(N)$ ghost fields in parallel with the imaginary axis. We show that this smearing leads to the emergence of de Sitter spacetime. We give comments on a relation between the holographic metric and an information metric and on the emergence of de Sitter spacetime from the symmetry perspective. In section 4 we compute quantum corrections to the cosmological constant up to the next-to-leading order in the $1/N$ expansion. The last section is devoted to discussion. In appendix A we give a derivation of a key formula in the main text.

2 $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model

Following the proposal of the dS/CFT correspondence [26] we start with a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model on a general d -dimensional Euclidean space, where N is an even number and d is greater than 2.¹ The action is given by

$$S = \int d^d x \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{ab} \delta^{jk} \partial_j \chi^a \partial_k \chi^b, \quad (2.1)$$

where χ^a ($a = 1, \dots, N$) denote the Grassmann-valued scalar fields and Ω_{ab} is the invariant tensor of $\text{Sp}(N)$ of symplectic form

$$\Omega_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{\frac{N}{2} \times \frac{N}{2}} \\ -1_{\frac{N}{2} \times \frac{N}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

From this action, the propagator of the scalar field is computed as

$$\langle \chi^c(x_1) \chi^b(x_2) \rangle = \Omega^{cb} \frac{C}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $C = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}-1)}{4\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}$, $\Omega^{cb} = \Omega_{bc}$, $x_{12}^k := x_1^k - x_2^k$, $X^2 = X^k X^k$.

Since Grassmann-valued scalar fields are ghosts, which disobey the spin-statistics theorem, $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector models with or without self-interaction are generally non-unitary quantum field theories. We define a quantum theory of $\text{Sp}(N)$ ghost fields by path integral. Practical evaluation of the path integral is done by the saddle point method, in which the integration contour should be deformed suitably in the complex plane. For convenience of later discussion, although the theory is originally defined on Euclidean space, we complexify both the base space coordinates and the ghost fields so that the contours of the path integral can be deformed in complex domains in a certain way [49, 50].² We denote a complex coordinate corresponding to a real coordinate by its bold letter and its imaginary part by the same letter with check:

$$x^k \rightarrow \mathbf{x}^k = x^k + i\check{x}^k. \quad (2.4)$$

The ghost fields are notationally intact. Accordingly the action and observables are extended in a holomorphic fashion. Then the two point function given in (2.3) is now extended for the complexified ghost fields to

$$\langle \chi^c(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle = \Omega^{cb} \frac{C}{(\mathbf{x}_{12}^2)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}. \quad (2.5)$$

¹ In this paper we mainly focus on a free theory, in which case the restriction of the dimension is required for a general analysis. Two dimensional free theories need to be analyzed separately.

² It is known that the complex Langevin method does not always give a correct prescription to deform integration contour in a convergent manner [51, 52]. This method can be improved by the Lefschetz thimble method [53, 54], which determine correct thimbles for the contour to be deformed for convergence. See also [55–59].

Note that the holomorphically extended theory enjoys the complexified conformal symmetry

$$\delta_{\delta\mathbf{x}}^{\text{conf}} \chi = -\delta\mathbf{x}^k \partial_k \chi - \frac{d-2}{2d} \partial_k \delta\mathbf{x}^k \chi \quad (2.6)$$

with $\delta\mathbf{x}^k = a^k + \omega^k_j \mathbf{x}^j + \lambda \mathbf{x}^k + b^k \mathbf{x}^2 - 2\mathbf{x}^k (b_j \mathbf{x}^j)$. This determines the coordinate dependence of the two point function (2.5).

3 Imaginary flow and emergent conformal time

Let us consider the construction of de Sitter spacetime from $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector models formulated in the previous section by the flow equation approach. To this end the first thing to do is to choose an appropriate flow equation for $\text{Sp}(N)$ ghost fields. We know that the same flow equation as used previously leads to emergence of Euclidean AdS from a general result [42], so we need to change a flow equation suitably. One naive guess may be to flip the sign of the flow parameter because the de Sitter metric is obtained by the analytic continuation of Euclidean AdS:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \chi^a(x; s) \stackrel{?}{=} \partial^2 \chi^a(x; s), \quad (3.1)$$

where s is a positive number corresponding to a flow parameter, and $\partial^2 = \partial_k \partial_k$. However this is not allowed since the resulting flowed operator is no more well-defined to give a finite correlation function.

Then what is a correct flow equation for de Sitter spacetime to emerge? Our answer is to complexify the theory as explained in the previous section and flow the ghost operators in parallel to the imaginary axis:³

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \chi^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = \check{\partial}^2 \chi^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\check{\partial}_k := \partial_{\check{x}^k}$. We refer to this flow as an imaginary flow and the conventional one as a real flow. This is solved by the convolution with a gaussian in terms of the imaginary coordinates:

$$\chi^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} d^d \check{x}' \rho(\check{x}, \check{x}'; \xi) \chi^a(x + i\check{x}'), \quad \rho(\check{x}, \check{x}'; \xi) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\check{x}-\check{x}')^2}{4\xi}}}{(4\pi\xi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}. \quad (3.3)$$

³ This is very similar to construction of bulk local operators from CFT known as the HKLL construction [60] in the regard to require the complexification of the boundary coordinates for smeared operators not to be divergent. Differences of two ways of smearing are not only in the smearing functional but also in the smearing region: In the HKLL case, the smearing domain is restricted to a causal wedge diamond, while in the imaginary flow here operators are smeared fully in the imaginary part. The relation between the two methods is not clarified yet. The author would like to thank Tatsuma Nishioka for valuable discussion on this point.

We claim that the smeared operators by the imaginary flow are well-behaved for an arbitrary real coordinates x^k and any positive flow parameter ξ if the smeared operators are inserted with sufficient separation with respect to the imaginary coordinates. Let us see this by computing the two point function. The result is

$$\langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = \frac{\Omega^{ab}}{i^{d-2}(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} F\left(\frac{(\check{x}_{12} - ix_{12})^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2}\right), \quad (3.4)$$

where $F(s)$ is defined by

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^1 dv e^{-\frac{sv}{4}} v^{\frac{d}{2}-2}. \quad (3.5)$$

We derive (3.4) in appendix A. It is clearly seen that the two point function reproduces the original correlation function in the limit $\xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow 0$ if we consider $|\check{x}_{12}^k| > |x_{12}^k|$.

Notice that the two point function (3.4) is finite in the contact limit $(\mathbf{x}_2^k, \xi_2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}_1^k, \xi_1)$.⁴ This property enables us to define a metric operator using a normalized field [39–41]

$$\sigma^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = \frac{\chi^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi)}{(\langle \chi^c(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \Omega_{cb} \chi^b(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.6)$$

⁵ For later use, we write down the two point function of the normalized field

$$\langle \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = -\frac{\Omega^{ab}}{N} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4\xi_1\xi_2}}{\xi_1 + \xi_2}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \frac{F\left(\frac{(\check{x}_{12} - ix_{12})^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2}\right)}{F(0)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Using this normalized field, we define the metric operator by contracting the $\text{Sp}(N)$ indices as

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = -\partial_\mu \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \Omega_{ab} \partial_\nu \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\partial_\mu := \partial_{\mathbf{X}^\mu}$ with $\mathbf{X}^\mu := (\mathbf{x}^k, \eta)$, $\eta \propto \sqrt{\xi}$, so that η has one length dimension. The overall minus sign is a convention. Then the metric in the holographic space is defined by the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of this metric operator with the imaginary coordinates set to zero:⁶

$$ds^2 = \lim_{\check{x}^k \rightarrow 0} \langle \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle dX^\mu dX^\nu. \quad (3.9)$$

⁴ To be consistent with the separation of inserted smeared operators described above, the contact limit is taken first for the original real coordinates and the flow parameter $(x_2^k, \xi_2) \rightarrow (x_1^k, \xi_1)$ and subsequently for the imaginary coordinates $\check{x}_2^k \rightarrow \check{x}_1^k$.

⁵ The normalization factor is computed as $\langle \chi^c(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \Omega_{cb} \chi^b(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = \frac{4N\xi}{i^d(8\pi\xi)^{\frac{d}{2}}(d-2)}$.

⁶ In most practical cases, the system enjoys the translational symmetry. In this case the holographic metric is independent of the \mathbf{x}^k coordinates, so that it does not need to set the imaginary coordinates to zero.

The VEV of the metric operator is computed as

$$\langle \hat{g}_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = -\frac{d-2}{8\xi^2}, \quad \langle \hat{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\xi} \frac{F'(0)}{F(0)}, \quad (3.10)$$

and other components vanish. Plugging this back to (3.9) gives

$$ds^2 = \left(-\frac{d-2}{8\xi^2} d\xi^2 - \frac{F'(0)}{F(0)} \frac{1}{\xi} (dx^k)^2 \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.5) we find $\frac{F'(0)}{F(0)} = -\frac{d-2}{4d}$. Therefore setting $\eta = \sqrt{2d\xi}$ we find that the holographic metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{d-2}{2} \left(\frac{-d\eta^2 + (dx^k)^2}{\eta^2} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

This is nothing but the metric of de Sitter spacetime with the radius $\sqrt{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ and the (conformal) time η . As a result, de Sitter spacetime emerges from an $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model via the imaginary flow.

3.1 Relation to information metric

In the previous study using a real flow, the holographic metric for Euclidean CFT, which generates an Euclidean AdS metric, can be regarded as an information metric [42]. In the current case using the imaginary flow, we show that the holographic metric becomes de Sitter one. This means that it is not positive definite any more. Then can it still be regarded as the information metric?

To answer this, let us trace the argument in [42] and apply it to the present case. For this purpose we recall the information metric or the Bures metric in an arbitrary quantum mechanical system, which is defined by

$$D(\rho, \rho + d\rho)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(d\rho G), \quad (3.13)$$

where ρ and $\rho + d\rho$ are density matrices in the system, and G is an Hermitian 1-form operator to satisfy an equation

$$\rho G + G \rho = d\rho. \quad (3.14)$$

It is known that this gives a well-defined metric for the space of density matrices in the system.

Let us consider an $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model and flow the $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector matter by the imaginary one as in (3.2).⁷ Then the quantity

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) |0\rangle \Omega_{ab} \langle 0| \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \quad (3.15)$$

⁷The discussion in this subsection can be applied to any $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector models with or without self-interaction.

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum of the system, becomes a density matrix thanks to the normalization condition (3.6):

$$\text{tr} \rho_{\mathbf{X}} = \langle \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \Omega_{ab} \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = 1. \quad (3.16)$$

It can be also shown that $\rho_{\mathbf{X}}^2 = -\frac{1}{N} \rho_{\mathbf{X}}$. Therefore if we define $G_{\mathbf{X}} = -N d\rho_{\mathbf{X}}$, then this is a Hermitian 1-form operator to satisfy $\rho_{\mathbf{X}} G_{\mathbf{X}} + G_{\mathbf{X}} \rho_{\mathbf{X}} = d\rho_{\mathbf{X}}$. In this setup, the information metric is computed as

$$D(\rho_{\mathbf{X}}, \rho_{\mathbf{X}} + d\rho_{\mathbf{X}})^2 = -\langle g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle d\mathbf{X}^\mu d\mathbf{X}^\nu, \quad (3.17)$$

where we used $d\rho_{\mathbf{X}} = d\mathbf{X}^\mu \partial_\mu \rho_{\mathbf{X}}$. As a result, the information metric agrees with the holographic metric given by (3.9) up to a signature after sending the imaginary coordinates to zero. The holographic metric can be interpreted as an information metric even in a non-positive definite case.

3.2 Isometry from conformal symmetry

It can be shown that the emergence of de Sitter spacetime from a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model via the flow equation approach presented above is guaranteed by conformal symmetry at boundary as in the AdS case [42].⁸

Let us see this briefly. To this end we compute the complexified conformal transformation (2.6) for the normalized field given by (3.6):

$$\delta^{\text{conf}} \sigma^a = -(\xi \check{\partial}^2 \delta \mathbf{x}^k + 2\xi^2 \check{\partial}^j \check{\partial}^k \delta \mathbf{x}^k \check{\partial}_j \check{\partial}_k + 2\xi \check{\partial}^j \delta \mathbf{x}^k \check{\partial}_j + \delta \mathbf{x}^k) \partial_k \sigma^a - \frac{d-2}{2d} (2\xi \check{\partial}^j \partial_k \delta \mathbf{x}^k \check{\partial}_j + \partial_k \delta \mathbf{x}^k) \sigma^a.$$

Using the explicit form of $\delta \mathbf{x}^k$ and the flow equation (3.2), $\partial_\xi \sigma^a = (\frac{d-2}{4\xi} + \check{\partial}^2) \sigma^a$, we rewrite this as

$$\delta^{\text{conf}} \sigma^a = -2\xi \lambda \partial_\xi \sigma^a + 4\xi (b_k \mathbf{x}^k) \partial_\xi \sigma^a - 4\xi^2 b^j \partial_j (\partial_\xi + \frac{d-2}{4\xi}) \sigma^a - (\delta \mathbf{x}^k - 2\xi(d-2)b^k) \partial_k \sigma^a.$$

There exists a higher derivative term for a special conformal transformation. Thus it cannot be regarded as an infinitesimal diffeomorphism in this form, so we separate into one derivative terms and higher derivative ones, $\delta^{\text{conf}} \sigma^a = \delta^{\text{diff}} \sigma^a + \delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^a$, where

$$\delta^{\text{diff}} \sigma^a = (-2\xi \lambda + 4\xi (b_k \mathbf{x}^k)) \partial_\xi \sigma^a - (\delta \mathbf{x}^k - 2\xi(d-2+a)b^k) \partial_k \sigma^a, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^a = -4\xi^2 b^j \partial_j (\partial_\xi + \frac{d-2+2a}{4\xi}) \sigma^a. \quad (3.19)$$

Here a is a constant to be determined to satisfy $\langle \delta^{\text{diff}} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = 0$. This is equivalent to the condition

$$\lim_{\mathbf{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_1} \partial_{\mathbf{X}_1^\mu} \partial_{\mathbf{X}_2^\nu} \langle \delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \Omega_{ab} \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) + \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \Omega_{ab} \delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

⁸The symmetry argument in this subsection is not restricted to a free case, and can be extended to an arbitrary CFT by replacing the ghost field χ and its conformal dimension $\frac{d-2}{2}$ with a scalar primary operator of a general dimension as in the AdS case.

since a correlation function is invariant any conformal transformation $\langle \delta^{\text{conf}} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \rangle = 0$. Employing (3.7) we can compute the average in the right hand side of (3.20) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \Omega_{ab} \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) + \sigma^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \Omega_{ab} \delta^{\text{extra}} \sigma^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle \\ &= 4 \frac{(2\sqrt{\xi_1 \xi_2})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{\frac{d}{2}+2}} (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2) 2b_k \mathbf{x}_{12}^k \mathbf{x}_{12}^2 \frac{F''(\frac{-\mathbf{x}_{12}^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2})}{F(0)} - (4-2a)(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \frac{(2\sqrt{\xi_1 \xi_2})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} 2b_k \mathbf{x}_{12}^k \frac{F'(\frac{-\mathbf{x}_{12}^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2})}{F(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the condition (3.20) is satisfied if and only if $a = 2$. In this case, the holographic metric (3.9) is invariant under the following infinitesimal diffeomorphism

$$\bar{\delta}x^k = \delta x^k - 2\xi db^k, \quad \bar{\delta}\xi = 2\lambda\xi - 4\xi b_j x^j, \quad (3.21)$$

where we set the imaginary coordinates to zero. By using the conformal time η this can be rewritten as

$$\bar{\delta}x^k = \delta x^k - \eta^2 b^k, \quad \bar{\delta}\eta = \lambda\eta - 2\eta b_j x^j. \quad (3.22)$$

This is nothing but the isometry transformation of de Sitter spacetime. Since the de Sitter spacetime is maximally symmetric, the isometry restricts the coordinate dependence of the metric completely as in (3.12).

4 Quantum corrections to the cosmological constant

In the flow equation approach, holographic computation of quantum corrections to a cosmological constant in the bulk is clarified, and the explicit calculations were carried out up to the next-to-leading order for a dual bulk theory to a free $O(N)$ vector model [46]. Following this procedure we compute the one loop corrections to the cosmological constant for a dual bulk theory to a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model.

To this end, it is convenient to introduce pre-geometric operators, which are given from a usual geometric object known in differential geometry by replacing the metric tensor with the metric operator. For example, the Levi-Civita connection operator and curvature operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_{\rho\nu}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{\mu\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) (\hat{g}_{\sigma\{\nu, \rho\}}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) - \hat{g}_{\nu\rho, \sigma}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)), \\ \hat{R}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) &= \partial_{[\rho} \hat{\Gamma}_{\sigma]\nu}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) + \hat{\Gamma}_{[\rho\lambda}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \hat{\Gamma}_{\sigma]\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \\ \hat{\text{Ric}}_{\sigma\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) &= \hat{R}_{\mu\sigma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \\ \hat{R}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) &= \hat{g}^{\sigma\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \hat{R}_{\sigma\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\hat{g}^{\mu\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)$ is the inverse of the metric operator $\hat{g}_{\mu\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)$, which exists at least perturbatively, and $X_{\{x,y\}} := X_{x,y} + X_{y,x}$, $X_{[x,y]} := X_{x,y} - X_{y,x}$. First let us compute the VEV of the Einstein tensor operator up to the next-to-leading order of the $1/N$ expansion:

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) = \hat{R}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}; \xi) \hat{R}(\mathbf{x}; \xi). \quad (4.2)$$

In the leading order of the $1/N$ expansion, the VEVs of these operators are identical to the classical values of $d+1$ dimensional de Sitter spacetime.⁹ In particular, the VEV of the Einstein tensor is $G_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$, where $\Lambda = d(d-1)/(2L_{\text{dS}}^2)$ is the cosmological constant with L_{dS} the de Sitter radius classically given by $L_{\text{dS}} = \sqrt{(d-2)}/2$.

In the next-to-leading order, we need to perform the covariant perturbation for the metric operator. Since the general framework is fully developed in [46], here we explain only the main results and skip the detail of lengthy computations. We perturb the metric operator as $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$, where $g_{\mu\nu} = \langle \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \rangle$, and expand the pre-geometric operators in terms of the fluctuation field $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$. Since the one point function of $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes, the corrections starts from the quadratic order. The quadratic order of the Einstein tensor operator reads [46]

$$\ddot{G}_{\mu\nu} = \ddot{\text{Ric}}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\dot{R} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\ddot{R}, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R} &= -\nabla^2 \hat{h}^\nu{}_\nu + \hat{h}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu\nu} - \hat{h}^{\nu\sigma} \text{Ric}_{\nu\sigma}, \\ \ddot{\text{Ric}}_{\sigma\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}[-\nabla_\mu \{\hat{h}^{\mu\kappa}(\hat{h}_{\kappa\{\nu;\sigma\}} - \hat{h}_{\nu\sigma;\kappa})\} + \nabla_\sigma \{\hat{h}^{\mu\kappa}(\hat{h}_{\kappa\{\nu;\mu\}} - \hat{h}_{\nu\mu;\kappa})\}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}[\hat{h}^\mu{}_{\mu;\rho}(\hat{h}^\rho{}_{\{\nu;\sigma\}} - \hat{h}_{\nu\sigma}{}^{;\rho}) - (\hat{h}^\mu{}_{\{\sigma;\rho\}} - \hat{h}_{\sigma\rho}{}^{;\mu})(\hat{h}^\rho{}_{\{\nu;\mu\}} - \hat{h}_{\nu\mu}{}^{;\rho})], \\ \ddot{R} &= g^{\nu\sigma} \ddot{\text{Ric}}_{\sigma\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}^{\nu\sigma}(\nabla^2 \hat{h}_{\sigma\nu} - 2\hat{h}^\mu{}_{\sigma;\nu\mu} + \hat{h}^\mu{}_{\mu;\nu\sigma}) + \hat{h}^{\rho\sigma} \hat{h}_\sigma{}^\nu \text{Ric}_{\nu\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ∇_μ is the covariant derivative defined by the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and we denote $X_{;\mu} = \nabla_\mu X$. The VEV of each term can be computed from two point functions of $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ and

⁹Explicitly, $\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu = \frac{g^{\mu\eta} g_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\{\nu}^\mu \delta_{\rho\}}^\eta}{\eta}$, $R_{\rho\sigma}{}^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{\delta_{[\rho}^\mu g_{\sigma]\nu}}{L_{\text{dS}}^2}$, $\text{Ric}_{\mu\nu} = d \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{L_{\text{dS}}^2}$, $R = \frac{d(d+1)}{L_{\text{dS}}^2}$.

its covariant derivatives. The result is¹⁰

$$\langle \hat{\text{Ric}}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\frac{2d}{(d-2)N}g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \langle \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\hat{R} \rangle = \frac{8d}{N(d-2)}g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \langle \hat{\ddot{R}} \rangle = \frac{-2d(1+d)(2+d)}{N(d-2)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Using these we obtain

$$\langle \hat{\ddot{G}}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{d(d-1)(d+4)}{N(d-2)}g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.5)$$

This result in fact is formally the same as the corresponding quantity computed in a free $O(N)$ vector model [46]. The intuitive reason for this is as follows. The AdS metric and the dS one are related by the analytic continuation of the AdS radial direction z to the conformal time $z = i\eta$ (with an overall minus sign). The next-to-leading correction of the Einstein tensor has one warp factor, thus it gets one minus by the analytic continuation. This minus sign cancels the other one which comes from the one loop of the ghost fields. As a result, the next-to-leading corrections contribute to the VEV of the Einstein tensor with the opposite sign compared to the leading contribution:

$$\langle \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{d(d-1)}{d-2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(-1 + \frac{d+4}{N}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

We interpret the expectation value of the Einstein tensor as the on-shell stress energy tensor in a dual bulk theory: $\langle \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{bulk}}$. The holography is a duality such that there exists one-to-one correspondence between a normalizable solution of a bulk gravitational theory and a state in a dual boundary CFT [61–63]. This requires the on-shell stress-energy tensor corresponding to the CFT vacuum state to consist only of the cosmological

¹⁰ The following data, which is computed by mathematic, is used for the next-to-leading computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\hat{h}_{\rho\sigma} \rangle &= -\frac{g_{\{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\}\sigma}}{N}, \quad \langle \hat{h}_{\mu\nu;\omega}\hat{h}_{\rho\sigma} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \hat{h}_{\mu\nu;\omega}\hat{h}_{\rho\sigma;\kappa} \rangle &= -\langle \hat{h}_{\mu\nu;\omega\kappa}\hat{h}_{\rho\sigma} \rangle \\ &= \frac{i\#(d-2)^2}{8(d+2)N\eta^6} \left[(d+1)^2 \{ 2\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} + \delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} + 2\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\omega} \right. \\ &\quad + \delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} \} \\ &\quad + (d+1) \{ -4\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 4\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 4\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\omega} - 4\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\omega} \\ &\quad + 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho} + 2\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\sigma} + 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma} + 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma} + 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} + 2\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\sigma} \\ &\quad + 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} - 2\delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} - 2\delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} - 2\delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} \} \\ &\quad - 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho} - 2\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} + 2\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} \\ &\quad + 2\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - 2\delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\kappa\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} \\ &\quad - \delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\kappa\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\omega} - \delta_{\kappa\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} + \delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\rho}\delta_{\sigma\omega} \\ &\quad + 2d \{ \delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\kappa\omega}(\delta_{\eta\nu}\delta_{\mu\rho} + \delta_{\eta\mu}\delta_{\nu\rho}) + \delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\eta\omega}(\delta_{\kappa\nu}\delta_{\mu\rho} + \delta_{\kappa\mu}\delta_{\nu\rho}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\eta\kappa}(2\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\rho} + \delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} + 2\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\mu\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\mu\rho}\delta_{\nu\omega} + \delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\nu\omega}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{\eta\nu}(-3\delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho} + \delta_{\sigma\omega}\delta_{\mu\rho} - 3\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\mu\sigma} + \delta_{\mu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega}) + \delta_{\eta\mu}(-3\delta_{\eta\sigma}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} + \delta_{\sigma\omega}\delta_{\nu\rho} - 3\delta_{\eta\rho}\delta_{\eta\omega}\delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\nu\sigma}\delta_{\rho\omega}) \} \Big], \end{aligned}$$

where $\#$ is the number of η contained in the Greek indices.

constant term: $T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{bulk}} = -\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. Putting all together the cosmological constant and the de Sitter radius are determined up to the next-to-leading order as

$$\Lambda = \frac{d(d-1)}{d-2} \left(1 - \frac{d+4}{N}\right), \quad L_{\text{dS}}^2 = \frac{d-2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{d+4}{N}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

In the higher spin/vector model correspondence, $1/N$ is identified with the Newton constant as $1/N \sim \hbar G_N / L_{\text{dS}}^{d-1}$, and the $1/N$ expansion is interpreted as the loop expansion of the bulk fields in a dual gravity theory. Under the assumption of the correspondence between a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model and a free higher spin theory with all even spins, the above result is identified with one loop corrections coming from vacuum bubble diagrams of the higher spin fields in the free higher spin theory on de Sitter spacetime.

In conclusion, the holography by the flow equation method implies that the next-to-leading quantum corrections make de Sitter spacetime flatter and the cosmological constant smaller.¹¹

5 Discussion

We have investigated the dS/CFT correspondence using a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model and a flow equation. To this end we have complexified the system and flowed the $\text{Sp}(N)$ ghost fields in parallel with the imaginary axis. As a result de Sitter spacetime has emerged with a flow parameter related to the conformal time in a suitable fashion. We have shown that this result is ensured by conformal symmetry and the holographic metric can still be interpreted as an information metric even in a case with a non-positive definite metric. We have also computed the vacuum expectation value of the Einstein tensor operator up to the next-to-leading order of the $1/N$ expansion. We have found that the $1/N$ corrections contribute to the cosmological constant in the opposite sign to the classical value, which suggests that quantum effects of gravity tend to flatten de Sitter spacetime.

In section 3.1 we showed that the holographic metric to encode de Sitter spacetime can be regarded as an information metric even for a non-positive definite one. This non-positive definiteness is related to non-unitary nature of $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector models. However, it was pointed out that there exists a unitary operator to define an inner product which preserves under Hamiltonian dynamics of an $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model [26, 35, 65]. It would be fascinating to explore the relation of this inner product to the information metric studied in this paper. (See also [66].)

In section 4 we computed the one loop corrections to the cosmological constant in a free higher spin theory in de Sitter spacetime, which has the contribution with the opposite sign to the classical value. Implication of this result to quantum gravity on de

¹¹ Backreaction of a class of gravitational theories on constant curvature background has been very recently computed by the holographic renormalization group flow [64]. It was found that the backreaction effect always decreases the bare cosmological constant in de Sitter spacetime, which is consistent with the conclusion of this paper. The author would like to thank Elias Kiritsis for making him aware of the relevant reference.

Sitter spacetime is two-fold, which is based on a universal feature of the proposed dS/CFT correspondence. One is for its nature to incline for flatness. As long as a boundary CFT corresponding to gravity theory on de Sitter spacetime consists of ghost vector matter, the next-to-leading corrections to the cosmological constant seem to be negative in general by the argument given in this paper. It would be interesting to study whether this property holds including higher order corrections and explore the possibility for quantum effects to be an alternative solution of the flatness problem in the very early universe. The other is for its perturbative stability: A free higher spin gravitational theory on de Sitter spacetime is stable at least perturbatively. We suspect that this property holds in an interacting case as long as it is weakly coupled. However there is a conjecture that higher spin theories on de Sitter spacetime become unstable once non-perturbative corrections are taken into account [37, 38]. This conjecture has been made by computing a wave function of higher spin theories from $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector models on some compact manifolds and analyzing its divergent structure. It would be interesting to see such a non-perturbative instability from the flow equation approach.

We argued that quantum corrections to the cosmological constant come from one loop vacuum bubble diagrams of higher spin fields, which are divergent in general. This suggests that a regularization scheme and a subtraction one in the bulk, which should be done in a covariant manner [67], are automatically specified once a flow equation is fixed. This situation is very similar to that of a gauge fixing for diffeomorphism in the bulk [48]. It is highly important to confirm the result presented in this paper by explicit computation of the one loop diagrams of higher spin fields.

In this paper we mainly focused on a free $\text{Sp}(N)$ vector model. It is natural to extend this study to an interacting case. (See also [68–70].) For example, one can introduce a double trace coupling to trigger the renormalization group flow. In three dimensions there exists the Wilson-Fischer fixed point, at which a theory achieves the conformal symmetry. It is conjectured that this CFT is dual to a free higher spin theory with the alternative boundary condition. Furthermore we can add the Chern-Simons interaction to gauge the $\text{Sp}(N)$ global symmetry as done in $\text{O}(N)/\text{U}(N)$ vector models [71–73]. This interaction produces a parity-violating effect, and a dual gravity theory is conjectured as an interacting higher spin gravity theory [28, 74]. It would be interesting to test these conjectures from the flow equation approach and explore connection of different approaches of gravity on de Sitter spacetime [75–80] by incorporating techniques developed in this paper.

We hope to give a new clue to solve the cosmological constant problem and to report progress on these issues in the near future.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Sinya Aoki, Janos Balog, Tetsuya Onogi for helpful comments on the draft, and Tatsuma Nishioka for valuable discussion during East Asia Joint Workshop on Fields and Strings 2019 and 12th Taiwan String Theory Workshop. He is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Sciences

and Technology, Sports and Culture (MEXT) for Scientific Research (No. JP19K03847).

A Derivation of (3.4)

In this appendix we give a brief derivation of (3.4). This may be instructive to understand why in the flow equation approach operators are smeared not in the causal wedge but in all the imaginary direction.

For this purpose we first prove a key equation such that the two point function of the flowed field is given by smearing the two point function of the original field:

$$\langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} d^d \check{x}'_1 \rho(\check{x}_{12}, \check{x}'_1; \xi_1 + \xi_2) \langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}'_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}'_2) \rangle. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In what follows, we suppress the integration region for simple description if it is the total Euclidean space. To show this, we first substitute (3.3) into the left hand side.

$$\langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = \Omega^{ab} \int d^d \check{x}'_1 d^d \check{x}'_2 e^{-\frac{(\check{x}_1 - \check{x}'_1)^2}{4\xi_1}} \frac{1}{(4\pi\xi_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(\check{x}_2 - \check{x}'_2)^2}{4\xi_2}} \frac{1}{(4\pi\xi_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} F_0(x_{12} + i\check{x}'_{12}),$$

where we set $F_0(x) = \frac{C}{((x^k)^2)^\Delta}$ with $\Delta = \frac{d-2}{2}$. We change the integration variables so that $\check{x}'_{\pm} = \frac{\check{x}_1 \pm \check{x}_2}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then

$$\langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle = \frac{\Omega^{ab}}{(4\pi\xi_1)^{\frac{d}{2}} (4\pi\xi_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int d^d \check{x}_+ d^d \check{x}_- e^{-\frac{(\check{x}_+ - \frac{\check{x}_+ + \check{x}_-}{\sqrt{2}})^2}{4\xi_1}} - \frac{(\check{x}_2 - \frac{\check{x}_+ - \check{x}_-}{\sqrt{2}})^2}{4\xi_2}} F_0(x_{12} + i\sqrt{2}\check{x}_-).$$

We perform the integration in terms of \check{x}'_{\pm} by gaussian:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi^a(\mathbf{x}_1; \xi_1) \chi^b(\mathbf{x}_2; \xi_2) \rangle &= \frac{\Omega^{ab}}{(4\pi\xi_1)^{\frac{d}{2}} (4\pi\xi_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\frac{8\pi\xi_1\xi_2}{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int d^d \check{x}_- e^{-\frac{(\check{x}_- - \frac{\check{x}_1 - \check{x}_2}{\sqrt{2}})^2}{2(\xi_1 + \xi_2)}} F_0(x_{12} + i\sqrt{2}\check{x}_-) \\ &= \frac{\Omega^{ab}}{(4\pi(\xi_1 + \xi_2))^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int d^d(\sqrt{2}\check{x}_-) e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2}\check{x}_- - \check{x}_{12})^2}{4(\xi_1 + \xi_2)}} F_0(x_{12} + i\sqrt{2}\check{x}_-). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (A.1). Then let us compute

$$I(x_{12}, \check{x}_{12}; \xi_+) := \int d^d \check{x}'_- \rho(\check{x}_{12}, \check{x}'_-; \xi_+) F_0(x_{12} + i\check{x}'_-), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\xi_+ = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. By using the Schwinger parameterization

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{s-1} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for $\text{Res} > 0$, the integration can be written as

$$I(x_{12}, \tilde{x}_{12}; \xi_+) = \frac{C}{i^{2\Delta}(4\pi\xi_+)^{d/2}} \int d^d \tilde{x}' e^{-\frac{(\tilde{x}_{12}-\tilde{x}')^2}{4\xi_+}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\Delta)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\Delta-1} e^{-(\tilde{x}'-ix_{12})^2 t}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For $\xi_+ > 0$, the integration in terms of \tilde{x}'^k can be performed to give

$$I(x_{12}, \tilde{x}_{12}; \xi_+) = \frac{C}{i^{2\Delta}(4\pi\xi_+)^{d/2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\Delta)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{\Delta-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4\pi\xi_+}}{\sqrt{1+4\xi_+t}} \right)^d e^{-\frac{t(ix_{12}-\tilde{x}_{12})^2}{4\xi_+t+1}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Changing the parameter by $4\xi_+t = \frac{v}{1-v}$, we find

$$I(x_{12}, \tilde{x}_{12}; \xi_+) = \frac{1}{i^{2\Delta}(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \xi_+^\Delta} \int_0^1 dv v^{\Delta-1} e^{-\frac{(\tilde{x}_{12}-ix_{12})^2}{4\xi_+} v}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This derives (3.4).

References

- [1] **Supernova Search Team** Collaboration, A. G. Riess *et al.*, “Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant,” *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009–1038, astro-ph/9805201.
- [2] **SNLS** Collaboration, P. Astier *et al.*, “The Supernova Legacy Survey: Measurement of Ω_M , Ω_Λ and w from the first year data set,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **447** (2006) 31–48, astro-ph/0510447.
- [3] A. A. Penzias and R. W. Wilson, “A Measurement of excess antenna temperature at 4080-Mc/s,” *Astrophys. J.* **142** (1965) 419–421.
- [4] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, “Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A13, 1502.01589.
- [5] K. Sato, “First Order Phase Transition of a Vacuum and Expansion of the Universe,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **195** (1981) 467–479.
- [6] A. H. Guth, “The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems,” *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 347–356. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,139(1987)].
- [7] S. Weinberg, “The Cosmological Constant Problem,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61** (1989) 1–23. [569(1988)].
- [8] E. Witten, “The Cosmological constant from the viewpoint of string theory,” in *Sources and detection of dark matter and dark energy in the universe. Proceedings, 4th International Symposium, DM 2000, Marina del Rey, USA, February 23-25, 2000*, pp. 27–36. 2000. hep-ph/0002297.

- [9] S. M. Carroll, “The Cosmological constant,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **4** (2001) 1, [astro-ph/0004075](#).
- [10] T. Padmanabhan, “Cosmological constant: The Weight of the vacuum,” *Phys. Rept.* **380** (2003) 235–320, [hep-th/0212290](#).
- [11] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, “Dynamics of dark energy,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15** (2006) 1753–1936, [hep-th/0603057](#).
- [12] G. ’t Hooft, “Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity,” *Conf. Proc.* **C930308** (1993) 284–296, [gr-qc/9310026](#).
- [13] L. Susskind, “The World as a hologram,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6377–6396, [hep-th/9409089](#).
- [14] A. Strominger, “The dS / CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **10** (2001) 034, [hep-th/0106113](#).
- [15] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [16] A. Strominger, “Inflation and the dS / CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **11** (2001) 049, [hep-th/0110087](#).
- [17] K. Skenderis, “Lecture notes on holographic renormalization,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849–5876, [hep-th/0209067](#).
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, “Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models,” *JHEP* **05** (2003) 013, [astro-ph/0210603](#).
- [19] C. M. Hull, “Timelike T duality, de Sitter space, large N gauge theories and topological field theory,” *JHEP* **07** (1998) 021, [hep-th/9806146](#).
- [20] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Conformal anomaly from dS / CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Lett.* **B519** (2001) 145–148, [hep-th/0106191](#).
- [21] D. Klemm, “Some aspects of the de Sitter / CFT correspondence,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B625** (2002) 295–311, [hep-th/0106247](#).
- [22] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, and D. Minic, “Mass, entropy and holography in asymptotically de Sitter spaces,” *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 123508, [hep-th/0110108](#).
- [23] A. C. Petkou and G. Siopsis, “dS / CFT correspondence on a brane,” *JHEP* **02** (2002) 045, [hep-th/0111085](#).
- [24] B. McInnes, “The dS / CFT correspondence and the big smash,” *JHEP* **08** (2002) 029, [hep-th/0112066](#).

- [25] R. Bousso, A. Maloney, and A. Strominger, “Conformal vacua and entropy in de Sitter space,” *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 104039, [hep-th/0112218](#).
- [26] D. Anninos, T. Hartman, and A. Strominger, “Higher Spin Realization of the dS/CFT Correspondence,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017), no. 1, 015009, [1108.5735](#).
- [27] I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, “AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model,” *Phys.Lett.* **B550** (2002) 213–219, [hep-th/0210114](#).
- [28] M. Vasiliev, “Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d),” *Phys.Lett.* **B567** (2003) 139–151, [hep-th/0304049](#).
- [29] M. A. Vasiliev, “Free Massless Fields of Arbitrary Spin in the De Sitter Space and Initial Data for a Higher Spin Superalgebra,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **35** (1987) 741–770. [*Yad. Fiz.*45,1784(1987)].
- [30] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, “Massless higher spins and holography,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B644** (2002) 303–370, [hep-th/0205131](#).
- [31] R. Argurio, G. Barnich, G. Bonelli, and M. Grigoriev, eds., *Higher spin gauge theories: Proceedings, 1st Solvay Workshop*, Intl. Solvay Inst. Intl. Solvay Inst., Brussels, 2004.
- [32] S. Giombi and X. Yin, “The Higher Spin/Vector Model Duality,” *J. Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214003, [1208.4036](#).
- [33] H. G. Kausch, “Curiosities at $c = -2$,” [hep-th/9510149](#).
- [34] A. LeClair, “Quantum critical spin liquids, the 3D Ising model, and conformal field theory in 2+1 dimensions,” [cond-mat/0610639](#).
- [35] A. LeClair and M. Neubert, “Semi-Lorentz invariance, unitarity, and critical exponents of symplectic fermion models,” *JHEP* **10** (2007) 027, [0705.4657](#).
- [36] D. Das, S. R. Das, A. Jevicki, and Q. Ye, “Bi-local Construction of Sp(2N)/dS Higher Spin Correspondence,” *JHEP* **01** (2013) 107, [1205.5776](#).
- [37] D. Anninos, F. Denef, and D. Harlow, “Wave function of Vasiliev’s universe: A few slices thereof,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 8, 084049, [1207.5517](#).
- [38] D. Anninos, F. Denef, G. Konstantinidis, and E. Shaghoulian, “Higher Spin de Sitter Holography from Functional Determinants,” *JHEP* **02** (2014) 007, [1305.6321](#).
- [39] S. Aoki, K. Kikuchi, and T. Onogi, “Geometries from field theories,” *PTEP* **2015** (2015), no. 10, 101B01, [1505.00131](#).
- [40] S. Aoki, J. Balog, T. Onogi, and P. Weisz, “Flow equation for the large N scalar model and induced geometries,” *PTEP* **2016** (2016), no. 8, 083B04, [1605.02413](#).

- [41] S. Aoki, J. Balog, T. Onogi, and P. Weisz, “Flow equation for the scalar model in the large N expansion and its applications,” *PTEP* **2017** (2017), no. 4, 043B01, 1701.00046.
- [42] S. Aoki and S. Yokoyama, “Flow equation, conformal symmetry, and anti-de Sitter geometry,” *PTEP* **2018** (2018), no. 3, 031B01, 1707.03982.
- [43] **APE** Collaboration, M. Albanese *et al.*, “Glueball Masses and String Tension in Lattice QCD,” *Phys. Lett.* **B192** (1987) 163–169.
- [44] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, “Infinite N phase transitions in continuum Wilson loop operators,” *JHEP* **03** (2006) 064, hep-th/0601210.
- [45] S. Aoki and S. Yokoyama, “AdS geometry from CFT on a general conformally flat manifold,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B933** (2018) 262–274, 1709.07281.
- [46] S. Aoki, J. Balog, and S. Yokoyama, “Holographic computation of quantum corrections to the bulk cosmological constant,” *PTEP* **2019** (2019), no. 4, 043, 1804.04636.
- [47] S. Aoki, S. Yokoyama, and K. Yoshida, “Holographic geometry for nonrelativistic systems emerging from generalized flow equations,” *Phys. Rev.* **D99** no. 12, 126002, 1902.02578.
- [48] S. Aoki, J. Balog, S. Yokoyama, and K. Yoshida, “Non-relativistic Hybrid Geometry with Gravitational Gauge-Fixing Term,” *Phys. Rev. Research.* **2** (2020) 013169, 1910.11032.
- [49] G. Parisi, “ON COMPLEX PROBABILITIES,” *Phys. Lett.* **131B** (1983) 393–395.
- [50] J. R. Klauder, “Coherent State Langevin Equations for Canonical Quantum Systems With Applications to the Quantized Hall Effect,” *Phys. Rev.* **A29** (1984) 2036–2047.
- [51] G. Aarts, E. Seiler, and I.-O. Stamatescu, “The Complex Langevin method: When can it be trusted?,” *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 054508, 0912.3360.
- [52] G. Aarts, F. A. James, E. Seiler, and I.-O. Stamatescu, “Complex Langevin: Etiology and Diagnostics of its Main Problem,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1756, 1101.3270.
- [53] E. Witten, “Analytic Continuation Of Chern-Simons Theory,” *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.* **50** (2011) 347–446, 1001.2933.
- [54] **AuroraScience** Collaboration, M. Cristoforetti, F. Di Renzo, and L. Scorzato, “New approach to the sign problem in quantum field theories: High density QCD on a Lefschetz thimble,” *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 074506, 1205.3996.

- [55] H. Fujii, D. Honda, M. Kato, Y. Kikukawa, S. Komatsu, and T. Sano, “Hybrid Monte Carlo on Lefschetz thimbles - A study of the residual sign problem,” *JHEP* **10** (2013) 147, 1309.4371.
- [56] T. Kanazawa and Y. Tanizaki, “Structure of Lefschetz thimbles in simple fermionic systems,” *JHEP* **03** (2015) 044, 1412.2802.
- [57] H. Fujii, S. Kamata, and Y. Kikukawa, “Lefschetz thimble structure in one-dimensional lattice Thirring model at finite density,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 078, 1509.08176. [Erratum: JHEP02,036(2016)].
- [58] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, “Argument for justification of the complex Langevin method and the condition for correct convergence,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 11, 114515, 1606.07627.
- [59] M. Fukuma and N. Umeda, “Parallel tempering algorithm for integration over Lefschetz thimbles,” *PTEP* **2017** (2017), no. 7, 073B01, 1703.00861.
- [60] A. Hamilton, D. N. Kabat, G. Lifschytz, and D. A. Lowe, “Holographic representation of local bulk operators,” *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 066009, hep-th/0606141.
- [61] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114, hep-th/9802109.
- [62] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, hep-th/9802150.
- [63] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, A. E. Lawrence, and S. P. Trivedi, “Holographic probes of anti-de Sitter space-times,” *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 104021, hep-th/9808017.
- [64] J. K. Ghosh, E. Kiritsis, F. Nitti, and L. T. Witkowski, “Back-reaction in massless de Sitter QFTs: holography, gravitational DBI action and f(R) gravity,” 2003.09435.
- [65] G. S. Ng and A. Strominger, “State/Operator Correspondence in Higher-Spin dS/CFT,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 104002, 1204.1057.
- [66] H. Geng, “Some Information Theoretic Aspects of De-Sitter Holography,” *JHEP* **02** (2020) 005, 1911.02644.
- [67] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, “Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622, hep-th/0002230.
- [68] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, “Constraining Conformal Field Theories with A Higher Spin Symmetry,” *J. Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214011, 1112.1016.

- [69] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, “Constraining conformal field theories with a slightly broken higher spin symmetry,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 104003, 1204.3882.
- [70] L. Fei, S. Giombi, I. R. Klebanov, and G. Tarnopolsky, “Critical $Sp(N)$ models in 6 dimensions and higher spin dS/CFT,” *JHEP* **09** (2015) 076, 1502.07271.
- [71] S. Giombi, S. Minwalla, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, *et al.*, “Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter,” *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2112, 1110.4386.
- [72] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, “d=3 Bosonic Vector Models Coupled to Chern-Simons Gauge Theories,” *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 037, 1110.4382.
- [73] S. Jain, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, and S. Yokoyama, “Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theories with Vector Matter,” *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 194, 1207.4750.
- [74] M. A. Vasiliev, “Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions,” *Phys.Lett.* **B243** (1990) 378–382.
- [75] A. Karch, “Autolocalization in de Sitter space,” *JHEP* **07** (2003) 050, hep-th/0305192.
- [76] M. Alishahiha, A. Karch, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, “The dS/dS correspondence,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **743** (2004), no. 1, 393–409, hep-th/0407125.
- [77] E. Kiritsis, “Asymptotic freedom, asymptotic flatness and cosmology,” *JCAP* **1311** (2013) 011, 1307.5873.
- [78] D. Das, S. R. Das, and K. Narayan, “dS/CFT at uniform energy density and a de Sitter ‘bluewall’,” *JHEP* **04** (2014) 116, 1312.1625.
- [79] P. Binetruy, E. Kiritsis, J. Mabillard, M. Pieroni, and C. Rosset, “Universality classes for models of inflation,” *JCAP* **1504** (2015) 033, 1407.0820.
- [80] K. Narayan, “Extremal surfaces in de Sitter spacetime,” *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 12, 126011, 1501.03019.