Valley-Hall topological plasmons in a graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide

Jian Wei You, Member, IEEE, Zhihao Lan, Qiaoliang Bao, and Nicolae C. Panoiu, Member, IEEE

Abstract-We demonstrate that unidirectional and backscattering immune propagation of terahertz optical waves can be achieved in a topological valley-Hall waveguide made of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals. In order to gain deeper physical insights into these phenomena, the band diagram of graphene nanohole plamsonic crystals has been investigated and optimized. We found that a graphene plasmonic crystal with nanohole arrays belonging to the C_{6v} symmetry group possesses gapless Dirac cones, which can be gapped out by introducing extra nanoholes such that the symmetry point group of the system is reduced from C_{6v} to C_{3v} . Taking advantage of this feature, we design a mirror symmetric domain-wall interface by placing together two optimized graphene plasmonic crystals so as to construct valleypolarized topological interface modes inside the opened bandgap. Our computational analysis shows that the valley-Hall topological domain-wall interface modes can be achieved at an extremely deep subwavelength scale, and do not rely on the application of external static magnetic fields. This work may pave a new way to develop highly-integrated and robust terahertz plasmonic waveguides at deep-subwavelength scale.

Index Terms—Topological plasmon mode propagation, chirality-momentum locking, graphene plasmonic crystal waveguides, valley-Hall topological waveguides, domain-wall interface.

I. INTRODUCTION

TOPOLOGICAL photonics has recently attracted a great deal of attention, chiefly due to the unique opportunities it provides to manipulate light in a robust way, immune to structural imperfections and backscattering [1]. In [2], an analogue of the quantum Hall edge states in photonic crystals containing time-reversal-breaking magneto-optical media was proposed theoretically for the first time. Soon afterwards, such topological edge modes were observed directly in microwave experiments [3]. Since then, the photonic topological states have been extensively studied both from a fundamental science viewpoint [4]–[7], as well as from the perspective of potential applications [8]–[14]. For instance, a number of topological photonic waveguides [8]–[10] have been developed to reduce

Manuscript received xxx, 2020. This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC), Grant Agreement no. ERC-2014-CoG-648328. (Corresponding author: Nicolae C. Panoiu.)

J. W. You, Z. Lan, and N. C. Panoiu are with the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University College London, London, WC1E7JE, United Kingdom. (e-mail: j.you@ucl.ac.uk, z.lan@ucl.ac.uk, and n.panoiu@ucl.ac.uk)

Q. Bao is with the Department of Materials Science and Engineering, and Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Future Low-Energy Electronics Technologies, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia. (e-mail: qiaoliang.bao@monash.edu)

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSTQE.2020.XXXX.

the back-reflection in the presence of inherent structural disorder. In addition, some other topological photonic devices, such as robust delay lines [11], [12] and topological lasers [13], [14], have also been proposed and demonstrated experimentally.

One of the prerequisites of the development of efficient onchip photonic integrated circuits at the nanoscale, is achieving a tight optical field confinement beyond the diffraction limit of light. Graphene [15]–[17] has been shown to be a promising platform to facilitate reaching this goal, due to the fact that its physical properties can be easily controlled by voltage-tuning or chemical doping. Moreover, graphene plasmons, the energy carriers in graphene based photonic systems, exhibit a tight optical field confinement and have long intrinsic relaxation times in the terahertz and up to mid-infrared frequency range [18]–[22]. Indeed, it has been shown that a periodically patterned graphene nanostructure under a static magnetic field can host topological one-way edge plasmons up to infrared frequencies [23]–[25], owing to the breaking of the time reversal symmetry.

Topological transport of plasmons in graphene nanostructures can also be realized in a time reversal symmetric manner by exploiting the idea of valley-contrast transport, via electrically biased patterned metagates [26] or inversion symmetry broken honeycomb lattices of periodically arranged graphene nanodisks [27], [28]. Recently, a large area of periodic graphene nanohole arrays with a period down to 100 nm has been fabricated [29]–[31]. Compared to the crystals of periodically arranged graphene nanodisks, graphene nanohole crystals offer the particularly useful feature of being an electrically connected surface, yet the band structure of the graphene plasmons can be easily engineered in a purely geometric manner [32]. To the best of our knowledge, topological plasmons in graphene nanohole crystals have not been achieved in the absence of a static magnetic field.

In this work, we propose a novel valley-Hall topological graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide comprised of periodically patterned air nanoholes. To achieve this goal, we firstly construct an inversion-symmetry protected Dirac cone by creating a C_{6v} -symmetric array of nanoholes into a graphene sheet. Subsequently, in order to break the spatial inversion symmetry, we introduce additional nanoholes with different radius into this graphene nanohole crystal. In this way, the gapless Dirac cone is gapped out and consequently a topological bandgap emerges. In order to study the topological features of this novel graphene nanohole crystal containing two kinds of nanoholes, the radius of the additional set of nanoholes is optimized so as to maximize the width of

the emerging topological bandgap. Taking advantage of this wide topological bandgap, we place two optimized graphene nanohole crystals together in a mirror symmetric manner, so as to construct a domain-wall interface, which gives rise to a valley-Hall plasmonic edge mode inside the topological bandgap.

Employing comprehensive numerical simulations, the valley-Hall plasmon propagation along this carefully-designed interface has been studied, and some typical topological propagation features have been observed, including unidirectional backscattering-immune propagation. Moreover, we have explored the influence of the intrinsic loss of graphene on the characteristic loss propagation length of valley-Hall topological plasmons in this graphene nanohole crystal waveguide, too, and found out that the plasmon lifetime is a key parameter in determining the plasmon propagation performance. Compared to previously studied topological magneto-plasmons, the proposed valley-Hall topological plasmons investigated in this work are time-reversal-invariant and do not depend on external static magnetic fields. Therefore, our proposal would greatly simplify experimental implementations of topological graphene plasmons and could be extremely useful for the development of robustly integrated on-chip photonic devices.

II. THE AIM OF THE STUDY AND ITS KEY IDEAS

The aim of this study is to design a topological waveguide for terahertz plasmonic waves based on quantum valley-Hall like effects using graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals (see the illustration in Fig. 1). The waveguide consists of a domainwall interface, which is highlighted in Fig. 1 by a transparent green strip along the x-axis, and it is constructed by joining together two properly-designed graphene nanohole crystals referred to as domains D1 and D2. The D1 domain is the mirror symmetric counterpart of the D2 domain, with respect to a plane passing through the domain-wall interface and perpendicular onto the y-axis. The unit cells of the D1 and D2 domains are marked by blue and red hexagons, respectively. Each hexagonal unit cell consists of three small nanoholes and three large nanoholes etched in a graphene sheet and the unit cells are arranged periodically in a hexagonal lattice. The array of large nanoholes has C_{6v} symmetry and in the absence of the small nanoholes the structure has spatial-inversion-symmetry protected Dirac cones. In order to gap out these Dirac cones, additional small nanoholes are introduced in the unit cell to break the spatial inversion symmetry and reduce the system symmetry from C_{6v} down to C_{3v} .

Since the unit cell of the D1 domain is a mirror symmetric counterpart of that of the D2 domain with respect to the domain-wall interface, the band diagram of the D1 crystal is identical to that of the D2 crystal. This key feature is important in our design, as it is particularly useful that the D1 and D2 crystals have a common bandgap. Moreover, since in the real space the D1 domain is a mirror symmetric counterpart of the D2 domain, in momentum space the first Brillouin zone of the D1 domain can be obtained from that of the D2domain by a rotation of 180 degrees, namely the K-valley points of the D1 domain correspond to the K'-valley points




Fig. 1: Schematic of a topological valley-Hall waveguide supporting valley-contrast unidirectional transport of terahertz plasmonic waves, i.e., RCP modes at the K valley points and LCP modes at the K' valley points. The waveguide consists of a domain-wall interface constructed by placing together two mirror-symmetric graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals (domains D1 and D2), whose unit cells are highlighted by blue and red hexagons, respectively.

of the D2 domain. As we will see later on, the valley mode at K points is right-hand circularly polarized (RCP), whereas the valley mode at K' points is left-hand circularly polarized (LCP). This indicates that the valley states are inherently chiral, i.e., the chirality of the K-valley is opposite to that of the K'-valley. Due to this important feature, a chiralitymomentum locked edge mode will emerge in the topological bandgap. In the following, we will discuss and analyze these ideas in more detail through full-model calculations of the band diagrams and propagation properties of the topological interfacial waveguide mode.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section we present the main results of our study. In particular, we first discuss the band structure of the graphene plasmonic crystals used in our work, as well as the mechanism through which an interfacial topological plasmonic crystal waveguide can be formed and its specific waveguiding properties.

A. Band diagrams of graphene nanohole crystals

As shown in Fig. 2(a), the unit cell of the proposed graphene plasmonic crystal contains two nanoholes with differen size. Moreover, the holes are arranged periodically in a hexagonal lattice, whose first Brillouin zone (FBZ) is depicted in Fig. 2(b). In this study, the lattice constant and the radius of the large holes are fixed as $a = 400\sqrt{3}$ nm and R = 140 nm, respectively.

The optical properties of graphene are primarily characterized by its surface conductivity, which is generally given by the Kubo's formula [33], [34]. Within the random-phase approximation [35], [36], this formula can be expressed as the sum of inter-band and intra-band contributions, $\sigma_s =$ $\sigma_{intra}(\omega, \mu_c, \tau, T) + \sigma_{inter}(\omega, \mu_c, \tau, T)$. To be more specific, the intra-band part is given by:

$$\sigma_{intra} = \frac{e^2 k_B T \tau}{\pi \hbar^2 \left(1 - i\omega\tau\right)} \left[\frac{\mu_c}{k_B T} + 2\ln\left(e^{-\frac{\mu_c}{k_B T}} + 1\right)\right], \quad (1)$$

where μ_c is the chemical potential, τ is the relaxation time, T is the temperature, e is the electron charge, k_B is the




Fig. 2: Band diagrams of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals. (a) Primitive unit cell consisting of two nanoholes with radius R and r, etched in a graphene sheet (grey shaded region). (b) First Brillouin zone (FBZ) of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal, where the high symmetry points have been labeled as Γ , K, and M. (c) First three bands of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal, calculated for different values of the radius of the smaller holes, r. In these calculations, $a = 400\sqrt{3}$ nm and R = 140 nm. The numerical calculations show that the width of the bandgap increases with the radius r. More importantly, the bandgaps exist at a deep subwavelength scale, around 11.5 THz (the operating wavelength is about 40 times larger than the lattice constant).

Boltzmann constant, and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant. Moreover, as long as $\mu_c \gg k_B T$, which usually holds at room temperature, the inter-band part can be approximated as:

$$\sigma_{inter} = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi\hbar} \ln\left[\frac{2\,|\mu_c| - (\omega + i\tau^{-1})\hbar}{2\,|\mu_c| + (\omega + i\tau^{-1})\hbar}\right].\tag{2}$$

In this study, unless otherwise specified, we use $\mu_c = 0.2 \,\text{eV}$, $T = 300 \,\text{K}$, and $\tau = 50 \,\text{ps}$ [37]–[40], whereas the influence of the relaxation time on the propagation characteristics of the topological mode will be discussed at the end of the paper. Here, we should note that the band diagram of graphene plasmonic crystal is not dependent on the relaxation time of graphene. The only influence of this physical parameter is that the width of each band increase as the relaxation time increases, as proved in [23].

We have employed the finite-element method (FEM) in Comsol Multiphysics 5.4 [41] to compute the band diagrams of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals with different values of the radius of the small nanoholes, and the corresponding results are summarized in Fig. 2(c). As we have explained above, when r = 0, the large holes form a periodic array with C_{6v} symmetry, which possesses inversion-symmetryprotected Dirac cones located at the K point. As shown in Fig. 2(c), the first band and the second band linearly cross each other at the K point, at the frequency of 11.8 THz. Different from most traditional all-dielectric photonic crystals [42], [43], the gapless Dirac cone of this graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal exists at a frequency far below the air light cone, which is marked by the grey shaded region in Fig. 2(c).




Fig. 3: Chirality properties of the bulk valley modes of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals. (a) Projection of the band diagram of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal along the Γ -K direction, where the four bulk valley modes at K_1 , K_2 , K'_1 and K'_2 are marked by dots. The chirality of the four valley modes are marked by clockwise- or counterclockwise-oriented arrows. (b) Electric field and Poynting vector distributions in a unit cell, determined in the x - yplane for the K_1 and K_2 valley modes. A tight field confinement is suggested by the optical field distribution, whereas the distribution of the Poynting vector (red arrows) shows either a RCP or a LCP energy flow. (c) Phase distributions of the E_z component in a unit cell, computed in the x - y plane for the K_1 and K_2 valley modes, which show that the two valley modes have opposite chirality, i.e., for the K_1 and K_2 valley modes the E_z phase vortex rotates either anticlockwise or clockwise around the center of the unit cell, respectively.

As a consequence, the corresponding topological plasmons can be formed at extremely deep subwavelength scale. In our case, the plasmon wavelength is around 40 times larger than the lattice constant.

In order to open up the inversion-symmetry-protected Dirac cone, small nanoholes are added to the plasmonic crystal, which means in this case $r \neq 0$. In this way, the symmetry point group of the unit cell shown in Fig. 2(a) is reduced from C_{6v} to C_{3v} . Consequently, the C_{6v} -symmetry-protected Dirac cone is gapped out, owing to the breaking of the spatial inversion symmetry. Moreover, the numerical results presented in Fig. 2(c) show that the width of the bandgap increases when the radius of the small nanoholes increases. To be more specific, the frequency bandwidth of the first bandgap increases from 1 THz to about 2 THz when the radius of the small nanoholes increases from 50 nm to 100 nm.

The bulk valley modes obtained by gapping out the Dirac cone have nontrivial topological properties, which are responsible for the emergence of valley-Hall like topological domainwall interface modes to be discussed in the next subsection. To illustrate this phenomenon, we present in Fig. 3(a) the projected band diagram of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal. In this figure, the beige shaded regions represent the projection of the bulk modes, and the bandgap is marked by a green shaded region. The four bulk valley modes are labeled K_1, K_2, K'_1 , and K'_2 , where each valley mode has an intrinsic vorticity with the corresponding chirality marked by either a clockwise- or counterclockwise-oriented arrow. In practical applications, these optical vortices can be used to selectively excite different valley modes by choosing the specific angular momentum of the excitation source. To be more specific, if we input light with the frequency equal to the frequency of the upper valley modes, only RCP light could couple efficiently to the valley mode at K_1 , whereas only LCP light couples to the valley mode at K_2 .

In order to demonstrate this valley-chirality-locking property, the electric field and Poynting vector distributions of K_1 and K_2 valley modes are depicted in Fig. 3(b), where the colormap denotes the amplitude of E_z component and the Poynting vector is depicted by red arrows (note that the K'_1 and K'_2 valley modes show similar behaviors, thus not shown). It can be seen in this figure that the electric field is tightly confined around the large nanoholes, thus illustrating the plasmon-induced near-field confinement effect. Moreover, the distribution of the Poynting vector shows that the chirality of the valley modes at K_1 and K_2 are RCP and LCP, respectively. Furthermore, this valley-chirality-locking feature also manifests through the corresponding distribution of the phase of E_z , as depicted in Fig. 3(c). To be more specific, the phase distribution of E_z component at the K_1 valley winds counterclockwise from π to $-\pi$ with respect to the center of the unit cell. On the contrary, the phase of E_z at the K_2 valley point winds clockwise. Relying on this valley-chirality locking property, a valley-Hall topological graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide will be designed and studied in the following subsections.

B. Band diagrams of graphene plasmonic crystal waveguides

So far, we have explained how one can design a graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal that possesses a relatively wide bandgap. In order to construct valley-Hall topological edge modes inside this bandgap, we place together two optimized graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals to form a topological domain-wall interface, as illustrated in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b). In our study, the D2 domain is a mirror symmetric counterpart of the D1 domain. There are two key reasons why one constructs a valley-Hall topological domain-wall interface in this way.

First, apart from a symmetry transformation, the unit cell of the D1 domain is identical to that of the D2 domain. Therefore, one can avoid bandgap mismatch issues, namely the bandgaps of the plasmonic crystals in the domains D1 and D2around the interface are perfectly matched. Second, in order to achieve a chirality-momentum locking feature of the edge modes supported by the domain-wall interface, the chirality of the D1 domain should be opposite to that of the D2 domain. This can be achieved by simply rotating in the momentum space by 180 degrees the unit cell of the D2 domain or, equivalently, by ensuring that in the real space the domains D1 and D2 can be obtained from each other through a mirror symmetry transformation with respect to the interface. In other words, the K-valley points of the D1 domain overlap with the K'-valley points of the D2 domain. Owing to this unique feature, the chirality of the modes in the nontrivial bandgap of the D1 domain is opposite to that of the modes in the (same) nontrivial bandgap of the D2 domain.




Fig. 4: Topological edge states of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguides. (a), (b) Two complementary domain-wall interfaces C_1 (blue dashed line) and C_2 (red dashed line), respectively, which are constructed by placing together two mirror-symmetric domains, D1 and D2. (c) Band diagrams of graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguides, determined for r = 50 nm, 70 nm and 100 nm. Due to the presence of the domain-wall interfaces, two edge-mode bands are formed inside the topological bandgap. The blue (red) solid line corresponds to the edge-mode band of the domain-wall interface C_1 (C_2).

Since the domain-wall interface is constructed by placing together the D1 and D2 domains, it possesses a pair of counter-propagating edge modes with opposite chirality. Here, we should note that the domain-wall interface C_1 in Fig. 4(a) is a complementary counterpart of the domain-wall interface C_2 presented in Fig. 4(b), namely the two configurations can be obtained from each other by interchanging the positions of the large and small nanoholes. The structures depicted in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b), are periodic along the x-axis but are finite along the y-axis and symmetric with respect to the interface. Therefore, the topological features of the domainwall interface C_1 are complementary with respect to those of the domain-wall interface C_2 . To simplify the discussion, in the following we only focus on the topological properties of the domain-wall interface C_2 .

Using the FEM solver in Comsol Multiphysics 5.4, the band diagrams of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguides depicted in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) were calculated, and the corresponding results are given in Fig. 4(c). Due to the presence of the domain-wall interfaces, two additional valley-Hall topological edge-mode bands are formed inside the first bandgap of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal. Different from the topological edge-mode bands induced by the breaking of the time-reversal symmetry, these valley-Hall topological edge-state bands induced by the breaking of the spatial-inversion symmetry do not connect the conduction and valence bulk bands of the plasmonic crystal. In Fig. 4(c), the blue (red) solid line corresponds to the edge-state band of the domain-wall interface C_1 (C_2), whereas the beige shaded regions indicate the projection of the bulk modes. Furthermore, these band diagrams also suggest that the absolute value of the group velocity of the edge-state bands inside the topological bandgap decreases when the radius r increases.

As it is well-known, as a consequence of slow-light effects, the smaller the absolute value of the group velocity is, the less confined the optical field will be at the domain-wall interface. Therefore, in order to avoid slow-light effects and the narrow operational bandwidth associated with flatten bands, the radius r should be as small as possible. However, in most practical applications, it is desirable to use nanoholes with radius r as large as possible, as this would make the fabrication processes less challenging and would also lead to a wider bandgap. After a careful consideration of both these factors, we found out that the optimum value of the radius r was 70 nm. Therefore, in the following analysis we used this value for r.

More specifically, when r = 70 nm, the edge-state mode (red solid line) has a relatively large group velocity and is located in a topological bandgap with a rather large width of 2 THz. As illustrated in Fig. 4(c), if one excites this properly designed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide using a monochromatic light source with frequency ν_0 , one can generate two distinct waveguide modes corresponding to the K_3 and K_4 points. More importantly, the group velocity of the edge-mode band at the K_3 point is positive, whereas the corresponding group velocity at the K_4 point is negative. As the propagation direction of a waveguide mode is determined by the sign of the corresponding group velocity, the guided waves corresponding to the K_3 and K_4 points propagate in opposite directions.

Importantly, as shown in Fig. 3(a), the chirality of the upper valley sate at the K_1 point has opposite value as compared to the chirality of the upper valley sate at the K_2 point. Consequently, the valley-Hall topological edge-mode at the K_3 and K_4 points have opposite chirality. A consequence of these properties of the chirality and group velocity at the K_3 and K_4 points is the unidirectional-propagation property of the topological waveguide modes of our graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide.

C. Topological features of the plasmon propagation

In order to further investigate the topological features of plasmon propagation in the proposed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide, we considered the propagation properties of several graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide modes. First, in order to confirm the topologically-protected unidirectional propagation feature of the waveguide modes, a straight graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide is studied, as per Fig. 5(a). As shown in the left panel of this figure, a source located at the middle of the graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide generates right-circularly polarized monochromatic light with frequency of $\nu_0 = 11.5 \text{ THz}$. In our study, a source that emits circularly polarized light is implemented by placing at the corners of a hexagon six electric dipoles, which are marked by red dots in the inset of Fig. 5(a). The phase difference between neighboring electric dipoles is set to be $\pm \pi/3$ so as to selectively excite a RCP or LCP phase vortex, respectively.

The chirality of the topological waveguide mode at the K_3 (K_4) point is LCP (RCP). Consequently, only the topological




Fig. 5: Topological features of the topological waveguide mode propagation, including the unidirectional and the backscattering-immune propagation, in graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguides. (a) Unidirectional propagation along the -x direction (left panel) and a unidirectional propagation along the +x direction (right panel) are achieved by placing in the topological waveguide optical sources that generate RCP light and a LCP light, respectively, at the frequency of $\nu_0 = 11.5$ THz. (b) Bend-immune propagation in three distinct sharply curved domain-wall interfaces: U bend in the left panel, C bend in the middle panel, and L bend in the right panel. Backscattering-immune propagation is observed, meaning that the proposed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide modes are robust against bend perturbations.

waveguide mode at the K_4 point could be excited in the left panel of Fig. 5(a), if we use as excitation a RCP light source. Moreover, since the group velocity of the topological waveguide mode at the K_4 point is negative in Fig. 4(c), the generated optical field will propagate along the -x direction. As a consequence of these two features, a unidirectional propagation of plasmonic waves is achieved, which is illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 5(a). Similarly, as demonstrated in the right panel of Fig. 5(a), unidirectional propagation along +x direction of plasmonic waves with LCP chirality can be achieved in this graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide if one uses as excitation a source that generates left-circularly polarized light.

In addition to the unidirectional propagation, the topological feature of backscattering-immune propagation in the proposed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide is also validated. As illustrated in Fig. 5(b), three sharply curved domainwall interfaces with different shapes (U bend in the left panel, C bend in the middle panel, and L bend in the right panel) are used to test the backscattering-immune propagation in the proposed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguides. In the case of the U bend, monochromatic light with frequency ν_0 is launched at the waveguide port (I), whereas the transmitted power is collected at the waveguide port (2). The corresponding near-field distribution in the left panel of Fig. 5(b) shows that the light propagation is topologically-protected, as it is immune to several sharp-bend corners. This feature is particularly promising for the design of photonic time-delay lines. Similar backscattering-immune propagation can also be




Fig. 6: Dependence of the modal power on the propagation distance, determined for different values of the lifetime of the graphene plasmon.

observed in the C and L bends. In the case of the C bend, the feeding waveguide port (3) and the output waveguide port (4) are placed at the same side of the crystal. Due to the topological protection, a backscattering-immune propagation is achieved in this case, too. This remarkable feature can be used in the design of a photonic device that reverses the direction of light propagation. In the case of the L bend, the feeding waveguide port (5) is placed at the top side of the crystal, whereas the output waveguide port (6) is located at its right side. Similarly to the phenomena observed in the cases of the U and C bends, in this case, too, the backscattering of light is efficiently suppressed. This property can be used to the design of a photonic lateral diverter.

D. The effect of graphene loss on the topological light propagation

As shown in Fig. 2(c), the first bandgap of the graphene nanohole plasmonic crystals is located at frequencies that are far below the light cone. Thus, the valley-Hall topological edge modes inside this bandgap are guided modes, as depicted in Fig. 4(c), and therefore the radiation losses vanish. Moreover, the plasmonic field is tightly confined at the domain-wall interface, which can lead to increased optical losses due to the intrinsic loss of graphene. Typically, the lifetime of graphene plasmons due to plasmon-phonon scattering varies from 0.1 ps to 1 ps [37]. However, recently it has been demonstrated that the lifetime of graphene plasmons can be increased to about 3 ps if the exfoliated graphene is placed onto a boron nitride substrate [38]. Moreover, the lifetime of graphene plasmons under a strong static magnetic field can be as large as 50 ps, as demonstrated in [39], [40].

Guided by these experimental considerations, we have investigated the effect of the intrinsic loss of graphene on the characteristics of the valley-Hall topological plasmon propagation in a graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide.




Fig. 7: (a), (b) Spatial distribution of the electric field intensity, |E|, determined for a lifetime of graphene plasmons of $\tau = 5 \text{ ps}$ and $\tau = 1 \text{ ps}$, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 6, the dependence of the power of the topological waveguide modes on the propagation distance is evaluated via a surface integral of the Poynting vector over a cross section in the y - z plane. Owing to the intrinsic loss of graphene, as expected, the optical power of the waveguide mode decreases as the propagation distance increases. The power decay rate can be quantified by the characteristic loss length, L_{loss} , defined as $L_{loss} = 1/\alpha$, where the loss coefficient α is defined by the relation:

$$P(x) = P(0)e^{-\alpha x}.$$
(3)

For our topological waveguide mode, $L_{loss} = 27 \,\mu\text{m}$, $20 \,\mu\text{m}$, $8.3 \,\mu\text{m}$, $5.9 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $4 \,\mu\text{m}$ when $\tau = 50 \,\text{ps}$, $5 \,\text{ps}$, $1 \,\text{ps}$, $0.7 \,\text{ps}$ and $0.5 \,\text{ps}$, respectively.

A further illustration of the influence of the intrinsic loss of graphene on the plasmon propagation in the proposed graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide is provided by the electric field distributions presented in Fig. 7. In both cases the propagation length was equal to $20 \,\mu\text{m}$, whereas the lifetime of the graphene plasmons was $\tau = 5 \,\text{ps}$ in Fig. 7(a) and $\tau = 1 \,\text{ps}$ in Fig. 7(b). The results of these numerical simulations clearly illustrate the dramatic effect that the intrinsic graphene losses has on the characteristic propagation length of the topological waveguide modes investigated in this work.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have proposed for the first time a novel valley-Hall topological plasmonic crystal waveguide formed at the interface between two mirror-symmetric graphene crystals placed in close contact. After an optimization of the structure of the crystals, a wide topological bandgap containing an interfacial topological waveguide mode is achieved. Using full-model simulations, the band diagram of this graphene plasmonic crystal has been investigated, and a topological edge band was observed inside the bandgap. More importantly, we show that this topological edge band can exist at extremely deep-subwavelength scale, namely for $\lambda/a > 40$. Moreover, we demonstrate unidirectional and backscatteringimmune propagation of the proposed graphene plasmonic crystal waveguide modes, key features that highlight their topological nature. In addition, the effect of the intrinsic loss of graphene on the valley-Hall topological propagation in the proposed graphene nanohole plasmonic crystal waveguide has been investigated, too. We found that characteristic loss lengths of tens of micrometers can be achieved provided that the plasmon relaxation time is $\gtrsim 1\,\mathrm{ps}.$ These important properties of valley-Hall topological plasmons could play an important rôle in the development of highly-integrated and robust photonic crystal waveguides at deep-subwavelength scale.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the use of the UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL), and associated support services, in the completion of this work.

REFERENCES

- T. Ozawa et al., "Topological photonics," Rev. Mod. Phys., vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 015006, Mar. 2019.
- [2] F. D. M. Haldane and S. Raghu, "Possible realization of directional optical waveguides in photonic crystals with broken time-reversal symmetry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 013904, Jan. 2008.
- [3] Z. Wang, Y. Chong, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljacic, "Observation of unidirectional backscattering-immune topological electromagnetic states," *Nature*, vol. 461, no. 7265, pp. 772-775, Oct. 2009.
- [4] L. Lu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljacic, "Topological photonics," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 821-829, Oct. 2014.
- [5] L. Lu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljacic, "Topological states in photonic systems," *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 626-629, June 2016.
- [6] A. B. Khanikaev and G. Shvets, "Two-dimensional topological photonics," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 763-773, Nov. 2017.
- [7] C. Liu, W. Gao, B. Yang, and S. Zhang, "Disorder-induced topological state transition in photonic metamaterials," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 119, no. 18, pp. 183901, Nov. 2017.
- [8] Z. Wang, Y. D. Chong, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljacic, "Reflectionfree one-way edge modes in a gyromagnetic photonic crystal," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 013905, Jan. 2008.
- [9] Y. Yang, Y. Poo, R. X. Wu, Y. Gu, and P. Chen, "Experimental demonstration of one-way slow wave in waveguide involving gyromagnetic photonic crystals," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 102, no. 23, pp. 231113, May 2013.
- [10] S. Mittal *et al.*, "Topologically robust transport of photons in a synthetic gauge field," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, no. 8, pp. 087403, Aug. 2014.
- [11] M. Hafezi, S. Mittal, J. Fan, A. Migdall, and J. M. Taylor, "Imaging topological edge states in silicon photonics," *Nat. Photon.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 1001-1005, Oct. 2013.
- [12] X. Cheng *et al.*, "Robust reconfigurable electromagnetic pathways within a photonic topological insulator," *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 542-548, Feb. 2016.
- [13] B. Bahari et al., "Nonreciprocal lasing in topological cavities of arbitrary geometries," Science, vol. 358, no. 6363, pp. 636-640, Nov. 2017.
- [14] P. St-Jean *et al.*, "Lasing in topological edge states of a one-dimensional lattice," *Nat. Photon.*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 651-656, Sep. 2017.
- [15] K. S. Novoselov *et al.*, "Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films," *Science*, vol. 306, no. 5696, pp. 666-669, Oct. 2004.
- [16] K. S. Novoselov et al., "Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene," *Nature*, vol. 438, no. 7065, pp. 197-200, Nov. 2005.
- [17] A. N. Grigorenko, M. Polini, and K. S. Novoselov, "Graphene plasmonics," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 749-758, Nov. 2012.
- [18] P. Avouris and M. Freitag, "Graphene photonics, plasmonics, and optoelectronics," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 72-83, Jan. 2014.

- [19] A. Tredicucci and M. S. Vitiello, "Device concepts for graphene-based terahertz photonics," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 130-138, Jan. 2014.
- [20] A. Woessner *et al.*, "Highly confined low-loss plasmons in grapheneboron nitride heterostructures," *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 421-425, Jan. 2015.
- [21] B. Yao et al., "Broadband gate-tunable terahertz plasmons in graphene heterostructures," Nat. Photonics, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 22-28, Jan. 2018.
- [22] G. X. Ni *et al.*, "Fundamental limits to graphene plasmonics," *Nature*, vol. 557, no. 7706, pp. 530-533, May 2018.
- [23] D. Jin et al., "Infrared topological plasmons in graphene," Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 118, no. 24, pp. 245301, June 2017.
- [24] D. Pan, R. Yu, H. Xu, and F. J. G. de Abajo, "Topologically protected Dirac plasmons in a graphene superlattice," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1243, Nov. 2017.
- [25] J. W. You, Z. Lan, and N. C. Panoiu, "Four-wave Mixing of Topological Edge Plasmons in Graphene Metasurfaces," *Sci. Adv.*, vol. 6, no. 13, art. no. eaaz3910, Mar. 2020.
- [26] M. Jung, Z. Fan, and G. Shvets, "Midinfrared plasmonic valleytronics in metagate-tuned graphene," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 121, no. 8, pp. 086807, Aug. 2018.
- [27] P. Qiu et al., "Topologically protected edge states in graphene plasmonic crystals," Opt. Express, vol. 25, no. 19, pp. 22587-22594, Sep. 2017.
- [28] P. Qiu et al., "Plasmonic valley chiral states in graphene based plasmonic crystals," J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 015102, Oct. 2018.
- [29] A. Sinitskii and J. M. Tour, "Patterning graphene through the selfassembled templates: toward periodic two-dimensional graphene nanostructures with semiconductor properties," J. Am. Chem. Soc., vol.132, no.42, pp.14730-14732, Oct. 2010.
- [30] J. Yang et al., "Graphene nanomesh: new versatile materials," Nanoscale, vol.6, no.22, pp.13301-13313, Sep. 2014
- [31] K. K. Gopalan *et al.*, "Scalable and tunable periodic graphene nanohole arrays for mid-infrared plasmonics," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 5913-5918, Aug. 2018.
- [32] K. Y. M. Yeung *et al.*, "Far-infrared graphene plasmonic crystals for plasmonic band engineering," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2479-2484, Apr. 2014.
- [33] P. A. D. Goncalves and N. M. Peres, *An Introduction to Graphene Plasmonics* (World Scientific, 2016).
- [34] J. W. You, S. R. Bongu, Q. Bao, and N. C. Panoiu, "Nonlinear optical properties and applications of 2D materials: theoretical and experimental aspects," *Nanophotonics*, vol. 8, no.1, pp. 63-97, Dec. 2018.
- [35] G. W. Hanson, "Dyadic Green's functions and guided surface waves for a surface conductivity model of graphene," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 103, no. 6, pp. 064302, Mar. 2008.
- [36] D. W. Wang, W. S. Zhao, X. Q. Gu, W. C. Chen, and W. Y. Yin, "Wideband modeling of graphene-based on structures at different temperatures using hybrid FDTD method," *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 250-258, Jan. 2015.
- [37] T. Low and P. Avouris, "Graphene plasmonics for terahertz to midinfrared applications," ACS Nano, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1086-1101, Jan. 2014.
- [38] C. R. Dean et al., "Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics," Nat. Nanotechnol., vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 722-726, Aug. 2010.
- [39] H. Yan *et al.*, "Infrared spectroscopy of tunable Dirac terahertz magnetoplasmons in graphene," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 3766-3771, June 2012.
- [40] I. Petkovic et al., "Carrier drift velocity and edge magnetoplasmons in graphene," Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 016801, Jan. 2013.
- [41] Comsol multiphysics, COMSOL Multiphysics Modeling Software, 2020, https://uk.comsol.com/.
- [42] A. Slobozhanyuk et al., "Three-dimensional all-dielectric photonic topological insulator," Nat. Photonics, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 130-136, Feb. 2017.
- [43] X. T. He *et al.*, "A silicon-on-insulator slab for topological valley transport," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 872, Feb. 2019.