

Enumeration of LCP values, LCP intervals and Maximal repeats in BWT-runs Bounded Space

Takaaki Nishimoto

RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, Japan
takaaki.nishimoto@riken.jp

Yasuo Tabei

RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, Japan
yasuo.tabei@riken.jp

Abstract

Lcp-values, lcp-intervals and maximal repeats are powerful tools in various string processing tasks and have a wide variety of applications. Although many researchers have focused on developing enumeration algorithms for them, those algorithms are inefficient in that the space usage is proportional to the length of the input string. Recently, the run-length-encoded Burrows-Wheeler transform (RLBWT) has attracted increased attention in string processing, and various algorithms on the RLBWT have been developed. Developing enumeration algorithms for lcp-intervals, lcp-values, and maximal repeats on the RLBWT, however, remains a challenge. In this paper, we present the first such enumeration algorithms with space usage not proportional to the string length. The complexities of our enumeration algorithms are $O(n \log \log(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space for string length n and RLBWT size r .

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation → Data compression

Keywords and phrases Enumeration algorithm, Burrows-Wheeler transform, Maximal repeats, LCP array

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.XXX.2020.23

1 Introduction

Lcp-values, lcp-intervals and maximal repeats are powerful tools for practical string processing [20, 1, 13], and they have a wide variety of applications [27, 5, 3, 18, 15, 17]. Although many researchers have focused on developing enumeration algorithms for them, those algorithms are inefficient in that the space usage is proportional to the length of the input string. Recently, the run-length-encoded Burrows-Wheeler transform (RLBWT) has attracted increasing attention, and various algorithms on the RLBWT have been developed thus far [6, 16, 26, 2, 21]. Developing space-efficient enumeration algorithms for lcp-intervals, lcp-values, and maximal repeats on the RLBWT, however, remains a challenge. Hence, we present the first such enumeration algorithms in this paper.

Lcp-value is the length of the longest common prefix (LCP) of lexicographically adjacent suffixes. Enumeration of all lcp-values is useful for constructing an LCP array and a *succinct permuted LCP* (succinct PLCP) array [19, 30].

Lcp-interval is an interval that represents all occurrences of a right-maximal repeat on a suffix array [24] (i.e., an integer array storing the positions of all the suffixes of a given string in lexicographic order). Enumerations of the lcp-intervals on a given string have been used for constructing a *compressed suffix tree* [17, 31] and enumerating several characteristic substrings, e.g., maximal repeats, minimal absent words, and minimal unique substrings [5]. Kasai et al. [20] presented the first enumeration algorithm for lcp-intervals without the suffix tree of a given string. Belazzougui [4] showed that lcp-intervals can be enumerated in $O(n)$ time and $O(n \log \sigma)$ bits of space for the length n of string and alphabet size σ . Very recently, Prezza and Rosone [29] proposed an enumeration algorithm for lcp-intervals that runs in



© T. Nishimoto and Y. Tabei;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

XXX.

Editors: John Q. Open and Joan R. Access; Article No. 23; pp. 23:1–23:26
Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics



LIPICs Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

■ **Table 1** Summary of the running time and working space of enumeration algorithms for (i) lcp-intervals and (ii) maximal repeats and (iii) construction algorithms for the succinct PLCP array. The input of these algorithms is the BWT or RLBWT of a string T of length n . In addition, σ is the alphabet size of T , $w = \Theta(\log n)$ is the machine word size, $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ is a user-defined parameter, and r is the number of runs in the RLBWT of T .

(i) lcp-intervals	Running time	Working space (bits)
D. Belazzougui (Lemma 5 [4])	$O(n)$	$O(n \log \sigma)$
N. Prezza and G. Rosone [29]	$O(n(\log \sigma + \epsilon^{-1} \log \log n))$	$n \log \sigma(\epsilon + o(1))$
This study	$O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$	$O(r \log n)$
(ii) maximal repeats	Running time	Working space (bits)
T. Beller et al. [6]	$O(n \log \sigma)$	$O(n \log \sigma)$
D. Belazzougui and F. Cunial [5]	$O(n)$	$O(n \log \sigma)$
This study	$O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$	$O(r \log n)$
(iii) succinct PLCP array	Running time	Working space (bits)
D. Belazzougui [4]	$O(n)$	$O(n \log \sigma)$
N. Prezza and G. Rosone [29]	$O(n(\log \sigma + \epsilon^{-1} \log \log n))$	$n \log \sigma(\epsilon + o(1))$
D. Kempa (Theorem 5.2 [21])	$O(n/\log n + r \log^{11} n)$	$O(n + r \log^9 n)$
This study	$O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$	$O(r \log n)$

$O(n(\log \sigma + \epsilon^{-1} \log \log n))$ time and uses $n \log \sigma(\epsilon + o(1))$ bits of space for a user-defined parameter $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$.

Maximal repeat is defined as a substring (i) occurring at least twice in a string and (ii) its left or right extended substring occurring fewer than the original substring. Maximal repeats have been used in various applications, e.g., lossless data compression [15], document clustering and classification [27, 25], and computational biology (e.g., [3, 18]). So far, many enumeration algorithms for maximal repeats have been proposed (e.g., [27, 23, 7, 5]). Beller et al. [10] and Belazzougui et al. [6] showed that the lcp-intervals representing the maximal repeats of a given string can be enumerated in $O(n \log \sigma)$ time and with $O(n \log \sigma)$ bits using wavelet trees. Belazzougui and Cunial [5, 4] also showed that the lcp-intervals representing maximal repeats can be enumerated in $O(n)$ time and $O(n \log \sigma)$ bits of space.

Burrows-Wheeler transform (BWT) [12] is a lossless data compression by a permutation of a string, and it consists of the last characters of the sorted circular strings of the string. *Run-length BWT (RLBWT)* is a recent, popular lossless data compression algorithm (or format). It is defined as the string computed by applying the run-length encoding to the BWT of a given string. The RLBWT achieves a high compression ratio for *highly repetitive texts* such as genome sequences for the same species, version-controlled documents, and source code repositories. Very recently, Kempa and Kociumaka [22] showed an upper bound on the size of the RLBWT using a measure of repetitiveness. Several compressed data structures using the RLBWT structure have been proposed for string processing algorithms (e.g., [6, 16, 26, 2, 21]), and those algorithms use $O(r \text{ polylog } n)$ bits. Furthermore, the space usage of those data structures can drop to $o(n)$ bits when the RLBWT is very small (i.e., when $r \ll n$ for the number r of runs in the RLBWT and the length n of the input string).

Finally, succinct PLCP array is a bit array (i.e., each element is 0 or 1 in the array) storing a compressed representation of the LCP array of a string. It can support random access on the LCP array by combining the bit array with the suffix array or *compressed suffix array* [17] for the string. Belazzougui [4] showed that the succinct PLCP array can be constructed in $O(n)$ time and $O(n \log \sigma)$ bits of working space. Very recently, Prezza and Rosone [29] showed that the succinct PLCP array can be constructed in $O(n(\log \sigma + \epsilon^{-1} \log \log n))$ time and $n \log \sigma(\epsilon + o(1))$ bits of space using an enumeration algorithm for lcp-values, where $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ is a user-defined parameter.

Our contribution. We present three new enumeration algorithms for the lcp-values, lcp-intervals, and maximal repeats on the RLBWT of a string T . In addition, we present an enumeration algorithm for the lcp-intervals representing maximal repeats and a construction algorithm for the succinct PLCP array. For a given string T of length n , all the algorithms run in $O(n(\log r + \log \log_w(n/r)))$ time with the same space usage: $O(r \log n)$ bits of space, where $w = \Theta(\log n)$ is the machine word size, and r is the number of runs in the RLBWT of T . Moreover, for a given RLBWT of string T , all the algorithms run in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r \log n)$ bits of working space by leveraging the construction algorithms of RLBWT that work in $O(n \log r)$ time and $O(r \log n)$ bits of working space [26, 28]. Our algorithms are the first such algorithms for enumeration of lcp-values, lcp-intervals, and maximal repeats and construction of the succinct PLCP array that use $O(r \log n)$ bits of working space; furthermore, the working space can be smaller than that of previous algorithms when r is small (i.e., $r \ll n$). Table 1 summarizes the existing and proposed enumeration algorithms for lcp-intervals and maximal repeats and construction algorithms for the succinct PLCP array.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, n^{O(1)}\}$ be an ordered alphabet of size σ , T be a string of length n over Σ , and $|T|$ be the length of T . Let $T[i]$ be the i -th character of T (i.e., $T = T[1], T[2], \dots, T[n]$), and $T[i..j]$ be the substring of T that begins at position i and ends at position j . For two strings T and P , $T \prec P$ means that T is lexicographically smaller than P . We assume that the last character of T is a special character $\$$ not occurring in substring $T[1..n-1]$ and it is lexicographically smaller than any other character (i.e., $\$ \prec c$ for any character $c \in \Sigma \setminus \{\$\}$). For two integers b and e ($b \leq e$), interval $[b, e]$ represents the set $\{b, b+1, \dots, e\}$. We also call b and e *left boundary* and *right boundary* of the interval, respectively. Let $\text{Count}_T(P)$ be the number of occurrences of a given string P in T , i.e., $\text{Count}_T(P) = |\{i \mid P = T[i..(i+|P|-1)], i \in [1, n-|P|+1]\}|$.

For a substring P of T , we call P a *repeat* if $\text{Count}_T(P) \geq 2$. Similarly, we call P *left-maximal* (respectively, *right-maximal*) if $\text{Count}_T(cP) < \text{Count}_T(P)$ (respectively, $\text{Count}_T(Pc) < \text{Count}_T(P)$) for any character $c \in \Sigma$. Lastly, we call P a *maximal repeat* when it is both a left- and right-maximal repeat. For example, the maximal repeats of $T = \mathbf{banana}\$$ are \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{ana} .

Our computation model is a unit-cost word RAM with a machine word size of $w = \Theta(\log_2 n)$ bits. We evaluate the space complexity in terms of the number of machine words. A bitwise evaluation of the space complexity can be obtained with a multiplicative factor of $\log_2 n$. We assume the base-2 logarithm throughout this paper when the base is not indicated.

2.1 Predecessor query

For an integer x and a set S of integers, a *predecessor* query $\text{pred}(S, x)$ returns the number of elements that are no more than x in S (i.e., $\text{pred}(S, x) = |\{y \mid y \in S \text{ s.t. } y \leq x\}|$). The *predecessor data structure* [8] for S enables any predecessor query on S in $O(\log \log_w(u/m))$ time and with $O(m)$ words of space, where m is the number of elements in S , and u is the size of the universe of elements. The predecessor data structure can be constructed in $O(m \log \log_w(u/m))$ time and $O(m)$ words of working space by processing the set S [16].

2.2 Suffix array (SA) and longest common prefix (LCP) array

The *suffix array* (SA) [24] of string T is an integer array of size n such that $\text{SA}[i]$ stores the starting position of the i -th suffix of T in the lexicographical order. Formally, SA is a permutation of $[1, n]$ such that $T[\text{SA}[1]..n] \prec \dots \prec T[\text{SA}[n]..n]$. The *LCP array* (LCP) of T is an integer array of size n such that $\text{LCP}[1] = 0$ and $\text{LCP}[i]$ stores the length of the LCP of the two suffixes $T[\text{SA}[i]..n]$ and $T[\text{SA}[i-1]..n]$ for $i \in [2, n]$. We call the values in the suffix array and LCP array *sa-values* and *lcp-values*, respectively. Figure 1 depicts the suffix array and LCP array of a string T .

Moreover, let LF be a function such that (i) $\text{SA}[\text{LF}(i)] = \text{SA}[i] - 1$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$ satisfying $\text{SA}[i] \neq 1$ and (ii) $\text{SA}[\text{LF}(i)] = n$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$ satisfying $\text{SA}[i] = 1$. Let FL be the inverse function of LF, i.e., for any integer $i \in [1, n]$, $\text{FL}(i)$ returns an integer j such that $\text{LF}(j) = i$.

2.3 SA-intervals and lcp-intervals

For a substring P of T , the *sa-interval* of P is a 3-tuple $(b, e, |P|)$ such that $\text{SA}[b..e]$ represents all the occurrence positions of P in T ; that is, for any integer $p \in [1, n]$, $T[\text{SA}[p]..n]$ starts with P if and only if $p \in [b, e]$. The length of the substring is called *depth* of the sa-interval. $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ is defined as sa-interval (b, e, d) . The sa-interval of a right-maximal repeat P is called an *lcp-interval*. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of the lcp-intervals on the suffix array of T . Then the following corollary holds.

► **Corollary 1.** *The following three statements hold: (i) $[b, e] \subset [b', e']$, $[b, e] \supset [b', e']$, or $[b, e] \cap [b', e'] = \emptyset$ for two sa-intervals $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ and $\langle b', e', d' \rangle$ ($[b, e] \neq [b', e']$). (ii) For two integers $b, e \in [1, n]$, interval $[b, e]$ is an lcp-interval with depth d (i.e., $\langle b, e, d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}$) if and only if $\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1] < d$, where $d = \{\text{LCP}[b+1], \text{LCP}[b+2], \dots, \text{LCP}[e]\}$. (iii) Let $I_1 = \langle b_1, e_1, d_1 \rangle$ and $I_2 = \langle b_2, e_2, d_2 \rangle$ be an lcp-interval and the deepest lcp-interval containing interval I_1 , respectively, i.e., $I_2 \in \mathcal{I}'$ and $d < d_2$ for $\langle b, e, d \rangle \in (\mathcal{I}' \setminus \{I_2\})$, where $\mathcal{I}' = \{\langle b, e, d \rangle \mid \langle b, e, d \rangle \in \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t. } [b, e] \supset [b_1, e_1]\}$. Then $d_2 = \max\{\text{LCP}[b_1], \text{LCP}[e_1+1]\}$ holds.*

2.4 BWT and RLBWT

The *Burrows-Wheeler transform* (BWT) [12] of a string T is a permutation L of T built as follows. We sort all the n rotations of T in lexicographical order and take the last character of each rotation in the sorted order. Formally, let L be the permutation of T such that $L[i] = T[\text{SA}[\text{LF}(i)]]$ for any $i \in [1, n]$, and let F be a permutation of T that consists of the first character of each rotation in the sorted order, i.e., $F[i] = T[\text{SA}[i]]$ for any $i \in [1, n]$.

RLBWT of T is the BWT encoded by a run-length encoding, i.e., a partition of L into r substrings L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r such that each L_i is a maximal repetition of the same character in L . We call such a maximal repetition an *L-run*. The RLBWT can be stored in $2r$ words, because we can represent each L-run in 2 words. ℓ_i^+ and ℓ_i^- are defined as the starting and ending positions of i -th L-run, respectively, i.e., $\ell_1^+ = 1$, $\ell_1^- = \ell_1^+ + |L_1| - 1$, $\ell_i^+ = \ell_{i-1}^+ + |L_{i-1}|$, and $\ell_i^- = \ell_i^+ + |L_i| - 1$ for any integer $i \in [2, r]$. L^+ and L^- are defined as the sets of starting and ending positions of L-runs, respectively (i.e., $L^+ = \{\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+\}$ and $L^- = \{\ell_1^-, \ell_2^-, \dots, \ell_r^-\}$).

2.5 Adjacent relation on SA and incremental relation on LCP array

An *adjacent relation* on the SA string T means that the previous sa-values on adjacent sa-values for any L-run occur as adjacent sa-values in the SA, i.e., $\text{LF}(x+1) = \text{LF}(x) + 1$ for

any $i \in [1, r]$ and any $x \in [\ell_i^+, \ell_i^- - 1]$. An *incremental relation* on the LCP array of string T means that the length of the LCP for the previous sa-values is 1 plus the length of the LCP for the adjacent sa-values, i.e., $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(x + 1)] = \text{LCP}[x + 1] + 1$ for any $i \in [1, r]$ and any $x \in [\ell_i^+, \ell_i^- - 1]$.

The adjacent and incremental relations hold for the following reason. Consider two adjacent positions x and $x + 1$ in the SA of string T and their suffixes S_x and S_{x+1} (i.e., $S_x = T[\text{SA}[x]..n]$ and $S_{x+1} = T[\text{SA}[x + 1]..n]$). Obviously, there exists no suffix S satisfying $S_x \prec S \prec S_{x+1}$, because S_x and S_{x+1} are adjacent in the SA. If the $L[x]$ and $L[x + 1]$ are the same character c , cS_x and cS_{x+1} are also suffixes of T for BWT L of T . Because the suffix S does not exist, cS_x and cS_{x+1} are also adjacent in the SA. Similarly, the LCP of suffixes cS_x and cS_{x+1} is obviously 1 plus the LCP of S_x and S_{x+1} . See Appendix for a more formal proof of the adjacent and incremental relations.

The permutation F for the BWT of T can be considered as a permutation of L-runs, because (i) LF is a bijective function from $[1, n]$ to $[1, n]$, and (ii) it maps the interval $[\ell_i^+, \ell_i^-]$ on any L-run L_i to the interval on the permutation F by the adjacent relation on the SA. We call the substring corresponding to each L-run on F an *F-run*, and we denote the i -th F-run as F_i . Formally, let δ be an array of size r storing the permutation of $[1, r]$ such that $\text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[j-1]}^+) < \text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[j]}^+)$ for any integer $j \in [2, r]$; then, $F_i = F[\text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[i]}^+).. \text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[i]}^+) + |L_{\delta[i]}| - 1]$. $F^+ = \{f_1^+, f_2^+, \dots, f_r^+\}$ and $F^- = \{f_1^-, f_2^-, \dots, f_r^-\}$ are defined as the sets of the starting and ending positions of F-runs, respectively (i.e., $f_i^+ = \text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[i]}^+)$ and $f_i^- = \text{LF}(\ell_{\delta[i]}^-)$ are the starting and ending positions of F_i , respectively).

Figure 1 depicts the SA, LCP array, BWT, and circular strings of a string $T = \text{abaababababab}\$$. The L-runs of the BWT are bbbbbb , a , $\$$, and aaaaaaaa . The F-runs of the BWT are $\$, a$, aaaaaaaa , and bbbbbb . Thus, we have $L^+ = \{1, 7, 8, 9\}$, $F^+ = \{1, 2, 3, 11\}$, $\text{SA}[2] = 8$, $\text{SA}[3] = 11$, and $\text{LCP}[3] = 4$. The second and third characters in the BWT of T are the same. Thus, $\text{SA}[\text{LF}(2)] = \text{SA}[2] - 1 = 7$, $\text{SA}[\text{LF}(3)] = \text{SA}[3] - 1 = 10$, and $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(3)] = \text{LCP}[3] + 1 = 5$ hold by the adjacent relation on the SA and the incremental relation on the LCP array. We can construct a data structure Z with $O(r)$ words of space to support the LF and FL functions using the adjacent relation on the SA (e.g., [16]). Here, Z uses predecessor queries on L^+ and F^+ . The following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 2.** *There exists a data structure Z of $O(r)$ words to support (i) the LF and FL functions, (ii) accesses to $F[i]$ and $L[i]$ for a given integer $i \in [1, n]$, (iii) predecessor queries on F^+ and L^+ , and (iv) accesses to positions f_i^+ and ℓ_i^+ for a given integer $i \in [1, r]$. Z can execute the first three operations and the last operation in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ and $O(1)$ time, respectively. We can construct Z in $O(n + r \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by preprocessing the RLBWT of T .*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

Sorted circular strings					
i	SA	LCP	F	L(BWT)	
1	16	0	\$	abaababababab	b
2	8	0	a	abaababababab	b
3	11	4	a	abaababababab	b
4	3	5	a	abababababab	b
5	14	1	a	bSabaabababab	b
6	6	2	a	baababababab	b
7	9	6	a	baababababab	a
8	1	7	a	baababababab	\$
9	12	3	a	babSabaababab	a
10	4	4	a	babaabababab	a
11	15	0	b	Sabaabababab	a
12	7	1	b	aabaabababab	a
13	10	5	b	aabaabababab	a
14	2	6	b	aaababababab	a
15	13	2	b	abSabaababab	a
16	5	3	b	abaabababab	a

■ **Figure 1** The suffix array, LCP array, BWT, and circular strings of $T = \text{abaababababab}\$$.

2.6 Run-length extended suffix array

A *run-length extended suffix array* (RLESA) [16] is a data structure based on RLBWT that supports two queries for a given i -th sa-value in the following way: (i) a *next-access query* returning two values $\text{SA}[i + 1]$ and $\text{LCP}[i + 1]$, and (ii) a *previous-access query* returning two values $\text{SA}[i - 1]$ and $\text{LCP}[i - 1]$. Those two queries are supported in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words. See Appendix for a more detailed description of the RLESA.

3 Enumeration of lcp-values on RLBWT

In this section, we present a new algorithm for enumerating all the lcp-values for T using the RLBWT of T in $O(r)$ words of space. Our algorithm leverages Beller et al.'s enumeration algorithm for lcp-values [11]. In Section 3.1, we explain their enumeration algorithm working in $O(n)$ words of space. In Section 3.2, we reduce the working space into $O(r)$ words by modifying their data structure.

3.1 Beller et al.'s enumeration algorithm for lcp-values

Beller et al.'s algorithm does not enumerate lcp-values but can enumerate *Weiner-intervals* that is defined as sa-intervals such that the depth of each sa-interval with right boundary e is equal to $\text{LCP}[e + 1] + 1$. Formally, the Weiner-interval with right boundary e is the sa-interval $\langle b, e, \text{LCP}[e + 1] + 1 \rangle$ of substring $T[\text{SA}[e]..(\text{SA}[e] + \text{LCP}[e + 1])]$ for any integer $e \in [0, n]$, where $\text{LCP}[n + 1] = 0$. Therefore, we can compute all the lcp-values by enumerating all the Weiner-intervals.

Beller et al.'s algorithm is built on the following three data structures: (i) a data structure U supporting *Weiner queries*; (ii) a queue Q storing all Weiner-intervals with depth 1 in any order; and (iii) a bit array V of size n . A Weiner query $\text{weiner}(b, e, |P|)$ returns a set of all the sa-intervals of substrings $c_1P, c_2P, \dots, c_\sigma P$ for a given sa-interval $\langle b, e, |P| \rangle$ of substring P , i.e., $\text{weiner}(b, e, |P|) = \{\text{interval}(cP) \mid c \in \Sigma \text{ s.t. } \text{Count}_T(cP) \geq 1\}$, where $\text{interval}(cP)$ is the sa-interval of the string cP , and $\Sigma = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\sigma\}$. Data structure U supports a Weiner query in $O(k \log \sigma)$ time, and the space usage is $O((n \log \sigma)/w)$ words [11], where k is the number of outputs by the Weiner query. Each i -th bit in bit array V is set to 1 if the Weiner-interval with right boundary i has already been outputted by the algorithm, and it is set to 0 otherwise. The space usage of the data structures is $O((n \log \sigma)/w + m)$ words in total, where m is the maximal number of Weiner-intervals stored in queue Q .

Beller et al.'s algorithm enumerates all the Weiner-intervals leveraging a *filtered set of sa-intervals* and a nice key property, which is presented as follows. The filtered set $\text{filter}(u)$ for a Weiner interval u is the set of sa-intervals such that each is obtained by a Weiner query for u , and the corresponding bit of the sa-interval with right boundary e' is 0 in bit array V (i.e., $V[e'] = 0$). A nice property of a filtered set of sa-intervals is that the union of filtered sets of sa-intervals for Weiner intervals with depth $d - 1$ represents the set of Weiner-intervals with depth d , which enables computing Weiner-intervals with depth d from Weiner-intervals with depth $d - 1$.

Formally, let W_d (respectively, V_d) be the set of Weiner-intervals with depth d (respectively, the bit array V) after enumerating Weiner-intervals with depth no more than d (i.e., $V_d[e] = 1$ if and only if $\text{LCP}[e + 1] \leq d - 1$ for any integer $e \in [1, n]$). Let $\text{filter}(u) = \{\langle b', e', d \rangle \mid \langle b', e', d \rangle \in \text{weiner}(b, e, d - 1) \text{ s.t. } V_{d-1}[e'] = 0\}$ for any Weiner-interval $u = \langle b, e, d - 1 \rangle$. Then, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 3** ([11]). $W_d = \bigcup_{u \in W_{d-1}} \text{filter}(u)$ holds for any integer $d \in [1, n]$.

The details of their enumeration algorithm are as follows. Before it enumerates the Weiner-intervals with depth d , queue Q stores the Weiner-intervals with depth $d - 1$, and bit array V corresponds to bit array V_{d-1} . The algorithm computes Weiner-intervals with depth d using Weiner-intervals with depth $d - 1$ stored in queue Q , by Lemma 3. Then, it updates bit array V and replaces the elements stored in queue Q with the enumerated Weiner-intervals with depth d .

The total running time of the algorithm is $O(n \log \sigma)$, because it executes at most k' Weiner queries for the number k' of sa-intervals obtained by Weiner queries in the algorithm (i.e., $k' = \sum_{\langle b,e,d \rangle \in W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \dots \cup W_n} |\text{weiner}(b, e, d)|$). Beller et al. showed that $k' = O(n)$ [11]. The maximal number m of elements stored in queue Q can be bounded by $\max\{|W_1|, |W_2|, \dots, |W_n|\} \leq n$. Thus, the algorithm runs in $O(n \log \sigma)$ time and $O((n \log \sigma)/w + n) = O(n)$ words of space.

3.2 Beller et al.'s enumeration algorithm in compressed space


The term $O(n)$ in the working space for Beller et al.'s algorithm is due to the data structure U , bit array V , and queue Q . We reduce the working space into $O(r)$ words by (i) replacing U and V with new data structures of $O(r)$ words, and (ii) proving that the space usage of queue Q can be bounded by $O(r)$ words.

We replace data structure U with data structure Z (Lemma 2) and a new data structure Λ supporting *range color listing with first and last occurrences* (RCLFL) queries on the BWT of T (i.e., L). An RCLFL query $\text{RCLFL}(T, b, e)$ on a string T returns the set of triplets of each distinct character and its first and last occurrences in substring $T[b..e]$ for any interval $[b, e] \in [1, n]$. Formally, let $\text{RCLFL}(T, b, e) = \{(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)\}$. Here, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are the distinct characters in $T[b..e]$ (i.e., $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\} = \{T[i] \mid i \in [b, e]\}$), and b_i and e_i are the first and last occurrences of character c_i in $T[b..e]$ for any integer $i \in [1, k]$, respectively (i.e., $b_i = \min\{j \mid j \in [b, e] \text{ s.t. } T[j] = c_i\}$ and $e_i = \max\{j \mid j \in [b, e] \text{ s.t. } T[j] = c_i\}$). The data structure Λ supports an RCLFL query on L in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time per output element, while its space usage can be bounded by $O(r)$ words. The number of the elements reported by the RCLFL query is at most r , because the number of distinct characters in L is at most r . Formally, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 4.** *There exists a data structure of $O(r)$ words supporting a RCLFL query on the BWT of a string T in $O((1 + k) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(k)$ words of working space, where k is the number of elements reported by the RCLFL query, and $k \leq r$. We can construct the data structure in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

We compute the Weiner query for a given sa-interval by applying LF function to the first and last occurrences of each character reported by the RCLFL query on L for the sa-interval.



■ **Figure 2** An Weiner-interval $\langle 2, 10, |a| \rangle$ and three sa-intervals $\langle 1, 1, |$a| \rangle$, $\langle 2, 4, |aa| \rangle$, and $\langle 12, 16, |ba| \rangle$ obtained by the Weiner query for the Weiner-interval.

Let $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ be an sa-interval of a string P and $\text{RCLFL}(L, b, e) = \{(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)\}$. Then, b_i and e_i map into the left and right boundaries of the sa-interval of $c_i P$ by LF function, respectively, for any integer $i \in [1, k]$, i.e., $\text{weiner}(b, e, d) = \{\langle \text{LF}(b_1), \text{LF}(e_1), d + 1 \rangle, \langle \text{LF}(b_2), \text{LF}(e_2), d + 1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \text{LF}(b_k), \text{LF}(e_k), d + 1 \rangle\}$ (e.g., [11]). This is because (i) P is a prefix of any suffix in the sa-interval of P , and (ii) $L[i]$ is the previous character of suffix $T[\text{SA}[i]..n]$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$. Therefore, we can compute any Weiner query in $O((1 + k) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time using two data structures Z and Λ , where k is the number of the sa-intervals reported by the Weiner query. Figure 2 illustrates an Weiner-interval $\langle 2, 9, |a| \rangle$ and three sa-intervals outputted by the Weiner query for the Weiner-interval. For example, the first and last occurrences of character a in the Weiner-interval are, respectively, mapped into the left and right boundaries of sa-interval $\langle 2, 4, |aa| \rangle$ (i.e., $\text{LF}(7) = 2$ and $\text{LF}(10) = 4$).

Next, we replace bit array V with the following three data structures: (i) a bit array V' of size r such that each i -th element corresponds to f_i^- -th element of array V (i.e., $V'[i] = V[f_i^-]$), (ii) an array of size r storing the ending positions of F-runs (i.e., $f_1^-, f_2^-, \dots, f_r^-$), and (iii) the predecessor data structure supporting predecessor query on the array (ii) (i.e., $\text{pred}(F^-, i)$ for an integer $i \in [1, n]$). The space usage of the three data structures is $O(r)$ words in total.

Let $\langle b, e, d \rangle \in W_d$ be a Weiner-interval with depth d , and let $\langle b', e', d + 1 \rangle$ be an sa-interval obtained by the Weiner query for the Weiner-interval (i.e., $\langle b', e', d + 1 \rangle \in \text{weiner}(b, e, d)$). We compute $V_{d+1}[e']$ using V' as follows. $V_d[e']$ can be computed as $V'[f_{\text{pred}(F^-, e')}^-]$ if e' is on the ending position of a F-run (i.e., $e' \in F^-$), because $V'[f_{\text{pred}(F^-, e')}^-]$ corresponds to $V[e']$. Otherwise $V_d[e'] = 0$, i.e., $V_{d+1}[e'] = 0$ in this case.

We show that $V_d[e']$ is 0 if $e' \notin F^-$. In this case, e' -th and $(e' + 1)$ -th characters of L are contained in the same L-run, and hence $\text{LCP}[e' + 1] = \text{LCP}[\text{FL}(e' + 1)] + 1$ by the incremental relation on the LCP array. $\text{FL}(e')$ is equal to the right boundary of $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ (i.e., $e = \text{FL}(e')$), because the right boundary of any sa-interval obtained by a Weiner query is mapped to (i) the ending position of an L-run in the input Weiner-interval or (ii) the right boundary of the input Weiner-interval by FL function (i.e., $\text{FL}(e') \in ([b, e] \cap F^-) \cup \{e\}$). The ending position of any L-run is mapped to that of the corresponding F-run by LF function, and hence $\text{FL}(e')$ must be equal to e if $e' \notin F^-$. Next, $\text{LCP}[e' + 1] = d$ holds by formula $\text{LCP}[e' + 1] = \text{LCP}[\text{FL}(e' + 1)] + 1$, because $\text{FL}(e' + 1) = e + 1$ holds by the adjacent relation on SA and $\text{LCP}[e + 1] = d - 1$ holds by Weiner-interval $\langle b, e, d \rangle$. Thus $\langle b', e', d + 1 \rangle$ is a Weiner-interval with depth $d + 1$, i.e., $V_d[e'] = 0$. Formally, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 5.** *Let $\langle b, e, d \rangle \in W_d$ be a Weiner-interval with depth d . $V_d[e'] = 0$ holds for any sa-interval $\langle b', e', d + 1 \rangle \in \text{weiner}(b, e, d)$ if $e' \notin F^-$.*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

The algorithm computing $V_d[i]$ consists of the following two steps: (i) compute $i' = \text{pred}(F^-, e)$; (ii) return $V'[i']$ as $V_d[i]$ if $f_{i'}^- = e$; otherwise return 0 as $V_d[i]$. The running time is $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$.

Next, we show that the space usage of queue Q can be bounded by $O(r)$ words, i.e., the number of elements stored in the queue must be no more than r . Recall that the number of the maximal elements stored in the queue is bounded by $\max\{|W_1|, |W_2|, \dots, |W_n|\}$, and $|W_i|$ is equal to the number of the positions with lcp-value $i - 1$ on the LCP array for any $i \in [1, n]$. We show that the number of the positions with any lcp-value i on the LCP array is at most r using the incremental relation on the LCP array.

Let j_x be the position obtained by recursive applying the LF function x times to a position j with an lcp-value i (i.e., $j_0 = j$ and $j_x = \text{LF}(j_{x-1})$ for any integer $x \geq 1$). Let

k be the smallest integer such that j_k is equal to the starting position of an L-run (i.e., $j_k \in L^+$ and $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} \notin L^+$). Two characters $L[j_x - 1]$ and $L[j_x]$ are the same L-run for any integer $x \in [0, k - 1]$, and hence $\text{LCP}[j_{x+1}] = \text{LCP}[j_x] + 1$ and $\text{LCP}[j_k] = \text{LCP}[j] + k$ hold by the incremental relation on the LCP array. The two formulas indicate that for a position $\ell \in L^+$, there exists at most one position j' with lcp-value i satisfying the following condition: ℓ is the position in L^+ first obtained by recursively applying the LF function to j' . On the other hand, we obtain a starting position in L^+ first obtained by recursively applying the LF function to each position with lcp-value i . Therefore, each position with lcp-value i corresponds to a distinct position in L^+ by recursive LF functions, i.e., the number of the distinct positions with lcp-value i is no more than r , and the space usage of stack Q is $O(r)$ words. Formally, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 6.** *The number of the positions with lcp-value i on the LCP array of T for any $i \in [0, n]$ is at most r (i.e., $|\{j \in [1, n] \text{ s.t. } \text{LCP}[j] = i\}| \leq r$).*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

Beller et al.’s algorithm combined with our new data structure requires $O(r)$ words, and the algorithm runs in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time per character. See Appendix for the details of the construction algorithm of our data structure. Finally, we obtain the following lemma and theorem.

► **Theorem 7.** *We can enumerate the lcp-values on the LCP array of a string T in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .*


Proof. See Appendix. ◀

4 Enumeration algorithm for LCP intervals in $O(r)$ words

We present a new enumeration algorithm for lcp-intervals with a notion of *end pairs of sa-value (EPS)* on string T , where an EPS in an lcp-interval $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ is defined as a pair $(\text{SA}[b], \text{SA}[e])$ of sa-values $\text{SA}[b]$ and $\text{SA}[e]$. The algorithm runs in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of space using the RLBWT of T .

A basic idea of our algorithm is to categorize each lcp-interval into four types, and enumerate all the lcp-intervals with EPS of each type. lcp-interval $I = \langle b, e, d \rangle$ is categorized into either of the following four types: (i) type-1 if interval $[b - 1, e + 1]$ is contained in an L-run (i.e., there exists $i \in [1, r]$ such that $[b - 1, e + 1] \subseteq [\ell_i^+, \ell_i^-]$); (ii) type-2 if it is not categorized into type-1 and contains at least two positions in L^+ (i.e., $|\{b, e\} \cap L^+| \geq 2$); (iii) type-3 if it is not categorized into type-1 and contains exactly one position in L^+ ; (iv) type-4 for any other case (i.e., if I is not categorized into type-1 and does not contain any position in L^+).

Figure 3 illustrates the four types of lcp-intervals in the example of Figure 1. The set of lcp-intervals is $\mathcal{I} = \{\langle 2, 10, |a| \rangle, \langle 11, 16, |b| \rangle, \langle 5, 10, |ab| \rangle, \langle 12, 16, |ba| \rangle, \langle 6, 10, |aba| \rangle, \langle 15, 16, |bab| \rangle,$



■ **Figure 3** The lcp-intervals in the example of Figure 1. The gray, yellow, orange, and blue rectangles represent lcp-intervals of type-1, type-2, type-3, and type-4, respectively.

$\langle 2, 4, |aaba| \rangle$, $\langle 9, 10, |abab| \rangle$, $\langle 3, 4, |aabab| \rangle$, $\langle 12, 14, |baaba| \rangle$, $\langle 6, 8, |abaaba| \rangle$, $\langle 13, 14, |baabab| \rangle$, $\langle 7, 8, |abaabab| \rangle$. Since $L^+ = \{1, 7, 8, 9\}$, the sets of type-1, type-2, type-3, and type-4 lcp-intervals are $\{\langle 2, 4, |aaba| \rangle, \langle 3, 4, |aabab| \rangle, \langle 12, 14, |baaba| \rangle, \langle 13, 14, |baabab| \rangle\}$, $\{\langle 2, 10, |a| \rangle, \langle 5, 10, |ab| \rangle, \langle 6, 10, |aba| \rangle, \langle 6, 8, |abaaba| \rangle, \langle 7, 8, |abaabab| \rangle\}$, $\{\langle 9, 10, |abab| \rangle\}$, and $\{\langle 11, 16, |b| \rangle, \langle 12, 16, |ba| \rangle, \langle 15, 16, |bab| \rangle\}$, respectively.

This paper only presents the enumeration algorithms for lcp-intervals of type-1 and type-3 because of the space limitation. The other two enumeration algorithms of type-2 and type-4 are similar to the one of type-3, and they are presented in Appendix. Formally, we give the following theorem for our enumeration algorithm.

► **Theorem 8.** *For a given RLBWT of a string T , we can enumerate all the lcp-intervals with EPS in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of space.*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

4.1 Enumeration algorithm of type-1 lcp-intervals with EPS

The algorithm computes all the type-1 lcp-intervals using the incremental relation on the LCP array and data structure Z in Lemma 2. For a type-1 lcp-interval $I = \langle b, e, d \rangle$, $\langle \text{LF}(b), \text{LF}(e), d + 1 \rangle$ is also an lcp-interval and interval $[\text{LF}(b) - 1, \text{LF}(e) + 1]$ is contained in an F-run from the following three observations: (i) $\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e + 1] \leq d - 1$ and $\min(\text{LCP}[b..e + 1]) = d$ by the second statement of Corollary; (ii) $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(x)] = \text{LCP}[x] + 1$ for any $x \in [b, e + 1]$ because the incremental relation holds on the LCP array and interval $[b - 1, e + 1]$ is contained in an L-run; (iii) $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(b)], \text{LCP}[\text{LF}(e + 1)] \leq d$ and $\min\{\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(b)], \text{LCP}[\text{LF}(b) + 1], \dots, \text{LCP}[\text{LF}(e + 1)]\} = d + 1$ because observations (i)(ii) holds and $\langle \text{LF}(b), \text{LF}(e), d + 1 \rangle$ is an lcp-interval by the second statement of Corollary 1. Thus, any type-1 lcp-interval $\langle b, e, d \rangle$ can be computed by applying FL function to the left and right boundaries of an lcp-interval $\langle \text{LF}(b), \text{LF}(e), d + 1 \rangle$.

The enumeration algorithm computes type-1 lcp-intervals by recursively applying the FL function to lcp-intervals of the other types (i.e., type-2, type-3, and type-4 lcp-intervals). Formally, let $\mathcal{I}^1, \mathcal{I}^2, \mathcal{I}^3$, and \mathcal{I}^4 be the sets of type-1, type-2, type-3, and type-4 lcp-intervals, respectively. Let $\text{track}(I)$ be the set of type-1 lcp-intervals obtained by recursively applying the FL function to a given lcp-interval $I = \langle b, e, d \rangle$, i.e., $\text{track}(I) = \{\langle \text{FL}(b), \text{FL}(e), d - 1 \rangle\} \cup \text{track}(\langle \text{FL}(b), \text{FL}(e), d - 1 \rangle)$ if $[b, e + 1] \cap F^+ = \emptyset$ and $\text{track}(I) = \emptyset$ otherwise. The following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 9.** $\mathcal{I}^1 = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}^2 \cup \mathcal{I}^3 \cup \mathcal{I}^4} \text{track}(I)$.

The enumeration algorithm processes type-2, type-3, and type-4 lcp-intervals with EPS enumerated by the other three enumeration algorithms for lcp-intervals. It computes $\text{track}(I)$ for each type-2, type-3, or type-4 lcp-interval I with an EPS using the FL function, a predecessor query on F^+ , and Lemma 9. The running time of the enumeration algorithm is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$, because it uses $O(n)$ FL functions and predecessor queries on F^+ . The working space is $O(r)$ words, because the algorithm can process its input lcp-intervals in an online manner.

4.2 Enumeration algorithm for type-3 lcp-intervals with EPS

The enumeration algorithm for type-3 lcp-intervals is built on the following four data structures: (i) data structure Z in Lemma 2; (ii) array S storing r type-3 lcp-intervals; (iii) array S' of length r and storing 5-tuples of integers for the intervals stored in S ; and (iv)

RLESA for string T introduced in Section 2.6. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k be the type-3 lcp-intervals that contain position ℓ_i^+ for an integer $i \in [1, r]$ in the decreasing order of their depths, (i.e., for depth d_i of I_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$), $d_i > d_j$ holds for each $i, j \in [1, k]$ such that $i < j$). Each $S[i]$ stores the deepest type-3 lcp-interval containing position ℓ_i^+ (i.e., I_1). Each $S'[i]$ stores a 5-tuple of integers $(\text{SA}[b], \text{SA}[e], \min\{\text{LCP}[b+1], \text{LCP}[b+2], \dots, \text{LCP}[e]\}, \text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1])$ for lcp-interval $I_1 = \langle b, e, d \rangle$. $S[i]$ and $S'[i]$ are empty if ℓ_i^+ is not contained in any type-3 lcp-interval (i.e., $k = 0$). Therefore, the space usage of these four data structures is $O(r)$ words in total.

Lcp-interval I_1 is stored in $S[i]$, and the enumeration algorithm computes lcp-intervals I_2, I_3, \dots, I_k in the decreasing order using the following three properties of the lcp-intervals: (i) $I_j (= \langle b_j, e_j, d_j \rangle)$ contains I_{j-1} for any integer $j \in [2, k]$ by the first statement of Corollary 1, (i.e., $[b_1, e_1] \subset [b_2, e_2] \subset \dots \subset [b_k, e_k]$). (ii) $d_j = \min\{\text{LCP}[b_j+1], \text{LCP}[b_j+2], \dots, \text{LCP}[e_j]\}$ and $\text{LCP}[b_j], \text{LCP}[e_j+1] < d_j$ for any integer $j \in [1, k]$ by the second statement of Corollary 1. (iii) $d_j = \max\{\text{LCP}[b_{j-1}], \text{LCP}[e_{j-1}+1]\}$ for any integer $j \in [2, k]$ by the third statement of Corollary 1.

The enumeration algorithm computes I_j with EPS using two linear searches for b_j and e_j from b_{j-1} and e_{j-1} on LCP array for any integer $j \geq 2$ after computing I_{j-1} with EPS. The algorithm consists of the following four steps: (i) compute d_j by formula $d_j = \max\{\text{LCP}[b_{j-1}], \text{LCP}[e_{j-1}+1]\}$; (ii) find the smallest positive integer p such that $\text{LCP}[b_{j-1}-p] < d_j$ by a linear search over $\text{LCP}[b_{j-1}], \text{LCP}[b_{j-1}-1], \dots, \text{LCP}[\ell_{i-1}^++1]$. The algorithm stops if p is not found (i.e., $j > k$); otherwise $b_{j-1}-p$ is equal to b_j by the second property of I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k ; (iii) similarly, find the smallest positive integer p' such that $\text{LCP}[e_{j-1}+p'] < d_j$ by a linear search over $\text{LCP}[b_{j-1}+1], \text{LCP}[b_{j-1}+2], \dots, \text{LCP}[\ell_i^-+1]$. The algorithm stops if p' is not found; otherwise $e_{j-1}+p'-1$ is equal to e_j by the second property of I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k ; (iv) compute the 5-tuple of integers for interval $[b_j, e_j]$ (i.e., $(\text{SA}[b_j], \text{SA}[e_j], \min\{\text{LCP}[b_j+1], \text{LCP}[b_j+2], \dots, \text{LCP}[e_j]\}, \text{LCP}[b_j], \text{LCP}[e_j+1])$), and output $\langle b_j, e_j, d_j \rangle$ and its EPS $(\text{SA}[b_j], \text{SA}[e_j])$. The algorithm can compute I_2, I_3, \dots, I_k in $O((|L_{i-1}| + |L_i|) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time, because we can execute the algorithm for I_j using $O(|[b_j, e_j] \setminus [b_{j-1}, e_{j-1}]|)$ next- and previous-access queries, and I_k is contained in interval $[\ell_{i-1}^++1, \ell_i^-]$.

We enumerate the type-3 lcp-intervals containing ℓ_i^+ by the above algorithm for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. The algorithm enumerates all the type-3 lcp-intervals, because each type-3 lcp-interval contains a single position in set L^+ . The total running time of the algorithm is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$, because $O(|L_0| + |L_1| + \dots + |L_{r+1}|) = O(n)$, where $|L_0| = 0$ and $|L_{r+1}| = 0$. The four data structures for type-3 lcp-intervals can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of space by processing the RLBWT of T . Details of the construction algorithm for the four data structures are presented in Appendix. Here, we have the following lemma.

► **Lemma 10.** *We can enumerate the type-3 lcp-intervals with EPS in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of space by processing the RLBWT of T .*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

5 Enumeration of the sa-intervals of maximal repeats with EPS in $O(r)$ words

A maximal repeat in a string T has the following properties: a substring P is a maximal repeat if and only if (i) the sa-interval $\langle b, e, |P| \rangle$ of P is an lcp-interval, and (ii) the sa-interval

contains at least two different characters in the BWT L of T , i.e., $[b+1, e] \cap L^+ \neq \emptyset$ (e.g., [10], see Appendix). Since the sa-interval including each maximal repeat is an lcp-interval, our algorithm enumerates the sa-interval including each maximal repeat from the lcp-intervals with EPS enumerated by the algorithm presented in Section 4.

The detail of our enumeration algorithm for the sa-intervals of maximal repeats is as follows. It processes each lcp-interval with EPS that is enumerated by the algorithm presented in Section 4. It then verifies whether or not each lcp-interval with EPS contains at least two distinct characters in permutation L using a predecessor query on set L^+ supported by data structure Z . Next, it outputs the lcp-interval with EPS as the lcp-interval including a maximal repeat with EPS if the lcp-interval contains at least two distinct characters. Finally, the algorithm obtains all the lcp-intervals including a maximal repeat with EPS by verifying all lcp-intervals. The running time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ except for the executed enumeration algorithm for lcp-intervals, because the algorithm uses $O(n)$ predecessor queries on L^+ .

The maximal repeat P in an sa-interval $\langle b, e, |P| \rangle$ with EPS is recovered using data structure Z (Lemma 2). The sa-interval has the following property: Let $b_1 = b$ and $b_i = \text{FL}(b_{i-1})$ for any integer $i \in [2, |P|]$. Then the characters on positions $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{|P|}$ in permutation F is equal to P (i.e., $P = F[b_1], F[b_2], \dots, F[b_{|P|}]$), because suffix $T[\text{SA}[b]..n]$ has P as a prefix and the FL function returns integer i' such that $\text{SA}[i'] = \text{SA}[i] + 1$ for any integer $i \in [1, n-1]$. Thus, we can compute the maximal repeat P by recursively applying the FL function $|P| - 1$ times to position b and accessing $|P|$ characters $F[b_1], F[b_2], \dots, F[b_{|P|}]$. The computation time is $O(|P| \log \log_w(n/r))$.

The occurrence positions of maximal repeat P in T are recovered from sa-interval $\langle b, e, |P| \rangle$ with EPS using an RLESA. $\text{SA}[b..e]$ stores all the occurrence positions of maximal repeat P in string T . The sa-interval with EPS has sa-value $\text{SA}[b]$. We then compute $\text{SA}[b+1..e]$ by recursively applying a next-access query ($e - b$) times to sa-value $\text{SA}[b]$. Thus, the computation time is $O((e - b + 1) \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total.

Finally, we obtain the following theorem.

► **Theorem 11.** *We can enumerate the sa-intervals of maximal repeats with EPS in a string T in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T . We can also recover a string P and its occurrences in string T from the sa-interval with the sa-value of P in $O(|P| \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(k \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using data structure Z and the RLESA for T , respectively, where k is the number of occurrences of string P in string T .*

6 Construction of succinct PLCP array in $O(r)$ words

The PLCP array PLCP [19, 30] of string T is the permutation of the LCP array of T such that each i -th element is the lcp-value for suffix $T[i..n]$ (i.e., $\text{PLCP}[\text{SA}[i]] = \text{LCP}[i]$ for integer $i \in [1, n]$). Moreover, the succinct PLCP array $\text{PLCP}_{\text{succ}}$ of T is a bit array of size $2n$ representing the PLCP array, and the position of each j -th 1 is $2j + \text{PLCP}[j]$ in the bit array. The succinct PLCP array can support random access on the LCP array by combining it with an SA or compressed SA (see [19] for a more detailed description).

We can construct the succinct PLCP array using data structure Z and an array storing r lcp-values $\text{LCP}[f_1^+], \text{LCP}[f_2^+], \dots, \text{LCP}[f_r^+]$. The array can be constructed by our enumeration algorithm for lcp-values. Formally, we obtain the following theorem.

► **Theorem 12.** *We can output the bits organizing the succinct PLCP array of a string T in right-to-left order in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by preprocessing the RLBWT of T .*

Proof. See Appendix. ◀

Acknowledgments. We thank reviewers for their useful comments. Especially, our enumeration algorithm for lcp-values is simplified by a reviewer.

References

- 1 Mohamed Ibrahim Abouelhoda, Stefan Kurtz, and Enno Ohlebusch. Replacing suffix trees with enhanced suffix arrays. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 2(1):53–86, 2004.
- 2 Hideo Bannai, Travis Gagie, and Tomohiro I. Online LZ77 parsing and matching statistics with RLBWTs. In *Proceedings of CPM*, pages 7:1–7:12, 2018.
- 3 Verónica Becher, Alejandro Deymonnaz, and Pablo Ariel Heiber. Efficient computation of all perfect repeats in genomic sequences of up to half a gigabyte, with a case study on the human genome. *Bioinformatics*, 25(14):1746–1753, 2009.
- 4 Djamal Belazzougui. Linear time construction of compressed text indices in compact space. In *Proceedings of STOC*, pages 148–193, 2014.
- 5 Djamal Belazzougui and Fabio Cunial. Space-efficient detection of unusual words. In *Proceedings of SPIRE*, pages 222–233, 2015.
- 6 Djamal Belazzougui, Fabio Cunial, Travis Gagie, Nicola Prezza, and Mathieu Raffinot. Composite repetition-aware data structures. In *Proceedings of CPM*, pages 26–39, 2015.
- 7 Djamal Belazzougui, Fabio Cunial, Juha Kärkkäinen, and Veli Mäkinen. Versatile succinct representations of the bidirectional burrows-wheeler transform. In *Proceedings of ESA*, pages 133–144, 2013.
- 8 Djamal Belazzougui and Gonzalo Navarro. New lower and upper bounds for representing sequences. In *Proceedings of ESA*, pages 181–192, 2012.
- 9 Djamal Belazzougui, Gonzalo Navarro, and Daniel Valenzuela. Improved compressed indexes for full-text document retrieval. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 18:3–13, 2013.
- 10 Timo Beller, Katharina Berger, and Enno Ohlebusch. Space-efficient computation of maximal and supermaximal repeats in genome sequences. In *Proceedings of SPIRE*, pages 99–110, 2012.
- 11 Timo Beller, Simon Gog, Enno Ohlebusch, and Thomas Schnattinger. Computing the longest common prefix array based on the burrows-wheeler transform. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 18:22–31, 2013.
- 12 Michael Burrows and David J Wheeler. A block-sorting lossless data compression algorithm. *Technical report*, 1994.
- 13 Maxime Crochemore and Renaud VÉrin. On compact directed acyclic word graphs. In *Structures in Logic and Computer Science, A Selection of Essays in Honor of Andrzej Ehrenfeucht*, pages 192–211, 1997.
- 14 Johannes Fischer and Volker Heun. Space-efficient preprocessing schemes for range minimum queries on static arrays. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 40(2):465–492, 2011.
- 15 Isamu Furuya, Takuya Takagi, Yuto Nakashima, Shunsuke Inenaga, Hideo Bannai, and Takuya Kida. MR-RePair: Grammar compression based on maximal repeats. In *Proceedings of DCC*, pages 508–517, 2019.
- 16 Travis Gagie, Gonzalo Navarro, and Nicola Prezza. Fully functional suffix trees and optimal text searching in BWT-runs bounded space. *J. ACM*, 67(1), 2020.
- 17 Roberto Grossi and Jeffrey Scott Vitter. Compressed suffix arrays and suffix trees with applications to text indexing and string matching. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 35(2):378–407, 2005.
- 18 Dan Gusfield. *Algorithms on Strings, Trees, and Sequences - Computer Science and Computational Biology*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- 19 Juha Kärkkäinen, Giovanni Manzini, and Simon J. Puglisi. Permuted longest-common-prefix array. In *Proceedings of CPM*, pages 181–192, 2009.

- 20 Toru Kasai, Gunho Lee, Hiroki Arimura, Setsuo Arikawa, and Kunsoo Park. Linear-time longest-common-prefix computation in suffix arrays and its applications. In *Proceedings of CPM*, pages 181–192, 2001.
- 21 Dominik Kempa. Optimal construction of compressed indexes for highly repetitive texts. In *Proceedings of SODA*, pages 1344–1357, 2019.
- 22 Dominik Kempa and Tomasz Kociumaka. Resolution of the burrows-wheeler transform conjecture. *CoRR*, abs/1910.10631, 2019.
- 23 M. Oguzhan Külekci, Jeffrey Scott Vitter, and Bojian Xu. Efficient maximal repeat finding using the burrows-wheeler transform and wavelet tree. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biology Bioinform.*, 9(2):421–429, 2012.
- 24 Udi Manber and Eugene W. Myers. Suffix arrays: A new method for on-line string searches. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 22(5):935–948, 1993.
- 25 Tomonari Masada, Atsuhiko Takasu, Yuichiro Shibata, and Kiyoshi Oguri. Clustering documents with maximal substrings. In *Proceedings of ICEIS*, pages 19–34, 2011.
- 26 Tatsuya Ohno, Kensuke Sakai, Yoshimasa Takabatake, Tomohiro I, and Hiroshi Sakamoto. A faster implementation of online RLBWT and its application to LZ77 parsing. *J. Discrete Algorithms*, 52-53:18–28, 2018.
- 27 Daisuke Okanohara and Jun’ichi Tsujii. Text categorization with all substring features. In *Proceedings of the SDM*, pages 838–846, 2009.
- 28 Alberto Policriti and Nicola Prezza. LZ77 computation based on the run-length encoded BWT. *Algorithmica*, 80(7):1986–2011, 2018.
- 29 Nicola Prezza and Giovanna Rosone. Space-efficient construction of compressed suffix trees. *CoRR*, abs/1908.04686, 2019.
- 30 Kunihiko Sadakane. Succinct representations of lcp information and improvements in the compressed suffix arrays. In *Proceedings of SODA*, pages 225–232, 2002.
- 31 Kunihiko Sadakane. Compressed suffix trees with full functionality. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, 41(4):589–607, 2007.

Appendix A: Proofs for Section 2

Proof of adjacent relation on SA and incremental relation on LCP array. We prove the adjacent relation on SA and incremental relation on LCP array, i.e., the following lemma.

► **Lemma 13.** *The following two statements hold for two integers $i \in [1, r]$ and $x \in [\ell_i^+, \ell_i^- - 1]$: (i) $\text{LF}(x+1) = \text{LF}(x) + 1$. (ii) $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(x+1)] = \text{LCP}[x+1] + 1$.*

To prove Lemma 13, we use the following corollary.

► **Corollary 14.** *The following two statements hold: (i) For two integers $i, j \in [1, n]$, $\text{LF}(i) < \text{LF}(j)$ if and only if either of the following conditions holds: (a) $L[i] \prec L[j]$ or (b) $L[i] = L[j]$ and $i < j$ for any pair of integers $i, j \in [1, n]$. (ii) Let $C[c]$ be the number of occurrences of characters lexicographically smaller than $c \in \Sigma$ in the BWT of T (i.e., L) for a character $c \in \Sigma$, i.e., $C[c] = |\{i \mid i \in [1, n] \text{ s.t. } L[i] \prec c\}|$. Then $\text{LF}(i) = C[L[i]] + \text{Count}_{L[1..i]}(L[i])$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$.*

Proof. (i) Corollary 14(i) holds by the following two observations: (a) $L[i]$ and $L[j]$ represent $T[\text{SA}[i] - 1]$ and $T[\text{SA}[j] - 1]$, respectively, and (b) the suffixes of T are sorted in the lexicographical order on the suffix array of T . (ii) Corollary 14(ii) holds by Corollary 14(i). ◀

We prove Lemma 13. (i) Let $c = L[x]$. $C[c] + \text{Count}_{L[1..x+1]}(c) = C[c] + \text{Count}_{L[1..x]}(c) + 1$ holds, because $L[x]$ and $L[x+1]$ are the same character c . Therefore $\text{LF}(x+1) = \text{LF}(x) + 1$ by Corollary 14. (ii) $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(x+1)]$ is the LCP of two suffixes $S_1 = T[\text{SA}[\text{LF}(x+1) - 1]..n]$ and $S_2 = T[\text{SA}[\text{LF}(x+1)]..n]$. $S_1 = cT[\text{SA}[x]..n]$ and $S_2 = cT[\text{SA}[x+1]..n]$ hold by Lemma 13(i), and $\text{LCP}[x+1]$ is the LCP of two suffixes $T[\text{SA}[x]..n]$ and $T[\text{SA}[x+1]..n]$. Therefore, $\text{LCP}[\text{LF}(x+1)] = \text{LCP}[x+1] + 1$.

Proof of Lemma 2 (data structure Z). Data structure Z consists of the following four data structures: (i) The array δ introduced in Section 2.5, and an array δ^{-1} storing the inverse permutation of the permutation stored in δ (i.e., $\delta^{-1}[\delta[i]] = i$ for any integer $i \in [1, r]$). (ii) Two sequences of integers, $f_1^+, f_2^+, \dots, f_r^+$, and $\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+$. (iii) Two sequences of characters, $F[f_1^+], F[f_2^+], \dots, F[f_r^+]$, and $L[\ell_1^+], L[\ell_2^+], \dots, L[\ell_r^+]$. (iv) Two predecessor data structures for sets L^+ and F^+ . The space usage of Z is $O(r)$ words in total.

Next, we show that Z can support the queries described in Lemma 2, i.e., computing (i) $\text{pred}(F^+, i)$, $\text{pred}(L^+, i)$, (ii) f_i^+ , ℓ_i^+ , (iii) $F[i]$, $L[i]$, and (iv) $\text{LF}(i)$, $\text{FL}(i)$ for a given integer i . Obviously, Z can support the queries $\text{pred}(F^+, i)$ and $\text{pred}(L^+, i)$ in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time using the predecessor data structures stored in Z . It can also support accessing f_i^+ and ℓ_i^+ in $O(1)$ time. It can compute $F[i]$ and $L[i]$ in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time for any integer $i \in [1, n]$, because $F[i] = F[f_{\text{pred}(F^+, i)}^+]$ and $L[i] = L[\ell_{\text{pred}(L^+, i)}^+]$. Two functions $\text{LF}(i)$ and $\text{FL}(i)$ can be computed in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time, because $\text{LF}(i) = f_{\delta^{-1}[\text{pred}(L^+, i)]}^+ + (i - \ell_{\text{pred}(L^+, i)}^+)$ and $\text{FL}(i) = \ell_{\delta^{-1}[\text{pred}(F^+, i)]}^+ + (i - f_{\text{pred}(F^+, i)}^+)$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$ by the adjacent relation on the SA (i.e., Lemma 13(i)).

Next, we give a construction algorithm for the data structure Z by processing the RLBWT of string T . First, we construct array δ . We can compute the array by applying a stable sorting algorithm to the first characters in runs in the RLBWT, because $\text{LF}(x) < \text{LF}(y)$ if and only if either of the following conditions holds: (i) $L[x] \prec L[y]$ or (ii) $L[x] = L[y]$ and $x < y$ for any pair of integers $x, y \in [1, n]$. We use the following stable sorting algorithm to construct δ in $O(n)$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space.

► **Lemma 15.** *We can sort a given k integers in a set $\{1, 2, \dots, n^{O(1)}\}$ by a stable sorting algorithm in $O(n)$ time and $O(k)$ words of working space.*

Proof. We sort the given integers in $O(n)$ time by using a standard sorting algorithm if $k < \frac{n}{\log n}$; otherwise we use an LSD radix sort with a bucket size of $\frac{n}{\log n}$, i.e., we sort the characters with bucket sort in $O(\frac{n}{\log n} + k)$ time by using the $\log(n/\log n)$ bits starting at position $(i-1)\log(n/\log n)$ for each step $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{\log n^{O(1)}}{\log(n/\log n)}$. The space usage of the radix sort is $O(\frac{n}{\log n} + k) = O(k)$ words and the running time is $O(n)$ time in total, because $\frac{\log n^{O(1)}}{\log(n/\log n)} = O(1)$. Therefore we obtain Lemma 15. ◀

The remaining data structures can be constructed in $O(r \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT and two arrays δ . Finally, we can construct data structure Z in $O(n + r \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space, and hence, we obtain Lemma 2.

RLESA. A run-length extended suffix array (RLESA) consists of (i) five arrays SA^- , SA^+ , DSA^- , DSA^+ , and LCP^+ of size r and (ii) two predecessor data structures for SA^- and SA^+ . SA^+ and SA^- store positions in sets L^+ and L^- , respectively. LCP^+ stores the lcp-values at positions in set L^+ . DSA^+ and DSA^- store the differences in adjacent sa-values at positions in sets L^+ and L^- , respectively. Formally, let $\ell_1^-, \ell_2^-, \dots, \ell_r^-$ and $\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+$ be the positions in sets L^- and L^+ in increasing order of their sa-values, respectively, i.e., $L^- = \{\ell_1^-, \ell_2^-, \dots, \ell_r^-\}$, $SA[\ell_1^-] < SA[\ell_2^-] < \dots < SA[\ell_r^-]$, $L^+ = \{\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+\}$, and $SA[\ell_1^+] < SA[\ell_2^+] < \dots < SA[\ell_r^+]$. Then we define the five arrays in the following way:

- $SA^- = SA[\ell_1^-], SA[\ell_2^-], \dots, SA[\ell_r^-]$,
- $SA^+ = SA[\ell_1^+], SA[\ell_2^+], \dots, SA[\ell_r^+]$,
- $LCP^+ = LCP[\ell_1^- + 1], LCP[\ell_2^- + 1], \dots, LCP[\ell_r^- + 1]$,
- $DSA^- = SA[\ell_1^-] - SA[\ell_1^- + 1], SA[\ell_2^-] - SA[\ell_2^- + 1], \dots, SA[\ell_r^-] - SA[\ell_r^- + 1]$, and
- $DSA^+ = SA[\ell_1^+] - SA[\ell_1^+ - 1], SA[\ell_2^+] - SA[\ell_2^+ - 1], \dots, SA[\ell_r^+] - SA[\ell_r^+ - 1]$.

Here, let $SA[n+1] = SA[1]$, $SA[0] = SA[n]$, and $LCP[n+1] = LCP[1]$. Then, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 16** ([16]). *For any integer $i \in [1, n-1]$, the following equations hold. (i) $DSA^-[i^-] = SA[i^-] - SA[i+1]$, and (ii) $LCP^+[i^-] = LCP[i+1] + d$, where $i^- = \text{pred}(SA^-, SA[i])$ and $d = SA[i] - SA^-[i^-]$. Similarly, for any integer $i \in [2, n]$, $DSA^+[i^+] = SA[i] - SA[i-1]$, where $i^+ = \text{pred}(SA^+, SA[i])$.*

Lemma 16 implies that an RLESA can support next- and previous-access queries in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using predecessor queries on SA^- and SA^+ . The lemma also indicates that we can support next-access queries by using arrays DSA^- , SA^- , and LCP^+ and the predecessor data structure for SA^- .

Appendix B: Proofs for Section 3

Example for Section 3 We first give an example of computing Weiner-intervals with depth 2 in Figure 1. Here, $W_1 = \{\langle 1, 1, |\$| \rangle, \langle 2, 10, |a| \rangle, \langle 11, 16, |b| \rangle\}$, and $W_2 = \{\langle 1, 4, |aa| \rangle, \langle 11, 11, |b\$| \rangle\}$, where we let $LCP[17] = 0$. When we apply a Weiner query to each Weiner-interval with depth 1, we obtain a set of sa-intervals, $\{\langle 1, 1, |\$a| \rangle, \langle 1, 4, |aa| \rangle, \langle 5, 10, |ab| \rangle, \langle 11, 11, |b\$| \rangle, \langle 12, 16, |ba| \rangle\}$. Here, $V'_1[1] = 1$, $V'_1[4] = 0$, $V'_1[10] = 1$, $V'_1[11] = 0$, and $V'_1[16] = 1$, and hence, W_2 corresponds to the set $\bigcup_{\langle b, e, d-1 \rangle \in W_1} \{\langle b', e', 2 \rangle \mid \langle b', e', 2 \rangle \in \text{weiner}(b, e, 1) \text{ s.t. } V'_1[e'] = 0\}$.

Details of Beller et al's algorithm. The details of their enumeration algorithm are as follows. We ensure that queue Q stores Weiner-intervals with depth $d-1$ in any order, and that bit array V' corresponds to V'_{d-1} , before the algorithm enumerates Weiner-intervals with depth d . It repeats the following three steps until queue Q is empty: (i) It takes a

Weiner-interval $u = \langle b, e, d - 1 \rangle$ out of queue Q . (ii) It computes set $\text{filter}(u)$ by using a Weiner query and bit array V' . (iii) It outputs each Weiner-interval $\langle b', e', d \rangle$ in $\text{filter}(u)$ and sets the bit $V'[e']$ to 1. After enumerating the Weiner-intervals with depth d , it pushes them into queue Q .

Proof of Lemma 4. Our algorithm for an RCLFL query is based on the algorithm for *range color reporting with frequency query* proposed by Belazzougui et al. [9]. We prove Lemma 3 by using the following three lemmas.

► **Lemma 17.** *For a string T , there exists a data structure Λ' of $O(n + \sigma)$ words supporting any RCLFL query on a given string T of length n in $O(k)$ time and $O(k)$ words of working space, where k is the number of elements output by the RCLFL query. We can construct the data structure in $O(n + \sigma)$ time and $O(n + \sigma)$ words of working space for a given T .*

Proof. Data structure Λ' consists of (i) an array W of size σ and (ii) a data structure X supporting *range minimum queries* (RmQ) on an array \vec{L} and *range maximum queries* (RMQ) on an array \vec{R} . Every cell in array W uses $\log n$ bits and is set to 0. The cells $\vec{L}[i]$ and $\vec{R}[i]$ store the previous and next occurrences, respectively, of the i -th character $T[i]$ in T (i.e., $\vec{L}[i] = \max(\{x \mid x \in [1, i - 1] \text{ s.t. } T[x] = T[i]\} \cup \{0\})$ and $\vec{R}[i] = \min(\{x \mid x \in [i + 1, n] \text{ s.t. } T[x] = T[i]\} \cup \{n + 1\})$). The RmQ and RMQ of a given interval $[i, j]$ return the positions of the smallest value in $\vec{L}[i..j]$ and the largest value in $\vec{R}[i..j]$, respectively. Formally, let $\text{RmQ}(D, i, j)$ and $\text{RMQ}(D, i, j)$ be the answers to the RmQ and RMQ queries on an integer array D for a given interval $[i, j]$, respectively, i.e., $\text{RmQ}(D, i, j) = \min\{x \mid x \in [i, j] \text{ s.t. } D[x] = \min\{D[i], D[i + 1], \dots, D[j]\}\}$ and $\text{RMQ}(D, i, j) = \max\{x \mid x \in [i, j] \text{ s.t. } D[x] = \max\{D[i], D[i + 1], \dots, D[j]\}\}$. There exists a data structure of $O(|D|)$ words supporting RmQs and RMQs on an integer array D in constant time, and it can be constructed in $O(|D|)$ time and space from the array D (e.g., [14]). We use the data structure for \vec{L} and \vec{R} as data structure X , and we construct the two arrays in $O(n + \sigma)$ time and words of working space by processing T with bucket sort. Therefore, the total preprocessing time is $O(n + \sigma)$, and the space usage of our data structures is $O(n + \sigma)$ words.

To find the first and last occurrences of the distinct characters in substring $T[i..j]$, we use the following properties of two arrays \vec{L} and \vec{R} : The set of the positions on interval $[i, j]$ such that the value at each position on \vec{L} is smaller than i is equal to the set of the first occurrences of the distinct characters on the interval. Similarly, the set of the positions on interval $[i, j]$ such that the value at each position on \vec{L} is larger than j is equal to the set of the last occurrences of the distinct characters on the interval. Formally, let $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ be the set of distinct characters in the substring $T[i..j]$ (i.e., $\text{RCLFL}(T, i, j) = \{(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)\}$). Then, the following two statements hold for any integer $x \in [i, j]$. (i) $\vec{L}[x] < i$ if and only if $x \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$, and (ii) $\vec{R}[x] > j$ if and only if $x \in \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ [9].

Next, we find the first and last occurrences of the distinct characters by using two functions $\text{search}_<$ and $\text{search}_>$, respectively. Function $\text{search}_<(x, i, j)$ returns the set of the positions on a given interval $[i, j]$ such that the value at each position on \vec{L} is smaller than a given integer x . $\text{search}_<(i, i, j)$ returns the first occurrences of the distinct characters in $T[i, j]$ by the above statement (i). Formally, let $\text{search}_<(x, i, j) = \emptyset$ if $i > j$ or $\vec{L}[p] \geq x$; otherwise $\text{search}_<(x, i, j) = \{p\} \cup \text{search}_<(x, i, p - 1) \cup \text{search}_<(x, p + 1, j)$, where $p = \text{RmQ}(\vec{L}, i, j)$. Then $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\} = \text{search}_<(i, i, j)$. Similarly, function $\text{search}_>(x, i, j)$ returns the set of the positions on $[i, j]$ such that the value at each position on \vec{R} is larger than a given integer x , and hence $\text{search}_>(j, i, j)$ returns the last occurrences of the distinct characters in $T[i, j]$. Formally, let $\text{search}_>(x, i, j) = \emptyset$ if $i > j$ or $\vec{R}[p] \leq x$; otherwise $\text{search}_>(x, i, j) = \{p\} \cup \text{search}_>(x, i, p - 1) \cup \text{search}_>(x, p + 1, j)$, where $p = \text{RMQ}(\vec{R}, i, j)$. Then $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\} = \text{search}_>(j, i, j)$.

We compute $\text{RCLFL}(T, i, j)$ in the following way: (i) Enumerate the distinct characters c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k and their first occurrences in the substring $T[i..j]$ by computing $\text{search}_{<}(i, i, j)$. (ii) For each character c_x , we change $W[c_x]$ to its first occurrence b_x . (iii) Enumerate the distinct characters c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k and their last occurrences in the substring $T[i..j]$ by computing $\text{search}_{>}(j, i, j)$. (iv) For each character c_x , we obtain its first occurrence b_x by accessing $W[c_x]$ and output the triplet (c_x, b_x, e_x) . Two functions $\text{search}_{<}(i, i, j)$ and $\text{search}_{>}(j, i, j)$ contain $O(k)$ RmQs and RMQs, respectively. Therefore, the running time is $O(k)$ in total, and we obtain Lemma 17. \blacktriangleleft

► **Lemma 18.** *There exists a data structure Λ'' of $O(n)$ words supporting any RCLFL query on a string T in $O(k)$ time and $O(k)$ words of working space, where k is the number of elements output by the RCLFL query. We can construct the data structure in $O(n)$ time and $O(n)$ words of working space by processing T .*

Proof. We prove Lemma 18 using data structure Λ' . To reduce the space usage of Λ' into $O(n)$, we construct a string T' such each i -th character is the rank of character $T[i]$ in T' (i.e., $T'[i] = \text{pred}(\Sigma', T[i])$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$), where Σ' is the set of the distinct characters in T , i.e., $\Sigma' = \{T[i] \mid i \in [1, n]\}$. The mapping reduce the alphabet size for T' into $O(n)$, and hence the data structure Λ' for T' can answer to RCLFL queries in $O(n)$ words.

Formally, data structure Λ'' consists of a data structure Λ' for string T' and an array C' of length n such that each i -th element stores the original character corresponding to $T'[i]$, i.e., $C'[i] \in \Sigma'$ and $\text{pred}(\Sigma', C'[i]) = T'[i]$. The space usage of Λ'' is $O(n)$ words, because the alphabet size of T' is at most n . We can construct Λ'' in $O(n)$ time and $O(n)$ words of working space, because (i) we can construct string T' in $O(n)$ time and $O(n)$ words of working space by Lemma 15, and (ii) we can construct array C' in $O(n)$ time by processing two strings T' and T .

We compute the $\text{RCLFL}(T, i, j)$ query using query $\text{RCLFL}(T', i, j)$ and array C' . $T[i] = C'[T'[i]]$ for any integer $i \in [1, n]$, and hence, we compute $\text{RCLFL}(T, i, j)$ in the following two steps: (i) We compute $\text{RCLFL}(T', i, j) = \{(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)\}$. (ii) For each output element (c_x, b_x, e_x) , we compute and output $(C'[c_x], b_x, e_x)$. Therefore, the running time is still $O(k)$ in total, and finally, we obtain Lemma 18. \blacktriangleleft

► **Lemma 19.** *Let L' be a string of size r such that each i -th character is the first character of i -th L-run (i.e., $L' = L[\ell_1^+], L[\ell_2^+], \dots, L[\ell_r^+]$), and let $\text{RCLFL}(L', b', e') = \{(c_1, b'_1, e'_1), (c_2, b'_2, e'_2), \dots, (c_k, b'_k, e'_k)\}$ for a sa-interval $\langle b, e, d \rangle$, where $b' = \text{pred}(L^+, b)$ and $e' = \text{pred}(L^+, e)$. Then $\text{RCLFL}(L, b, e) = \{(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)\}$. Here, $b_i = b$ if $b'_i = b'$ and $b_i = \ell_{b'_i}^+$ otherwise for any integer $i \in [1, k]$. Similarly, $e_i = e$ if $e'_i = e'$ and $e_i = \ell_{e'_i}^-$ otherwise.*

Proof. For each triplet $(c_i, b'_i, e'_i) \in \text{RCLFL}(L', b', e')$, L-run $L_{b'_i}$ is the first L-run representing repetitions of c_i on $L[\ell_{b'_i}^+.. \ell_{e'_i}^-]$, and at least one character in $L_{b'_i}$ is contained in $L[b..e]$ (i.e., $[\ell_{b'_i}^+, \ell_{b'_i}^-] \cap [b, e] \neq \emptyset$). This implies that the first occurrence of character c_i in $L[b..e]$ is $\min([\ell_{b'_i}^+, \ell_{b'_i}^-] \cap [b, e])$. If $b' \neq b'_i$, then $\min([\ell_{b'_i}^+, \ell_{b'_i}^-] \cap [b, e]) = \ell_{b'_i}^+$; otherwise, $\min([\ell_{b'_i}^+, \ell_{b'_i}^-] \cap [b, e]) = b$. Similarly, the last occurrence of character c_i is $\ell_{e'_i}^-$ if $e' \neq e'_i$; otherwise, the last occurrence is e . Therefore, we obtain Lemma 19. \blacktriangleleft

Data structure Λ consists of (i) the data structure Λ'' for a string L' of size r such that each i -th is the first character of i -th L-run (i.e., $L' = L[\ell_1^+], L[\ell_2^+], \dots, L[\ell_r^+]$), (ii) an array storing $\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+$, and (iii) the predecessor data structure for set L^+ . The space usage of Λ is $O(r)$ words, and we can construct the data structure in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .

We compute $\text{RCLFL}(L, b, e)$ by the following three steps: (i) We compute $b' = \text{pred}(L^+, b)$, $e' = \text{pred}(L^+, e)$, and $\text{RCLFL}(L', b', e')$. (ii) For each triplet $(c_i, b'_i, e'_i) \in \text{RCLFL}(L', b', e')$, we recover the triplet (c_i, b_i, e_i) on string L from the triplet (c_i, b'_i, e'_i) by Lemma 19. Here, b_i and e_i are the leftmost and rightmost occurrences of character c_i in substring $L[b..e]$, respectively. Similarly, b'_i and e'_i are the leftmost and rightmost occurrences of character c_i in substring $L'[b'..e']$, respectively. (iii) We output k triplets $(c_1, b_1, e_1), (c_2, b_2, e_2), \dots, (c_k, b_k, e_k)$, where k is the number of elements reported by query $\text{RCLFL}(L', b', e')$. The running time is $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ per output element by using data structure Λ , and hence we obtain Lemma 4.

Proof of Lemma 5. $\text{FL}(e') \in ([b, e] \cap F^-) \cup \{e\}$ holds by Lemma 19. The ending position of any L-run maps to that of the corresponding F-run by LF function, and hence $\text{FL}(e') = e$ if $e' \notin F^-$. $\text{LCP}[e' + 1] = d$ holds by formula $\text{LCP}[e' + 1] = \text{LCP}[\text{FL}(e' + 1)] + 1$, because $\text{FL}(e' + 1) = e + 1$ holds by the adjacent relation on SA, and $\text{LCP}[e + 1] = d - 1$ holds by Weiner-interval $\langle b, e, d \rangle$. Thus $\langle b', e', d + 1 \rangle$ is a Weiner-interval with depth $d + 1$, i.e., $V_d[e'] = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 6. Let $\text{LF}^+(j)$ be the function that returns the starting position of a L-run such that the starting position is first obtained by recursive applying LF function to a position $j \in [1, n]$ (i.e., $\text{LF}^+(j) = j$ if $j \in L^+$; otherwise $\text{LF}^+(j) = \text{LF}^+(\text{LF}(j))$). Consider two distinct positions j and j' with lcp-value i . $j = j'$ if and only if $\text{LF}^+(j) = \text{LF}^+(j')$, because j (respectively, j') are obtained by recursive applying FL function ($\text{LCP}[\text{LF}^+(j)] - \text{LCP}[j]$) (respectively, $(\text{LCP}[\text{LF}^+(j')] - \text{LCP}[j'])$) times to $\text{LF}^+(j)$ (respectively, $\text{LF}^+(j')$) by the incremental relation on LCP array. Function LF^+ returns an integer in set L^+ of size r , and hence the number of the positions with lcp-value i on the LCP array is at most r . Therefore, we obtain Lemma 6.

Proof of Theorem 7. We already showed our algorithm can enumerate lcp-values in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words, and hence we show that the data structure for our algorithm can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .

Recall that our algorithm is built on the following six data structures: (i) A data structure Λ for string L ; (ii) data structure Z (Lemma 2); (iii) a bit array V' of size r ; (iv) an array storing $f_1^-, f_2^-, \dots, f_r^-$; (v) the predecessor data structure for set F^+ ; and (vi) a queue Q storing all Weiner-intervals with depth 1 in any order. We already showed that Λ can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space for the given RLBWT of a string T (Lemma 4). Z can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T . Obviously, the bit array V' can be constructed in $O(r)$ time. The fourth data structure can be constructed in $O(r)$ time using Z . Similarly, the fifth data structure can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time using Z . Finally, we need to compute all the Weiner-intervals with depth 1 to construct queue Q . The Weiner-intervals with depth 1 are the sa-intervals of characters occurring in T . The sa-intervals can be computed by reading permutation F in left-to-right order, and we can access F in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using data structure Z . Therefore, the construction time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total, and we obtain Theorem 7.

Appendix C: Proofs for Section 4

Example for Section 4.1. Next, an example of computing the type-1 lcp-intervals in Figure 3 is as follows. In the figure, each dotted arrow means that the lcp-interval at its head can be computed by applying the FL function to the lcp-interval at its tail. For example, $\langle 6, 7, |abaabab| \rangle$ and $\langle 13, 14, |baabab| \rangle$ are two lcp-intervals, because $\text{LCP}[6], \text{LCP}[8] <$

$\min(\text{LCP}[7..7]) = 7$ and $\text{LCP}[13], \text{LCP}[15] < \min(\text{LCP}[14..14]) = 6$, respectively. Those two lcp-intervals are connected by a dotted arrow. The interval $[6 - 1, 7 + 1]$ of lcp-interval $\langle 6, 7, |abaabab| \rangle$ is contained in 3-th F-run. Then, we have $\text{FL}(6) = 12$. Thus, $\text{LCP}[13] = \text{LCP}[6] - 1$, $\text{LCP}[15] = \text{LCP}[8] - 1$, and $\min(\text{LCP}[14..14]) = \min(\text{LCP}[7..7]) - 1$ by the incremental relation on the LCP array, i.e., we can compute the lcp-interval $\langle 13, 14, |baabab| \rangle$ by applying the FL function to the lcp-interval $\langle 6, 7, |abaabab| \rangle$.

Proof of Lemma 10 (type-3 lcp-intervals) We already showed that we can enumerate type-3 lcp-intervals in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time using the data structures listed in Section 4.2, and hence we give a construction algorithm of the data structures. Recall that our data structure for type-3 lcp-intervals consists of data structure Z , two array S, S' , and RLESA. We construct the data structure Z by Lemma 2. The RLESA can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time using Theorem 7. Formally, the following lemma holds.

► **Lemma 20.** *We can construct a RLESA for a string T in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .*

Proof. Recall that RLESA consists of (i) five arrays $\text{SA}^-, \text{SA}^+, \text{DSA}^-, \text{DSA}^+$, and LCP^+ and (ii) two predecessor data structures for SA^- and SA^+ . For given enumerated lcp-values and sa-values, the five arrays can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by using the predecessor queries on L^+ and the array L^+ . For the given RLBWT of string T , we can enumerate sa-values in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space in the order of $\text{SA}[p] = n$, $\text{SA}[\text{LF}(p)] = n - 1$, $\text{SA}[\text{LF}_2(p)] = n - 2$, \dots , $\text{SA}[\text{LF}_{n-1}(p)] = 1$ by applying the LF function to the position p , which is the position such that $\text{SA}[p] = n$. Here, $\text{LF}_d(i)$ is a recursive LF function applied d times to a given position i , i.e., $\text{LF}_d(i) = \text{LF}_{d-1}(\text{LF}(i))$ if $d \geq 1$; otherwise, $\text{LF}_d(i) = i$. We can also enumerate lcp-values in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using the algorithm presented in Section 3. Therefore, an RLESA can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space. ◀


Next, we give a construction algorithm of array S . The construction algorithm uses (i) two arrays storing $\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \dots, \ell_r^+$ and $\text{SA}[\ell_1^+], \text{SA}[\ell_2^+], \dots, \text{SA}[\ell_r^+]$, and (ii) RLESA. The two array can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time using data structure Z and the RLESA. Let $I_i' = \langle b, e, d \rangle$ be the deepest lcp-interval containing position ℓ_i^+ . The depth of the lcp-interval is $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+]$ if $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+] \geq \text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + 1]$; otherwise the depth is $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + 1]$. The lcp-interval is type-3 and $S[i]$ stores the lcp-interval if $\ell_{i-1}^+, \ell_{i+1}^+ \notin [b, e]$; otherwise the lcp-interval is type-2 and $S[i]$ is empty.

Our construction algorithm finds the deepest type-3 lcp-interval ℓ_i^+ using two linear searches for b and e on LCP array like the enumeration algorithm of type-3 lcp-intervals. The algorithm consists of the following four steps: (i) compute d by formula $d = \max\{\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+], \text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + 1]\}$; (ii) find the smallest positive integer p such that $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ - p] < d$ by a linear search over $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+], \text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ - 1], \dots, \text{LCP}[\ell_{i-1}^+ + 1]$. The algorithm stops if p is not found (i.e., $S[i]$ is empty); otherwise $\ell_i^+ - p$ is equal to b ; (iii) similarly, find the smallest positive integer p' such that $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + p'] < d_j$ by a linear search over $\text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + 1], \text{LCP}[\ell_i^+ + 2], \dots, \text{LCP}[\ell_i^- + 1]$. The algorithm stops if p' is not found; otherwise $\ell_i^+ + p' - 1$ is equal to e ; (iv) $S[i]$ stores I' if p and p' are found. We compute $S[1], S[2], \dots, S[r]$ by the algorithm. The running time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total using RLESA. We can also construct array S' in the same time using RLESA. Therefore, we can construct the data structures for type-3 lcp-intervals in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .

Enumeration algorithm for type-4 lcp-intervals. Let $\mathcal{I}' = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k\}$ be the set of the type-4 lcp-intervals that each lcp-interval is contained in $[\ell_i^+ + 1, \ell_i^-]$ for an integer

$i \in [1, r]$. Each lcp-interval I_j satisfies either of the following two conditions: (i) the lcp-interval contains position $\ell_i^+ + 1$, or (ii) the lcp-interval contains position ℓ_i^- and does not contain position $\ell_i^+ + 1$. Therefore, we can enumerate the lcp-intervals in \mathcal{I}' such that each lcp-interval satisfies the former condition in $O(|L_i| \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by modifying the enumeration algorithm of type-3 lcp-intervals. Similarly, we can enumerate the other lcp-intervals in the same time. We enumerate the type-4 lcp-intervals that each lcp-interval is contained in $[\ell_i^+ + 1, \ell_i^-]$ using the modified algorithm for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. The running time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total, and the working space is $O(r)$ words. Therefore, we can enumerate type-4 lcp-intervals in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space using Lemma 10.

Enumeration algorithm for type-2 lcp-intervals.



■ **Figure 4** Three sets Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 on a type-2 lcp-interval tree.

The enumeration algorithm for lcp-intervals of type-2 is built on the following five data structures: (i) the RLESA for string T ; (ii) data structure Z (Lemma 2); (iii) an integer array D of length r such that each $D[x]$ stores $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_x^+ + 1, \ell_{x+1}^+])$; (iv) a data structure X of $O(r)$ words supporting RmQ queries on array D in constant time; and (v) two empty stacks Υ^{left} and Υ^{right} . Here, data structure X was introduced in Appendix B. The space usage of the data structures is $O(r)$ words in total. We can construct array D in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by enumerating the lcp-values in left-to-right order by using the RLESA, and RLESA can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by Lemma 20. Therefore, we can construct the five data structures in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by processing the RLBWT of string T .

The enumeration algorithm finds type-2 lcp-intervals by using a *type-2 lcp-interval tree* and sets of intervals Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_h . The type-2 lcp-interval tree is a rooted tree such that each node corresponds to a distinct type-2 lcp-interval in set \mathcal{I}^2 . Formally, a type-2 lcp-interval tree is defined in the following way: (i) its nodes correspond on a one-to-one basis to intervals in set $\mathcal{T} = \{[b, e] \mid \langle b, e, d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}^2\} \cup \{[1, n]\}$; (ii) its root corresponds to interval $[1, n]$; and (iii) the parent of any interval $[b, e] \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \{[1, n]\}$ is the interval $[b', e'] \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $[b, e] \subset [b', e']$ and $e' - b' + 1$ is smallest. Let $\text{parent}(I)$ and $\text{children}(I)$ be the parent and children of an interval I , respectively, i.e., $\text{parent}(I) = \arg \min_{I' \in V} |I'|$ and $\text{children}(I) = \{I' \mid I' \in \mathcal{T} \text{ s.t. } \text{parent}(I') = I\}$, where $V = \{I' \mid I' \in \mathcal{T} \text{ s.t. } I' \supset I\}$.

Next, we define sets of intervals Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_h . Set Y_1 consists of leaves in a type-2 lcp-interval tree (i.e., $Y_1 = \{I \mid I \in \mathcal{T} \text{ s.t. } \text{children}(I) = \emptyset\}$). Y_{i+1} is defined using set $Y_i = \{I_{i,1}, I_{i,2}, \dots, I_{i,|Y_i|}\}$ and integer τ_i for any integer $i \geq 1$. Here, $I_{i,x}$ is the x -th interval in the intervals in set Y_i sorted in increasing order of their left boundaries, and τ_i is the smallest integer such that the children of the parent of interval I_{i,τ_i} are contained in set Y_i , i.e., $\tau_i = \min\{x \mid x \in [1, |Y_i|] \text{ s.t. } \text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i,x})) \subseteq Y_i\}$. Then, Y_{i+1} is the set of intervals obtained by replacing the children of the parent of interval I_{i,τ_i} in set Y_i with the parent (i.e., $Y_{i+1} = (Y_i \setminus \text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}))) \cup \{\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})\}$). Finally, let h be the

smallest integer such that $Y_h = \{[1, n]\}$.

Figure 4 illustrates three sets Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 on a type-2 lcp-interval tree. In the left figure, the node of string abb (i.e., $Y_{1,1}$) is the leftmost node in Y_1 such that the children of the node are contained in Y_1 , and hence $\tau_1 = 1$. Similarly, in the center figure, the node of string bbb (i.e., $Y_{2,3}$) is the leftmost node in Y_2 such that the children of the node are contained in Y_2 , and hence $\tau_2 = 3$.

The union of the two sets Y_1 and set $\{\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-1,\tau_{h-1}})\}$ is equal to the set of nodes in the type-2 lcp-interval tree, because (i) Y_1 is the set of leaves in the type-2 lcp-interval tree, (ii) $Y_h = \{[1, n]\}$ is the root of the tree, and (iii) Y_i is obtained by replacing an interval in Y_{i+1} with its children for any integer $i \in [1, h-1]$. Formally, the following lemmas hold.

► **Lemma 21.** τ_i exists for any integer $i \in [1, h-1]$, i.e., $\{x \mid x \in [1, |Y_i|] \text{ s.t. } \text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i,x})) \subseteq Y_i\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. We use the following observation: any rooted tree has at least one internal node such that each child of the node is a leaf. Set Y_1 is the set of leaves in a type-2 lcp-interval tree, and hence, τ_1 exists by the above observation. If Y_i represents the set of leaves in a tree \mathcal{X} , then Y_{i+1} also represents the set of leaves in the subtree such that (i) its root is the root of tree \mathcal{X} and (ii) its leaves are nodes in Y_{i+1} . This is because the set Y_{i+1} is obtained by replacing the children of a node in Y_i with the node. Therefore, integers $\tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_{h-1}$ also exist by the above observation. ◀

► **Lemma 22.** The union of two sets Y_1 and $\{\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-2,\tau_{h-2}})\}$ is equal to the set of type-2 lcp-intervals, i.e., $\mathcal{T} = Y_1 \cup \{\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-1,\tau_{h-1}})\}$.

Proof. Set Y_1 represents the leaves in the type-2 lcp-interval tree, and $\{\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-1,\tau_{h-1}})\}$ represents the internal nodes and root in the type-2 lcp-interval tree. Therefore, Lemma 22 holds. ◀

We give a high-level description of our algorithm. The nodes in the type-2 lcp-interval tree represent the set of type-2 lcp-intervals, and hence, we can enumerate the type-2 lcp-intervals by computing nodes in the type-2 lcp-interval tree. The enumeration algorithm does not store the whole type-2 lcp-interval tree to enumerate type-2 lcp-intervals, because the tree has $O(n)$ nodes, i.e., it requires $O(n)$ words. Instead, the algorithm sequentially computes $\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-1,\tau_{h-1}})$ in $O(r)$ words. Before the algorithm computes interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$, the algorithm has set Y_i and execute the following three steps:

1. Compute τ_i and $b = \tau_i + |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}))| - 1$.
2. Compute interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$.
3. Replace $I_{i,\tau_i}, I_{i,\tau_i+1}, \dots, I_{i,b}$ in Y_i with $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$.

$|Y_1| > |Y_2| > \dots > |Y_h| = 1$ and $|Y_1| \leq r$ hold, because any interval in Y_1 does not overlap with any other interval in the set, and each interval in Y_1 contains at least two positions in L^+ . Therefore, the space usage of our algorithm can be bounded by $O(r)$ words.

We use the following lemma to compute $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$.

► **Lemma 23.** We can compute interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}) = [\hat{b}, \hat{e}]$ and the 5-tuple for the interval in $O((|\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}) \setminus [b, e']| + 1) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time for two given intervals $I_{i,\tau_i} = [b, e]$ and $I_{i,\tau_i+|\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}))|-1} = [b', e']$ by using (i) the 5-tuples for the given intervals, (ii) array D , (iii) data structure X , (iv) the RLESA for string T , and (v) data structure Z , where the 5-tuple for an interval $[x, y]$ is $(\text{SA}[x], \text{SA}[y], \min(\text{LCP}[x+1..y]), \text{LCP}[x], \text{LCP}[y+1])$.

Proof. Interval $[\hat{b}, \hat{e}]$ is the longest interval such that its depth is equal to $\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1, e'])$ (i.e., $\min(\text{LCP}[\hat{b} + 1, \hat{e}]) = \min(\text{LCP}[b + 1, e'])$) and it contains the two given intervals. We compute $\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1, e'])$ by computing $\min\{\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1..e]), \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1..e_v^+]), \min(\text{LCP}[b' + 1..e'])\}$, because $[b + 1, e'] = [b + 1, e] \cup [\ell_v^+ + 1, e_v^+] \cup [b' + 1, e']$, where $\ell_v^+ = \max\{[b, e] \cap L^+\}$ and $e_v^+ = \min\{[b', e'] \cap L^+\}$. We can compute $\min\{\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1..e]), \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1..e_v^+]), \min(\text{LCP}[b' + 1..e'])\}$ in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time, because (i) $\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1..e])$ and $\min(\text{LCP}[b' + 1..e'])$ are stored in the 5-tuples for the given intervals, (ii) $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1..e_v^+])$ is equal to $D[\text{RmQ}(D, v, v' - 1)]$, and (iii) we can compute the two integers v and v' in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using two predecessor queries on L^+ .

Next, we compute the longest interval such that its depth is equal to $\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1, e'])$ and it contains interval $[b, e']$ by using the RLESA and the 5-tuples for the given intervals. We can compute the longest interval by using $b - \hat{b} + 2$ previous-access queries and $\hat{e} - e' + 2$ next-access queries. We also obtain the 5-tuple for interval $[\hat{b}, \hat{e}]$ at the same time, and hence, the running time is $O((|\text{parent}(I_{i, \tau_i}) \setminus [b, e']| + 1) \log \log_w(n/r))$. ◀

To quickly compute τ_i and $\tau_i + |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \tau_i}))| - 1$, we introduce $h - 1$ integers $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{h-1}$. κ_i is the smallest integer such that the parent of interval I_{i, κ_i} does not overlap with interval $I_{i, \kappa_i + 1}$ (i.e., $\kappa_i = \min(\{x \in [1, |Y_i| - 1] \text{ s.t. } \text{parent}(I_{i, x}) \cap I_{i, x+1} = \emptyset\} \cup \{|Y_i|\})$). Integer κ_i has the following three properties: (i) Interval I_{i, κ_i} is the rightmost child of interval I_{i, τ_i} (i.e., $\tau_i + |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \tau_i}))| - 1 = \kappa_i$). (ii) κ_i is equal to the smallest integer $x \geq \tau_{i-1}$ such that $D[z] \geq \min[\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e + 1]]$, where $I_{i, x} = [b, e]$ and z is the largest integer such that $\ell_z^+ \in I_{i, x}$. (iii) τ_i is equal to the smallest integer $x \leq \kappa_i$ such that $\min\{\min(\text{LCP}[b + 1, e]), \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1..e_v^+]), \min(\text{LCP}[b' + 1, e'])\} \geq \max\{\text{LCP}[b'], \text{LCP}[e' + 1]\}$, where $I_{i, x} = [b, e]$, $I_{i, \kappa_i} = [b', e']$, v is the largest integer such that $\ell_v^+ \in [b, e]$, and v' is the smallest integer such that $e_v^+ \in [b', e']$.

The first property indicates that we can compute the rightmost child of the parent of interval I_{i, τ_i} by finding interval I_{i, κ_i} and computing $|\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i}))|$. The second property indicates that we can find interval I_{i, κ_i} by verifying whether a given interval is I_{i, κ_i} by using the 5-tuple for the given interval and array D while reading intervals $I_{i, \tau_{i-1}}, I_{i, \tau_{i-1}+1}, \dots, I_{i, |Y_i|}$ in left-to-right order. The third property indicates that we can find interval I_{i, τ_i} by verifying whether a given interval is I_{i, τ_i} by using the 5-tuple for the given interval and array D while reading intervals $I_{i, 1}, I_{i, 2}, \dots, I_{i, \kappa_i}$ in right-to-left order.

Formally, the following four lemmas hold.

▶ **Lemma 24.** *Interval I_{i, κ_i} is the rightmost child of the parent of interval I_{i, τ_i} for any integer $i \in [1, h - 1]$ (i.e., $\tau_i = \kappa_i - |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i}))| + 1$).*

Proof. Recall that set Y_i represents the leaves in a tree. Integer κ_i is the smallest integer such that $\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i}) \cap I_{i, \kappa_i + 1} = \emptyset$, and it indicates that (i) interval I_{i, κ_i} is the rightmost child of interval $\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i})$, and (ii) the parent of interval $I_{i, t}$ is equal to that of interval $I_{i, t+1}$ or an ancestor of $I_{i, t+1}$ for any integer $t \in [1, \kappa_i - 1]$ (i.e., $\text{parent}(I_{i, 1}) \supseteq \text{parent}(I_{i, 2}) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i})$). The two relations mean that $\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i - |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i}))| + 1}) = \text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i - |\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i}))| + 2}) = \dots = \text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i})$, i.e., κ_i is the smallest integer such that $\text{children}(\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i})) \in Y_i$ and interval I_{i, κ_i} is the rightmost child of interval $\text{parent}(I_{i, \kappa_i})$. Therefore, Lemma 24 holds. ◀

▶ **Lemma 25.** *$\tau_{i-1} \leq \kappa_i$ for any integer $i \in [1, h - 1]$, where $\tau_0 = 1$.*

Proof. $\text{parent}(I_{i, x}) \cap I_{i, x+1} \neq \emptyset$ for any integer $x \in [1, \tau_i - 1]$, because (i) $I_{i, x} = I_{i-1, x}$, (ii) $\text{parent}(I_{i-1, x}) \cap I_{i-1, x+1} \neq \emptyset$, and (iii) $I_{i-1, \tau_{i-1}} \subset I_{i, \tau_{i-1}}$. Therefore, κ_i is no less than τ_{i-1} . ◀

► **Lemma 26.** For two integers $i \in [1, h-1]$ and $x \in [1, |Y_i| - 1]$, $\text{parent}(I_{i,x}) \cap I_{i,x+1} \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \max\{\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1]\} \leq D[z]$, where $I_{i,x} = [b, e]$, and z is the largest integer such that $\ell_z^+ \in I_{i,x}$.

Proof. Lemma 26 holds because (i) the parent of interval $I_{i,x}$ is the longest interval $[b', e']$ such that interval $[b', e']$ contains $I_{i,x}$, and its depth is $\max\{\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1]\}$ (i.e., $\min(\text{LCP}[b'+1..e'] = \max\{\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1]\})$); (ii) interval $I_{i,x+1}$ contains position ℓ_{z+1}^+ ; and (iii) the parent contains position ℓ_{z+1}^+ if and only if $\text{LCP}[\ell_z^+ + 1.. \ell_{z+1}^+] = D[z] \geq \max\{\text{LCP}[b], \text{LCP}[e+1]\}$. ◀

► **Lemma 27.** For any integer $i \in [1, h-1]$, integer τ_i is equal to the smallest integer $x \leq \kappa_i$ such that $\min\{\min(\text{LCP}[b+1, e]), \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1.. \ell_{v'}^+]), \min(\text{LCP}[b'+1, e'])\} \geq \max\{\text{LCP}[b'], \text{LCP}[e'+1]\}$, where $I_{i,x} = [b, e]$, $I_{i,\kappa_i} = [b', e']$, v is the largest integer such that $\ell_v^+ \in [b, e]$, and v' is the smallest integer such that $\ell_{v'}^+ \in [b', e']$.

Proof. Interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\kappa_i})$ is the longest interval $[\hat{b}, \hat{e}]$ such that its depth is equal to $\min(\text{LCP}[b'+1, e'])$ (i.e., $\min(\text{LCP}[\hat{b}+1, \hat{e}]) = \min(\text{LCP}[b'+1, e'])$), and the interval contains I_{i,κ_i} . The definition of the longest interval indicates that, for any integer $x \in [1, \kappa_i - 1]$, $\text{parent}(I_{i,x}) = \text{parent}(I_{i,\kappa_i})$ if and only if $\min(\text{LCP}[b+1..e']) \geq \{\text{LCP}[b'], \text{LCP}[e'+1]\}$. Moreover, $[b+1, e'] = [b+1, e] \cup [\ell_v^+ + 1, \ell_{v'}^+] \cup [b'+1, e']$ because $\ell_v^+ \in [b, e]$ and $\ell_{v'}^+ \in [b', e']$. This relation means that $\min(\text{LCP}[b+1, e']) = \min\{\min(\text{LCP}[b+1, e]), \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_v^+ + 1.. \ell_{v'}^+]), \min(\text{LCP}[b'+1, e'])\}$. Therefore, Lemma 27 holds. ◀

Next, the details of our enumeration algorithm for type-2 lcp-intervals with EPS are as follows. Before the algorithm computes interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$, two stacks Υ^{left} and Υ^{right} are set equal to two stacks Υ_i^{left} and $\Upsilon_i^{\text{right}}$, respectively. Here, Υ_i^{left} stores $\tau_{i-1} - 1$ elements, and each x -th element is a pair of interval $I_{i,\tau_{i-1}-x}$ and the 5-tuple for the interval. Hence, the top element in Υ_i^{left} is a pair of interval $I_{i,\tau_{i-1}-1}$ and the 5-tuple for the interval. Similarly, stack $\Upsilon_i^{\text{right}}$ stores $|Y_i| - \tau_{i-1} + 1$ elements, and each x -th element is a pair of interval $I_{i,\tau_{i-1}-x+1}$ and the 5-tuple for the interval. Hence, the top element in $\Upsilon_i^{\text{right}}$ is a pair of interval $I_{i,\tau_{i-1}}$ and the 5-tuple for the interval. The algorithm computing interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$ consists of the following steps:

1. Remove intervals $I_{i,\tau_{i-1}}, I_{i,\tau_{i-1}+1}, \dots, I_{i,\kappa_i}$ with the 5-tuples from Υ^{right} .
2. Push the removed intervals with the 5-tuples onto Υ^{left} .
3. Remove intervals $I_{i,\tau_i}, I_{i,\tau_i+1}, \dots, I_{i,\kappa_i}$ with the 5-tuples from Υ^{left} .
4. Compute interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$ and its 5-tuple, and push them onto Υ^{right} .
5. Output the type-2 lcp-interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$ with EPS by using interval $\text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i})$ and its 5-tuple.

The algorithm outputs all the type-2 lcp-intervals in set $\{\text{parent}(I_{1,\tau_1}), \text{parent}(I_{2,\tau_2}), \dots, \text{parent}(I_{h-2,\tau_{h-2}})\}$, and we can also output all the type-2 lcp-intervals in set $\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,2}, \dots, I_{1,|Y_1|}\}$ by using two stacks Υ_1^{left} and $\Upsilon_1^{\text{right}}$. The union of the two sets is equal to the set of type-2 lcp-intervals, by Lemma 22, and hence, our algorithm can enumerate all the type-2 lcp-intervals with ESPs.

Next, we show that the running time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total. We can execute steps 1 and 2 in $O((B_{\text{right}} + h) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time in total for any integer $i \in [1, h-1]$ by using Lemmas 25 and 26, where B_{right} is the number of elements removed from stack Υ^{right} . This is because we can compute the smallest integer v and largest integer v' such that $\ell_v^+ \in I$ and $\ell_{v'}^+ \in I$, respectively, by using data structure Z for a given interval I and its 5-tuple. Similarly, we can execute step 3 in $O((B_{\text{left}} + h) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using

Lemma 27 and data structure Z , where B_{left} is the number of elements removed from stack Υ^{left} . We can execute step 4 in $O((\sum_{j=1}^{h-2} |G_j|) \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using Lemma 23, where $G_i = \text{parent}(I_{i,\tau_i}) \setminus [b, e']$, b is the left boundary of interval I_{i,τ_i} , and e' is the right boundary of interval I_{i,κ_i} . The running time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total, except for the construction time for the two stacks Υ_1^{left} and $\Upsilon_1^{\text{right}}$, by the following observations: (i) Any interval in a type-2 lcp-interval tree is pushed onto Υ^{right} at most once, and hence, $B_{\text{right}} \leq |\mathcal{I}^2| = O(n)$. (ii) Similarly, any such interval is pushed onto Υ^{left} at most once, and hence, we also have $B_{\text{left}} \leq |\mathcal{I}^2| = O(n)$. (iii) $h \leq |\mathcal{I}^2| = O(n)$. (iv) Sets G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{h-1} do not overlap each other, and hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{h-2} |G_j| = O(n)$.

Next, we show that we can construct the two stacks, Υ_1^{left} and $\Upsilon_1^{\text{right}}$, in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of a string T . The two stacks can be constructed in $O(n)$ time by using set Y_1 and the 5-tuples for the intervals in set Y_1 . The 5-tuples can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using set Y_1 and the RLESA of string T . Therefore, we show that set Y_1 can be constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of T .

We use a sequence of intervals, J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} , to construct set Y_1 . Interval $J_x = [b, e]$ is a type-2 lcp-interval such that (i) it contains two positions ℓ_x^+ and ℓ_{x+1}^+ , and (ii) its depth is largest, i.e., let $B'_x = \{[b, e] \mid \langle b, e, d \rangle \in \mathcal{I}^2 \text{ s.t. } \ell_x^+, \ell_{x+1}^+ \in [b, e]\}$, then $J_x = \arg \max_{[b, e] \in B'_x} (\min(\text{LCP}[b+1..e]))$.

Set $\{J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1}\}$ contains the leaves in the type-2 lcp-interval tree (i.e., $\{J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1}\} \supseteq Y_1$), because any leaf is a type-2 lcp-interval for some integer x such that (i) it contains two positions ℓ_x^+ and ℓ_{x+1}^+ and (ii) it does not contain any other type-2 lcp-interval, i.e., its depth is largest. Formally, let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k ($v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_k$) be the sequence of integers on interval $[1, r-1]$ such that each interval J_{v_x} is not equal to interval $J_{v_{x-1}}$, i.e., $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} = \{1\} \cup \{x \mid x \in [2, r-1] \text{ s.t. } J_x \neq J_{x-1}\}$. Then set Y_1 is equal to the set of intervals in set $\{J_{v_1}, J_{v_2}, \dots, J_{v_k}\}$ such that each interval J_{v_x} does not contain the two intervals $J_{v_{x-1}}$ and $J_{v_{x+1}}$, i.e., $Y_1 = \{J_{v_x} \mid x \in [1, k] \text{ s.t. } J_{v_x} \not\supset J_{v_{x-1}} \text{ and } J_{v_x} \not\supset J_{v_{x+1}}\}$, where $J_{v_0} = [0, 0]$ and $J_{v_{k+1}} = [n+1, n+1]$.

Next, we can compute intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} by the following lemma.

► **Lemma 28.** *We can compute intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of string T .*

Proof. Here, we use the following observation: $\min(\text{LCP}[b'_x+1, e'_x]) = \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_x^++1, \ell_{x+1}^+])$ for any integer $x \in [1, r-1]$, where $J_x = [b'_x, e'_x]$. This observation indicates that b'_x and e'_x are the smallest and largest integers such that $\min(\text{LCP}[b'_x+1, \ell_{x+1}^+]) = \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_x^++1, \ell_{x+1}^+])$ and $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_x^++1, e'_x]) = \min(\text{LCP}[\ell_x^++1, \ell_{x+1}^+])$, respectively, because $\text{LCP}[b]$, $\text{LCP}[e+1] < \min(\text{LCP}[b'_x+1, e'_x])$.

Our algorithm for computing intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} consists of the following steps: (i) Compute $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_1^++1, \ell_2^+])$, $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_2^++1, \ell_3^+])$, \dots , $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_{r-1}^++1, \ell_r^+])$ by using the enumeration of lcp-values in left-to-right order. (ii) Compute $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_{r-1}$ by using integers $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_1^++1, \ell_2^+])$, $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_2^++1, \ell_3^+])$, \dots , $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_{r-1}^++1, \ell_r^+])$, the enumeration of lcp-values in left-to-right order, and a stack. (iii) Compute $b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{r-1}$ by using integers $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_1^++1, \ell_2^+])$, $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_2^++1, \ell_3^+])$, \dots , $\min(\text{LCP}[\ell_{r-1}^++1, \ell_r^+])$, the enumeration of lcp-values in right-to-left order, and a stack. We can execute the three steps in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time, because the RLESA for string T can enumerate the lcp-values in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time in both left-to-right order and right-to-left order. Therefore, Lemma 28 holds, because we can construct the RLESA in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of string T . ◀

Finally, our algorithm for computing set Y_1 consists of the following three steps: (i) Compute intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} by Lemma 28. (ii) Compute integers v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k by using intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{r-1} . (iii) Construct and output set Y_1 by using intervals $J_{v_1}, J_{v_2}, \dots, J_{v_k}$. The construction time is $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ in total, and the working space is $O(r)$ words. Therefore, we can enumerate type-2 lcp-intervals in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of string T .

Proof of Theorem 8. We already showed that we can enumerate type-1, type-2, type-3, and type-4 lcp-intervals with EPS in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time and $O(r)$ words of working space by processing the RLBWT of string T . Therefore Theorem 8 holds.

Appendix D: Notes for Section 5

We show that a substring P of string T is a maximal repeat if and only if (i) the sa-interval $\langle b, e, |P| \rangle$ of P is an lcp-interval and (ii) the sa-interval contains at least two distinct characters in permutation L , i.e., $[b+1, e] \cap L^+ \neq \emptyset$. String P is a right-maximal repeat if and only if its sa-interval is an lcp-interval, because any lcp-interval is an sa-interval that represents a right-maximal repeat. Then, string P is left-maximal if and only if its sa-interval contains at least two distinct characters in the permutation, because the suffix $T[\text{SA}[x]..n]$ has string P as a prefix for any integer $x \in [b, e]$, i.e., $T[\text{SA}[x] - 1] = L[x]$, and $L[x]$ is the previous character of the suffix. Hence, $\text{Count}_T(cP) < \text{Count}_T(P)$ for any character $c \in \Sigma$ if and only if $L[b..e]$ contains at least two distinct characters. Therefore, the above statement holds.

Appendix E: Proof of Theorem 12

Our construction algorithm for the succinct PLCP array is built on (i) data structure Z , (ii) an array V storing r lcp-values $\text{LCP}[f_1^+], \text{LCP}[f_2^+], \dots, \text{LCP}[f_r^+]$, and (iii) a pair of lcp-value $\text{PLCP}[n]$ and its corresponding position x_n in the SA (i.e., $\text{SA}[x_n] = n$). Array V is constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using our enumeration algorithm for lcp-values that was presented in Section 3. Thus, these three data structures are constructed in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time in total.

Specifically, our construction algorithm for the succinct PLCP array outputs the array's bits in right-to-left order by enumerating lcp-values $\text{PLCP}[n], \text{PLCP}[n-1], \dots, \text{PLCP}[1]$. This is because any pair of adjacent lcp-values $\text{PLCP}[i]$ and $\text{PLCP}[i-1]$ indicates that $\text{PLCP}_{\text{succ}}[2(i-1) + \text{PLCP}[i-1]..2i + \text{PLCP}[i]]$ are $1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 1$. The algorithm computes lcp-value $\text{PLCP}[i-1]$ and its corresponding position x_{i-1} in the SA (i.e., $\text{SA}[x_{i-1}] = i-1$) by using lcp-value $\text{PLCP}[i]$ and its corresponding position x_i in the SA. If lcp-value $\text{PLCP}[i]$ has the incremental relation on the LCP array (i.e., $x_i \notin L^+$), then $\text{LCP}[x_{i-1}] = \text{LCP}[x_i] + 1$, i.e., $\text{PLCP}[i-1] = \text{PLCP}[i] + 1$; otherwise, x_{i-1} is position $f_{i'}^+$ in set F^+ such that $i' = \text{pred}(F^+, \text{LF}(x_i))$, and $V[i']$ stores $\text{LCP}[x_{i-1}]$, i.e., $V[i'] = \text{PLCP}[i-1]$. Thus, each lcp-value can be computed in $\text{PLCP}[i-1]$ in $O(\log \log_w(n/r))$ time by using the LF function, a predecessor query on set L^+ , and a predecessor query on set F^+ . Our algorithm runs in $O(n \log \log_w(n/r))$ time in total.