

# Generalized entanglement temperature and entanglement thermodynamics

Ashis Saha <sup>\*a</sup>, Sunandan Gangopadhyay <sup>†b</sup>, Jyoti Prasad Saha <sup>‡a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Theoretical Sciences, S.N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, JD Block, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700106, India

## Abstract

In this paper, we observe that a Smarr like thermodynamic relation is satisfied by the HEE of a gravity background dual to a nonconformal field theory. Such a relation emerges naturally by demanding that the generalized entanglement temperature ( $T_g$ ) produces the exact Hawking temperature as the leading term in the IR limit ( $l \rightarrow \infty$ ). In the UV limit ( $l \rightarrow 0$ ) the leading term of the generalized entanglement temperature ( $T_g$ ) gives the entanglement temperature which is inversely proportional to the subsystem size  $l$ . The results reduces to the existing results in the limiting case of the nonconformal parameter going to zero.

The entropy of a thermodynamical system is fundamentally important as it counts the number of microstates (quantum mechanical information) of the system and is related to internal energy of the concerned system via the first law of thermodynamics  $dE = T dS$ . This thermodynamic law provides a subtle relationship between the amount of quantum mechanical information stored in the system and the macroscopic thermal information of the system. On the other hand, the von Neumann entropy or the entanglement entropy is a good measurement of quantum entanglement for a pure quantum mechanical state [1]-[3]. It has been a matter of great interest to look for a thermodynamic relation for the entanglement entropy of the system when it is excited. Such a relation was first obtained in the context of gauge/gravity correspondence [4, 5], where it was observed that the holographic entanglement entropy (HEE) of a system in the ultra-violet (UV) limit satisfies a thermodynamic like relation [6]. Further this observation led to the concept of entanglement temperature  $T_{ent}$ , which has the universal behaviour of being inversely proportional to the size of the concerned subsystem in the field theory. Interestingly, in [7] a thermodynamic like law was obtained for the HEE in arbitrary dimensions. A generalized entanglement temperature  $T_g$  was defined which reduces to the Hawking temperature in the infra-red (IR) limit and gave the entanglement temperature in the UV limit. This motivate us to look for a thermodynamics like law in a more general class of field theory which do not have conformal symmetry but reduces to a CFT in a certain limit. As mentioned earlier, the principal drawback of the concept of the entanglement temperature lies in the fact that it is valid in the UV limit in the holographic set up and is not able to probe the behaviour in the IR limit. This has led us to define a thermodynamics like law valid for the whole subsystem length. In the holographic scenario, the static minimal surface corresponding to a thermally excited QFT, wraps a portion of the event horizon, capturing flux of the Hawking radiation in the IR limit, and therefore the generalized entanglement temperature defined on the static minimal surface should reduce to the Hawking temperature.

This fairly motivates us to the following analysis to define a generalized temperature on the basis of a thermodynamics like law which is valid along the whole scale for the dual field theory and also which shall reproduce the universal behaviour of the entanglement temperature in the UV limit.

In order to incorporate nonconformality in the dual field theory, we start our analysis by considering a

---

\*sahaashis0007@gmail.com, ashisphys18@klyuniv.ac.in

†sunandan.gangopadhyay@gmail.com, sunandan.gangopadhyay@bose.res.in

‡jyotiprasadsaha@gmail.com

Schwarzschild-type black brane solution in the Einstein-dilaton gravity theory with Liouville potential in  $(d + 1)$  spacetime dimensions. The metric of this gravity theory reads [8]

$$ds^2 = -r^{2p} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^{2p} f(r)} + r^{2p} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} dx_i^2; \quad f(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{r_h}{r}\right)^k. \quad (1)$$

The parameters  $p$  and  $k$  which bear the signature of nonconformality are given by

$$p = \frac{8}{8 + (d-1)\eta^2}; \quad k = \frac{8d - (d-1)\eta^2}{8 + (d-1)\eta^2}. \quad (2)$$

It is worth mentioning that the dilaton in this theory is specified by a logarithmic profile given by  $\phi = \phi_0 - a_0 \log r$ . In the above metric,  $\eta$  is the nonconformal parameter which determines the deviation of the dual field theory from the conformal fixed point. This nonconformal parameter obeys the bound  $\eta < \frac{8d}{d-1}$  [9, 10]. In the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , the above black-brane solution reduces to the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime geometry and correspondingly the conformal symmetry is restored in the boundary field theory.

The Hawking temperature of the black brane reads

$$T_H = \left( \frac{8 + (d-1)\eta^2}{8d + (d-1)\eta^2} \right) \frac{4\pi}{r_h^{\left(\frac{8-(d-1)\eta^2}{8+(d-1)\eta^2}\right)}}. \quad (3)$$

In order to compute the HEE, we first specify the choice of subsystem  $A$  at the boundary. The subsystem  $A$  has a strip geometry specified as  $-\frac{l}{2} < x_1 < \frac{l}{2}$  and  $-\frac{L}{2} < x_{2;3;4;\dots;d-1} < \frac{L}{2}$ . This in turn fixes the volume of the subsystem at the boundary field theory to be  $V = L^{d-2}l$ . The thermal entropy of the boundary field theory or more precisely the amount of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy captured in the above mentioned subsystem volume reads

$$S_{BH} = \frac{L^{d-2}l}{4G_N} r_h^{p(d-1)}. \quad (4)$$

We now move on to compute the area of the static-minimal surface ( $\gamma_A$ ) needed to obtain the HEE via the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula [11, 12]

$$S_{EE} = \frac{A(\gamma_A)}{4G_N}. \quad (5)$$

To proceed, we first write down the area functional

$$A(\gamma_A) = 2L^{d-2} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^0 r^{p(d-1)} \sqrt{1 + \frac{r'^2}{r^{4p} f(r)}} dx_1; \quad r' = \frac{dr}{dx_1}. \quad (6)$$

By extremizing the above area functional to get the static minimal surface and using the RT formula, we obtain the HEE of the Einstein-dilaton black brane to be

$$S_E = \frac{2L^{d-2}}{4G_N} \frac{\Lambda^{p(d-3)+1}}{[p(d-3)+1]} - \frac{2L^{d-2}}{4G_N} \frac{r_t^{p(d-3)+1}}{[p(d-3)+1]} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right] + \frac{2L^{d-2}}{4G_N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left[n + \frac{1}{2}\right]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \frac{\left(\frac{r_h}{r_t}\right)^{nk}}{[2p(d-1)]} \\ \times r_t^{p(d-3)+1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{nk-1-p(d-3)}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2p-1+nk}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right] \quad (7)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a cut-off to prevent the universal divergence of the entanglement entropy of the dual field theory and  $r_t$  is the turning point. The relation between subsystem size ( $l$ ) and the turning point ( $r_t$ ) reads

$$l = \frac{2}{r_t^{2p-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left[n + \frac{1}{2}\right]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \frac{\left(\frac{r_h}{r_t}\right)^{nk}}{[2p(d-1)]} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d+1)+nk-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2pd-1+nk}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right]. \quad (8)$$

In the gauge/gravity set up, the expression in eq.(7) corresponds to the entanglement entropy of a thermally excited pure state in a  $d$ -dimensional field theory. On a similar note, the entanglement entropy of the ground state in a  $d$ -dimensional field theory can be holographically computed by using the asymptotic form of the black brane given in eq.(1). The metric corresponding to the asymptotic geometry of the Einstein-dilaton black brane reads

$$ds^2 = -r^{2p} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^{2p}} + r^{2p} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} dx_i^2 . \quad (9)$$

The HEE of this geometry reads

$$S_G = \frac{2L^{d-2}}{4G_N} \frac{\Lambda^{p(d-3)+1}}{[p(d-3)+1]} - \frac{2L^{d-2}}{4G_N} \frac{\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{l}\right)^{\frac{p(d-3)+1}{2p-1}}}{[p(d-3)+1]} \left(\frac{1}{2p-1}\right)^{\frac{p(d-3)+1}{2p-1}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right]^{\frac{p(d-1)}{2p-1}} . \quad (10)$$

The expressions given in eq.(s)(7), (10) enables us to holographically compute the change in the entanglement entropy due to thermal excitation of the dual field theory. We call this this change in the HEE as the renormalized HEE ( $S_{REE}$ ) since it is a finite quantity. This reads

$$S_{REE} = S_E - S_G . \quad (11)$$

Now we know that the black holes and black branes are thermodynamical systems and the first law of black hole thermodynamics reads [13, 14]

$$dE = T_H dS_{BH} . \quad (12)$$

This leads to the following change in the internal energy due to the formation of black brane in the Einstein-dilaton gravity

$$E = \int_0^{r_h} T_H dS_{BH} = \left[ \frac{8(d-1)}{8d - (d-1)\eta^2} \right] T_H S_{BH} . \quad (13)$$

This is the Smarr relation. Keeping the above relationship in mind in the context of black hole thermodynamics, we define a thermodynamics like law in the context of entanglement entropy by using the above expression of internal energy ( $E$ ) and the renormalized HEE ( $S_{REE}$ ). We also introduce a generalized temperature  $T_g$  which is valid for all possible values of the subsystem size  $l$ . This is defined as

$$\frac{1}{T_g} \equiv \lambda \frac{S_{REE}}{E} \quad (14)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a proportionality constant which we shall fix later by demanding that  $T_g$  yields the Hawking temperature of the black hole in the IR limit. The justification for demanding this condition is the following. In the IR limit ( $l \rightarrow \infty$ ) the static minimal surface wraps a portion of the event horizon of the black hole in the bulk, which suggests that in the  $l \rightarrow \infty$  limit  $T_g$  must reduce to the Hawking temperature  $T_H$  of the black brane. On the other hand, in the  $l \rightarrow 0$  limit,  $T_g$  would yield the entanglement temperature of the boundary field theory. In the  $l \rightarrow \infty$  limit, eq.(14) reads

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \lambda \left[ \frac{8d - (d-1)\eta^2}{8(d-1)} \right] \frac{1}{T_H} \left[ 1 + \frac{\Xi_1}{lr_h^{\left(\frac{8-(d-1)\eta^2}{8+(d-1)\eta^2}\right)}} + \frac{\Xi_2}{r_h^{p(d-1)} l^{\frac{p(d-1)}{2p-1}}} \right] \quad (15)$$

where  $\Xi_1$  and  $\Xi_2$  are given by

$$\Xi_1 = \frac{\left( \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d-1)-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right)}{[p(d-3)+1]} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{p(d-1)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2pd-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right] + \frac{1}{p(d-1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left[n + \frac{1}{2}\right]}{\Gamma[n+1]} \left[ \frac{p(d-1)}{nk - p(d-3) - 1} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{p(d+1)+nk-1}{2p(d-1)}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{2pd-1+nk}{2p(d-1)}\right]} \right] \quad (16)$$

$$\Xi_2 = \frac{2^{\frac{p(d-1)}{2p-1}} \pi^{\frac{p(d-3)+1}{2(2p-1)}}}{[p(d-3)+1]} \left( \frac{1}{2p-1} \right)^{\frac{p(d-3)+1}{2p-1}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right]^{\frac{p(d-1)}{2p-1}}. \quad (17)$$

We now demand that  $T_g$  would reduce to the Hawking temperature in the  $l \rightarrow \infty$  limit. This fixes the value of the proportionality constant  $\lambda$  to be

$$\lambda = \frac{8(d-1)}{8d - (d-1)\eta^2}. \quad (18)$$

It is worth mentioning that the terms with coefficient  $\Xi_1$  and  $\Xi_2$  are smaller in magnitude in the large  $l$  limit as they scale with the inverse of the subsystem size  $l$ . These terms are the correction terms and bear the signature of the short distance correlation along the entangling surface in the dual field theory. Fixing the value of the proportionality constant  $\lambda$  in eq.(15), we get

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \frac{1}{T_H} [1 + \text{correction terms}]. \quad (19)$$

The form of the thermodynamics like law for the HEE given in eq.(14) therefore reads

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \left[ \frac{8(d-1)}{8d - (d-1)\eta^2} \right] \frac{S_{REE}}{E}. \quad (20)$$

It is very interesting to observe that the Smarr relation in context of black hole thermodynamics (given in eq.(13)), holds in case of the HEE and reads

$$E = \left[ \frac{8(d-1)}{8d - (d-1)\eta^2} \right] T_g S_{REE}. \quad (21)$$

It is reassuring to note that  $\eta = 0$ , we recover the result obtained in [7]

$$\frac{1}{T_g} = \left( \frac{d-1}{d} \right) \frac{S_{REE}}{E}. \quad (22)$$

Now we move on to the UV limit ( $l \rightarrow 0$ ). In this limit,  $T_g$  shall produces the entanglement temperature. This reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_g} &= \left( \frac{2\pi^{3/2}}{2p+k-1} \right) \left( \frac{2p-1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p-1}} \left( \frac{8 + (d-1)\eta^2}{8d + (d-1)\eta^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1+k}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right]^{\frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p-1}} l^{\frac{k-p(d-1)}{2p-1}} \\ &\times \left[ 1 + \Xi_3 \left( r_h l^{\frac{1}{2p-1}} \right)^k \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\Xi_3$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_3 &= \left( \frac{2p-1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{c}{2p-1}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right]^{\frac{k}{2p-1}} \times \left[ \left( \frac{p-1}{2p+k-1} \right) \left( \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \right. \\ &- \left( \frac{a(d-3)}{2(2p+k-1)} \right) \left( \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] - \frac{(k-p(d-3)-1)^2 (2p+k-1)}{(2p(d-1))^2} \\ &\left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{p(d+1)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] - \frac{3(2p+k-1)}{2(2p+2k-1)} \left( \frac{2k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right) \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+2k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \\ &\left. + \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{2p+k-1}{p(d-1)} \right) \left[ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2k-p(d-3)-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{2p+2k-1}{2p(d-1)} \right]} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

Eq.(23) shows that the leading term of the generalized temperature  $T_g$  defined on the basis of the corrected thermodynamics like law in the landscape of entanglement entropy (given in eq.(20)), firmly produces the well-known inverse of the subsystem size characteristics in the UV domain. The next term is the sub-leading correction to the entanglement temperature in the small  $l$  limit. This thermodynamic like relation provides a generalized temperature  $T_g$  which reduces to the exact Hawking temperature  $T_H$  in the IR limit. This relation also holographically probes the initiation of thermalization in the dual field theory as we move from UV domain to the IR domain. In [7] we have also graphically represented the flow of the generalized entanglement temperature  $T_g$  with respect to the subsystem size  $l$ . The observation of flow diagram reveals the initiation of the thermalization in the dual field theory at a certain value  $l_c$  of the subsystem size.

We now summarize our findings. We find a Smarr like thermodynamic relation in the context of holographic entanglement thermodynamics. This leads to the concept of a generalized entanglement temperature  $T_g$  for a gravity background dual to a nonconformal field theory which produces the exact Hawking temperature  $T_H$  as the leading term in the IR limit ( $l \rightarrow \infty$ ) and is proportional to the inverse of the subsystem size  $l$  in the UV limit ( $l \rightarrow 0$ ). The way in which  $T_g$  is introduced is quite different from the concept of the entanglement temperature  $T_{ent}$ , defined and valid only in the UV limit. The thermodynamics like law which defines the entanglement temperature  $T_{ent}$  produces the Hawking temperature  $T_H$  upto a multiplicative constant factor in the IR limit [7]. We also observe that the Smarr-like thermodynamic relation satisfied by the holographic entanglement entropy is exactly similar to the Smarr relation of black hole thermodynamics.

## Acknowledgements

A.S. would like to acknowledge the support by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR, Govt. of India) for Junior Research Fellowship. S.G. acknowledges the support of the Visiting Associateship programme of IUCAA, Pune. J.P.S. would like to acknowledge the support by University of Kalyani for PRG.

## References

- [1] M. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, “*Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*”, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [2] P. Calabrese and J.L. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. 0406 (2004).
- [3] H Casini and M Huerta, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 504007 (2009).
- [4] J.M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998).
- [5] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998).
- [6] J. Bhattacharya, M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi, and T. Ugajin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 091602 (2013).
- [7] A. Saha, S. Gangopadhyay and J.P. Saha, Phys. Rev. D 100, 106008 (2019).
- [8] C. Park, Adv. High Energy Phys. 389541 (2013).
- [9] S.S. Gubser, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. vol. 4, 3, (2000).
- [10] B. Gouteraux and E. Kiritsis, JHEP 12 (2011) 036.
- [11] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 181602 (2006).
- [12] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, JHEP 08 (2006) 045.
- [13] J.M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 31, 2 (1973).
- [14] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D. 7, 8 (1973).