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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the problem of compressing a trie while supporting the powerful locate queries: to return the pre-order identifiers of all nodes reached by a path labeled with a given query pattern. Our result builds on top of the XBWT tree transform of Ferragina et al. [FOCS 2005] and generalizes the r-index locate machinery of Gagie et al. [SODA 2018, JACM 2020] based on the run-length encoded Burrows-Wheeler transform (BWT). Our first contribution is to propose a suitable generalization of the run-length BWT to tries. We show that this natural generalization enjoys several of the useful properties of its counterpart on strings: in particular, the transform natively supports counting occurrences of a query pattern on the trie's paths and its size $r$ captures the trie's repetitiveness and lower-bounds a natural notion of trie entropy. Our main contribution is a much deeper insight into the combinatorial structure of this object. In detail, we show that a data structure of $O(r \log n)+2 n+o(n)$ bits, where $n$ is the number of nodes, allows locating the occ occurrences of a pattern of length $m$ in nearly-optimal $O(m \log \sigma+o c c)$ time, where $\sigma$ is the alphabet's size. Our solution consists in sampling $O(r)$ nodes that can be used as "anchor points" during the locate process. Once obtained the pre-order identifier of the first pattern occurrence (in co-lexicographic order), we show that a constant number of constant-time jumps between those anchor points lead to the identifier of the next pattern occurrence, thus enabling locating in optimal $O(1)$ time per occurrence.
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## 1 Introduction

A compressed text index is a data structure representing a text $T$ within compressed space and supporting fast count and locate queries: given a query pattern, count/return all positions in $T$ where the pattern occurs [27]. The first compressed indexes date back twenty years and operate within a space bounded by the text's empirical entropy [9, 17]. Entropy, however, does not capture long repetitions: entropy-compressing $T \cdot T$ yields an archive twice as big as the entropy-compressed $T$ [23]. For this reason, in recent years more powerful compressed indexes have emerged; these are based on the Lempel-Ziv factorization [23], the run-length Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT) [13, [24, 32], context-free grammars [7] and, more recently, string attractors [21, 28]. In this domain, the state of the art compressed index is represented by the so-called $r$-index of Gagie et al. [13]. This index takes a space proportional to the number $r$ of equal-letter runs in the BWT and locates pattern occurrences in log-logarithmic time each, being orders of magnitude faster than all comparablysmall alternatives in practice. On trees, the state of the art is much less mature. While some of the above compression techniques have been extended to trees [6, 15], less is known about tree indexing within compressed space. Ferragina et al. 10] have been the first to tackle the tree indexing problem: their $X B W$ Transform (XBWT in the following) stores any labeled tree within entropy-compressed space while also supporting fast count queries on it. Crucially, they did not discuss how to locate paths labeled with a given pattern. In this setting, a natural generalization of the problem is to return the pre-order identifier of all nodes reached by the query pattern.
Our Contributions In this paper, we show for the first time how to support the powerful locate queries on compressed tries. To begin with, we generalize the notion of run-length encoding to the XBWT of a trie and show that the number $r$ of runs in the XBWT is a valid compressibility measure as it captures the trie's repetitiveness and it lower bounds the $k$-th order worst-case entropy $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$ of the trie. Our main contribution is a deep insight into the combinatorial structure of the runlength XBWT and leads to a neat (and nontrivial) generalization of the r-index to tries. We first observe that the standard sampling mechanism of compressed suffix arrays can easily be extended to the XBWT. This simple solution, however, requires also a sampling of $O((n / t) \log n)+o(n)$ bits on top of the $X B W T$ to support $\tilde{O}(t)$-time locate queries. The problem with this sampling is that it does not depend on the structural properties of the underlying trie. We show that it is indeed possible to design a more advanced sampling mechanism that depends on the combinatorial properties of the XBWT. In detail, our machinery uses a repetition-aware sampling of size $O(r)$ and locates nodes in two steps: (1) during the counting process, we locate the pre-order identifier of the co-lexicographically smallest node $u$ reached by the query pattern, and (2) we show that a constant number of constant-time "jumps" between the sampled nodes is sufficient to locate the co-lexicographic successor of $u$. By repeating this process occ times (occ being the number of pattern's occurrences), we manage to locate all pattern occurrences in constant time each. Our data structure takes $O(r \log n)+2 n+o(n)$ bits of space, where the linear overhead is required to support constant-time queries on the trie's topology. While we focus on tries only, our results can be generalized to arbitrary labeled trees; since the primary goal of this paper is to provide a useful combinatorial insight into the run-length XBWT, we preferred to stick to the trie case which is simpler to introduce. A natural improvement over our work would be to compress the tree topology within $O(r \log n)$ bits of space as well. We believe that this should be possible by unveiling further combinatorial properties of the run-length XBWT.

## 2 Definitions

We work with edge-labeled tries $\mathcal{T}=(V, E)$ with $n$ nodes and labels from alphabet $\Sigma=\{1, \ldots, \sigma\}$ ordered by a total order $\prec$. We extend $\prec$ to $\Sigma^{*}$ using the co-lexicographic order (i.e. the strings' characters are compared right-to-left). Given a string $S$, the number $r l e(S)$ of equal-letter runs of $S$ is the number of maximal unary substrings of $S$ (for example, $r l e(a a a b b c c a a a)=4)$. We identify tree nodes by their pre-order identifier $\hat{u}$; node 1 is the root. Function $\pi(\hat{u})$ returns the parent of node $\hat{u}$, and $\lambda(\hat{u})$ indicates the label of the edge $(\pi(\hat{u}), \hat{u})$. For the root, we take $\lambda(1)=\#$, where \# is the lexicographically-smallest character in $\Sigma$, not labeling any edge. Notation $\lambda(\Pi)$ denotes the string $\lambda(\hat{u}) \cdots \lambda(\hat{v})$ labeling path $\Pi=\hat{u} \rightsquigarrow \hat{v}$. We assume the alphabet to be effective: for each $c \in \Sigma$, there exists $\hat{u}$ such that $\lambda(\hat{u})=c$. Function $\operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u})$ returns the child of $\hat{u}$ reached by following the edge labeled $c$. If $\hat{u}$ does not have such a child, then $\operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u})=\perp$. We consider the children of each node to be implicitly sorted according to their incoming labels. Function out ( $\hat{u}$ ) returns the (possibly empty) set $\left\{c: \operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u}) \neq \perp\right\}$ of the characters labeling the outgoing edges of $\hat{u}$. Let $U \subseteq V$. The forest $\mathcal{T}(U)$ is the set of the subtrees of $\mathcal{T}$ induced by $U$. We say that $\mathcal{T}(U)$ is a subtree if it is connected. A subtree $\mathcal{T}(U)$ with root $\hat{u}$ is complete if $U$ contains all descendants of $\hat{u}$ in $\mathcal{T}$. The equivalence relation $\approx$ denotes isomorphism between (the complete subtrees rooted in) two nodes: $\hat{u} \approx \hat{v}$ if and only if, for each $c \in \Sigma, \operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u}) \approx \operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{v})$, where $\hat{u} \approx \perp$ if and only if $\hat{u}=\perp$. In some of our results we will treat trees as deterministic finite state automata (DFA), with the root being the initial state and all states being final. We work in the word RAM model with words of size $w=\Theta(\log n)$ bits. The space of our data structures will be given either in words or bits; in all cases we will clearly specify which unit of measurement we use.

## 3 The Run-Length Encoded XBWT

We start our discussion with the problem of compressing tries. Our solution is obtained by extending run-length encoding to the XBWT of Ferragina et al. [8, 10]. While the results presented in this section are generalizations of known constructions from strings to tries, they give us the basis for introducing our main contribution in the next section: a run-length compressed index for tries.

The XBWT is based on the idea of sorting co-lexicographically the $n$ tree's nodes: we declare $\hat{u}<\hat{v}$ if and only if $\lambda(1 \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}) \prec \lambda(1 \rightsquigarrow \hat{v})$. Equivalently, the order $<$ satisfies the following two co-lexicographic axioms: (i) if $\lambda(\hat{u}) \prec \lambda(\hat{v})$ then $\hat{u}<\hat{v}$, and (ii) if $\lambda(\hat{u})=\lambda(\hat{v})$ and $\pi(\hat{u})<\pi(\hat{v})$, then $\hat{u}<\hat{v}$. We will call $<$ the co-lexicographic order of the tree's nodes. Let $\hat{u}_{1}<\ldots<\hat{u}_{n}$ be the sorted sequence of nodes. With $<_{\text {pred }}$ we denote the predecessor relation with respect to $<: \hat{u}_{i}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{u}_{j}$ if and only if $j=i+1$. The subscripts in nodes $\hat{u}_{1}<\ldots<\hat{u}_{n}$ are the second node representation we will use in the paper: the co-lexicographic (co-lex for brevity) representation $\bar{u}$ of (pre-order) node $\hat{u}_{i}$ is precisely $\bar{u}=i$.

We now give a definition of the XBWT that (on tries) is completely equivalent to the original one given by Ferragina et al. [10]. See Figures 1 and 2 for a running example.

Definition 1 ([10]). XBWT( $\mathcal{T})=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right)$, out $\left(\hat{u}_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{n}\right)$.
For brevity, we shall simply write $X B W T$ instead of $X B W T(\mathcal{T})$. The original trie $\mathcal{T}$ can be reconstructed from $X B W T$ [10].

It is well known that the number of equal-letter runs in the Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT) of a string [5 (that is, the XBWT of a simple labeled path) is highly correlated with the string's


Fig. 1. Running example used throughout the paper. This repetitive trie has $n=26$ nodes (numbered in pre-order) and labels from the alphabet $\Sigma=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$. The trie's topology, the colored nodes and the orange dashed edges are a concise representation of our compressed trie index discussed in Section 4 These components are discussed more in detail in the caption of Figure 2


Fig. 2. XBWT (Subsection 3). First four rows: (1) the co-lex order and (2) the pre-order identifiers of the nodes of the tree in Figure1 (3) the incoming label $\lambda(\hat{u})$ of each node $\hat{u} \in V$, and (4) for each node, the characters labeling its outgoing edges. Row (4) is the XBWT of the tree. RL-XBWT (Subsection 3). Fifth row: the transform has $r^{\prime}=8$ blocks and $r=8$ runs. For each block, we store (1) the set $D E L$ of characters deleted w.r.t. the previous block (colored in red in the fourth row: these are the $c$-runs), (2) the set $A D D$ of characters added w.r.t. the previous block, and (3) the length $\ell$ (number of nodes) of the block. Wheeler Automata (Appendix C). In the last three rows of the table, we show the three quotients $V / \equiv{ }_{\ll}^{r}$ (RL-XBWT blocks), $V / \approx<$ (convex isomorphism), and $V / \equiv<$ (states of the minimum equivalent WDFA, by [2, Thm 4.1]). The notation $\tilde{j}$ indicates the equivalence class of node $\hat{j}$. Tree attractors (Appendix D). By Theorem 4, the red edges (fourth row) form a tree attractor: every subtree has an isomorphic occurrence crossing a red edge. Locate (Section 4). A red node has different outgoing labels w.r.t. its co-lexicographic successor. A blue node has a different incoming label w.r.t. its co-lexicographic successor. Orange dashed arrows in Figure 1 represent the sampled values of the co-lexicographic successor function: $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\hat{u}_{i+1}$. These arrows depart from red nodes and from nodes reached by red edges.
repetitiveness [20, 32]. As a result, the run-length encoded $B W T$ is a very powerful compressor for repetitive strings (see also [13]). We now extend this technique to the XBWT of a trie and show that it enjoys many of the useful properties of the run-length encoded BWT.

We say that $1 \leq i<n$ is a $c$-run break, with $c \in \Sigma$, if $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ and either (i) $i=n$ or (ii) $c \notin \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. When $c$ is not specified, we simply say that $i$ is a run-break (for some $c$ ). Let $r_{c}(\mathcal{T})$ be the number of $c$-run breaks. We define the number $r(\mathcal{T})$ of XBWT runs as $r(\mathcal{T})=\sum_{c \in \Sigma} r_{c}(\mathcal{T})$. For brevity, in the following we will omit $\mathcal{T}$ and simply write $r_{c}$ and $r$. The fourth row of Figure 2 shows run breaks in red. In the figure, we have $r=8$. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a path (that is, a string), then $r$ coincides with the number of equal-letter runs in the BWT of $\mathcal{T}$.

In the following definition we present the run-length (RL) encoded XBWT. See Figure 2 for a running example. Importantly, note the distinction between XBWT runs and blocks.

Definition 2. The $R L-X B W T$ of a trie $\mathcal{T}$ is the sequence of $r^{\prime}$ triples $\left\langle\left(A D D_{i}, D E L_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}}$ obtained as follows. Break the sequence $\hat{u}_{1}, \hat{u}_{2}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{n}$ into maximal contiguous blocks such that the nodes in the same block $\hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{i+1}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{i+\ell-1}$ satisfy out $\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}\right)$, for all $i \leq j, j^{\prime}<i+\ell$. Only for the sake of this definition, let out $\left(\hat{u}_{0}\right)=\emptyset$. The $q$-th block, starting with node $\hat{u}_{i_{q}}$ is then encoded with the triple $\left(A D D_{q}, D E L_{q}, \ell_{q}\right)$, where $A D D_{q}=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}}\right)-\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}-1}\right), D E L_{q}=$ $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}-1}\right)-\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}}\right)$, and $\ell_{q}$ is the length (number of nodes) of the block.

The representation of Definition 2 is sufficient to reconstruct the XBWT: out $\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}}\right)=\left(\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i_{q}-1}\right)-\right.$ $\left.D E L_{q}\right) \cup A D D_{q}$. In the next lemma we show that our representation can be stored in $O(r)$ space:

Lemma 1. The RL-XBWT representation takes $O(r)$ words to be stored.
Proof. Let $A=\sum_{q=1}^{r^{\prime}}\left|A D D_{q}\right|$ and $D=\sum_{q=1}^{r^{\prime}}\left|D E L_{q}\right|$. Note that the union of all sets $D E L_{q}$ contains the labels of all run breaks except the ones in the last position $n: D \leq r$ (see also Figure 2). The first occurrence of a character in $X B W T(\mathcal{T})$ appears in the set $A D D_{q}$ of the corresponding block. These characters contribute $\sigma \leq r$ to the total size $A$ of these sets. Furthermore, each other element $c \in A D D_{q}$ is charged to the previous $c$-run break in the XBWT. It follows that $A \leq 2 r$. Finally, note that $A D D_{q} \cup D E L_{q} \neq \emptyset$ must hold for every $q$, since otherwise the outgoing labels of the $q$-th block would coincide with those of the $(q-1)$-th block. Since $A+D \leq 3 r$, this implies that there are also at most $r^{\prime} \leq 3 r$ blocks. Our thesis follows.

### 3.1 Relation with the Trie's Entropy

A tree entropy measure quantifies the amount of information in a labeled tree, either capturing the amount of predictability of its labels, its topology, or both. Several notions of empirical entropy for trees have been considered in the literature so far. Ferragina et al. [10] define the high-order empirical entropy of the tree's labels. This notion, however, does not take into account the tree's topology and is defined for arbitrary labeled trees. Jansson et al. [19], Hucke et al. [18], and Ganczorz [14] define tree entropy measures taking into account also the topology. Also their notions, however, work for arbitrary trees.

The worst-case entropy $\mathcal{C}(n, \sigma)$ of a trie considered by Raman et al. [31] is the measure we consider as starting point in this section. This quantity is defined as $\mathcal{C}(n, \sigma)=\log _{2}\left(\left|\mathcal{U}_{n, \sigma}\right|\right)$, where $\mathcal{U}_{n, \sigma}$ is the universe containing all tries with $n$ nodes on an alphabet of cardinality $\sigma$. Note that this is a clear lower bound (in bits) for encoding the trie, given only knowledge about $n$ and $\sigma$. Measure $\mathcal{C}(n, \sigma)$ is still too weak for our purposes; we now show how to model also character frequencies
(that is, zero-order compression) and, ultimately, high-order compression. Intuitively, our goal is to compute the information-theoretic lower bound for encoding the trie's labels given that we know the probability of seeing the label of an edge, conditioned on the path of length $k$ preceding it (for all $\sigma^{k}$ combinations of possible paths). On strings, it is well known that this notion of entropy has a strong relation with the notion of empirical entropy [22]. For example, on binary alphabet the two measures differ at most by an additive $O(\log n)$ term [26].

As in previous studies [10, [18], we work in a model where the string $\pi_{k}[\hat{u}]$ of the last $k$ labels seen on the path connecting the root to a node $\hat{u}$ is a good predictor for the set out $(\hat{u})$. More formally, $\pi_{0}[\hat{u}]=\epsilon$ (empty string), $\pi_{1}[\hat{u}]=\lambda(\hat{u})$ and $\pi_{k}[\hat{u}]=\pi_{k-1}[\pi(\hat{u})] \cdot \lambda(\hat{u})$ for $k>1$. For this to be well-defined, we also set $\pi(1)=1$ (1 is the root) to pad with $\lambda(1)=\#$ the contexts of nodes at depth less than $k$. Let $X=X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n^{\prime}}$ be a sequence of $n^{\prime}$ subsets of $\Sigma$ such there are $n_{c}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left|X_{i} \cap\{c\}\right|$ occurrences of character $c$ in the sequence, for all $c \in \Sigma$. The zero-order worst-case entropy $\mathcal{H}^{w c}(X)$ of $X$ is defined as the logarithm of the size of the universe containing all set sequences of length $n^{\prime}$ having the same characters' frequencies as $X$ (see Navarro [26]): $\mathcal{H}^{w c}(X)=\log _{2}\left(\prod_{c \in \Sigma}\binom{n^{\prime}}{n_{c}^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{c \in \Sigma} \log _{2}\binom{n^{\prime}}{n_{c}^{\prime}}$. In the following we will simply write $\mathcal{H}^{w c}$ when the characters' frequencies are clear from the context. Note that $\mathcal{H}^{w c}(X B W T) \leq \mathcal{C}(n, \sigma)$, since the former fixes the frequencies of each character while the latter allows any frequency combination summing up to $n-1$.

At this point, we adapt the approach of Ferragina et al. [10]. We define the sequence of sets $\operatorname{cover}(\rho)=\left\langle\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{i: \pi_{k}\left[\hat{u}_{i}\right]=\rho}$. Intuitively, cover $(\rho)$ is the sequence of sets containing all characters labeling edges that follow a path labeled with string $\rho$. The order by which the sets of cover $(\rho)$ are arranged is not important, as we apply zero-order compression to their elements. We define:

Definition 3. $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}(\mathcal{T})=\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{k}} \mathcal{H}^{w c}(\operatorname{cover}(\rho))$
In the following we will simply write $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$ when $\mathcal{T}$ is clear from the context. Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c} \leq \mathcal{H}^{w c}$ since $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$ fixes the characters' frequencies for each context $\rho$.

The next step is to relate $r$ with $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$. On strings, it is well known that $r$ lower-bounds the $k$-th order empirical entropy [24]. We show that this is the case also for the worst-case entropy of tries.

Theorem 1. The number $r$ of $X B W T$ runs is always at most $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+\sigma^{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$.
In Appendices C and D we relate $r$ with other repetitiveness measures on tries: tree attractors [21, 30] and the size of the smallest equivalent Wheeler automaton [2, 11].

## 4 Locating Paths in Compressed Tries

The locate problem can be naturally generalized from strings to labeled trees as follows: given a pattern $P$, return the pre-order identifier $\hat{u}$ of all nodes such that $\lambda(1 \rightsquigarrow \hat{u})$ is suffixed by $P$. In such a case, we will say that $\hat{u}$ is reached by a path labeled $P$. Plugging up-to-date data structures [4] in the XBWT of Ferragina et al. [10], this structure takes $2 n+o(n)$ bits on top of the entropy-compressed labels and counts nodes reached by a path labeled with a pattern $P \in \Sigma^{m}$ in $O\left(m \log \log _{w} \sigma\right)$ time. We observe that it is straightforward to support also locate queries on the XBWT by extending the standard solution (based on sampling) used in compressed suffix arrays:

Lemma 2. For any $1 \leq t \leq n$, the $X B W T$ can be augmented with additional $O((n / t) \log n)+o(n)$ bits so that, after counting, the pre-order identifiers of all occ nodes reached by a path labeled with a pattern $P \in \Sigma^{m}$ can be returned in $O\left(o c c \cdot t \log \log _{w} \sigma\right)$ time.

The simple solution of Lemma 2 has the issue that the trade-off $t$ allows obtaining either a fast but large index or a slow and small index.

The goal of this section is to solve both the above issues. More in detail, we show that a structure of $O(r) \subseteq O\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}\right)$ words on top of a succinct topology representation of $2 n+o(n)$ bits is sufficient to locate path occurrences in optimal constant time each. We start with navigation operations that will be needed in our index.

1. Child rank $\operatorname{cr}(\bar{u}, c)$. Given the co-lex order $\bar{u}=i$ of a node and a label $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$, return the integer $k$ such that the edge connecting $\bar{u}$ with its $k$-th child is labeled with character $c$.
2. Depth $\operatorname{depth}(\hat{u})$. Return the depth of pre-order node $\hat{u}$ (where the root has depth 0 ).
3. Child by rank $\operatorname{cbr}(\hat{u}, k)$. Return the $k$-th (pre-order) child of pre-order node $\hat{u}$.
4. Sibling rank $\operatorname{sr}(\hat{u})$. Return the integer $k$ such that $\hat{u}$ is the $k$-th child of its parent.
5. Lowest Common Ancestor $\operatorname{LCA}(\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ of two pre-order nodes $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$.
6. Level Ancestor Queries $L A Q(\hat{u}, \ell)$. Given $\ell \geq 1$, return $\pi^{(\ell)}(\hat{u})$, that is, the parent function $\pi$ applied $\ell$ times to pre-order node $\hat{u}$.
7. Isomorphic Descendant $\operatorname{ISD}\left(\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{u}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\hat{v}$ be a descendant of $\hat{u}$ reached by following a path $\hat{u} \rightarrow \hat{w} \rightsquigarrow \hat{v}$ with $\alpha=\lambda(\hat{w} \rightsquigarrow \hat{v})$, and let $\hat{u}^{\prime} \approx \hat{u}$ be a node isomorphic to $\hat{u}$. This operation returns the descendant $\hat{v}^{\prime}$ of $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ reached by following the path $\hat{u}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{w}^{\prime} \rightsquigarrow \hat{v}^{\prime}$ with $\lambda\left(\hat{w}^{\prime} \rightsquigarrow \hat{v}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$.
8. Isomorphic Child ISC $\left(\hat{u}_{i}, k\right)$. Given a pre-order node $\hat{u}_{i}, i<n$, such that out $\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$ and given an integer $1 \leq k \leq\left|\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)\right|$, let $c=\lambda\left(\operatorname{cbr}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, k\right)\right)$ be the $k$-th smallest label in out $\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$. Assuming that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(u_{i+1}\right)$, this function returns the integer $t$ such that $c=\lambda\left(c b r\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}, t\right)\right)$.

Lemma 3. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space and supporting operation cr $(\bar{u}, c)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time.

For the remaining operations, we store explicitly the topology. Navarro and Sadakane [29] show how to support operations $\sqrt[2]{6}$ in $O(1)$ time using $2 n+o(n)$ bits of space. We show:

Lemma 4. The structure of Navarro and Sadakane [29] supports also $\operatorname{ISD}\left(\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{u}^{\prime}\right)$ in $O(1)$ time.
Lemma 5. Operation $\operatorname{ISC}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, k\right)$ can be supported in $O(1)$ time and $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space.
Our strategy for supporting efficient locate queries on the XBWT is a nontrivial generalization to tries of the $r$-index data structure [13] (a locate machinery on strings). Let $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ be the colexicographic range of nodes reached by a path labeled $P$. We divide the problem of answering locate queries into two sub-problems. (1) Toehold: compute $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ and $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$. (2) Climb: evaluate function $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\hat{u}_{i+1}$ for any $i<n$. The combination of (1) and (2) yields $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{\bar{r}}$.

The Toehold step requires navigating the tree using both node representations. We show:
Lemma 6. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space on top of the succinct tree topology of Navarro and Sadakane [29] that, given a pattern $P \in \Sigma^{m}$, returns the co-lexicographic range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of nodes reached by a path labeled $P$, as well as $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$, in $O(m \log \sigma)$ time.

We remark that a simple sampling of the nodes' pre-order identifiers is not sufficient to replace the succinct tree topology in Lemma 6. The problem with this strategy is that, in absence of the explicit topology, it is not possible to navigate from sampled nodes to non-sampled ones, thus retrieving the pre-order identifier of the latter. Similarly, the succinct topology is fundamental to support operations 2-8: without the topology it seems challenging to navigate between the pre-order
identifiers of the nodes. We leave it as an exciting open question whether it is possible to represent the topology in $O(r)$ words while supporting all operations in poly-logarithmic time.

We now show how to implement the Climb step with a constant number of jumps (from $\hat{u}_{i}$ to $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ ) on the tree, each taking constant time. We mark nodes in blue, red, or both (colors are not exclusive). A node $\hat{u}_{i}, i<n$, is red if it does not have the same outgoing labels as its co-lexicographic successor: $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. A node $\hat{u}_{i}, i<n$, is blue if it does not have the same incoming label as its co-lexicographic successor: $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \neq \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. Since $r^{\prime} \leq 3 r$ (Lemma 11) and $\sigma \leq r$, there are $O(r)$ marked nodes in total. Our running example in Figures 1 and 2 shows how nodes are colored according to the above definitions.

The following lemma shows that co-lexicographic adjacency is preserved when following equallylabeled edge pairs and is important for our construction.

Lemma 7. If $\hat{u}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{v}$ then $\operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u})<_{\text {pred }} \operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{v})$ for all $c \in \operatorname{out}(\hat{u}) \cap \operatorname{out}(\hat{v})$.
Proof. Let $c \in \operatorname{out}(\hat{u}) \cap \operatorname{out}(\hat{v}), \hat{u}^{\prime}=\operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{u})$, and $\hat{v}^{\prime}=\operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{v})$. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $\hat{w}$ such that $\hat{u}^{\prime}<\hat{w}<\hat{v}^{\prime}$. By co-lexicographic Axiom (i) (see the beginning of Section 3), it must be the case that $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{v}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(\hat{w})$. Then, we have two cases. (a) $\pi(\hat{w})<\hat{u}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{v}$, which by co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) implies $\hat{w}<\hat{u}^{\prime}$, a contradiction. (b) $\hat{u}<{ }_{\text {pred }} \hat{v}<\pi(\hat{w})$, which by co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) implies $\hat{v}^{\prime}<\hat{w}$, a contradiction.

Let $i<n$. By recursively applying Lemma 7 to the descendants of a node, one can easily see the following:

Corollary 1. $\hat{u}_{i} \not \approx \hat{u}_{i+1}$ if and only if the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ contains a red node.
Proof. Assume that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not contain any red node. Since $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not red and $i<n$, then (by definition of red node) $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. But then, by Lemma $7 \operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)<_{\text {pred }} \operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$ for all $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. The reasoning can be repeated inductively to the children of $\hat{u}_{i}$ until reaching the leaves, since the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not contain any red node. As a consequence, we obtain $\hat{u}_{i} \approx \hat{u}_{i+1}$.

Conversely, assume that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ contains a red node. If $\hat{u}_{i}$ is red, then (by definition of red node) $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$ and therefore $\hat{u}_{i} \not \approx \hat{u}_{i+1}$. Otherwise, $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not red and we can repeat the reasoning to the children of $\hat{u}_{i}$ and $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ (as seen above). Since the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ contains a red node, at some point we will find a red descendant $\hat{u}_{j}$ of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j+1}\right)$, where $\hat{u}_{j+1}$ is the corresponding descendant of $\hat{u}_{i+1}$. As a consequence, $\hat{u}_{i} \not \approx \hat{u}_{i+1}$.

The following lemma shows that we can find colored descendants and ancestors in $O(1)$ time:
Lemma 8. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space on top of the succinct tree topology of Navarro and Sadakane [29] and answering the following queries in $O$ (1) time. Given a pre-order node $\hat{u}_{i}$ with $i<n$ :
(a) If $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not colored, find a colored node $\hat{u}_{j} \neq \hat{u}_{i}$ in the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that no node on the path from $\hat{u}_{i}$ to $\hat{u}_{j}$ is colored (except $\hat{u}_{j}$ ), or report that $\hat{u}_{j}$ does not exist.
(b) Find the lowest ancestor $\hat{u}_{j}$ of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node. Note that such a node always exists, since the root is always blue.

Lemma 9. In Lemma 8 (a), if $\hat{u}_{j}$ exists then $\hat{u}_{j}$ must be red and not blue.

We introduce the notion of adjacent paths:
Definition 4. We say that two paths $\hat{u}_{i_{1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i_{2}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}}$ and $\hat{u}_{j_{1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{2}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{k}}$ of the same length $k$ are adjacent if it holds that $j_{t}=i_{t}+1$ for all $1 \leq t \leq k$.

Lemma 10. Let $\Pi=\hat{u}_{i_{1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i_{2}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}}$, with $i_{j}<n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$, be a path of length $k$ without blue nodes other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{i_{1}}$ and without red nodes other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{i_{k}}$. Then, $\hat{u}_{i_{1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i_{2}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}+1}$ is a path in the tree (adjacent to $\Pi$ ).

We furthermore explicitly store (sample) the value of function $\phi$ on the following nodes: (1) on each colored node $\hat{u}_{i}$, we explicitly store $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\hat{u}_{i+1}$. We call these $\phi$-samples of type 1. (2) Let $\hat{u}_{i}$, $i<n$, be such that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ and $c \notin \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. Let moreover $\hat{u}_{j}=\operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$. If $j<n$, then we explicitly store $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\hat{u}_{j+1}$ on node $\hat{u}_{j}$. We call these $\phi$-samples of type 2. Note that a $\phi$-sample could be both of type 1 and 2 (for example, see Figure 1, node 7). Since samples of type 1 are stored only on colored nodes and samples of type 2 correspond to run breaks, in total we explicitly store $O(r) \phi$-samples. Figure 1 depicts these samples as orange dashed arrows. The color(s) and $\phi$-sample associated with colored/ $\phi$-sampled pre-order nodes can be retrieved in constant time and $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space using an entropy-compressed bitvector 31 marking such nodes.

We are now ready to show how to compute $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\hat{u}_{i+1}$ for any $1 \leq i<n$. We break our algorithm into cases. In Appendix $\square$ we discuss examples of all cases based on the trie of Figure 1 .

Case 1: the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ contains colored nodes. See Figure 3 (left). If $\hat{u}_{i}$ is colored (red, blue, or both), then $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ is explicitly stored. Otherwise, we use Lemma 8 (a) to find a colored node $\hat{u}_{j} \neq \hat{u}_{i}$ in the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that no node other than $\hat{u}_{j}$ on the path $\Pi=\hat{u}_{i} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j}$ is colored. In particular, by Lemma 9 node $\hat{u}_{j}$ must be red and no node on the path is blue. Since $\Pi$ enjoys this property and $i<n$, we can apply Lemma 10 to it and obtain that $\hat{u}_{i+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j+1}$ is a valid path with $t=\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ edges and it is adjacent to $\Pi$. We find $\hat{u}_{j+1}=\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$, which is stored explicitly since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red. Finally, we jump to $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with a level ancestor query by $t$ levels from $\hat{u}_{j+1}$. More formally, we obtain:

$$
\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\hat{u}_{i+1}=L A Q\left(\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right), \operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

We note that on tries being simple paths (i.e. strings) it is always the case that $\hat{u}_{i}$ has a red descendant (that is, the unique leaf). It follows that the above equation is always applied when the tree is a string. In fact, in this case the equation reduces to what is implemented in the r-index data structure [13]. On trees, however, things are more complicated: it is not always the case that $\hat{u}_{i}$ has colored descendants. This case is treated below.

Case 2: the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not contain any colored node. See Figures 3(right) and 4 . The idea is to navigate upwards instead of downwards as done in Case 1. We first find, using Lemma 8 (b), the lowest ancestor $\hat{u}_{j}$ of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node. We further distinguish two sub-cases, depending on whether $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red or not.

Case 2.1: $\hat{u}_{j}$ is not red. See Figure 3 (right). Consider the path $\hat{u}_{j} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{k} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i}$, where $\hat{u}_{k}$ is child of $\hat{u}_{j}$ on the path. Index $k$ might coincide with $i$; in this case, the path is simply $\hat{u}_{j} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i}$. Note that $i<n$ and that no node $\hat{v}$ in this path is colored except, possibly, $\hat{u}_{j}$ (which might be blue), otherwise we would have chosen $\hat{v}$ in place of $\hat{u}_{j}$. Then, we can apply Lemma 10 and obtain that $\hat{u}_{j+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{k+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i+1}$ must also be a path. In particular, $j<n$.


Fig. 3. Left. Locate, Case 1: node $\hat{u}_{i}$ has colored descendants. (1) Find colored descendant $\hat{u}_{j}$. (2) Follow $\phi$-sample. (3) Level Ancestor Query. Right. Locate, Case 2.1: node $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not have colored descendants, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor that does, and $\hat{u}_{j}$ is not red. (1) Find $\hat{u}_{j}$. (2) Apply Case 1 to find $\hat{u}_{j+1}$. (3) Descend to child $\hat{u}_{k+1}$, and note that $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$. (4) Find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query.

Next, we show that we can retrieve $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\hat{u}_{j+1}$ in constant time. Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is either not colored or blue, then (by definition of $\hat{u}_{j}$ ) there must be a colored node (possibly $\hat{u}_{j}$ itself) in the subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$. Then, since $j<n$ we can apply Case 1 and find $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\hat{u}_{j+1}$ in constant time.

Let $t=\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$. We compute $\hat{u}_{k}=L A Q\left(\hat{u}_{i}, t-1\right)$ and get its rank $q$ among its siblings with $q=\operatorname{sr}\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$. Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is not red, we have that the two nodes have the same outgoing labels. Since $\hat{u}_{k}$ is not blue, we have $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$. These two observations imply that $\hat{u}_{k+1}$ is the $q$-th children of $\hat{u}_{j+1}$ as well: we compute it as $\hat{u}_{k+1}=\operatorname{cbr}\left(\hat{u}_{j+1}, q\right)$. If $t=1$ then $\hat{u}_{k+1}$ coincides with $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ and we are done. Otherwise, since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node, the subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{k}$ does not contain any colored node. By Corollary 1, we obtain $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$ : the two complete subtrees are isomorphic. But then, we can finally find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query: $\hat{u}_{i+1}=\operatorname{ISD}\left(\hat{u}_{k}, \hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$.

Case 2.2: $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red. See Figure 4 . Consider the path $\hat{u}_{j} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{k} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i}$, where $\hat{u}_{k}$ is child of $\hat{u}_{j}$ on the path ( $k$ might coincide with $i$; in this case, the path is simply $\hat{u}_{j} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i}$ ). Let $t=\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$. We find $\hat{u}_{k}=L A Q\left(\hat{u}_{i}, t-1\right)$. We distinguish two sub-cases.


Fig. 4. Left. Locate, Case 2.2.1: node $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not have colored descendants, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor that does, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red, and $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is a $\phi$-sample. (1) Find $\hat{u}_{j}$. (2) Descend to $\hat{u}_{k}$. (3) Follow $\phi$-sample and note that $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$. (4) Find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query. Right. Locate, Case 2.2.2: node $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not have colored descendants, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor that does, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red, and $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is not a $\phi$-sample. (1) Find $\hat{u}_{j}$. (2) Follow $\phi$-sample. (3) Descend to child $\hat{u}_{k+1}$ using an isomorphic child query and note that $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$. (4) Find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query.

Case 2.2.1: $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is a $\phi$-sample of type 2. See Figure 4 (left). Then, we retrieve $\hat{u}_{k+1}=\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ in constant time. Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node, the subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{k}$ does not contain any colored node. By Corollary 1. this implies that $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$ : the two complete subtrees are isomorphic. But then, we can find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query: $\hat{u}_{i+1}=I S D\left(\hat{u}_{k}, \hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$.

Case 2.2.2: $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is not a $\phi$-sample of type 2. See Figure 4 (right). Since $i<n$ and $\hat{u}_{k} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i}$ does not contain colored nodes then by Lemma $10 \hat{u}_{k+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i+1}$ is a path in the tree. Since $\hat{u}_{k}$ is not blue, we have that $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$. In particular, $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k+1}\right) \neq \#$ (because $\lambda(\hat{v})=\#$ only for $\hat{v}=1$ ) so $\hat{u}_{k+1}$ is not the root. Let $\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ be the parent of $\hat{u}_{k+1}$. By co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) (see the beginning of Section 3), $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$ and $\hat{u}_{k}<\hat{u}_{k+1}$ imply $\hat{u}_{j}<\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ (otherwise, Axiom (ii) would force $\hat{u}_{k+1}<\hat{u}_{k}$, a contradiction). We can say more: since $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is not a $\phi$-sample of type 2 , then it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{j}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}=\hat{u}_{j+1}$. Assume, for contradiction, that this were not true, i.e. that there existed a node $\hat{v}$ such that $\hat{u}_{j}<_{p r e d} \hat{v}<\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$. Let $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$. The cases are two: (a) $c \in \operatorname{out}(\hat{v})$. Then, by co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{k}<\operatorname{child} d_{c}(\hat{v})<\hat{u}_{k+1}$, a contradiction. (b) $c \notin$ out $(\hat{v})$. Then, $j$ would be a $c$-run break and $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ would be a $\phi$-sample of type 2, a contradiction.

Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red, $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\hat{u}_{j+1}$ is a $\phi$-sample of type 1 and we can retrieve it in constant time. Let $q=\operatorname{sr}\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ : node $\hat{u}_{k}$ is the $q$-th among the children of its parent $\hat{u}_{j}$. Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red, then $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j+1}\right)$. This and the fact that $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$ imply that we can find $\hat{u}_{k+1}$ with an isomorphic child operation (Operation 8): $\hat{u}_{k+1}=\operatorname{cbr}\left(\hat{u}_{j+1}, \operatorname{ISC}\left(\hat{u}_{j}, q\right)\right)$.

Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is the lowest ancestor of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node, the subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{k}$ does not contain any colored node. By Corollary 1, this implies that $\hat{u}_{k} \approx \hat{u}_{k+1}$ : the two complete subtrees are isomorphic. But then, we can finally find $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ with an isomorphic descendant query: $\hat{u}_{i+1}=I S D\left(\hat{u}_{k}, \hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{k+1}\right)$.

We obtain our final result:
Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a trie with nodes whose XBWT has runs. Our index takes $2 n+o(n)+$ $O(r \log n)$ bits of space and locates the pre-order identifiers of the occ nodes reached by a path labeled with $P \in \Sigma^{m}$ in $O(m \log \sigma+o c c)$ time.

In Appendix M we bound the size of our index as a function of the trie's worst-case entropy $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$. Note that the whole locate machinery, as well as the edges' labels, fits within compressed space on top of the succinct topology. Moreover, the topology is stored using Navarro and Sadakane's representation [29], which supports much more advanced navigation queries than the XBWT [10]. We note that improvements in navigation queries $2 \sqrt{8}$ on compressed trees will have a direct impact on our index. We leave it as an exciting open question whether it is possible to support those queries within $O(r)$ words of space, thus reducing the size of our index to $O(r)$ words in total.

## A Proof of Lemma 2

Claim. For any $1 \leq t \leq n$, the XBWT can be augmented with additional $O((n / t) \log n)+o(n)$ bits so that, after counting, the pre-order identifiers of all occ nodes reached by a path labeled with a pattern $P \in \Sigma^{m}$ can be returned in $O\left(o c c \cdot t \log \log _{w} \sigma\right)$ time.

Proof. We exploit the fundamental property (used also in count queries) that characters occur in the same relative order in XBWT and in the sequence $\Lambda=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{n}\right)$ (see also Figure 2): the $i$-th occurrence of character $c \in \Sigma$ in the sequence $X B W T=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots$,out $\left(\hat{u}_{n}\right)$ corresponds to the same edge associated with the $i$-th occurrence of character $c \in \Sigma$ in the sequence $\Lambda$. Using up-to-date rank and select data structures [4], this property allows performing local navigation operations (parent, children): to move to the parent of co-lex node $\bar{u}=j$, count the number $q$ of occurrences of $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$ occurring in $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$ (one constant-time rank query on the bitvector representing $\Lambda$, see [10]), and jump to the $q$-th occurrence of $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$ in XBWT (one constant-time select query using the structures of [4]). Using the inverse operation (a rank on XBWT), the data structure of 44 allows moving in $O\left(\log \log _{w} \sigma\right)$ time to the children of any node. See Ferragina et al. [10] for a more detailed discussion of these operations.

After count, the operation locate can be solved given the ability of converting any XBWT position $i$ to the corresponding pre-order number $\hat{u}_{i}$ (but this is not the only option, see Section 4). To perform this conversion, we use a corrected version of Arroyuelo et al.'s strategy [3, Sec. 5.1]: we sample pre-order numbers and compute non-sampled values by visiting a small sub-tree using the XBWT primitives ${ }^{11}$. Fix a parameter $1 \leq t \leq n$. We use the tree covering procedure described in [16, Sec. 2.1] to decompose $\mathcal{T}$ in $\Theta(n / t)$ sub-trees containing $O(t)$ nodes each. Two sub-trees are either disjoint or intersect only at their common root. Let $\hat{u}_{i}$ be the root of a sub-tree, and consider the following quantities: (i) the pre-order identifier $\hat{u}_{i}$ and (ii) the number of nodes size ( $\hat{u}_{i}$ ) contained in the complete sub-tree (that is, down to the leaves of $\mathcal{T}$ ) rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$. We store information (i) explicitly in XBWT order, for all sub-tree roots. We moreover store the partial sums of the values (ii) in XBWT order. All XBWT positions corresponding to a sub-tree root are moreover marked using a zero-order compressed bitvector supporting constant-time rank and select queries and taking $o(n)+O((n / t) \log n)$ bits of space [31]. This scheme supports retrieving in constant time the values (i) and (ii) associated with those XBWT positions. Overall, these structures take $o(n)+O((n / t) \log n)$ bits of space. At this point, let $j$ be a XBWT position for which we want to compute the corresponding pre-order $\hat{u}_{j}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $j$ is not a sub-tree root (otherwise $\hat{u}_{j}$ is explicitly sampled). By iterating the XBWT parent operation, we first move upwards until finding the root $\hat{u}_{k}$ of the sub-tree $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ (of size $O(t)$ ) containing $\hat{u}_{j}$. From here, using the XBWT navigation primitives we perform an Euler tour of $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. We now show that the sampled values (i) and the partial sum on values (ii) are sufficient to reconstruct the pre-order value of all visited nodes along the Euler tour (in particular, $\hat{u}_{j}$ ). We maintain a counter $P R E$, initialized at $P R E=\hat{u}_{k}$ at the beginning of the tour. At each step, counter $P R E$ will coincide with the preorder number of the nodes seen for the first time along the visit. Assume we are on node $\hat{u}_{z}$ during the visit, and that the visit requires us to move to the next non-visited child $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ of $\hat{u}_{z}$. We say that a node of $\mathcal{T}$ is non-root if it is not the root of a sub-tree (root otherwise). Let $\hat{u}_{r_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{r_{c}}$ be the $c$ root children of $\hat{u}_{z}$, between $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ and its previous non-root sibling, in lexicographic order. If there is no previous non-root sibling, then $\hat{u}_{r_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{r_{c}}$ are all the root siblings of $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ preceding it. Note that

[^0]this sequence could be empty. By construction, $\operatorname{size}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{1}}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{size}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{c}}\right)$ are adjacent in the partial sum array, so we use this array to increase $P R E=P R E+1+\sum_{i=1}^{c} \operatorname{size}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{i}}\right)$ in constant time. Then, $P R E$ is precisely the pre-order value $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ : a pre-order visit of the complete subtrees rooted in $\hat{u}_{r_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{r_{c}}$ would have the same effect on PRE. The other case to consider is when $\hat{u}_{z}$ has no more non-visited non-root children (including the case where it is a leaf or it has no non-root children at all). Then, before moving to the parent of $\hat{u}_{z}$ we increase $P R E=P R E+1+\sum_{i=1}^{c} \operatorname{size}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{i}}\right)$, where $\hat{u}_{r_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{r_{c}}$ are the root children of $\hat{u}_{z}$ following its last visited non-root children (if any, otherwise they are all root children of $\hat{u}_{z}$ ).

Note that the Euler tour can be implemented using $O(1)$ working space. This yields our claim.

## B Proof of Theorem 1

Claim. The number $r$ of XBWT runs is always at most $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+\sigma^{k+1}$ for any $k \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$ is the trie's $k$-th order worst-case entropy (Definition 33).

Proof. Let $O U T_{i}=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$, and let $R L E_{c}(i, j)$ be the number of $c$-run breaks in the sequence $X=O U T_{i}, \ldots, O U T_{j}: R L E_{c}(i, j)$ increases by one unit for every $i \leq t \leq j$ such that $c \in O U T_{t}$ and either $t=j$ or $c \notin O U T_{t+1}$. We denote $R L E(i, j)=\sum_{c \in \Sigma} R L E_{c}(i, j)$. Note that $r_{c}=R L E_{c}(1, n)$ and $r=\operatorname{RLE}(1, n)$. For any partition $\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right],\left[i_{2}+1, i_{3}\right], \ldots,\left[i_{m}+1, i_{m+1}\right]$ of the interval $[1, n]$ into $m$ sub-intervals, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=R L E(1, n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} R L E\left(i_{j}, i_{j+1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the right-hand side has the same run breaks as the left-hand side, plus one more run break for the last occurrence of each character in each sub-interval. We now consider the partition into sub-intervals induced by the contexts of length $k$ : we put in the same interval $\operatorname{cover}(\rho)=$ $O U T_{i}, \ldots, O U T_{j}$ the outgoing labels of all nodes $\hat{u}_{t}$ having the same context $\rho=\pi_{k}\left[\hat{u}_{t}\right]$ (note that, by definition of $<$, such nodes form a consecutive range). To prove our thesis, we are going to show that $R L E(i, j) \leq \mathcal{H}^{w c}(\operatorname{cover}(\rho))+\sigma$ for any such interval $[i, j]$ corresponding to context $\rho$. Let $n^{\prime}=j-i+1$ and $n_{c}^{\prime}$ be the number of occurrences of $c \in \Sigma$ in the sequence of sets $\operatorname{cover}(\rho)=O U T_{i}, \ldots, O U T_{j}$. We first prove $R L E_{c}(i, j) \leq n_{c}^{\prime} \log _{2}\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)+1$ for any character $c$ such that $n_{c}^{\prime}>0$ (note: if $n_{c}^{\prime}=0$ then $c$ does not contribute to $R L E(i, j)$ nor to the worst-case entropy of the interval).

Build a binary sequence $S\left[1, n^{\prime}\right]$ such that $S[t]=1$ if and only if $c \in O U T_{i+t-1}$. Letting $r_{x}(S)$ be the number of equal-letter maximal runs of symbol $x \in\{0,1\}$ in $S$, by definition we have $r_{1}(S)=$ $R L E_{c}(i, j)$. Note that $r_{1}(S) \leq r_{0}(S)+1$. Note also that $r_{1}(S) \leq n_{c}^{\prime}$ and $r_{0}(S) \leq n^{\prime}-n_{c}^{\prime}$. From these inequalities we obtain that $R L E_{c}(i, j)=r_{1}(S) \leq \min \left\{n_{c}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}-n_{c}^{\prime}+1\right\} \leq \min \left\{n_{c}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}-n_{c}^{\prime}\right\}+1$ always holds.

The next step is to prove $\min \left\{n_{c}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}-n_{c}^{\prime}\right\} \leq n_{c}^{\prime} \log _{2}\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)$. We are going to prove this analytically by extending the domain of $n_{c}^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime}$ to the whole $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, with the constraint $1 \leq n_{c}^{\prime} \leq n^{\prime}$. If $n_{c}^{\prime}<n^{\prime} / 2$, the inequality reduces to $n_{c}^{\prime} \leq n_{c}^{\prime} \log _{2}\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ which is obviously true in the considered range. If $n_{c}^{\prime} \geq n^{\prime} / 2$, the inequality reduces to $n^{\prime}-n_{c}^{\prime} \leq n_{c}^{\prime} \log _{2}\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)$. Let us define $\epsilon=n_{c}^{\prime} / n^{\prime}$. The inequality further simplifies to $f(\epsilon)=\epsilon-\epsilon \log _{2} \epsilon-1 \geq 0$ for $0.5 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. The derivative $f^{\prime}(\epsilon)=1-\log _{2} \epsilon-\log _{2} e$ goes to zero in $f^{\prime}(2 / e)=0$, is positive for $\epsilon<2 / e$ and negative for
$\epsilon>2 / e$. Since $0.5 \leq 2 / e \leq 1$, we obtain our claim: first, $f(0.5)=0$, then $f(\epsilon)$ is increasing until $\epsilon=2 / e$, and finally it decreases until reaching $f(1)=0$.

From the above, we obtain that $R L E_{c}(i, j) \leq 1+n_{c}^{\prime} \log _{2}\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ for any character $c$ such that $n_{c}^{\prime}>00^{2}$. Since $\left(n^{\prime} / n_{c}^{\prime}\right)^{n_{c}^{\prime}} \leq\binom{ n^{\prime}}{n_{c}^{\prime}}$, we obtain $R L E_{c}(i, j) \leq 1+\log _{2}\binom{n^{\prime}}{n_{c}^{\prime}}$. Summing both sides for all $c \in \Sigma$, we obtain $R L E(i, j) \leq \sigma+\sum_{c \in \Sigma} \log _{2}\binom{n^{\prime}}{n_{c}^{\prime}}=\sigma+\mathcal{H}^{w c}(\operatorname{cover}(\rho))$. On the trie's paths there are in total at most $\sigma^{k}$ different contexts $\rho \in \Sigma^{k}$. Summing both sides of the inequality for all possible (at most) $\sigma^{k}$ contexts $\rho$ and applying Definition 3 and Inequality 1 , we obtain $r \leq \mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+\sigma^{k+1}$.

## C Relations with Wheeler Automata

The smallest Wheeler Deterministic Finite-state Automaton (WDFA) [2, 11] equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$ can also be considered as a compressed representation of the trie. This is the smallest DFA equivalent to the trie for which the co-lexicographic axioms (i) and (ii) defined at the beginning of Section 3 hold [2, 11]. In this section we show that these combinatorial objects and the XBWT are deeply related. We start by introducing two equivalence relations between nodes that will play a fundamental role throughout the paper. We write $\hat{u} \equiv^{r} \hat{v}$ if and only if $\operatorname{out}(\hat{u})=\operatorname{out}(\hat{v})$. Note that the following property holds: for $i<n$, we have $\hat{u}_{i} \not \equiv^{r} \hat{u}_{i+1}$ if and only if $i$ is a run break. The second equivalence relation is a refinement of $\equiv^{r}$ and captures a slightly stronger relation than isomorphism: we write $\hat{u} \equiv \hat{v}$ if and only if $\lambda(\hat{u})=\lambda(\hat{v})$ and $\hat{u} \approx \hat{v}$. Clearly, $\equiv$ is a refinement of $\equiv^{r}$ : if $\hat{u} \equiv \hat{v}$, then $\hat{u} \equiv^{r} \hat{v}$. The convex closure $\equiv<$ of $\equiv$ with respect to the order $<$ is defined as follows: $\hat{u}_{i} \equiv<\hat{u}_{j}$ if and only if $\hat{u}_{i} \equiv \hat{u}_{j} \wedge \forall \hat{u}_{k}\left(\min \{i, j\}<k<\max \{i, j\} \Rightarrow \hat{u}_{k} \equiv \hat{u}_{i}\right)$. The convex closures $\equiv_{<}^{r}$ and $\approx_{<}$ of $\equiv^{r}$ and $\approx$ are defined analogously. Note that the equivalence classes of $\equiv_{<}^{r}$ correspond to the RL-XBWT blocks. Note also that (see Figure $2 \mid \equiv_{<}, \equiv_{<}^{r}$, and $\approx_{<}$are refinements of $\equiv, \equiv^{r}$ and $\approx$, respectively, and $\equiv_{<}$is a refinement of $\approx_{<}$, which in turn is a refinement of $\equiv_{<}^{r}$. Relation $\equiv_{<}$has been introduced for the first time (with the symbol $\equiv_{w}$ ) by Alanko et al. [2], who prove that the quotient automaton $\mathcal{T} / \equiv<$ is the minimum WDFA equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$ [2, Thm 4.1]. We show that $r$ is a lower bound to the size (number of edges) $\omega$ of such automaton:

Theorem 3. Let $\omega$ be the number of edges of the minimum WDFA recognizing the same language of $\mathcal{T}$. Then, $r \leq \omega$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}=(V, E)$. Consider any equivalence class $[\hat{u}]_{\equiv_{<}^{r}}=\left\{\hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{i+1}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{j}\right\}$. By definition of $\equiv_{<}^{r}$, all nodes in this class have the same children labels. It follows that the only run break in this class can be $\hat{u}_{j}$. This shows that $r \leq \sigma \cdot\left|V / \equiv_{<}^{r}\right|$, because $r$ can increase by at most $\sigma$ only between two adjacent $\equiv_{<}^{r}$-classes. We can say more: between $[\hat{u}]_{\equiv_{<}^{r}}^{r}$ and the class immediately succeeding it in the ordering of the nodes, $r$ can increase at most by the number of children of $\hat{u}$ (since $\hat{u}_{j}$ can be a $c$-run only if $c$ is the label of a child of $\hat{u}_{j}$ ). It follows that $r$ can be upper-bounded as follows:

$$
r \leq \sum_{U \in V / \equiv_{<}^{r}}|\operatorname{out}(\max (U))|
$$

[^1]where $\max (U)$ returns the largest $\hat{u} \in U$ (by the ordering $<$ ). Now, since $\equiv<$ is a refinement of $\equiv_{<}^{r}$ we have that
$$
\sum_{U \in V / \equiv_{<}^{r}}|\operatorname{out}(\max (U))| \leq \sum_{U \in V / \equiv_{<}}|\operatorname{out}(\max (U))|=\omega
$$
from which the thesis follows.
An intriguing consequence of Theorem 3is that one can reduce the problem of indexing any acyclic Wheeler automaton $\mathcal{A}$ to the problem of indexing (the run-length XBWT of) the equivalent tree within $O(r)$ words of space: the resulting index will not be larger than $\mathcal{A}$. At a higher level, it is interesting to note that our technique collapses isomorphic subtrees that are adjacent in colexicographic order (see also Section D). This is similar to the tunneling technique described by Alanko et al. [1] for Wheeler graphs. We conjecture that there is a deep link between our technique and theirs.

## D Tree Attractors

Let $S \in \Sigma^{n}$. A string attractor [21] is a set $\Gamma \subseteq[1, n]$ of the string's positions such that any substring $S[i, j]$ has at least one occurrence $S\left[i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right]=S[i, j]$ such that $\Gamma \cap\left[i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right] \neq \emptyset$. String attractors generalize most known dictionary compressors (for example, the run-length BWT, Lempel-Ziv 77, and straight-line programs), in the sense that a compressed representation of size $\alpha$ can be turned into a string attractor of size $O(\alpha)$ [21]. Conversely, most compressibility measures can be upperbounded by $O(\gamma \cdot \operatorname{polylog} n)$, where $\gamma$ is the size of the smallest string attractor [12, 20, 21].

Since string attractors capture the repetitiveness of a string, it is natural to try to generalize them to trees. We now propose such a generalization and exhibit a tree attractor of size $r$.

Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{T}=(V, E)$. A tree attractor is a subset $\Gamma \subseteq E$ such that any subtree $\mathcal{T}(U)$, with $U \subseteq V$, has at least one isomorphic occurrence $\mathcal{T}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=\left(U^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\Gamma \cap E^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{T}=(V, E)$. We define $\Gamma^{r}=\left\{\left(\hat{u}_{i}, \hat{v}\right) \in E: \exists c \in \Sigma \mid\right.$ i is a $c-$ run break and $\left.\lambda(\hat{v})=c\right\}$. In Figure 1, the edges of $\Gamma^{r}$ are colored in red. We now show that $\Gamma^{r}$ is a tree attractor.

Theorem 4. $\Gamma^{r}$ is a tree attractor of size $\left|\Gamma^{r}\right|=r$.
Proof. The fact that $\left|\Gamma^{r}\right|=r$ follows from the very definitions of $\Gamma^{r}$ and $r$. Let $\mathcal{T}(U)=\left(U, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$, with $U \subseteq V$, be a subtree of $\mathcal{T}$. If $E^{\prime \prime} \cap \Gamma^{r} \neq \emptyset$ then we obtain our claim. Similarly, if the root of $\mathcal{T}(U)$ is $\hat{u}_{n}$ (the last node in the co-lexicographic order of the nodes of $\mathcal{T}$ ) then $n$ is a run break and all edges leaving $\hat{u}_{n}$ are in $\Gamma^{r}$. It follows that $E^{\prime \prime} \cap \Gamma^{r} \neq \emptyset$ holds and we are done.

Let us therefore assume that $E^{\prime \prime} \cap \Gamma^{r}=\emptyset$ and that the root of $\mathcal{T}(U)$ is $\hat{u}_{i}$, with $i<n$. Since no edge from $E^{\prime \prime}$ leaving $\hat{u}_{i}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{r}$, we have that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \Rightarrow c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. But then, since $\hat{u}_{i}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{u}_{i+1}$ by Lemma 7 it must be the case that $\operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)<_{\text {pred }} \operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$ for all $c=\lambda(\hat{v})$, where $\left(\hat{u}_{i}, v\right) \in E^{\prime \prime}$ : the children of $\hat{u}_{i}$ and $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ reached by following label $c$ must be adjacent in the co-lexicographic order of the tree. It is clear that we can repeat the above reasoning to each such node $\hat{v}=\operatorname{child} d_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ since, by assumption, no edge from $E^{\prime \prime}$ leaving $\hat{v}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{r}$. This procedure can be repeated until we visit the whole $\mathcal{T}(U)$. As a consequence, we obtain that $\mathcal{T}(U)$ has an isomorphic occurrence $\mathcal{T}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=\left(U^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ with root $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ in $\mathcal{T}$. If $E^{\prime} \cap \Gamma^{r} \neq \emptyset$, we are done. Otherwise, we can repeat the whole reasoning to $\mathcal{T}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$, finding another isomorphic occurrence (rooted in $\hat{u}_{i+2}$ ).

Note that the roots of this sequence of isomorphic trees are $\hat{u}_{i}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{u}_{i+1}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{u}_{i+2}, \ldots$. By the finiteness of $\mathcal{T}$ and by the totality of $<$, this sequence cannot be infinite, therefore at some point we must stop finding a subtree $(\bar{U}, \bar{E})=\mathcal{T}(\bar{U}) \approx \mathcal{T}(U)$ such that $\bar{E} \cap \Gamma^{r} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\gamma$ be the size of the smallest tree attractor and $\omega$ be the number of edges of the smallest Wheeler DFA equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$. By Theorems 3 and 4 we obtain $\gamma \leq r \leq \omega$.

## E Proof of Lemma 3

Claim. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space and supporting operation $c r(\bar{u}, c)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time.

Proof. Consider our RL-XBWT representation of Definition 2; $\left(A D D_{q}, D E L_{q}, \ell_{q}\right)_{q=1, \ldots, r^{\prime}}$. We mark in an entropy-compressed bitvector supporting constant-time rank and select queries 31] all nodes (in co-lexicographic order) that are the first in their XBWT block. Since the total number of XBWT blocks is $r^{\prime} \leq 3 r$ (Lemma 1], the bitvector takes $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space [31].

Let $\Sigma=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\sigma}\right\}$ be the original alphabet. We define a new alphabet $\Sigma^{\prime}=\{/\} \cup\left\{c^{-}, c^{+}\right.$: $c \in \Sigma\}$. Characters of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are sorted as follows: $c_{1}^{-} \prec c_{2}^{-} \prec \ldots \prec c_{\sigma}^{-} \prec c_{1}^{+} \prec c_{2}^{+} \prec \ldots \prec c_{\sigma}^{+} \prec /$, i.e. all characters of the form $c^{-}$come before those of the form $c^{+}$and / is the largest character. We build a sequence $S^{\prime}$ over $\Sigma^{\prime}$ by concatenating the characters of all sets $A D D_{i}$ and $D E L_{i}$ of our RL-XBWT, separating each block with a special symbol '/' as follows $(\odot$ is the concatenation operator between strings and the concatenation order from each set is lexicographic):

$$
S^{\prime}=\bigodot_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\left(\bigodot_{c \in A D D_{i}} c^{+}\right) \bigodot\left(\bigodot_{c \in D E L_{i}} c^{-}\right) \bigodot /\right)
$$

Figure 5 shows a running example.

$$
S^{\prime}=a^{+} b^{+} c^{+} / a^{-} c^{-} / b^{-} / a^{+} c^{+} / a^{-} c^{-} / b^{+} c^{+} / b^{-} c^{-} / a^{+} /
$$

Fig. 5. Sequence $S^{\prime}$ obtained from the example of Figures 1 and 2

Clearly, $S^{\prime}$ has $O(r)$ characters over an alphabet of size $\sigma^{\prime} \in O(\sigma)$. We build over $S^{\prime}$ a wavelet tree [25], taking $O\left(\left|S^{\prime}\right| \log \sigma^{\prime}\right) \subseteq O(r \log n)$ bits of space and supporting rank and select operations in $O(\log \sigma)$ time.

First, note that by definition all nodes within the same RL-XBWT block have the same answers to operation $\operatorname{cr}(\bar{u}, c)$. With a constant-time predecessor on the bitvector marking the first nodes in each XBWT block, we can therefore reduce $\operatorname{cr}(\bar{u}, c)$ to the analogous operation $c r^{\prime}(i, c)$ on blocks, where this time $1 \leq i \leq r^{\prime}$ is the index of the RL-XBWT block the node $\bar{u}$ belongs to and $c r^{\prime}(i, c)$ is the answer to $\operatorname{cr}(\bar{v}, c)$ for any node $\bar{v}$ in the $i$-th block. Now, let $i$ be a block number, and $j=S^{\prime}$.select $/(i)$ be the position in $S^{\prime}$ containing the $i$-th occurrence of $/$. Note that in sequence $S^{\prime}$ two consecutive occurrences of $c^{+}$must be interleaved by exactly one occurrence of $c^{-}$(in any position between those two $c^{+} \mathrm{s}$ ). Then, it is easy to see that the following holds:

Lemma 11. $S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{c^{+}}(j)-S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{c^{-}}(j)$ is equal to the number of edges labeled $c$ exiting any node in the $i$-th block (in particular, it is always either 0 or 1).

Let $S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{a, b}(i)=\sum_{a \leq d \leq b} S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{d}(i)$ be the number of characters belonging to the lexicographic range $[a, b]$ in $S^{\prime}[1, i]$. This query is also known as three-sided range counting. A direct consequence of Lemma 11 is the following:

Corollary 2. $S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{c_{1}^{+}, c^{+}}(j)-S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{c_{1}^{-}, c^{-}}(j)$ is equal to the number of edges labeled with all characters smaller than or equal to $c \in \Sigma$ exiting any node in the $i$-th block.

Wavelet trees support also query $S^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{rank}_{a, b}(i)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time [25. Corollary 2 solves precisely query $c r^{\prime}(i, c)$, so we obtain our claim.

Even if we will not need it in our index, we note that binary search on Corollary 2 can be used to solve also the following operation in $O\left(\log ^{2} \sigma\right)$ time: child label $c l(\bar{u}, k)$, which returns the label of the edge connecting $\bar{u}$ with its $k$-th (in lexicographic order) child. This operation could be useful, for example, to list the (labels of the) children of any $\bar{u}$ within $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space.

## F Proof of Lemma 4

Claim. The tree representation [29] supports also operation $\operatorname{ISD}\left(\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{u}^{\prime}\right)$ in $O(1)$ time at no additional space usage.

Proof. The tree representation [29] stores the Balanced Parentheses Sequence (BPS) representation of the tree topology, augmented with additional (light) structures. Let $i_{\hat{u}}, i_{\hat{v}}, i_{\hat{u}^{\prime}}$ be the positions of the open parentheses corresponding to nodes $\hat{u}, \hat{v}$, and $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ in the BPS representation of the tree topology. Since $\hat{u} \approx \hat{u}^{\prime}$ the parentheses substring representing $\hat{u}$ and its descendants is equal to the one representing $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ and its descendants. Then, it must be the case that $i_{\hat{v}}-i_{\hat{u}}=i_{\hat{v}^{\prime}}-i_{\hat{u}^{\prime}}$, therefore $i_{\hat{v}^{\prime}}=i_{\hat{v}}-i_{\hat{u}}+i_{\hat{u}^{\prime}}$. The representation [29] allows moving between positions in the BPS sequence and pre-order ranks in constant time, so our thesis follows.

## G Proof of Lemma 5

Claim. Operation $\operatorname{ISC}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, k\right)$ can be supported in $O(1)$ time and $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space.
Proof. For brevity, let $O U T_{k}=\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$. For each node $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that $i<n$ is a run break (i.e. $\left.\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)\right)$, we build the following two bitvectors:

$$
S_{i}^{1}=\bigodot_{c \in O U T_{i}} c \stackrel{?}{\in} O U T_{i+1}
$$

and

$$
S_{i}^{2}=\bigodot_{c \in O U T_{i+1}} c \stackrel{?}{\in} O U T_{i}
$$

where the operator $\odot$ visits characters in lexicographic order and where $c \stackrel{?}{\in} A$ equals the symbol ' 1 ' if $c \in A$ and ' 0 ' otherwise. In other words, $S_{i}^{1}$ marks an outgoing label of $\hat{u}_{i}$ with a bit 1 if it is also an outgoing label of $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ and with a bit 0 otherwise (similar for $S_{i}^{2}$ ). We concatenate these two bit-sequences and further concatenate all such $S_{i}^{1} S_{i}^{2}$ in pre-order (that is, according to the
pre-order number $\hat{u}_{i}$, rather than on $i$ ) in a single sequence $S$ of length $|S| \leq n$. We furthermore use a bitvector $B_{1}$ of length $n$ to mark in pre-order the nodes that are run-breaks (i.e. nodes $\hat{u}_{i}$ for which we built $S_{i}^{1} S_{i}^{2}$ ), and a bitvector $B_{2}$ of length $\left|B_{2}\right|=|S| \leq n$ to mark the boundaries of each $S_{i}^{1}$ and $S_{i}^{2}$ inside sequence $S$. We build on the two bitvectors the entropy-compressed representation of Raman et al. [31], which answers rank and select queries in constant time. Since those bitvectors have length at most $n$ and have $O(r)$ bits set, the structure 31] uses $o(n)+O(r \log n)$ bits [31]. Using $S, B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$, we can retrieve in constant time the (boundaries in $S$ of the) two sequences $S_{i}^{1}$ and $S_{i}^{2}$ associated with any pre-order node $\hat{u}_{i}$ that is a run-break. We use Raman et al.'s representation [31 to represent also sequence $S$. Note that $S$ has one bit equal to 0 for each $c \in O U T_{i}$ such that $c \notin O U T_{i+1}$ and for each $c \in O U T_{i+1}$ such that $c \notin O U T_{i}$. It follows that $S$ has at most $O(r)$ bits equal to 0 , therefore the entropy-compressed data structure [31] uses $o(n)+O(r \log n)$ bits to represent it.

We now show how to answer $\operatorname{ISC}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, k\right)$. Let $c$ be the $k$-th (in lexicographic order) outgoing label of $\hat{u}_{i}$. We first retrieve in constant time (the boundaries in $S$ of) $S_{i}^{1}$ and $S_{i}^{2}$. Note that we can assume $S_{i}^{1}[k]=1$ since, by assumption in our query definition, $c$ is an outgoing label of $\hat{u}_{i_{+} 1}$. Let $S_{i}^{1}[k]$ be the $j$-th bit equal to ' 1 ' in $S_{i}^{1}$ (we can find $j$ in constant time with a rank query). Then, it must be the case that the $j$-th bit equal to ' 1 ' $S_{i}^{2}[t]$ is such that the $t$-th outgoing label of $\hat{u}_{i+1}$ is equal to $c$ (note: by the way we constructed those two sequences, the corresponding bits set in $S_{i}^{1}$ and $S_{i}^{2}$ correspond to the same labels). We can find $t$ in constant time with a select operation on $S_{i}^{2}$. Finally, we return $t$.

## H Proof of Lemma 6

Claim. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space on top of the succinct tree topology of Navarro and Sadakane [29] that, given a pattern $P \in \Sigma^{m}$, returns the co-lexicographic range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of nodes reached by a path labeled $P$, as well as $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$, in $O(m \log \sigma)$ time.

Proof. Finding the range of nodes $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ reached by a pattern requires, as building block, being able to count the number of occurrences of a character $c$ in a prefix out $\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots$, out $\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$ of the XBWT, an operation we denote as $\operatorname{rank}_{c}(i)$ [10]. Moreover, in order to find node $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$ we will find, given an index $i$ and a character $c \in \Sigma$, the minimum $i^{\prime} \geq i$ such that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}\right)$. Such $i^{\prime}$ will always exist in our application below. We denote this operation as $\operatorname{successor}_{c}(i)=i^{\prime}$. We now show how to solve these operations.
rank. We first show how to support $\operatorname{rank}_{c}(i)$ in $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space and $O(\log \sigma)$ time (it is actually possible to improve upon this running time, but for us $O(\log \sigma)$ will be sufficient due to the complexity of operation $\operatorname{cr}(\bar{u}, c)$, Lemma 3).

We use the same sequence $S^{\prime}$ defined in the proof of Lemma 3, represented with a wavelet tree, as well as the entropy-compressed bitvector marking nodes (in co-lexicographic order) that are the first in their XBWT block.

Consider any occurrence of a character $c^{+}$in $S^{\prime}$, with $c \in \Sigma$, belonging to XBWT block $j$ (that is, between the $(j-1)$-th and $j$-th occurrence of $/$ ), and let $\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ be the first node in the $j$-th XBWT block. We explicitly store $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(j^{\prime}-1\right)$ in correspondence to this occurrence of $c^{+}$(if $j^{\prime}=1$, then we take $\left.\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(j^{\prime}-1\right)=0\right)$. Storing all these partial ranks takes $O(r)$ words of space in total.

Now, it is not hard to see that all these structures allow us to compute $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time for any $c \in \Sigma$ and $1 \leq i^{\prime} \leq n$. First, we find the XBWT block $i$ containing node $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}$ (constant
time on the bitvector marking the first node of each block). Then, we find in $S^{\prime}$ the occurrences of $c^{-}$and of $c^{+}$that immediately precede the $i$-th symbol ${ }^{\prime} /{ }^{\prime}(O(\log \sigma)$ time using rank and select operations). If there are no such occurrences of $c^{+}$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=0$. We consider two other cases.
(A) The occurrence found of $c^{+}$is to the right of that of $c^{-}$, or there are no such occurrences of $c^{-}$. Let $j^{+}$be the the XBWT block containing such occurrence of $c^{+}$. This means that all nodes contained in the XBWT blocks from the $j^{+}$-th to the $i$-th (included) have an outgoing edge labeled $c$. Let $\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ be the first node of the $j^{+}$-th XBWT block (found in constant time using our bitvector). Then, $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(j^{\prime}-1\right)$ is explicitly stored and we obtain $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(j^{\prime}-1\right)+\left(i^{\prime}-j^{\prime}\right)+1$.
(B) The other case to be considered is the one where the occurrence found of $c^{-}$is to the right of that of $c^{+}$. Let $j^{-}$be the XBWT block containing such occurrence of $c^{-}$. Then, all XBWT blocks from the $j^{-}$-th to the $i$-th (included) do not have an outgoing edge labeled with $c$. Note that $j>1$, since there is an occurrence of $c^{+}$before the $j^{-}$-th block. Then, nodes in the ( $j^{-}-1$ )-th block do have an outgoing edge labeled $c$. Let $\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ be the first node in the $j^{-}$-th block (found in constant time using our bitvector). Then, $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(j^{\prime}-1\right)$, which reduces to case (A).
successor. We show how to solve $\operatorname{successor}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. If $\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{c}\left(i^{\prime}-1\right)+1\left(\right.$ where $\operatorname{rank}_{c}(0)=$ 0 ), then node $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}$ has an outgoing edge labeled $c$ and we return $i^{\prime}$. Otherwise, we find the next XBWT block containing nodes that have an outgoing edge labeled $c$. Let $i$ be the XBWT block containing node $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}$ (found in constant time using our bitvector). Using one rank and one select operation on $S^{\prime}$, we find the occurrence of $c^{+}$that immediately follows the $i$-th occurrence of / in $S^{\prime}$ (in the application below, such an occurrence of $c^{+}$will always exist). Let $j$ be the XBWT block containing this occurrence of $c^{+}$(found with a rank operation on $S^{\prime}$ to count the number of $' / '$ preceding the occurrence of $c^{+}$and adding 1 to the result). Let moreover $\hat{u}_{j^{\prime}}$ be the first node in the $j$-th XBWT block (found in constant time using our bitvector). We return $j^{\prime}$.
computing $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$. Recall that $\bar{\ell}$ is the co-lexicographic rank (in the list of sorted nodes) of the first pattern occurrence. Similarly, $\bar{r}$ is the rank of the last such node. We show how to find the co-lexicographic range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of all nodes reached by a given pattern. The algorithm (known as backward search) is based on the observation that labels occur in the same order in the XBWT and in the sequence $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{n}\right)$ [10, 11], see Figure 1. Moreover, the nodes reached by a path labeled $P \in \Sigma^{*}$ always form a consecutive range with respect to the co-lexicographic order [11]. These observations lead to the following algorithm, first described in [10] (on trees). First, note that characters in $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{n}\right)$ are sorted (i.e. clustered in increasing order). We store in an array $C$ a total of $\sigma \leq r$ integers recording the starting point of every distinct character in this sequence. At this point, given the co-lexicographic range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of nodes reached by a path labeled $P \in \Sigma^{*}$, to extend it with character $c \in \Sigma$ we map the characters equal to $c$ contained in out $\left(\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}\right), \ldots$, out $\left(\hat{u}_{\bar{r}}\right)$ to the corresponding range $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}^{\prime}}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(\hat{u}_{\bar{r}^{\prime}}\right)$ using just two rank queries and one access to array $C$. The result $\left[\bar{\ell}^{\prime}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right]$ is the range of nodes reached by a path labeled $P \cdot c$. At the beginning, the algorithm starts with $P=\epsilon$ (empty pattern) and $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]=[1, n]$. Crucially, note that this procedure returns only the range of ranks (in co-lexicographic order) $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of the nodes reached by a path labeled $P$. To obtain their pre-order identifiers $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{\bar{r}}$ we will need the more complex locate queries, discussed in Section 4 .
computing $\hat{u}_{\bar{l}}$. We show how to extend the above procedure in order to also compute $\hat{u}_{\bar{l}}$. At the beginning, we start with an empty pattern $P=\epsilon$ and its range $[1, n]$. Then, $\hat{u}_{1}=1$ is the root. Assume now that we have computed the range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ of a pattern $P$, and that we know the pre-order
node $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$. We extend $P$ with letter $c$ and obtain the range $\left[\bar{\ell}^{\prime}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right]$ of $P \cdot c$ with an extension step described above (assume that the range is not empty, otherwise the search stops). Then, we find in $O(\log \sigma)$ time with a successor query (read above) the smallest $i$ in the range $[\bar{\ell}, \bar{r}]$ such that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$. Note that such a successor always exists, since we assume that $\left[\bar{\ell}^{\prime}, \bar{r}^{\prime}\right]$ is not empty. If $i=\bar{\ell}$, then we simply descend to the corresponding child of $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}$ with $\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{cbr}\left(\hat{u}_{\bar{\ell}}, \operatorname{cr}(\bar{\ell}, c)\right)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time and $2 n+o(n)+O(r \log n)$ bits of space (by Operations 3 and 1 ). Otherwise, $i>\bar{\ell}$. But then, co-lex node $i$ is the first in a run of nodes having an outgoing edge labeled $c$ (that is, co-lex node $i-1$ does not have an outgoing edge labeled $c$ ). We can therefore explicitly store all those pre-order nodes, since there are at most $O(r)$ of them, and retrieve $\hat{u}_{i}$ in constant time. Finally, we descend to the edge labeled $c$ of $\hat{u}_{i}$ with $\hat{u}_{\bar{\chi}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{cbr}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, \operatorname{cr}(i, c)\right)$ in $O(\log \sigma)$ time and $2 n+o(n)+O(r \log n)$ bits of space (by Operations 3 and 1).

## I Proof of Lemma 8

Claim. There is a data structure taking $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits of space on top of the succinct tree topology of Navarro and Sadakane [29] and answering the following queries in $O(1)$ time. Given a pre-order node $\hat{u}_{i}$ with $i<n$ :
(a) If $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not colored, find a colored node $\hat{u}_{j} \neq \hat{u}_{i}$ in the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that no node on the path from $\hat{u}_{i}$ to $\hat{u}_{j}$ is colored (except $\hat{u}_{j}$ ), or report that $\hat{u}_{j}$ does not exist.
(b) Find the lowest ancestor $\hat{u}_{j}$ of $\hat{u}_{i}$ such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{j}$ contains a colored node. Note that such a node always exists, since the root is always blue.

Proof. Consider the Balanced Parentheses Sequence (BPS) representation of the tree. To answer (a), it is sufficient to mark in a bitvector $B$ all open parentheses corresponding to a colored node (note: we mark $O(r)$ parentheses). By the definition of BPS, $I=\hat{u}_{i}$ corresponds to the $I$-th open parenthesis in the sequence. If the $I$-th open parenthesis is marked, then we return $\hat{u}_{i}$. otherwise, let $J$ be the position of the marked open parenthesis immediately following the $I$-th. If the position of $J$ falls inside the BPS range of node $\hat{u}_{i}$ (that is, between its corresponding open and close parentheses), then $J=\hat{u}_{j}$ is the descendant of $\hat{u}_{i}$ that we are looking for. Otherwise, the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ does not contain colored nodes and we report that $\hat{u}_{j}$ does not exist. By using Raman et al.'s entropy-compressed representation [31], bitvector $B$ takes $O(r \log n)+o(n)$ bits and answers successor queries in constant time. All operations on the BPS representation (in particular, finding matching pairs of open/close parentheses) take constant time [29].

We now show how to answer (b). Consider again the $I$-th open parenthesis, i.e. $I=\hat{u}_{i}$. The idea is to find the $K$-th open parenthesis that immediately precedes the $I$-th and that is also marked. Let $\hat{u}_{k}=K$, and let $\hat{u}_{t}=\operatorname{LCA}\left(\hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{k}\right)$. Then, if another node $\hat{u}_{t^{\prime}} \neq \hat{u}_{t}$ on the path $\hat{u}_{t} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i}$ is such that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{t^{\prime}}$ contains a colored node $\hat{u}_{k^{\prime}}$, it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{k^{\prime}}$ appears after $\hat{u}_{i}$ in pre-order (otherwise we would have found the rightmost such node in place of $\hat{u}_{k}$ ). To complete the procedure we must therefore also find the $K^{\prime}$-th open parenthesis that immediately succeeds the closing parenthesis of $\hat{u}_{i}$ and that is also marked. Let $\hat{u}_{k^{\prime}}=K^{\prime}$, and let $\hat{u}_{t^{\prime}}=L C A\left(\hat{u}_{i}, \hat{u}_{k^{\prime}}\right)$. The answer to our query is the deepest node between $\hat{u}_{t}$ and $\hat{u}_{t^{\prime}}$ (this requires computing depth $\left(\hat{u}_{t}\right)$ and depth $\left.\left(\hat{u}_{t^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. Note that all operations take constant time and that we use the same structures defined for query (a). Again, all operations on the BPS representation (in particular: matching parentheses, LCA, depth) take constant time [29].

## J Proof of Lemma 9

Claim. In Lemma 8 (a), if $\hat{u}_{j}$ exists then $\hat{u}_{j}$ must be red and not blue.
Proof. Assume that the complete subtree rooted in $\hat{u}_{i}$ contains a colored node $\hat{u}_{j} \neq \hat{u}_{i}$ such that no node other than $\hat{u}_{j}$ on the path $\hat{u}_{i} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j}$ of length (number of nodes) $k \geq 2$ is colored. We are going to prove that $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red. By assumption in Lemma 8 (a), we have $i<n$. We prove the property inductively on the length $k$ of the path. Assume $k=2$ (that is, $\hat{u}_{j}$ is child of $\hat{u}_{i}$ ), and let $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$. Since by assumption $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not red and $i<n$, then $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. By Lemma 7 we have that $\hat{u}_{j+1}=\operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. But then, $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j+1}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)$, therefore $\hat{u}_{j}$ cannot be blue. Since $\hat{u}_{j}$ is colored, it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red (and not blue).

Let $k>2$, and let $\hat{u}_{i} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i^{\prime}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j}$ be the path from $\hat{u}_{i}$ to $\hat{u}_{j}$, where $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}$ is child of $\hat{u}_{i}$. Let $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}}\right)$. Since $i<n$ and $\hat{u}_{i}$ is not red, we conclude that $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. By Lemma 7 we have that $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}+1}=\operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)$. Then, this implies that $i^{\prime}<n$ therefore we can apply our inductive hypothesis to the path $\hat{u}_{i^{\prime}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j}$ of length $k-1$ and conclude that $\hat{u}_{j}$ is red and not blue.

## K Proof of Lemma 10

Claim. Let $\Pi=\hat{u}_{i_{1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i_{2}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}}$, with $i_{j}<n$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$, be a path of length $k$ without blue nodes other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{i_{1}}$ and without red nodes other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{i_{k}}$. Then, $\hat{u}_{i_{1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{i_{2}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}+1}$ is a path in the tree (adjacent to $\Pi$ ).

Proof. Let us break the path into two subpaths, overlapping by node $\hat{u}_{i_{j}}: \Pi^{\prime}=\hat{u}_{i_{1}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{j}}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}=\hat{u}_{i_{j}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}}$. Intuitively, we break the proof for the two sub-paths since the proof for $\Pi^{\prime}$ will use the absence of blue nodes (induction moves towards the root), while the proof for $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ will use the absence of red nodes (induction moves towards the leaves).

Note that the following properties hold on the two individual subpaths: (1) in both $\Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$, only the first node might be blue and only the last node might be red. (2) the last node $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ of $\Pi^{\prime}$ is such that $z^{\prime}<n$, and the first node $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime \prime}}$ of $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ is such that $z^{\prime \prime}<n$. Note also that $i_{j}$ might coincide with $i_{1}, i_{k}$, or both. In this case, one of the two subpaths (or both) reduces to a single node. We prove the lemma separately for these two subpaths.
(Subpath $\Pi^{\prime}$ ) We prove the property by induction on the number $t$ of nodes in the subpath. If $t=1$ the claim is immediate, since by assumption the only node $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ in the subpath is such that $z^{\prime}<n$, thus $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}+1}$ exists.

Let therefore $\Pi^{\prime}=\hat{u}_{j_{1}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t-1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t}}$ have length $t \geq 2$. By assumption, $j_{t}<n$ and no node other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{j_{1}}$ is blue: it follows that $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}\right)$. Consider the parents of these two nodes, $\pi\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}}\right)=\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}$ and $\pi\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}\right)=\hat{v}$. Since $\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}\right)$, by co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}<\hat{v}$. We can say more: since by assumption $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}$ is not red, it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{v}$, i.e. that $\hat{v}=\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}+1}$. Assume, for contradiction, that there exists a node $\hat{w}$ such that $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}<_{\text {pred }} \hat{w}<\hat{v}$. Let $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}}\right)=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}\right)$. We have two cases. If $c \in$ out $(\hat{w})$, then by co-lexicographic Axiom (ii) it must be the case that $\hat{u}_{j_{t}}<_{\text {pred }} \operatorname{child}_{c}(\hat{w})<\hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}$, a contradiction. If $c \notin \operatorname{out}(\hat{w})$, then $\operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}\right) \neq \operatorname{out}(\hat{w})$, therefore $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}$ is red: also a contradiction. We obtained that $\hat{u}_{j_{t-1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t+1}}$ is an edge in the tree and, in particular, $j_{t-1}<n$. We can therefore apply the inductive hypothesis to the subpath $\hat{u}_{j_{1}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t-1}}$ of length $t-1$ and obtain that $\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t-1}+1}$ is a path in the tree. Merging these two results, we obtain that $\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t-1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}$ is a path in the tree (adjacent to $\Pi^{\prime}$ ).
(Subpath $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ ) We prove the property by induction on the number $t$ of nodes in the subpath. If $t=1$ the claim is immediate, since by assumption the only node $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}}$ in the subpath is such that $z^{\prime}<n$, thus $\hat{u}_{z^{\prime}+1}$ exists.

Let therefore $\Pi^{\prime \prime}=\hat{u}_{j_{1}} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{2}} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t}}$ have length $t \geq 2$. By assumption, $j_{1}<n$ and no node other than (possibly) $\hat{u}_{j_{t}}$ is red.

Let $c=\lambda\left(\hat{u}_{j_{2}}\right)$. Since by assumption $\hat{u}_{j_{1}}$ is not red and $j_{1}<n$, then $c \in \operatorname{out}\left(\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1}\right)$. Then, by Lemma 7 we have that $\hat{u}_{j_{2}+1}=\operatorname{child}_{c}\left(\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1}\right)$, thus $\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{2}+1}$ is an edge in the tree. In particular, $j_{2}<n$. By our inductive hypothesis, $\hat{u}_{j_{2}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t+1}}$ is a path in the tree. Merging these two results, we obtain that $\hat{u}_{j_{1}+1} \rightarrow \hat{u}_{j_{2}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{j_{t}+1}$ is a path in the tree (adjacent to $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ ).

To conclude, we merge the two results obtained for $\Pi^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ and obtain our claim: $\hat{u}_{i_{1}+1} \rightarrow$ $\hat{u}_{i_{2}+1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{u}_{i_{k}+1}$ is a path in the tree (adjacent to $\Pi$ ).

## L Examples of Climb, Section 4

Example of Case 1 Consider Figure 1, and suppose we want to compute $\phi(2)$. First, we find a (any) red descendant of 2 : let's say we pick node 14 (the same reasoning holds with red node 3 ). Note that we have explicitly stored (orange dashed arrow) $\phi(14)=6$. Note moreover that the path connecting 2 and 14 has length 1 and is labeled with string $S=b$. Lemma 10 tells us that, along the path labeled $S$ connecting $\phi(2)=3$ and $\phi(14)=6$, the nodes are always adjacent in co-lexicographic order with the relative nodes in the path $2 \rightsquigarrow 14$. By applying our formula, we obtain

$$
\phi(2)=L A Q(\phi(14), \operatorname{depth}(14)-\operatorname{depth}(2))=L A Q(6,1)=3
$$

Example of Case 2.1 Consider Figure 1, and suppose we want to compute $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\phi(24)$. Node $\hat{u}_{j}=1$ is the lowest ancestor of 24 such that the complete subtree rooted in 1 contains colored nodes. In this particular case, 1 is blue so we follow the explicit edge $\phi(1)=2=\hat{u}_{j+1}$. We moreover find the successor $\hat{u}_{k}$ of 1 in $\Pi=1 \rightarrow 22 \rightsquigarrow 24$ with $t=\operatorname{depth}(24)-\operatorname{depth}(1)=3$ and $\hat{u}_{k}=L A Q(24, t-1)=22$. Since 1 is not red and 22 is the second child of 1 , nodes 1 and 2 have the same outgoing labels and therefore the node 14 on the path $2 \rightsquigarrow 16=\hat{u}_{i+1}$ must be the second child of 2 . By definition of $\hat{u}_{j}=1$, no node in the complete subtree rooted in 22 is colored: this subtree is therefore isomorphic with the complete subtree rooted in 14 . It follows that the relative position of $\hat{u}_{i+1}=16$ in the subtree rooted 14 is the same as that of $\hat{u}_{i}=24$ in the subtree rooted 22 : we can therefore find node 16 with an isomorphic descendant query.

Example of Case 2.2.1 Consider Figure 1, and suppose we want to compute $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\phi(5)$. Node $\hat{u}_{j}=3$ is the lowest ancestor of 5 such that the complete subtree rooted in 3 contains a colored node. Let $t=\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=2$. We find $\hat{u}_{k}=L A Q\left(\hat{u}_{i}, t-1\right)=4$. Node $\hat{u}_{k}=4$ is a $\phi$-sample of type 2 . Then, $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)=\hat{u}_{k+1}=11$ is stored explicitly and we retrieve it in constant time. By definition of $\hat{u}_{j}$, no node in the subtree rooted in 4 is colored. Then, this subtree and the one rooted in 11 are isomorphic and we can find $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i+1}\right)=12$ with an isomorphic descendant query.

Example of Case 2.2.2 Consider Figure 1, and suppose we want to compute $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\phi(6)$. Node $\hat{u}_{j}=3$ is the lowest ancestor of 6 such that the complete subtree rooted in 3 contains a colored node. In this particular case, $\hat{u}_{k}$ coincides with $\hat{u}_{i}$, and $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{k}\right)$ is not a $\phi$-sample of type 2 . In fact, as proved above, $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{j}\right)=\hat{u}_{j+1}=4$ (which we retrieve in constant time, being it a $\phi$-sample of type

1) is adjacent in co-lexicographic order to node 3 . Now, $\hat{u}_{k}=6$ and $\hat{u}_{k+1}=5$ are both reached by following label $b$ from $\hat{u}_{j}=3$ and $\hat{u}_{j+1}=4$, respectively. Since 3 is red and $3<_{\text {pred }} 4$, we can find $\hat{u}_{k+1}=5$ with an isomorphic child operation. Finally, as noted in the previous examples the subtrees rooted in 5 and 6 are isomorphic, so we can find $\phi\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)=\phi(6)=5$ in constant time with an isomorphic descendant query.

## M Entropy bound

We show how to bound the size of our index as a function of $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$.
Lemma 12. For any $0<\alpha<1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \max \left\{0, \alpha \log _{\sigma} n-1\right\}$ it holds $r \leq 2 \mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+o\left(n / \log ^{c} n\right)$ for any constant $c>0$.

Proof. If $0<\alpha<1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \alpha \log _{\sigma} n-1$, then the corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1. $r \leq \mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+\sigma^{\alpha \log _{\sigma} n}=\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+n^{\alpha} \leq \mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}+o\left(n / \log ^{c} n\right)$ for any constant $c>0$.

However, for large $\sigma$ the interval $\left[0, \alpha \log _{\sigma} n-1\right]$ could be empty. To prove the claim, we have to give a useful bound in the case $k=0$. In this case, Theorem 1 yields $r \leq \mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}+\sigma$. The problem is that $\sigma$ could be $\Theta(n)$; the solution is to note that, in this case, also $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}$ must be large. In the following we prove that the bound $r \leq 2 \mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}+1$ holds. This will prove the claim.

We can assume the number of nodes to be $n \geq 2$, otherwise the tree is either empty or composed of the root only and both $r$ and $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}$ are equal to 0 . We can moreover assume $\sigma \geq 2$, since character \# does not label any edge and there are at least 2 nodes.

Let $n_{c}$ be the number of edges labeled $c$. Note that $n_{\#}=0$ since \# does not label any edge. By definition, $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}=\sum_{c \in \Sigma} \log _{2}\binom{n-1}{n_{c}}=\sum_{c \in \Sigma-\{\#\}} \log _{2}\binom{n-1}{n_{c}}$.

If $\sigma=2$, then the tree is a unary path, $r=1$, and $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}=0$. The claim follows. We can therefore assume $\sigma \geq 3$. Since we assume the alphabet to be effective we have then $n-1 \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n_{c}<$ $n-1$, therefore $\binom{n-1}{n_{c}} \geq 2$ for every $c \neq \#$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}=\sum_{c \in \Sigma-\{\#\}} \log _{2}\binom{n-1}{n_{c}} \geq \sigma-1$. Re-arranging terms, this becomes $\sigma \leq \mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}+1$. Plugging this into the bound $r \leq \mathcal{H}_{0}^{w c}+\sigma$ of Theorem 1 we obtain our claim.

Combining Lemma 12 and Theorem 2, we obtain:
Corollary 3. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a trie with n nodes, and let $\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c}$ be the $k$-th order worst-case entropy of $\mathcal{T}$ for any $0 \leq k \leq \max \left\{0, \alpha \log _{\sigma} n-1\right\}$ and $0<\alpha<1$. The index of Theorem 2 takes $2 n+o(n)+O\left(\mathcal{H}_{k}^{w c} \log n\right)$ bits of space and locates the pre-order identifiers of the occ nodes reached by a path labeled with $P \in \Sigma^{m}$ in $O(m \log \sigma+o c c)$ time.
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