arXiv:2004.01272v1 [quant-ph] 2 Apr 2020

Algebraic treatment of the Bateman Hamiltonian

Francisco M. Fernández *
INIFTA, División Química Teórica,
Blvd. 113 S/N, Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16,
1900 La Plata, Argentina.

Abstract

We apply the algebraic method to the Bateman Hamiltonian and obtain its natural frequencies and ladder operators from the adjoint or regular matrix representation of that operator. Present analysis shows that the eigenfunctions compatible with the complex eigenvalues obtained earlier by other authors are not square integrable. In addition to this, the ladder operators annihilate an infinite number of such eigenfunctions.

1 Introduction

There has recently been a controversy about the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the Bateman Hamiltonian [1, 2]. On one side, Deguchi et al [3, 4] discussed two quantization approaches for the Bateman Hamiltonian based on ladder operators, on the other, Bagarello et al [5,6] argued that there is no square integrable vacuum for the natural ladder operators.

The purpose of this paper is the study of the problem by means of the algebraic method [7] that has proved suitable for the treatment of a variety of quadratic Hamiltonian operators [8–13].

*E-mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

In section 2 we briefly discuss the model and derive a simpler dimensionless version of the Hamiltonian operator. In section 3 we outline the main ideas about the algebraic method. Finally, in section 4 we apply this approach to the Bateman Hamiltonian, show results and draw conclusions.

2 The model

The Hamiltonian operator for the so called Bateman oscillator model is [3–6]

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}\left(p_1^2 - p_2^2\right) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}\left(x_1^2 - x_2^2\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2m}\left(x_1 p_2 + x_2 p_1\right), \tag{1}$$

where $m, \gamma, \omega^2 > 0$ and $[x_j, p_k] = i\hbar\delta_{jk}$.

It is convenient to transform the Hamiltonian operator (1) into a dimensionless one. To this end we define the new variables $(x_1, x_2, p_1, p_2) \to \left(\alpha x, \alpha y, \hbar\alpha^{-1}p_x, \hbar\alpha^{-1}p_y\right)$, so that $[u, p_v] = i\delta_{uv}$, $u, v = x, y$. On choosing $\alpha^2 = \hbar/(m\omega)$ we are left with the one-parameter Hamiltonian

$$H_d = \frac{H}{\hbar\omega} = \frac{1}{2}\left(p_x^2 - p_y^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(x^2 - y^2\right) - \frac{b}{2}\left(x p_y + y p_x\right), \tag{2}$$

where $b = \gamma/(m\omega)$. It is worth noticing that $[H_d, H_0] = [H_d, H_1] = [H_0, H_1] = 0$, where $H_0 = H_d(b = 0)$ and $H_1 = H - H_0$.

The operator (2) satisfies

$$\langle f|\, H_d\, |g\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y)^* H_d g(x,y) dxdy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[H_d f(x,y)\right]^* g(x,y) dxdy = \langle H_d f|\, g\rangle\,, \tag{3}$$

for every pair of square-integrable functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$. Therefore, from now on we use the standard quantum-mechanical Hermitian notation $H_d^\dagger = H_d$.

3 The algebraic method

In this section we outline the algebraic method discussed in previous papers [8–13]. The model discussed in section 2 is a particular case of a quadratic Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2K}\sum_{j=1}^{2K} \gamma_{ij} O_i O_j, \tag{4}$$

where $\{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_{2K}\} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_K\}$, $[x_m, p_n] = i\delta_{mn}$, and $[x_m, x_n] = [p_m, p_n] = 0$. Here we restrict ourselves to operators that satisfy $H^\dagger = H$ but the approach applies also to other cases as well [10]. The algebraic method is particularly useful for the analysis of the spectrum of H because it satisfies the commutation relations

$$[H, O_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{2K} H_{ji} O_j. \tag{5}$$

For this reason it is possible to obtain an operator of the form

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{2K} c_i O_i, \tag{6}$$

such that

$$[H, Z] = \lambda Z. \tag{7}$$

The operator Z is important for our purposes because if $H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ then

$$HZ|\psi\rangle = (E + \lambda) Z|\psi\rangle. \tag{8}$$

It follows from equations (5), (6) and (7) that

$$(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{C} = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is a $2K \times 2K$ matrix with elements H_{ij}, $\mathbf{I}$ is the $2K \times 2K$ identity matrix and $\mathbf{C}$ is a $2K \times 1$ column matrix with elements c_i. There are nontrivial solutions only for those values of λ that are roots of the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda) = \det(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$. $\mathbf{H}$ is called the adjoint or regular matrix representation of H in the operator basis set $\{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_{2K}\}$ [7]. This matrix is closely related to the fundamental matrix that proved to be useful in determining the conditions under which a PT-symmetric elliptic quadratic differential operator with real spectrum is similar to a self-adjoint operator [14].

In the case of an Hermitian operator we expect all the roots λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2K$ of the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda)$ to be real. These roots are obviously the natural frequencies of the quantum-mechanical system (the actual quantum-mechanical frequencies being linear combinations of them). However, in the

present case we do not assume this condition. Therefore, it follows from equation (7) that

$$[H, Z^\dagger] = -\lambda^* Z^\dagger, \tag{10}$$

where $Z^\dagger$ is a linear combination like (6) with coefficients c_i^*. This equation tells us that if λ is a real root of $p(\lambda) = 0$, then $-\lambda^*$ is also a root. Obviously, Z and $Z^\dagger$ look like a pair of annihilation-creation or ladder operators because, in addition to (8), we also have

$$HZ^\dagger |\psi\rangle = (E - \lambda^*) Z^\dagger |\psi\rangle . \tag{11}$$

If A is a quadratic Hermitian operator that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [H, A] &= 0, \\ [A, O_i] &= \sum_{j=1}^{2K} A_{ji} O_j, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

then it follows from the Jacobi identity

$$[H, [A, O_i]] + [O_i, [H, A]] + [A, [O_i, H]] , \tag{13}$$

that

$$\mathbf{HA} - \mathbf{AH} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the adjoint matrix representation of A.

4 Results and discussion

The eigenvalues of the matrix representation $\mathbf{H}$ of H_d

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 2 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 0 & 2 & -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

are

$$\lambda_1 = -1 - i\frac{b}{2},\ \lambda_2 = -1 + i\frac{b}{2},\ \lambda_3 = 1 - i\frac{b}{2},\ \lambda_4 = 1 + i\frac{b}{2}, \tag{16}$$

with the associated ladder operators

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= x - y + i\left(p_x + p_y\right), \\ Z_2 &= x + y + i\left(p_x - p_y\right), \\ Z_3 &= Z_1^\dagger, \\ Z_4 &= Z_2^\dagger. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The only nonzero commutators are $[Z_1, Z_4] = [Z_2, Z_3] = 4$. Every one of these ladder operators looks like the sum of a creation operator for one vibrational mode and an annihilation operator for the other which casts doubts on the existence of a square integrable vacuum.

In the present case the adjoint matrix representations $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_1$ of the operators H_d and H_1, respectively, commute in accordance to equation (14).

It is clear that the eigenvalues of H are complex. Therefore, if $H\left|\psi\right\rangle = E\left|\psi\right\rangle$ then it follows from $\left\langle\psi\right| H\left|\psi\right\rangle = \left\langle H\psi\right|\left.\psi\right\rangle$ that $\left(E - E^*\right)\left\langle\psi\right|\left.\psi\right\rangle = 0$ and, consequently, $\left\langle\psi\right|\left.\psi\right\rangle = 0$. That is to say: the Hamiltonian operator (2) does not have square-integrable eigenfunctions with the scalar product shown in equation (3).

The function

$$\psi_0(x, y) = e^{-x^2/2 + y^2/2}, \tag{18}$$

satisfies $Z_1\psi_0 = Z_2\psi_0 = 0$ and $H\psi_0 = \psi_0$. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} HZ_3\psi_0 &= \left(2 - i\frac{b}{2}\right) Z_3\psi_0,\ Z_3\psi_0 = 2\left(x - y\right)\psi_0, \\ HZ_4\psi_0 &= \left(2 + i\frac{b}{2}\right) Z_4\psi_0,\ Z_4\psi_0 = 2\left(x + y\right)\psi_0, \\ HZ_3Z_4\psi_0 &= 3Z_3Z_4\psi_0,\ Z_3Z_4\psi_0 = Z_4Z_3\psi_0 = 4\left(x^2 - y^2 - 1\right)\psi_0, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

in agreement with the general results of section 3. A curious result is that $Z_1 Z_3^n\psi_0 = 0$ and $Z_2 Z_4^n\psi_0 = 0$ that follow from $[Z_1, Z_3] = 0$ and $[Z_2, Z_4] = 0$, respectively. In this case we obtain the eigenvalues of Deguchi et al [3, 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} H\psi_{nm}^{(0)} &= E_{nm}^{(0)}\psi_{nm}^{(0)},\ \psi_{nm}^{(0)} = Z_3^n Z_4^m\psi_0, \\ E_{nm}^{(0)} &= n + m + 1 + (m - n)i\frac{b}{2},\ n, m = 0, 1, \ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, the function

$$\psi_1(x,y) = e^{x^2/2 - y^2/2}, \tag{21}$$

satisfies $Z_3\psi_1 = Z_4\psi_1 = 0$ and $H\psi_1 = -\psi_1$. We also have,

$$\begin{aligned} HZ_1\psi_1 &= \left(-2 - i\frac{b}{2}\right) Z_1\psi_1,\ Z_1\psi_1 = 2\,(x-y)\,\psi_1, \\ HZ_2\psi_1 &= \left(-2 + i\frac{b}{2}\right) Z_2\psi_1,\ Z_2\psi_1 = 2\,(x+y)\,\psi_1, \\ HZ_1Z_2\psi_1 &= -3Z_1Z_2\psi_1,\ Z_1Z_2\psi_1 = Z_2Z_1\psi_1 = 4\left(x^2 - y^2 + 1\right)\psi_1, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

also in agreement with the general results of section 3. In this case we have $Z_3 Z_1^n \psi_1 = 0$ and $Z_4 Z_2^n \psi_1 = 0$ for the same reason given above. The eigenvalues are quite different from those shown above

$$\begin{aligned} H\psi_{nm}^{(1)} &= E_{nm}^{(1)}\psi_{nm}^{(1)},\ \psi_{nm}^{(1)} = Z_3^n Z_4^m \psi_1, \\ E_{nm}^{(1)} &= -(n+m+1) + (m-n)i\frac{b}{2},\ n,m = 0,1,\ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Notice that the eigenvalues derived by means of the algebraic method and non square-integrable eigenfunctions yield those obtained by Deguchi et al [3, 4] but not exactly those of Feshbach and Tikochinski [2]. Present algebraic method also reveals the difficulty in obtaining a suitable vacuum state as argued by Bagarello et al [5, 6]. Not only the eigenfunctions obtained are not square integrable but the operators Z_j annihilate an infinite number of such functions.

References

[1] H. Bateman, On dissipative systems and related variational principles, Phys. Rev. 38 (1931) 815-819.

[2] H. Feshbach and Y. Tikochinsky, Quantization of the damped harmonic oscillator, Transact. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 38, Ser. II (1977) 44-53.

[3] S. Deguchi, Y. Fujiwara, and K. Nakano, Two quantization approaches to the Bateman oscillator model, Ann. Phys. 403 (2019) 34-46.

[4] S. Deguchi and Y. Fujiwara, Square-integrable eigenfunctions in quantizing the Bateman oscillator model, 2019.

[5] F. Bagarello, F. Gargano, and F. Roccati, A no-go result for the quantum damped harmonic oscillator, Ann. Phys. 383 (2019) 2836-2838.

[6] F. Bagarello, F. Gargano, and F. Roccati, Some remarks on few recent results on the damped quantum harmonic oscillator, Ann. Phys. 414 (2020) 168091.

[7] F. M. Fernández and E. A. Castro, Algebraic Methods in Quantum Chemistry and Physics, CRC, Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo, (1996).

[8] F. M. Fernández, Algebraic treatment of a simple model for the electromagnetic self-force, 2015. arXiv:1509.00002 [quant-ph].

[9] F. M. Fernández, Algebraic Treatment of PT -Symmetric Coupled Oscillators, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 54 (2015) 3871-3876. arXiv:1402.4473 [quant-ph].

[10] F. M. Fernández, Algebraic treatment of non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonians, 2016. arXiv:1605.01662v3 [quant-ph].

[11] F. M. Fernández, Symmetric quadratic Hamiltonians with pseudo-Hermitian matrix representation, Ann. Phys. 369 (2016) 168-176. arXiv:1509.04267 [quant-ph].

[12] F. M. Fernández, Algebraic treatment of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator and its PT-variant, 2016.

[13] F. M. Fernández, On the spectrum of an oscillator in a magnetic field, 2019. arXiv:1909.04175 [quant-ph].

[14] E. Calliceti, S. Graffi, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand, Quadratic PT -symmetric operators with real spectrum and similarity to self-adjoint operators, J. Phys. A 45 (2012) 444007.