

A simple proof for visibility paths in simple polygons

Mohammad Reza Zarrabi^a, Nasrollah Moghaddam Charkari^{a,*}

^a*Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran*

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to give a simple proof for a necessary and sufficient condition for visibility paths in simple polygons. A visibility path is a curve such that every point inside a simple polygon is visible from at least one point on the path. This result is essential for finding the shortest watchman route inside a simple polygon specially when the route is restricted to curved paths.

Keywords: Computational Geometry; Visibility Paths; Watchman Route; Simple Polygons;

1. Introduction

Visibility coverage of simple polygons with a mobile guard (mainly known as *watchman* problems) has been central problems in computational geometry. Usually, a mobile guard is defined as a moving point inside a simple polygon P that sees in any direction for any distance. Also, visibility is defined by the clearance of straight line between two points inside P . In other words, two points inside P see each other if the line segment connecting them does not intersect the boundary of P . Consider the curved path created by the mobile guard during its walk inside P . Coverage is achieved if every point inside P is visible from at least one point on the path. In this case, the path is called a *visibility path*.

The *watchman route problem* (WRP) asks for finding a visibility route (a visibility path whose starting and end points coincide) inside P of minimum length. The WRP is defined for two versions. The *anchored* WRP, in which the tour is required to pass through a specified anchor point, and the *floating* WRP, in which no anchor point is specified. The problem has a polynomial time solution for both versions. The anchored WRP was first studied by Chin and Ntafos [2]. Afterwards, many researchers have worked on this problem in [12], [11], [5], [13] and [4], respectively, to improve the results. The floating WRP was investigated in [1, 4]. The best known results for both versions were presented by Dror et al. [4]. Linear time algorithms are known for approximating the anchored WRP [9] and floating WRP [10] (also, a non-linear time algorithm in [8]). All the above solutions for the WRP used the following theorem (special case of the theorem for visibility routes):

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: m.zarabi@modares.ac.ir (Mohammad Reza Zarrabi), charkari@modares.ac.ir (Nasrollah Moghaddam Charkari)




Figure 1: A conic route (blue) and nonredundant cuts (red)

Theorem 1.1. *A curved path inside P is a visibility path, iff it intersects all nonredundant cuts of P .*

Although, this theorem is essential for the WRP, only its necessary condition is discussed in some of the above works (the theorem was first mentioned in [2] without proof, other works mentioned and used this theorem, but again without proving it), i.e., any visibility path must intersect all nonredundant cuts, since otherwise, some corners of P will not be seen by the path. On the other hand, this theorem cannot directly be obtained from the definition of nonredundant cuts in [3] (there are some vertices that might not be seen by the points on the path intersecting nonredundant cuts). Thus, in this note, we prove that it is indeed also a sufficient condition. Theorem 1.1 would be helpful for variants of the WRP when the route is restricted to curved paths. For example, a piece-wise route consisting of *conic* sections (Figure 1). In the standard WRP, we are looking for the shortest *polygonal* visibility route.

2. Preliminaries

Let P be a simple polygon and let ∂P denote the boundary of P . Without loss of generality, assume that the vertices of P are sorted in *clockwise* order and the whole P is not visible from any interior point, i.e., P is not a *star-shaped* polygon. A vertex of P is *reflex* or *convex* depending on whether its internal angle is strictly larger than π or not, respectively.

Let v be a reflex vertex, u a vertex adjacent to v , and w the closest point to v on ∂P hit by the half line originating at v along \overline{uw} . Then, we call the line segment $C = \overline{vw}$ a *cut* of P generated by \overline{uw} . Each reflex vertex gives rise to two cuts. Since C partitions the polygon into two portions, we define $Pocket(C)$ to be the portion of P that includes u (\overline{uw} is the *generator* of $Pocket(C = \overline{vw})$). We also associate a direction to each cut C such that $Pocket(C)$ entirely lies to the right of C (right hand rule). This direction is compatible with the clockwise ordering of vertices of P .

If C and C' are two cuts such that $Pocket(C) \subset Pocket(C')$, then C' is called *redundant*, otherwise it is *nonredundant* [3]. The nonredundant cuts are termed the *essential* cuts in [10], where a well-known linear time algorithm for computing essential cuts was presented. We will be working mainly with the nonredundant cuts of P (see Figure 1).

A *visibility path* for a given P is a connected path (possibly curved) contained in P with the property that every point inside P is visible from at least one point on the path (remember that as a continuous image of $[0,1]$, a curved path is connected).

We define $\pi_E(x, y)$ to be the shortest Euclidean path from a point x to a point y inside P . Similarly, $\pi_E(x, \Pi)$ is defined as a shortest Euclidean path from a point x to a curved path Π inside P . Note that $\pi_E(x, \Pi)$ might not be unique, but if x is not visible from Π , the first segment of $\pi_E(x, \Pi)$ would be unique.

3. Main result

In this section, we prove the sufficient condition of Theorem 1.1. Let Π be a curved path inside P such that it intersects all nonredundant cuts of P . We will show that Π is a visibility path:

Proof.

Lemma 3.1. *If a curved path Π inside P sees all of ∂P , then it sees all of P .*

Proof. Let x be an interior point of P not seen by Π . We show that there must be some boundary point that is also not seen by Π . Consider the first segment L of $\pi_E(x, \Pi)$. It connects x with a reflex vertex v on ∂P . We extend L away from v to x until it hits ∂P at x' . The point x' is clearly not seen by Π since if it were, then x would also be seen by Π . \square

Lemma 3.2. *If a curved path Π inside P intersects all nonredundant cuts of P , then for a nonredundant cut C all vertices of $Pocket(C)$ must be visible from Π .*

Proof. Let v_C and w_C be the endpoints of C , and $\overline{u_C v_C}$ be the generator of $Pocket(C)$. We show that C is (at least partially) visible from every vertex x of $Pocket(C)$. Consider the paths $\pi_E(x, v_C)$ and $\pi_E(x, w_C)$. These paths create a *funnel* F_x with *apex* a_x (see Figure 2) [7]. If x is not visible from any point of C , then $a_x \neq x$. In this case, a_x is a reflex vertex of $Pocket(C)$ and the proof is continued as follows. Let b_x be the vertex adjacent to a_x such that a_x is the common apex between F_x and F_{b_x} (if such b_x does not exist, we define $b_x = x$). Consider the cut C' generated by $\overline{b_x a_x}$. Since each of the funnel paths is *inward* convex, $Pocket(C') \subset Pocket(C)$. Thus, C would be redundant, which is a contradiction. A similar argument proves that u_C and w_C are convex in $Pocket(C)$, and all vertices of $Pocket(C)$ lie on the same side of $\overline{u_C w_C}$.




Figure 2: $Pocket(C)$ and its funnel for a vertex x , and path Π (blue) intersecting all nonredundant cuts

Consider the funnel F_x with apex $a_x = x$. Let $L_x \in F_x$ be the first vertex of $\pi_E(x, v_C)$ and $R_x \in F_x$ the first vertex of $\pi_E(x, w_C)$. The extensions of $\overline{xL_x}$ and $\overline{xR_x}$ intersect C at points I_{L_x} and I_{R_x} , respectively (if I_{L_x} coincides with I_{R_x} , then x , L_x and R_x are collinear, and $a_x \neq x$). Suppose that L'_x is the vertex adjacent to L_x such that L_x is the first vertex of $\pi_E(L'_x, v_C)$ (L'_x can coincide with x). Let C_{L_x} be the cut generated by $\overline{L'_xL_x}$. Similarly, C_{R_x} is defined (see Figure 2).

It is easy to see that C_{L_x} (C_{R_x}) always lies to the right (left) of $\overline{L_xI_{L_x}}$ ($\overline{R_xI_{R_x}}$) or it coincides with $\overline{L_xI_{L_x}}$ ($\overline{R_xI_{R_x}}$), i.e., C_{L_x} and C_{R_x} intersect $\overline{I_{L_x}w_C}$ and $\overline{I_{R_x}v_C}$, respectively (note that in Figure 2, the generators of C_{L_x} and C_{R_x} lie to the left and right sides of x , respectively). With this property the following cases can occur:

- (a) Π intersects $\overline{I_{L_x}I_{R_x}}$ on C . Clearly, x is visible from Π .
- (b) Π intersects $\overline{v_CI_{L_x}}$ on C . We will show that Π must have an intersection with C_{L_x} and consequently with the extension of $\overline{xL_x}$. Thus, x would be visible from Π .
- (c) Π intersects $\overline{I_{R_x}w_C}$ on C . We will show that Π must have an intersection with C_{R_x} and consequently with the extension of $\overline{xR_x}$. Thus, x would be visible from Π .

In case (b), if C_{L_x} is nonredundant, then Π must intersect it. Otherwise, $Pocket(C_{L_x})$ contains at least one $Pocket(C')$ for a nonredundant cut C' and Π must go towards C' . Therefore, Π intersects C_{L_x} (note that P is a simple polygon). The same result can be proved for the cut C_{R_x} in case (c).

For the *degenerate* cases, i.e., if x is visible from v_C or w_C , we define $I_{L_x} = L_x = v_C$ or $I_{R_x} = R_x = w_C$, respectively, only cases (a), (a) or (b), or (a) or (c) can occur. In these cases, we have at most one cut and a similar argument shows that x is visible from Π (see Figure 3 for three vertices x , x' and x''). \square




Figure 3: The degenerate cases for a nonredundant cut C

Lemma 3.3. *All vertices of Q must be visible from Π , where Q is the subpolygon of P after cutting along all nonredundant cuts of P .*

Proof. Since for every nonredundant cut C of P all vertices of $Pocket(C)$ lie on the same side of C (proof of Lemma 3.2), such cutting is always possible (If this cutting leads to an empty set, the proof is completed). Clearly, Q is a simple polygon. If all vertices of Q are convex (Q is convex), they are visible from Π . Thus, we suppose that Q has at least one reflex vertex v_i . Consider the two cuts l_{i1} and l_{i2} generated by $\overline{t_{i1}v_i}$ and $\overline{t_{i2}v_i}$, respectively (t_{i1} and t_{i2} are the adjacent vertices of v_i). Since Q is a simple polygon and Π is connected, it is sufficient to show that Π intersects l_{ij} ($j = 1$ or 2), or t_{i1} and t_{i2} are visible from Π for all indices i of reflex vertices of Q .

Let S_P be the set of $Pocket(C)$ for every nonredundant cut C of P . Also, $S_P(l_{ij})$ is defined as the set of $P_k \in S_P$ such that $P_k \subset Pocket(l_{ij})$ ($k = 1, \dots, |S_P|$ and $j = 1, 2$). For example, in Figure 4, $S_P = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6\}$, while $S_P(l_{q1}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, $S_P(l_{q2}) = \{P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6\}$ and $S_P(l_{r1}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_6\}$, $S_P(l_{r2}) = \{P_5\}$ for the reflex vertices v_q and v_r , respectively. Obviously, for every cut C' of Q , $Pocket(C')$ contains at least one member of S_P , i.e., all cuts of Q are redundant in P .

If $S_P(l_{ij}) = S_P$ ($j = 1$ or 2), then we show that t_{ij} must be visible from Π . Suppose that t_{ij} is not visible from Π . Let z_j be the first vertex of $\pi_E(t_{ij}, \Pi)$. Clearly, z_j would be a reflex vertex of Q (if $z_j \in (P - Q)$, then Π must be seen by t_{ij}). We extend $\overline{t_{ij}z_j}$ away from t_{ij} to z_j until it hits ∂P at z'_j . The line segment $\overline{z_jz'_j}$ divides P into two subpolygons, only one of which contains t_{ij} . We define T as the subpolygon containing t_{ij} . Let y_j be the adjacent vertex of z_j in T ($y_j \in Q$ can coincide with t_{ij}). It is easy to see that there is no $P_k \in S_P$ such that $P_k \subset T$ (note that $S_P - S_P(l_{ij}) = \emptyset$), since otherwise, Π intersects $\overline{z_jz'_j}$. Let C' be the cut of Q generated by $\overline{y_jz_j}$. Clearly, $Pocket(C') \subseteq T$. Thus, C' would be nonredundant in P , contradicting that all cuts of Q are redundant in P .

Otherwise, $S_P(l_{ij}) \subset S_P$ ($j = 1$ or 2). Since l_{i1} and l_{i2} are redundant cuts in P , there are $P_m \in S_P$ and $P_n \in S_P$ such that $P_m \in S_P(l_{i1})$ and $P_n \in S_P(l_{i2})$ (P_m and P_n might coincide or not).

References

- [1] S. Carlsson, H. Jonsson, B. J. Nilsson, Finding the shortest watchman route in a simple polygon, *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, **22**, (1999), 377-402.
- [2] W. Chin, S. C. Ntafos, Shortest watchman routes in simple polygons, *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, **6**, (1991), 9-31.
- [3] G. Das, P. J. Heffernan, G. Narasimhan, LR-visibility in polygons, *Computational Geometry Theory and Applications*, **7**(1-2), (1997), 37-57.
- [4] M. Dror, A. Efrat, A. Lubiw, J.S.B. Mitchell, Touring a sequence of polygons, *In 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, (2003), 473-482.
- [5] M. Hammar, B. J. Nilsson, Concerning the time bounds of existing shortest watchman route algorithms, *In International Symposium on Fundamentals of Computation Theory*, (1997), 210-221.
- [6] J. Garca-Lpez, P. A. Ramos, A unified approach to conic visibility, *Algorithmica*, **28**(3), (2000), 307-322.
- [7] D. T. Lee, F. P. Preparata, Euclidean shortest paths in the presence of rectilinear barriers, *Networks*, **14**(3), (1984), 393-410.
- [8] B. J. Nilsson, Approximating a shortest watchman route, *Fundamenta Informaticae*, **45**(3), (2001), 253-281.
- [9] X. Tan. Approximation algorithms for the watchman route and zookeepers problems, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **136**(2-3), (2004), 363-376.
- [10] X. Tan, A linear-time 2-approximation algorithm for the watchman route problem for simple polygons, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **384**(1), (2007), 92-103.
- [11] X. Tan, T. Hirata, Constructing shortest watchman routes by divide and conquer, *International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation*, (1993), 68-77.
- [12] X. Tan, T. Hirata, Y. Inagaki, An incremental algorithm for constructing shortest watchman routes, *International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications*, **3**(04), (1993), 351-365.
- [13] X. Tan, T. Hirata, Y. Inagaki, Corrigendum to "an incremental algorithm for constructing shortest watchman routes", *International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications*, **9**(03), (1999), 319-323.