

ON THE USE OF THE RIESZ TRANSFORMS TO DETERMINE
THE PRESSURE TERM IN THE INCOMPRESSIBLE
NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS ON THE WHOLE SPACE

BORYS ÁLVAREZ-SAMANIEGO

*Núcleo de Investigadores Científicos
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad Central del Ecuador (UCE)
Quito, Ecuador*

WILSON P. ÁLVAREZ-SAMANIEGO

*Núcleo de Investigadores Científicos
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad Central del Ecuador (UCE)
Quito, Ecuador*

PEDRO GABRIEL FERNÁNDEZ-DALGO

*Núcleo de Investigadores Científicos
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad Central del Ecuador (UCE)
Quito, Ecuador*

*LaMME, Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne, CNRS
Université Paris-Saclay
91025, Evry, France*

E-mail addresses: balvarez@uce.edu.ec, borys_yamil@yahoo.com,
wpalvarez@uce.edu.ec, alvarezwilson@hotmail.com, pedro.fernandez@univ-evry.fr.

Date: March 23, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q30; 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations; pressure term; weighted spaces.

ABSTRACT. We give some conditions under which the pressure term in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the entire d -dimensional Euclidean space is determined by the formula $\nabla p = \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}) \right)$, where $d \in \{2, 3\}$, $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is the fluid velocity, $\mathbb{F} := (F_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$ is the forcing tensor, and for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, \mathcal{R}_k is the k -th Riesz transform.

1. INTRODUCTION

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , with $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, are the key governing equations of viscous fluid flows with a divergence-free fluid velocity vector field occupying all the \mathbb{R}^d space, which are given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{NS})$$

where $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ is the fluid velocity, p is the fluid pressure, $\mathbb{F} := (F_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$ is the forcing tensor, $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i F_{i,1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i F_{i,d} \right)$, and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i u_i$.

We now introduce several notations, preliminary results and definitions that will be helpful in the sequel. For all $y := (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we write $|y| := \sqrt{|y_1|^2 + \dots + |y_d|^2}$. For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and for every $\gamma > 0$, we denote by $w_\gamma := w_{d,\gamma}$, the weight function, provided by

$$w_\gamma: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x \longmapsto w_\gamma(x) := \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^\gamma}.$$

For all $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, for every $\delta > 0$, and for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, we use the notation $L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to represent the weighted L^p -space given by $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_\delta(x) dx)$. Moreover, for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\mathcal{R}_j := \mathcal{R}_{d,j}$ denotes the j -th Riesz transform, given by

$$\mathcal{R}_j := \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}.$$

Let \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{u} be two vector fields on \mathbb{R}^d . The *tensor product*, $\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u}$, of \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{u} , is defined as the $d \times d$ -matrix, given, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, by $(\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u})_{i,j} := b_i u_j$. Thus, if $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$, we have that $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u})$.

Recently, P.G. Fernández-Dalgo and P.G. Lemari-Rieusset ([6]) gave a general characterization for the pressure term in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

(NS) on the whole Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , with $d \in \{2, 3\}$. In [6], the authors consider velocities $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$ belonging to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, with $\gamma \in \{d, d + 1\}$. In addition, if $\mathbf{u} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and $\mathbb{F}(t, x) := (F_{i,j}(t, x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, it was also shown in [6] that p is given, up to constants, by

$$p = \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d ((1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}),$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \psi \text{ has compact support}\}$ is a real-valued function such that $\varphi = 1$ on a neighborhood of 0, $*$ stands for the *convolution product*, and G_d is the *fundamental solution of the Laplace equation* on \mathbb{R}^d , i.e., $-\Delta G_d = \delta$. So, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, with $d \in \{2, 3\}$,

$$G_2(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{1}{|x|} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad G_3(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x|}.$$

Furthermore, in the more favorable case, when $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and $\mathbb{F} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, with $0 < \gamma < \frac{5}{2}$, the authors in [7] showed that p is determined, up to constants, by the more simple formula

$$p = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}).$$

The following definition concerns the *Muckenhoupt class* of weights.

Definition 1.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $1 < p < +\infty$. A nonnegative real-valued measurable function, $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, belongs to the Muckenhoupt $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ class if it is locally integrable and it satisfies the reverse Hölder inequality, with conjugate exponents p and $q := \frac{p}{p-1}$, given by*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, R > 0} \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty. \quad (1.1)$$

The next result is a d -dimensional generalization of Lemma 1 in [7], where it was assumed that $d = 3$.

Lemma 1.1 (Muckenhoupt weights). *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < \delta < d$, and $1 < p < +\infty$. Then, w_δ belongs to the Muckenhoupt $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ class.*

Proof. We suppose that $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < \delta < d$, and $1 < p < +\infty$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that $|y - x| < R$.

(i) First, we assume that $R \in (0, 1]$. Then, $1 + |y| \leq 1 + |x - y| + |x| < 1 + R +$

$|x| \leq 2 + |x| \leq 2(1 + |x|)$. Proceeding similarly as in the last expression, we get $1 + |x| < 2(1 + |y|)$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + |x|) < 1 + |y| < 2(1 + |x|). \quad (1.2)$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2^\delta}{(1 + |x|)^\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(2^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}} \cdot (1 + |x|)^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = 4^{\frac{\delta}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We now pretend that $R > 1$.

- We first consider the case when $|x| > 10R$. Then, $1 + |y| \leq 1 + |x - y| + |x| < 1 + R + |x| < 1 + \left(\frac{1}{10} + 1\right) |x| \leq \frac{11}{10}(1 + |x|)$. Moreover, $1 + |x| \leq 1 + |x - y| + |y| < 1 + R + |y| < 1 + \frac{1}{10}|x| + |y|$. Thus, $\frac{9}{10}(1 + |x|) < 1 + \frac{9}{10}|x| < 1 + |y|$. So,

$$\frac{9}{10}(1 + |x|) < 1 + |y| < \frac{11}{10}(1 + |x|). \quad (1.3)$$

By using (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left[\left(\frac{10}{9}\right)^\delta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^\delta} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{11}{10}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}} \cdot (1 + |x|)^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = \left(\frac{11}{9}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

- Finally, we presume that $|x| \leq 10R$. Since $|B(0, R)| = |B(x, R)|$, and $B(x, R) \subset B(0, 11R)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} w_\delta(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|B(0, R)|} \int_{B(0, 11R)} w_\delta(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|B(0, R)|} \int_{B(0, 11R)} w_\delta(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dy \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{R^d} \int_0^{11R} \frac{1}{(1+r)^\delta} \cdot r^{d-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{R^d} \int_0^{11R} (1+r)^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}} \cdot r^{d-1} dr \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq c_{\delta, p} \left(\frac{1}{R^d} \int_0^{11R} \frac{1}{r^\delta} \cdot r^{d-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{R^d} \int_0^{11R} r^{d-1} dr \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\frac{1}{R^d} \int_0^{11R} r^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}+d-1} dr \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c_{\delta,p} \cdot \left(\frac{11^{d-\delta}}{R^\delta \cdot (d-\delta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{11^d}{d} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\frac{11^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}+d} \cdot R^{\frac{\delta}{p-1}}}{\frac{\delta}{p-1} + d} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right] \\
 &= c_{\delta,p} \cdot \frac{11^d}{(d-\delta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \left(\frac{(11R)^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}}{d^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\delta}{p-1} + d \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \\
 &\leq c_{\delta,p} \cdot \frac{11^d}{(d-\delta)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\delta}{p-1} + d \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (i) and (ii), the lemma follows. \square

The following result will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < \delta < d$, and $1 < p < +\infty$. Then, the following assertions hold.*

- For all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the j -th Riesz transform, $\mathcal{R}_j := \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, is a bounded linear operator on $L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., there exists a constant $C := C_{d,\delta,p} > 0$ such that for all $f \in L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_j f\|_{L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

- The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator is a bounded nonlinear operator on $L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., there is a constant $K := K_{d,\delta,p} > 0$ such that for all $g \in L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}g\|_{L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K \|g\|_{L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof. These are properties of the Muckenhoupt $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ class (we refer to [8]). \square

The main result of this paper and its proof are presented in the next section. It is an improvement of Corollary 2 in [7], where it was given a characterization of the pressure term, ∇p , in the incompressible 3D Navier-Stokes equations (NS) on the whole Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . More specifically, Theorem 2.1 below considers the dimension of the Euclidean space $d \in \{2, 3\}$, while Corollary 2 in [7] refers only to the case of dimension 3. Moreover, in the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, for $d = 3$, we suppose that $\gamma \in (0, 3)$, where γ is the parameter of the weight function, w_γ , whereas Corollary 2 in [7] concerns the case $\gamma \in (0, 5/2)$. It deserves to remark that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 imply the assumptions of Theorem 1 in [6]; however, Theorem 2.1 allows us to manipulate the pressure term in the Navier-Stokes equations more easily, by using the Riesz transforms, than employing the

techniques of Theorem 1 in [6]. Furthermore, we notice that in a recent work, Z. Bradshaw and T.P. Tsai ([3]) study local expansions for the pressure term in the Navier-Stokes equations. To end this section, we observe that some related results can also be found in [2], [4, 5], and [9–16].

2. DETERMINATION OF THE PRESSURE TERM IN THE INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS ON THE FULL EUCLIDEAN SPACE

The primary goal of this section is Theorem 2.1 below. It can be used to obtain a priori controls for solutions of the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in weighted L^2 -spaces, and to study existence, uniqueness, and regularity of these solutions. We note that A. Basson ([1]) proved uniqueness of the solutions of the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, for uniformly locally square integrable initial data. It was also proposed in [1] the open problem concerning the uniqueness of solutions of the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, for initial data \mathbf{u}_0 belonging to the space $B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where

$$\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 := \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{|y| \leq R} |\mathbf{u}_0(y)|^2 dy < +\infty.$$

It deserves to remark that our estimates for the pressure term, given in (2.5) and (2.7) below, may be used to study the problem regarding the uniqueness of solutions of the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, when the initial data belongs to the weighted L^2 -space, $L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $0 < \gamma < 2$. With respect to the aforementioned spaces, we observe that (see Section 7 in [6])

$$L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset B_2(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset L_{w_\delta}^p(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

for $0 < \gamma \leq 2 < \delta$. Now, we go to the major theorem of this manuscript.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $d \in \{2, 3\}$, $0 < \gamma < d$, and $0 < T < +\infty$. We suppose that $\mathbb{F} := (F_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \in L^2((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Let \mathbf{u} be a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla q + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

such that $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We also assume that the pressure, q , belongs to the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the gradient of the pressure is given by the formula

$$\nabla q = \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}) \right).$$

If we, moreover, suppose that $r \in \left(1, \min\left\{\frac{d}{d-1}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\right\}\right)$, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{d}{r} = d$, we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j) \in L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j F_{i,j} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. We let

$$p := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}).$$

We will show that $\nabla q - \nabla p = 0$, where q is the pressure term in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on \mathbb{R}^d given in (2.1). We begin by demonstrating that p is well-defined. Let $1 < r < \min\left\{\frac{d}{d-1}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\right\}$. We take $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{d}{r} = d$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b}$. Thus, $\frac{2}{a} = d - \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b}$, and $0 < d - \frac{d}{r} < 1$.

As $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, by using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality, we obtain that $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u} \in L^a((0, T), L^b(\mathbb{R}^d))$. In fact, for almost all $t \in (0, T)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C_{d,b} \|\nabla(\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(t))\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b}} \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1 - (\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b})} \\ &\leq \gamma C_{d,b} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)})^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1 - (\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b})}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\frac{2}{a} = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{b}$, and integrating with respect to time, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a ds &\leq \gamma C_{d,b} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty((0,T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^{a-2} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^T (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)})^2 ds \quad (2.2) \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u} \in L^a((0, T), L^b(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and using Hölder's inequality with indices $\frac{2}{r}$ and $\frac{b}{r}$, we obtain that for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $w_\gamma u_i u_j \in L^a((0, T), L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_i u_j\|_{L^a((0,T), L^r_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &= \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_i(\cdot) \sqrt{w_\gamma} u_j(\cdot)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|L^a(0,T) \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_j(\cdot)\|_{L^b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|L^a(0,T) \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_i\|_{L^\infty((0,T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_j\|_{L^a((0,T), L^b(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of the Riesz transforms on $L^r_{w_{r\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Corollary 1.1 above), and employing the fact that $0 < r\gamma < d$, we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j) \in L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_{r\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (2.4)$$

and, more precisely, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j) \right\|_{L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_{r\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & \leq C_{d,\gamma,r} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|u_i u_j\|_{L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_{r\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & \leq C_{d,\gamma,r} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_i\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_j\|_{L^a((0, T), L^b(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{a}} \tilde{C}_{d,\gamma,r} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}^{1+\frac{a-2}{a}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\int_0^T (\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)})^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{a}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where we have used (2.3) and (2.2). Using again the continuity of the Riesz transforms, but this time on the space $L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j F_{i,j} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (2.6)$$

and, moreover,

$$\left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j F_{i,j} \right\|_{L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C_{d,\gamma} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|F_{i,j}\|_{L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.4)-(2.7) that p is well-defined, and

$$p := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j) - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j F_{i,j} \in L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_{s\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) + L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

We now consider the expression $\nabla q - \nabla p$. By taking the divergence of both members of the first equation of (2.1), we find that $\Delta(q - p) = 0$. In fact, as $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, we

have that $\nabla \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta q &= \nabla \cdot \nabla q = -\nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) \\
 &= -\nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) \\
 &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}) \\
 &= \Delta \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial_i}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (u_i u_j - F_{i,j}) \\
 &= \Delta p.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{T}{2})$. Let $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a nonnegative real-valued function such that for all $t \in \{s \in \mathbb{R}; |s| \geq \varepsilon_0\}$, $\alpha(t) = 0$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha(s) ds = 1$. Moreover, let $\beta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a nonnegative real-valued function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \beta(x) dx = 1$. We use the symbol $\alpha \otimes \beta$ to indicate the real-valued function given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \otimes \beta: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
 (s, x) &\longmapsto (\alpha \otimes \beta)(s, x) := \alpha(s) \beta(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, for all $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we write $(\alpha \otimes \Delta \beta)(s, x) := \alpha(s) \Delta \beta(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta)(s, x) := (\alpha(s) \partial_1 \beta(x), \dots, \alpha(s) \partial_d \beta(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, the mollification, $A_{\alpha, \beta, t}$, of $\nabla q - \nabla p$ given by

$$A_{\alpha, \beta, t}(x) := ((\alpha \otimes \beta) * \nabla q - (\alpha \otimes \beta) * \nabla p)(t, x)$$

is well-defined on $(\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, for all $t \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\alpha, \beta, t} &= ((-\partial_t \alpha \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes \Delta \beta) * \mathbf{u})(t, \cdot) + ((\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta) * (-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbb{F}))(t, \cdot) \\
 &\quad - ((\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta) * p)(t, \cdot),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where for every $s \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$, and for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $((\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta) * (-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbb{F}))(s, x)$ denotes the vector whose i -th coordinate is given by $\sum_{j=1}^d ((-u_i u_j + F_{i,j}) * (\alpha \otimes \partial_i \beta))(s, x)$, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. For almost all $t \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$, we will prove that $A_{\alpha, \beta, t}$ is necessarily zero, by demonstrating that it is a harmonic tempered distribution, and therefore it is a polynomial, and finally by showing that $A_{\alpha, \beta, t}$ belongs to a space which does not contain nontrivial polynomials. Since $\gamma \in (0, d)$, and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{d(2-r)}{2r} + \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} < d,$$

there exist real numbers σ and η such that

$$1 < \sigma < \min \left\{ \frac{d}{d-1}, \frac{d}{\gamma} \right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\max \left\{ \gamma, \frac{d(2-\sigma)}{2\sigma} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right\} < \eta < \frac{d}{\sigma} < d. \quad (2.11)$$

For almost every $t \in (0, T)$, by using (2.4), (2.6) and (2.10), we see that

$$p(t) \in L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and, of course, by employing (2.3) and (2.10), we get

$$(-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbb{F})(t) \in L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since for all function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and for every locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $|f * \varphi| \leq C_{\varphi} \mathcal{M}f$, it follows that the convolution with a function belonging to $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a bounded linear operator defined on $L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and also on $L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, from (2.9), for almost every $t \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$, we obtain that

$$A_{\alpha,\beta,t} \in L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and therefore $A_{\alpha,\beta,t}$ is a tempered distribution. Moreover, for all $t \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$, $A_{\alpha,\beta,t}$ is a harmonic polynomial. In fact, let $s \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$. Then,

$$\Delta A_{\alpha,\beta,s} = (\alpha \otimes \beta) * \nabla(\Delta(q - p))(s, \cdot) = 0,$$

where in the last expression we have used (2.8). In addition, by using Hölder's inequality, we obtain that

$$L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L_{w_{\sigma\eta}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In fact, for all $g \in L_{w_{\sigma\gamma}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L_{w_{\gamma}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g(x)|^{\sigma} w_{\sigma\eta}(x) dx &\leq \left(\int (|g(x)|^{\sigma} w_{\frac{\sigma\gamma}{2}}(x))^{\frac{2}{\sigma}} dx \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int (1 + |x|)^{-(\sigma\eta - \frac{\sigma\gamma}{2})(\frac{2}{2-\sigma})} dx \right)^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last expression we have used the fact that $\left(\eta - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2\sigma}{2-\sigma}\right) > d$, which follows from (2.11). By using (2.11), we see that $\sigma\eta < d$. Then, the space $L_{w_{\sigma\eta}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ does not contain nontrivial polynomials. Hence, for almost all $t \in (\varepsilon_0, T - \varepsilon_0)$, $A_{\alpha,\beta,t} = 0$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we now let

$$\alpha_{\varepsilon}(t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \alpha\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Since for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha_\varepsilon \otimes \beta_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d} (\alpha_\varepsilon \otimes \beta_\varepsilon)(s, x) ds dx = 1,$$

we conclude that for almost all $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\nabla(q - p)(t, \cdot) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_{\alpha_\varepsilon, \beta_\varepsilon, t} = 0.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Basson, *Solutions spatialement homogènes adaptées des équations de Navier–Stokes*, Thèse, Université d’Évry, 2006.
- [2] Z. Bradshaw, I. Kukavica and T.P. Tsai, *Existence of global weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations in weighted spaces*, preprint arXiv:1910.06929v1 (2019).
- [3] Z. Bradshaw and T.P. Tsai, *On the local pressure expansion for the Navier–Stokes equations*, preprint arXiv:2001.11526 (2020).
- [4] D. Chae and J. Wolf, *Existence of discretely self-similar solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations for initial value in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **35** (2018), 1019–1039.
- [5] P.G. Fernández-Dalgo and O. Jarrín, *Existence of infinite-energy and discretely self-similar global weak solutions for 3D MHD equations*, preprint arXiv:1910.11267v2 (2019).
- [6] P.G. Fernández-Dalgo and P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Characterisation of the pressure term in the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations on the whole space*, preprint arXiv:2001.10436 (2020).
- [7] P.G. Fernández-Dalgo and P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Weak solutions for Navier–Stokes equations with initial data in weighted L^2 spaces*, preprint arXiv:1906.11038 (2019).
- [8] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis* (2nd ed.), Graduate Texts in Mathematics **250**, Springer, USA, 2009.
- [9] H. Jia and V. Šverák, *Local-in-space estimates near initial time for weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations and forward self-similar solutions*, Invent. Math. **196** (2014), 233–265.
- [10] N. Kikuchi and G. Seregin, *Weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier–Stokes equations satisfying the local energy inequality*, Nonlinear equations and spectral theory, 141164, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 220, Adv. Math. Sci., 59, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [11] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Solutions faibles d’énergie infinie pour les équations de Navier–Stokes dans \mathbb{R}^3* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328** (1999), 1133–1138.
- [12] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics **431**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [13] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *The Navier–Stokes problem in the 21st century*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.

- [14] J. Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math. **63** (1934), 193-248.
- [15] M.I. Vishik and A.V. Foursikov, *Solutions statistiques homogènes des systèmes différentiels paraboliques et du système de Navier-Stokes*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **4** (1977), 531-576.
- [16] J. Wolf, *On the local pressure of the Navier-Stokes equations and related systems*, Adv. Differential Equations **22** (2017), 305-338.