

The Hubble stream near a massive object: the exact analytical solution for the spherically-symmetric case

A. N. Baushev¹

¹*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia*

ABSTRACT

The gravitational field of a massive object (for instance, of a galaxy group or cluster) disturbs the Hubble stream, decreasing its speed. Dependence $v(r_0)$ of the radial velocity of the stream from the present-day radius r_0 can be directly observed and may provide valuable information about the cluster properties. We offer an exact analytical relationship $v(r_0)$ for a spherically-symmetric system.

Key words: galaxies: distances and redshifts, Local Group, galaxies: clusters: general, galaxies: kinematics and dynamics, methods: analytical.

1 INTRODUCTION

In an ideal Friedmann’s universe, all nearby objects move off radially from an observer with the speed proportional to the distance to the object (the famous Hubble-Lemaître law). The real Universe, however, contains local overdensities (like galaxy groups or clusters), and their additional gravitational attraction slows down the Hubble flow, changing the dependence $v(r_0)$ of the radial velocity from the present-day radius r_0 . Hereafter we will consider a single overdensity and name it the ‘galaxy cluster’, though our reasoning is equally valid for any cosmological object, on condition that the suppositions that we will make during the derivation are applicable for the object. The dependence $v(r_0)$ can be obtained from observations (see, for instance, Figure 1 in (Karachentsev et al. 2009)) and, in principle, may tell a lot about the cluster and its environment. We just need to build a theoretical model of $v(r_0)$ as a function of cluster parameters and compare it with observations.

Of course, the task is well-known, and there is a vast literature dedicated to its solution. The methods can be roughly divided into three groups. The analytical approach was applied in old papers (for example, (Olson & Silk 1979; Lynden-Bell 1981; Giraud 1986)), but the cosmological constant was believed to be zero at that time. Several approximate formulas were offered for $v(r_0)$ (for instance, (Peirani & de Freitas Pacheco 2008; Karachentsev et al. 2009)). Recently N-body simulations are performed to solve the problem (e.g., (Hanski et al. 2001; Peñarrubia et al. 2014)), which allowed to consider realistic non-spherical models of the Local Group.

On the other hand, the N-body simulations may not be considered as having no disadvantages (Baushev et al. 2017; Baushev & Pilipenko 2018), and the analytical approach seems preferable in simple cases, since it allows to

obtain a precise and compact equation for $v(r_0)$. For instance, Baushev (2019) offered an exact analytical equation for the radius L_0 , at which a spherically-symmetric object of mass M stops the Hubble flow¹, i.e., $v(L_0) = 0$. We use the same approach in this letter, and our aim is to generalize the result of (Baushev 2019) and find the full velocity profile $v(r_0)$ in the spherically-symmetric case. As we will see, one may find an exact analytical solution of this task, even for an arbitrary (but spherically-symmetric) distribution of matter around the cluster.

Let us specify the Universe model, which is implied in this Letter. We suppose that the Universe is homogeneous and isotropic, i.e., its metrics can be represented as $ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - a^2(t) dl^2$, where dl is an element of three-dimensional length and a is the scale factor of the Universe. We denote the present-day values of the Hubble constant, critical density, and the scale factor of the Universe by H_0 , $\rho_{c,0}$, and a_0 , respectively. We denote the present-day matter, dark energy, and curvature² densities of the Universe by $\rho_{M,0}$, $\rho_{\Lambda,0}$, $\rho_{a,0}$, respectively. We may also introduce the ratios of the present-day densities of the universe components to $\rho_{c,0}$: $\Omega_{a,0} \equiv \rho_{a,0}/\rho_{c,0}$ etc. In the literature, the quantities $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$, $\Omega_{M,0}$ etc. are often denoted simply by Ω_{Λ} , Ω_M etc., but we use the additional sub-index to remind that these are the present-day values.

We perform our calculations for the case of the standard Λ CDM Universe (though they can be easily generalized for less standard cosmological models). We suppose that the

¹ In (Baushev 2019) L_0 is denoted by R_0 .

² The curvature density is equal to $\rho_{a,0} = \frac{3c^2}{8\pi G} \cdot \frac{k}{a_0^2}$, where $k = -1, 1, 0$ if the universe density is higher, smaller, or equal to the critical one, respectively.

dark energy behaves simply as the cosmological constant (i.e., $p_\Lambda = -\rho_{\Lambda,0} = \text{const}$), and that the Universe is flat ($\Omega_{a,0} = 0$) in absence of structures (Tanabashi et al. 2018). We neglect the contribution of the radiation component: the present-day density of radiation is $\sim 10^{-4}\rho_{c,0}$, and, though the contribution was much larger in the early Universe, we will show that the relative error of the velocity field determination caused by the disregarding of radiation is also $\sim 10^{-4}$. Then we obtain: $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} + \Omega_{M,0} = 1$. The universe age t_0 in the Λ CDM is defined by the well-known equation (see e.g. (Gorbunov & Rubakov 2011, eqn. 4.29)):

$$t_0 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}} = \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{arccosh} \left(1/\sqrt{\Omega_{M,0}} \right) \quad (1)$$

We may obtain this equation from (2), if we substitute there $\sigma_{M,0} = \Omega_{M,0}\rho_{c,0}$, $\sigma_{\Lambda,0} = \Omega_{\Lambda,0}\rho_{c,0}$, $\sigma_{a,0} = 0$ and integrate.

2 THE IDEA OF THE SOLUTION

We find the velocity field around a cluster of galaxies under the following assumptions:

- (i) The system is spherically-symmetric and has not experienced any tidal perturbations from other structures.
- (ii) Its characteristic radius (for instance, we may consider R_0) is much larger than its gravitational radius and much smaller than the universe radius ($c/H_0 \gg R_0 \gg R_g \equiv 2GM/c^2$). The significance of this assumption will be explained below.

We choose the center of symmetry of the system as the origin of coordinates, and the Big Bang as the zero point of time. We denote the present-day radii and radial speeds of the objects around the cluster by r_0 and v . We emphasize that v is the speed of the Hubble stream, it is refined from the peculiar velocities of the objects. Our aim is to find the relationship $v(r_0)$.

Consider a spherical layer of radius r_0 and determine its previous evolution $r(t, r_0)$. Our solution is based on two well-known properties³ of spherically-symmetric gravitating systems in the general theory of relativity: first, a spherically-symmetric distribution outside a radius r does not create any gravitational field inside this radius. It means that the matter outside r does not affect the dependence $r(t, r_0)$ at all.

Second, $r(t, r_0)$ depends only on the total mass of dark and baryonic matter inside r , and does not depend on the matter space distribution, if the distribution is spherically-symmetric. Indeed, the exterior layers do not create any gravitational field at r , and, in accordance with the Birkhoff's theorem, the gravitational field created at r by the spherical, nonrotating matter inside r must be Schwarzschild, i.e., it depends on the only parameter, the total energy inside r . Contrary to the newtonian gravity, the gravitational field in the general theory of relativity is created by both, density and pressure. However, the only component with significant pressure in our system is the

dark energy, which has exactly the same pressure and density everywhere⁴. The matter pressure is negligible with respect to its density. Therefore, the total mass inside r does not depend on the matter distribution inside r , and we may redistribute the matter inside r whatever we like without any influence on the gravitational force at r and the layer evolution $r(t, r_0)$.

3 CALCULATIONS

Thus, we may virtually redistribute the matter inside r uniformly, and it will not affect the dependence $r(t, r_0)$. But then we have a 'uniform universe' inside r , and its evolution may be found from the usual Friedmann equation (see e.g. (Gorbunov & Rubakov 2011, eqn. 4.1)):

$$\left(\frac{dr}{r dt} \right)^2 = \frac{H_0^2}{\rho_{c,0}} \left[\sigma_{M,0} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^3 + \sigma_{\Lambda,0} + \sigma_{a,0} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here $\sigma_{M,0}$, $\sigma_{\Lambda,0}$, $\sigma_{a,0}$ are the averaged present-day matter, dark energy, and curvature densities inside r_0 . Of course, $\sigma_{M,0}$, $\sigma_{\Lambda,0}$, $\sigma_{a,0}$ depend on r_0 . By analogy with Ω_0 , we may introduce the fractions $\Sigma_{M,0} \equiv \sigma_{M,0}/\rho_{c,0}$ etc. We meaningly use σ and Σ in order to distinguish the averaged densities σ and Σ , depending on r_0 , from ρ and Ω , that correspond to the undisturbed Universe and therefore are universal. Apparently, $\sigma_{\Lambda,0} = \rho_{\Lambda,0}$, $\Sigma_{\Lambda,0} = \Omega_{\Lambda,0}$. Denoting the matter mass as a function of r_0 by $M_M(r_0)$, we obtain

$$\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0) = \frac{3M_M(r_0)}{4\pi r_0^3 \rho_{c,0}}. \quad (3)$$

Here we used *assumption (ii)* about the cluster of galaxies: it implies that the space near the cluster is flat. At $r = r_0$ $dr/dt = v(r_0)$, and we obtain from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{v}{r_0 H_0} \right)^2 &= [\Sigma_{M,0} + \Omega_{\Lambda,0} + \Sigma_{a,0}] \\ \Sigma_{a,0} &= \left(\frac{v}{r_0 H_0} \right)^2 - \Sigma_{M,0} - \Omega_{\Lambda,0} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We substitute this value to (2) and obtain

$$\left(\frac{dx}{H_0 dt} \right)^2 = \frac{\Sigma_{M,0}}{x} (1-x) - \Omega_{\Lambda,0} (1-x^2) + \left(\frac{v}{r_0 H_0} \right)^2, \quad (5)$$

where $x \equiv r/r_0$. We introduce two new designations

$$\alpha = \frac{\Sigma_{M,0}}{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}}; \quad U = \frac{v_0^2}{r_0^2 H_0^2 \Omega_{\Lambda,0}}. \quad (6)$$

As we can see, $U \geq 0$. Strictly speaking, α and U are functions of r_0 , but now it is more convenient for us now to consider them as independent variables. We may rewrite (5) as

$$H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}} dt = \frac{\sqrt{x} dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha}} \equiv \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx. \quad (7)$$

Here we introduced a new function $\xi(U, \alpha, x)$

$$\xi(U, \alpha, x) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha}} \quad (8)$$

³ See (Zeldovich & Novikov 1983, chapters 1, 4) for a detailed proof. A brief outline of it may be found in (Baushev 2019).

⁴ It needs not be true for more exotic models of the dark energy. For instance, if the dark energy has some additional interaction with baryonic matter, our consideration is not valid.

for brevity sake. Variables α and U do not depend on x , and we may easily integrate equation (7). If $v \geq 0$ (apparently, it means that $r_0 \geq L_0$), the integration is trivial:

$$t_0 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}} = \int_0^1 \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx. \quad (9)$$

Comparing this equation with (1), we equate their right parts:

$$\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{arcosh} \left(1/\sqrt{\Omega_{M,0}} \right) = \int_0^1 \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx, \quad \text{if } v \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

This equation defines U as an implicit function of α and $\Omega_{M,0}$. The function can be resolved with respect to U in form of an explicit function $U_+(\alpha, \Omega_{M,0})$. The subscript '+' reminds that the function describes only the positive velocity branch, or $r_0 \geq L_0$. We should underline that equation (10) gives a meaningful relation $U_+(\alpha, \Omega_{M,0})$ not for all pairs of U and α . This issue will be discussed in section 4.

If we substitute $U = 0$ into (10), we obtain an implicit function, bounding the average matter density inside the stop radius L_0 , namely $\alpha_0 = \Sigma_{M,0}/\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$, with $\Omega_{M,0}$:

$$\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{arcosh} \left(1/\sqrt{\Omega_{M,0}} \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{x} dx}{\sqrt{x^3 - (\alpha_0 + 1)x + \alpha_0}}. \quad (11)$$

This equation coincides with equation (11) from (Baushev 2019) and allows to find L_0 for an arbitrary spherically-symmetric mass distribution $M(r_0)$ (see (Baushev 2019) for details).

The integration of (7) is more complex, if $v < 0$ (i.e., $r_0 < L_0$). In this case, the toy 'uniform universe' inside r , which we consider, has already passed its maximum expansion and now contracts (therefore $v < 0$). The maximum expansion $x_{max} = r_{max}/r_0$ corresponds to the moment when $dr/dt = 0$ (or $dx/dt = 0$), i.e., the radicand in (7) turns to zero. Thus x_{max} is a root of the equation $x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha = 0$. Since x varies from 0 to x_{max} , we should choose the smallest real positive one. Actually, the root should exceed 1 (since the 'universe' contracts, its maximum radius r_{max} should exceed the present-day radius r_0). A cubic equation has three roots, and two of them can be complex. However, expression $f(x) = x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha$ is equal to $\alpha > 0$ at $x = 0$, and $f(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ if $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Consequently, one of the real roots is obligatory negative and cannot be x_{max} . Thus, x_{max} exists only if all three roots of the equation are real. It is easy to show that we should choose the middle one:

$$x_{max} = \frac{(1 - i\sqrt{3})(U - \alpha - 1)}{3 \cdot 2^{2/3} \cdot \left(-\alpha + \sqrt{27\alpha^2 + 4(U - \alpha - 1)^3} \right)^{1/3}} - \frac{(1 + i\sqrt{3}) \left(-\alpha + \sqrt{27\alpha^2 + 4(U - \alpha - 1)^3} \right)^{1/3}}{2^{4/3}}. \quad (12)$$

If x_{max} obtained with this equation for some pair of U and α is not real or $x_{max} < 1$, it means that v cannot be negative for these values of U and α . We will specify the necessary conditions in section 4.

Thus, if $v < 0$, the right part of (7) should be integrated

from $x = 0$ to $x = x_{max}$, and then from $x = x_{max}$ to $x = 1$:

$$t_0 H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}} = \int_0^{x_{max}} \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx + \int_1^{x_{max}} \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx = \\ = 2 \int_0^{x_{max}} \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx - \int_0^1 \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx, \quad \text{if } v < 0. \quad (13)$$

Comparing this equation with (1), we equate their right parts and obtain the final solution for the $v < 0$ case:

$$\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{arcosh} \left(1/\sqrt{\Omega_{M,0}} \right) = \\ = 2 \int_0^{x_{max}} \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx - \int_0^1 \xi(U, \alpha, x) dx, \quad \text{if } v < 0. \quad (14)$$

We derive equations (10) and (14) equating the universe ages, and justifies the disregard of the radiation term in the Friedmann equation. Radiation dominated in the early Universe, but for less than 10^{-4} of the Universe age ($< 5 \cdot 10^5$ years). For almost all of the 13.6 bln. years the Universe has been living with the fraction of radiation, comparable with the present-day one ($\Omega_{\gamma,0} < 10^{-4}$), and we may conclude that the relative error occurring from the neglect of the radiation term does not exceed 10^{-4} .

4 DISCUSSION

Equations (10) and (14), together with definitions (6) and (8), give the full analytical solution of the task under consideration (the case of $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0$, is considered in the Appendix section). In order to find the speed distribution $v(r_0)$ around an arbitrary spherically-symmetric mass distribution $M(r_0)$, we should use the following algorithm:

- (i) We find the function $\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0)$ (equation (3)) and $\alpha(r_0) = \Sigma_{M,0}(r_0)/\Omega_{M,0}$.
- (ii) We find L_0 with the help of (11) or (Baushev 2019).
- (iii) With the help of (10), we find the distribution $U_+(\alpha(r_0), \Omega_{M,0})$ for $r_0 \geq L_0$, and with the help of (14) — the distribution $U_-(\alpha(r_0), \Omega_{M,0})$ for $r_0 < L_0$.
- (iv) With the help of (6), we restore the desired function $v(r_0)$ from $U_+(\alpha(r_0), \Omega_{M,0})$ and $U_-(\alpha(r_0), \Omega_{M,0})$.

It is important to underline that our solution cannot be valid for very small radii: as we derive it, we assume that the layers with different r_0 do not cross each other. It is true outside of L_0 and to some extent inside L_0 . However, the stream accreting on the galaxy group finally faces the substance that has already passed through the group and moves outwards. Inside this radius (apparently, it lies inside L_0 and well outside the virial radius R_{vir}) we have a multi-stream regime, and our solution fails.

Equations (10) and (14) are not defined for an arbitrary pair of U and α . We have already discussed some limitations, but now we need to specify them in more details.

Let us start from the $v < 0$ case, i.e., from equation (14). The convergence of the integrals in it depends on the behavior of $f(x) = x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha$. We have already seen that equation $f(x) = 0$ obligatory has a negative real root, and a meaningful solution of equation (14) exists only if all three roots of the equation $x^3 + (U - \alpha - 1)x + \alpha = 0$ are real, and the smallest positive one $x_{max} \geq 1$. Let us consider the limits, which these conditions set on U and α . All




Figure 1. The speed profiles of the Hubble stream around the cluster for the *point* model (17) (solid line), *halo* model (18) (dash-dot line), and *empty* model (19) (dot line), respectively, at the distances 0.5 – 3 Mpc from the cluster center.

three roots of the cubic equation are real if the discriminant $Q \equiv [(U - \alpha - 1)/3]^3 + (a/2)^2 \leq 0$. However, the case $Q = 0$ does not fit: the cubic parabola is tangent to the x axis at x_{max} in this case, and we will show in the next paragraph that then the first integral in (14) diverges at x_{max} . It follows from $Q < 0$ that $U < \alpha + 1 - 3(\alpha/2)^{2/3}$. But $U \geq 0$, and thus $\alpha > 2$. The physical reason of this limitation is trivial: the gravitational attraction created by normal matter is two times weaker than the effective gravitational repulsion created by the cosmological constant of equal density (Tolman 1934). Therefore, if $\alpha \leq 2$ (i.e., $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} \geq 2\Sigma_{M,0}$), the overdensity is too low to stop the Hubble stream, and its speed v cannot be negative. Thus, we obtain the necessary conditions of meaningfulness of solution (14) for $v < 0$:

$$U < \alpha + 1 - 3(\alpha/2)^{2/3}, \quad \alpha > 2. \quad (15)$$

However, if we substitute the first of them into (12), we obtain after simple transformations $x_{max} > \sqrt{\alpha/2}$. Thus, $x_{max} > 1$ if $\alpha > 2$, and conditions (15) are also sufficient.

Now consider the case when $v \geq 0$, i.e., equation (10). First of all, the integral in (10) diverges if $f(x)$ is tangent to the X -axis at any point $x_t \in [0, 1]$. Indeed, in this case $f(x) \propto (x - x_t)^2$ near x_t , the denominator in (10) $\sqrt{f(x)} \propto |x - x_t|$, and the integral diverges logarithmically. In particular, it diverges if $\alpha = 2$, $U = 0$ (then $x_t = 1$). The second condition is that $f(x) \geq 0$ at $x \in [0, 1]$. The conditions can be summarized as $f(x) > 0$ at $x \in [0, 1]$, which is equal to

$$U > \alpha + 1 - 3(\alpha/2)^{2/3}, \quad \alpha \leq 2 \\ \text{any } U \geq 0, \quad \alpha > 2 \quad (16)$$

If this condition is satisfied, the integral in equation (10) is always defined and real.

We should emphasize that we cannot guarantee that any pair (α, U) satisfying conditions (15) or (16) corresponds to a physically meaningful solution. For instance, if $(U - \alpha - 1) > 0$, the layer expands with acceleration even at $x = 0$, i.e., at $z \gg 10$. Of course, it could not happen in the real Universe. However, if conditions (15) and (16) are




Figure 2. The speed profiles of the Hubble stream around the cluster for the *point* model (17) (solid line), *halo* model (18) (dash-dot line), and *empty* model (19) (dot line), respectively, at the distances 0.5 – 8 Mpc from the cluster center.

not satisfied for some (α, U) , the integrals in (10) and (14), respectively, cannot be calculated, and this pair (α, U) is physically impossible for our task.

5 AN EXAMPLE OF APPLICATION

In order to illustrate the solution that we have obtained, let us calculate the velocity field for several toy models of the galaxy clusters. In order to compare the velocity profiles for different parameters, we set all the models so that they have the same stop radius $L_0 = 1$ Mpc, which roughly corresponds to the Local Group value $L_0 \simeq 0.9$ Mpc (Karachentsev et al. 2009). Baushev (2019) found that the average matter overdensity inside L_0 , $\Sigma_{M,0}(L_0)$ depends only on $\Omega_{M,0}$, and does not depend on the cluster size. In particular, $\Sigma_{M,0}(L_0) = (3\pi/4)^2$ for $\Omega_{M,0} = 1$ and $\Sigma_{M,0}(L_0) \simeq 3.67$ for $\Omega_{M,0} = 0.306$, which is the value measured for our Universe (Tanabashi et al. 2018). Since L_0 is the same for all the models, the matter mass inside L_0 is also equal. By mass and density we mean only the matter mass and density everywhere in this section. We suppose that the dark energy always has the uniform density distribution $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}\rho_{c,0}$.

We consider three toy models of the density profile of the cluster. First, the *point* model, where the cluster has a central point mass M_c and surrounded by relatively small constant density $\rho_M = \Omega_{M,0}\rho_{c,0}$ (which is equal to the average matter density in the Universe). The *halo* model also has two components: the uniform distribution of matter with the density $\Omega_{M,0}\rho_{c,0}$ and a large halo with $\rho \propto r^{-2}$, the factor being chosen so that $L_0 = 1$ Mpc. The *empty* model supposes that all the matter is concentrated in the cluster center, and the space around is empty (i.e., contains only dark energy). Contrary to the first two models, the *empty* one has incorrect asymptotic behavior: it does not transform into the undisturb Universe at large distances, and its average density tends to $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}\rho_{c,0}$, and not to $\rho_{c,0}$, as $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

The matter distributions $\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0)$ (calculated from $M_M(r_0)$ with the help of equation (3)) for all three mod-

els are the following:

$$\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0) = (\Sigma_{M,0}(L_0) - \Omega_{M,0})(L_0/r_0)^3 + \Omega_{M,0} \quad (17)$$

$$\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0) = (\Sigma_{M,0}(L_0) - \Omega_{M,0})(L_0/r_0)^2 + \Omega_{M,0} \quad (18)$$

$$\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0) = \Sigma_{M,0}(L_0)(L_0/r_0)^3 \quad (19)$$

The velocity distributions are calculated with the help of equations (10) and (14) and presented in Figures (1) and (2) for the *point* model (17) (solid line), *halo* model (18) (dash-dot line), and *empty* model (19) (dot line), respectively. One may see that the difference between the curves is rather significant at $r_0 \sim 3$ Mpc, though the difference between the *point* and *empty* models is not that drastic: the density $\rho_M = \Omega_{M,0}\rho_{c,0}$ of the flat component of the former model is more than ten times lower than the average matter density $\sigma_{M,0}(L_0) \simeq 3.67\rho_{c,0}$ inside $L_0 = 1$ Mpc. It suggests that the matter density profile near L_0 may in principle be restored from the Hubble stream observations of a galaxy cluster.

We can see that at larger radii (Figure (2)) the velocity profiles corresponding to the *point* and *halo* models converge, while the *empty* model goes significantly higher. It is not surprising: as we have already mentioned, asymptotically both *point* and *halo* models transform into the undisturb Universe, and the influence of the central object becomes negligible at large distances. On the other hand, the *empty* model lacks the matter, and the uncompensated effective repulsion induced by the dark energy accelerates the Hubble expansion.

To conclude, let us note that all the preceding consideration may be easily generalized to the case when the redshift z of the galaxy cluster is not zero. It is enough just to find the matter fraction $\Omega_{M,z}$ and the Hubble constant H_z at the moment z , and use these values instead of $\Omega_{M,0}$ and H_0 , since the choice of the 'present moment' was arbitrary in our calculations. Since $(z+1) = r_0/r$, we obtain from (2)

$$H_z^2 = H_0^2 (\Omega_{M,0}(z+1)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0})$$

$$\Omega_{M,z} = \frac{\Omega_{M,0}(z+1)^3}{\Omega_{M,0}(z+1)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}}. \quad (20)$$

For instance, if $z \gg 1$, we may neglect the dark energy (i.e., accept $\Omega_{M,0} = 1$). Then the velocity profile may be found from the equations derived in the Appendix.

This research is supported by the Munich Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics (MIAPP) of the DFG cluster of excellence "Origin and Structure of the Universe".

REFERENCES

- Baushev A. N., 2019, *MNRAS*, **490**, L38
 Baushev A. N., Pilipenko S. V., 2018, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1808.03088
 Baushev A. N., del Valle L., Campusano L. E., Escala A., Muñoz R. R., Palma G. A., 2017, *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.*, **2017**, 042
 Giraud E., 1986, *A&A*, **170**, 1
 Gorbunov D. S., Rubakov V. A., 2011, Introduction to the Theory of the Early Universe: Hot Big Bang Theory. World Scientific Publishing Co, doi:10.1142/7874
 Hanski M. O., Theureau G., Ekholm T., Teerikorpi P., 2001, *A&A*, **378**, 345
 Karachentsev I. D., Kashibadze O. G., Makarov D. I., Tully R. B., 2009, *MNRAS*, **393**, 1265
 Lynden-Bell D., 1981, *The Observatory*, **101**, 111

Olson D. W., Silk J., 1979, *ApJ*, **233**, 395

Peñarrubia J., Ma Y.-Z., Walker M. G., McConnachie A., 2014, *MNRAS*, **443**, 2204

Peirani S., de Freitas Pacheco J. A., 2008, *A&A*, **488**, 845

Tanabashi M., et al., 2018, *Phys. Rev. D*, **98**, 030001

Tolman R. C., 1934, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, **20**, 169

Zeldovich I. B., Novikov I. D., 1983, Relativistic astrophysics. Vol.2: The structure and evolution of the universe

APPENDIX A: THE PURE MATTER CASE

($\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0$)

Deriving equation (7) from (5), we assume that $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} \neq 0$ and divide by it. As a result, α and U tend to infinity as $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the important instance of $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0$ (i.e., $\Omega_{M,0} = 1$) should be considered separately. Of course, this case does not correspond to the modern Universe, but if the cluster has $z \gg 1$, we may neglect the dark energy. If $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0$, equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 = H_0^2 \left[\Sigma_{M,0} \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{v}{r_0 H_0}\right)^2 \right]. \quad (A1)$$

We may introduce a new quantity $w(r_0)$:

$$w = 1 - \frac{v_0^2}{r_0^2 H_0^2 \Sigma_{M,0}}. \quad (A2)$$

Since we consider an overdensity, $\Sigma_{M,0} \geq 1$, $v \leq r_0 H_0$, and therefore $w \in [0; 1]$. We may rewrite the equation of motion (A1) as

$$H_0 \sqrt{\Sigma_{M,0}} dt = \frac{\sqrt{x} dx}{\sqrt{1-wx}}. \quad (A3)$$

As in the general case, the maximum expansion $x_{max} = r_{max}/r_0$ corresponds to the moment when the radicand in (A3) turns to zero, i.e., $x_{max} = 1/w$. Now we may exactly follow the derivation of equations (10) and (14), integrating (A3). If $v \geq 0$, we integrate (A3) from $x = 0$ to $x = 1$:

$$H_0 t_0 \sqrt{\Sigma_{M,0}} = \frac{\arcsin(\sqrt{w}) - \sqrt{w(1-w)}}{w^{3/2}}. \quad (A4)$$

If $\Omega_{M,0} = 1$, the Universe age t_0 is bound with the Hubble constant by simple relation $H_0 t_0 = 2/3$ (Zeldovich & Novikov 1983). We obtain

$$\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\Sigma_{M,0}} = \frac{\arcsin(\sqrt{w}) - \sqrt{w(1-w)}}{w^{3/2}}, \quad \text{if } v \geq 0. \quad (A5)$$

If $v < 0$, we integrate (A3) from $x = 0$ to $x_{max} = 1/w$, and then from x_{max} to $x = 1$. After some trivial calculations, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\Sigma_{M,0}} = \frac{\pi - \arcsin(\sqrt{w}) + \sqrt{w(1-w)}}{w^{3/2}}, \quad \text{if } v < 0. \quad (A6)$$

Equations (A2), (A5) and (A6) define v as an implicit function of r_0 and $\Sigma_{M,0}(r_0)$.