

Quantum thermodynamics in the interior of a Reissner-Nordström black-hole

² Juan Ignacio Musmarra*, ^{1,2} Mauricio Bellini. †

¹ *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Funes 3350, C.P. 7600, Mar del Plata, Argentina.*

² *Instituto de Investigaciones Físicas de Mar del Plata (IFIMAR), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Mar del Plata, Argentina.*

We study the interior of a Reissner-Nordström Black-Hole (RNBH) using Relativistic Quantum Geometry, which was introduced in some previous works. We found discrete energy levels for a scalar field from a polynomial condition for Heun Confluent functions expanded around the effective causal radius r_* . From the solutions it is obtained that the uncertainty principle is valid for each energy level of space-time, in the form: $E_n r_{*,n} = \hbar/2$.

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The Reissner-Nordström geometry describes the geometry of empty space surrounding a charged black hole. The requisite is that the charge of the black hole be lesser than its mass. Because of this the geometry contains two horizons, an outer horizon and an inner horizon, but more important is the outer because this one give us the event horizon. The Reissner-Nordström metric is a static solution of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations, which corresponds to the gravitational field of a charged, non-rotating, spherically symmetric body of mass M and charge Q [1, 3]. It is accepted that the laws of thermodynamics have close analogies in the physics of black holes. For example, the second law of thermodynamics is analogous to the second law of black hole dynamics, which implies that the surface of a black hole cannot decrease. In 1973 Bekenstein[4] suggested that the area \mathcal{A} of the event horizon of a black hole is a measure of its entropy: $S_{BH} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4L_P^2}$. The Hawking temperature, entropy and mass of the black holes satisfy the first law of thermodynamics[5–7]. However, all these important achievements are relative to the exterior description of black-holes. A description of the interior of black-holes would be desirable. The formulation of a consistent theory of quantum gravity is a very important in modern physics. There are some formulations that pretend provide a description of gravity at quantum level[8–12], which incorporate the geometry in different facets. There are other approaches, as the quantum geometrodynamics[13, 14], and quantum geometry [11, 12], which are more related to the idea of Einstein that all physical reality can be geometrized. In this work we shall use Relativistic Quantum Geometry (RQG), which was introduced a few years ago[15, 16].

The work is organized as follows: in Sect. II we revisit the boundary conditions in when we variate the Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action and the study of the flux trough a closed 3D hypersurface when it is integrable. The solutions of back-reaction effects is described the Relativistic Quantum Geometry (RQG) formalism, where a scalar field σ , obeys a dynamics for a massless free scalar field on a background curved space-time characterized by a semi-Riemman manifold. In this approach it is obtained a gauge-invariant relativistic quantum geometry by using a Weyl manifold [15, 16]. Such manifold is described by a geometric scalar field which provides a gauge invariant relativistic quantum theory in which the algebra of the Weyl scalar field depends on the observers. In this last framework have been studied several topics. Some of them are inflationary back reaction effects [17], charged and electromagnetic fields [18], geometric back-reaction in pre-inflationary scenarios [19, 20] and to study the initial state of the universe[21], among others. In Sect. III we study these back-reaction effects in the interior of a RNBH. We define the mass and charge discretized labels also as the radius, and the energy, so that one can define discrete labels of entropy. We define the Bekenstein-Hawking temperature: T_{BH} , and we study the range of that latent temperature for a maximum number of states. Finally, in Sect. IV we develop some final comments.

* jmusmarra@mdp.edu.ar

† **Corresponding author:** mbellini@mdp.edu.ar

II. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS IN THE MINIMUM ACTION PRINCIPLE AND BACK-REACTION EFFECTS

We consider the Einstein-Hilbert action \mathcal{I} , which describes gravitation and matter

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2\kappa} + \mathcal{L}_m \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$, g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar curvature, $R_{\mu\nu\alpha}^\alpha = R_{\mu\nu}$ is the covariant Ricci tensor and \mathcal{L}_m is an arbitrary Lagrangian density which describes the physical fields.

The variation of the action is

$$\delta\mathcal{I} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\delta g^{\alpha\beta} (G_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa T_{\alpha\beta}) + g^{\alpha\beta} \delta R_{\alpha\beta} \right], \quad (2)$$

with $g^{\alpha\beta} \delta R_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha \delta W^\alpha$, where $\delta W^\alpha = \delta \Gamma_{\beta\epsilon}^\alpha g^{\beta\epsilon} - \delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha g^{\beta\gamma}$ [23]. As in previous works [15, 16], our strategy will be to preserve the Einstein-Hilbert action as in (1) and see what are the consequences of do it. In order to make $\delta\mathcal{I} = 0$ in (2), we must consider the condition: $G_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa T_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda(x) g_{\alpha\beta}$, where λ is the cosmological parameter. Additionally, we must require that $g^{\alpha\beta} \delta R_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha \delta W^\alpha = \delta\Phi$, so that we obtain the constraint $g_{\alpha\beta} \delta\Phi = \lambda \delta g_{\alpha\beta}$, where we have used: $g^{\alpha\beta} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta} \delta g^{\alpha\beta}$. This means that exists a family of Einstein tensor transformations

$$\bar{G}_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda(x) g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3)$$

that leaves invariant the action:

$$\bar{G}_{\alpha\beta} = -\kappa \bar{T}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4)$$

$$\delta G_{\alpha\beta} \equiv -\lambda g_{\alpha\beta} = -\kappa \delta T_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\lambda(x) \equiv \langle \Lambda(x^\mu) \rangle = \oint d\Sigma \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \Lambda(x^\mu), \quad (6)$$

such that $d\Sigma$ is the differential of the closed hypersurface given by the cyclic coordinates.

In order to calculate $\delta R_{\alpha\beta}$, we shall use the extended Palatini identity [2]

$$\delta R_{\beta\gamma\alpha}^\alpha = \delta R_{\beta\gamma} = (\delta \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha)_{|\gamma} - (\delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha)_{|\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

We consider a geometry characterized the Levi-Civita connections plus a displacement with respect to the Riemann manifold

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \gamma \end{array} \right\} + \delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha. \quad (8)$$

where $\delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \epsilon \sigma^\alpha g_{\beta\gamma}$. Here, $\sigma^\alpha \equiv \partial^\alpha \sigma$ and the extended covariant derivative of the metric tensor is nonzero (non-zero non-metricity). The value $\epsilon = 1/3$ in $\delta \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ assures the integrability of boundary terms. This means that in this case the boundary terms, which are in general given by

$$\delta\Phi = g^{\alpha\beta} \delta R_{\alpha\beta} = [\delta W^\alpha]_{|\alpha} - (g^{\alpha\epsilon})_{|\epsilon} \delta \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\beta + (g^{\alpha\beta})_{|\epsilon} \delta \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\epsilon, \quad (9)$$

take the particular form

$$\delta\Phi = g^{\alpha\beta} \delta R_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha \sigma^\alpha \equiv \square\sigma, \quad (10)$$

which guarantees the integrability of the flux $\delta\Phi$ on the background metric. In this work we shall consider the case where the flux is null: $\delta\Phi = 0$, which means that the physical system enclosed by the 3D-hypersurface is isolated, and therefore $\square\sigma = 0$, and $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$. This is the case we shall deal in this work. Because λ_0 has a quantum origin, we must be more specific about the meaning of $\lambda_0 = \langle \hat{\Lambda}(x^\mu) \rangle \equiv \langle B | \hat{\Lambda}(x^\mu) | B \rangle$, where the background quantum state can be represented in a ordinary Fock space. Notice that the equation (4) describes the background (classical equations) without cosmological parameter, and (5) describes the new physics due to the flux that cross the 3D-gaussian hypersurface, which we shall consider that in this work is of quantum nature.

For this reason [the reader can see the works [15, 16]] the variation of the metric tensor on the extended manifold will be also nonzero¹

$$\delta g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta|\gamma} dx^\gamma = -\frac{1}{3} [\sigma_\beta g_{\alpha\gamma} + \sigma_\alpha g_{\beta\gamma}] dx^\gamma, \quad (11)$$

where ²

$$dx^\alpha |B\rangle = U^\alpha dS |B\rangle = \delta \tilde{x}^\alpha(x^\beta) |B\rangle, \quad (12)$$

is the eigenvalue that results when we apply the operator $\delta \tilde{x}^\alpha(x^\beta)$ on a background quantum state $|B\rangle$, defined on the Riemann manifold, and $g_{\alpha\beta|\gamma}$ denotes the covariant derivative on the extended manifold described by the connections (8). Furthermore, U^α are the components of the Riemann velocities. The line element is given by

$$dS^2 \delta_{BB'} = (U_\alpha U^\alpha) dS^2 \delta_{BB'} = \langle B | \delta \tilde{x}_\alpha \delta \tilde{x}^\alpha | B' \rangle. \quad (13)$$

From the action's point of view, the scalar field $\sigma(x^\alpha)$ drives a geometrical displacement from a Riemann manifold to the extended manifold, that leaves invariant the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2\kappa} + \mathcal{L} \right] \\ &= \int d^4x \left[\sqrt{-g} e^{-(2/3)\sigma} \right] \left\{ \left[\frac{R}{2\kappa} + \mathcal{L} \right] e^{(2/3)\sigma} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here R is the Riemann scalar curvature, $\kappa = 8\pi G$, G is the gravitational constant and \mathcal{L} is the matter lagrangian density on the background Riemann manifold. If we require that $\delta \mathcal{I} = 0$, we obtain

$$-\frac{\delta V}{V} = \frac{\delta \left[\frac{R}{2\kappa} + \mathcal{L} \right]}{\left[\frac{R}{2\kappa} + \mathcal{L} \right]} = (2/3) \delta \sigma, \quad (15)$$

where $\delta \sigma = \sigma_\mu dx^\mu$ is an exact differential and $V = \sqrt{-g}$ is the volume of the Riemann manifold. Of course, all the variations are in the extended manifold, and assure us gauge invariance because $\delta \mathcal{I} = 0$. This means that the volume on the extended manifold, in the second row of the side of (14), will be $\tilde{V} = V e^{-(2/3)\sigma}$, such that V is the volume of the Riemann manifold.

The scalar field Λ can be considered a functional on the extended manifold described by $\Gamma_{\beta\theta}^\alpha$ in (8): $\Lambda(\sigma, \sigma_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{4} (\square\sigma + \frac{2}{3}\sigma_\alpha\sigma^\alpha)$, on which behaves as a functional. By defining the action

$$\mathcal{W} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Lambda(\sigma, \sigma_\alpha). \quad (16)$$

By minimizing the action $\delta \mathcal{W} = 0$ we obtain that σ is a free scalar field on the extended manifold, so that

$$\square\sigma = 0, \quad (17)$$

that agrees with a null flux $\delta\Phi = 0$ in (10).

III. BACK-REACTION IN THE INTERIOR OF REISSNER-NORDSTROM BLACK HOLE (RNBH)

We consider an isolated RNBH, such that $\delta\Phi = 0$, and therefore the $\lambda(x) \equiv \lambda_0$. In this case the scalar field σ is determined by $\square\sigma = 0$. In spherical coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , the line element that describes RNBH is

$$dS^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + f(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (18)$$

¹ In what follows we shall denote with a Δ variations on the Riemann manifold, and with a δ variations on an extended manifold.

² We can define the operator

$$\tilde{x}^\alpha(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3k \tilde{e}^\alpha \left[b_k \tilde{x}_k(t, \vec{x}) + b_k^\dagger \tilde{x}_k^*(t, \vec{x}) \right],$$

such that b_k^\dagger and b_k are the creation and destruction operators of space-time, such that $\langle B | [b_k, b_{k'}^\dagger] | B \rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$ and $\tilde{e}^\alpha = \epsilon^\alpha_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tilde{e}^\beta \tilde{e}^\gamma \tilde{e}^\delta$.

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2$ and $f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}$, such that a RNBH has two horizons; the event horizon and the Cauchy horizon: $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$. Black holes with $Q^2 > M^2$ can not exist in nature, because if the charge is greater than the mass there can be no physical event horizon. Notice that $f(r) < 0$ in the range $r_- < r < r_+$. This is the range in which we are aimed to study.

We must solve the equation

$$\square\sigma = 0, \quad (19)$$

in the range $r_- < r < r_+$. Here, \square -differential operator is defined on the background metric described by the Levi-Civita symbols: $\square \equiv \nabla_{\alpha}\nabla^{\alpha}$. We can describe σ as a Fourier superposition of modes $\sigma_{nlm}(t, r, \theta, \phi) = R_{nl}(r)T_n(t)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$, where the functions $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ are the usual spherical harmonics. Furthermore, we propose the change of variables: $u = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r-M}{2\sqrt{M^2-Q^2}}$. After making the substitution (a Wick rotation [24]) $\tau = it$ on the metric (18), we obtain that the temporal solution is

$$T_n(\tau) = B_1 e^{i\frac{E_n}{\hbar}\tau} + B_2 e^{-i\frac{E_n}{\hbar}\tau}. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, the radial solution is

$$R_{nl}(u) = C_1(u-1)^{\frac{\gamma_n}{2}} u^{\frac{\beta_n}{2}} e^{\frac{\alpha_n}{2}u} \mathcal{H}_C(\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \eta_{nl}, u) + C_2(u-1)^{\frac{\gamma_n}{2}} u^{-\frac{\beta_n}{2}} e^{\frac{\alpha_n}{2}u} \mathcal{H}_C(\alpha_n, -\beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \eta_{nl}, u), \quad (21)$$

where the coefficients are:

$$\alpha_n = 4\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} \frac{E_n}{\hbar}, \quad (22a)$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\left[M^3(M - \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}) - 4MQ^2(2M - \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}) + Q^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{E_n}{\hbar}, \quad (22b)$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{\left[M^3(M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}) - 4MQ^2(2M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}) + Q^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{E_n}{\hbar}, \quad (22c)$$

$$\delta_n = -8\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} M \frac{E_n^2}{\hbar^2}, \quad (22d)$$

$$\eta_{nl} = \frac{8M(M^2 - Q^2)\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} - 4M^2(3Q^2 - 2M^2) - 3Q^4}{2(M^2 - Q^2)} \frac{E_n^2}{\hbar^2} - l(l+1). \quad (22e)$$

In order to avoid divergent solutions, we must set $C_1 = 0$ in (21). Furthermore, following [25], we must impose the so called δ_n and Δ_{N+1} conditions, respectively

$$\frac{\delta_n}{\alpha_n} + \frac{\beta_n + \gamma_n}{2} + 1 = -n, \quad (23a)$$

$$\Delta_{N+1} = 0, \quad (23b)$$

where n is a positive integer. Once provided the fulfilment of the above two δ -conditions, we obtain that the confluent Heun solutions is reduced to a polynomial of degree N [25]. The condition (23a) for each particular solution of the radial equation, gives us the following expression for the energy levels E_n :

$$E_n r_{*,n} = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad (24)$$

where the discrete radius values, are given by the expression

$$r_{*,n} = \frac{r_{+,n} + r_{-,n}}{2} + \frac{[8(r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})[(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})^2 + (r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})^2]r_{+,n} + [(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})^2 - (r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})^2]^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})} \\ \pm \frac{[8(r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})[(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})^2 + (r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})^2]r_{-,n} + [(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})^2 - (r_{+,n} - r_{-,n})^2]^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8(r_{+,n} + r_{-,n})}, \quad (25a)$$

with

$$r_{+,n} = M_n + \sqrt{M_n^2 - Q_n^2}, \quad r_{-,n} = M_n - \sqrt{M_n^2 - Q_n^2}. \quad (25b)$$

Here, it is very important to notice that M_n and Q_n are discrete values for the mass and the charge, given by

$$M_n = \frac{M}{(n+1)}, \quad Q_n = \frac{Q}{(n+1)}. \quad (25c)$$

The expression (24) is very important because it tells us that the uncertainly principle is fulfilled for each energy level. The signature \pm in (25a) corresponds to consider respectively $\mathcal{H}_C(\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \eta_{nl}, u)$ or $\mathcal{H}_C(\alpha_n, -\beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \eta_{nl}, u)$. If $Q = 0$, hence $r_{-,n} = 0$ and therefore $r_{+,n} = 2M_n = r_{sch,n} = r_{*,n}$. In this case, it is recovered the results obtained in [26]. Furthermore, if $M = Q$, hence we obtain that $r_{*,n}$ is only well defined for $\mathcal{H}_C(\alpha_n, -\beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \eta_{nl}, u)$, and in this case we have $r_{+,n} = r_{-,n} = r_{*,n} = M_n \equiv Q_n$.

A. Charge and mass discretization

If we suppose that exist a condition $M > Q$ for avoid naked singularities, we obtain a condition for the possible values of n :

$$n \leq \frac{M}{Q} - 1 \leq N - 1, \quad (26)$$

where N is the lower integer number that verify $\frac{M}{Q} \leq N$. This is a very important result because say us the maximum number of states N is given by the ratio between the classical mass M and the classical charge Q .

We calculate the difference between two consecutive Q_n states, named ΔQ_n :

$$\Delta Q_n = Q_n - Q_{n+1} = \frac{Q}{(n+1)(n+2)} \quad (27)$$

The summation of ΔQ_n on the possible range of n values, when it tends to infinity give us the classic value of charge Q :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta Q_n = Q. \quad (28)$$

We can adopt an analogous procedure with the difference between two consecutive M_n values[26]. In this case using $r_{+,n}$ and $r_{-,n}$, we obtain:

$$\Delta M_n = \frac{(\Delta r_{+,n} + \Delta r_{-,n})}{2} = \frac{M}{(n+1)(n+2)}, \quad (29a)$$

where we variations of $r_{\pm,n}$ radius, are

$$\Delta r_{\pm,n} = r_{\pm,n} - r_{\pm,n+1}. \quad (29b)$$

Finally, when the upper cut N tends to infinity, we obtain that the summation of ΔM_n on the possible range of n values is the classical M -mass

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta M_n = M, \quad (30)$$

in agreement with the result obtained in[26].

B. Quantum thermodynamics

The discrete values of $r_{+,n}$ allow us to also discretize the exterior area $A_{+,n}$ and its corresponding entropy $S_{+,n}$. We can determine the difference between two consecutive exterior area states: $\Delta A_{+,n} > 0$:

$$\Delta A_{+,n} = 4\pi (r_{+,n}^2 - r_{+,n+1}^2) = 4\pi r_+^2 \left(\frac{2n+3}{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2} \right). \quad (31)$$

Furthermore, we can calculate the total contribution of the exterior area, as the sum over the difference between consecutive n th-exterior areas:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta A_{+,n} = 4\pi r_+^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{(N+1)^2} \right), \quad (32)$$

that depends on the number of states, N . In the same way, we obtain the entropy from the sum over the difference between consecutive n th-entropies:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta S_n = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta A_{+,n} = \pi r_+^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{(N+1)^2} \right). \quad (33)$$

Finally, if we calculate the temperature T_N in the context of the first law of B-H mechanics:

$$T_N = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta M_n}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta S_n} = \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{2\pi r_+^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{N+1} \right)}, \quad (34)$$

we obtain that $T_{BH} \leq T_N < 2T_{BH}$ [26], for different values of N . However, this is not a true temperature, because in the interior of the black hole the states are entangled, so that T_N must be interpreted as a *latent temperature*. It is interesting to notice that, if we have a single state, i.e., if $N = 1$, we recover the Bekenstein-Hawking temperature for Reissner-Nordstrom, which could be related to a true temperature because this state is associated to an energy level which is at the exterior limit radius: $r_{+,0} = M_0 + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} = r_+$. When the number of states is very large ($N \gg 1$), we obtain the up cut for T_N :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_N = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{2\pi r_+^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{N+1} \right)} \rightarrow \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{2\pi r_+^2} = 2T_{BH}. \quad (35)$$

This means that the latent quantum temperature will be in the range: $T_{BH} \leq T_N < 2T_{BH}$.

IV. FINAL COMMENTS

We have used the formalism of Relativistic Quantum Geometry to study the quantum structure of space-time in the interior of a Reissner-Nordström Black-Hole, without angular moment. The radial solution can be described as a local solution around $r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$, so that it can be expressed as a finite superposition of polynomials that describe the energy levels of space-time. The maximum number of states is determined in expression (26); *the maximum number of states N is given by the ratio between the classical mass M and the classical charge Q* . Due to this result, we have verified that the uncertainly principle, written as $E_n r_{sh,n} = \hbar/2$, is fulfilled on each energy level of the RNBH. This provide us with the possibility to develop a quantum thermodynamic, where energy, mass, charge, temperature and entropy are dependent with the number of states in the RNBH. Finally, it should be highlighted that the RNBH entropy is defined as the limit case where the number of states tends to infinity, but the RNBH temperature is obtained for a black-hole with an isolated state. The quantum temperature depends on the number of states in the interior of the RNBH. It is always in the range: $T_{BH} \leq T_N < 2T_{BH}$, and must be considered as a latent temperature because the quantum coherence of the system[27-29]. This can be assured because we have supposed a null flux $\delta\Phi = 0$, so that the RNBH constitutes an isolated physical system.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge CONICET, Argentina (PIP 11220150100072CO) and UNMdP (EXA955/20) for financial support.

-
- [1] H. Reissner. *Über die Eigengravitation des elektrischen Feldes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie*. Ann. Phys. **50**: 106120 (1916).
 - [2] A. Palatini. *Deduzione invariante delle equazioni gravitazionali dal principio di Hamilton*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **43**: 203-212 (1919). [English translation by R.Hojman and C. Mukku in P.G. Bergmann and V. De Sabbata (eds.) *Cosmology and Gravitation*, Plenum Press, New York (1980)].
 - [3] G. Nordström. *On the Energy of the Gravitational Field in Einstein's Theory*. Verhandl. Koninkl. Ned. Akad. Wetenschap., Afdel. Natuurk., Amsterdam. **26**: 12011208 (1918).
 - [4] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7**: 2333 (1973).
 - [5] S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **43**: 199 (1975).
 - [6] Shahad Hod, Phys.Lett. **B759**: 541 (2016).
 - [7] Shahad Hod, Eur. Phys. J. **C78**: 634 (2018).
 - [8] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D55**: 5112 (1997).
 - [9] A. Konechny, A. S. Schwarz, Phys. Rept. **360**: 353 (2002).
 - [10] A. Perez, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**: R43 (2003).
 - [11] C. Rovelli, Living Rev. Rel. **1**: 1 (1998).
 - [12] C. Rovelli, L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. **D52**: 5743 (1995).
 - [13] A. Wheeler, Ann. Phys. **2**: 604 (1957).
 - [14] J. A. Wheeler, Superspace and the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics, in: C. M. De Witt, J. A. Wheeler (Eds), *Batelle Rencontres, 1967*, in: Lect. Math. Phys. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1968.
 - [15] L. S. Ridaou, M. Bellini, Phys. Lett. **B751**: 565 (2015).
 - [16] M. Bellini, Phys. Lett. **B771**: 227 (2017).
 - [17] M. Bellini, Phys. Dark Univ. **11**: 64 (2016).
 - [18] M. R. A. Arcodía, M. Bellini, Universe **2**: 13 (2016).
 - [19] M. R. A. Arcodía, M. Bellini, Eur. Phys. J. **C76**: 326 (2016).
 - [20] M. Bellini, J. E. Madriz Aguilar, M. Montes, Phys. Dark Univ. **25**: 100309 (2019).
 - [21] J. I. Musmarra, M. Bellini, Eur. Phys. J. **C79**: 899 (2019).
 - [22] T. M. Davis, P. C. W. Davies, Ch. H. Lineweaver, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**: 2753 (2003).
 - [23] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis. *The large scale structure of space-time*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK (1973).
 - [24] J. Samuel. Class. Quantum Grav. **33**: 015006 (2016).
 - [25] P. P. Fiziev, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**: 035203 (2010).
 - [26] J. I. Musmarra, M. Bellini, M. Anabitarte, arXiv:1908.11716 [gr-qc].
 - [27] T. Padmanabhan, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A25**: 1129 (2010).
 - [28] S. Saha, S. Chakraborty, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A30**: 1550058 (2015).
 - [29] S. Santhosh Kumar, S. Shankaranarayanan, Eur. Phys. J. **C76**: 400 (2016).