

Geometrical structures of the instantaneous current and their macroscopic effects

Leonardo De Carlo

Abstract First we discuss the definition of the instantaneous current in interacting particle systems, in particular in mass-energy systems and we point out its role in the derivation of the hydrodynamics. Later we present some geometrical structures of the instantaneous current when the rates of stochastic models satisfy a very common symmetry. These structures give some new ideas in non-gradient models and show new phenomenology in diffusive interacting particle systems. Specifically we introduce models with vorticity and present some new consideration for the Green-Kubo formula.

Key words: Stochastic lattice gases, non-gradient models, discrete Hodge decomposition

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 82C22, 82C20

1 Introduction and Results

When many interacting particles are modelled by Newton's equations the rigorous derivation of PDEs (called also hydrodynamics) describing the evolution of thermodynamics quantities is often a too optimistic programme, mainly because of the lack of good ergodic property of the system. To overcome the problem two assumptions are traditionally made: or modelling the problem with a stochastic microscopic evolution or assuming a low density of particles. In the present framework we are interested in the first assumption and we are not having a complete rigorous point of view. For a rigorous and didactic treatment the main reference is [14]. The microscopic dynamics consists of random walks of particles on a lattice V_N that are

Leonardo De Carlo
Instituto Superior Tecnico, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1000-189 Lisboa, e-mail:
neoleodeo@gmail.com

constrained to some rule expressing the local interaction, these are the so called interacting particle systems introduced by Spitzer [16].

In this paper we focus on the instantaneous current which is the bridge from the microscopic description to the macroscopic description of interacting particle systems. In section 2 we give some definitions that we will use through all the paper. In sections 3 and 4 we present the models and describe the instantaneous current, in particular its definitions it is clarified in mass-energy systems like KMP [6, 13]. In section 5 we recall the functional Hodge decomposition obtained in [5] in dimension one and two and we apply it to some interacting particle models.

In the paper we focus on diffusive models. Some new models with vorticity are introduced in section 8. After reviewing the qualitative theory of scaling limits in diffusive systems in section 7, in section 8, for the first time we study the macroscopic consequences of this decomposition. This leads us to some new phenomenology in particle systems, that is we show in a non-rigorous way that the hydrodynamics of the macroscopic current can present zero divergence terms that are not observed in the hydrodynamics of the density. This extends the usual Fick's law (54) to the new picture (62) where the diffusion matrix is a positive non-symmetric matrix.

In diffusive non gradient systems an explicit derivation of the hydrodynamics is an open problem. The relative PDEs are in terms of a variational expression of the diffusion coefficient equivalent to the Green-Kubo formula, see [15, 17]. We give a possible explicit description of the minimizer of this formula using our functional Hodge decomposition.

2 Definitions

Interacting particle systems are stochastic models evolving on a lattice along a continuous time Markov dynamics. For the purposes of the paper, we are going to consider only periodic boundary conditions for the lattice where particles move, i.e. the set of vertices V_N of the lattice will be the n -dimensional discrete torus $\mathbb{T}_N^n = \mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n$ or $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^n = \varepsilon\mathbb{Z}^n / N\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\varepsilon = 1/N$ along the space scale we want to consider. We denote with \mathcal{E}_N the set of all couples of vertices $\{x, y\}$ of V_N such that $y = x \pm \delta e_i$ where e_i is the canonical vector in \mathbb{Z}^n along the direction i and δ is equal to 1 on \mathbb{T}_N^n and to $1/N$ on \mathbb{T}_ε^n . The elements of \mathcal{E}_N are named non-oriented edges or simply edges. In this way we have a non-oriented graph (V_N, \mathcal{E}_N) . To every non-oriented graph (V_N, \mathcal{E}_N) we associate canonically an oriented graph (V_N, E_N) such that the set of oriented edges E_N contains all the ordered pairs (x, y) such that $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N$. Note that if $(x, y) \in E_N$ then also $(y, x) \in E_N$. If $e = (x, y) \in E_N$ we denote $e^- := x$ and $e^+ := y$ and we call $\varepsilon := \{x, y\}$ the non-oriented edge.

The microscopic configurations of our particle models are given by the collection of variables $\eta(x)$ representing the number of particles, the energy or mass at $x \in V_N$ along the model. When the variables $\eta(x)$ are discrete we interpret them as number particles and when continuous as mass-energy. Calling Σ the state space at x we

define the configuration state space as $\Sigma_N := \Sigma^{V_N}$. The microscopic dynamics is a Markov process $\{\eta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ where particles or masses interact along rules encoded in the generator \mathcal{L}_N , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_N f(\eta) = \sum_{\eta' \in \Sigma_N} c(\eta, \eta') [f(\eta') - f(\eta)], \quad (1)$$

where f is an observable and $c(\eta, \eta')$ the transition rates from η to η' .

Let τ_z be the shift by z on \mathbb{Z}^n defined by the relation $\tau_z \eta(x) := \eta(x - z)$ with $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and for a function $h : \eta \rightarrow h(\eta) \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\tau_z h(\eta) := h(\tau_{-z} \eta)$, moreover for a domain $B \subseteq V_N$ we define $\tau_z B := B + z$. A function $h : \Sigma_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *local* if it depends only through the configuration in a finite domain $B \subset V_N$ denoted $D(f)$. Let $[\cdot]_+$ the positive part function.

3 Particles models and instantaneous current

We treat only nearest neighbours conservative dynamics, that is (1) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_N f(\eta) = \sum_{(x,y) \in E_N} c_{x,y}(\eta) (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)), \quad \eta^{x,y}(z) := \begin{cases} \eta(x) - 1 & \text{if } z = x \\ \eta(y) + 1 & \text{if } z = y \\ \eta(z) & \text{if } z \neq x, y \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

We study *translational covariant models*, i.e. $c_{x, x \pm e^{(i)}}(\eta) = \tau_x c_{0, \pm e^{(i)}}(\eta) \quad \forall x \in V_N$.

3.1 Exclusion process and the 2-SEP

In an *exclusion process* particles move according to a conservative dynamics of independent random walks with the exclusion rule that there cannot be more than one particle in a single lattice site (hard core interaction). The rates of (2) have the general form

$$c_{x,y}(\eta) = \eta(x)(1 - \eta(y)) \tilde{c}_{x,y}(\eta), \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{c}_{x,y}(\eta)$ is the jump rates when η has a particle in x and an empty site in y .

The next example of (2) is the *2-SEP* (2-simple exclusion process), in this model the interaction is simply hardcore but in every site there can be at most 2 particles. The state space is $\Sigma_N = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and the dynamics is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_N^{2\text{-SEP}} f(\eta) = \sum_{(x,y) \in E_N} c_{x,y}(\eta) (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)), \quad c_{x,y}(\eta) = \chi^+(\eta(x)) \chi^-(\eta(y)), \quad (4)$$

where $\chi^+(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha > 0$ and zero otherwise while $\chi^-(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha < 2$ and zero otherwise.

3.2 Instantaneous current in particle systems

In interacting particle systems there are deep underlying geometrical structures that reflects in the hydrodynamics of lattice models as we will discuss later, see also [5]. The basis is the fact that the instantaneous current is a discrete vector field and closely related to a microscopic mass conservation law leading to the hydrodynamics.

Definition 1. A discrete vector field is a function $\varphi : E_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is *antisymmetric*, i.e. $\varphi(x, y) = -\varphi(y, x)$ for any $(x, y) \in E_N$.

The *instantaneous current* for our particle models is defined as

$$j_\eta(x, y) := c_{x,y}(\eta) - c_{y,x}(\eta), \quad (5)$$

which is a discrete vector field for each fixed configuration η . The intuitive interpretation of the instantaneous current is the rate at which particles cross the bond (x, y) . Let $\mathcal{N}_t(x, y)$ be the number of particles that jumped from site x to site y up to time t . The *current flow* across the bond (x, y) up to time t is defined as

$$J_t(x, y) := \mathcal{N}_t(x, y) - \mathcal{N}_t(y, x). \quad (6)$$

This is a discrete vector field ($J_t(x, y) = -J_t(y, x)$) depending on the trajectory $\{\eta_t\}_t$. Between the instantaneous current $j_\eta(x, y)$ and the current flow $J_t(x, y)$ there is a strict connection given by the key observation (see for example [17] section 2.3 in part II) that

$$M_t(x, y) = J_t(x, y) - \int_0^t j_{\eta(s)}(x, y) ds \quad (7)$$

is a martingale. This allows to treat the difference between $J_t(x, y)$ and the integral $\int_0^t ds j_{\eta(s)}(x, y)$ as a microscopic fluctuation term. It also gives a more physical definition of $j_\eta(x, y)$ as follows. Consider an initial configuration $\eta_0 = \eta$, the explicit expression of the instantaneous current can be defines as

$$j_\eta(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}^\eta(J_t(x, y))}{t}. \quad (8)$$

The expectation is $\mathbb{E}^\eta(J_t(x, y)) = \int \mathbb{P}^\eta(d\{\eta_t\}_t) J_t(x, y)$, where the integration is over all trajectories $\{\eta_t\}_t$ starting from η at time 0 and \mathbb{P}^η the probability induced by the Markov process. For a trajectory $\{\eta_t\}_t$ the probability to observe more than one jump goes like $O(t^2)$, then it is negligible since we are interested in an infinitesimal time interval. Since $c(\eta, \eta') = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}^\eta(\eta_t = \eta')}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_t(\eta, \eta')}{t}$, where $p_t(\eta, \eta')$ are the transition probability, when t goes to zero $J_t(x, y)$ takes value $+1$ if a jump from x to y happens, -1 in the opposite case and 0 in the other cases. So the current defined in (8) becomes $j_\eta(x, y) = c_{x,y}(\eta) - c_{y,x}(\eta)$ as in (5).

The discrete divergence for a discrete vector field φ on E_N is $\nabla \cdot \varphi(x) := \sum_{y \sim x} \varphi(x, y)$, where the sum is on the nearest neighbours $y \sim x$ of x . The local mi-

macroscopic conservation law of the number of particles is then given by

$$\eta_t(x) - \eta_0(x) + \nabla \cdot J_t(x) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Using (7) in (9) we get

$$\eta_t(x) - \eta_0(x) + \int_0^t ds \nabla \cdot j_s(x) + \nabla \cdot M_t(x) = 0. \quad (10)$$

We can deduce that at the equilibrium, that is when for a measure μ_N on Σ_N the *detailed balance condition* is true, i.e. $\mu_N(\eta)c(\eta, \eta^{x,y}) = \mu_N(\eta^{x,y})c(\eta^{x,y}, \eta)$ for all $(x,y) \in E_N$, the average flow $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}^\eta(J_t(x,y))$ is constantly zero, where the subscript μ_N indicates the average respect to the equilibrium measure μ_N . For a small time interval Δt from (7), (8) and the detailed balance we have $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}^\eta(J_{\Delta t}(x,y)) \sim \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(j_\eta(x,y))\Delta t = 0$. Since this is true for any time interval Δt and the current flow $J_t(x,y)$ is additive we conclude that $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}^\eta(J_t(x,y)) = 0$. More generally for a *stationary measure* μ_N , that is $\mu_N(\mathcal{L}_N f) = 0$ for any f , we have that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}^\eta(J_t(x,y)) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(j_\eta(x,y))t. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1. For a *translational covariant model*, i.e. $c_{x,y}(\eta) = c_{x+z,y+z}(\tau_z \eta)$ for any $z \in V_N$, then the instantaneous current is translational covariant too, namely it satisfies the symmetry relation

$$j_\eta(x,y) = j_{\tau_z \eta}(x+z,y+z). \quad (12)$$

4 Energy-mass models

In this section we generalize the concepts of the previous section to the continuous case. These models exchange continuous quantity between sites. We interpret lattice variables as energy or mass along the context. For these models we indicate the configuration with $\xi = \{\xi(x)\}_{x \in V_N}$. We start describing the most famous model of this class, namely the Kipnis-Marchioro-Presutti (KMP) model [13].

4.1 KMP model and generalization, dual KMP, gaussian model

KMP is a generalized stochastic lattice gas on which energies or masses are associated to oscillators at the vertices V_N . The stochastic evolution is of the type

$$\mathcal{L}_N f(\xi) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{L}_N} \mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}} f(\xi), \quad \text{with} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}}f(\xi) := \int_{-\xi(y)}^{\xi(x)} \frac{dj}{\xi(x) + \xi(y)} [f(\xi - j(\varepsilon^x - \varepsilon^y)) - f(\xi)]. \quad (14)$$

where $\varepsilon^x = \{\varepsilon^x(y)\}_{y \in V_N}$ is the configuration of mass with all the sites different from x empty and having unitary mass at site x , this means that $\varepsilon^x(y) = \delta_{x,y}$ where δ is the Kronecker symbol. The stochastic dynamics is defined by

Formula (14) define the model as a random current model. The choice of a uniformly random current in (14) corresponds to the usual *KMP dynamics*.

The dynamics (14) can be generalized substituting the uniform distribution on $[-\xi(y), \xi(x)]$ with a different probability measure (or just positive measure) $\Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(dj)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}}f(\xi) := \int \Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(dj) [f(\xi - j(\varepsilon^x - \varepsilon^y)) - f(\xi)] \quad (15)$$

with the symmetry $\Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(j) = \Gamma_{y,x}^\xi(-j)$ so that (13) is a sum over unordered edges. A natural choice for $\Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(dj)$ in (15) is the discrete uniform distribution on the integer points in $[-\xi(y), \xi(x)]$. This means that if ξ is a configuration of mass assuming only integer values then

$$\Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(dj) = \frac{1}{\xi(x) + \xi(y) + 1} \sum_{i \in [-\xi(y), \xi(x)]} \delta_i(dj) \quad (16)$$

where $\delta_i(dj)$ is the delta measure at i and the sum is over the integer values belonging to the interval. This is exactly the dual model of KMP [13] called also *KMPd*.

Another interesting model could be the following *gaussian model*. In this case the interpretation in terms of mass is missing since the variables can assume also negative values and it could be interpreted as a charge model. The bulk dynamics is defined by a distribution of current having support on all the real line

$$\Gamma_{x,y}^\xi(dj) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma^2}} e^{-\frac{\left(j - \frac{\xi(x) - \xi(y)}{2}\right)^2}{2\gamma^2}} dj. \quad (17)$$

4.2 Weakly asymmetric energy-mass models

We consider dynamics perturbed by a space and time dependent discrete external field \mathbb{F} defined as follows. Let $F : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth vector field with components $F(x) = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$, describing the force acting on the masses of the systems. We associate to F a discrete vector field $\mathbb{F}(x,y)$ defined by

$$\mathbb{F}(x,y) = \int_{(x,y)} F(z) \cdot dz, \quad (18)$$

(x, y) is an oriented edge and the integral is a line integral that corresponds to the work done by the vector field F when a particle moves from x to y . So we think about $\mathbb{F}(x, y)$ as work done per particle. We want to change the random distribution (15) of the current on each bond according to a perturbed measure $I^{\mathbb{F}}$ to obtain a so called *weakly asymmetric model*, that is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}}^{\mathbb{F}} f(\xi) := \int \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(dj) [f(\xi - j(\varepsilon^x - \varepsilon^y)) - f(\xi)], \quad \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(dj) = \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi}(dj) e^{\frac{\mathbb{F}(x,y)}{2}j}. \quad (19)$$

The effect of an external field is modelled by perturbing the rates and giving a net drift toward a specified direction. When the size $|y - x|$ is of order $1/N$, then the discrete vector field (18) is of order $1/N$ too and we have $\Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(dj) = \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi}(dj) (1 + \mathbb{F}(x, y)) + o(1/N)$. If $F = -\nabla H$ is a gradient vector field, then $\mathbb{F}(x, y) = H(x) - H(y)$ and $\Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(dj) = \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi}(dj) e^{(H(x) - H(y))j}$.

By the symmetry of the measure Γ and the antisymmetry of the discrete vector field \mathbb{F} we have that $\Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(j) = \Gamma_{y,x}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(-j)$ and we can define the generator considering sums over unordered bonds

$$\mathcal{L}_N f(\xi) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} \mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}}^{\mathbb{F}} f(\xi). \quad (20)$$

4.3 Instantaneous current of energy-mass systems

Here we adapt the definition of instantaneous current to the formalism of the interacting nearest neighbours energy-mass models. The generator is (19), the case $\mathbb{F} = 0$ is treated as a subcase and we omit the index when the external field is zero. The *instantaneous current* for the bulk dynamics is defined as

$$j_{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}}(x, y) := \int \Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi, \mathbb{F}}(dj) j. \quad (21)$$

Its interpretation is the rate at which masses-energies cross the bond (x, y) and it is still a discrete vector field. The *current flow* now is indicated with $\mathcal{J}_t(x, y)$ and it is the net total amount of mass-energy that has flown from x to y in the time window $[0, t]$. It can be defined as sum of all the differences between the mass-energy measured in x before and after of every jump on the bond $\{x, y\}$. Let τ_i be the time of the i -th jump on the bond $\{x, y\}$ for some i , we write the current flow as follows

$$\mathcal{J}_t(x, y) := \sum_{\tau_i: \tau_i \in [0, t]} J_{\tau_i}(x, y), \quad (22)$$

where $J_{\tau}(x, y)$ is the *present flow* defined as the current flowing from x to y jump time τ

$$J_{\tau}(x, y) := \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \xi_{\tau-h}(x) - \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \xi_{\tau+h}(x). \quad (23)$$

Defining $J_\tau(y,x) := \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \xi_{\tau-h}(y) - \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \xi_{\tau+h}(y)$, the flow $\mathcal{J}_t(x,y)$ is still discrete vector depending on the trajectory $\{\xi_t\}$, i.e. $J_\tau(y,x) := -J_\tau(x,y)$. As in the particles case $\mathcal{J}_t(x,y)$ is a function on the path space, while the instantaneous current $j_\xi^\mathbb{F}(x,y)$ is a function on the configuration space and the difference

$$M_t(x,y) = \mathcal{J}_t(x,y) - \int_0^t ds j_{\xi(s)}^\mathbb{F}(x,y). \quad (24)$$

is a martingale. Repeating what we did in subsection 3.2 to get (with a formalism suitable to energy-mass models) the instantaneous current (21) can be obtained as

$$j_\xi^\mathbb{F}(x,y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}^\xi(\mathcal{J}_t(x,y))}{t}. \quad (25)$$

As we did in subsection 3.2 from the local discrete conservation of the mass-energy $\xi_t(x) - \xi_0(x) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{J}_t(x) = 0$ we have

$$\xi_t(x) - \xi_0(x) + \int_0^t ds \nabla \cdot j_{\xi(s)}^\mathbb{F}(x) + \nabla \cdot M_t(x) = 0. \quad (26)$$

The microscopic fluctuation (24) has mean zero and (11) can be obtained similarly to conclude that the average currents are zero in the equilibrium case (DBC holds).

The natural scaling limit for this class of processes is the diffusive one, where the rates have to be multiplied by N^2 to get a non trivial scaling limit. So, instead of (24), we will consider in the macroscopic theory the speeded up martingale $M_t(x,y) = \mathcal{J}_t(x,y) - N^2 \int_0^t ds j_{\xi(s)}^\mathbb{F}(x,y)$.

Example 1. For example the instantaneous current across the edge (x,y) for the KMP process is given by

$$\int_{-\xi(y)}^{\xi(x)} \frac{jdj}{\xi(x) + \xi(y)} = \frac{1}{2} (\xi(x) - \xi(y)). \quad (27)$$

This computation shows that the KMP model is of gradient type, see definition (35), with $h(\xi) = -\frac{\xi(0)}{2}$. Also the KMPd is gradient with respect to the same function h .

Example 2. For the weakly asymmetric KMP in the case of a constant external field $F = E$ in the direction from x to y it is $\mathbb{F}(x,y) = E/N$ on \mathbb{T}_ε^N and

$$\Gamma_{x,y}^{\xi,E}(j) = \frac{1 + \frac{E}{N}j}{\xi(x) + \xi(y)} + o(N)$$

Then the instantaneous current is

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{\xi}^E(x, y) &= \int_{-\xi(y)}^{\xi(x)} \Gamma_{x, y}^{\xi, E}(j) j dj \\
&= \frac{2N}{E(\xi(x) + \xi(y))} \left[e^{\frac{E}{2N}\xi(x)} + e^{-\frac{E}{2N}\xi(y)} \xi(y) - 2 \frac{e^{\frac{E}{2N}\xi(x)} - e^{-\frac{E}{2N}\xi(y)}}{E} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\xi(x) - \xi(y)) + \frac{E}{N} 6[\xi(x)^2 + \xi(y)^2 - \xi(x)\xi(y)] + o(N). \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

The hydrodynamic behavior of the model under the action of an external field in the weakly asymmetric regime, i.e. when the external field E is of order $1/N$, is determined by the first two orders in the expansion (28). In particular any perturbed KMP model having the same expansion as in (28) will have the same hydrodynamics.

While for the KMPd model we get

$$j_{\xi}^E(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi(x) - \xi(y)) + \frac{E}{N} 12[2\xi(x)^2 + 2\xi(y)^2 - 2\xi(x)\xi(y) + 3\xi(x) + 3\xi(y)] + o(N). \tag{29}$$

5 Discrete Hodge decomposition in interacting particle systems

In the first section we defined the graph (V_N, \mathcal{E}_N) . Now we enter into the detail of the discrete mathematics we need to study the geometrical structures of the current. We consider the case when the graph (V_N, \mathcal{E}_N) is on \mathbb{T}_N^2 .

A sequence (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k) of elements of V_N such that $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in E_N$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, is called an oriented path, or simply a *path*. A *cycle* $C = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is a path with distinct vertices except $z_0 = z_k$ and it is defined as an equivalence class modulo cyclic permutations. If C is a cycle and there exists an i such that $(x, y) = (z_i, z_{i+1})$ we write $(x, y) \in C$. Likewise if there exists an i such that $x = z_i$ we write $x \in C$. A *discrete vector field* φ on (V_N, E_N) is a map $\varphi : E_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(x, y) = -\varphi(y, x)$. A discrete vector field is of *gradient type* if there exists a function $h : V_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(x, y) = [\nabla h](x, y) := h(y) - h(x)$. The divergence of a discrete vector field φ at $x \in V_N$ is defined by

$$\nabla \cdot \varphi(x) := \sum_{y: \{x, y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} \varphi(x, y). \tag{30}$$

We call Λ^1 the $|\mathcal{E}_N|$ -dimensional vector space of discrete vector fields. We endow Λ^1 with the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(x, y) \in E_N} \varphi(x, y) \psi(x, y), \quad \varphi, \psi \in \Lambda^1. \tag{31}$$

We recall briefly the Hodge decomposition for discrete vector fields. We call Λ^0 the collection of real valued function defined on the set of vertices $\Lambda^0 := \{g : V_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$

\mathbb{R} }. Finally we call Λ^2 the vector space of 2-forms defined on the faces of the lattice \mathbb{Z}_N^2 . Let us define this precisely. An oriented face is for example an elementary cycle in the graph of the type $(x, x + e^{(1)}, x + e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}, x + e^{(2)}, x)$. In this case we have an *anticlockwise oriented face*. This corresponds geometrically to a square having vertices $x, x + e^{(1)}, x + e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}, x + e^{(2)}$ plus an orientation in the anticlockwise sense. The same elementary face can be oriented *clockwise* and this corresponds to the elementary cycle $(x, x + e^{(2)}, x + e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}, x + e^{(1)}, x)$. If f is a given oriented face we denote by $-f$ the oriented face corresponding to the same geometric square but having opposite orientation. A 2-form is a map ψ from the set of oriented faces F_N to \mathbb{R} that is antisymmetric with respect to the change of orientation, i.e. such that $\psi(-f) = -\psi(f)$. The boundary $\delta\psi$ of ψ is a discrete vector field defined by

$$\delta\psi(e) := \sum_{f:e \in f} \psi(f). \quad (32)$$

Since a face is a cycle the meaning of $e \in f$ has been just discussed above. Note that (32) is a discrete orthogonal gradient, the orthogonal gradient $\nabla^\perp f$ of a smooth function f is defined as $(-\partial_y f, \partial_x f)$. In higher dimension this a discrete curl.

By construction $\nabla \cdot \delta\psi = 0$ for any ψ . The 2-dimensional *discrete Hodge decomposition* is written as the direct sum

$$\Lambda^1 = \nabla\Lambda^0 \oplus \delta\Lambda^2 \oplus \Lambda_H^1, \quad (33)$$

where the orthogonality is with respect to the scalar product (31). The discrete vector fields on $\nabla\Lambda^0$ are the gradient ones. The dimension of $\nabla\Lambda^0$ is $N^2 - 1$. The vector subspace $\delta\Lambda^2$ contains all the discrete vector fields that can be obtained by (32) from a given 2-form ψ . The dimension of $\delta\Lambda^2$ is $N^2 - 1$. Elements of $\delta\Lambda^2$ are called *circulations*. The dimension of Λ_H^1 is simply 2. Discrete vector fields in Λ_H^1 are called *harmonic*. A basis in Λ_H^1 is given by the vector fields $\varphi^{(1)}$ and $\varphi^{(2)}$ defined by

$$\varphi^{(i)}(x, x + e^{(j)}) := \delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad (34)$$

Given a vector field $\varphi \in \Lambda^1$, we write $\varphi = \varphi^\nabla + \varphi^\delta + \varphi^H$ to denote the unique splitting in the three orthogonal components. This decomposition can be computed as follows. The harmonic part is determined writing $\varphi^H = c_1\varphi^{(1)} + c_2\varphi^{(2)}$ with The coefficients c_i determined by $c_i = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^2} \varphi(x, x + e^{(i)})$. To determine the gradient component φ^∇ we need to determine a function h for which $\varphi^\nabla(x, y) = [\nabla h](x, y) = h(y) - h(x)$. This is done taking the divergence on both side of $\varphi = \varphi^\nabla + \varphi^\delta + \varphi^H$ and obtaining the h solving the discrete Poisson equation $\nabla \cdot \nabla h = \nabla \cdot \varphi$. The remaining component φ^δ is computed just by difference $\varphi^\delta = \varphi - \varphi^\nabla - \varphi^H$. We refer to [4, 10] for a version of discrete calculus with cubic cells and to [8] for a version of discrete calculus with simplexes.

Given an oriented edge e or an oriented face f we denote respectively by ϵ, \mathfrak{f} the corresponding un-oriented edge and face. Note that both f and $-f$ are associated with the same un-oriented face \mathfrak{f} . Given an oriented edge $e \in E_N$ of the lattice there is

only one anticlockwise oriented face to which e belongs that we call it $f^+(e)$. There is also an unique anticlockwise face, that we call $f^-(e)$, such that $e \in -f^-(e)$ (see Figure 1). It is useful to define $\tau_{\mathfrak{f}}$ for an un-oriented face \mathfrak{f} . If $\mathfrak{f} = \{x, x + e^{(1)}, x +$




Fig. 1 On discrete two dimensional torus, given $(x, y) = e$ we draw the faces $f^-(e)$ and $f^+(e)$.

$e^{(2)}, x + e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}\}$ then we define $\tau_{\mathfrak{f}} := \tau_x$. For $\mathfrak{e} = \{x, x + e^{(i)}\}$ we define $\tau_{\mathfrak{e}} := \tau_x$. We use also the notation f^{\circlearrowleft} for an anticlockwise face and f^{\circlearrowright} for a clockwise one.

5.1 Functional discrete Hodge decomposition and lattice gases

A relevant notion in the derivation of the hydrodynamic behavior for diffusive particle systems is the definition of gradient particle system. A particle system is called of *gradient type* if there exists a local function h such that

$$j_{\eta}(x, y) = \tau_y h(\eta) - \tau_x h(\eta) \text{ for all } (\eta, (x, y)) \in (\Sigma_N, E_N). \quad (35)$$

The relevance of this notion is on the fact that the proof of the hydrodynamic limit for gradient systems is extremely simplified. Moreover for gradient and reversible models it is possible to obtain explicit expressions of the transport coefficients.

Here we show that (35) is a subcase of general geometrical structures for the instantaneous current. In next sections, we will try to understand the consequences of these structures in the hydrodynamic limits and how it could be useful in understanding the hydrodynamics of non-gradient models. We present a functional Hodge decomposition of *translational covariant discrete vector fields*. This means vector fields $j_{\eta}(x, y)$ depending on the configuration $\eta \in \Sigma_N$ and satisfying (12). Vector fields of the form (35) play the role of the gradient vector fields. Circulations will also be suitably defined in the context of particle systems.

5.2 The one dimensional case

On the one dimensional torus $\mathbb{Z}_N := \mathbb{Z}/(N\mathbb{Z})$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let j_η be a translational covariant discrete vector field. Then there exists a function $h(\eta)$ and a translational invariant function $C(\eta)$ such that*

$$j_\eta(x, x+1) = \tau_{x+1}h(\eta) - \tau_x h(\eta) + C(\eta). \quad (36)$$

The function C is uniquely identified and coincides with

$$C(\eta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} j_\eta(x, x+1). \quad (37)$$

The function h is uniquely identified up to an arbitrary additive translational invariant function and coincides with

$$h(\eta) = \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} \frac{x}{N} j_\eta(x, x+1). \quad (38)$$

Proof. The basic idea of the theorem is the usual strategy to construct the potential of a gradient discrete vector field plus a subtle use of the translational covariance of the model. For the details of the proof see [5].

Observe that a one dimensional system of particles is of gradient type (with a possibly not local h) if and only if $C(\eta) = 0$. This corresponds to say that for any fixed configuration η then $j_\eta(x, y)$ is a gradient vector field. This was already observed in [2, 15]. Now we compute the decomposition (36) in some examples. Later we will discuss how it can be related to the hydrodynamics of non-gradient systems.

Example 3 (The 2-SEP). The model we are considering is the 2-SEP, see its definition in subsection 3.1. We denote with $D_\eta^\pm(x, x+1)$ the local functions associated with the presence on the bond $(x, x+1)$ of what we call respectively a positive or negative discrepancy. More precisely $D_\eta^+(x, x+1) = 1$ if $\eta(x) = 2$ and $\eta(x+1) = 1$ and zero otherwise. We have instead $D_\eta^-(x, x+1) = 1$ if $\eta(x+1) = 2$ and $\eta(x) = 1$ and zero otherwise. We define also $D_\eta := D_\eta^+ - D_\eta^-$. The instantaneous current across the edge $(x, x+1)$ associated with the configuration η is

$$j_\eta(x, x+1) := \chi^+(\eta(x)) - \chi^+(\eta(x+1)) + D_\eta(x, x+1).$$

For this specific model formulas (37) and (38) become

$$h(\eta) = -\chi^+(\eta(0)) + \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} \frac{x}{N} D_\eta(x, x+1), \quad C(\eta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_N} D_\eta(x, x+1).$$

Example 4 (ASEP). The asymmetric simple exclusion process is characterized by the rates $c_{x, x+1}(\eta) = p\eta(x)(1 - \eta(x+1))$ and $c_{x, x-1}(\eta) = q\eta(x)(1 - \eta(x-1))$.

Given a configuration of particles $\eta \in \Sigma$, we call $\mathfrak{C}(\eta)$ the collection of clusters of particles that is induced on V_N . A cluster $c \in \mathfrak{C}(\eta)$ is a subgraph of (V_N, \mathcal{E}_N) . Two sites $x, y \in V_N$ belong to the same cluster c if $\eta(x) = \eta(y) = 1$ and there exists an un-oriented path (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k) such that $\eta(z_i) = 1$ and $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{E}_N$. Given a cluster $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ we call $\partial^l c$ and $\partial^r c \in V_N$ respectively the first element on the left of the leftmost site of c and the rightmost one. The decomposition (36) holds with

$$h(\eta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{c \in \mathfrak{C}(\eta)} [p\partial^r c - q\partial^l c], \quad C(\eta) = \frac{(p-q)|\mathfrak{C}(\eta)|}{N}. \quad (39)$$

where $|\mathfrak{C}(\eta)|$ denotes the number of clusters.

5.3 The two dimensional case

On the two dimensional torus $\mathbb{Z}_N^2 := \mathbb{Z}^2 / N\mathbb{Z}^2$ the decomposition is as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let j_η be a covariant discrete vector field. Then there exist 4 functions $h, g, C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}$ on configurations of particles such that for an edge of the type $e = (x, x \pm e^{(i)})$ we have*

$$j_\eta(e) = [\tau_{e^+} h(\eta) - \tau_{e^-} h(\eta)] + [\tau_{\mathfrak{f}^+(e)} g(\eta) - \tau_{\mathfrak{f}^-(e)} g(\eta)] \pm C^{(i)}(\eta). \quad (40)$$

The functions $C^{(i)}$ are translational invariant and uniquely identified. The functions h and g are uniquely identified up to additive arbitrary translational invariant functions.

Proof. see [5].

We remark that the proof in [5] is constructive, that is the function $h(\eta), g(\eta)$ and $C^{(i)}(\eta)$ have explicit expressions. In analogy to gradient systems we can say a particle system is of *circulation type* when there exist a local function g such that

$$j_\eta(e) = \tau_{\mathfrak{f}^+(e)} g(\eta) - \tau_{\mathfrak{f}^-(e)} g(\eta), \quad (41)$$

for all edges $e \in E_N$ and $\eta \in \Sigma_N$. We will see that for these systems the hydrodynamics can be treated with the same method of gradient systems. In particular later in section (8) we study the scaling limits of systems where gradient and circulation dynamics are superimposed. Now we introduce some examples of this kind.

Example 5 (A non gradient lattice gas with local decomposition). We construct a model of particles satisfying an exclusion rule, with jumps only trough nearest neighbors sites and having a non trivial decomposition of the instantaneous current (40) with $C^{(i)} = 0$ and h and g local functions. The functions h and g have to be chosen suitably in such a way that the instantaneous current is always zero inside cluster of particles and empty clusters and has to be always such that $j_\eta(x, y) \geq 0$ when

$\eta(x) = 1$ and $\eta(y) = 0$. A possible choice is the following perturbation of the SEP. We fix $h(\eta) = -\eta(0)$ and $g(\eta)$ with $D(g) = \{0, e^{(1)}, e^{(2)}, e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}\}$ (we denote by 0 the vertex $(0, 0)$) defined as follows. We have $g(\eta) = \alpha$ if $\eta(0) = \eta(e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}) = 1$ and $\eta(e^{(1)}) = \eta(e^{(2)}) = 0$. We have also $g(\eta) = \beta$ if $\eta(0) = \eta(e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}) = 0$ and $\eta(e^{(1)}) = \eta(e^{(2)}) = 1$. The real numbers α, β are such that $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 1$. For all the remaining configurations we have $g(\eta) = 0$. Since $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ the rates of jump are uniquely determined by $c_{x,y}(\eta) = [j_\eta(x, y)]_+$.

Example 6 (A perturbed zero range dynamics). A face $f = \{0, e^{(1)}, e^{(2)}, e^{(1)} + e^{(2)}\}$ is occupied in the configuration $\eta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ if $\eta(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in f$. Consider two non negative functions w^\pm that are identically zero when the face f is not occupied. Given a positive function $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, we define the rates of jump as

$$c_{e^-, e^+}(\eta) = \tilde{h}(\eta(e^-)) + \tau_{f^+(e)} w^+ + \tau_{f^-(e)} w^-. \quad (42)$$

This corresponds to a perturbation of a zero range dynamics such that one particle jumps from one site with k particles with a rate $\tilde{h}(k)$. The perturbation increases the rates of jump if the jump is on the edge of a full face. The gain depends on the orientation and the effect of different faces is additive. For such a model the instantaneous current has a local decomposition (40) with $h(\eta) = -\tilde{h}(\eta(0))$ and $g(\eta) = w^+(\eta) - w^-(\eta)$.

The decomposition can be extend to higher dimensions. For the three dimensional case we refer to [3].

6 Interacting particle systems with vorticity

The models presented in examples 5 and 6 are superimpositions of a gradient system and a circulation one, see definition (41). This kind of models are not gradient along the classical definition. Here we want to study them from the microscopic point of view and giving some physical motivation why we talk about them as *interacting particle systems with vorticity*, this will become more clear at the end of section 7. A better discussion with graphical examples will appear in [7].

Let's consider the instantaneous current (5) with a decomposition (40) as

$$j_\eta(x, y) = [\tau_y h(\eta) - \tau_x h(\eta)] + [\tau_{f^+(x,y)} g(\eta) - \tau_{f^-(x,y)} g(\eta)] = j_\eta^h(x, y) + j_\eta^g(x, y), \quad (43)$$

with h and g local functions. We are defining $j_\eta^h(x, y) := \tau_y h(\eta) - \tau_x h(\eta)$ and $j_\eta^g(x, y) := \tau_{f^+(x,y)} g(\eta) - \tau_{f^-(x,y)} g(\eta)$. For example, taking an exclusion process with rates

$$c_{x,y}(\eta) = \eta(x)(1 - \eta(y)) + \eta(x)[\tau_{f^+(x,y)} g(\eta) - \tau_{f^-(x,y)} g(\eta)], \quad (44)$$

we have $j_\eta(x, y)$ as in (43) with $h(\eta) = -\eta(0)$, note that example 5 is of this form.

Models with $j_\eta(x, y)$ as in (43) can be thought as a generalization of the gradient case $j_\eta(x, y) = [\tau_y h(\eta) - \tau_x h(\eta)]$, indeed the current is a gradient part plus an *orthogonal gradient part* (discrete bidimensional curl). Because of the presence of this discrete curl we use the terminology of "exclusion process with vorticity".

When the rates satisfies (43), we will see that the hydrodynamics for the empirical measure (46) works exactly as if only the gradient part was present because

$$\nabla \cdot j_\eta^g(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in V_N, \quad (45)$$

that is the part of the dynamics related to the current $j_\eta^g(x, y)$ doesn't give any macroscopic effect to the hydrodynamics of the particles density because its contribution to the microscopic conservation law (9) is already zero. To observe macroscopically the effect of the discrete curl we have to consider the scaling limits of the current flow $J_t(x, y)$ of formula (6). In section 8 we derive the macroscopic current $J(\rho)$ that will appear in the hydrodynamics $\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (-J(\rho))$. Another physical phenomena of this kind of dynamics (44) is that in they are diffusive even if in general they are not reversible on the torus \mathbb{T}_N^n , namely this means that at the stationary state there is a non-zero macroscopic current (11). For an explicit example see [7].

7 Scaling limits and transport coefficients of diffusive models

To derive the hydrodynamics of diffusive systems the rate are multiplied by a factor N^2 (diffusive time scale) and the space scale $\varepsilon = 1/N$ is considered. The particles jump on the discrete torus $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^n := \varepsilon\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ with mesh of size ε . When N goes to infinity \mathbb{T}_ε^n approximates the continuous torus $\mathbb{T}^n = [0, 1]^n$. A very general class of diffusive systems are models that are reversible respect to a Gibbs measure when no boundary conditions are imposed. Reversibility respect to a measure μ_N means $\langle f, \mathcal{L}_N g \rangle_{\mu_N} = \langle \mathcal{L}_N f, g \rangle_{\mu_N}$ for all functions f, g while stationarity means $\langle \mathcal{L}_N f \rangle_{\mu_N} = 0$. $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the expectation on Σ_N respect to μ_N and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mu_N}$ is the scalar product respect to μ_N .

The macroscopic evolution of the mass is described by the *empirical measure*. This is a positive measure on the continuous torus \mathbb{T}^n associated to any fixed microscopic configuration η , defined as a convex combination of delta measures

$$\pi_N(\eta) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in V_N} \eta(x) \delta_x. \quad (46)$$

It represents a mass density or an energy density along the interpretation of the model. Integrating a continuous function $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to $\pi_N(\eta)$ we get $\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f d\pi_N(\eta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in V_N} f(x) \eta(x)$. In the hydrodynamic scaling limit the empirical measure becomes deterministic and absolutely continuous for suitable initial conditions ξ_0 associated to a given density profile $\gamma(x)dx$, in the sense that in probability

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f d\pi_N(\xi_0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f(x) \gamma(x) dx. \quad (47)$$

Let P_N^γ be the distribution of the Markov chain of the energy-mass/particle interacting model with initial condition associated to γ as in (47). On $D([0, T]; \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{T}^n))$ the space of trajectories from $[0, T]$ to the space of positive measure $\mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\mathbb{P}_N^\gamma := P_N^\gamma \circ \pi_N^{-1}$ is the measure induced by the empirical measure. We have that $\pi_N(\eta_t)$ is associated to the density profile $\rho(x, t)dx$ where ρ is the weak solution to a diffusive equation with initial condition γ , i.e. $\mathbb{P}_N^\gamma \xrightarrow[N]{d} \delta_\rho$ where δ_ρ is the distribution concentrated on the unique weak solution of a Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) \\ \rho(x, 0) = \gamma(x). \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

This is a space-time law of large numbers, where $D(\sigma)$ is a positive symmetric matrix called *diffusion matrix*.

7.1 Qualitative derivation of hydrodynamics

In this subsection we illustrate the general structure of the proof of the hydrodynamic limit for reversible gradient models on the torus \mathbb{T}_ε^n . We use the notion ξ of section 4 of energy-mass models because for them we gave some example of weakly asymmetric model and we want to emphasize that the KMP model is gradient. But the whole scheme apply to particle models in the same way.

The starting point for the hydrodynamic description is the continuity equation

$$\xi_t(x) - \xi_0(x) = -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{J}_t(x), \quad (49)$$

where \mathcal{J}_t has been defined in subsection 4.3 and $\nabla \cdot$ denotes the discrete divergence defined in (30). Using (24) we can rewrite (49) as (26) with $\mathbb{F} = 0$. Multiplying (26) by a test function ψ , dividing by N and summing over x we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi d\pi_N(\xi_t) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi d\pi_N(\xi_0) = -N \int_0^t \sum_{x \in V_N} \nabla \cdot j_{\xi_s}(x) \psi(x) ds + o(1). \quad (50)$$

The infinitesimal term comes from the martingale terms and can be shown to be negligible (in probability) in the limit of large N [11, 14]. Using the gradient condition $j_\xi(x, y) = \tau_x h(\xi) - \tau_y h(\xi)$, for example for the KMP (14) and KMPd (16) we have $h(\xi) = \frac{\xi^{(0)}}{2}$, and performing a double discrete integration by part, up to the infinitesimal term, one has that the right hand side of (50) is $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in V_N} \int_0^t \tau_x h(\xi_s) \left[N^2 \left(\psi \left(x + \frac{1}{N} \right) + \psi \left(x - \frac{1}{N} \right) - 2\psi(x) \right) \right] ds$. Considering a C^2 test function ψ , the term inside squared parenthesis coincides with $\Delta \psi(x)$ up to an uniformly infinitesimal term.

At this point the main issue in proving hydrodynamic behavior is to prove the validity of a local equilibrium property. Let us define

$$A(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(h(\xi)), \quad (51)$$

where μ_N is the invariant measure characterized by a density profile ρ , that is $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\xi) = \rho$. The local equilibrium property is explicitly stated through a replacement lemma that shows that (in probability)

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in V_N} \int_0^t \tau_x h(\xi_s) \Delta \psi(x) ds \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in V_N} \int_0^t A \left(\frac{\int_{B_x} d\pi_N(\xi_s)}{|B_x|} \right) \Delta \psi(x) ds \quad (52)$$

where B_x is a microscopically large but macroscopically small volume around the point $x \in V_N$. For a precise formulation of (52) see lemma 1.10 and corollary 1.3 respectively in chapter 5 and in chapter 6 of [14] or chapter 2 in [11]. This allows to write (up to infinitesimal corrections) equation (50) in terms only of the empirical measure. Substituting the r.h.s. of (52) in the place of the r.h.s. of (50), we obtain that in the limit of large N the empirical measure $\pi_N(\eta_t)$ converges in weak sense to $\rho(x,t)dx$ satisfying for any C^2 test function ψ

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi(x) \rho(x,t) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi(x) \rho(x,0) dx = \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} A(\rho(x,s)) \Delta \psi(x) dx. \quad (53)$$

Equation (53) is a weak form of (48) with diagonal diffusion matrix $D(\rho)$ with each term in the diagonal equal to $D(\rho) = \frac{dA(\rho)}{d\rho}$. We are calling $D(\rho)$ both the number and the diagonal matrix $D(\rho)\mathbb{I}$. For $h(\xi) = \frac{\xi(0)}{2}$ it is $A(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{2}$. To have an unitary diffusion matrix we multiply all the rates of transition by a factor of 2 and correspondingly the diffusion matrix is the identity matrix. Equation (48) can be written in the form

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (J(\rho)) = 0, \text{ with } J(\rho) = -D(\rho) \nabla \rho, \quad (54)$$

where the macroscopic current $J(\rho)$ associated to ρ satisfies the *Fick's law*. The hydrodynamics for weakly asymmetric diffusive models of subsection (4.2) is

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (-J_E(\rho)) \text{ with } J_E(\rho) := D(\rho) \nabla \rho - \sigma(\rho) E. \quad (55)$$

The positive definite matrix σ is called the *mobility*. For the weakly asymmetric versions of the KMP and the KMPd, in subsection 4.2 it is respectively $\sigma(\rho) = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}[g(\eta)] = \rho^2$ and $\rho^2 + \rho$, where respectively $g(\xi) = \frac{1}{8}(\xi(0)^2 + \xi(1/N)^2 - \xi(0)\xi(1/N))$ and $g(\xi) = 12(2\xi(x)^2 + 2\xi(y)^2 - 2\xi(x)\xi(y) + 3\xi(x) + 3\xi(y))$. For a discussion on the computations of these kind of expectations see [1].

The hydrodynamics was derived with periodic boundary conditions but in the bulk it is still the same for a boundary driven version of the system, see [9].

8 Scaling limit of an exclusion process with vorticity

In this section we want to show how to compute the scaling limit of the macroscopic current $J(\rho)$. Here for the purpose of the paper the treatment will be qualitative. In particular we consider the models with vorticity of section 6. It is the first time that the hydrodynamics of this kind of models is discussed. For a rigorous treatment see [7] where a generalized picture of the Fick's law is studied. We consider a discrete torus of mesh $\varepsilon = 1/N$ but specifically in dimension 2, i.e. $V_N = \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon^2$.

If the current has an Hodge decomposition (40) only the gradient part contributes to the hydrodynamics (50), indeed $\nabla \cdot j_\eta(x) = \nabla \cdot j_\eta^h(x)$ since $\nabla \cdot j_\eta^s(x) = \nabla \cdot j_\eta^H(x) = 0$ with $j_\eta^H(x, y) = C^1(\eta)\varphi^1(x, y) + C^2(\eta)\varphi^2(x, y)$. So when the gradient part is diffusive, the hydrodynamics of $\pi_N(\eta)$ is unchanged, i.e. the same of $j_\eta(x, y) = j_\eta^h(x, y)$ as in section 7.

The scaling limits for the current $J(\rho)$ it is obtained from the *empirical current measure* \mathbb{J}_N in the space of the vector signed measure $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ defined as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} H \cdot d\mathbb{J}_N := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} J_t(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y) \text{ where } \mathbb{H}(x,y) = \int_x^y H(z) \cdot dz. \quad (56)$$

The family $(\mathbb{J}_N(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ belongs to the space $D([0, T], \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^2))$ of trajectories from $[0, T]$ to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Calling $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{J}_N} := P_N^\gamma \circ \mathbb{J}_N^{-1}$ the measure induced by empirical current measure on $D([0, T], \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}^2))$, we have that $\mathbb{J}_N(t)$ is associated to a vector signed measure $J(\rho)dx$ in weak sense, where ρ is the solution of the PDE (54) and $J(\rho(0))$ is equal 0 by definition. This means that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{J}_N} \xrightarrow{d} \delta_{J(\rho)}$ where $\delta_{J(\rho)}$ is the distribution concentrated on the measure $J(\rho)dx$ that we have just described.

The derivation of the hydrodynamics starts from the martingale

$$M(t) = \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} J_t(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y) - N^{2-d} \int_0^t ds \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} j_{\eta_s}(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y), \quad (57)$$

where N^2 is the diffusive scaling and the factor N^{-d} it is a normalization. By the anti-symmetry of the discrete vector fields there is no ambiguity in this definition. Therefore $\frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} J_t(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y) = N^{2-d} \int_0^t ds \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} j_{\eta_s}(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y) + o(N)$, where $o(N)$ is a negligible (in probability) martingale term for large N . Considering (43)

$$\sum_{\{x,y\} \in \mathcal{E}_N} j_{\eta_s}(x,y) \mathbb{H}(x,y) = \int_0^t \left[\sum_{x \in V_N} \tau_x h(\eta)(x) \nabla \cdot \mathbb{H}(x) + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \tau_f g(\eta_s) \sum_{(x,y) \in f^\circ} \mathbb{H}(x,y) \right], \quad (58)$$

where $N^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbb{H}(x) = \nabla \cdot H(x) + o(1/N)$ and $N^2 \sum_{(x,y) \in f^\circ} \mathbb{H}(x,y) = \nabla^\perp \cdot H(z) + o(1/N)$. In the above formula z is any point belonging to the face, while given a C^1 vector field $H = (H_1, H_2)$ we used the notation $\nabla^\perp \cdot H(z) := -\partial_y H_1(z) + \partial_x H_2(z)$. When N is diverging, (58) converges (in probability) to

$$\int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dx \left[a(\rho(x,s)) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}(x) + a^\perp(\rho(x,s)) \nabla^\perp \cdot \mathbf{H}(x) \right]. \quad (59)$$

Namely we applied the replacement lemmas $a(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}[h(\eta)]$ and $a^\perp(\rho) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}[g(\eta)]$. Formula (59) is a weak form of $\int_0^t ds \int_\Lambda J(\rho) \cdot H dx$ with

$$J(\rho) = -\nabla a(\rho) - \nabla^\perp a^\perp(\rho) = -D(\rho) \nabla \rho - D^\perp(\rho) \nabla^\perp \rho, \quad (60)$$

where $D(\rho) = d(a(\rho))/dx$, $D^\perp(\rho) = d(a^\perp(\rho))/dx$ and $\nabla^\perp f := (-\partial_y f, \partial_x f)$. As we expected from the microscopic argument (45) we have $\nabla \cdot (-D(\rho) \nabla \rho - D^\perp(\rho) \nabla^\perp \rho) = \nabla \cdot (-D(\rho) \nabla \rho)$, hence the hydrodynamics is left unchanged respect to the usual gradient case. Formula (60) can be rewritten as

$$J(\rho) = - \left[D(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + D^\perp(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \nabla \rho. \quad (61)$$

So the Fick's law (54) for particle models has to be replaced by the general picture

$$J(\rho) = -\mathcal{D}(\rho) \nabla \rho, \quad (62)$$

where the diffusion matrix $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ is positive but not necessarily symmetric.

Remark 2. In general, this kind of dynamics on the torus are not reversible even if the hydrodynamics is diffusive, in example 5 with $\alpha = \beta$ we have only stationarity respect to Bernoulli measures of parameter ρ . Hence they will have a non-zero macroscopic current at the stationary state. See [7] for details.

9 Green-Kubo 's formula

Scaling limits of non gradient systems is an open problem. Even in one dimension when the instantaneous current is not gradient there are no explicit PDEs. We start to explore if the decompositions (36) and (40) can tell something about it. Let's consider exclusion processes in one dimension on the torus with nearest neighbours interaction, reversible respect to μ_N and non gradient. For these models the hydrodynamics has a non explicit variational formula for the diffusion coefficient

$$D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\chi(\rho)} \inf_f \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N} \left[c_{0,1}(\eta) \left((\eta(0) - \eta^{0,1}(0)) + \sum_{x \in V_N} (S_{0,1} \tau_x) f(\eta) \right)^2 \right] \quad (63)$$

where $\chi(\rho)$ is the mobility $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(\eta^2(0)) - \rho^2$, $(S_{x,y} f) = f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)$ and the inf is over all function $f : \Sigma_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This is discussed in chapter 2 of part 2 in [17] and has been proved for the 2-SEP in chapter 7 of [14]. This expression is proved to be equal to the following *Green-Kubo* formula for interacting particles systems

$$D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\chi(\rho)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(c_{0,1}(\eta)) - 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(j_\eta(0,1) e^{-\mathcal{L}_N t} \tau_x j_\eta(0,1)) \right], \quad (64)$$

where $e^{-\mathcal{L}_N t}$ is the evolution operator of the Markov process. We consider translation covariant rate (remark 1) to have (36), inserting the decomposition in (64) we find

$$D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\chi(\rho)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(c_{0,1}(\eta)) - 2N \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(C(\eta) \mathcal{L}_N^{-1} C(\eta)) \right], \quad (65)$$

where \mathcal{L}_N^{-1} is the generalized inverse operator of \mathcal{L}_N (for $f(\eta)$ constant function $\mathcal{L}_N f(\eta) = 0$), for this definition see [12]. This formula tells us that when the harmonic part $C(\eta)$ is equal zero then $D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\chi(\rho)} \mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(c_{0,1}(\eta))$ even if the h is not local. Expression (65) has an equivalent variational formulation with a minimizer that is computable in principle. The term $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_N}(C(\eta) \mathcal{L}_N^{-1} C(\eta))$ can be seen as the scalar product $\langle C(\eta), \mathcal{L}_N^{-1} C(\eta) \rangle_{\mu_N}$, where $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mu_N} = \sum_\eta f(\eta) g(\eta) \mu_N(\eta)$. Since \mathcal{L}_N is symmetric respect to this scalar product we have

$$\langle C(\eta), \mathcal{L}_N^{-1} C(\eta) \rangle_{\mu_N} = \inf_f \left\{ -\langle f, \mathcal{L}_N f \rangle_{\mu_N} - 2 \langle C(\eta), f \rangle_{\mu_N} \right\}$$

where the minimizer is over all function $f : \Sigma_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_N f(\eta) = -C(\eta) \text{ for all } \eta \in \Sigma_N. \quad (66)$$

Solving this equations we could compute $D(\rho)$ and in particular we conjecture that this minimizer can be directly related to that one of (63). Similar considerations can be done in dimension higher than one where in (65) the extra terms for non gradient systems will come only from the harmonic part, i.e. $C^1(\eta) \varphi^1(x,y)$ and $C^1(\eta) \varphi^1(x,y)$ in dimension 2.

Acknowledgements The author thanks prof. Davide Gabrielli of Università degli studi di L'Aquila for a plenty of discussions and ideas in the paper.

References

1. L. Bertini, D. Gabrielli, J.L. Lebowitz, *Large Deviations for a Stochastic Model of Heat Flow* Journ. Stat. Phys **121**, 843-885 (2005).
2. L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, C. Landim, *Stochastic interacting particle systems out of equilibrium*, J. Stat. Mech, P07014 (2007).
3. L. De Carlo, *Microscopic and macroscopic perspectives on stationary nonequilibrium states* arXiv:1906.05763 (2019)
4. L. De Carlo, *Discrete calculus with cubic cells on discrete manifolds*, arXiv:1906.07054 (2019).
5. L. De Carlo, D. Gabrielli, *Gibbsian stationary nonequilibrium states* J Stat Phys (2017) **168** (2017), 1191-1222.
6. L. De Carlo, D. Gabrielli, *Totally Asymmetric Limit for Models of Heat Conduction* J Stat Phys **168**, 508-534 (2017).

7. L. De Carlo, D. Gabrielli, P. Gonçalves, *Scaling limit of an exclusion process with vorticity*, in preparation.
8. M. Desbrun, E. Kanso, Y. Tong, *Discrete Differential Forms for Computational Modeling*, in: Bobenko A.I., Sullivan J.M., Schröder P., Ziegler G.M. (eds) *Discrete Differential Geometry. Oberwolfach Seminars*, vol **38**. Birkhuser Basel (2008).
9. G.L. Eyink, J.L. Lebowitz, H. Spohn *Hydrodynamics and fluctuations outside of local equilibrium: driven diffusive systems* J. Statist. Phys. **83**, no. 3-4, 385-472 (1986).
10. D. Gabrielli, C. Valente *Which random walks are cyclic?* ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **9**, 231-267 (2012)
11. P. Gonçalves, *Equilibrium Fluctuations for Totally Asymmetric Particle Systems: exclusion and zero-range processes*, VDM Verlag Dr. Müller (2010).
12. J. Hunter *Generalized inverses of Markovian kernels in terms of properties of the Markov chain*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **447** (2014) 38-55.
13. C. Kipnis, C. Marchioro, E. Presutti *Heat flow in an exactly solvable model* J. Stat. Phys. **27**, 65 (1982).
14. C. Kipnis and C. Landim, *Scaling Limits of Interacting Particle Systems* (Springer, New York, 1999).
15. Y. Nagahata *Regularity of the diffusion coefficient matrix for generalized exclusion process* Stochastic Processes and their Applications, **116** 957-982 (2016)
16. F. Spitzer, *Interaction of Markov processes*, Adv. Math. **5**, 246-290 (1970).
17. H. Spohn, *Large Scale Dynamics of Interacting Particles* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991).