

Formation of massive stars under protostellar radiation feedback: Very metal-poor stars

Hajime Fukushima^{1,2,4*}, Takashi Hosokawa², Gen Chiaki³, Kazuyuki Omukai⁴, Naoki Yoshida^{5,6} and Rolf Kuiper⁷

¹Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Ten-nodai, 1-1-1 Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

²Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

³Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

⁴Astronomical Institute, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

⁵Department of Physics, School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

⁶Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), UT Institute for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

⁷Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We study the formation of very metal-poor stars under protostellar radiative feedback effect. We use cosmological simulations to identify low-mass dark matter halos and star-forming gas clouds within them. We then follow protostar formation and the subsequent long-term mass accretion phase of over one million years using two-dimensional radiation-hydrodynamics simulations. We show that the critical physical process that sets the final mass is formation and expansion of a bipolar HII region. The process is similar to the formation of massive primordial stars, but radiation pressure exerted on dust grains also contributes to halting the accretion flow in the low-metallicity case. We find that the net feedback effect in the case with metallicity $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ is *stronger* than in the case with $Z \sim 1 Z_{\odot}$. With decreasing metallicity, the radiation pressure effect becomes weaker, but photoionization heating of the circumstellar gas is more efficient owing to the reduced dust attenuation. In the case with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, the central star grows as massive as 200 solar-masses, similarly to the case of primordial star formation. We conclude that metal-poor stars with a few hundred solar masses can be formed by gas accretion despite the strong radiative feedback.

Key words: stars: Population II - stars: massive - stars: formation - cosmology: theory - accretion, accretion discs

1 INTRODUCTION

Massive stars cause a variety of feedback effects to their surroundings. Radiation and mechanical energy input from them affect the structure and evolution of the interstellar medium, and regulate the galactic-scale star formation. Heavy elements synthesized in stellar interior are ejected by stellar wind and supernova explosions, to drive the galactic and cosmic chemical evolution. It is expected that massive stars play an important role in galaxy formation in the early universe through the feedback processes.

Formation of massive primordial stars has been studied theoretically by a number of authors. The gravitational collapse of a chemically pristine gas cloud induced by H₂ and HD molecular cooling (e.g., Abel et al. 2002; Bromm et al. 2002; Yoshida et al. 2003, 2006) results in the formation of a protostar at the center when the gas density exceeds $\sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (e.g., Omukai &

Nishi 1998; Yoshida et al. 2008). Although the newly-born protostar is initially very small, it rapidly grows in mass by accreting the gas from the surrounding envelope at a rate approximated as $\dot{M}_* \sim c_s^3/G \propto T^{3/2}$, where c_s and T are the sound speed and temperature in the star-forming cloud. The typical temperature in the primordial case, $T \approx 300 \text{ K}$, yields a large accretion rate of $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$; a $10 M_{\odot}$ star can be formed in just about ten thousand years.

The final mass of the forming star is set when the accretion is terminated. Radiation from the protostar heats up the surrounding gas, exerts radiation force onto it, and eventually halt the accretion flow. Photoionization is the primary feedback effect in primordial star formation. Although photoionization heating was considered to be ineffective under the assumption of spherical symmetry (e.g., Larson & Starrfield 1971; Omukai & Inutsuka 2002), recent studies suggest that it is strong enough to terminate gas accretion in a realistic case with disk accretion (McKee & Tan 2008; Hosokawa et al. 2011),

When a protostar is surrounded by a circumstellar disk, HII

* E-mail: fukushima@ccs.tsukuba.ac.jp

regions expand in the polar directions of the disk, where the density is relatively low, and then expand into the outer envelope. Consequently, the disk begins to be directly exposed to the stellar ionizing radiation, and is eventually destroyed by photoevaporation. The final stellar mass is typically large, and often exceeds a few tens solar-masses in many cases (e.g., Hosokawa et al. 2016; Stacy et al. 2016). Statistical studies using a suite of cosmological simulations show the stellar mass distribution extends broadly from 10 to 1000 $M_{\odot}$ (Hirano et al. 2014, 2015; Susa et al. 2014).

Very massive stars with $\sim 100 M_{\odot}$ are also found forming in the present-day universe, from gas that contains heavy elements and dust grains (e.g., Zinnecker & Yorke 2007). Observations suggest that the very massive stars form via rapid mass accretion at a rate of $10^{-4} - 10^{-2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (e.g., Fuller et al. 2005; Wyrowski et al. 2016). Such a rate is much higher than that expected from the typical gas temperature $T \sim 10$ K, and, by coincidence, is comparable to the theoretically expected rate in primordial star formation.

In a metal-enriched gas, radiation pressure on dust grains is thought to be of primary importance. Theoretical studies suggest that radiation pressure is so strong that the mass accretion is halted before the stellar mass exceeds $\approx 30 M_{\odot}$ in the case of spherical symmetry (e.g., Larson & Starrfield 1971; Kahn 1974; Yorke & Kruegel 1977; Wolfire & Cassinelli 1987). Interestingly, more recent studies that consider multi-dimensional effects show overall weakening of the feedback effect. Two and three-dimensional radiation-hydrodynamics (RHD) simulations show consistently that the stellar mass growth via disk accretion continues well beyond $30 M_{\odot}$ (e.g., Yorke & Sonnhalter 2002; Yorke & Bodenheimer 1999; Krumholz et al. 2009; Kuiper et al. 2010b, 2012; Klassen et al. 2016; Rosen et al. 2016; Harries et al. 2017; Mignon-Risse et al. 2020). However, the photoionization effect has not been incorporated into these studies except for Sartorio et al. (2019). The effect has been studied only as a minor or a secondary feedback process (e.g., Keto 2003; Peters et al. 2010, 2011). Coupled, the nonlinear effects of radiation pressure and photoionization are investigated by Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018) using 2D RHD simulations. They find that the photoionization works against the radiation-pressure feedback, and the net effect is to promote more efficient stellar mass growth than only with the radiation-pressure effect.

There are a number of differences in detailed mechanisms and in the strength of radiative feedback on massive star formation between the primordial ($Z = 0$) and the present-day ($Z \sim Z_{\odot}$) environments. An important question then arises: is massive star formation in *metal-poor environments* similar to primordial/present-day star formation? Low-metallicity star formation has been considered in the literature, but mostly with interest in formation of low-mass ($\lesssim 1 M_{\odot}$) stars as a result of fragmentation (e.g., Bromm et al. 2001; Schneider et al. 2003; Omukai et al. 2005; Chiaki et al. 2016). *High-mass* stars may also form simultaneously in a low-metallicity gas cloud, and may play a vital role in the first galaxies. In order to study formation of massive metal-poor stars, it is important to follow the long-term evolution of the protostellar accretion. Radiative feedback from growing protostars is likely dominant to determine their final masses. Hosokawa & Omukai (2009b) and Fukushima et al. (2018) evaluate the strength of feedback effects assuming spherical symmetry for $0 \leq Z \leq 1 Z_{\odot}$. They show that photoionization is effective in regulating the accretion flow in the range $Z < Z_{\text{cr}} \approx 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ but radiation pressure becomes more important at $Z > Z_{\text{cr}}$. Tanaka et al. (2018) use a semi-analytic method to conclude that the photoionization effect becomes less important with increasing Z owing to decreasing dust attenuation of ionizing photons. Because of the complex interplay between photoionization and radiation pressure

as mentioned in the above, it should be ideal or even necessary to perform multi-dimensional radiation hydrodynamics simulations to draw a definite conclusion.

In the present paper, we perform a suite of 2D RHD simulations to study the formation of massive, very metal-poor stars under radiative feedback. We first follow early run-away collapse of a metal-enriched cloud in a cosmological minihalo (see also Chiaki et al. 2016), and then follow the subsequent long-term ($\sim \text{Myr}$) accretion phase under radiative feedback. Our simulations include both the radiation-pressure and photoionization effects as in Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018), as well as relevant thermal and chemical processes in the very metal-poor gas. We show formation of very massive stars, and identify the dominant feedback mechanism that sets the final mass.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. In Section 2, we first describe the numerical method and initial conditions of our simulations. The main results are presented in Section 3. Finally, we discuss the implication of our results and summarize our calculations in Section 4. We describe some details of our numerical method in Appendix A.

2 NUMERICAL METHOD

We first give an overview of our calculations as follows. We follow in 3D the collapse of metal-enriched clouds found in cosmological simulations performed by Hirano et al. (2014), using a modified version of GADGET-2 (Springel 2005). We use a detailed chemical network including reactions with gas-phase heavy elements and dust grains (Chiaki et al. 2016). Next, we remap the 3D data of the final snapshot of the cloud collapse simulation onto 2D data, to perform 2D axisymmetric RHD simulations that follow the evolution in the protostellar accretion phase. We use a modified version of PLUTO 4.1 (Mignone et al. 2007) and the simplified chemical network. In the following subsections, we describe our numerical methods used for the early collapse phase (Sec. 2.1) and later accretion phase (Sec. 2.2), separately.

2.1 Numerical method: cloud collapse

We generate the initial conditions for our simulations using an output of the cosmological simulation performed by Hirano et al. (2014), who investigated statistical properties of a large number (> 100) of primordial star-forming clouds in a simulation box of $1 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ on a side. The cosmological simulations were run with N -body/SPH solver GADGET-2 coupled with non-equilibrium chemistry network to follow the cloud formation in minihalos (e.g., Yoshida et al. 2006). We select a cloud that collapses at redshift ≈ 20.46 over the short timescale $t_{\text{col}} \approx 2 t_{\text{col},0}$, where $t_{\text{col},0} = 1/\sqrt{24\pi G\rho}$. The cloud has one of the shortest collapse timescale among the 100 samples in simulations of Hirano et al. (2014). While Hirano et al. (2014) has followed the cloud collapse to the birth of a protostar, we here use the earlier snapshot at the epoch when the central density is still $n_{\text{H}} = 187 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

We enrich the gas cloud with a fixed amount of metals homogeneously distributed over the entire cloud at this moment. We run and examine two cases with metallicity $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ or $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. Chiaki et al. (2018) show that such a situation is realized when a primordial cloud is engulfed internally by a supernova explosion. We also run a reference simulation where the subsequent evolution is followed with the primordial composition. Further cloud collapse is followed with the same method as in Chiaki et al. (2016), using

a modified version of GADGET-2 including additional physics that operates with heavy elements. We consider an extended chemistry network with 27 gas-phase species, H^+ , e , H , H^- , H_2 , D^+ , D , HD , C^+ , C , CH , CH_2 , CO^+ , CO , CO_2 , O^+ , O , OH^+ , OH , H_2O^+ , H_2O , H_3O^+ , O_2^+ , O_2 , Si , SiO , and SiO_2 . We also adopt the model of Pollack et al. (1994) for dust grains with the same size distribution of Omukai (2000). We do not consider the contribution of ice and organic dust grains for simplicity. These species are expected to remain only in the inner regions of clouds with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ or $10^{-2} Z_\odot$ because their sublimation temperatures are $\sim 300 - 700$ K (Pollack et al. 1994). In Pollack et al. (1994), 55% of carbon is locked up into refractory organics. Although the budget of carbonaceous grains is uncertain, we assume that the same fraction of carbon is locked in amorphous carbon grains. The optical constants of amorphous carbon and ice/organics are almost similar (Nozawa et al. 2008). Therefore, the thermal evolution of the cloud is almost independent on species of carbonaceous grains.

The cloud collapses gravitationally in a well-known, self-similar fashion (Larson 1969; Omukai & Nishi 1998). We do not observe fragmentation in any of our simulations before the central density reaches $10^{10} - 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Then the minimum local Jeans length becomes ≈ 30 au, which is comparable to the size of the sink cell we put afterward. At this point, we switch to 2D RHD simulations to follow the evolution in the accretion phase. We remap the 3D data of the final snapshot to 2D data with the polar coordinate by the following method (Hirano et al. 2014). We compute the angular momentum vector of the gas contained in the central 0.01 pc region around the density peak and fix the cloud rotation axis. We then estimate the physical values in a plane containing the angular momentum vector, and take the average for opposite points with respect to the mid-plane. We finally assign the obtained values at the cell centers. Since our choice of the mapped plane is arbitrary, in Appendix C, we examine how the physical quantities in the original 3D data deviate from the distribution of the single plane used in 2D simulations. We also investigate the effect of varying the radius to compute the angular momentum vector, which is set to be 0.01 pc as our fiducial choice.

2.2 Numerical method: protostellar accretion

We use a modified version of the publicly available (magneto-) hydrodynamics simulation code PLUTO 4.1. Our version incorporates self-gravity, radiative transfer, non-equilibrium chemistry and protostellar evolution, which have been successively implemented in a series of works including Kuiper et al. (2010b, 2012), Hosokawa et al. (2016), and Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018). These studies consider massive star formation with $Z = Z_\odot$ and $Z = 0$.

We adopt 2D polar coordinates assuming axial and mid-plane symmetry as in previous studies (e.g., Kuiper et al. 2010b). As the radial inner boundary, we adopt a sink cell which masks an accreting protostar evolving at the grid center. We assume that the protostar grows in mass according to the amount of the gas flowing into the sink. The radiation emitted by the protostar is injected back into the computational domain, which causes radiative feedback against the accretion flow. We solve the following governing equations of compressible hydrodynamics:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = -\rho \nabla \Phi - \nabla p + \rho \mathbf{a}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (e \mathbf{v}) = -p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \Gamma - \Lambda, \quad (3)$$

$$p = (\gamma - 1) e, \quad (4)$$

where ρ , p , $\mathbf{v}$, Φ , e , Γ and Λ are the density, pressure, velocity, gravitational potential, the specific energy density, the heating and cooling functions. In Equation (2), $\mathbf{a}$ is the acceleration source term induced by viscosity ($\mathbf{a}_{\text{vis}}$) and by radiation pressure ($\mathbf{a}_{\text{rad}}$).

2.2.1 Non-equilibrium chemistry and thermal processes

In addition to Equations (1)-(4), we solve the non-equilibrium chemistry network with 9 species of H , H_2 , H^- , H^+ , e , C^+ , O , O^+ and O^{2+} to compute the relevant heating and cooling rates in Equation (3) (e.g., Hosokawa et al. 2016; Nakatani et al. 2018a,b; Nakatani & Yoshida 2019). We use the different chemical network from that of the 3D simulations used for the collapse phase. In the 2D simulations, we assume that the carbon and oxygen are in the forms of C^+ , O , O^+ and O^{2+} , and we ignore other species considered in the previous simulations. We also calculate the specific heat γ as a function of temperature and chemical abundances following Omukai & Nishi (1998). Complete lists of the adopted chemistry network and relevant thermal processes are described in Appendix A. We here provide a brief summary.

In a low-metallicity gas cloud, thermal processes by heavy elements significantly alter the structure of an accreting envelope around a protostar. In our 2D RHD simulations, it is infeasible to solve the full set of the chemical network such as that used for the collapse phase and to incorporate all the relevant thermal processes because of high computational cost. We use a minimal model that reproduces the temperature evolution at the center of a collapsing cloud with various metallicities (e.g., Omukai et al. 2005), and thus the difference in these chemical models does not significantly change our results. Specifically, we incorporate the radiative cooling via $[\text{OI}]$ 63 μm and $[\text{CII}]$ 158 μm fine-structure line emission and dust thermal emission. We assume that the dust-to-gas mass ratio is 0.01 at $Z = Z_\odot$ and it decreases in proportion to the metallicity for $Z < Z_\odot$ for simplicity, though some theoretical studies suggest that the dust-to-gas mass ratio does not linearly scale with metallicity due to slow dust growth (Asano et al. 2013; Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014). We also consider the cooling via OII and OIII line emission, which predominantly operate in HII regions at $Z \sim Z_\odot$ (e.g., Osterbrock 1989). However, these metal line cooling becomes ineffective at lower metallicity due to their lower abundances, and free-free emission and hydrogen recombination dominate the cooling below a critical metallicity at $Z \sim 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (Draine 2011). Owing to these coolings, temperature of the photoionized gas varies with different metallicities: $T \approx 8000$ K for $Z = Z_\odot$ and $T \approx 3 \times 10^4$ K for $Z \lesssim 10^{-2} Z_\odot$. To obtain the relative abundances among OI , OII , and OIII , we first assume that the relative abundance of OI is the same as that of HI because the ionization energies of OI and HI are almost equal, and the relative OII and OIII abundances are then calculated from the balance between the ionization of OII and recombination of OIII (also see Appendix A2.2).

2.2.2 Angular momentum transport via α -viscosity

The accreting gas with finite angular momentum does not directly hit the stellar surface, but first lands on a circumstellar disk. The central star mostly accretes the gas through the disk, where the angular momentum transport is driven by gravitational torque. Although the torque is caused by non-axisymmetric mass distribution

induced by the gravitational instability, such an effect does not enter automatically in our 2D simulations assuming axial symmetry. We use the so-called α -viscosity model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) to mimic this effect, adding relevant acceleration and heating source terms in Equations (2) and (3) respectively (e.g., Kuiper et al. 2011). We assume the spatial distribution of the α -parameter as

$$\alpha(R, Z) = \alpha_0 \exp\left(-\frac{Z}{H(R)}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $(R, Z) = (r \sin \theta, r \cos \theta)$ and $H(R)$ is the scale height of the accretion disk at R . We calculate the scale height with an approximate formula $H(R) \simeq c_s/\Omega$, where c_s is the sound speed and Ω is the rotational angular velocity on the equator. In Equation (5), we estimate α_0 as

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_{\max} \exp(-Q^4), \quad (6)$$

where Q is the Toomre Q parameter (Toomre 1964)

$$Q = \frac{c_s \Omega}{\pi G \Sigma}, \quad (7)$$

and we set $\alpha_{\max} = 2$ in our models (Takahashi et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2010; Hirano et al. 2014). With the above modeling, large α -viscosity operates only in the gravitationally unstable disk where $Q \lesssim 1$.

A limitation of our method is inability to accurately capture the disk fragmentation, which has been often observed in 3D simulations of the primordial star formation (e.g., Machida et al. 2008; Stacy et al. 2010; Clark et al. 2011; Hosokawa et al. 2016; Susa 2019) and present-day star formation (e.g., Meyer et al. 2017, 2018) though the fragmentation can be suppressed by rapid gas accretion (Latif et al. 2020). Fortunately, simulations also show that, even for such cases, most of fragments rapidly migrate inward through the disk and accrete on the central star (e.g., Greif et al. 2012; Chon & Hosokawa 2019). Thus our simulations are considered as limiting cases where the fragments, if any, eventually all accrete onto the central star. We have also found the “ring-like” fragmentation even in our 2D simulations for $Z = 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$. It may be a signature of the physical disk fragmentation promoted by additional cooling via dust thermal emission (e.g., Tanaka & Omukai 2014), but is more likely a spurious one because the Jeans length is only poorly resolved for such cases. In order to prevent this, we monitor Toomre Q parameter to set a temperature floor to satisfy $Q \geq Q_{\min} = 0.6$. The floor values are represented by the corresponding minimum sound speed,

$$c_{s,\min}(R, Z) = \frac{Q_{\min} \pi G \Sigma}{\Omega} \exp\left(-\frac{Z}{H(R)}\right), \quad (8)$$

where we assume the same spatial variation as in Equation (5). We compute the surface density Σ at a given radius by vertically integrating the mass distribution over the range of $75^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$. We note that such a temperature floor has no effect in the primordial cases with $Z = 0$.

2.2.3 Protostellar evolution

A protostar emits a copious amount of radiation once grown massive. The strength of the resulting radiative feedback against the accretion flow thus depends on the protostellar evolution. We utilize tabulated stellar evolution tracks, which are pre-calculated with constant accretion rates of $10^{-5} - 10^{-1} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ at various metallicities, using the numerical model developed in our previous studies (Hosokawa & Omukai 2009a,b). The tracks provide the

stellar radius R_* and luminosity L_* as functions of the stellar mass and accretion rate.

The protostellar evolution modeling relying on the pre-calculated tables could show spurious behavior because it ignores accretion histories. In order to alleviate it, we here use the accretion rate averaged over the characteristic evolutionary timescale Δt_* . We always monitor the so-called Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) timescale $t_{\text{KH}} = (GM_*^2)/(R_* L_*)$ and accretion timescale $t_{\text{acc}} = M_*/\dot{M}_*$, and assume

$$\Delta t_* = 0.1 \times \min(t_{\text{KH}}, t_{\text{acc}}). \quad (9)$$

At lower metallicity, the radii of main sequence stars are smaller because the inefficiency of the CNO cycle requires the stars to contract more. On the other hand, the total luminosity of the protostar with $M_* > 100 M_\odot$ is close to the Eddington value, which only has a weak metallicity-dependence. Thus the effective temperature of a low-metallicity star is higher, obeying $T_{\text{eff}} \propto R_*^{-1/2}$, and its emission spectrum is harder than the solar-metallicity counterpart.

2.2.4 Radiative transfer

In order to consider both the photoionization and radiation-pressure effects from the accreting protostar, we solve the frequency-dependent radiative transfer for stellar irradiation by the following method. We inject photons from the sink cell to the computational domain at the rate

$$L_*^{\text{tot}} = L_* + L_{\text{acc}}, \quad (10)$$

where L_* is the stellar luminosity and $L_{\text{acc}} \equiv GM_* \dot{M}_*/R_*$ is the accretion luminosity. The radiation spectrum is assumed to be thermal black-body $L_{*,\nu}^{\text{tot}} \propto B_\nu(T_{\text{eff}})$, where T_{eff} is the effective temperature defined as

$$T_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{L_*^{\text{tot}}}{4\pi\sigma R_*^2} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (11)$$

Assumption of the thermal black-body radiation could overestimate the emissivity of ionizing photons at $Z \sim Z_\odot$ owing to the line-blanketing effect, especially for stars with $M_* \sim 10 M_\odot$ (e.g., Diaz-Miller et al. 1998). This approximation should be valid in our cases since we mainly consider the feedback from more massive stars exceeding $100 M_\odot$. As discussed in Section 2.2.3, the effective temperature is higher for lower metallicity at a given mass: at $M_* = 100 M_\odot$, for instance, $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 10^5$ K for $Z = 0$, $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 7 \times 10^4$ K for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$, and $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 5 \times 10^4$ K for $Z = Z_\odot$. We use a hybrid method where the direct light emitted from the central star and diffuse light re-emitted from the accretion envelope are separately solved (e.g., Kuiper et al. 2010a,b). We solve the transfer of the stellar direct component by means of the ray-tracing method,

$$F_\nu(r) = \frac{L_{*,\nu}^{\text{tot}}}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\tau_\nu}, \quad (12)$$

where $F_\nu(r)$ is the flux at the radial position r and τ_ν is the optical depth

$$\tau_\nu = \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^r [n_{\text{HI}} \sigma_{\text{HI}}(\nu) + \rho \kappa_d(\nu)] dr, \quad (13)$$

where $\sigma_{\text{HI}}(\nu)$ is the H I photoionization cross section (e.g., Osterbrock 1989), $\kappa_d(\nu)$ the dust opacity given by Laor & Draine (1993), and r_{in} the sink radius. We assume that the dust-to-gas mass ratio decreases with decreasing metallicities, linearly scaling with Z . The dust opacity is determined by the scaled dust-to-gas mass ratio. We also assume that photons freely travel without attenuation

Table 1. Models considered

model	$N_R \cdot N_\theta$	r_{sink} [au]	r_{out} [pc]	Z_* [$Z_\odot$]	M [$M_\odot$]	Feedback
Prm	150 · 40	30	1	0	560	RP ^a , PI ^b
Prm_NO	150 · 40	30	1	0	> 820	NO ^c
-3Sol	150 · 40	30	1	10^{-3}	440	RP, PI
-3Sol_NO	150 · 40	30	1	10^{-3}	> 900	NO
-2Sol	150 · 40	30	3	10^{-2}	190	RP, PI
-2Sol_NO	150 · 40	30	3	10^{-2}	> 670	NO
-2Sol_RP	150 · 40	30	3	10^{-2}	> 500	RP
-2Sol_PI	150 · 40	30	3	10^{-2}	240	PI
-2Sol_PI2	150 · 40	30	3	10^{-2}	180	PI, w/o dust ^d
-1Sol_from-2Sol	150 · 40	30	3	$10^{-2} \rightarrow 0.1$ ^e	290	RP, PI
Sol_from-2Sol	150 · 40	30	3	$10^{-2} \rightarrow 1$ ^f	360	RP, PI
Prm_from-3Sol	150 · 40	30	1	$10^{-3} \rightarrow 0$ ^g	400	RP, PI
Prm_highres	240 · 60	30	1	0	520	RP, PI
Prm_rsink10	150 · 40	10	1	0		RP, PI

Notes -. Column 2: cell numbers, Column 3: sink radii, Column 4: position of the radial outer boundary, Column 5: metallicity, Column 6: final stellar masses, Column 7: feedback mechanisms included

^aSuffix "RP" represents radiation-pressure feedback

^bSuffix "PI" represents photoionization feedback

^cSuffix "NO" represents no radiation feedback included in simulations

^dSuffix "w/o dust" represents the dust attenuation of ionizing photons is not included

^{e-g} The metallicity is changed as indicated at the beginning of the accretion stage

for $R_* < r < r_{\text{in}}$. We set 200 logarithmically spaced wavelength bins between 0.03 cm and 1.5 nm, achieving the higher resolution for the shorter wavelengths. The hydrogen photoionization rate is calculated by integrating the contributions by photons below the Lyman limit, i.e., 91.2 nm (Appendix A2.3). We do not include the diffuse ionizing photons, as their contribution to the disk photoevaporation is negligible compared with the stellar direct component in the massive star formation (e.g., Hosokawa et al. 2011; Tanaka et al. 2013). As in Hosokawa et al. (2016), we do not incorporate helium ionization for simplicity. We assume that helium is in the atomic state everywhere including H II regions. We consider the transfer of He ionizing photons above 54.4 eV emitted from the protostar, but they are consumed to photoionize hydrogen in our simulations. Regarding the photodissociation of hydrogen molecules, we do not use $F_\nu(r)$ because our wavelength grids are too sparse to resolve the Lyman-Werner bands. We instead consider another monochromatic direct component representing FUV ($11.2 \text{ eV} \leq h\nu \leq 13.6 \text{ eV}$) photons,

$$F_{\text{FUV}}(r) = \frac{L_{\text{FUV}}}{4\pi r^2} \exp(-\tau_{\text{d,FUV}}), \quad (14)$$

where L_{FUV} is the luminosity in the FUV wavelength range, and $\tau_{\text{d,FUV}}$ is the dust opacity represented by the value at $\lambda = 100 \text{ nm}$. The photodissociation rate of hydrogen molecules is estimated with the flux F_{FUV} , including the H₂ self-shielding. The details of the calculation are shown in Appendix A2.3

The dust thermal radiation emitted from the accretion envelope is only the diffuse component considered here. We approximately solve the transfer of such diffuse light using the gray flux-limited diffusion (FLD) method (Levermore & Pomraning 1981), with the solver developed by Kuiper et al. (2010a). This computation is performed except for the primordial cases where there are no dust grains. The obtained radiation fields, including both the direct and diffuse components, are used to calculate the acceleration source term $\mathbf{a}_{\text{rad}}$ in Equation (2) and dust temperature T_{gr} (see Appendix A2.4).

2.3 Cases considered

Table 1 summarizes the models we consider in the present paper. The fiducial models are Prm, -3Sol, and -2Sol, where the protostellar radiative feedback is fully incorporated for the cases with $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$. For comparison, we also run simulations without the feedback at each metallicity. We denote these runs as Prm_NO, -3Sol_NO, and -2Sol_NO. To see the impact of the photoionization and radiation-pressure feedback separately, we also study cases with excluding either of the effects with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (-2Sol_PI and -2Sol_RP). To examine the role of the dust attenuation of ionizing photons, we perform an additional simulation -2Sol_PI2. The cloud properties such as the rotational velocity at the beginning of 2D calculation is an outcome, as a result of its evolution during the early collapse phase, and thus they differ in runs with different values of metallicity. To isolate the metallicity effect on radiative feedback, we also perform additional experiments where we start 2D calculation from the same accretion envelope structure. In practice, we vary the metallicity after the collapse stage. For instance, Prm_from-3Sol is the case with $Z = 0$ in the 2D calculation but from the envelope structure resulting from the cloud collapse with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_\odot$. -1Sol_from-2Sol and Sol_from-2Sol are similar cases with $0.1 Z_\odot$ and $1 Z_\odot$, but from the $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ envelope structure. The accretion envelope for cases -1Sol_from-2Sol and Sol_from-2Sol have higher densities than that expected from the thermal evolution during the collapse stage with $Z = 0.1 Z_\odot$ and $1 Z_\odot$. The present-day high-mass star formation supposes a similar situation, where the accretion envelope is much denser than that expected purely by the typical temperature of $\sim 10 \text{ K}$ (e.g., McKee & Tan 2003). The resulting accretion rates are much higher than that expected with $\sim 10 \text{ K}$, $\sim 10^{-5} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. -1Sol_from-2Sol and Sol_from-2Sol correspond to such cases with $0.1 Z_\odot$ and $Z_\odot$, respectively.

In our simulations, the grid cells are logarithmically spaced in the radial direction to improve the spatial resolution near the center, while they are homogeneously distributed in the polar directions.

The numbers of grid cells are 150 and 40 for the radial and polar directions for most of the cases. We set the radial outer boundary so that the computational domain contains the gas exceeding $10^3 M_\odot$. The radial inner boundary is located at $r_{\min} = 30$ au. Kuiper et al. (2010b) and Krumholz (2018) show that, to accurately follow the radiation-pressure effect against the accretion flow, it is necessary to spatially resolve the dust destruction front created around a luminous source. The position of the dust destruction front in our simulations is analytically derived as

$$R_d \simeq 110 \text{ au} \left(\frac{L_*^{\text{tot}}}{10^5 L_\odot} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

where L_*^{tot} is the stellar total luminosity, which exceeds $10^5 L_\odot$ for $M_* \gtrsim 30 M_\odot$ (Fukushima et al. 2018).

This means that the dust destruction front is located far outside of $r_{\min} = 30$ au, and is well resolved in our simulations. In Appendix B, we present the convergence tests with varying the spatial resolution and the radius of the inner boundary, showing that the results do not significantly change.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Early phase: cloud collapse

In this section, we describe the evolution in the early collapse stage in the 3D simulations, and variation among the cases with different metallicities $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_\odot$, and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$. Figure 1 shows the radial distributions of the mass-averaged number density and temperature in the accretion envelope at the end of the collapse. The temperature distribution at this epoch reflects the thermal evolution during the collapse (e.g., Omukai et al. 2005). For the case with $Z = 0$, where the gas cools only via the H_2 rovibrational line emission, the temperature gradually rises with increasing density from $T \simeq 400$ K at $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. For the cases with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$, the temperature decreases with the density because of additional metal cooling. At $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$, for instance, the temperature drops to $T \sim 100$ K owing to OI and CII fine-structure line cooling for $n \sim 10^3 - 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The dust cooling becomes effective for $n_{\text{H}} \gtrsim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ or $r \lesssim 10^3$ au, where the temperature approaches the CMB value at $z \simeq 20$. The top and bottom panels of Figure 1 show that the density and thus the enclosed mass at a given radius are larger for $Z = 0$, but they only slightly differ among the cases with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$. The density distribution in the accretion envelope depends on the thermal evolution of the cloud. Since the gravity and thermal pressure gradient nearly balance each other in the core part during the collapse, a thermal state (ρ, T) is determined such that the distance from the center r becomes equal to the local Jeans length $r \sim \lambda_J \propto T^{1/2} \rho^{-1/2}$. The density thus distributes as $\rho \propto T r^{-2}$, which is higher with the higher temperature, i.e., the lower metallicity.

Figure 2 shows the mass inflow rate and average rotational velocity relative to the Keplerian value in the envelope at the end of the collapse. We see that the accretion rate is lower for higher metallicities in general. This can be understood with the well-known scaling law $\dot{M}_* \propto T^{3/2}$ (see also Sec. 1) since the temperature is lower at higher metallicity in the envelope (Figure 1). This does not, however, explain all the result: the temperature differing by a factor of $\simeq 5$ between the cases with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ and $Z = 0$ at $M(r) = \text{a few } \times 100 M_\odot$, the scaling law $\dot{M}_* \propto T^{3/2}$ predicts a roughly 10 times difference in the accretion rates, while the difference in the




Figure 1. Radial structure of the accretion envelope at the end of the collapse phase for three different metallicities $Z = 0$ (blue), $10^{-3} Z_\odot$ (red), and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (black). Top, middle, and bottom panels present the radial profiles of the number density n_{H} , temperature T , and the enclosed mass $M(r)$ as functions of the radial distance from the cloud center. The horizontal dashed line in the middle panel represents the CMB temperature at $z = 20.46$.

inflow rates in Figure 2 is much smaller. This is because the temperature decreases inward in the $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ case. Consequently, the outward pressure gradient force is weaker, and the density and inward radial velocity are higher than in the isothermal case assumed in deriving the scaling relation.

As seen in the bottom panel of Figure 2, rotational velocities are systematically lower for the cases with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-3} Z_\odot$. This is due to more efficient angular momentum transport during the collapse in those cases. Figure 3 shows the cloud morphology for $Z = 0$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$ cases. Whereas the cloud is almost spherical over ~ 0.1 pc for $Z = 0$, it becomes more filamentary during the collapse owing to more efficient cooling for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ and such non-axisymmetric structure causes the gravitational torque extracting angular momentum.

Note that for the case with $Z = 0$ the rotational velocity slightly surpasses the Keplerian value at $M(r) < 100 M_\odot$. The exact profile of v_ϕ/v_{Kep} actually depends on the rotation axis for the gas enclosed within a given radius, currently 0.01 pc, as this axis determines the




Figure 2. Properties of the accretion envelope at the end of the collapse phase for the cases shown in Fig. 1. The top, middle and bottom panels show the mass inflow rate calculated from the envelope density and velocity structure (also see text), mass-averaged rotational velocity normalized by the Keplerian value $v_K = \sqrt{GM(r)/r}$ and the radial distance from the cloud center as functions of the enclosed mass $M(r)$. The colors represent the same cases as in Fig. 1.

rotational velocity in 3D data that to be remapped to the 2D data (see Sec. 2.1). We have confirmed that if we take the larger radius 0.1 pc to fix the rotational axis the v_ϕ profile is slightly modified and super-Keplerian velocities disappear. In any case, however, v_ϕ is nearly Keplerian at maximum and results are hardly affected by this uncertainty. In Appendix C, we further describe the difference when a larger radius 0.1 pc is used for fixing the rotational axis.




Figure 3. The cloud morphology at the end of the collapse for the cases with $Z = 0$ (top) and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (bottom). Each panel shows the distribution of the number density on the "face-on" view, i.e., projected on a surface perpendicular to the angular momentum vector. The density peak of the cloud is located at the center of each panel.

3.2 Late phase: protostellar accretion

Next, we present the 2D simulation results of the subsequent protostellar accretion stage at each metallicity. We first describe the models where both the photoionization and radiation-pressure effects are included with metallicities $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_\odot$, and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (i.e., models Prm, -3Sol, and -2Sol, see Table 1). We, in particular, focus on the case with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$, clarifying radiative feedback effects that finally halt the accretion onto the star.

In Figure 4 we present the mass accretion histories in those models, in comparison with the reference cases where the feedback effects are turned off by hand (models Prm_NO, -3Sol_NO, and -2Sol_NO). Without the feedback, the stars continue growing steadily by accretion even at the end of the simulation ($600 M_\odot$), when the gas has not yet depleted in the accretion envelope. With radiative feedback, in contrast, the mass accretion is terminated by radiative feedback. We continue the runs until the accretion onto the protostar almost ceases with the accretion rate being $\dot{M}_* < 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. The final stellar masses are $M_* \approx 560 M_\odot$, $450 M_\odot$ and $190 M_\odot$ at $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_\odot$ and $10^{-2} Z_\odot$, respectively. In the final stage, the mass accretion rate gradually decreases in all these cases. We fit the accretion histories after $\dot{M}$ becomes less than $2 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ with linear functions of the elapsed time. The actual values are 3.8 , 7.5 , and $6.1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Myr}^{-1}$ at




Figure 4. The mass accretion histories in the protostellar accretion phase for the cases with metallicities $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. Both the cases with and without radiative feedback are shown by solid (for models Prm, -3Sol, and -2Sol) and dashed lines (for Prm_NO, -3Sol_NO, and -2Sol_NO), respectively. The filled circles on the line for the case -2Sol represent the four epochs when the snapshots are presented in Figure 6.

the metallicities of $Z = 0$, 10^{-3} , and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. In all the cases, the stellar mass increases only by $< 15 M_{\odot}$ until the accretion rate decreases to 0. Thus we ignore the remaining component of the envelope after the accretion rate drops below $10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. In what follows, we investigate metallicity dependence of radiative feedback and resulting final stellar masses in more detail.

3.2.1 Accretion flows in cases without protostellar feedback

Before examining the feedback effect, we first study the cases without the feedback (i.e., Prm_NO, -3Sol_NO, and -2Sol_NO) to see the typical accretion rate at each metallicity. Figure 4 shows that the rate for $Z = 0$ (case Prm_NO) is somewhat higher than that for $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ (-3Sol_NO), whereas the opposite trend is expected from the envelope structure at the end of the collapse (top panel of Figure 2). This discrepancy comes from the difference in rotation velocities v_{ϕ} (bottom panel of Figure 2) as higher v_{ϕ} requires more efficient angular momentum transfer through the circumstellar disk for the matter to accrete onto the protostar.

Indeed, formation of a large disk and its growth around the central protostar is observed in all the simulation runs. Figure 5 shows the disk structure at the stellar mass of $M_* = 150 M_{\odot}$. In all the cases, the rotation is almost Keplerian inside $r \lesssim 10^4 - 3 \times 10^4$ au, which corresponds to the disk outer edge. At this radius, the surface density Σ also sharply rises and Toomre Q parameter drops accordingly. Although the Q value is nearly constant at the order of unity within the disk in all the cases, it is higher in the $Z = 0$ case by a factor of a few than in the other cases at $10^3 \text{ au} \lesssim r \lesssim 10^4$ au. This results in less efficient angular momentum transport by gravitational torque in the $Z = 0$ case from equations (5) - (7) and thus the flows in the disk has the higher rotation velocities v_{ϕ} .

The radial profiles of the gas and dust temperatures in the disk mid-plane are presented in the bottom panel of Figure 5. Generally, the gas temperature is lower for higher metallicity, particularly at the outer region, owing to the difference in the dominant cooling agent. In the $Z = 0$ case, H_2 line cooling balances with the viscous heating and keeps the temperature at $T \approx 600$ K throughout the disk, while




Figure 5. The radial structure of the accretion disk when the stellar mass is $\approx 150 M_{\odot}$ for different metallicities. The panels (a) and (b) show the radial structure of the surface density and temperature respectively. In the panel (b), the solid and dashed lines represent the gas and dust grains temperature. The panels (c) and (d) present Toomre Q parameter and the ratio of the azimuthal velocity relative to the Keplerian velocity against the radial distance from the central star. In each panel the blue, red, and black lines represent the cases with $Z = 0$, $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$.

the dust cooling is dominant for $Z = 10^{-3}Z_{\odot}$ and $10^{-2}Z_{\odot}$. At the disk outer edge, the gas is heated by the accretion shock. Then, at high enough densities, the gas and dust are thermally coupled by frequent collisions, and the gas temperature is lowered to the dust temperature (see Appendix A2.4). Note that the dust destruction front is located at $r \simeq 300$ au, where the dust grains are sublimated. Inside the dust destruction front, the gas temperatures are similar among the cases with different metallicities due to the lack of dust cooling.

3.2.2 Radiative feedback effect on the protostellar accretion

Here we see the protostellar radiative feedback effect on the accretion flows. Figure 6 shows the structure of the gas around the protostar in the case of $Z = 10^{-2}Z_{\odot}$ (model -2Sol). As seen in Figure 6-a, the protostar accretes the gas through the circumstellar disk. The stellar mass rapidly increases at a high accretion rate $> 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in this early stage (also see Fig. 4). By the epoch when the stellar mass reaches $\sim 50 M_{\odot}$, the ionizing-photon emissivity becomes high enough to create bipolar HII regions or cavities (Fig. 6-b). The temperature inside the cavities exceeds 10^4 K due to the photoionization heating. Dynamical expansion of the HII regions blows away the gas in the accretion envelope. The mass supply from the envelope to the accretion disk is also interrupted for a while. As a result, the accretion rate is reduced from the initial value of $3 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ by an order of magnitude by the time the stellar mass reaches $50 M_{\odot}$ (Figure 4).

Within the bipolar HII region (Figure 6-c), we can see a secondary bubble expanding, in which the gas is escaping outward at high velocity $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and the density is very low at $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The expansion of this secondary bubble is driven by the radiation pressure exerted on dust grains. Since the grains are dynamically well coupled with the gas, the gas is also efficiently accelerated outward. As a result of the expansion of the secondary bubble, the temperature of the photoionized gas is lowered to several $\times 10^3$ K by adiabatic cooling.

Note that, in the primordial star formation, the accretion rate is reduced by the expansion of the HII regions and no secondary bubble is observed. Although the radiation-pressure feedback has been known to be effective in the present-day massive star formation, a detailed mechanism differs from our case: while the radiation forces both by the stellar direct light and by the diffuse radiation re-emitted by the dust are equally important in present-day star formation, the latter is negligible in our case. The radiation force by direct light can be written relative to the gravity as

$$\frac{F_{\text{rad}}}{F_{\text{grav}}} = 5.3 \left(\frac{\kappa_{\text{UV},\odot}}{400 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{L_*}{8.0 \times 10^6 L_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{M_*}{350 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{Z}{10^{-2} Z_{\odot}} \right), \quad (16)$$

where $\kappa_{\text{UV},\odot}$ is the dust opacity for UV photons at $Z = Z_{\odot}$, and that by the diffuse radiation is

$$\frac{F_{\text{rad}}}{F_{\text{grav}}} = 0.04 \left(\frac{\kappa_{\text{IR},\odot}}{3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{L_*}{8.0 \times 10^6 L_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{M_*}{350 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{Z}{10^{-2} Z_{\odot}} \right), \quad (17)$$

where $\kappa_{\text{IR},\odot}$ is the dust opacity for infrared photons at $Z = Z_{\odot}$, for which we assume the dust temperature of 300 K. In fact, Figure 6 shows that only the gas directly illuminated by the stellar light is accelerated along the poles.

Whereas the bubble expansion blows away the gas in the envelope in polar directions, in a shade of the disk the flow is protected

from the direct star light and the accretion still continues. Indeed, Figure 4 shows that the accretion rate drops just after the stellar mass exceeds $100 M_{\odot}$, but it returns to the level of $\sim 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Although the exact behavior of the accretion history should depend on the prescription of the viscosity (Sec. 2.2.2), the stellar mass further increases at such low rates. Eventually, however, even the flows near the equator are disturbed and reversed (Figure 6-d). The mass supply from the envelope to the disk is first shut off, and then the protostar only swallows the gas retained in the disk. This slow accretion continues for several $\times 10^5$ years at a gradually decreasing rate. The final stellar mass is $\simeq 190 M_{\odot}$ in this case.

The protostellar evolution for the same model (-2Sol) is presented in Figure 7 (black line). The stellar radius is initially very large $> 100 R_{\odot}$ but soon decreases within the initial 10^4 years (top panel) as a result of Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) contraction, which continues until the stationary nuclear burning begins, i.e., the star reaches the main sequence, at $M_* \simeq \text{a few} \times 10 M_{\odot}$ (e.g., Omukai & Palla 2003). The emissivity of ionizing photons increases dramatically during the KH contraction (bottom panel), which is accompanied by the appearance of the bipolar HII regions at $t \simeq \text{a few} \times 10^4$ years (Fig. 6-b). Note that since the final stellar mass is $\simeq 190 M_{\odot}$, the star acquires most of its mass after reaching the main-sequence star. The ionizing photon emissivity rises gradually with increasing stellar mass until the accretion is finally shut off.

We have seen that the photoionization and radiation-pressure effects, such as the expansion of the HII regions and the secondary bubble, have dynamical effects on the accretion flow. Here we investigate which one is dominant in setting the final stellar mass. For this purpose, we present the stellar mass growth histories in cases with only one of those effects considered in Figure 8. With both the photoionization and radiation-pressure effects, the stellar mass reaches $190 M_{\odot}$ in 0.8 Myr as seen above (-2Sol, solid). With only the radiation-pressure feedback taken into account (-2Sol_RP, dotted), the stellar mass rapidly increases and exceeds $250 M_{\odot}$ in $\simeq 0.2$ Myr. In contrast, with the photoionization effect only (-2Sol_PI, black dashed), the final stellar mass hardly changes with a small increment to $\simeq 230 M_{\odot}$ at $t \simeq 0.8$ Myr. The above results clearly demonstrate that the photoionization is the dominant effect in terminating the mass accretion while the radiation pressure plays only a secondary role. In addition to being a receiver of the radiation pressure, the dust has another role, i.e., the attenuation of ionizing photons. To examine its importance, we compare the cases with only the photoionization but with (-2Sol_PI, black dashed) and without dust attenuation (-2Sol_PI2, dark-blue dashed). We can see that, without dust attenuation, the final stellar mass is further reduced from $230 M_{\odot}$ to $180 M_{\odot}$ for this case.

Next, we briefly discuss the cases with lower metallicity, $Z = 0$ and $10^{-3}Z_{\odot}$. Their overall evolution is basically the same as in the case of $Z = 10^{-2}Z_{\odot}$ described above although the secondary bubble expansion driven by the radiation pressure is not seen in the $Z = 0$ case, where the dust is absent. In all the cases, the photoionization is the dominant mechanism in regulating the mass accretion onto the star. Contrary to naive expectation from the inflow rate at the end of the collapse phase (Fig. 2 a), the accretion rate onto the protostar is actually lower for $Z = 0$ and $10^{-3}Z_{\odot}$ than in the $Z = 10^{-2}Z_{\odot}$ case early on ($t \lesssim 3 \times 10^4$ years). This is because the inner part of the accretion envelope ($M(r) \lesssim 100 M_{\odot}$) has higher rotational velocity in those cases (Fig. 2) and the accreted matter accumulates




Figure 6. Time evolution of the accretion envelope structure around the protostar at $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (model -2Sol). The four panels show the snapshots at the protostellar mass (a) $M_{*} \approx 16 M_{\odot}$, (b) $54 M_{\odot}$, (c) $82 M_{\odot}$, and (d) $140 M_{\odot}$. The time since the birth of the protostar is also indicated in the bottom-right corner. The distributions of the number density n_{H} (right) and gas temperature T (left) are presented. The arrows shown in the upper-right part represent the velocity vectors.

in the disk. After around the viscous timescale

$$t_{\text{vis}} = \frac{1}{3\pi\alpha} \left(\frac{R}{H} \right)^2 \frac{2\pi}{\Omega} \\ = 7.5 \times 10^4 \text{ yr} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{H/R}{0.1} \right)^{-2} \left(\frac{M_{*}}{200 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{R}{10^4 \text{ au}} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (18)$$

the accretion rate rapidly rises and exceeds that for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (Fig. 7) as the stationary accretion through the disk establishes. The protostar reaches the main sequence by the epoch of $t \sim 0.1$ Myr. After that, the star emits ionizing photons at a rate $S_{\text{ion}} \sim \text{a few} \times 10^{50} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is almost the same between the cases with $Z = 0$ and $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ ¹. The subsequent evolution is also similar between those cases. The stellar mass reaches $\approx 300 M_{\odot}$ at $t \approx 0.2$ Myr, and the mass growth thereafter is significantly suppressed by the photoionization effect. The final mass is $M_{*} \approx 560 M_{\odot}$ for $Z = 0$ and $M_{*} \approx 440 M_{\odot}$ for $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, respectively.

According to previous studies, the final stellar mass limited by the photoionization is generally higher for higher imposed (i.e., without feedback) accretion rate (e.g., Hirano et al. 2014). This is consistent with our result in the sense that the feedback-limited final mass is the lowest for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, which has the lowest mean accretion rate before the feedback is taken into account (Fig. 4).

¹ While the stellar radius for -3Sol is larger than Z0, the effective temperature is slightly lower. The two effects work oppositely resulting in a similar emissivity of ionizing photons.

There is, however, some modifications: between cases with $Z = 0$ and $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, the final mass for the former is slightly larger than that for the latter despite with lower mean accretion rate onto the protostar. This is probably caused by the differences in infall rates and rotational velocities in the accretion envelope (see Section 2.1 and Fig. 2). For $Z = 0$, higher supplies of the mass and angular momentum results in a more massive circumstellar disk. Since the stellar mass growth in the later phase is largely determined by the amount of gas retained in the disk, the accretion onto the star tends to be prolonged through a more massive disk in cases with the photoionization feedback. In other words, the final stellar mass is mainly determined by the accretion rate to the disk in very metal-poor environments ($\lesssim 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$), where the photoionization is the dominant feedback effect.

3.3 Accretion evolution from the same envelope structure

Since the evolution in the accretion stage is largely affected by the evolution in the collapse phase in the above cases, we focus on the metallicity dependence of the feedback strength in this section. To extract only metallicity effects on the protostellar accretion, we investigate radiative feedback in cases with different metallicities but from the same envelope structure (see also Sec. 2.3). For this purpose, we assign a certain value of metallicity to the last snapshot at the end of collapse with metallicity $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ or $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, maintaining the density and temperature distributions. We consider two initial conditions for accretion evolution resulting from the cloud collapse with metallicities $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. For the




Figure 7. Protostellar evolution for different metallicities $Z = 0$ (model Prm, blue line), $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ (-3Sol, orange), and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (-2Sol, black). Plotted are the stellar mass (top panel), radius (middle), and ionizing photon production rate (bottom) as a function of the elapsed time since the birth of the protostar. In the top panel, the filled circles on the black curve represent the four epochs for which the snapshots are presented in Figure 6.

initial envelope structure created with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, we study the accretion evolution with $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ (-3Sol) and 0 (Prm_from-3Sol), which is shown in the top panel of Figure 9, while for the initial envelope with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ we consider the cases with $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, $0.1 Z_{\odot}$ and $1 Z_{\odot}$ (cases -1Sol_from-2Sol and Sol_from-2Sol) shown in the bottom panel of Figure 9. We follow the long-term evolution of the protostellar accretion until terminated by radiative feedback.

The final stellar mass for the $Z = 0$ case is slightly lower than that for $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ (top panel of Figure 9). This trend is opposite to that in Figure 4. The discrepancy is due to different rotation velocity profiles for Prm and -3Sol (Fig. 2), which is absent for Prm_from-3Sol and -3Sol (see also Section 3.2.2).

The bottom panel of Figure 9 shows the mass accretion histories for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (-2Sol), $10^{-1} Z_{\odot}$ (-1Sol_from-2Sol) and $Z_{\odot}$ (Sol_from-2Sol), starting from the envelope structure of




Figure 8. Impact of radiative feedback by photoionization and by radiation pressure on the stellar mass growth for $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. The gray solid line represents the case where both those effects are taken into account (-2Sol). The other lines are for the reference cases where one of the feedback mechanisms is omitted; only with the radiation-pressure effect (black dotted, -2Sol_RP), only with the photoionization effect (dark blue dot-dashed, -2Sol_PI), and only with the photoionization effect without the dust attenuation of ionizing photons (dashed line, -2Sol_PI2).




Figure 9. Metallicity dependence of radiative feedback for a given accretion-envelope structure. *Top panel:* the mass accretion histories for metallicities $Z = 0$ (Prm_from-3Sol) and $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$ (-3Sol) from the envelope structure resulting from the cloud collapse with $Z = 10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$. *Bottom panel:* the same as the top panel but from the envelope structure resulting from the collapse with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ for metallicities $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (-2Sol), $0.1 Z_{\odot}$ (-1Sol_from-2Sol) and $Z = 1 Z_{\odot}$ (Sol_from-2Sol).




Figure 10. Comparison of the accretion envelope structure under radiative feedback between models Prm (left column) and Sol_from-2Sol (right column), i.e., two extreme and contrasting cases with $Z = 0$ and $1 Z_{\odot}$. For each column, the top and bottom panels show snapshots at the different epochs of (a) the polar breakout of the bubble, and (b) almost the end of the stellar mass growth via accretion. Each panel illustrates the spatial distribution of the number density n_{H} (upper right), gas temperature T (upper left), abundance of H_2 (lower right) and HII (lower left).

$Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. In the early phase of $M_* \lesssim 100 M_{\odot}$, while radiative feedback is still weak, the accretion histories are mostly determined by the initial condition and very similar to each other. At later time, radiative feedback starts operating and the accretion history depends on the metallicity in a way that the final mass is lower, or the feedback is stronger, at lower metallicities. This metallicity dependence comes from the dust attenuation of ionizing photons, which can be seen in the following analytic argument. The photoionization feedback quenches the accretion by the photoevaporation of an accretion disk, which proceeds most efficiently near the gravitational radius

$$r_{\text{g}} = \frac{GM_*}{c_{\text{i}}^2} \approx 1.1 \times 10^3 \text{ au} \left(\frac{M_*}{500 M_{\odot}} \right) \quad (19)$$

(e.g., Hollenbach et al. 1994; McKee & Tan 2008), where c_{i} is the sound speed of the ionized gas for which we assume $T = 3 \times 10^4$ K. Equations (15) and (19) indicate that the gravitational radius is located outside the dust destruction front and thus the ionizing photons around the gravitational radius is attenuated by dust grains. Using the disk surface density at $r \sim r_{\text{g}}$ set by the ionization balance,

$$n_0 = \left(\frac{3S_*}{4\pi r_{\text{g}}^3 \alpha_{\text{B}}} \right)^{1/2} \approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3} \left(\frac{S_*}{10^{51} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_*}{500 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-3/2}, \quad (20)$$

where S_* is the stellar emissivity of ionizing photons, we find the optical depth from the star to the gravitational radius,

$$\tau_{\text{d}} = \rho \kappa_{\text{d}} r_{\text{g}} = 3.0 \left(\frac{S_*}{10^{51} \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_*}{200 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\kappa_{\text{d}}}{350 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{Z}{10^{-2} Z_{\odot}} \right), \quad (21)$$

larger than unity for $Z \gtrsim 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, where κ_{d} is the dust opacity for Lyman-limit frequency at the solar metallicity, meaning that the photoionizing radiation is substantially attenuated by the dust, especially at higher metallicity. This explains the trend of weaker feedback at higher metallicity seen in Figure 9. According to recent studies (Tanaka et al. 2017, 2018; Kuiper & Hosokawa 2018), the impact of photoionization is significantly diminished by the dust attenuation at the solar metallicity. In fact, in our cases with $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$ (-1Sol_from-2Sol) and $1 Z_{\odot}$ (Sol_from-2Sol), the photoionization effect being disabled by this process, the accretion continues until the star becomes very massive with $> 300 M_{\odot}$ and the radiation-pressure feedback finally shuts off the inflow. In this process, the radiation force by the infrared dust re-emission also contributes in terminating the accretion (see Equation (17)). In particular, it can also repel the flow coming from the shade of the disk, for which the radiation force by the stellar direct light is ineffective (e.g., Yorke & Bodenheimer 1999; Kuiper et al. 2010b; Kuiper & Yorke 2013). Our results suggest that the metallicity dependence of the radiation-pressure effect is weaker than that of the photoioniza-

tion owing to the dust attenuation in metal-enriched environments. As a result, the final stellar mass increases with increasing metallicity for $Z > 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$.

Figure 10 shows the structure of the accretion envelope and disk at two epochs for the Prm (with $Z = 0$ from the envelope structure of $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$) and Sol_from-2Sol (with $Z = Z_{\odot}$ from the envelope structure of $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$) cases. Overall evolutionary features in these cases are similar to that in the case with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (-2Sol) shown in Figure 6, but with the following notable differences. In the case of $Z = 0$ (Prm: left panels), secondary bubble, which is driven by the radiation force on the dust, does not appear (c.f. Figure 6-c), and the temperature and density within the HII region are much higher even in the late stage at $t \approx 0.7$ Myr. In the case of $Z = Z_{\odot}$ (Sol_from-2Sol: right panels), on the other hand, the secondary bubble starts expanding as early as 0.1 Myr, simultaneously with the formation of the HII region. The density and temperature within the bubble are always low and the outflow velocity is very high. From those observations, we can say that the case with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ shown in Figure 6 is intermediate between the cases above in terms of the envelope evolution by radiative feedback although the protostellar accretion is mainly regulated by the photoionization effect as in the $Z = 0$ case.

4 SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

We have studied the formation of massive metal-poor stars in a cosmological context. To this end, we have performed a set of radiation-hydrodynamics simulations and followed long-term evolution of the protostellar accretion. We consider both photoionization and radiation force on dust grains as important feedback mechanisms. We have studied the case with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ (case -2Sol) to examine the role of individual feedback processes. In order to clarify the dependence on metallicity, we have also studied the cases with varying metallicity but with using the same envelope structure that is constructed by calculating preceding cloud collapse. Our findings are summarized as follows:

- (i) With ($Z \leq 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$), massive stars exceeding $100 M_{\odot}$ can form by accretion. Photoionization is the dominant mechanism regulating the accretion flow onto the protostar and eventually terminates the mass accretion, similarly in the case of primordial ($Z = 0$) star formation. At $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, radiation force exerted on dust grains drives rapid expansion of a bubble within the HII region, but its overall impact against the accretion flow is limited.
- (ii) The final stellar mass is higher at lower metallicities, $560 M_{\odot}$ for $Z = 0$, $440 M_{\odot}$ for $10^{-3} Z_{\odot}$, and $190 M_{\odot}$ for $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, respectively. Although the ionizing photon emissivity at a given stellar mass does not sensitively depend on metallicity, the accretion rate onto the star is smaller at higher metallicities because of more efficient cooling in the collapse phase. This explains the decrease of the final stellar mass as metallicity increases.
- (iii) For a given envelope structure, radiative feedback is stronger at $Z = 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ than at $Z \sim Z_{\odot}$. In the ordinary metal-poor range of $Z > 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, ionizing photons are absorbed by dust grains, and photoionization of the accretion disk is suppressed. The radiation force eventually terminates the mass accretion, but only after the protostar grows to $300 M_{\odot}$. The final stellar mass increases from $190 M_{\odot}$ for $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ to $360 M_{\odot}$ for $Z_{\odot}$ for our initial conditions.

The points (i) and (ii) above suggest that, even in metal-poor environments at $Z \sim 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, massive stars are formed in a similar manner as in primordial star formation, although with somewhat

smaller mass ($190 M_{\odot}$) than the $Z = 0$ case ($440 M_{\odot}$). We argue that the metallicity-dependence of the final stellar mass is attributed to the difference in thermal evolution during the early collapse stage at $Z < 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ than by the feedback process.

The last point (iii) indicates that, at metallicities $Z \gtrsim 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, very massive star formation is relatively *easier* because of the dust attenuation of ionizing photons that otherwise effectively halt accretion. Interestingly, this is opposite to the usual belief that massive star formation is *harder* if dust grains exist because of effective radiation-pressure feedback. Simply, photoionization effect becomes stronger at $Z \sim 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$, with less dust attenuation compared to the case with $Z = Z_{\odot}$. Observationally, massive stars exceeding $200 M_{\odot}$ exist in the Large Magellanic Cloud, whose average metallicity is $\approx 0.4 Z_{\odot}$ (e.g., Crowther et al. 2010).

We discuss an important issue regarding disk fragmentation, which our 2D simulations cannot properly capture. It has been shown by 3D simulations that protostellar disks are prone to fragmentation in primordial star formation (e.g., Machida et al. 2008; Greif et al. 2012; Hosokawa et al. 2016) as well as in present-day star formation (e.g., Meyer et al. 2017, 2018). Dust cooling can promote fragmentation with high metallicities (Tanaka & Omukai 2014). As discussed in Section 3.2.1, the disks with $Z = 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$ are more unstable gravitationally than that of $Z = 0$. What happens after fragmentation is still poorly constrained, unfortunately. In particular, it remains uncertain how many fragments survive without merging with the central star (e.g., Susa 2019; Chon & Hosokawa 2019). Very roughly, a half the fragments appear to survive in 3D simulations of the primordial star formation and some of them may end up with binaries. If similar events also occur in very metal-poor environments, massive star binaries would be formed. Such low-metallicity binaries, can be possible progenitors of binary black holes that eventually become sources of gravitational waves (e.g., Abbott et al. 2016a,b). 3D radiation hydrodynamic simulations for those topics are awaited in future studies.

In the present paper, we have studied radiative feedback from accreting protostars only. Other effects such as magnetically driven outflows may also contribute to limiting the final stellar mass. Recent magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) simulations suggest that massive outflow is launched as an outcome of rapid disk accretion (e.g., Matsushita et al. 2017; Kölligan & Kuiper 2018) and the outflow properties are consistent with observations (e.g., Hirota et al. 2017). Other MHD simulations show outflow launching in very metal-poor cases (e.g., Higuchi et al. 2019) although they generally follow only short-term evolution after the birth of the protostar. Further radiation-magneto-hydrodynamic simulations are warranted for future studies on this topic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their cordial thanks to Profs. Masayuki Umemura and Takahiro Tanaka for their continual interest and advice. The authors also thank to Ken Ohsuga and Hidenobu Yajima for useful discussions. The numerical simulations were performed on the Cray XC40 at the Yukawa Institute Computer Facility and the Cray XC50 (Aterui II) at the Center for Computational Astrophysics (CfCA) of National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. This work is financially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Basic Research by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan (TH: 16H05996, 19H01934, KO:25287040, 17H01102, 17H02869). GC is supported by Overseas Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

for Young Scientists. RK acknowledges financial support via the Emmy Noether Research Group on Accretion Flows and Feedback in Realistic Models of Massive Star Formation funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under grant no. KU 2849/3-1 and KU 2849/3-2.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Abbott B. P., et al., 2016a, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **116**, 061102
- Abbott B. P., et al., 2016b, *ApJ*, **818**, L22
- Abel T., Anninos P., Zhang Y., Norman M. L., 1997, *New Astron.*, **2**, 181
- Abel T., Bryan G. L., Norman M. L., 2002, *Science*, **295**, 93
- Asano R. S., Takeuchi T. T., Hirashita H., Nozawa T., 2013, *MNRAS*, **432**, 637
- Bromm V., Ferrara A., Coppi P. S., Larson R. B., 2001, *MNRAS*, **328**, 969
- Bromm V., Coppi P. S., Larson R. B., 2002, *ApJ*, **564**, 23
- Cen R., 1992, *ApJS*, **78**, 341
- Chiaki G., Yoshida N., Hirano S., 2016, *MNRAS*, **463**, 2781
- Chiaki G., Susa H., Hirano S., 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 4378
- Chon S., Hosokawa T., 2019, *MNRAS*, **488**, 2658
- Clark P. C., Glover S. C. O., Smith R. J., Greif T. H., Klessen R. S., Bromm V., 2011, *Science*, **331**, 1040
- Crowther P. A., Schnurr O., Hirschi R., Yusof N., Parker R. J., Goodwin S. P., Kassim H. A., 2010, *MNRAS*, **408**, 731
- Diaz-Miller R. I., Franco J., Shore S. N., 1998, *ApJ*, **501**, 192
- Draine B. T., 2011, *Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium*. Princeton University Press
- Draine B. T., Bertoldi F., 1996, *ApJ*, **468**, 269
- Forrey R. C., 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, L25
- Fukushima H., Omukai K., Hosokawa T., 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 4754
- Fuller G. A., Williams S. J., Sridharan T. K., 2005, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **442**, 949
- Galli D., Palla F., 1998, *A&A*, **335**, 403
- Greif T. H., Bromm V., Clark P. C., Glover S. C. O., Smith R. J., Klessen R. S., Yoshida N., Springel V., 2012, *MNRAS*, **424**, 399
- Harries T. J., Douglas T. A., Ali A., 2017, *MNRAS*, **471**, 4111
- Higuchi K., Machida M. N., Susa H., 2019, *MNRAS*, **486**, 3741
- Hirano S., Hosokawa T., Yoshida N., Umeda H., Omukai K., Chiaki G., Yorke H. W., 2014, *ApJ*, **781**, 60
- Hirano S., Hosokawa T., Yoshida N., Omukai K., Yorke H. W., 2015, *MNRAS*, **448**, 568
- Hirota T., Machida M. N., Matsushita Y., Motogi K., Matsumoto N., Kim M. K., Burns R. A., Honma M., 2017, *Nature Astronomy*, **1**, 0146
- Hollenbach D., McKee C. F., 1979, *ApJS*, **41**, 555
- Hollenbach D., McKee C. F., 1989, *ApJ*, **342**, 306
- Hollenbach D., Johnstone D., Lizano S., Shu F., 1994, *ApJ*, **428**, 654
- Hosokawa T., Omukai K., 2009a, *ApJ*, **691**, 823
- Hosokawa T., Omukai K., 2009b, *ApJ*, **703**, 1810
- Hosokawa T., Omukai K., Yoshida N., Yorke H. W., 2011, *Science*, **334**, 1250
- Hosokawa T., Hirano S., Kuiper R., Yorke H. W., Omukai K., Yoshida N., 2016, *ApJ*, **824**, 119
- Isella A., Natta A., 2005, *A&A*, **438**, 899
- Kahn F. D., 1974, *A&A*, **37**, 149
- Keto E., 2003, *ApJ*, **599**, 1196
- Klassen M., Pudritz R. E., Kuiper R., Peters T., Banerjee R., 2016, *ApJ*, **823**, 28
- Kölligan A., Kuiper R., 2018, *A&A*, **620**, A182
- Kreckel H., Bruhns H., Čížek M., Glover S. C. O., Miller K. A., Urbain X., Savin D. W., 2010, *Science*, **329**, 69
- Krumholz M. R., 2018, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **480**, 3468
- Krumholz M. R., Klein R. I., McKee C. F., Offner S. S. R., Cunningham A. J., 2009, *Science*, **323**, 754
- Kuiper R., Hosokawa T., 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A101
- Kuiper R., Yorke H. W., 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 61
- Kuiper R., Klahr H., Dullemond C., Kley W., Henning T., 2010a, *A&A*, **511**, A81
- Kuiper R., Klahr H., Beuther H., Henning T., 2010b, *ApJ*, **722**, 1556
- Kuiper R., Klahr H., Beuther H., Henning T., 2011, *ApJ*, **732**, 20
- Kuiper R., Klahr H., Beuther H., Henning T., 2012, *A&A*, **537**, A122
- Laor A., Draine B. T., 1993, *ApJ*, **402**, 441
- Larson R. B., 1969, *MNRAS*, **145**, 271
- Larson R. B., Starrfield S., 1971, *A&A*, **13**, 190
- Latif M. A., Khochfar S., Whalen D., 2020, *ApJ*, **892**, L4
- Levermore C. D., Pomraning G. C., 1981, *ApJ*, **248**, 321
- Machida M. N., Omukai K., Matsumoto T., Inutsuka S.-i., 2008, *ApJ*, **677**, 813
- Matsushita Y., Machida M. N., Sakurai Y., Hosokawa T., 2017, *MNRAS*, **470**, 1026
- McKee C. F., Tan J. C., 2003, *ApJ*, **585**, 850
- McKee C. F., Tan J. C., 2008, *ApJ*, **681**, 771
- Meyer D. M. A., Vorobyov E. I., Kuiper R., Kley W., 2017, *MNRAS*, **464**, L90
- Meyer D. M. A., Kuiper R., Kley W., Johnston K. G., Vorobyov E., 2018, *MNRAS*, **473**, 3615
- Mignon-Risse R., González M., Commerçon B., Rosdahl J., 2020, *A&A*, **635**, A42
- Mignone A., Bodo G., Massaglia S., Matsakos T., Tesileanu O., Zanni C., Ferrari A., 2007, *ApJS*, **170**, 228
- Nakatani R., Yoshida N., 2019, *ApJ*, **883**, 127
- Nakatani R., Hosokawa T., Yoshida N., Nomura H., Kuiper R., 2018a, *ApJ*, **857**, 57
- Nakatani R., Hosokawa T., Yoshida N., Nomura H., Kuiper R., 2018b, *ApJ*, **865**, 75
- Nozawa T., et al., 2008, *ApJ*, **684**, 1343
- Nussbaumer H., Storey P. J., 1983, *A&A*, **126**, 75
- Omukai K., 2000, *ApJ*, **534**, 809
- Omukai K., Inutsuka S.-i., 2002, *MNRAS*, **332**, 59
- Omukai K., Nishi R., 1998, *ApJ*, **508**, 141
- Omukai K., Palla F., 2003, *ApJ*, **589**, 677
- Omukai K., Tsuribe T., Schneider R., Ferrara A., 2005, *ApJ*, **626**, 627
- Osterbrock D. E., 1989, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei*. University Science Books
- Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. J., 2006, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei*. University Science Books
- Palla F., Salpeter E. E., Stahler S. W., 1983, *ApJ*, **271**, 632
- Pequignot D., Petitjean P., Boisson C., 1991, *A&A*, **251**, 680
- Peters T., Klessen R. S., Mac Low M.-M., Banerjee R., 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **725**, 134
- Peters T., Banerjee R., Klessen R. S., Mac Low M.-M., 2011, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **729**, 72
- Pollack J. B., Hollenbach D., Beckwith S., Simonelli D. P., Roush T., Fong W., 1994, *ApJ*, **421**, 615
- Rémy-Ruyer A., et al., 2014, *A&A*, **563**, A31
- Rosen A. L., Krumholz M. R., McKee C. F., Klein R. I., 2016, *MNRAS*, **463**, 2553
- Santoro F., Shull J. M., 2006, *ApJ*, **643**, 26
- Sartorio N. S., Vandenbroucke B., Falceta-Goncalves D., Wood K., Keto E., 2019, *MNRAS*, **486**, 5171
- Schneider R., Ferrara A., Salvaterra R., Omukai K., Bromm V., 2003, *Nature*, **422**, 869
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, **500**, 33
- Shapiro P. R., Kang H., 1987, *ApJ*, **318**, 32
- Spitzer L., 1978, *Physical processes in the interstellar medium*, doi:10.1002/9783527617722.
- Springel V., 2005, *MNRAS*, **364**, 1105
- Stacy A., Greif T. H., Bromm V., 2010, *MNRAS*, **403**, 45

Stacy A., Bromm V., Lee A. T., 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, 1307
 Susa H., 2019, *ApJ*, **877**, 99
 Susa H., Hasegawa K., Tominaga N., 2014, *ApJ*, p. 32
 Takahashi T., Silk J., Hollenbach D. J., 1983, *ApJ*, **275**, 145
 Takahashi S. Z., Inutsuka S.-i., Machida M. N., 2013, *ApJ*, **770**, 71
 Tanaka K. E. I., Omukai K., 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 1884
 Tanaka K. E. I., Nakamoto T., Omukai K., 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 155
 Tanaka K. E. I., Tan J. C., Zhang Y., 2017, *ApJ*, **835**, 32
 Tanaka K. E. I., Tan J. C., Zhang Y., Hosokawa T., 2018, *ApJ*, **861**, 68
 Tielens A. G. G. M., Hollenbach D., 1985, *ApJ*, **291**, 722
 Toomre A., 1964, *ApJ*, **139**, 1217
 Voronov G. S., 1997, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables*, **65**, 1
 Wolfire M. G., Cassinelli J. P., 1987, *ApJ*, **319**, 850
 Wyrowski F., et al., 2016, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, **585**, A149
 Yorke H. W., Bodenheimer P., 1999, *ApJ*, **525**, 330
 Yorke H. W., Kruegel E., 1977, *A&A*, **54**, 183
 Yorke H. W., Sonnhalter C., 2002, *ApJ*, **569**, 846
 Yoshida N., Abel T., Hernquist L., Sugiyama N., 2003, *ApJ*, **592**, 645
 Yoshida N., Omukai K., Hernquist L., Abel T., 2006, *ApJ*, **652**, 6
 Yoshida N., Omukai K., Hernquist L., 2008, *Science*, **321**, 669
 Zhu Z., Hartmann L., Gammie C., 2010, *ApJ*, **713**, 1143
 Zinnecker H., Yorke H. W., 2007, *ARA&A*, **45**, 481

APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL METHOD

A1 Chemical Networks

In Table A1, we summarize the rates of chemical reactions relevant with H, H₂, H⁺, H⁺ and e incorporated in our RHD simulations. We also consider C⁺, O, O⁺, and O²⁺ as components of heavy elements.

A2 Thermal Processes

In Table A2, we summarize the heating and cooling processes incorporated in our simulations. In what follows, we describe the details of each process.

A2.1 line cooling

As discussed in Section 2.2.1, we consider cooling of H₂ rovibrational transitions, atomic fine structure transitions of CII and OI, collisional excitation of OII and OIII:

$$\Lambda_9 = \Lambda_{\text{H}_2} + \Lambda_{\text{CII}} + \Lambda_{\text{OI}} + \Lambda_{\text{OII}} + \Lambda_{\text{OIII}}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

For cooling of H₂ rovibrational transitions, we use the cooling function obtained by Galli & Palla (1998) and the escape probability given by Fukushima et al. (2018). We calculate the cooling rate of atomic transitions as (Omukai 2000):

$$\Lambda_X = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{(i \rightarrow j)} n_i A_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} h \nu_{ij}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where the label X represents CII, OI, OII and OIII, and the labels i and j correspond with the energy levels. In Equation (A2), n_i and A_{ij} are the number density at the energy level i and the Einstein coefficient of energy transition $i \rightarrow j$. The number density of each energy level is obtained by the solving statistical equilibrium equation as

$$n_i \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij} = \sum_{j \neq i} n_j q_{ji}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where q_{ij} represents the transition rate between the energy level $i \rightarrow j$:

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} + \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k n_k & \text{for } i > j \\ \sum_k \gamma_{ij}^k n_k & \text{for } i < j, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A4})$$

In Equation (A4), γ_{ij}^k is the collisional excitation/de-excitation rates. Same as Nakatani et al. (2018a), we use the rates of OI and CII given by Hollenbach & McKee (1989) and Santoro & Shull (2006). For OII and OIII, we use the rates of Osterbrock & Ferland (2006) and Draine (2011). In Equation (A1), we use the formula of escape probability ϵ_{ij} of Takahashi et al. (1983) in the same way as Omukai (2000).

A2.2 OII & OIII abundance in HII regions

We estimate OII and OIII abundance assuming the balance between ionization of OII and recombination of OIII as

$$n_{\text{OII}} (R_{\text{OII}} + n_e \xi_{\text{col}}) = n_{\text{OIII}} n_e \alpha_{\text{OIII}}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The recombination rate α_{OIII} is given by Nussbaumer & Storey (1983) and Pequignot et al. (1991), and the collisional ionization rate ξ_{col} is obtained by Voronov (1997). The photoionization rate of OII is estimated as

$$R_{\text{OII}} = \int_{\nu_{\text{OII}}}^{\infty} d\nu \sigma_{\text{OII}}(\nu) \frac{F_\nu}{h\nu}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where σ_{OII} and ν_{OII} are the cross section and ionization limit frequency of ν_{OII} (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006).

A2.3 Hydrogen photoionization and photodissociation

The photoionization rate R_1 and the photoheating rate Γ_2 is estimated as

$$R_1 = y_{\text{HI}} \int_{\nu_1}^{\infty} d\nu \sigma_{\text{HI}}(\nu) \frac{F_\nu}{h\nu}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{1}{\rho} n_{\text{HI}} \int_{\nu_1}^{\infty} d\nu \sigma_{\text{HI}}(\nu) F_\nu \frac{h(\nu - \nu_L)}{h\nu}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The photodissociation rate of H₂ is estimated as (Draine & Bertoldi 1996; Nakatani et al. 2018a)

$$R_2 = f_{\text{shield}} (N_{\text{H}_2}) e^{-\tau_{\text{d,fuv}}} I_{\text{diss}} n_{\text{H}_2}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $e^{-\tau_{\text{d,fuv}}}$ is the optical depth of dust grains for FUV photons and $I_{\text{diss}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-11} G_{\text{FUV}} \text{ s}^{-1}$ represents the photodissociation rate without self-shielding. Here, G_{FUV} is estimated as $G_{\text{FUV}} = L_{\text{FUV}} / (4\pi r^2 F_{\text{ISRF}})$ where L_{FUV} is luminosity of FUV and $F_{\text{ISRF}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. We consider the self-shielding of H₂ and the self-shielding rate f_{shield} is estimated as

$$f_{\text{shield}} = \begin{cases} 1 & (N_{\text{H}_2} < N_1) \\ (N_{\text{H}_2}/N_1)^{-3/4} & (N_1 < N_{\text{H}_2} < N_2) \\ 0 & (N_{\text{H}_2} > N_2), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where N_{H_2} is the column density of H₂ molecules, $N_1 = 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and $N_2 = 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (e.g., Hosokawa et al. 2011).

Table A1. Chemical Reactions

Number	Reaction	Rate Coefficient	Reference
H1	$\text{H}^+ + e \rightarrow \text{H} + \gamma$	$k_{\text{H1}} = 2.753 \times 10^{-14} (315614/T)^{1.5} \left[1 + (115188/T)^{0.407} \right]^{-2.242}$ (case B)	1
H2	$\text{H} + e \rightarrow \text{H}^- + \gamma$	$k_{\text{H2}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-18} T^{0.928} \exp(-T/1.62 \times 10^4)$	2
H3	$\text{H}^- + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + e$	$k_{\text{H3}} = 1.35 \times 10^{-9} [T^{0.098493} + 0.32852T^{0.5561} + 2.771 \times 10^{-7}T^{2.1826}]$ $/[1 + 6.191 \times 10^{-3}T^{1.0461} + 8.9712 \times 10^{-11}T^{3.0424} + 3.2576 \times 10^{-14}T^{3.7741}]$	6
H4	$\text{H}_2 + \text{H} \rightarrow 3\text{H}$	$k_{\text{H4}} = k_{\text{H}}^{1-a} k_{\text{L}}^a$ $k_{\text{L}} = 1.12 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-7.035 \times 10^4/T)$ $k_{\text{H}} = 6.5 \times 10^{-7} T^{-1/2} \exp(-5.2 \times 10^4/T) [1 - \exp(-6000/T)]$ $a = (1 + n/n_{\text{cr}})^{-1}$ $\log_{10}(n_{\text{cr}}) = 4.0 - 0.416 \log_{10}(T/10^4) - 0.327 [\log_{10}(T/10^4)]^2$	3
H5	$3\text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H}$	$k_{\text{H5}} = 6 \times 10^{-32} T^{-0.25} + 2 \times 10^{-31} T^{-0.5}$	4
H6	$2\text{H} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2$	$k_{\text{H6}} = k_{\text{H5}}/8$	5
H7	$2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H} + \text{H}_2$	$k_{\text{H7}} = k_{\text{high}}^{1-a} k_{\text{low}}^a$ $k_{\text{low}} = 1.18 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-6.95 \times 10^4/T)$ $k_{\text{high}} = 8.125 \times 10^{-8} T^{-1/2} \exp(-5.2 \times 10^4/T) [1 - \exp(-6000/T)]$ $a = (1 + n/n_{\text{cr}})^{-1}$ $\log_{10}(n_{\text{cr}}) = 4.845 - 1.3 \log_{10}(T/10^4) + 1.62 [\log_{10}(T/10^4)]^2$	5
H8	$2\text{H} + \text{grain} \rightarrow \text{H}_2$	$k_{\text{H8}} = 6.0 \times 10^{-17} \sqrt{T/300} f_a (Z/Z_{\odot}) [1.0 + 4.0 \times 10^{-2} \sqrt{T + T_{\text{gr}}} + 2.0 \times 10^{-3} T + 8.0 \times 10^{-6} T^2]^{-1}$ $f_a = [1.0 + \exp(7.5 \times 10^2 (1/75 - T_{\text{gr}}^{-1}))]^{-1}$	8
H9	$\text{H} + e \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + 2e$	$k_{\text{H9}} = \exp[-32.71396786 + 13.536556 \times (\ln T \text{ (ev)}) - 5.73932875 (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^2 + 1.56315498 (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^3$ $- 0.2877056 (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^4 + 3.48255977 \times 10^{-2} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^5 - 2.63197617 \times 10^{-3} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^6$ $+ 1.11954395 \times 10^{-4} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^7 - 2.03914985 \times 10^{-6} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^8]$	7
H11	$\text{H}_2 + e \rightarrow 2\text{H} + e$	$k_{\text{H11}} = 4.4 \times 10^{-10} T^{0.35} \exp(-1.02 \times 10^5/T)$	2
H12	$2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + e + \text{H}$	$k_{\text{H12}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-4} k_{\text{H11}}$	2
H13	$\text{H}^- + e \rightarrow \text{H} + 2e$	$k_{\text{H13}} = \exp[-18.01849334 + 2.3608522 \times (\ln T \text{ (ev)}) - 0.28274430 (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^2 + 1.62331664 \times 10^{-2} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^3$ $- 3.36501203 \times 10^{-2} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^4 + 1.17832978 \times 10^{-2} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^5 - 1.65619470 \times 10^{-3} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^6$ $+ 1.06827520 \times 10^{-4} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^7 - 2.63128581 \times 10^{-6} (\ln T \text{ (ev)})^8]$	7
H14	$\text{H}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{H}$	$k_{\text{H14}} = 6.3 \times 10^{-8} + 5.7 \times 10^{-6} T^{0.5} - 9.2 \times 10^{-11} T^{0.5} + 4.4 \times 10^{-13} T$	2
RH1	$\text{H} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + e$	R_1	Eq. (A7)
RH2	$\text{H}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 2\text{H}$	R_2	Eq. (A9)

References. (1) Osterbrock & Ferland (2006); (2) Galli & Palla (1998); (3) Shapiro & Kang (1987); (4) Forrey (2013); (5) Palla et al. (1983); (6) Kreckel et al. (2010); (7) Abel et al. (1997); (8) Tielens & Hollenbach (1985)

Table A2. Thermal Processes

Number	Process	Rate (erg cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹)	Reference
Heating			
1	H_2 formation	$\Gamma_1 = [3.53(1 + n_{\text{cr}}/n_{\text{H}})^{-1} k_{\text{H3}} n(\text{H}) n(\text{H}^-) + 4.48(1 + n_{\text{cr}}/n_{\text{H}})^{-1} k_{\text{H5}} n^3(\text{H})$ $+ (0.2 + 4.2(1 + n_{\text{cr}}/n_{\text{H}})^{-1}) k_{\text{H8}} n^2(\text{H})] \text{ eV}$ $n_{\text{cr}} = 10^6 T^{-1/2} / \{1.6n(\text{H})/n_{\text{H}} \exp[-(400/T)^2] + 1.4n(\text{H}_2)/n_{\text{H}} \exp[-1200/(T + 1200)]\} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	1,2
2	H photoionization	Γ_2	Eq. (A8)
Cooling			
1	H_2 dissociation	$\Lambda_1 = 4.48 [k_{\text{H4}} n(\text{H}_2) n(\text{H}) + k_{\text{H7}} n^2(\text{H}_2) + k_{\text{H11}} n(\text{H}) n(e)] \text{ eV}$	1,2
2	H ionization	$\Lambda_2 = 13.6 [k_{\text{H9}} n(\text{H}) n(e) + k_{\text{H12}} n^2(\text{H})] \text{ eV}$	1,2
3	H^- free-bound emission	$\Lambda_3 = 0.755 k_{\text{H2}} n(\text{H}) n(e) \text{ eV}$	6
4	H excitation	$\Lambda_4 = 7.5 \times 10^{-19} [1 + (T/10^5 \text{ K})^{1/2}]^{-1} \exp[-118348/T] n(\text{H}) n(e)$	3
5	H recombination	$\Lambda_5 = 2/3 k_{\text{B}} T k_{\text{H1}} n(\text{H}^+) n(e)$	4
6	Free-free	$\Lambda_6 = 1.426 \times 10^{-27} T^{-1/2} g_{ff}(T; 1) n(\text{H}) n(e)$ $g_{ff}(T; Z) = 0.79464 + 0.1243 \log_{10}(T/Z^2) \quad T/Z^2 < 32000 \text{ K}$ $= 2.13164 - 0.1240 \log_{10}(T/Z^2) \quad T/Z^2 > 32000 \text{ K}$	5
7	Compton	$\Lambda_7 = 1.017 \times 10^{-37} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 (T - T_{\text{CMB}}) n(e)$	3
8	Gas-grain heat transfer	$\Lambda_8 = 5.83 \times 10^{-8} n_{\text{H}\rho} (T/10^3 \text{ K})^{1/2} [1 - 0.8 \exp(-75 \text{ K}/T)] (T - T_{\text{gr}}) (Z/Z_{\odot}) \epsilon_{\text{d}}$	1,2
9	Line cooling	$\Lambda_9 = \Lambda_{\text{H}_2} + \Lambda_{\text{CII}} + \Lambda_{\text{OI}} + \Lambda_{\text{OII}} + \Lambda_{\text{OIII}}$	

References. (1) Hollenbach & McKee (1979) (2) Omukai (2000) (3) Cen (1992) (4) Spitzer (1978) (5) Shapiro & Kang (1987) (6) Hosokawa et al. (2016)




Figure B1. Evolution of the mass accretion rates at $Z = 0$ for the fiducial case Prm (blue), the case with the higher resolution (Prm_highres, green), and the case with the smaller sink of 10 au (Prm_rsink10au, orange).

A2.4 Dust grains

We estimate the dust temperature assuming the energy balance among (1) dust thermal emission, (2) absorption of the stellar direct light, (3) absorption of the diffuse light, and (4) energy transport from the gas. We use the opacity table of [Laor & Draine \(1993\)](#) and the energy transport rate between dust and gas given as

$$\Lambda_8 = 5.83 \times 10^{-8} n_H \left(\frac{T}{10^3 \text{ K}} \right)^{1/2} \left[1 - 0.8 \exp \left(\frac{-75 \text{ K}}{T} \right) \right] (T - T_{\text{gr}}) \quad (\text{A11})$$

(e.g., [Hollenbach & McKee 1979](#); [Omukai et al. 2005](#)). We also consider the sublimation of dust grains above a threshold temperature $T_{\text{vap}} = g\rho^\beta$, where $g = 2000$ and $\beta = 0.0195$ ([Isella & Natta 2005](#)). When the dust temperature exceeds this value, we decrease the dust abundance over a local dynamical timescale.

APPENDIX B: CONVERGENCE TESTS

In order to examine numerical convergence, we here perform additional 2D simulations for which we vary the spatial resolution and size of the sink cell. We use the snapshot at the end of the early collapse stage at $Z = 0$ as the initial condition for those simulations, as in case Prm described in the main part. Figure B1 shows the accretion histories for the cases with the default resolution ($N_r \cdot N_\theta = (150 \cdot 40)$), the higher resolution ($N_r \cdot N_\theta = (240 \cdot 60)$), and the smaller sink radius 10 au with ($N_r \cdot N_\theta = (170 \cdot 40)$), for which the cell sizes are the same as for the default resolution (models Prm_highres and Prm_rsink10au in Table 1). In comparison to the fiducial model Prm, the final stellar masses decrease in the other cases, but the differences are less than a few $\times 10\%$. The decreasing rate of the mass accretion rate is almost the same after the protostar accretes gas of $400 M_\odot$. Owing to high computational costs, we decide that the resolution of ($N_r \cdot N_\theta = (150 \cdot 40)$) and the sink radius of 30 au are sufficient to investigate massive star formation in our framework.




Figure C1. Differences between the original 3D data and the mapped 2D data. Top and bottom panels show the temperature and density distributions on the disk midplane in the cases with $Z = 0$ (left column) and $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ (right column). The colors represent the mass fraction of 3D cells that have the corresponding temperature and density. The orange dashed lines represent the values used in the 2D simulation.

APPENDIX C: COMPARISON OF 3D AND 2D SIMULATION DATA

When we generate the initial conditions for our simulations of protostellar accretion, we convert the original 3D data to 2D data in the polar coordinates, as described in Section 2.1. To do this, we simply use physical values in a plane. We study how our strategy affects the simulations by estimating the information lost through the data mapping process. Figure C1 show the differences of the density and temperature between the 3D and 2D data. In the case with $Z = 0$, the 3D data shows small scatter around the averaged 2D profiles at a given radius. The deviations in the azimuthal direction are small because the cloud has nearly spherical morphology, as shown in Figure 3. The gas distribution is well approximated by the axially symmetric profiles. Contrastingly, the cloud with $Z = 10^{-2} Z_\odot$ has filamentary structure that has developed during the collapse phase owing to efficient metal cooling. Such non-axisymmetric structure causes deviations from mapped values, as indicated by the spread of the 3D data presented in Figure C1. Specifically, the temperature distribution shows the large scatter at $r \sim 10^3$ AU and our 2D profile does not necessarily trace the typical values. However, the scatter is relatively small for the range of $10^4 - 10^5$ AU, within which $\sim 100 - 1000 M_\odot$ of the gas is enclosed (see Fig. 2). Since we mainly consider the stellar radiative feedback that becomes effective after the star accretes $\sim 100 M_\odot$ of the gas, we expect that the scatters seen in the 3D data do not significantly change our conclusions.

In the mapping procedure, we calculate the angular momentum of the gas contained in the central 0.01 pc (2×10^3 au) region in order to fix the rotational axis. Since our choice of 0.01 pc is somewhat arbitrary, we study the effect of varying the radial extent. We compare the expected mass inflow rate and the rotational velocity with different choices of 0.01 and 0.1 pc in Figure C2. In the primordial case with $Z = 0$, the cloud is nearly spherical, and the direction of the angular momentum vector does not differ significantly regardless of the size of the region considered. Note that the differences in the radial distribution are also small (Figure C2). The deviations are more prominent for the cases with higher




Figure C2. Same as Figure 2 but for cases with different sizes of the central region set for determining the rotational axis. The top and bottom panels show the expected mass inflow rate and rotational velocity distributions at the end of the collapse phase. The solid and dashed lines represent the cases where we calculate the angular momentum vector in the 0.01 and 0.1 pc central regions.

metallicities $Z = 10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2} Z_{\odot}$. If the cloud has filamentary structure, the angular momentum vector differs slightly between the cases with 0.01 and 0.1 pc. Nonetheless, the differences are still only a few $\times 10\%$ in the outer parts of the accretion envelope $M(r) \gtrsim 100 M_{\odot}$. Therefore, we expect that our results do not crucially depend on the choice of the central region for fixing the rotational axis.

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.