

Linear and Riccati equations in generating functions for stellar models in general relativity

B. V. Ivanov

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract It is shown that the expressions for the tangential pressure, the anisotropy factor and the radial pressure in the Einstein equations may serve as generating functions for stellar models. The latter can incorporate an equation of state when the expression for the energy density is also used. Other generating functions are based on the condition for the existence of conformal motion (conformal flatness in particular) and the Karmarkar condition for embedding class one metrics. In all these cases the equations are linear first order differential equations for one of the metric components and Riccati equations for the other. The latter may be always transformed into second order homogenous linear differential equations. These conclusions are illustrated by numerous particular examples from the study of stellar models.

1 Introduction

Gravitation is governed by the Einstein equations of general relativity in the simplest case. Unlike the Maxwell equations, which are linear, the Einstein equations are a system of highly non-linear differential second order equations in partial derivatives. They are simplified for systems with symmetry. In astrophysics spherical symmetry is usually used, which reduces in the static case the differential equations to ordinary ones and the derivatives are with respect to the radius. The metric is diagonal with just two components. In canonical comoving coordinates there are three equations for five unknowns - the two metric potentials and the three diagonal components of the energy-momentum tensor T_{ab} , namely, the energy density μ , the radial and the tangential pressures p_r and p_t . Thus the fluid is anisotropic, which is backed by arguments for compact objects with very high density [1] and by a number of other reasons [2], [3].

B.V.Ivanov
Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy,
Bulgarian Academy of Science,
Tzarigradsko Shausse 72, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria
E-mail: boykovi@gmail.com

On one side these equations present expressions for the components of the energy-momentum tensor. On the other side the metric potentials enter in a rather involved way as they are obtained from the Ricci tensor and scalar. The equations remain non-linear for the metric. In 1983, however, Durgapal and Banerjee [4] showed that in the perfect fluid case the Einstein equations are linear of first order for a function of g_{11} and the equations for p_t and the anisotropy factor are linear of second order for a function of g_{00} . Later, these findings were generalised for anisotropic fluid [5], but the reason for this simplification remains unclear.

There is a well-known generating function for stellar solutions with g_{00} and the anisotropy factor as potentials [6]. It is based on the equation for the latter, which is a difference of two of the Einstein equations. Are there other generating functions based on the field equations? What is the role of an equation of state (EOS)? Does it simplify or complicate the problem? Are there any common features between the field equations and other ways to generate a solution, like conformal flatness, conformal motion or the possibility to embed the spacetime in a flat 5-dimensional spacetime, inspired by string and brane models?

The present paper tries to answer these questions in a systematic way. We shall not discuss the many conditions for physical viability of the solution, but concentrate on the mathematical issues that the above questions invoke and back them with concrete examples from the literature in the corresponding section.

In Sect. 2 the field equations are given, as well as some characteristics of the model and the equations for the anisotropy factor, the existence of conformal motion or flatness in particular, and the Karmarkar condition. In Sect. 3 we give the three types of differential equations that are used in the following and list some of their properties. In Sect. 4 a generating function, based on the expression for the radial pressure is discussed. When an EOS is imposed, the expression for the energy density is also necessary. Sect. 5 gives generating function based on the expressions for the tangential pressure. The well-known generating function, based on the anisotropy factor, is recovered. In Sect. 6 we discuss in detail generating functions based on the condition for the existence of conformal motion or conformal flatness. Sect. 7 deals with spacetimes of embedding class one and their relation to the previous cases. Sect. 8 gives some arguments for the appearance of an important structure in the equations. Sect. 9 contains some discussion.

2 Field equations and definitions

The interior of static spherically symmetric stars is described by the canonical line element

$$ds^2 = e^\nu c^2 dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (1)$$

where λ and ν depend only on the radial coordinate r . The coordinates are numbered as $x^0 = t$, $x^1 = r$, $x^2 = \theta$ and $x^3 = \varphi$. The Einstein equations read

$$k\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} - \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{r} \right) e^{-\lambda}, \quad (2)$$

$$kp_r = -\frac{1}{r^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) + \frac{\nu'}{r} e^{-\lambda}, \quad (3)$$

$$kp_t = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{4} \left(2\nu'' + \nu'^2 + \frac{2\nu'}{r} - \nu'\lambda' - \frac{2\lambda'}{r} \right), \quad (4)$$

where μ is the energy density, p_r is the radial pressure, p_t is the tangential one, $'$ means a radial derivative and

$$k = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}. \quad (5)$$

Here G is the gravitational constant and c is the speed of light. We use relativistic units with $G = 1 = c$. Then $k = 8\pi$.

The gravitational mass in a sphere of radius r is given by

$$m = 4\pi \int_0^r \mu(\omega) \omega^2 d\omega. \quad (6)$$

Then Eq. (2) yields

$$e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}. \quad (7)$$

The compactness of the star u is defined by

$$u = \frac{m}{r} \quad (8)$$

The redshift Z depends on ν :

$$Z(r) = e^{-\nu/2} - 1. \quad (9)$$

The field equations do not contain ν , but its first and second derivative. It is related to the four-acceleration a_1 , namely $2a_1 = \nu'$.

As a whole, we have three field equations for five unknown functions: λ, ν, μ, p_r and p_t . We can choose freely two of them, but the model will be physically realistic if a number of regularity, matching and stability requirements are satisfied too.

Different conditions may be imposed on the system of Einstein equations. One of them is the existence of an equation of state (EOS) $p_r = f(\mu)$.

It is useful to introduce the anisotropic factor $\Delta = p_t - p_r$. It measures the anisotropy of the fluid. Eqs. (3, 4) give

$$-8\pi\Delta = e^{-\lambda} \left(-\frac{\nu''}{2} - \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\nu'}{2r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda'}{2} \left(\frac{\nu'}{2} + \frac{1}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2}. \quad (10)$$

When $\Delta = 0$ the fluid becomes perfect and all pressures are equal.

Another requirement is conformally flat spacetime. It happens when its Weyl tensor vanishes. One can encompass this in the requirement that a Killing vector \mathbf{K} exists. Then the following equation has to be satisfied

$$L_{\mathbf{K}}g_{ab} = 2\psi g_{ab}, \quad (11)$$

where $L_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the Lie derivative operator and $\psi(t, r)$ is the conformal factor. This implies the equation [5]

$$2\nu'' + \nu'^2 = \nu'\lambda' + \frac{2\nu'}{r} - \frac{2\lambda'}{r} + \frac{4}{r^2} (1 + s) e^\lambda - \frac{4}{r^2}, \quad (12)$$

where s is a constant of integration. The spacetime is conformally flat when $s = 0$.

In recent years spacetimes, which are embeddings of class one, have been widely discussed. They can be embedded in a 5-dimensional flat spacetime. This requires a condition between the components of the Riemann tensor [7]

$$R_{1010}R_{2323} - R_{1212}R_{3030} = R_{1220}R_{1330}. \quad (13)$$

It transforms into a differential equation for λ and ν :

$$2\frac{\nu''}{\nu'} + \nu' = \frac{\lambda'e^\lambda}{e^\lambda - 1}. \quad (14)$$

3 Types of equations

We shall show that Eqs (2, 3, 4, 10, 12) are linear with respect to $y = e^{-\lambda}$ while Eq (14) is linear for $y = e^\lambda$. Eq (2) does not contain a_1 , while Eq (3) gives an expression for it. The others belong to the types of equations, discussed below with respect to a_1 . They may be transformed into linear equations for $u = e^{\nu/2}$. Therefore we give some properties of these types of equations [8]. Except for the constants C and n , the other letters designate functions of r .

1) Linear equation. It reads

$$gy' = f_1y + f_0. \quad (15)$$

It is integrable in quadratures and its general solution is

$$y = Ce^{F} + e^F \int e^{-F} \frac{f_0}{g} dr, \quad F = \int \frac{f_1}{g} dr. \quad (16)$$

2) Bernoulli equation. It reads

$$gy' = f_1y + f_ny^n. \quad (17)$$

It turns into a linear equation for $w = y^{1-n}$

$$gw' = (1-n)f_1w + (1-n)f_n \quad (18)$$

and is soluble in general. Using (16) its solution becomes

$$y^{1-n} = Ce^F + (1-n)e^F \int e^{-F} \frac{f_n}{g} dr, \quad F = (1-n) \int \frac{f_1}{g} dr. \quad (19)$$

3) Riccati equation. It is given by

$$gy' = f_2y^2 + f_1y + f_0 \quad (20)$$

and has no general solution. When $f_2 = 0$ it turns into a linear equation. When $f_0 = 0$ it becomes a Bernoulli equation with $n = 2$, so that $1/y$ satisfies a linear equation. Every Riccati equation may be transformed into a canonical form with $f_1 = 0$. There is a general solution for the Riccati equation when one particular solution y_0 is known:

$$y = y_0 + F \left(C - \int F \frac{f_2}{g} dr \right)^{-1}, \quad F = \exp \int (2f_2y_0 + f_1) \frac{dr}{g}. \quad (21)$$

This formula simplifies when two or more particular solutions are known.

This equation may be transformed into a second-order homogenous linear equation for u when the following substitution is made

$$u = \exp\left(-\int \frac{f_2}{g} y dr\right). \quad (22)$$

Namely, Eq. (20) becomes

$$g^2 f_2 u'' + g [f_2 g' - g f_2' - f_1 f_2] u' + f_0 f_2^2 u = 0. \quad (23)$$

In the case $f_2 = -g$ Eqs. (22, 23) simplify considerably

$$u = \exp \int y dr, \quad (24)$$

$$f_2 u'' + f_1 u' + f_0 u = 0. \quad (25)$$

The substitution $y = u'/u$ leads back to Eq (20).

4 The energy density and the radial pressure

Eq (2) for the energy density does not contain a_1 . It is linear with respect to $y = e^{-\lambda}$ and can be written as

$$r y' = -y + 1 - 8\pi\mu r^2. \quad (26)$$

When solved, it yields Eq (7) with the mass given by Eq (6). Eq (7) becomes

$$y = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}. \quad (27)$$

Any equation, linear in y may be transformed into an equation, linear in m with the use of the above formula.

Eq (3) for the radial pressure may be written as

$$8\pi p_r r^2 = (2a_1 r + 1) y - 1. \quad (28)$$

It may be regarded as an expression for p_r or y

$$y = \frac{8\pi p_r r^2 + 1}{2ra_1 + 1} \quad (29)$$

or a_1

$$2a_1 = \nu' = \frac{8\pi p_r r^2 + 1 - y}{ry}. \quad (30)$$

The potential ν is found by a simple quadrature.

Thus, Eq (28), which contains p_r , y and a_1 , is the simplest generating function for any of them, when the other two are known. In some papers ansatze for y and p_r were chosen [9], [10], [11], [12]. One may also choose p_r and μ , because most of the regularity conditions are imposed on them, and find after that y from Eqs (6, 27). Then λ and ν are found, which give the remaining characteristics of the model [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19].

An EOS can be incorporated in this scheme, $p_r = f(\mu)$ or

$$2rya_1 = 1 - y + 8\pi r^2 f\left(-\frac{ry' + y - 1}{8\pi r^2}\right), \quad (31)$$

which follows from Eqs (26, 28). Obviously, the resulting equation is not linear in y in general, but still may be solvable by choosing an ansatz for y . Anyway, its an expression for a_1 in terms of y and is a relation between the metric potentials. Quadratic EOS $p_r = a\mu^2 + b\mu + c$ have been used in [20], [21], [22], [23], [24]. The polytropic EOS $p_r = \kappa\mu^{1+1/N}$ with κ a constant and N the polytropic index was studied in [25], [26]. The modified Van der Waals EOS $p_r = \alpha\mu^2 + \frac{\gamma\mu}{1+\beta\mu}$ was assumed in [27] and [28] ($a, b, c, \kappa, N, \alpha, \beta$ are constants). A nonlinear EOS in the framework of colour superconductivity was used in [29], together with a given mass function or energy density profile. One can find y from them using Eq (7) and then the other characteristics.

A special case is the linear EOS (LEOS) $p_r = a\mu - b$ with constant $0 \leq a \leq 1$ and the bag constant $b \geq 0$, which includes also the case $p_r = 0$. Eq (31) becomes

$$2rya_1 = (a + 1)(1 - y) - ray' - 8\pi br^2. \quad (32)$$

It is also a linear equation for y

$$ray' = -(2ra_1 + a + 1)y + a + 1 - 8\pi br^2. \quad (33)$$

Eq (16) gives in this case a singular e^F for $r = 0$, hence $C = 0$. Then the solution is

$$y = \frac{\int (a + 1 - 8\pi br^2) (re^\nu)^{1/a} dr}{a (r^{a+1} e^\nu)^{1/a}}. \quad (34)$$

In the literature y is given and a_1 is found from Eq (32) [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39]. Due to Eqs (6, 7) one finds quickly y from μ or m . Solutions with LEOS and known μ are given in [40], [41], [42] and [43]. Solutions with LEOS and known m are given in [44], [45], [46], [47].

5 The tangential pressure and the anisotropic factor

Eq (4) is an expression for p_t and can be written as a linear equation for y

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(a_1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) y' = - \left(a_1' + a_1^2 + \frac{a_1}{r} \right) y + 8\pi p_t. \quad (35)$$

Its solution from Eq (16) reads

$$y = e^F \left(C + 16\pi \int z e^\nu e^{2 \int \frac{dr}{r^2 z}} p_t dr \right), \quad (36)$$

where

$$a_1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\nu'}{2} + \frac{1}{r} \equiv z, \quad (37)$$

$$e^F = z^{-2} e^{-\nu} e^{-2 \int \frac{dr}{r^2 z}} \quad (38)$$

Due to Eq (27) it is also a linear equation with respect to the mass.

Eq (4) is the only Einstein equation that is also a Riccati equation for a_1

$$ya'_1 = -ya_1^2 - \left(\frac{y}{r} + \frac{y'}{2}\right)a_1 - \frac{y'}{2r} + 8\pi p_t \quad (39)$$

and may be solved for particular choices of y and p_t . It can be transformed into a linear second order homogenous differential equation following Eqs (24, 25)

$$yu'' + \left(\frac{y}{r} + \frac{y'}{2}\right)u' + \left(\frac{y'}{2r} - 8\pi p_t\right)u = 0, \quad (40)$$

where

$$u = e^{\nu/2}. \quad (41)$$

Sometimes it may be solved easier than the original Riccati equation, since many special functions are defined by such equations. It remains in the same time linear (and integrable) first order equation for $y = e^{-\lambda}$ or m . It can be called a double linear equation. This equation was found in [4], where it is divided by u and the variable r^2 is used instead of r . This is not necessary.

Thus, like p_r , the expression (4) for p_t may be considered as a generating function for stellar models, when two of the quantities p_t , y (or m) and a_1 are known. It simplifies in the case $p_t = 0$ as seen from Eq (35).

The generating functions based on Δ are found in a similar way. Eq (10) is linear with respect to y (or m) and may be rewritten as

$$\left(a_1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)y' = -2\left(a'_1 + a_1^2 - \frac{a_1}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)y - 2\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - 8\pi\Delta\right). \quad (42)$$

After some transformations it becomes

$$y' = -2\left(\frac{z'}{z} + z - \frac{3}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2z}\right)y - \frac{2}{z}\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - 8\pi\Delta\right). \quad (43)$$

This is exactly Eq (8) from [6], which may be integrated. The result

$$y = r^6 z^{-2} e^{-\int(\frac{4}{r^2z} + 2z)dr} \left(C - 2 \int r^{-8} z (1 - 8\pi\Delta r^2) e^{\int(\frac{4}{r^2z} + 2z)dr} dr\right) \quad (44)$$

is the same as in [6], when the different definition of their Δ is taken into account. The generating potentials are Δ and z , the second, due to Eq (37), is equivalent to a_1 . This generating function encompasses the important case of perfect fluid $\Delta = 0$, when the formula (44) has been found earlier [48]. These potentials have been used in [49], [50], [51], [52]. Models with perfect fluid have been discussed recently [53], [54], [55].

However, Eq (42) is also a Riccati one for a_1 , the Riccati structure $a'_1 + a_1^2$ being brought in Δ by p_t . It can be written as

$$2ya'_1 = -2ya_1^2 + \left(\frac{2y}{r} - y'\right)a_1 + \frac{2y - 2 - ry'}{r^2} + 16\pi\Delta \quad (45)$$

and solved for particular Δ and y . Finally, it can be linearised following Eqs (24, 25) into

$$-2yu'' + \left(\frac{2y}{r} - y'\right)u' + \left(\frac{2y - 2 - ry'}{r^2} + 16\pi\Delta\right)u = 0, \quad (46)$$

where u is given by Eq (41). Thus, once again, Eq (46) is doubly linear, like Eq (40). In total, Eq (10) is a generating function for stellar models, when two of the quantities Δ , y (or m) and a_1 are known. The differential equations for y and u are linear. Eq (46) has been used in [56], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64], [65], [66].

It is well-known that the Newtonian polytropes satisfy the non-linear Lane-Emden equation for perfect or anisotropic fluids [67]. It is integrable for just a few values of its parameter. This equation has an extension in general relativity for isotropic and anisotropic fluids, based on the TOV equation, which is even more intricate [68], [69], [70], [71]. We have seen in Sect. 4 that fluids with EOS are treated in a much simpler way when Eqs (2, 3) are taken as generating functions. Thus Newtonian mechanics, where the metric is flat, seems to be more complicated than general relativity for building of stellar models.

The simplest way to generate solutions is to choose independently the two generating potentials λ and ν . This works when the fluid is anisotropic in general. Such models were discussed in [72], [73], [74], [75], [76], [77], [78], [79], [80].

Some important stellar models require a relation between the two metric potentials, reducing the generating functions to one. For example this is the case of perfect fluid, when in Eq. (10) $\Delta = 0$. One may say that then the metric "admits" a perfect fluid as a source. Models with EOS also lead to such relations, see Eqs (31, 32, 33). Two similar examples are discussed in the next two sections.

6 Spacetimes admitting conformal motion

The metric potentials of such spacetimes satisfy Eq (12). In [5] this equation is solved by a series of transformations and adding terms. Somewhat surprisingly, it is also a linear equation in y (or m) and a Riccati equation for a_1 . It can be written as

$$\left(\frac{1}{r} - a_1\right) y' = 2 \left(a_1' + a_1^2 - \frac{a_1}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) y - \frac{2(1+s)}{r^2} \quad (47)$$

or

$$2ya_1' = -2ya_1^2 + \left(\frac{2y}{r} - y'\right) a_1 + \frac{y'}{r} + \frac{2(1+s) - 2y}{r^2}. \quad (48)$$

Let us discuss the linear equation first, which is always integrable. In this particular case the integrals are expressed by simple functions.

The field equations give an expression for ν'

$$\nu' = \frac{krp_r + 2m/r^2}{1 - 2m/r}. \quad (49)$$

The regularity properties show that $a_1 \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $1/r - a_1$ is positive there. Then Eq (16) gives

$$e^F = \frac{1}{e^\nu \left(\frac{1}{r} - a_1\right)^2} \quad (50)$$

and

$$y = e^F \left[C + (1+s) \frac{e^\nu}{r^2} \right], \quad (51)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant of integration. Passing to λ and ν we get

$$\frac{e^\lambda}{r^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{r} - \nu'\right)^2}{1 + s + Cr^2e^{-\nu}}. \quad (52)$$

This formula expresses λ in terms of ν . Near the centre of the star the l.h.s. goes to $+\infty$, while the r.h.s. goes to $\infty/(1+s)$. Hence, $1+s \geq 0$. This includes the conformally flat case $s=0$.

Eq (52) may be inverted as an expression of ν through λ . For this purpose we integrate it and obtain

$$2 \int \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{r} dr = \int \frac{dX}{X\sqrt{1+s+CX}}, \quad X = r^2e^{-\nu}. \quad (53)$$

The right integral is given in elementary functions and when $1+s > 0$,

$$\exp\left(C_1 + 2\sqrt{1+s} \int \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{r} dr\right) = Z, \quad Z = \left| \frac{(1+s+CX)^{1/2} - (1+s)^{1/2}}{(1+s+CX)^{1/2} + (1+s)^{1/2}} \right|. \quad (54)$$

Obviously $Z < 1$.

When $C < 0$ one has

$$\frac{CX}{1+s} = \frac{-4Z}{(1+Z)^2} \quad (55)$$

and

$$e^{\nu/2} = \sqrt{\frac{-C}{1+s}} r \cosh\left(\sqrt{1+s} \int \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{r} dr + \frac{C_1}{2}\right), \quad (56)$$

which is the solution used in [91]. Comparing it to the solution in [5], we have

$$Ae^x + Be^{-x} = c_1 \cosh(x + c_2), \quad (57)$$

where x denotes the bracket in Eq (56). This is true only when A, B have the same sign.

When $C > 0$ one has

$$\frac{CX}{1+s} = \frac{4Z}{(1-Z)^2} \quad (58)$$

and

$$e^{\nu/2} = -\sqrt{\frac{C}{1+s}} r \sinh\left(\sqrt{1+s} \int \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{r} dr + \frac{C_1}{2}\right). \quad (59)$$

This solution corresponds to the case when A and B differ in sign.

When $1+s=0$ Eq (52) gives

$$e^{\nu/2} = -\sqrt{C} r \left(\int \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{r} dr + \frac{C_1}{2} \right), \quad (60)$$

which coincides with the solution in [5].

Thus, Eqs (52, 56, 59, 60) may be considered as generating functions for space-times admitting conformal motion. Such solutions have been studied also in [81], [82], [83], [84], [85], [86], [87]. Conformally flat solutions are discussed in [88], [89], [90], [91], [92], [93].

Eq (47) may be written as a Riccati equation for a_1

$$2ya_1' = -2ya_1^2 + \left(\frac{2y}{r} - y'\right) a_1 + \frac{y'}{r} + \frac{2(1+s) - 2y}{r^2}. \quad (61)$$

Once again $g = -f_2$ in Eq (20), so it may be transformed into a linear equation, analogous to Eq (25)

$$-2yu'' + \left(\frac{2y}{r} - y'\right) u' + \left(\frac{y'}{r} + \frac{2(1+k) - 2y}{r^2}\right) u = 0, \quad (62)$$

where u is given by Eq (24).

7 Spacetimes of embedding class one

The Karmarkar condition Eq (14) may be written as

$$a_1' = -a_1^2 + [\ln(q-1)]' \frac{a_1}{2}, \quad (63)$$

where $q = 1/y = e^\lambda$. The Riccati structure from the previous sections emerges once again, but there is no free term. Thus, the would be Riccati equation becomes a Bernoulli one (see Eq (17)) with $n = 2$ and is integrable. According to Eq (18) it is a linear equation with respect to $w = 1/a_1$

$$w' = -[\ln(q-1)]' \frac{w}{2} + 1. \quad (64)$$

On the other hand, Eq (63) may be rewritten as a linear equation for q

$$\frac{a_1}{2} q' = (a_1' + a_1^2) q - (a_1' + a_1^2). \quad (65)$$

Replacing in it $y = 1/q$ we obtain a Bernoulli equation for y with $n = 2$

$$-\frac{a_1}{2} y' = (a_1' + a_1^2) y - (a_1' + a_1^2) y^2. \quad (66)$$

We may call Eq (14) a double Bernoulli for a_1 and y and a double linear for w and q . All these equations are solvable. Their integration may be done directly, without using the general formulas and we obtain the well-known results

$$e^\lambda = C\nu'^2 e^\nu + 1, \quad (67)$$

$$e^\nu = \left(A + B \int \sqrt{e^\lambda - 1} dr \right)^2. \quad (68)$$

where A, B, C are integration constants.

We can use Eq (7) to express λ through the mass m and then Eq (68) to express ν through m . Hence, the line element (1) depends only on m , which through Eq (6) is given by the energy density μ , i.e. a component of the energy-momentum tensor. This was done recently in [94], using the formalism of complex scalars in the static case, albeit in different notation. The same operation may be repeated in the case with conformal motion, using Eqs (7, 56, 59, 60). However, the problem is not simplified.

Embedding class one solutions have been studied extensively in the last years. Usually an ansatz for e^λ is given, but e^ν is used instead sometimes. There are solutions with polynomial metric [95], [96], [97], [98], [99], [100], [101], [102], [103], [104], rational metric [105], [106], [107], [108], [109], [110], [111], [112], [113], exponential one [114], [115], with trigonometric functions [116], [117], [118], [119], [120], [121], [122], [123], [124], [125], [126] hyperbolic [127], [128], [129], [130], [131]. Special functions are also used like the hypergeometric one [132] and the error function [133].

8 On the origin of the Riccati structure

The presence of the combination $a'_1 + a_1^2$ in all the generating functions, discussed in the previous sections, requires some explanation. Let us start with the Riemann tensor for spherically symmetric static spacetimes. Its only components, written in the notation of the present work are [121]

$$R_{1010} = -e^\nu \left(a'_1 + a_1^2 - \frac{\lambda'}{2} a_1 \right), \quad (69)$$

$$R_{3030} = -e^{\nu-\lambda} a_1 r \sin^2 \theta, \quad (70)$$

$$R_{1212} = -\frac{r}{2} \lambda', \quad (71)$$

$$R_{2323} = -\left(1 - e^{-\lambda} \right) r^2 \sin^2 \theta. \quad (72)$$

Only R_{1010} contains the Riccati structure and it enters the Karmarkar condition (13). This explains its appearance there. The r.h.s. of Eq (13) vanishes, while all terms on the l.h.s. contain a_1 , a'_1 or a_1^2 . Therefore there is no free term and the Riccati equation is truncated to a Bernoulli one.

The field equations follow from the well-known formula

$$G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ab} = k T_{ab}, \quad (73)$$

where R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor, R is the Ricci scalar, G_{ab} is the Einstein tensor, g_{ab} is the metric and T_{ab} is the energy-momentum tensor. We have the contractions

$$R_{ab} = R^c_{acb}, \quad R = R^c_c. \quad (74)$$

Both R_{00} and R_{11} include the term R_{1010} and hence, the Riccati structure. However, they enter also R and this structure cancels in G_{00} and G_{11} . Thus μ and p_r do not contain it, but p_t does. It also enters Δ through the tangential pressure.

Finally, let us discuss spacetimes with conformal motion [5]. The Weyl tensor C_{abcd} has in general a very complicated expression. In the static spherically symmetric case all its components are proportional to an object Γ , which includes $a'_1 + a_1^2$. Eq (11) can be transformed into

$$L_{\mathbf{K}} C^a_{bcd} = 0, \quad (75)$$

which is written as

$$\Gamma = \frac{e^{2\lambda}}{r^2} s. \quad (76)$$

This equation is equivalent to Eq (12) or (47).

Thus, we have traced formally the appearance of the Riccati structure, starting with R_{1010} .

9 Discussion

In this paper we have studied the existence of generating functions, giving solutions for stellar models. The general results are backed by concrete examples from the literature. We have shown that the field equations for the radial pressure, the tangential pressure and the anisotropy factor may be used as generating functions when two of the three characteristics of the model in any of them are known. The equation for Δ was used in [6] to obtain λ when ν and Δ are given. It becomes a generating function for perfect fluid models when $\Delta = 0$. We have shown that Eq (4) for the tangential pressure can play a similar role. The simplest generating function is based on Eq (3) for the radial pressure, which is an expression for p_r , $a_1 = \nu'/2$, or $y = e^{-\lambda}$ without solving any equations. Eq (2) for the energy density cannot be used as a generating function, because it does not contain ν . However, when there is an EOS, the combination of Eqs (2) and (3) works as a generating function, producing a relation between the two metric potentials.

This approach is greatly simplified, because it turns out that the field equations are linear first order differential equations for y and Riccati equations for the four-acceleration a_1 . The first are always integrable in quadratures, while the second are integrable in many particular cases. There is a formula for their general solution when a particular solution is known. They also may be transformed into linear homogenous differential equations of second order for $u = e^{\nu/2}$, thus being the "missing link" between the original form of the Einstein equations and their linear version, which appears out of nowhere in [4]. Eq (7) shows that the mass m also satisfies a linear equation and may replace y .

Of course, the simplest generating potentials are λ and ν . There are physical reasons that sometimes impose a relation between them. This happens when an EOS exists.

A second important case is that of spacetimes admitting conformal motion (which includes the case of conformal flatness of the metric). The surprising fact is that this relation is also a linear differential equation for y or u and a Riccati one for a_1 .

A third well-known example are spacetimes of embedding class one, obeying the Karmarkar condition, which are studied intensively in the past few years. Here there is a minor difference - the relation is a linear equation for $1/y$ and a Bernoulli equation for y , which is also completely integrable. Furthermore, it is a Bernoulli equation with quadratic term for a_1 . The Riccati structure, discussed above, is still present but there is no free term.

In the previous section we have traced the source of the Riccati structure $a_1' + a_1^2$ and found that it comes from one of the components of the Riemann tensor, which survives contractions to the Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar, enters the Weyl tensor and its Lie derivative or comes directly from the Karmarkar condition. This also answers the question about the appearance of the linear homogenous equation for u . We could not clarify why all these equations, on which generating functions are based, are linear of first order for y (or $1/y$ in the embedding problem).

References

1. R. Ruderman, *Class. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **10**, 427 (1972)

2. L. Herrera, N.O. Santos, Phys. Rep. **286**, 53 (1997)
3. B.V. Ivanov, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 1236 (2010)
4. M.C. Durgapal, R. Banerji, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 328 (1983)
5. A.M. Manjonjo, S.D. Maharaj, S. Moopanar, Class. Quantum Grav. **35**, 045015 (2018)
6. L. Herrera, J. Ospino, A. Di Prisco, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 027502 (2008)
7. K.R. Karmarkar, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. A **27**, 56 (1948)
8. A. D. Polyainin and V. F. Zaitsev, *Handbook of Exact Solutions for Ordinary Differential Equations* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995)
9. S.K. Maurya, Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 89 (2017)
10. P. Bhar, K.N. Singh, T. Manna, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361**, 284 (2016)
11. S.K. Maurya, M.K. Jasim, Y.K. Gupta, B. Dayanandan, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361**, 352 (2016)
12. D.M. Pandya, V.O. Thomas, R. Sharma, Astrophys. Space Sci. **356**, 285 (2015)
13. M. Chaisi, S.D. Maharaj, Pramana **66**, 609 (2006)
14. M. Chaisi, S.D. Maharaj, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **37**, 1177 (2005)
15. M.K. Gokhroo, A.L. Mehra, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **26**, 75 (1994)
16. T. Singh, G.P. Singh, R.S. Srivastava, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **31**, 545 (1992)
17. P.S. Florides, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **337**, 529 (1974)
18. A.Füzfa, J.M. Gerard, D. Lambert, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **34**, 1411 (2002)
19. S.D. Maharaj, R. Martens, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **21**, 899 (1989)
20. S. Gedela, N. Pant, R.P. Pant, J. Upreti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **34**, 1950179 (2019)
21. P.M. Takisa, S.D. Maharaj, C. Mulangu, Pramana - J. Phys. **92**, 40 (2019)
22. R.P. Pant, S. Gedela, R.K. Bisht, N. Pant, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 602 (2019)
23. P. Bhar, K.N. Singh, N. Pant, Indian J. Phys. **91**, 701 (2017)
24. P. Bhar, K.N. Singh, N. Pant, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361**, 343 (2016)
25. S. Thirukkanesh, F.C. Ragel, Pramana - J. Phys. **78**, 687 (2012)
26. M. Jamil, P.K.F. Kuhfittig, F. Rahaman, Sk.A. Rakib, Eur. Phys. J. C **67**, 513 (2010)
27. S. Thirukkanesh, F.C. Ragel, Astrophys. Space Sci. **354**, 1883 (2014)
28. F.C. Ragel, S. Thirukkanesh, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 306 (2019)
29. I. Lopes, G. Pantopoulos, A. Rincon, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134**, 454 (2019)
30. R. Sharma, S. Das, M. Govender, D.M. Pandya, Ann. Phys. **414**, 168079 (2020)
31. A. Errehymy, M. Daoud, E.H. Sayouty, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 346 (2019)
32. S. Banerjee, Pramana - J. Phys. **91**, 27 (2018)
33. S. Banerjee, Commun. Theor. Phys. **70**, 585 (2018)
34. V.O. Thomas, D.M. Pandya, Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 120 (2017)
35. P. Bhar, M.H. Murad, N. Pant, Astrophys. Space Sci. **359**, 13 (2015)
36. S. Thirukkanesh, F.C. Ragel, Astrophys. Space Sci. **352**, 743 (2014)
37. S. Thirukkanesh, F.C. Ragel, Pramana - J. Phys. **81**, 275 (2013)
38. M. Kalam, A.A. Usmani, F. Rahaman, S.M. Hossein, I. Karar, R. Sharma, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 3319 (2013)
39. B.V. Ivanov, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 738 (2017)
40. D. Deb, S.R. Chowdhury, S. Ray, F. Rahaman, B.K. Guha, Ann. Phys. **387**, 239 (2017)
41. P. Bhar, F. Rahaman, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 41 (2015)
42. S.D. Maharaj, M. Chaisi, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **38**, 1723 (2006)
43. F.S.N. Lobo, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 1525 (2006)
44. D. Deb, S.R. Chowdhury, S. Ray, F. Rahaman, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **50**, 112 (2018)
45. A. Aziz, S. Ray, F. Rahaman, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 248 (2016)
46. F. Rahaman, K. Chakraborty, P.K.F. Kuhfittig, G.C. Shit, M. Rahman, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 3126 (2014)
47. R. Sharma, S.D. Maharaj, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **375**, 1265 (2007)
48. K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 104015 (2003)
49. J. Estevez-Delgado, A. Cleary-Balderas, A.T. Murguía, R. Soto-Espitia, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134**, 600 (2019)
50. S. Thirukkamesh, F.C. Ragel, R. Sharma, S. Das, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 31 (2018)
51. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, M.K. Jasim, Rep. Math. Phys. **76**, 21 (2015)
52. M.K. Mak, P.N. Dobson, T. Harko, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11**, 207 (2002)
53. G. Estevez-Delgado, J. Estevez-Delgado, J.M. Paulin-Fuentes, M.P. Duran, N.M. Garcia, Rev. Mex. Fis. **65**, 392 (2019)
54. G. Estevez-Delgado, J. Estevez-Delgado, N.M. Garcia, M.P. Duran, Can. J. Phys. **97**, 988 (2019)
55. N. Jiang, K. Yagi, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 124029 (2019)

56. R.N. Nasheeha, S. Thirukkamesh, F.C. Ragel, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 6 (2020)
57. M. Gogberashvili, B. Modrekiladze, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 643 (2019)
58. S. Das, F. Rahaman, L. Baskey, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 853 (2019)
59. S. Thirukkamesh, R. Sharma, S.D. Maharaj, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 378 (2019)
60. S.K. Maurya, S.D. Maharaj, J. Kumar, A.K. Prasad, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **51**, 86 (2019)
61. S.K. Maurya, A. Banerjee, M.K. Jasim, J. Kumar, A.K. Prasad, A. Pradhan, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 044029 (2019)
62. R. Sharma, S. Das, S. Thirukkamesh, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **362**, 232 (2017)
63. S. Karmakar, S. Mukherjee, R. Sharma, S.D. Maharaj, *Pramana - J. Phys.* **68**, 881 (2007)
64. L.K. Patel, N.P. Mehta, *Aust. J. Phys.* **48**, 635 (1995)
65. A.L. Mehra, *J. Austr. Math. Soc.* **6**, 153 (1966)
66. T. Harko, M.K. Mak, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **459**, 393 (2003)
67. L. Herrera, W. Barreto, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 087303 (2013)
68. L. Herrera, W. Barreto, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084022 (2013)
69. F. Shojal, M. Kohandel, A. Stepanian, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 347 (2016)
70. M. Humi, J. Roumas, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **364**, 117 (2019)
71. T. Harko, M.K. Mak, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **361**, 283 (2016)
72. R. Islam, S. Molla, M. Kalam, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **364**, 112 (2019)
73. P.C. Fulara, A. Sah, *Int. J. Astron. Astrophys.* **8**, 46 (2018)
74. S.K. Maurya, A. Banerjee, S. Hansraj, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 044022 (2018)
75. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, B. Dayanadan, M.K. Jasim, A. Al-Jamel, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26**, 1750002 (2017)
76. P. Bhar, M. Govender, R. Sharma, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 109 (2017)
77. S.M. Hossein, N. Farhad, S. Molla, M. Kalam, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **361**, 333 (2016)
78. A. Sah, P. Chandra, *World J. Mech.* **6**, 487 (2016)
79. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, S. Ray, B. Dayanadan, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 225 (2015)
80. K.D. Krori, P. Borgohain, R. Devi, *Can. J. Phys.* **62**, 239 (1984)
81. S.K. Maurya, S.D. Maharaj, D. Deb, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 170 (2019)
82. D.K. Matondo, S.D. Maharaj, S. Ray, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 437 (2018)
83. P.M. Takisa, S.D. Maharaj, A.M. Manjonjo, S. Moopnar, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 713 (2017)
84. F. Rahaman, S.D. Maharaj, I.H. Sardar, K. Chakraborty, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **32**, 1750053 (2017)
85. P. Bhar, F. Rahaman, S. Ray, V. Chatterjee, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 190 (2015)
86. F. Rahaman, M. Jamil, R. Sharma, K. Chakraborty, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **330**, 249 (2010)
87. L. Herrera, J. Jimenez, L. Leal, J. Ponce de Leon, M. Esculpi, V. Galina, *J. Math. Phys.* **25**, 3274 (1984)
88. B.V. Ivanov, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 332 (2018)
89. L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, W. Barreto, J. Ospino, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46**, 1827 (2014)
90. Ø. Grøn, S. Johannesen, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **128**, 92 (2013)
91. L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Ospino, E. Fuenmayor, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 2129 (2001)
92. J. Ponce de Leon, *J. Math. Phys.* **28**, 1114 (1987)
93. B.W. Stewart, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15**, 2419 (1982)
94. J. Ospino, L.A. Núñez, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 166 (2020)
95. K.N. Singh, P. Bhar, M. Laishram, F. Rahaman, *Helyon* **5**, e01929 (2019)
96. K.N. Singh, S.K. Maurya, F. Rahaman, F. Tello-Ortiz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 381 (2019)
97. S.K. Maurya, D. Deb, S. Ray, P.K.F. Kuhfittig, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, 1950116 (2019)
98. P. Fuloria, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54**, 179 (2018)
99. S.K. Maurya, A. Banerjee, Y.K. Gupta, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **363**, 208 (2018)
100. K.N. Singh, N. Pradhan, N. Pant, *Pramana - J. Phys.* **89**, 23 (2017)
101. K.N. Singh, P. Bhar, N. Pant, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25**, 1650099 (2016)
102. K.N. Singh, N. Pant, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 524 (2016)
103. K.N. Singh, P. Bhar, N. Pant, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **361**, 339 (2016)
104. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, S. Ray, D. Deb, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 693 (2016)
105. G. Abbas, S. Qaisar, W. Javed, W. Ibrahim, *Can. J. Phys.* **97**, 374 (2019)
106. S.K. Maurya, S. Ray, S. Ghosh, S. Manna, T.T. Smitha, *Ann. Phys.* **395**, 152 (2018)
107. M.H. Murad, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 285 (2018)
108. K.N. Singh, N. Pant, N. Tewari, A.K. Aria, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54**, 77 (2018)
109. S.K. Maurya, S.D. Maharaj, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54**, 68 (2018)
110. K.N. Singh, P. Bhar, F. Rahaman, N. Pant, M. Rahaman, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **32**, 1750093 (2017)

111. P. Bhar, K.N. Singh, T. Manna, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26**, 1750090 (2017)
112. P. Bhar, K.N. Singh, N. Sarkar, F. Rahaman, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 596 (2017)
113. P. Bhar, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132**, 274 (2017)
114. S.K. Maurya, B.S. Ratanpal, M. Govender, *Ann. Phys.* **382**, 36 (2017)
115. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, B. Dayanadan, S. Ray, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 266 (2016)
116. S. Gedela, R.K. Bisht, N. Pant, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* (2020) 2050097 (online ready)
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732320500972>
117. R. Tamta, P. Fuloria, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **35**, 2050001 (2020)
118. M.K. Jasim, S.K. Maurya, A. Al Sawaii, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **365**, 9 (2020)
119. K.N. Singh, R.K. Bisht, S.K. Maurya, N. Pant, *Chin. Phys. C* **44**, 035101 (2020)
120. S. Gedela, R.P. Pant, R.K. Bisht, N. Pant, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **55**, 95 (2019)
121. F. Tello-Ortiz, S.K. Maurya, A. Errehymy, K.N. Singh, M. Daoud, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 885 (2019)
122. A.K. Prasad, J. Kumar, S.K. Maurya, B. Dayanandan, *Astrophys Space Sci* **364**, 66 (2019)
123. K.N. Singh, M.H. Murad, N. Pant, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **53**, 21 (2017)
124. K.N. Singh, N. Pant, M. Govender, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 100 (2017)
125. P. Fuloria, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **362**, 217 (2017)
126. P. Fuloria, N. Pant, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **53**, 227 (2017)
127. S. Gedela, R.K. Bisht, N. Pant, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **34**, 1950157 (2019)
128. N. Sarkar, S. Sarkar, F. Rahaman, K.N. Singh, H.H. Shah, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **34**, 1950113 (2019)
129. S. Gedela, R.K. Bisht, N. Pant, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **54**, 207 (2018)
130. S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, F. Rahaman, M. Rahaman, A. Banerjee, *Ann. Phys.* **385**, 532 (2017)
131. S.K. Maurya, S.D. Maharaj, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 328 (2017)
132. P. Bhar, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 138 (2019)
133. N. Sarkar, K.N. Singh, S. Sarkar, F. Rahaman, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 516 (2019)