

ON AUSLANDER-REITEN COMPONENTS OF STRING AND BAND COMPLEXES FOR A CLASS OF SYMMETRIC SPECIAL BISERIAL ALGEBRAS

HERNÁN A. GIRALDO, RICARDO RUEDA-ROBAYO, AND JOSÉ A. VÉLEZ-MARULANDA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field. In this article we introduced the class of special biserial \mathbb{k} -algebras that are of *Boundarenko's type*, which contains that of gentle algebras. In particular, if Λ is a \mathbb{k} -algebra in this class, then string and band complexes (in the sense of V. Bekkert and H. Merklen) are definable over Λ , which under certain conditions they are indecomposable objects in the category $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ of perfect complexes over Λ . We prove that if Λ is a symmetric special biserial \mathbb{k} -algebra of Boudarenko's type, P^\bullet is either a string or a band complex over Λ which is also indecomposable in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, and \mathcal{C} is the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ containing P^\bullet , then P^\bullet lies in the rim of \mathcal{C} . In this situation, we also give a full description of the representatives of the Auslander-Reiten translation orbits in \mathcal{C} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, we assume that \mathbb{k} is a fixed algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let Λ be an arbitrary but fixed finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra. We denote by $\text{mod-}\Lambda$ the abelian category of finitely generated right Λ -modules, and by $\text{proj-}\Lambda$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod-}\Lambda$ whose objects are projective modules. We denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ the bounded derived category of Λ and by $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ of perfect complexes over Λ . It follows from [5, Thm. 1.3] that Λ is either derived tame (in the sense of [15]) or derived wild (see [5, Def. 1.2]). This raises the question of classifying all derived tame algebras up to derived equivalence, which in turn rises the question of the classification of indecomposable objects in derived categories over finite dimensional algebras. In [8], V. Bekkert and H.A. Merklen provided a complete classification of the indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ for when Λ is a gentle algebra (as introduced in [1]) by using so-called string and band complexes. Later in [7], together with E. N. Marcos, they extended this description in order to classify the indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ for when Λ is a skew-gentle algebra (as introduced in [14]). An important consequence of this approach is that gentle and skew-gentle algebras are derived tame (see [8, Thm. 4] and [7, Cor. 5]). This fact was used recently by V. Bekkert together with the first and third authors in [6, Thm .1.3] to prove that a cycle Nakayama algebra is derived tame if and only if it is gentle or derived equivalent to a skew-gentle algebra. Although derived tameness of algebras has been studied by many authors, examples of algebras that are derived tame are scarce in the literature (see e.g. the introduction of [4] and the references within). Recall that Λ is said to be self-injective if the regular right Λ -module Λ_Λ is injective, and that Λ is called a Frobenius algebra provided that the left Λ -modules ${}_\Lambda\Lambda$ and $(\Lambda_\Lambda)^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\Lambda_\Lambda, \mathbb{k})$ are isomorphic. Recall also that Λ is said to be a symmetric algebra provided that Λ is Frobenius and that there exists a non-degenerate associative bilinear form $\theta : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ with $\theta(a, b) = \theta(b, a)$ for all $a, b \in \Lambda$. By [11, Prop. 9.9], every Frobenius \mathbb{k} -algebra is self-injective, which implies that every symmetric \mathbb{k} -algebra is also self-injective. In [3, Cor. 2.5], R. Bautista proved that if Λ is a self-injective algebra, then Λ is either derived discrete (in the sense of [30]) or derived wild. Note that by [5, Def. 1.2], every derived discrete finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra is derived tame. On the other hand, it follows by either [6, Lemma 3.2] or [34, Prop. 4.1] that if Λ is a self-injective Nakayama algebra, then Λ is derived tame if and only if it is gentle, and thus in this situation Λ is actually derived discrete. Following the classification up to derived equivalence provided by G. Bobiński et al. in [9], it follows that most self-injective algebras (up to derived equivalence) are derived wild. Thus, the non-trivial description of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ for when Λ is a non-gentle self-injective algebra is rather a difficult problem. However, in [17], the first and the third author defined string and band complexes for

the \mathbb{k} -algebra Λ_3 as in Figure 2. This \mathbb{k} -algebra Λ_3 is of dihedral type (as introduced by K. Erdmann in [12]), and thus it is in particular a symmetric special biserial algebra (as introduced in [31]). By using the ideas of Bekkert and Merklen in [8], the first and third author defined in [17] string and band complexes for Λ_3 , and proved that they are indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda_3)$. Moreover, by using the results due to P. Webb in [32] concerning complexes over self-injective algebras, they provided a full description of the components of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda_3)$ that contain either a string or a band complex for Λ_3 . More recently, the first author together with A. Franco and P. Rizzo introduced the class of *string almost gentle algebras*, and proved that for when $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ is either string almost gentle or a string algebra such that each arrow of Q belongs to a unique maximal path in Λ , then string and band complexes are definable for Λ and they are also indecomposable in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$. As in [17], in order to prove this, they followed the ideas in [8] and defined a functor $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$ is the \mathbb{k} -category of Bondarenko's representations of a linearly ordered set $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda)$ determined by Λ (see e.g. [8, §2 & §4.2]), which identifies string and band complexes for Λ with indecomposable representations in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$.

In this article, we define string and band complexes for special biserial algebras Λ that satisfy the following condition:

- (C) The \mathbb{k} -algebra Λ is of the form $\mathbb{k}Q/I$, where the admissible ideal I of the path algebra $\mathbb{k}Q$ has a minimal set of generators given by

$$\rho = \{\alpha\beta, p_1 - p_2 \mid \alpha, \beta \in Q_1, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>1}(\mathbb{k}Q) \text{ with } \mathbf{s}(p_1) = \mathbf{s}(p_2), \mathbf{t}(p_1) = \mathbf{t}(p_2)\}.$$

Note that the special biserial algebras in Figure 2 satisfy the condition (C). Moreover, if Λ is a symmetric special biserial algebra, then it follows from [25] and [27] that Λ is also a Brauer graph algebra (see e.g. [28, §2] for the definition), and thus by the discussion in e.g. [27, §2.4], many symmetric special biserial algebras Λ also satisfy the condition (C).

We say that a special biserial \mathbb{k} -algebra Λ that satisfy the condition (C) is of *Boundarenko's type* if there exists such a functor \mathbf{F}_Λ as above (see Definition 3.9). It follows that gentle algebras and string almost gentle algebras are of Boundarenko's type.

Our main result (see Theorem 4.2) extends [17, Thm. 14] for all symmetric \mathbb{k} -algebras Λ of Boundarenko's type. More precisely, we prove that if P^\bullet is either a string or a band complex over such \mathbb{k} -algebra Λ , and \mathcal{C} is the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ containing P^\bullet , then P^\bullet lies in the rim of \mathcal{C} . We next use this to describe the representatives of the orbits of the Auslander-Reiten translation in \mathcal{C} . It is important to mention that by [33, Thm. 3.7] (see also [21, Thm. 5.4]), \mathcal{C} is of the form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$.

This article is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the definitions of special biserial, string, gentle and almost gentle algebras, the definition and some properties of Auslander-Reiten triangles, and summarize the properties of the components in the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the category of perfect complexes over a symmetric algebra from P. Webb's preprint [32] and from W.W. Wheeler's article [33]. In §3, we define string and band complexes for special biserial algebras Λ that satisfy the condition (C) and introduce formally the concept of algebras of Boundarenko's type. Finally, in §4, we prove Theorem 4.2.

This article also constitutes the doctoral dissertation of the second author under the supervision of the other two.

2. PRELIMINARES

Throughout this section we keep the notation introduced in §1, i.e. \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field and Λ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are morphisms in a category \mathcal{C} , we denote by fg the composition of f with g .

2.1. Quiver with relations, and path algebras. Recall that a quiver Q is a directed graph with a set of vertices Q_0 , a set of arrows Q_1 and two functions $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$, where for all $\alpha \in Q_1$, $\mathbf{s}\alpha$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}\alpha$) denotes the vertex where α starts (resp. ends). A path in Q of length $n \geq 1$ is an ordered sequence of arrows $w = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$ with $\mathbf{t}\alpha_j = \mathbf{s}\alpha_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < n$. In particular, we write paths from left to right. Additionally, for each $v \in Q_0$, we have a trivial path $\mathbb{1}_v$ of length zero with $\mathbf{s}\mathbb{1}_v = v = \mathbf{t}\mathbb{1}_v$. For a non-trivial path $w = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$ we define $\mathbf{s}w = \mathbf{s}\alpha_1$ and $\mathbf{t}w = \mathbf{t}\alpha_n$. A non-trivial path w in Q is said to be an oriented




FIGURE 1. Quivers of special biserial algebras satisfying condition (C).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda_1 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(1)} / \langle \tau_0\tau_1, \gamma_2\gamma_1, \gamma_1\tau_0 - \tau_1\gamma_2 \rangle \\
 \Lambda_{2,m} &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(2)}(m) / \langle a_i a_{i+1}, \bar{a}_i \bar{a}_{i-1}, a_i \bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_{i-1} a_{i-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m \rangle, \text{ and } m \geq 1 \\
 \Lambda_3 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(3)} / \langle \zeta_0\tau_0, \tau_0\zeta_1, \zeta_1\tau_1, \tau_1\zeta_2, \zeta_2\tau_2, \tau_2\zeta_0, \tau_0\tau_1\tau_2 - \zeta_0^2, \tau_1\tau_2\tau_0 - \zeta_1^2, \tau_2\tau_0\tau_1 - \zeta_2^2 \rangle \\
 \Lambda_4 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(4)} / \langle \zeta_0\tau_0, \tau_0\zeta_1, \zeta_1\tau_1, \tau_2\zeta_0, \tau_0\tau_1\tau_2 - \zeta_0^2, \tau_1\tau_2\tau_0 - \zeta_1^2 \rangle \\
 \Lambda_5 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(5)} / \langle \alpha\beta, \beta\gamma, \beta\delta, \epsilon\beta, \gamma\alpha - \delta\epsilon \rangle \\
 \Lambda_6 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(6)} / \langle \alpha_i\beta_i, \alpha_i\gamma_i, \gamma_i\beta_{i-1}, \beta_i\alpha_i - (\gamma_i\gamma_{i+2}\gamma_{i+1})^2 : i \in \mathbb{Z}/3 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 2. Examples of special biserial algebras satisfying condition (C).

cycle provided that $sw = tw$. The path algebra $\mathbb{k}Q$ of a quiver Q is the \mathbb{k} -vector space whose basis consists of all the paths in Q , and for two paths w and w' , their multiplication is given by the concatenation ww' provided that $tw = sw'$, or zero otherwise. Let J be the two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q$ generated by all the arrows in Q . We say that an ideal I of $\mathbb{k}Q$ is admissible if there exists $d \geq 2$ such that $J^d \subseteq I \subseteq J^2$. In this situation, the quotient $\mathbb{k}Q/I$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra. If w is a path in Q , we denote also by w its equivalence

class in $\mathbb{k}Q/I$. In particular, a path w in $\mathbb{k}Q/I$ is a *zero-path* if and only if w belongs to I . We say that a non-zero path w in $\mathbb{k}Q/I$ is *maximal* if for all arrows $\alpha, \beta \in Q_1$ such that $\mathbf{t}\alpha = \mathbf{s}w$ and $\mathbf{s}\beta = \mathbf{t}w$, we have that $\alpha w \beta$ is a zero path in $\mathbb{k}Q/I$.

From now on we assume that $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ is basic, where Q is a finite quiver and I is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q$. For each $v \in Q_0$, we denote also by $\mathbb{1}_v$ the corresponding primitive idempotent in Λ , by $M[\mathbb{1}_v]$ the corresponding simple right Λ -module and by \mathbf{P}_v the corresponding indecomposable projective right Λ -module, i.e., $\mathbf{P}_v = \mathbb{1}_v \Lambda$. We denote by $\mathbf{Pa}(\Lambda)$ the sets of all non-zero paths, and for all integers $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathbf{Pa}_{>n}(\Lambda)$ the set of all paths whose length is greater than n . We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ the set of all maximal paths in Λ .

Remark 2.1. Let $w \in \mathbf{Pa}(\Lambda)$. Then w induces a morphism $p(w)$ of right Λ -modules from $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}w}$ to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}w}$ defined as $p(w)(u) = uw$ for all $u \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}w}$. Moreover, the \mathbb{k} -vector space $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}w}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}w})$ is generated by morphisms of this kind.

2.2. Special biserial, string, gentle, and string almost gentle algebras. Following [31], Λ is *special biserial* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (SB1) For any vertex $v \in Q$, there are at most two arrows ending at v , and at most two arrows starting at v .
- (SB2) Given an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, there is a most an arrow β with $\mathbf{s}\beta = \mathbf{t}\alpha$ such that $\alpha\beta \notin I$, and there is a most an arrow γ with $\mathbf{s}\alpha = \mathbf{t}\gamma$ such that $\gamma\alpha \notin I$.

We say that Λ is a *string algebra* provided that I is generated by zero-relations and in addition satisfies the above conditions (SB1) and (SB2). Following [1], Λ is *gentle* if Λ is special biserial and satisfies the following conditions: and satisfies the following conditions:

- (G1) The ideal I is generated by paths of length two.
- (G2) Given an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, there is a most an arrow β with $\mathbf{s}\beta = \mathbf{t}\alpha$ such that $\alpha\beta \in I$, and there is a most an arrow γ with $\mathbf{s}\alpha = \mathbf{t}\gamma$ such that $\gamma\alpha \in I$.

Following [18, Def. 2.1], we say that Λ (not necessarily special biserial) is *almost gentle* provided that Λ satisfies the above conditions (G1) and (G2). Finally, following [13, Def. 11], we say that Λ is *string almost gentle* provided that Λ is both a string and almost gentle. There are string almost gentle algebras that are not gentle (see [13, Example 33]).


Remark 2.2. By e.g. [12, §II.1.3], in order to study indecomposable non-projective right Λ -modules and irreducible morphisms over a special biserial algebra Λ , we can always do this by looking at the quotient algebra $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda/S_0$, where $S_0 = \bigoplus_{v \in L} \text{soc } \Lambda \mathbb{1}_v$ and $L = \{v \in Q_0 : \Lambda \mathbb{1}_v \text{ is injective and not uniserial}\}$. In this situation, it follows that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a string algebra and we call it the *associated string algebra* of Λ .

Example 2.3. Let consider the special biserial algebras as in Figure 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda}_1 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(1)} / \langle \tau_0 \tau_1, \gamma_2 \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \tau_0 - \tau_1 \gamma_2 \rangle \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_{2,m} &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(2)}(m) / \langle a_i a_{i+1}, \bar{a}_i \bar{a}_{i-1}, a_i \bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_{i-1} a_{i-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m \rangle, \text{ and } m \geq 1 \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_3 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(3)} / \langle \zeta_0 \tau_0, \tau_0 \zeta_1, \zeta_1 \tau_1, \tau_1 \zeta_2, \zeta_2 \tau_2, \tau_2 \zeta_0, \tau_0 \tau_1 \tau_2 - \zeta_0^2, \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_0 - \zeta_1^2, \tau_2 \tau_0 \tau_1 - \zeta_2^2 \rangle \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_4 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(4)} / \langle \zeta_0 \tau_0, \tau_0 \zeta_1, \zeta_1 \tau_1, \tau_2 \zeta_0, \tau_0 \tau_1 \tau_2 - \zeta_0^2, \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_0 - \zeta_1^2 \rangle \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_5 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(5)} / \langle \alpha \beta, \beta \gamma, \beta \delta, \epsilon \beta, \gamma \alpha - \delta \epsilon \rangle \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_6 &= \mathbb{k}Q^{(6)} / \langle \alpha_i \beta_i, \alpha_i \gamma_i, \gamma_i \beta_{i-1}, \beta_i \alpha_i - (\gamma_i \gamma_{i+2} \gamma_{i+1})^2 : i \in \mathbb{Z}/3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tilde{\Lambda}_0$ is gentle, whereas $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$ are not.

Assume that $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ is an special biserial algebra. It follows from [10] that the non-projective indecomposable Λ -modules can be described combinatorially by using so-called string and bands for Λ . The corresponding modules are called string and band Λ -modules. We refer the reader to [10] (see also [12,

FIGURE 3. Component \mathfrak{C} of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$ near the complex C_0^\bullet .

Chap. II]) for getting more information regarding the description and the properties of these string and band modules. We also refer the reader to [24] for a description of the morphisms between string and band modules.

2.3. Auslander-Reiten components containing perfect complexes over symmetric algebras. As stated before, we denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ the bounded derived category and by $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ the homotopy category of perfect complexes over Λ . It is well-known that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{K}^{-,b}(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, the category of bounded above complexes whose terms are in $\text{proj-}\Lambda$, with at most finitely many non-zero cohomology groups. We denote by T the shifting functor on $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, resp. $\mathcal{K}^{-,b}(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$) i.e., T shifts complexes one place to the left and changes the sign of the differential (see e.g. [22, Chap. I]). It is well-known that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, resp. $\mathcal{K}^{-,b}(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$) is a triangulated category in the sense of [29], and that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{K}^{-,b}(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ as triangulated categories. Following [19, Chap. I, §4], a distinguished triangle $X^\bullet \xrightarrow{u^\bullet} Y^\bullet \xrightarrow{v^\bullet} Z^\bullet \xrightarrow{w^\bullet} T(X^\bullet)$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod-}\Lambda)$ is called an *Auslander-Reiten triangle* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) The objects X^\bullet and Z^\bullet are indecomposable.
- (ii) The morphism w^\bullet is non-zero.
- (iii) If $f^\bullet : W^\bullet \rightarrow Z^\bullet$ is not a retraction, then there exists $f'^\bullet : W \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ such that $v^\bullet f'^\bullet = f^\bullet$.


In the following, we assume that Λ is a symmetric algebra. Since in this situation the Nakayama functor $\nu_\Lambda = D\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$, where $D = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(-, \mathbb{k})$, is naturally equivalent to the identity functor (see e.g [2, Prop. 3.8(b)]), it follows from the results in [20] that for all indecomposable object Z^\bullet in $\mathcal{K}^{-,b}(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, there exists an Auslander-Reiten triangle ending in Z^\bullet if and only if Z^\bullet is an object of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, and this triangle is of the form $T^{-1}(Z^\bullet) \rightarrow Y^\bullet \rightarrow Z^\bullet \rightarrow Z^\bullet$. Therefore, we can assume that every Auslander-Reiten triangle in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to

$$T^{-1}(Z^\bullet) \rightarrow T^{-1}(\text{cone}(h^\bullet)) \rightarrow Z^\bullet \xrightarrow{h^\bullet} Z^\bullet,$$

for some object Z^\bullet in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ and some morphism $h^\bullet : Z^\bullet \rightarrow Z^\bullet$, where $\text{cone}(h^\bullet)$ denotes the mapping cone of h^\bullet . We denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$ the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$. We say that a complex Z^\bullet lies on the *rim* of its component in $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$, if in the Auslander-Reiten triangle $X^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet \rightarrow Z^\bullet \rightarrow TX^\bullet$, the complex Y^\bullet is indecomposable. It follows from [33, Thm. 3.7] (see also [21, Thm. 5.4]) that if \mathfrak{C} is a connected component of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$, then \mathfrak{C} is of the form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$. Thus, the component \mathfrak{C} of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$ with a complex C_0^\bullet lying on its rim looks as in Figure 3.

Remark 2.4. If P^\bullet is an object in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, then there exists $m \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad P^\bullet = \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^n \xrightarrow{\delta_P^n} P^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n+m-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_P^{n+m-1}} P^{n+m} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$

FIGURE 4. Cohomology diagram for the component \mathfrak{C} as in Figure 3.

and $\delta_P^n \delta_P^{n+1} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that P^\bullet is *minimal*, i.e., for all $n \leq j \leq n + m - 1$, $\text{im}(\delta_P^j) \subseteq \text{rad}(P^{j+1})$, for every complex in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ can be written as the sum of one complex having this property and another one whose differential maps are either zero or isomorphisms (see e.g. [16, Thm. 5]).

Remark 2.5. Since Λ is a symmetric algebra, then for all projective right Λ -modules P , there is an isomorphism between the right Λ -modules $\text{top } P = P/\text{rad } P$ and $\text{soc } P$. In this situation, we denote by $f_P : P \rightarrow P$ the map that sends isomorphically the top of each indecomposable summand of P to its corresponding socle.

The following result follows from [33, Lemma 2.4] and from the fact that Λ is a symmetric \mathbb{k} -algebra.

Lemma 2.6. *Let P^\bullet be a non-zero indecomposable object in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, and let ℓ the largest index such that $P^\ell \neq 0$. If a triangle*

$$T^{-1}(P^\bullet) \rightarrow Q^\bullet \rightarrow P^\bullet \xrightarrow{h^\bullet} P^\bullet$$

is an Auslander-Reiten triangle, then h^\bullet is homotopic to a morphism $f^\bullet : P^\bullet \rightarrow P^\bullet$ such that $f^j = 0$ for $j \neq \ell$ and $f^\ell = f_{P^\ell}$, where f_{P^ℓ} is as in Remark 2.5.

2.4. Webb's cohomology diagrams for Auslander-Reiten components. Consider the description of the component \mathfrak{C} of the Auslander-Reiten quiver containing C_0^\bullet as in Figure 3. Following [32], after taking cohomology groups, we obtain the induced diagram of right Λ -modules shown in Figure 4, which Webb calls the *cohomology diagram* of \mathfrak{C} .



The following result follows from [32, Thm. 6.5, Thm. 6.6, Cor. 6.7 & Cor. 6.10].

Theorem 2.7. *Let Λ be a symmetric algebra, let P^\bullet be an indecomposable complex in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ and let \mathfrak{C} be its corresponding component in $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$.*

- (i) *If P^\bullet is not a stalk complex corresponding to an indecomposable projective right Λ -module, then the cohomology diagram of \mathfrak{C} looks like as in Figure 5, where A_0 (resp. B_0) is the non-zero cohomology group of P^\bullet of lowest (resp. higher) degree.*
- (ii) *If P^\bullet is a stalk complex corresponding to the projective cover P_S of a simple right Λ -module S , with $P_S \neq S$, then the cohomology diagram of \mathfrak{C} looks like as in Figure 6, where $\mathbb{H}(P_S)$ denotes the heart of P_S , i.e. $\mathbb{H}(P_S) = \text{rad } P_S / \text{soc } P_S$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{C} is as in Figure 3, then $C_0^\bullet = P_S$, and for all $n \geq 1$, C_n^\bullet is the complex*

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow P^{-n} \xrightarrow{\delta_P^{-n}} \dots \rightarrow P^{-2} \xrightarrow{\delta_P^{-2}} P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_P^{-1}} P_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, $P^{-j} = P_S$ and $\delta_P^{-j} = f_{P_S}$, where f_{P_S} is as in Remark 2.5.


 FIGURE 5. Cohomology diagram for the component \mathfrak{C} as in Theorem 2.7 (i)

 FIGURE 6. Cohomology diagram for the component \mathfrak{C} as in Theorem 2.7 (ii).

- (iii) If P^\bullet lies in the rim of \mathfrak{C} and has length t , then the complexes in \mathfrak{C} at a distance r from the rim have length $t+r$.
- (iv) If P^\bullet has exactly two non-zero terms and P^\bullet is not (up to shifting) as in (2.2) for $n=1$, then P^\bullet lies in the rim of \mathfrak{C} .

3. STRING AND BAND COMPLEXES

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ be an special biserial algebra satisfying condition (C), and let \tilde{I} the admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q$ defined as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{I} = \langle \alpha\beta, p_1, p_2 \mid \alpha\beta, p_1 - p_2 \in \rho \rangle.$$

It follows that $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{k}Q/\tilde{I}$ is the associated string algebra of Λ as in Remark 2.2.

In the following, we define generalized string and bands for $\tilde{\Lambda}$, and thus for Λ as well. This method is inspired by that in [7, §5.1], where Bekkert et al. define generalized strings and bands for a skew-gentle algebra by using a gentle algebra associated to it.

If w is a path of positive length in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, we define a formal inverse w^{-1} of w and we let $\mathbf{s}(w^{-1}) = \mathbf{t}(w)$ and $\mathbf{t}(w^{-1}) = \mathbf{s}(w)$. By a *generalized word* for Λ of positive length $n > 0$, we mean a sequence $w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ where each w_j is either a path of positive length, or the formal inverse of a path of positive length, and such that $\mathbf{s}(w_{j+1}) = \mathbf{t}(w_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $\mathbf{s}(w) = \mathbf{s}(w_1)$ and $\mathbf{t}(w) = \mathbf{t}(w_n)$. If $w = w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ is a generalized word of length $n > 0$, we let $w^{-1} = w_n^{-1} \cdots w_2^{-1} \cdot w_1^{-1}$. If we have a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ of positive length such that $\mathbf{s}(w) = \mathbf{t}(w)$ we say that w is a *closed generalized word* and for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, the j -th *word rotation* $w[j]$ of w is the word $w_{j+1} \cdots w_n w_1 \cdots w_j$. The concatenation of two generalized words $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_m$ is defined as the generalized word $w \cdot v = w_1 \cdots w_n \cdot v_1 \cdots v_m$, provided that $\mathbf{s}(v) = \mathbf{t}(w)$. For all $v \in Q_0$, we consider $\mathbb{1}_v$ as a generalized word of length zero, and let $\mathbb{1}_v = \mathbb{1}_v^{-1}$.

Remark 3.1. For all generalized words w , we are not necessarily assuming that $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{s}(w)} \cdot w = w$ or that $w \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{t}(w)} = w$.

If w is a closed generalized word of non-negative length, then for all integers $n \geq 1$, we denote by $w^{\cdot n}$ the n -fold generalized concatenation $w \cdot w \cdots w$ of w with itself. For all $v \in Q_0$, we also consider the n -fold generalized concatenation of $\mathbb{1}_v$, namely $w = \mathbb{1}_v^{\cdot n}$. If v and w are two generalized words, we say that $w \sim_S v$ if and only if $w = v^{-1}$; and if v and w are further closed words, we say that $w \sim_R v$ if and only if either $w = v^{-1}$ or there exists $j \geq 1$ such that $w = v[j]$.

Let $J(\Lambda)$ be the difference of ideals of $\mathbb{k}Q$:

$$(3.2) \quad J(\Lambda) = \tilde{I} - \langle p_1, p_1 \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>1}(\Lambda) \mid p_1 - p_2 \in \rho \rangle.$$

We denote by $St(\Lambda)$ the set of all strings for $\tilde{\Lambda}$ in the sense of [10]. We denote by $\overline{GSt(\Lambda)}$ the set of all generalized words for $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of positive length $w = w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ that satisfies the following conditions. For all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

- (i) if $w_j, w_{j+1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, then $w_j w_{j+1} \in J(\Lambda)$;
- (ii) if $w_j^{-1}, w_{j+1}^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, then $w_j^{-1} w_{j+1}^{-1} \in J(\Lambda)$;
- (iii) if either $w_j, w_{j+1}^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ or $w_j^{-1}, w_{j+1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, then $w_j w_{j+1} \in St(\tilde{\Lambda})$.

We denote by $GSt(\Lambda)$ a fixed set of representatives of the quotient of $\overline{GSt(\Lambda)}$ over the equivalence relation \sim_S plus all generalized words of length zero, and the elements of $GSt(\Lambda)$ will be called *generalized strings* for Λ .

We define inductively a function η over the set of generalized strings for Λ as follows. If $w = w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ is a generalized word of positive length for Λ with $n \geq 1$, then for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, we let

$$\eta_w(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \eta_w(j-1) + 1, & \text{if } w_j \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \\ \eta_w(j-1) - 1, & \text{if } w_j^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}). \end{cases}$$

Let $\overline{GBa(\Lambda)}$ the set of all closed generalized strings $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ for Λ such that $w^2 \in \overline{GSt(\Lambda)}$, $\eta_w(0) = \eta_w(n)$, and such that w is not itself a power of one of its proper sub-words. We denote by $GBa(\Lambda)$ a fixed set of representatives of the quotient set of $\overline{GBa(\Lambda)}$ over the equivalence relation \sim_R , and we call the elements of $GBa(\Lambda)$ *generalized bands* for Λ .

Definition 3.2. Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ be a generalized string for Λ_0 with $n \geq 1$. We define the complex $P[w]^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ as follows. For all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let

$$P[w]^\ell = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \Delta(\eta_w(j), \ell) \mathbf{P}_{c_w(j)},$$

where Δ is the Kronecker delta, $c_w(0) = \mathbf{s}(w)$, and for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $c_w(j) = \mathbf{t}(w_j)$. The differential maps are $\delta_{P[w]}^\ell = (\delta_{jk,w}^\ell)_{0 \leq j, k \leq n}$, where for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\delta_{jk,w}^\ell = \begin{cases} p(w_{j+1}), & \text{if } w_{j+1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell \text{ and } k = j + 1, \\ p(w_j^{-1}), & \text{if } w_j^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell \text{ and } k = j - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, and all paths $x \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda})$, $p(w_j)$ is as in Remark 2.1. We call $P[w]^\bullet$ the *string complex* corresponding to the generalized string w .

We denote by $\text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$ the set of all indecomposable polynomials with coefficients over \mathbb{k} , which are not of the form x^d for some $d \geq 1$. If $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$, then we denote by F_g the Frobenius companion matrix of g . If $g(x) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \in \mathbb{k}[x]$, with $a_0 \neq 0$, we denote by g_{inv} the polynomial $g_{\text{inv}}(x) = x^d + \frac{a_{d-1}}{a_0}x^{d-1} + \cdots + \frac{a_1}{a_0}x + \frac{1}{a_0}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $w = w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ be a generalized band for Λ_0 and let $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$. We define $P[w, g]^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ as follows. For all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$P[w, g]^\ell = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \Delta(\eta_w(j), \ell) \mathbf{P}_{c_w(j)}^{\deg g},$$

where Δ and c_w are as in Definition 3.2. The differential maps are $\delta_{P[w,g]}^\ell = (\delta_{jk,w,g}^\ell)_{0 \leq j, k < n}$, where for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\delta_{jk,w,g}^\ell = \begin{cases} p(w_{j+1}) \mathbf{Id}_{\deg g}, & \text{if } w_{j+1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell \text{ and } k = j + 1, \\ p(w_j^{-1}) \mathbf{Id}_{\deg g}, & \text{if } w_j^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell \text{ and } k = j - 1, \\ p(w_n) F_g, & \text{if } w_n \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell, j = n - 1 \text{ and } k = 0, \\ p(w_n^{-1}) F_g, & \text{if } w_n^{-1} \in \mathbf{Pa}_{>0}(\tilde{\Lambda}), \eta_w(j) = \ell, j = 0 \text{ and } k = n - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call the complex $P[w, g]^\bullet$ the *band complex* corresponding to the generalized band w .

Remark 3.4. Let Λ be an special biserial algebra that satisfies the condition (C).

- (i) Note that in principle, we are defining string and band complexes for $\tilde{\Lambda}$. In particular, if \tilde{P}^\bullet is either a string complex or a band complex for $\tilde{\Lambda}$, then \tilde{P}^\bullet is minimal in the sense of Remark 2.4. Thus, we can extend \tilde{P}^\bullet to be a complex P^\bullet for Λ by adding the missing socle to each of the biserial indecomposable direct summands \tilde{P} of the terms of \tilde{P}^\bullet and extending the definition of the differentials.
- (ii) Let g be a polynomial in $\text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$. If w a string representative (resp. band representative) for $\tilde{\Lambda}$ in the sense of [10] (i.e. w induces a non-projective indecomposable right Λ -module), then the string complex $P[w]^\bullet$ (resp. band complex $P[w, g]^\bullet$) is a two-term complex.

Definition 3.5. (i) For all generalized strings $w = w_1 \cdot w_2 \cdots w_n$ for Λ of positive length, we define

$$\deg w := \max\{\eta_w(j) | 0 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

- (ii) For all $v \in Q_0$ and all integers $n \geq 0$, if $w = \mathbb{1}_v^n$, then we let $\deg w = n$.

The following result, follows directly from Definitions 3.2 and 3.5.

Proposition 3.6. *Let Λ be an special biserial algebra that satisfies the condition (C).*

- (i) *For all generalized string representatives w for Λ , the string complexes $P[w]^\bullet$ and $T^{-\deg w}(P[w^{-1}]^\bullet)$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$.*
- (ii) *For all generalized band representatives w for Λ and polynomials $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$, the band complexes $P[w, g]^\bullet$ and $T^{-\deg w}(P[w^{-1}, g_{\text{inv}}]^\bullet)$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$.*

The following proposition follows by using the fact that the Nakayama's functor of a symmetric \mathbb{k} -algebra is the identity and by using the radical series of the indecomposable projective modules over symmetric special biserial algebras.

Proposition 3.7. *Let Λ be a symmetric special biserial algebra that satisfies condition (C).*

- (i) *If w is a string representative for Λ and $M[w]$ is the corresponding string right Λ -module (in the sense of [10]), then $H^0(T^{\deg w}(P[w]^\bullet))$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^{-1}M[w]$ as right Λ -modules.*
- (ii) *If w is a band representative for Λ , $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$ and $M[w, g]$ is the corresponding band right Λ -module (in the sense of [10]), then $H^0(T^{\deg w}(P[w, g]^\bullet))$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^{-1}M[w, g]$ as right Λ -modules.*

Remark 3.8. If Λ is a symmetric special biserial algebra (not necessarily satisfying the condition (C)), then we denote by $P[\mathbb{1}_v^n]^\bullet$ the complex as in (2.2). In particular, $P[\mathbb{1}_v^n]^\bullet$ is indecomposable in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, and the component \mathfrak{C} of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ containing \mathbf{P}_v can be described completely by using the complexes $P[\mathbb{1}_v^n]^\bullet$ together with Figure 3. Observe in particular that in this situation, $\mathbf{P}_i \neq P[\mathbb{1}_i]^\bullet$ as complexes.

3.1. Algebras of Boundarenko's type. Let Λ be a gentle algebra as in §§2.2. Then by definition, Λ is itself a string algebra, i.e., $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda$. In particular, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$, then I is not generated by commutative relations. Thus the ideal $J(\Lambda)$ as in (3.2) is just the same ideal I . In this situation, our definition of string and band complexes coincide with that in [8, Def. 1 & Def. 2]. The same situation happens if Λ is a string almost gentle algebra as in §§2.2. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ is a string algebra such that for each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, there exists a unique maximal path for Λ containing α , then by [13, Def. 25 & Def. 26] string and band complexes are definable of Λ and their definition coincide with ours. Finally, if Λ is either Λ_3 or Λ_4 as in Figure 2, then by [17, Def. 4 & 5], string and band complexes are definable over Λ , and their definition also coincide with ours. Note that in all these cases, Λ is a special biserial algebra satisfies the condition (C). Moreover, for all these cases, it was proved that string and band complexes are indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ by defining a functor of \mathbb{k} -linear categories $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$ is the \mathbb{k} -category of Bondarenko's representations of a linearly ordered set $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda)$ determined by Λ (see e.g. [8, §2 & §4.2]), such that \mathbf{F}_Λ identifies string and band complexes with indecomposable representations in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$ (see [8, Thm. 3], [13, Thm. 37 & Thm. 41] and [17, Thm. 8]). This argument motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.9. Let Λ be an special biserial algebra that satisfies condition (C). We say that Λ is of *Boundarenko's type* if there is a functor $\mathbf{F}_\Lambda : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$ as above, that identifies string and band complexes with indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda), \mathbb{k})$. In particular, if Λ is an algebra of Boundarenko's type, then the string and band complexes are indecomposable in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$.

- Example 3.10.**
- (i) If Λ is one of the algebras $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_{2,m}$ with $m \geq 1$, or Λ_5 as in Figure 2, then the associated string algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is either gentle, string almost gentle, or satisfies that every arrow of the quiver of Λ belongs to a unique maximal path for $\tilde{\Lambda}$. By Remark 3.4, it follows that Λ is of Boundarenko's type. Note that Λ_1 , and $\Lambda_{2,m}$ are both symmetric, whereas Λ_5 is not.
 - (ii) It follows by the discussion above that if Λ is either Λ_3 or Λ_4 as in Figure 2, then Λ is of Boundarenko's type. It is important to mention that Λ_3 and Λ_4 are both symmetric \mathbb{k} -algebras which are not derived equivalent by [23, §3.2].

Remark 3.11. At this point, we do not know whether the algebra Λ_6 as in Figure 2 is of Boundarenko's type or not.

4. AUSLANDER-REITEN COMPONENTS CONTAINING EITHER A STRING OR A BAND COMPLEX

In this section, we assume that Λ is a symmetric special biserial algebra that satisfies the condition (C). For all complexes P^\bullet in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$, we denote by ${}^-P^\bullet$ the complex obtained from P^\bullet by changing the signs of the differentials.

Definition 4.1. Let w be a generalized string representative for Λ , and let $P[w]^\bullet$ be the corresponding string complex. For all $k \geq 0$, we define a perfect complex $P_k[w]^\bullet$ as follows. We let $P_{-1}[w]^\bullet = 0$, $P_0[w]^\bullet = P[w]^\bullet$ and $P_1[w]^\bullet = \text{cone}(f_{w,0}^\bullet)$, where $f_{w,0}^\bullet : {}^-P_0[w]^\bullet \rightarrow P_0[w]^\bullet$ is the morphism in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ with $f_{w,0}^{\text{deg } w} = f_{P_0[w]^\bullet}^{\text{deg } w}$ and $f_{w,0}^\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \neq \text{deg } w$, where $f_{P_0[w]^\bullet}^{\text{deg } w}$ and $\text{deg } w$ are as in Remark 2.5 and Definition 3.5, respectively. Let $k \geq 2$ be fixed and assume that $P_{k-1}[w]^\bullet$ is previously defined. Consider $f_{w,k-1}^\bullet$ the morphism $f_{w,k-1}^\bullet : {}^-P_{k-1}[w]^\bullet \rightarrow P_{k-1}[w]^\bullet$, where $f_{w,k-1}^{\text{deg } w} = f_{P_{k-1}[w]^\bullet}^{\text{deg } w}$, and $f_{w,k-1}^\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \neq \text{deg } w$. Then $P_k[w]^\bullet$ is the indecomposable complex in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \text{cone}(f_{w,k-1}^\bullet) = P_k[w]^\bullet \oplus T(P_{k-2}[w]^\bullet).$$

If w is a generalized band representative for Λ , and $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$, we analogously define, for all $k \geq 0$, perfect complexes $P_k[w, g]^\bullet$ such that $P_0[w, g]^\bullet = P[w, g]^\bullet$.

In the following, we state and prove the main result of this article. Its proof follows the same ideas of that of [17, Thm. 14].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ be a symmetric algebra of Boundarenko's type as in Definition 3.9.*

- (i) *If w is a generalized string representative for Λ of positive length, and \mathfrak{C} is the component of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$ containing $P[w]^\bullet$ as in Figure 3, then for all $k \geq 0$, $C_k^\bullet = P_k[w]^\bullet$, where $P_k[w]^\bullet$ is as in Definition 4.1.*
- (ii) *If w is a generalized band representative for Λ , $g \in \text{ind } \mathbb{k}[x]$, and \mathfrak{B} is the component of $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda))$ containing $P[w, g]^\bullet$ as in Figure 3, then for all $k \geq 0$, $C_k^\bullet = P_k[w, g]^\bullet$, where $P_k[w, g]^\bullet$ is as in Definition 4.1.*

Proof. (i). We first prove by induction on the length of w that $P[w]^\bullet$ lies on the boundary of \mathfrak{C} . If w has length 1, then $P[w]$ has two non-zero terms. It follows by Theorem 2.7 (iv) that $P[w]^\bullet$ lies on the rim of \mathfrak{C} . Let $n \geq 2$ be arbitrary and assume by induction that for all generalized string representatives w' that have length less than n , the perfect complex $P[w']^\bullet$ lies on the rim of \mathfrak{C} . Assume next that w has length n and suppose by contradiction that $P[w]^\bullet$ does not lie on the rim of \mathfrak{C} . Then for some $k_0 \geq 1$ and some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that $T^m(P[w]^\bullet) = C_{k_0}^\bullet$. Because of the general structure of the component \mathfrak{C} as shown in Figure 3, we get that there exists an irreducible morphism $u_{k_0-1}^\bullet : C_{k_0-1}^\bullet \rightarrow T^m(P[w]^\bullet)$. By [16, Thm. 6] (see also [26, Lemma 3.1(1)]), we can assume that $u_{k_0-1}^\bullet$ is an irreducible morphism in the abelian category of complexes $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$. It follows from Theorem 2.7 (iii) and [16, Prop. 3] that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u_{k_0-1}^j$ is a monomorphism and thus $T^{-m}(C_{k_0-1}^\bullet)$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$ to one of the proper subcomplexes of $P[w]^\bullet$, which are themselves either of the form $T^{-m'}(\mathbf{P}_v)$ for some $v \in Q_0$ and $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, or a string complex $P[w']^\bullet$, where w' is a proper generalized substring of w for Λ of positive length. Assume first that $T^{-m}(C_{k_0-1}^\bullet) = T^{-m'}(\mathbf{P}_v)$ for some $v \in Q_0$ and $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 2.7 (ii), $k_0 = 1$ and $P[w]^\bullet = T^{-m''}(P[\mathbb{1}_v^2]^\bullet)$ for some $m'' \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $P[\mathbb{1}_v^2]^\bullet$ is as in Remark 3.8. This argument contradicts that w is a generalized string for Λ of positive length. Next assume that $T^{-m}(C_{k_0-1}^\bullet) = P[w']^\bullet$, where w' is a proper generalized substring of w of positive length. By induction we have that $P[w']^\bullet$ lies on the boundary of \mathfrak{C} . Thus by Theorem 2.7, the non-zero cohomology groups of higher (resp. lowest) degree of $P[w]^\bullet$ and $P[w']^\bullet$ are isomorphic. This is impossible for w' is assumed to be a proper generalized substring of w of positive length. Hence $P[w]^\bullet$ lies in the boundary of \mathfrak{C} .

Note that after shifting, we can assume that $C_0^\bullet = P[w]^\bullet = P_0[w]^\bullet$.

Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed but arbitrary, and assume that for all $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, we have $C_j^\bullet = P_j[w]^\bullet$. Once again, from the general structure of the component \mathfrak{C} as shown in Figure 3, we get an Auslander-Reiten triangle

$$T^{-1}(C_{k-1}^\bullet) \rightarrow T^{-1}(\text{cone}(h_{k-1}^\bullet)) \rightarrow C_{k-1}^\bullet \xrightarrow{h_{k-1}^\bullet} C_{k-1}^\bullet,$$

for some morphism $h_{k-1}^\bullet : C_{k-1}^\bullet \rightarrow C_{k-1}^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{K}^b(\mathscr{D}_{\Lambda_0})$. By Lemma 2.6, we can assume that $h_{k-1}^\bullet = f_{w,k-1}^\bullet$. Since $\text{cone}(f_{w,k-1}^\bullet) = P_k[w]^\bullet \oplus T(P_{k-2}[w]^\bullet) = P_k[w]^\bullet \oplus T(C_{k-2}^\bullet)$, we obtain that $C_k^\bullet = P_k[w]^\bullet$. This finishes the proof of (i). Note that (ii) is a consequence of (i) together with Definition 4.1 for band complexes over Λ . This ends the proof of Theorem 4.2.

□

Remark 4.3. Let Λ be a symmetric algebra of Boundarenko's type as in Definition 3.9, and let $P^\bullet[w]$ (resp. $P[w, g]^\bullet$) be a string (resp. band) complex for Λ as in hypothesis of Theorem 4.2. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that for all $k \geq 1$, the complex $P_k[w]^\bullet$ (resp. $P_k[w, g]^\bullet$) is indecomposable in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$. However, for all $k \geq 1$, $P_k[w]^\bullet$ (resp. $P_k[w, g]^\bullet$) cannot be realized as either a string or a band complex. In particular, in this situation, string and band complexes for Λ form a proper class of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj-}\Lambda)$.

REFERENCES

1. I. Assem and A. Skowroński, *Iterated tilted algebras of type \tilde{A}_n* , Math. Z. **195** (1987), no. 2, 269–290.
2. M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
3. R. Bautista, *On derived tame algebras*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **3** (2007), no. 13, 25–54.
4. V. Bekkert, Y. Drozd, and V. Futorny, *Derived tame local and two-point algebras*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 2433–2448.
5. V. Bekkert and Y. A. Drozd, *Tame-wild dichotomy for derived categories*, Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0310352>, 2003.
6. V. Bekkert, H. Giraldo, and J. A. Vélez-Marulanda, *Derived tame algebras*, Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01494>, 2020.
7. V. Bekkert, E. D. Marcos, and H. A. Merklen, *Indecomposables in derived categories of skewed-gentle algebras*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 6, 2615–2654.
8. V. Bekkert and H. A. Merklen, *Indecomposables in derived categories of gentle algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **6** (2003), no. 3, 285–302.
9. G. Bobiński, Ch. Geiß, and A. Skowroński, *Classification of discrete derived categories*, CEJM **2** (2004), no. 1, 19–49.
10. M. C. R. Butler and C. M. Ringel, *Auslander-Reiten sequences with few middle terms and applications to string algebras*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), no. 1-2, 145–179.
11. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory with applications to finite groups and orders*, vol. I, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
12. K. Erdmann, *Blocks of Tame Representation Type and Related Algebras*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, no. 1428, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
13. A. Franco, H. Giraldo, and P. Rizzo, *String and band complexes over string almost gentle algebras*, Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04012>, 2019.
14. Ch. Geiß and J. A. de la Peña, *Auslander-Reiten components for clans*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **5** (1999), no. 3, 307–326.
15. Ch. Geiß and H. Krause, *On the notion of derived tameness*, J. Algebra Appl. **1** (2002), no. 2, 133–157.
16. H. Giraldo and H. A. Merklen, *Irreducible morphisms of complexes of complexes*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 2716–2736.
17. H. Giraldo and J. A. Vélez-Marulanda, *String and band complexes over certain algebra of dihedral type*, Algebr. Represent. Theor. **19** (2016), 419–433.
18. E. L. Green and S. Schroll, *Almost gentle algebras and their trivial extensions*, P. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **62** (2019), no. 2, 489–504.
19. D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, no. 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
20. ———, *Auslander-Reiten triangles in derived categories of finite-dimensional algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 3, 641–648.
21. D. Happel, B. Keller, and I. Reiten, *Bounded derived categories and repetitive algebras*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 4, 1611–1635.
22. R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 20, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
23. T. Holm, *Derived equivalence classification of algebras of dihedral, semidihedral, and quaternion type*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), 159–205.
24. H. Krause, *Maps between tree and band modules*, J. Algebra **137** (1991), no. 1, 186–194.
25. K. W. Roggenkamp, *Biserial algebras and graphs*, Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger 1996) (I. Reiten, S. Smalø, and Ø. Solberg, eds.), CMS Conf. Proc., no. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 481–496.
26. S. Scherotzke, *Finite and bounded Auslander-Reiten components in the derived category*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **444** (2015), 232–241.
27. S. Schroll, *Trivial extensions of gentle algebras and Brauer graph algebras*, J. Algebra **444** (2015), 183–200.
28. ———, *Brauer graph algebras: a survey on Brauer graph algebras, associated gentle algebras and their connections to cluster theory*, Homological methods, representation theory, and cluster algebras (I. Assem and S. Trepode, eds.), CRM Short Courses, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 177–223.
29. J. Verdier, *Catégories dérivées: quelques résultats (état 0)*. (French), Cohomologie étale: Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 1/2 (P. Deligne, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 569, Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 262–311.

30. D. Vossieck, *The algebras with discrete category*, J. Algebra **243** (2001), 168–176.
31. B. Wald and J. Waschbüsch, *Tame biserial algebras*, J. Algebra **95** (1985), 480–500.
32. P. Webb, *Consequences of the existence of Auslander-Reiten triangles with applications to perfect complexes for self-injective algebras*, Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.4701>.
33. W. W. Wheeler, *The triangulated structure of the stable derived category*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 23–40.
34. C. Zhang, *Derived representation type and cleaving functors*, Comm. Algebra **46** (2018), no. 6, 2696–2701.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA, MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA
E-mail address: hernan.giraldo@udea.edu.co

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA, MEDELLÍN, COLOMBIA
E-mail address: ricardo.rueda@udea.edu.co

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VALDOSTA STATE UNIVERSITY, VALDOSTA, GA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
E-mail address: javelezmarulanda@valdosta.edu (Corresponding author)