EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS FOR A SUPERCRITICAL K-HESSIAN INEQUALITY OF SOBOLEV-TYPE

JOSÉ FRANCISCO DE OLIVEIRA AND PEDRO UBILLA

ABSTRACT. Our main purpose in this paper is to investigate a supercritical Sobolev-type inequality for the k-Hessian operator acting on $\Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B)$, the space of radially symmetric k-admissible functions on the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We also prove both the existence of admissible extremal functions for the associated variational problem and the solvability of a related k-Hessian equation with supercritical growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that Sobolev-type inequalities and the corresponding variational problems play an important role in many branches of mathematics such as analysis, partial differential equations, geometric analysis, and calculus of variations. The classical Sobolev inequality provides an optimal embedding from the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$ into the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \leq 2^* = 2N/(N-2)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, with $N \geq 3$ is a bounded smooth domain. By restricting to the Sobolev space of radially symmetric functions about the origin $H^1_{0,\mathrm{rad}}(B)$, where B is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N , J.M. do Ó, B. Ruf, and P. Ubilla in [16] were able to prove a variant of the Sobolev inequality giving an embedding into non-rearrangement invariant spaces $L_{p(x)}(B)$, the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, which goes beyond the critical exponent 2^{*}. Namely, it was proven that

(1.1)
$$\mathcal{U}_{N,\alpha} = \sup\left\{\int_{B} |u|^{2^* + |x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \mid u \in H^1_{0,\mathrm{rad}}(B), \ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B)} = 1\right\} < \infty$$

for all $\alpha > 0$. In addition, the supremum in (1.1) is attained under the condition

(1.2)
$$0 < \alpha < \min\{N/2, N-2\}$$

As an application, the authors were also able to prove that the following supercritical elliptic equation

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{2^* + |x|^{\alpha} - 2}u, & \text{in } B\\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

admits at least one positive solution for all $0 < \alpha < \min\{N/2, N-2\}$. This, is somewhat surprising, for the nonlinearity term has strictly supercritical growth except in the origin. Based on these results, the authors in [4] were able to show the existence of infinitely many nodal solutions for problem (1.3). The inequality (1.1) and its applications have currently captured attention. In recent work [22], Q.A. Ngô and V.H. Nguyen proved that the inequality (1.1) and its extremal problem can be extended for higher order Sobolev

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20, 35J60, 35J65, 35J50.

Key words and phrases. k-Hessian; Supercritical growth; Extremal Function; Elliptic equations.

The second author was partially supported by FONDECYT 1181125, 1161635 and 1171691.

spaces $H_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^m(B), m \ge 1$, while in [12] suitable extension including $W_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^{1,p}(B), p \ge 2$ has been done, motivated by the classical Hardy inequality [18]. For more results related to this class of problems, the reader can see [8, 11, 19].

Now, let us introduce F_k , $1 \le k \le N$ be the k-Hessian operator defined by

$$F_k[u] = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le N} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$ are the eigenvalues of the real symmetric Hessian matrix $D^2 u$ of a function $u \in C^2(\Omega)$. Alternatively, $F_k[u]$ is the sum of all $k \times k$ principal minors of the Hessian matrix $D^2 u$, which coincides with the Laplacian $F_1[u] = \Delta u$ if k = 1 and the Monge-Ampère operator $F_N[u] = \det(D^2 u)$ if k = N.

The main purpose in this work is to provide a version of the inequality (1.1) for the k-Hessian operator ($k \ge 1$) and to analyze the existence of optimizer for related extremal problem.

Before introducing our results, let us briefly explain the range and ingredients presented in the paper. For $k = 1, \ldots, N$, the k-Hessian operators have a divergence structure (see for instance [25]), but their variational structure is not compatible with any classical Sobolev space $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega), m \ge 1, p \ge 2$ in the fully nonlinear range $k = 2, \ldots, N$, see for instance [28]. In addition, operators F_k , k = 2, ..., N are not elliptic on whole space $C^2(\Omega)$. In fact, we must consider the k-admissible function space $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ proposed by L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck in the pioneer work [3], which is the subspace of the functions $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ such that $F_j[u] \ge 0, \ j = 1, \dots, k$. Although the $F_k, k = 2, \dots, N$ are elliptic when restricted to $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$, we must now prove that our maximizer is a smooth function. We also observe that we cannot use the variational theory directly on $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ because we don't know the behavior of a functional $I: \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ near of the boundary. To overcome this, a descent gradient flow of I has been employed for some authors, see for instance [26, 28]. In this work, by using a Hardy-type inequality [20], we are able to carry out the analysis in a suitable weighted Sobolev space which was already employed in [11, 13, 25], see Section 2 below. Note that the space $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ has been used by several authors to study the k-Hessian equation. For instance, existence, multiplicity, uniqueness, and asymptotic behavior of radially symmetric k-admissible solutions of the k-Hessian equation were investigated in [5, 9, 23, 25, 29, 30]; while details on space $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ and more general results can be found in [3, 6, 21, 26-28] and references therein.

As observed in [28], the expression

(1.4)
$$||u||_{\Phi_0^k} = \left(\int_{\Omega} (-u)F_k[u]\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}, \ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)$$

defines a norm on $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$. In addition, the following Sobolev type inequality holds: there exists a constant $C = C(N, k, p, \Omega)$ such that

(1.5)
$$||u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C ||u||_{\Phi_0^k}, \forall \ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)$$

for any $1 \le p \le k^*$, where

$$k^* = \frac{N(k+1)}{N-2k}, \quad 1 \le k < \frac{N}{2}$$

is the optimal exponent of the inequality (1.5).

Our first main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha > 0$ be real number and assume $1 \le k < N/2$. Then

(1.6)
$$\sup\left\{\int_{B} |u(x)|^{k^* + |x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \mid u \in \Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B), \ \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k} = 1\right\} < \infty,$$

where $\Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B)$ is the subspace of radially symmetric functions in $\Phi_0^k(B)$.

The above result represents the counterpart of (1.1) to the fully nonlinear case $F_k, k \ge 2$ (recall $F_1[u] = \Delta u$).

In the same line of [16], one can see that Theorem 1.1 ensures the continuous embedding of the k-admissible function space $\Phi_{0,\text{rad}}^k(B)$ into the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}}(B)$. Precisely,

Corollary 1.2. Let $1 \le k < N/2$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then the following embedding is continuous

$$\Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B) \hookrightarrow L_{k^* + |x|^\alpha}(B),$$

where $L_{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}}(B)$ denotes the variable exponent Lebesgue space defined by

$$L_{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}}(B) = \left\{ u: B \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is mensurable } | \int_B |u(x)|^{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x < +\infty \right\},$$

with the norm

$$||u||_{L_{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}}(B)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \int_B \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{k^*+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \le 1 \right\}.$$

On the attainability, we can prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. For $\alpha > 0$ be real number and $1 \le k < N/2$, we set

(1.7)
$$\mathcal{U}_{k,N,\alpha} = \sup\left\{\int_{B} |u(x)|^{k^* + |x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \mid u \in \Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B), \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k} = 1\right\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{U}_{k,N,\alpha}$ is attained provided that $0 < \alpha < (N-2k)/k$.

In comparison with the attainability of (1.1), which was already obtained in [16], Theorem 1.3 extends that for the fully nonlinear situation $k \ge 2$. In addition, even for k = 1, the range of α (cf. (1.2)) is improved for $0 < \alpha < N - 2$ (see also [22]) and our extremal is an admissible function.

Finally, we study the existence of radially symmetric k-admissible solutions of the k-Hessian equation involving supercritical growth.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose $1 \le k < N/2$ and $0 < \alpha < (N - 2k)/k$, then equation

(1.8)
$$\begin{cases} F_k[u] = (-u)^{k^* + |x|^{\alpha} - 1} \\ u < 0 \\ u = 0 \end{cases} \quad on \ \partial B$$

admits at least one radially symmetric k-admissible solution.

To prove the existence of a radially symmetric solution of Problem (1.8) is equivalent to finding a solution of the following boundary value problem

(1.9)
$$\begin{cases} C_N^k \left(r^{N-k} (w')^k \right)' = N r^{N-1} (-w)^{k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1} \\ w < 0 \\ w(1) = 0, \ w'(0) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ in } (0,1)$$

where w(r) = u(|x|), and $C_n^m = n!/((n-m)!m!)$ is the combinatorial constant. See [5,9,30] for more details. It was recently shown in [11] that the equation (1.9) admits at least one solution $w \in C^2(0,1)$, if $0 < \alpha < \min \{N/(k+1), (N-2k)/k\}$. Theorem 1.4 improves the previous one in the sense that the solution is a k-admissible function and the existence condition is sharpened for $0 < \alpha < (N-2k)/k$.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we show Theorem 1.1 and its consequence the Corollary 1.2. Section 3 is devoted to the study of an auxiliary extremal problem. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is given in Section 4. In Section 5, we ensure the existence of a radially symmetric k-admissible solution of the nonlinear equation (1.8).

2. The inequality: Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to prove Theorem 1.1. In order to use variational techniques, we are going to employ the weighted Sobolev space $X_R = X_R^{1,k+1}$ which consists of all functions $v \in AC_{loc}(0, R)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{r \to R} v(r) = 0, \quad \int_0^R r^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r < \infty,$$

where $AC_{loc}(0, R)$ is the set of all locally absolutely continuous functions on interval (0, R). If $0 < R < \infty$, then X_R is a Banach space endowed with the gradient norm

(2.1)
$$\|v\|_{X_R} = \left(\omega_{N,k} \int_0^R r^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

where $\omega_{N,k} = (\omega_{N-1}C_N^k)/N$ is a normalising constant, and ω_{N-1} represents the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N . For more details on the weighted Sobolev space above as well as its applications, we refer the reader to [11–13,15] and to the recent work [15] where an inherent discussion has been done.

As a byproduct of a Hardy type inequality, which is essentially due to A. Kufner and B. Opic [20] (see also [7]), the following continuous embedding holds:

(2.2)
$$X_R \hookrightarrow L^q_{N-1}, \quad \text{if} \quad q \in [1, k^*] \quad (\text{compact if } q < k^*),$$

where $L_{N-j}^q = L_{N-j}^q(0, R), q \ge 1, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is the weighted Lebesgue space composed by all measurable functions v on (0, R) such that

$$\|v\|_{L^q_{N-j}} = \left(\int_0^R r^{N-j} |v|^q \,\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty.$$

Let $u \in \Phi_{0,\text{rad}}^k(B)$ be arbitrary. We recall that (see for instance [28])

(2.3)
$$||u||_{\Phi_0^k} = \left(\omega_{N,k} \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |u'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

Hence, setting v(r) = u(x), r = |x|, we clearly have $v \in X_1$ satisfying

(2.4)
$$\int_{B} |u|^{k^* + |x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x = \omega_{N-1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r$$

and

(2.5)
$$\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k} = \|v\|_{X_1}.$$

Taking into account (2.4) and (2.5), we are able to transfer our problem to weighted Sobolev space X_1 . Indeed, we will first investigate the variational problem

(2.6)
$$\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} = \sup_{\|v\|_{X_1}=1} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Note that $\mathcal{U}_{k,N,\alpha} \leq \omega_{N-1}\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$, but the converse inequality doesn't hold. However, in [11, Propostion 2.4] has proved that $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$ is finite. Of course, this implies Theorem 1.1 holds. Next, we are going to present another proof of Theorem 1.1 which works for more general situation, see Lemma 2.2 below. It will be necessary to obtain regularity estimate for extremal function in Section 4 below.

2.1. **Proof Theorem 1.1.** We start with the following radial type Lemma (cf. [24]).

Lemma 2.1. Assume $1 \le k < N/2$. Then, for any $0 < r \le 1$

(2.7)
$$|v(r)| \le \left[\overline{c}(r^{-\frac{N-2k}{k}}-1)\right]^{\frac{k}{k+1}} ||v||_{X_1}, \quad \forall v \in X_1,$$

where \overline{c} is a positive constant depending only on N and k.

Proof. Let $v \in X_1$ be arbitrary. Using the Hölder inequality one has

$$|v(r)| \leq \int_{r}^{1} |v'(s)| ds \leq \left(\int_{r}^{1} s^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(\int_{r}^{1} s^{-\frac{N-k}{k}} ds\right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \\ = \left[\overline{c} (r^{-\frac{N-2k}{k}} - 1)\right]^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \|v\|_{X_{1}},$$

where $\overline{c} = k(\omega_{N,k})^{-1/k}/(N-2k)$.

The next result is a generalization of the supercritical Sobolev inequality of Do Ó, Ruf, and Ubilla [16, Theorem 2.1] to k-Hessian critical exponent k^* , $k \ge 2$. Our proof is inspired by [22, Lemma 2.3] which allows us to assume a behavior of f near 0 weaker than that of [16], and we do not assume any behavior of f near 1.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $f \in C([0,1), \mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies the conditions

 $(f_1) f(0) = 0 and f(r) > 0 for all r > 0,$

(f₂) there exists c > 0 such that $f(r) |\log r| \le c$, for r near 0. Then

$$\sup_{v \in X_1, \, \|v\|_{X_1} = 1} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + f(r)} \mathrm{d}r < \infty.$$

Proof. For any $v \in X_1$, with $||v||_{X_1} = 1$, Lemma 2.1 yields

(2.8)
$$|v(r)| \le \frac{c}{r^{\frac{N}{k^*}}}, \quad 0 < r \le 1.$$

for some constant c = c(k, N) > 1. We write

(2.9)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + f(r)} dr = \int_0^\rho r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + f(r)} dr + \int_\rho^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + f(r)} dr,$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$ will be chosen later. Firstly, from (2.8)

$$f(r)\log\left(\frac{c}{r^{\frac{N}{k^*}}}\right) \le \left(\log c + \frac{N}{k^*}|\log r|\right)f(r).$$

Thus, the assumptions (f_1) and (f_2) together with the continuity of f imply

$$\sup_{r \in (0,\rho]} \left(\frac{c}{r^{\frac{N}{k^*}}}\right)^{f(r)} \le c_0$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. Hence, using (2.2) it follows that

(2.10)
$$\int_{0}^{\rho} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*}+f(r)} \mathrm{d}r \leq \int_{0}^{\rho} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*}} \left(\frac{c}{r^{\frac{N}{k^{*}}}}\right)^{f(r)} \mathrm{d}r$$
$$\leq c_{0} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \leq C,$$

for some C > 0, which doesn't depend of $v \in X_1$, with $||v||_{X_1} = 1$. Further, directly from (2.7), choosing $\rho = (\overline{c}/(1+\overline{c}))^{k/(N-2k)}$ one has

$$|v(r)| \le 1$$
, for all $r \ge \rho$.

Hence

(2.11)
$$\int_{\rho}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*} + f(r)} \mathrm{d}r \le \int_{\rho}^{1} \mathrm{d}r \le 1.$$

Thus, (2.10) and (2.11) together with (2.9) yield our result.

2.2. **Proof Corollary 1.2.** Let $u \in \Phi_{0,\text{rad}}^k(B)$ be arbitrary nonzero function. From Theorem 1.1, there is C > 0 such that

$$\int_{B} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_{\Phi_{0}^{k}}} \right|^{k^{*}+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \leq C.$$

Thus, for any $\lambda > 1$

$$\int_{B} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda \|u\|_{\Phi_{0}^{k}}} \right|^{k^{*}+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{k^{*}}} \int_{B} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_{\Phi_{0}^{k}}} \right|^{k^{*}+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{k^{*}}}.$$

Consequently, by taking $\lambda_* > 0$ such that $C/\lambda_*^{k^*} \leq 1$ one has

$$||u||_{L_{k^*}+|x|^{\alpha}}(B) \le \lambda_* ||u||_{\Phi_0^k}$$

and the proof is completed.

3. An Auxiliary extremal problem

Let us denote by $\mathcal{V}_{k,N}$ the best constant to the embedding (2.2), for $q = k^*$ and R = 1. Namely,

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{V}_{k,N} = \sup_{\|v\|_{X_1}=1} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r.$$

It is well known that the supremum in (3.1) is not attained (cf. [7]), nevertheless we will be able to show that the supercritical extremal problem (2.6) is attained. Precisely,

Proposition 3.1. Suppose $1 \le k < N/2$ and $0 < \alpha < (N-2k)/k$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} = \sup_{\|v\|_{X_1}=1} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r$$

is attained for some $v \in X_1$.

From now on we use the following notation:

Definition 3.2. A sequence $(v_n) \subset X_1$ is normalized concentrating sequence at the origin if

(3.2)
$$||v_n||_{X_1} = 1, v_n \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ weakly in } X_1 \text{ and } \int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \to 0, \forall r_0 > 0.$$

To prove Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to show the following three steps:

Step 1: The strict inequality $\mathcal{V}_{k,N} < \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$ holds;

Step 2: If $(v_n) \subset X_1$ is any normalized concentrating sequence at origin, then

$$\limsup_{n} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \mathcal{V}_{k,N};$$

Step 3: Let $(v_n) \subset X_1$ be any maximizing sequence for $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$ in X_1 . Then, either (v_n) is normalized concentrating at origin or $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$ is attained.

The rest of this section is devoted to prove that these three steps hold.

3.1. **Proof of Step 1.** Firstly, for each $0 < R \leq \infty$, we define

(3.3)
$$S_0(k^*, R) = \inf\left\{\int_0^R r^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \mid v \in X_R; \int_0^R r^{N-1} |v|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r = 1\right\}.$$

It is known that $S_0(k^*, R)$ is independent of R, and that it is achieved when $R = +\infty$ (see [7], for more details). In addition, the functions

(3.4)
$$v_{\varepsilon}^{*}(r) = \hat{c} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{k+1}}}{\varepsilon^{2} + r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2k}{2k}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

with

(3.5)
$$\hat{c} = \left[N \left(\frac{N - 2k}{k} \right)^k \right]^{\frac{N - 2k}{2k}}$$

satisfy

(3.6)
$$S^{\frac{N}{2k}} = \int_0^\infty r^{N-k} |(v_{\varepsilon}^*)'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = \int_0^\infty r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^*|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r,$$

where S denotes the value of $S_0(k^*, R)$ for $R = +\infty$, and then for any R > 0.

Remark 3.3. Note that $(\omega_{N,k}S)^{k^*/(k+1)}\mathcal{V}_{k,N} = 1.$

Lemma 3.4. For any $\beta, \delta \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$, there holds (3.7)

$$\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\beta-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \right)^{\delta} \mathrm{d}r$$

$$\int_{0}^{ck^{*}} \varepsilon^{\beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{N+\beta-1} \left(\log\left(1+s^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta}}{\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s + o(\varepsilon^{\beta})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, \qquad \qquad if \ \beta < N/k$$

$$= \begin{cases} \hat{c}^{k^*} \frac{(2(1-\gamma))^{\delta+1}}{2(\delta+1)} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (-\log\varepsilon)^{\delta+1} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (-\log\varepsilon)^{\delta+1})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \beta = N/k \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\hat{c}^{k^*}\frac{(2(1-\gamma))^{\delta}}{\beta-\frac{N}{k}}\varepsilon^{(\beta-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}(-\log\varepsilon)^{\delta}+o(\varepsilon^{(\beta-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}(-\log\varepsilon)^{\delta})\varepsilon_{\Sigma^0},\quad if\ \beta>N/k.\right)$$

Proof. Using (3.4), by change of variables we have (3.8)

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\beta-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^*|^{k^*} \left(\log\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \right)^{\delta} \mathrm{d}r = \hat{c}^{k^*} \varepsilon^{\beta} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}} \frac{s^{N+\beta-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \left(\log\left(1+s^2\right) \right)^{\delta} \mathrm{d}s.$$

If $\beta < N/k$, we have

(3.9)
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{s^{N+\beta-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \left(\log\left(1+s^2\right)\right)^\delta \mathrm{d}s \le \int_0^\infty \tau^\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{N}{k}-\beta)\tau} \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

If $\beta = N/k$, from the L'Hôpital rule we easily show that

(3.10)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{(-\log \varepsilon)^{\delta+1}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}} \frac{s^{N+\beta-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \left(\log\left(1+s^2\right)\right)^{\delta} \mathrm{d}s = \frac{(2(1-\gamma))^{\delta+1}}{2(\delta+1)}.$$

Analogously, for $\beta > N/k$ we also get

(3.11)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(\beta - \frac{N}{k})(\gamma - 1)} (-\log \varepsilon)^{\delta}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma - 1}} \frac{s^{N + \beta - 1}}{(1 + s^2)^{\frac{k + 1}{2} \frac{N}{k}}} \left(\log \left(1 + s^2\right)\right)^{\delta} \mathrm{d}s = \frac{(2(1 - \gamma))^{\delta}}{\beta - \frac{N}{k}}.$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) that (3.7) holds.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then

(3.12)
$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N+\beta-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)\frac{N}{k}+\beta\gamma}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}, & \text{if } \beta < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}(-\log\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}, & \text{if } \beta = N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}, & \text{if } \beta > N/k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By change of variables we can write

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N+\beta-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = \hat{c}^{k^{*}} \varepsilon^{\beta} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{s^{\beta-\frac{N}{k}-1}}{(1+s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s.$$

If $\beta < N/k$, one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)(\frac{N}{k}-\beta)}} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{s^{\beta-\frac{N}{k}-1}}{(1+s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{(\frac{N}{k}-\beta)}.$$

If $\beta = N/k$

$$0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{-\log \varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{s^{\beta - \frac{N}{k} - 1}}{(1 + s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2} \frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{-\log \varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2} \frac{N}{k}}} \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{-\log \varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{s} \mathrm{d}s = \gamma.$$

Finally, for $\beta > N/k$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{(\beta - \frac{N}{k})} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma - 1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{s^{\beta - \frac{N}{k} - 1}}{(1 + s^{-2})^{\frac{k + 1}{2} \frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{(\beta - \frac{N}{k})} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma - 1}}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} s^{\beta - \frac{N}{k} - 1} \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{(\beta - \frac{N}{k})}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $0 < \gamma < 1$, then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

.

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = \hat{c}^{k^{*}} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{s^{-\frac{N}{k}-1}}{(1+s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s,$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)\frac{N}{k}}} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{s^{-\frac{N}{k}-1}}{(1+s^{-2})^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)\frac{N}{k}} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)\frac{N}{k}-1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}-1}}{(1+\varepsilon^{2})^{\frac{N}{k}\frac{k+1}{2}}} = 0.$$

Let us consider $\eta\in C_0^\infty(0,1)$ to be a fixed cut-off function satisfying

 $\begin{array}{ll} (3.13) & 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \ \eta(r) \equiv 1, \ \forall \, r \in (0, r_0] \ \text{and} \ \eta(r) \equiv 0, \ \forall \, r \in [2r_0, 1], \\ \text{for some } 0 < r_0 < 2r_0 < 1. \end{array}$

In the same line of [2], the following result was recently shown in [11]:

Lemma 3.7. The family
$$(v_{\varepsilon}^*)_{\varepsilon>0}$$
 given by (3.4) satisfies:
(a) $\int_0^1 r^{N-k} |(\eta v_{\varepsilon}^*)'|^{k+1} dr = S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$
(b) $\int_0^1 r^{N-1} |\eta v_{\varepsilon}^*|^{k^*} dr = S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$

NT OI

where η is the cut-off function given by (3.13).

From now on we will denote

(3.14)
$$w_{\varepsilon}(r) = \mathcal{C}\eta(r)v_{\varepsilon}^{*}(r) = A\eta(r)\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{k+1}}}{\varepsilon^{2}+r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2K}{2k}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

where C > 0 is arbitrary and $A = C\hat{c}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\alpha > 0$ and (w_{ε}) be given in (3.14). Then, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$(3.15) \qquad \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_1 |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{\alpha} + o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha \ge N/k \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^* + r^{\alpha}} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \frac{\mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}}{k^*} + \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{k^*} |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{\alpha} + o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha \ge N/k \end{cases}$$

for arbitrary $0 < \gamma < 1/(k+1)$ small enough.

Proof. It follows from the definition of v_{ε}^* in (3.4) that $\mathcal{C}v_{\varepsilon}^*(r) \leq 1$ if and only if

(3.17)
$$r \ge \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \sqrt{A^{\frac{2k}{N-2k}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{2k}{k+1}}} := a_{\varepsilon}.$$

In particular, for any $0 < \gamma < 1/(k+1)$, we have

$$(3.18) 0 < \varepsilon < a_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon^{\gamma} < r_0 < 1,$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Since $w_{\varepsilon} = C\eta v_{\varepsilon}^* \leq 1$ in $(\varepsilon^{\gamma}, 1)$, it follows that

$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Hence, Lemma 3.5 with $\beta = 0$ yields

(3.19)
$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

Analogously, we can see that

(3.20)
$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{N-1} |\mathcal{C}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

Therefore, this together with (3.6) and Lemma 3.6 provides

(3.21)
$$\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r + \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} (\eta^{k^{*}} - 1) |\mathcal{C}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \\ = \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0},$$

because $\eta \equiv 1$ on $(0, \varepsilon^{\gamma})$. It also follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that

(3.22)
$$\int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{C}\eta v_{\varepsilon}^* \leq 1$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ in $(a_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{\gamma})$. Then, for any $r \in (a_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{\gamma})$

$$1 \ge w_{\varepsilon}(r) \ge A\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{k+1}}}{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{2\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{N-2k}{2k}} = A\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}\left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \gamma\right)} (1 + \varepsilon^{2(1-\gamma)})^{-\frac{N-2k}{2k}}$$

which implies

$$0 \ge r^{\alpha} \log |w_{\varepsilon}(r)| \ge \varepsilon^{\alpha \gamma} \log |w_{\varepsilon}(r)|$$

$$\ge \frac{N - 2k}{k} \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \gamma\right) \varepsilon^{\alpha \gamma} \log \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\alpha \gamma} \log \left(A(1 + \varepsilon^{2(1-\gamma)})^{-\frac{N-2k}{2k}}\right)$$

$$= o(1)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0},$$

for any $r \in (a_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{\gamma})$. Hence, by using Taylor's expansion we can write

$$(3.23) \qquad |w_{\varepsilon}(r)|^{r^{\alpha}} = 1 + \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)r^{\alpha} + O\left(\left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}r^{2\alpha}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0},$$

for all $r \in (a_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^{\gamma})$. Thus we have (3.24)

$$\int_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} dr = \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon\right) \int_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} dr + \int_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} dr - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \int_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} dr + O\left(\int_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)^{2} r^{2\alpha} dr\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

Now, our choice (3.18) gives $w_{\varepsilon} = C v_{\varepsilon}^* \ge 1$ on $(0, a_{\varepsilon})$ then

$$(3.25) \qquad 0 \le \left(\log A - \frac{N - 2k}{k + 1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N - 2k}{2k}\log\left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right)r^{\alpha}$$
$$\le \left(\log A - \frac{N - 2k}{k + 1}\log\varepsilon\right)a_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$$
$$= O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{k + 1}}(-\log\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} = o(1)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0},$$

for any $r \in (0, a_{\varepsilon})$. Hence, from Taylor's expansion again we obtain

$$(3.26) \qquad |w_{\varepsilon}|^{r^{\alpha}} = 1 + \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)r^{\alpha} + O\left(\left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)^{2}r^{2\alpha}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

Therefore, (3.27)

$$\begin{aligned} & (3.27) \\ & \int_{0}^{a_{\varepsilon}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon \right) \int_{0}^{a_{\varepsilon}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \\ & + \int_{0}^{a_{\varepsilon}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \int_{0}^{a_{\varepsilon}} r^{N+\alpha-1} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \right) |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \\ & + O\left(\int_{0}^{a_{\varepsilon}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \right) \right)^{2} r^{2\alpha} \mathrm{d}r \right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.19), (3.22) (3.24), and (3.27) that (3.28)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ &+ \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &- \frac{N-2k}{2k} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ O\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+2\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1}\log\varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k}\log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}r\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}. \end{split}$$

Next, we will estimate all integrals on the right hand side of (3.28).

From Lemma 3.4 with for $\delta = 0$ and $\alpha = \beta$, we have (3.29)

$$\left(\log A - \frac{N - 2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon \right) \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{N - 2k}{k+1} A^{k^*} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{s^{N+\alpha-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s + o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ \frac{N - 2k}{k+1} A^{k^*} (1-\gamma)\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^2 + o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^2\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\frac{N-2k}{k+1}A^{k^*}\frac{1}{(\alpha-\frac{N}{k})}\varepsilon^{(\alpha-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}|\log\varepsilon|+o\left(\varepsilon^{(\alpha-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}|\log\varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0},\quad\text{if }\alpha>N/k.$$

Similarly, we obtain

(3.30)
$$\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \log\left(1+\frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \begin{cases} A^{k^{*}} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{N+\alpha-1} \log\left(1+s^{2}\right)}{(1+s^{2})^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s + o(\varepsilon^{\alpha})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} A^{k^{*}} (1-\gamma)^{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^{2} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^{2})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} A^{k^*} (1-\gamma)^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^2 + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^2)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \\ A^{k^*} \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{\alpha - \frac{N}{k}} \varepsilon^{(\alpha - \frac{N}{k})\gamma + \frac{N}{k}} |\log \varepsilon| + o(\varepsilon^{(\alpha - \frac{N}{k})\gamma + \frac{N}{k}} |\log \varepsilon|)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha > N/k. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we also have

$$(3.31) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+2\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \right)^{2} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{2\alpha} (\log \varepsilon)^{2}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } 2\alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}} |\log \varepsilon|^{3}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } 2\alpha = N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{(2\alpha-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}} (\log \varepsilon)^{2}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } 2\alpha > N/k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.28), (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31), we have

$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + \frac{N - 2k}{k+1} A^{k^*} \left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log\varepsilon|\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{N+\alpha-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s + o(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log\varepsilon|)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0},$$

if $\alpha < N/k$. Choosing $\gamma > 0$ small enough such that $N(1-\gamma)/k > \alpha$, we obtain (3.15) with

$$C_1 = \frac{N - 2k}{k+1} A^{k^*} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{N+\alpha-1}}{(1+s^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}\frac{N}{k}}} \mathrm{d}s$$

for $\alpha < N/k$. It also follows from (3.28), (3.29), (3.30), and (3.31) that

$$\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}(\log \varepsilon)^{2}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ + O\left(\varepsilon^{(2\alpha - \frac{N}{k})\gamma + \frac{N}{k}}(\log \varepsilon)^{2}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ = \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0},$$

if $\alpha = N/k$. We also have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r &= \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} + O\left(\varepsilon^{(\alpha-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}(-\log\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ &+ O\left(\varepsilon^{(2\alpha-\frac{N}{k})\gamma+\frac{N}{k}}(\log\varepsilon)^{2}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ &= \mathcal{C}^{k^{*}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma)}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, \end{split}$$

if $\alpha > N/k$. This proves (3.15).

Now we proceed to the proof of the estimate (3.16). Firstly,

(3.32)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} dr = \frac{1}{k^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} dr - \frac{1}{k^{*}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} dr.$$

Since $w_{\varepsilon} = C \eta v_{\varepsilon}^* \leq 1$ in $(\varepsilon^{\gamma}, 1)$, we can write

$$0 \le \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{k^* + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \le \frac{\mathcal{C}^{k^*}}{k^*} \int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} r^{\alpha + N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^*|^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Thus, Lemma 3.5 yields

(3.33)
$$\int_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{1} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} dr = \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{(1-\gamma)\frac{N}{k} + \alpha\gamma}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}(-\log\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha > N/k. \end{cases}$$

Similarly to (3.24) and (3.27), by using (3.23) and (3.26), we can show that (3.34)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{\alpha+N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon \right) \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+2\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{\alpha+N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+2\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \right) \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ O\left(\int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{N+3\alpha-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}} \left(\log A - \frac{N-2k}{k+1} \log \varepsilon - \frac{N-2k}{2k} \log \left(1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \right) \right)^{2} \mathrm{d}r \right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}. \end{split}$$

Finally, combining (3.34) with (3.7), we can write

$$(3.35) \qquad 0 \leq \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \frac{1}{k^{*}} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\gamma}} r^{\alpha + N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r$$

$$= \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}(-\log \varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}(1-\gamma) + \alpha\gamma}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha > N/k. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3.33) and (3.35) that

(3.36)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha < N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}(-\log\varepsilon)\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha = N/k \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, & \text{if } \alpha > N/k. \end{cases}$$

Hence, using (3.15), (3.32) and (3.36), we can see that (3.16) holds.

In the next result we provide the expansion of $||w_{\varepsilon}||_{X_1}^{-(k^*+r^{\alpha})}$ in terms of ε , for a suitable choice of $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

Lemma 3.9. If
$$C = \left(\omega_{N,k}S^{\frac{N}{2k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}$$
, we have
(3.37) $\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_{1}}^{-(k^{*}+r^{\alpha})} = 1 + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0$

for all $r \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, it follows that

(3.38)
$$\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_1} = 1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

Hence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < 1 - \delta \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}} \le \|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_1} \le 1 + \delta \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}$$

for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, for $r \in (0, 1)$ there holds

$$\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_1}^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \le (1+\delta\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \le (1+\delta\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})^{k^*+1} \le 1+\delta_1\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}},$$

for some constant $\delta_1 > 0$. Analogously,

$$\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_1}^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \ge (1-\delta\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \ge (1-\delta\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})^{k^*+1} \ge 1-\delta_2\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}},$$

for some constant $\delta_2 > 0$. Consequently,

$$\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_{1}}^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} = 1 + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

and

$$\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_{1}}^{-(k^{*}+r^{\alpha})} = 1 + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Step 1. Let (w_{ε}) be given by (3.14) with the choice $\mathcal{C} = \left(\omega_{N,k}S^{\frac{N}{2k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}$. From (3.15) and (3.37) together with Remark 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{X_{1}}=1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &\geq \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} \left| \frac{w_{\varepsilon}}{\|w_{\varepsilon}\|_{X_{1}}} \right|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \\ &= \mathcal{V}_{k,N} + \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon| \left[\mathcal{C}_{1} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}}{\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|}\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} + o(1)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \right] \\ &> \mathcal{V}_{k,N}, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \alpha < (N - 2k)/k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

3.2. **Proof of Step 2.** Let $(v_n) \subset X_1$ be a normalized concentrating sequence at the origin. It is sufficient to show that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $\delta > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

(i)
$$\int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \mathcal{V}_{k,N} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}$$

(ii)
$$\int_{\delta}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 (see also, (2.8)), we obtain C > 1 such that

(3.39)
$$|v_n(r)| \le Cr^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}}, \ \forall \ 0 < r \le 1$$

In addition, we clearly have

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} r^{\alpha} \log \left(Cr^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \searrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{e}^s - 1}{s} = 1.$$

Hence, we conclude that

(3.40)

$$\int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} \left(|v_{n}|^{r^{\alpha}} - 1 \right) \mathrm{d}r \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} \left[\exp\left(r^{\alpha} \log\left(Cr^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \right) - 1 \right] \mathrm{d}r$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} r^{\alpha} \left| \log\left(Cr^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \right| \mathrm{d}r$$

$$\leq C_{1} \delta^{\alpha} \left| \log\left(C\delta^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \right| \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r$$

$$\leq C_{1} \delta^{\alpha} \left| \log\left(C\delta^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \right| \mathcal{V}_{k,N},$$

by choosing a small enough $\delta > 0$. Hence, taking some $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \varepsilon, k, N) > 0$ small enough such that

$$C_1 \delta^{\alpha} \left| \log \left(C \delta^{\frac{2k-N}{k+1}} \right) \right| \mathcal{V}_{k,N} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} \mathrm{d}r + \int_{0}^{\delta} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}} \left(|v_{n}|^{r^{\alpha}} - 1 \right) \mathrm{d}r$$
$$\leq \mathcal{V}_{k,N} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which proves (i).

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, for all $r \in (\delta, 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |v_n(r)| &\leq \int_r^1 |v_n'(s)| \mathrm{d}s = \int_r^1 s^{\frac{N-k}{k+1}} |v_n'(s)| s^{-\frac{N-k}{k+1}} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\delta}^1 s^{N-k} |v_n'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left(\int_r^1 s^{-\frac{N-k}{k}} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}} \\ &\leq \delta_n \frac{1}{r^{\frac{N-2k}{k+1}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_n = C\left(\int_{\delta}^1 s^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

for some C = C(k, N). Since (v_n) is a concentrating sequence at the origin, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = 0$$

It follows that

(3.41)
$$\int_{\delta}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \leq \int_{\delta}^{1} r^{N-1} \left(\frac{\delta_{n}}{r^{\frac{N-2k}{k+1}}}\right)^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r$$
$$\leq \delta_{n}^{k^{*}} \int_{\delta}^{1} r^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{N-2k}{k+1}}}\right)^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \delta_{n}^{k^{*}} C(\delta) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

for sufficiently large n. This proves (ii), and consequently, Step 2 holds.

3.3. **Proof of Step 3.** Suppose that the supremum $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$ is not attained. Then we are going to show that every sequence $(v_n) \subset X_1$ satisfying

(3.42)
$$||v_n||_{X_1} = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v_n|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$$

is necessarily concentrated at the origin in X_1 . From the compact embedding (2.2), up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $v \in X_1$ such that (3.43)

$$v_n \rightarrow v$$
 weakly in X_1 , $v_n \rightarrow v$ in L^q_{N-1} , $(q < k^*)$ and $v_n(r) \rightarrow v(r)$ a.e in $(0, 1)$.

Let us denote by $X_1([r_0, 1])$ the space X_1 on the interval $[r_0, 1]$ instead of (0, 1]. We claim that the embedding

(3.44)
$$X_1([r_0, 1]) \hookrightarrow L^q_{N-1}[r_0, 1]$$

is compact for any $q \ge k+1$. To prove (3.44), we consider the operator $H: L^{k+1}_{N-k}[r_0, 1] \to L^q_{N-1}[r_0, 1]$ defined by

$$H(f)(r) = \int_{r}^{1} f(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Using [20, Theorem 7.4], for $q \ge k+1$, the operator H is compact if and only if the following assert holds:

(3.45)
$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r \in (r_0, 1)} F(r) &< \infty \\ \lim_{r \to r_0^+} F(r) &= 0 \\ \lim_{r \to 1^-} F(r) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(r) = \left(\int_{r_0}^r s^{N-1} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_r^1 s^{-\frac{N-k}{k}} \mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}.$$

It is easy to see that (3.45) holds. In addition, the embedding (3.44) can be seen as the composition $H \circ T$, where

$$T: X_1([r_0, 1]) \to L^{k+1}_{N-k}[r_0, 1], \quad Tv = -v'.$$

Since T is a continuous operator, we conclude the embedding (3.44) is compact.

Fix q > k + 1 and $r_0 \in (0, 1)$. From (3.39), there is $c_0 > 0$ depending only on r_0, q, k , and N such that

$$\sup_{r \in [r_0, 1]} |v_n(r)|^{(k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1)\frac{q}{q - 1}} \le c_0.$$

Hence, the embedding (3.44) together with the Hölder inequality gives

(3.46)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-1} |v_n|^{k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1} |v_n - v| \, \mathrm{d}r \le c_0^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-1} |v_n - v|^q \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \to 0.$$

From the Ekeland's principle [17, Theorem 3.1] (cf.(3.42)), we can assume that

(3.47)
$$\lambda_n \left(\omega_{N,k} \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v_n'|^{k-1} v_n' w' \mathrm{d}r \right) = \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (k^* + r^\alpha) |v_n|^{k^* - 2 + r^\alpha} v_n w \mathrm{d}r + \langle o(1), w \rangle$$

for some multiplier λ_n . Choosing $w = v_n$ one has

$$\lambda_n \ge k^* \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v_n|^{k^* + r^\alpha} \mathrm{d}r + \langle o(1), v_n \rangle,$$

and consequently

(3.48)
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n \ge k^* \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} > 0.$$

Let η be a smooth cut-off function satisfying

(3.49)
$$\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \in [0, r_0/2] \\ 1, & \text{if } r \in [r_0, 1] \end{cases}$$

Thus, using $w = \eta(v_n - v)$ in (3.47), (3.46) and (3.48) yield

$$\int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n (\eta(v_n - v))' \mathrm{d}r = o(1).$$

Also, the compact embedding (2.2) (cf. (3.43)) gives

$$\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-k} |v_n - v|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \le \frac{1}{r_0^{k+1}} \int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-1} |v_n - v|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \to 0.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{split} o(1) &= \left| \int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n(\eta(v_n-v))' \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} \eta |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n(v_n-v)' \mathrm{d}r \right| - \left| \int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n(v_n-v) \eta' \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} \eta |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n(v_n-v)' \mathrm{d}r \right| - C \|\eta'\|_{\infty} \|v_n\|_{X_1}^k \left(\int_{r_0}^{1} r^{N-k} |v_n-v|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \\ &= \left| \int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} \eta |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n(v_n-v)' \mathrm{d}r \right| + o(1), \end{split}$$

for some constant C(N,k) > 0. Hence,

(3.50)
$$\int_{r_0/2}^{1} r^{N-k} \eta |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n (v_n - v)' \mathrm{d}r = o(1)$$

In addition, in view of the weak convergence in (3.43), one has

(3.51)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-k} \eta |v'|^{k-1} v'(v_n - v)' \mathrm{d}r = o(1)$$

By combining (3.50) and (3.51) with the elementary inequality

$$2^{2-p}|b-a|^{p} \le \left(|b|^{p-2}b-|a|^{p-2}a\right)(b-a), \ p \ge 2, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

one has

(3.52)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-k} |v'_n - v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \le \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^{N-k} \eta |v'_n - v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \to 0.$$

Since $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary, up to a subsequence, we have $v'_n(r) \to v'(r)$ a.e. in (0, 1). Hence, by Brezis-Lieb type argument (cf. [1]), we can write

(3.53)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v_n|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v_n - v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + o(1)$$

and

(3.54)
$$1 = \|v_n\|_{X_1}^{k+1} = \|v_n - v\|_{X_1}^{k+1} + \|v\|_{X_1}^{k+1} + o(1)$$

Of course, we have $||v||_{X_1} \leq 1$. We claim that v = 0 in X_1 . Arguing by contradiction, we suppose that

(3.55)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r > 0$$

If $||v||_{X_1} = 1$, from (3.54) we obtain $v_n \to v$ strongly in X_1 . In this case, we will prove that v is a maximizer of $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$, which contradicts our assumption. Indeed, from (3.42) and (3.53), it is sufficient to show that

(3.56)
$$\limsup_{n} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{n} - v|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = 0.$$

By choosing n large enough such that $||v_n - v||_{X_1} < 1$, (2.6) yields

$$\frac{1}{\|v_n - v\|_{X_1}^{k^*}} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |v_n - v|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \le \int_0^1 r^{N-1} \left| \frac{v_n - v}{\|v_n - v\|_{X_1}} \right|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \le \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$$

which gives (3.56).

Hence, we can assume $||v||_{X_1} < 1$. Setting $w_n = v_n - v$ and using (3.55) and (3.54), we have $||w_n||_{X_1} < 1$. Hence, (3.42), (3.53) and (3.54) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} &= \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{n}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + o(1) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} \left| \frac{w_{n}}{\|w_{n}\|_{X_{1}}} \right|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \|w_{n}\|_{X_{1}}^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} \left| \frac{v}{\|v\|_{X_{1}}} \right|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \|v\|_{X_{1}}^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r + o(1) \\ &\leq \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} \left(\|w_{n}\|_{X_{1}}^{k^{*}} + \|v\|_{X_{1}}^{k^{*}} \right) + o(1) \\ &= \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} \left(\left(1 - \|v\|_{X_{1}}^{k+1} + o(1) \right)^{\frac{k^{*}}{k+1}} + \left(\|v\|_{X_{1}}^{k+1} \right)^{\frac{k^{*}}{k+1}} \right) + o(1) \\ &< \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have still used $(1-t)^{k^*/(k+1)} + t^{k^*/(k+1)} < 1$, for all 0 < t < 1. This contradiction forces $v \equiv 0$ in X_1 .

In order to complete the proof of Step 3, is now sufficient to show that (v_n) satisfies the condition

(3.57)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k+1} \, \mathrm{d}r \to 0, \ \forall \ r_0 > 0$$

but it is an immediate consequence of (3.52) since we have proved $v \equiv 0$.

4. EXISTENCE OF k-Admissible Extremals: Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to ensure the existence of an extremal function for the supremum (1.7), we will use the maximizer of the auxiliary problem (2.6), which is ensured by the Proposition 3.1.

Let $v_0 \in X_1$ be a maximizer of $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$, which we can assume $v_0 \geq 0$ since $|v_0|$ is still a maximizer. We set

$$u_0(x) = -v_0(|x|), \ x \in B$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we get

(4.1)
$$\int_{B} |u_{0}|^{k^{*}+|x|^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}x = \omega_{N-1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{0}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \omega_{N-1} \mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha} \ge \mathcal{U}_{k,N,\alpha},$$

and

(4.2)
$$\|u_0\|_{\Phi_0^k} = \|v_0\|_{X_1} = 1.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show that u_0 belongs to $\Phi_{0,\text{rad}}^k(B)$. To show $u_0 \in C^2(B)$ or equivalently $v_0 \in C^2[0, 1]$, in the same way as in [25], we will adapt the classical De Giorgi-Nash-Moser estimate to our framework.

Lemma 4.1. If $v \in X_1$ is a maximizer of $\mathcal{V}_{k,N,\alpha}$, then $\sup_{r \in (0,1]} |v(r)| < +\infty$.

Proof. The Lagrange multipliers theorem yields

(4.3)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'|^{k-1} v' h' \, \mathrm{d}r = \lambda \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (k^* + r^\alpha) |v|^{k^* + r^\alpha - 2} v \, h \, \mathrm{d}r, \quad \forall \ h \in X_1$$

where

(4.4)
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\omega_{N,k} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (k^* + r^\alpha) |v|^{k^* + r^\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}r}$$

For $\sigma, L \ge 1$, let $H \in C^1[1, \infty)$ such that $H(t) = t^{\sigma} - 1$ for $t \in [1, L]$ and H is linear in $[L, \infty)$. Then, we set

$$h(r) = \int_{1}^{1+v^{+}} |H'(t)|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}t, \ r \in (0,1]$$

where $v^{+} = \max\{v, 0\}$. It is clear that $h \in X_{1}$ and, since H' is an increase function, $h \le v^{+}|H'(v^{+}+1)|^{k+1}$. It follows from (4.3) that (4.5) $\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-k} \left| \frac{d}{dr} H(v^{+}+1) \right|^{k+1} dr \le C \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} v^{+} \left| H'(v^{+}+1) \right|^{k+1} |v^{+}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}-1} dr$ $\le C \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v^{+}+1) \left| H'(v^{+}+1) \right|^{k+1} (v^{+}+1)^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}-1} dr,$

for some C > 0. Now, from Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v|^{k^{*} + \frac{p}{p-k-1}r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r < \infty,$$

for any p > k+1. Hence, by choosing $p \in (k+1, k^*)$ such that $(k^* - k - 2)p < k^*(p - k - 1)$, we obtain

(4.6)
$$\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v^{+} + 1)^{(k^{*}-k-2+r^{\alpha})\frac{p}{p-k-1}} dr \leq \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v^{+} + 1)^{k^{*}+\frac{p}{p-k-1}r^{\alpha}} dr$$
$$\leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v^{+}|^{k^{*}+\frac{p}{p-k-1}r^{\alpha}} dr + C_{2}$$
$$\leq C$$

for some constant C > 0. Using the Hölder inequality together with (2.2), (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain for any $p < q < k^*$

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |H(v^{+}+1)|^{q} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq C \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-k} \left| \frac{d}{dr} H(v^{+}+1) \right|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} [(v^{+}+1) \left| H'(v^{+}+1) \right|]^{p} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v^{+}+1)^{(k^{*}-k-2+r^{\alpha})\frac{p}{p-k-1}} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{p-k-1}{p(k+1)}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} [(v^{+}+1) \left| H'(v^{+}+1) \right|]^{p} \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Letting $L \to +\infty$, from the definition of H, we obtain

$$\|v^{+} + 1\|_{L^{\chi p\sigma}_{N-1}} = \|v^{+} + 1\|_{L^{q\sigma}_{N-1}} \le (C\sigma)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|v^{+} + 1\|_{L^{p\sigma}_{N-1}}$$

where $\chi = \frac{q}{p} > 1$. Setting $\sigma = \chi$, $\sigma = \chi^2, \cdots, \sigma = \chi^i$, an iteration yields

$$(4.7) \|v^+ + 1\|_{L_{N-1}^{\chi^{i_p}}} \le C^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{\chi^j}\right)} \chi^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j}{\chi^j}} \|v^+ + 1\|_{L_{N-1}^{\chi^p}} \le C \|v^+ + 1\|_{L_{N-1}^{\chi^p}}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, $\sup_{r \in (0,1]} v^+(r) < \infty$. Similarly, by using $v^- = -\min\{v, 0\}$ instead of v^+ in the above argument, one can show that v^- is bounded. Consequently, v is bounded in (0, 1].

Next, we will explicit expressions for v'_0 and v''_0 . Following the same argument in [7], for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho > 0$, we consider the function $h_{\rho} \in X_1$ given by

(4.8)
$$h_{\rho}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le s \le r, \\ 1 + \frac{1}{\rho}(r-s) & \text{if } r \le s \le r+\rho, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \ge r+\rho. \end{cases}$$

Applying (4.3) with $h = h_{\rho}$ and letting $\rho \to 0$, we conclude

(4.9)
$$r^{N-k}(-|v_0'|^{k-1}v_0') = \lambda \int_0^r s^{N-1}(k^* + r^{\alpha})|v_0|^{k^* + s^{\alpha} - 2}v_0 \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad \text{a.e on} \quad [0,1].$$

Since we are assuming $v_0 \ge 0$, we can write

(4.10)
$$-v_0'(r) = [I(r)]^{\frac{1}{k}}; \text{ with } I(r) = \frac{\lambda}{r^{N-k}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} |v_0|^{k^* + s^{\alpha} - 1} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

Consequently, we have $v_0 \in C^2(0, 1]$. In addition, from Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} I(r) = 0$$

Hence, from (4.10)

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} v_0'(r) = 0$$

and thus $v_0 \in C^1[0, 1]$.

In order to get $v_0 \in C^2[0,1]$, we firstly observe that

(4.11)
$$\frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} = -\frac{N-k}{r} + \frac{r^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1}}{\int_0^r s^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+s^{\alpha}-1} \mathrm{d}s}, \quad \forall r \in (0,1].$$

From (4.10) and (4.11) it follows that

(4.12)
$$-v_0''(r) = \frac{[I(r)]^{\frac{1}{k}}}{k} \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} \\ = -\frac{v_0'(r)}{k} \left[-\frac{N-k}{r} + \frac{r^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1}}{\int_0^r s^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+s^{\alpha}-1} \mathrm{d}s} \right].$$

for all $r \in (0, 1]$. From (4.10), v_0 is a decreasing function with $v_0(0) > 0$ and we also obtain

(4.13)
$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} -\frac{v_0'(r)}{r} = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \left(\frac{I(r)}{r^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{N} |v_0(0)|^{k^*-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} > 0.$$

In addition, the identity (4.10) yields

(4.14)
$$\frac{r^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1}v'_0}{\int_0^r s^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+s^{\alpha}-1}\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\lambda r^{N-1}|v_0|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1}v'_0}{r^{N-k}(-v'_0)^k} = -\lambda \left(-\frac{r}{v'_0}\right)^{k-1}|v_0|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1},$$

for small enough r > 0. From (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14), one gets that there exists $\lim_{r \to 0^+} v_0''(r)$, and thus $v_0 \in C^2[0, 1]$ holds.

Now, in order to guarantee $u_0 \in \Phi_{0,\mathrm{rad}}^k(B)$, it is enough to show that

$$F_j[u_0] \ge 0$$
 in $B, \quad \forall \ 1 \le j \le k.$

But, using the k-Hessian radial expression (cf. (1.9)) and the definition $u_0(x) = -v_0(|x|)$, we can reduce the above assert to the following

(4.15)
$$(r^{N-j}(-v'_0)^j)' \ge 0, \ \forall \ 1 \le j \le k \text{ and } r \in (0,1].$$

By using the expressions in (4.10) and (4.11), it is easy to see that

$$(r^{N-j}(-v'_0)^j)' = (r^{N-j} [I(r)]^{\frac{j}{k}})'$$

= $(N-j)r^{N-j-1} [I(r)]^{\frac{j}{k}} + r^{N-j} \frac{j}{k} [I(r)]^{\frac{j}{k}} \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)}$
= $r^{N-j} [I(r)]^{\frac{j}{k}} \left[\frac{N-j}{r} + \frac{j}{k} \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} \right].$

To prove (4.15), it is enough to show that

$$\left[\frac{N-j}{r} + \frac{j}{k}\frac{I'(r)}{I(r)}\right] \ge 0, \quad \forall \ 1 \le j \le k \text{ and } r \in (0,1].$$

However, from (4.11), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N-j}{r} + \frac{j}{k} \frac{I'(r)}{I(r)} &= \frac{N-j}{r} - \frac{j}{k} \frac{N-k}{r} + \frac{j}{k} \frac{r^{N-1} |v_0|^{k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1}}{\int_0^r s^{N-1} |v_0|^{k^* + s^{\alpha} - 1} \mathrm{d}s} \\ &= \frac{N-k}{r} \left[\frac{N-j}{N-k} - \frac{j}{k} \right] + \frac{j}{k} \frac{r^{N-1} |v_0|^{k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1}}{\int_0^r s^{N-1} |v_0|^{k^* + s^{\alpha} - 1} \mathrm{d}s} \end{aligned}$$

which is non-negative, for all $1 \le j \le k$ and $r \in (0, 1]$.

5. k-Admissible solution to the related supercritical equation

To find a radially symmetric solutions for the k-Hessian equation (1.8), or equivalently a solution to (1.9), as in [9,11], we introduce the following quasilinear equation

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} -C_N^k (r^{N-k} |v'|^{k-1} v')' = N r^{N-1} v^{k^* + r^{\alpha} - 1} \\ v > 0 \\ v(1) = 0, v'(0) = 0. \end{cases} \text{ in } (0,1)$$

In order to show the existence of a solution for (5.1), we will follow closely the argument in [16]. Indeed, we will apply the variant of the well-known mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz without the Palais-Smale condition in [2, Theorem 2.2] to get a nontrivial critical point for the functional

(5.2)
$$I(v) = \frac{1}{k+1} \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r - \tau \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^* + r^\alpha} (v^+)^{k^* + r^\alpha} \mathrm{d}r : \quad X_1 \to \mathbb{R}$$

where $v^+ = \max\{v, 0\}$ and $\tau = N/\mathcal{C}_N^k$.

It is sufficient to prove the following four steps:

- (1). The level $\left(\frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}$ is a noncompactness level for the functional I.
- (2). The mountain-pass level c_{MP} of the functional I satisfies

$$0 < c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{\kappa+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}$$

(3). The equation (5.1) possesses a weak solution $v \in X_1$.

(4). If $v \in X_1$ is a weak solution for (5.1), then $v \in C^2[0, 1]$ and $u(x) = -v(|x|), x \in B$ is a radially symmetric k-admissible solution of the equation (1.8).

In the next Lemmas, we will always consider the family $(w_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ in (3.14) with the suitable choice

(5.3)
$$\mathcal{C} = \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{k^* - k - 1}} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{k^* - k - 1}}$$

Lemma 5.1. The level $\left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}$ is a noncompactness level for the functional *I*.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.7 and the estimate (3.16), we can write

$$I(w_{\varepsilon}) = \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{\tau \mathcal{C}^{k^*-k-1}}{k^*}\right) \mathcal{C}^{k+1} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} + O(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \log \varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$
$$\to \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}$$

where we have used our choice in (5.3). Further, for any $\delta > 0$ we can write

$$\int_{\delta}^{\infty} r^{N-k} |(v_{\varepsilon}^{*})'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = \left(\frac{\hat{c}(N-2k)}{k}\right)^{k+1} \int_{\delta/\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{s^{1-\frac{N}{k}}}{(1+s^{-2})^{\frac{N}{2k}(k+1)}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

For $\delta < r_0$, we have $\eta \equiv 1$ in $(0, \delta)$. Hence, from (3.6)

$$\int_0^{\delta} r^{N-k} |w_{\varepsilon}'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k+1} \int_0^{\delta} r^{N-k} |(v_{\varepsilon}^*)'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \mathcal{C}^{k+1} \left(S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \right)$$
$$\to \left(\frac{\mathrm{C}_N^k}{N} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}},$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. This together with Lemma 3.7 yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\delta}^{1} r^{N-k} |w_{\varepsilon}'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = 0,$$

for any $0 < \delta < 1$. In addition,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r &\leq \mathcal{C}^{k+1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} |v_{\varepsilon}^{*}|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= (\mathcal{C}\hat{c})^{k+1} \varepsilon^{-N+2k} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{N-2k}{2k}(k+1)} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= (\mathcal{C}\hat{c})^{k+1} \varepsilon^{2k} \int_{0}^{1/\varepsilon} \frac{s^{N-1}}{(1+s^{2})^{\frac{N-2k}{2k}(k+1)}} \mathrm{d}s \to 0, \end{split}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. From the compact embedding (2.2), up to a subsequence, we conclude that $w_{\varepsilon} \to 0$ weakly in X_1 and thus (w_{ε}) is concentrating at the origin and does not contain a strongly convergent subsequence.

According with [11], the functional I belongs to $C^1(X_1, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the mountain-pass structure. This allow us to define the mountain-pass level

(5.4)
$$0 < c_{MP} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma} I(u),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0, T], X_1) : \gamma(0) = 0, \ \gamma(T) = Tw_{\varepsilon} \}$$

with T > 0 large enough so that $I(Tw_{\varepsilon}) \leq 0$.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose $0 < \alpha < (N - 2k)/k$. Then, the mountain-pass level c_{MP} satisfies

$$0 < c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}.$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$c_{MP} \leq \max_{t \in [0,T]} I(tw_{\varepsilon}) = I(t_{\varepsilon}w_{\varepsilon}).$$

Next, we will analyze the behavior of $(t_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$. Since $\frac{d}{dt}I(tw_{\varepsilon})|_{t=t_{\varepsilon}}=0$, we obtain

(5.5)
$$\int_{0}^{1} r^{N-k} |w_{\varepsilon}'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = \tau t_{\varepsilon}^{k^{*}-k-1} \int_{0}^{1} t_{\varepsilon}^{r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Using Lemma 3.7-(a) together with Lemma 3.8, we obtain

(5.6)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-k} |w_{\varepsilon}'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k+1} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

and (cf.(3.15))

(5.7)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = \mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + \mathcal{C}_1 |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{\alpha} + o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

We claim that

(5.8)
$$t_{\varepsilon} \to 1, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Indeed, if $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} t_{\varepsilon} > 1$ it is possible to choose $\kappa > 1$ and a subsequence (t_{ε_i}) such that $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ and $t_{\varepsilon_i} > \kappa$ for all *i*. Using (5.3), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), we deduce

$$S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon_i^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \ge \tau (\kappa \mathcal{C})^{k^*-k-1} \left(S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon_i^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon_i|)_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \right)$$
$$= \kappa^{k^*-k-1} \left(S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon_i^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon_i|)_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \right), \quad \forall i.$$

Letting $i \to \infty$, we obtain $\kappa \leq 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} t_{\varepsilon} \le 1$$

Analogously, if $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} t_{\varepsilon} < 1$ there are $\kappa < 1$ and a subsequence (t_{ε_i}) such that $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ and $t_{\varepsilon_i} < \kappa$ for all *i*. It also follows from (5.3), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) that

$$S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon_i^{\frac{N-2k}{k}})_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \le \kappa^{k^*-k-1} \left(S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(\varepsilon_i^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon_i|)_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \right), \quad \forall i$$

which is also a contradiction and the proof of (5.8) is completed.

It is clear that $k^* - k - 1 \leq k^* - k - 1 + r^{\alpha} \leq k^* - k$ and $1/2 < t_{\varepsilon} < 3/2$, for any $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Inspired by [22], we consider the following auxiliary function defined on $[k^* - k - 1, k^* - k] \times [1/2, 3/2]$

(5.9)
$$f(q,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^q - 1}{t - 1} & \text{if } t \neq 1, \\ q & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

The function f is continuous and f > 0 on $[k^* - k - 1, k^* - k] \times [1/2, 3/2]$. Hence,

$$C_0 = \inf \left\{ f(q,t) : (q,t) \in [k^* - k - 1, k^* - k] \times [1/2, 3/2] \right\} > 0.$$

From from (5.3), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7), we can write (5.10)

$$O(\varepsilon^{\alpha}\log\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\searrow0} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow0} = \left|\int_{0}^{1} \left(t_{\varepsilon}^{k^{*}-k-1+r^{\alpha}}-1\right)r^{N-1}|w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}r\right|$$
$$= |t_{\varepsilon}-1|\int_{0}^{1} f(k^{*}-k-1+r^{\alpha},t_{\varepsilon})r^{N-1}|w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*}+r^{\alpha}}\mathrm{d}r$$
$$\geq C_{0}|t_{\varepsilon}-1|\left(\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}}+\mathcal{C}_{1}|\log\varepsilon|\varepsilon^{\alpha}+o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}|\log\varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow0}\right).$$

Hence, since we are supposing $\alpha < (N-2k)/k$, we get $t_{\varepsilon} = 1 + R_{\varepsilon}$, with $R_{\varepsilon} = O(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \log \varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$. In particular, using Taylor's expansion

(5.11)
$$(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k+1} = 1 + (k+1)R_{\varepsilon} + O(R_{\varepsilon}^2)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}$$

and

$$(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} = 1 + (k^*+r^{\alpha})R_{\varepsilon} + O(R_{\varepsilon}^2)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

Therefore, this last estimate together (5.7) ensures

(5.12)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k^*+r^{\alpha}}-1}{k^*+r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = R_{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r = R_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}^{k^*} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(R_{\varepsilon}^2)_{\varepsilon \searrow 0}.$$

Combining (5.6), (5.11) and (5.12) with (3.16), we get (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-1}c_{MP} &\leq \tau^{-1}I(t_{\varepsilon}w_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} r^{N-k} |w_{\varepsilon}'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r\right) - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} |t_{\varepsilon}w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\right) - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} - 1}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} r^{N-1} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &- \int_{0}^{1} \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} |w_{\varepsilon}|^{k^{*} + r^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{(1+R_{\varepsilon})^{k+1}}{k+1} \left(\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\right) - \left(R_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + O(R_{\varepsilon}^{2})_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\right) \\ &- \frac{\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}}}{k^{*}} - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{1}}{k^{*}} |\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{\alpha} + o\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^{*}}\right)\mathcal{C}^{k^{*}}S^{\frac{N}{2k}} - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{1}}{k^{*}}\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} + O(R_{\varepsilon}^{2})_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} + o(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \log \varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting (5.3)

(5.14)

$$c_{MP} \leq \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}} + \varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log\varepsilon| \left[-\frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{k^*} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2k}{k}}}{\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log\varepsilon|}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} + O\left(\frac{R_{\varepsilon}^2}{\varepsilon^{\alpha} |\log\varepsilon|}\right)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0} + o(1)_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\right],$$

which completes the proof since $0 < \alpha < (N - 2k)/k$.

Taking into account Lemma 5.2 and since I has the mountain-pass structure, we can apply [2, Theorem 2.2] to get a Palais-Smale sequence $(v_n) \subset X_1$ for the functional I at level c_{MP} . That is,

(5.15)
$$I(v_n) \to c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^*-k-1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

(5.16)
$$I'(v_n)\varphi = \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k-1} v'_n \varphi' dr - \tau \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^* + r^\alpha - 1} \varphi dr \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

for any $\varphi \in X_1$.

Lemma 5.3. The equation (5.1) possesses a weak solution $v \in X_1$.

Proof. Denote

$$F(r,s) = \frac{s^{k^* + r^{\alpha}}}{k^* + r^{\alpha}}, s \ge 0 \text{ and } r \in [0,1].$$

It is easy to see that F satisfies the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition: there exists $\xi \in (k + 1, k^*)$ such that

(5.17)
$$\xi F(r,s) \le sf(r,s), \quad \forall s \ge 0 \text{ and } r \in [0,1]$$

where $f(r,s) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(r,s)$. From (5.17) we have

$$|\xi I(v_n) - I'(v_n)v_n| \ge \left(\frac{\xi}{k+1} - 1\right) \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'_n|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Hence, it follows from (5.15) and (5.16) that

$$C_1 + \varepsilon_n \|v_n\|_{X_1} \ge C_2 \|v_n\|_{X_1}^{k+1},$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows that (v_n) is bounded sequence in X_1 . In addition, from (2.2), up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $v \in X_1$ such that

(5.18)
$$v_n \rightharpoonup v$$
 in $X_1, v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^q_{N-1}, \forall q \in [1, k^*)$ and $v_n(r) \rightarrow v(r)$ a.e in $(0, 1)$.

It is standard to show (see for instance [10, 14]) that $v \in X_1$ solves the weak equation

(5.19)
$$\int_0^1 r^{N-k} |v'|^{k-1} v' \varphi' dr = \tau \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (v^+)^{k^* + r^\alpha - 1} \varphi \, dr, \text{ for all } \varphi \in X_1.$$

Using the test function (4.8), we can write (cf.(4.9))

(5.20)
$$-(r^{N-k}|v'|^{k-1}v') = \tau \int_0^r s^{N-1}(v^+)^{k^*+s^{\alpha}-1} \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad \text{a.e on} \quad (0,1).$$

Hence, v is a non-increasing function with v(1) = 0. Then, either v > 0 or $v \equiv 0$ in (0, 1). It remains to prove that $v \neq 0$. By contradiction, suppose $v \equiv 0$. Thus, taking into account (5.18) and (3.44), we can apply the same argument in the proof of Step 3, Section 3 (cf.(3.52)) to show that

(5.21)
$$\int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-k} |v_n'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r \to 0, \quad \forall r_0 > 0.$$

Define

(5.22)
$$I_0(w) = \frac{1}{k+1} \int_0^1 r^{N-k} |w'|^{k+1} \mathrm{d}r - \frac{\tau}{k^*} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (w^+)^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r : \quad X_1 \to \mathbb{R}.$$

We claim that

(5.23)
$$I(v_n) = I_0(v_n) + o(1)$$

First, for any $r_0 \in (0, 1)$, we write (5.24)

$$|I(v_n) - I_0(v_n)| = \left| \frac{\tau}{k^*} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} \mathrm{d}r - \tau \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{k^* + r^\alpha} (v_n^+)^{k^* + r^\alpha} \mathrm{d}r \right|$$

$$\leq C_1 \left| \int_0^{r_0} r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} ((v_n^+)^{r^\alpha} - 1) \mathrm{d}r \right| + C_2 \left| \int_{r_0}^1 r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} ((v_n^+)^{r^\alpha} - 1) \mathrm{d}r \right|.$$

Now, from (5.21), arguing as in (3.41), we can see that

$$\int_{r_0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^* + r^{\alpha}} dr = o(1)$$
$$\int_{r_0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} dr = o(1).$$

It follows that

(5.25)
$$\int_{r_0}^{1} r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} ((v_n^+)^{r^{\alpha}} - 1) \mathrm{d}r = o(1).$$

Further, as in (3.40), we can write

$$\int_{\{v_n \ge 1\} \cap (0,r_0)} r^{N-1} (v_n^+)^{k^*} ((v_n^+)^{r^\alpha} - 1) \mathrm{d}r = o(1)$$

for all $r_0 > 0$ small enough. Consequently

(5.26)
$$\left| \int_{0}^{r_{0}} r^{N-1} (v_{n}^{+})^{k^{*}} ((v_{n}^{+})^{r^{\alpha}} - 1) \mathrm{d}r \right| \leq \int_{\{v_{n} < 1\} \cap (0, r_{0})} r^{N-1} (v_{n}^{+})^{k^{*}} (1 - (v_{n}^{+})^{r^{\alpha}}) \mathrm{d}r + o(1) \\ \leq \int_{\{v_{n} < 1\} \cap (0, r_{0})} r^{N-1} \mathrm{d}r + o(1) \\ \leq \frac{r_{0}^{N}}{N} + o(1)$$

for $r_0 > 0$ small enough. Hence, (5.27) follows from (5.24), (5.25) and (5.26). Similarly, one has

(5.27)
$$I'(v_n)\varphi = I'_0(v_n)\varphi + o(1), \ \varphi \in X_1.$$

Therefore, (v_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence to the functional I_0 . But, from the same arguments in [14], we can show that I_0 satisfies Palais-Smale condition for any

$$0 < c < \left(\frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k^*}\right) \left(\frac{C_N^k}{N}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k^* - k - 1}} S^{\frac{N}{2k}}.$$

Hence, we can assume that $v_n \to 0$ strongly in X_1 and thus $I(v_n) \to 0$ which contradicts $I(v_n) \to c_{MP} > 0$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $v \in X_1$ be a weak solution for equation (5.1). Then $v \in C^2[0,1]$ and $u(x) = -v(|x|), x \in B$ is a radially symmetric k-admissible function which solves the equation (1.8).

Proof. Since v satisfies (5.19), we can proceed analogously to the prove of Lemma 4.1 to get v bounded in (0, 1]. From (5.20), we can write

(5.28)
$$(-v')^k = \frac{\tau}{r^{N-k}} \int_0^r s^{N-1} (v^+)^{k^* + s^\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Thus, $v \in C^2(0, 1)$. In addition, since v is bounded in (0, 1], (5.28) yields

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} v'(r) = 0$$

Hence $v \in C^{1}[0, 1]$. Analogously to (4.12) we can write

(5.29)
$$v''(r) = \frac{v'(r)}{k} \left[-\frac{N-k}{r} + \frac{r^{N-1}|v|^{k^*+r^{\alpha}-1}}{\int_0^r s^{N-1}|v|^{k^*+s^{\alpha}-1} \mathrm{d}s} \right], \quad \forall r \in [0,1).$$

It follows that $\lim_{r\to 0^+} v''(r)$ exists and finally that $v \in C^2[0,1]$. Now, analysis similar to that in the proof of (4.15) shows $F_j(u) \ge 0$ in $B, \forall 1 \le j \le k$, which ensures that u is a k-admissible function. Finally, from (5.28), the function w = -v belongs to $C^2[0,1]$ and solves the equation (1.9), and consequently $u(x) = w(|x|), x \in B$ solves (1.8). \Box

References

- H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88, 486–490 (1983) 19
- [2] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36, 437–477 (1983). 9, 23, 27
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations.
 III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, Acta Math., 155, 261–301 (1985).
- [4] D. Cao, S. Li, Z. Liu, Nodal solutions for a supercritical semilinear problem with variable exponent, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 57, 57–38 (2018) 1
- [5] K.S. Chou, D. Geng, S.S. Yan, Critical dimension of a Hessian equation involving critical exponent and a related asymptotic result, J. Differential Equations 129, 79–110 (1996) 2, 4
- [6] K.S. Chou, X.J. Wang, Variational theory for Hessian equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54, 1029– 1064 (2001) 2
- [7] P. Clément, D. G. de Figueiredo, E. Mitidieri, Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 7, 133–170 (1996) 4, 7, 21
- [8] R. Clemente, J. M. do Ó, P. Ubilla, On supercritical problems involving the Laplace operator, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 1-15. doi:10.1017/prm.2020.9.2
- [9] G. Dai, Bifurcation and admissible solutions for the Hessian equation, J. Funct. Anal., 273, 3200–3240 (2017) 2, 4, 23
- [10] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki and B. Ruf, *Elliptic equations in* \mathbb{R}^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **3**, 139–153 (1995) 27
- [11] J.F. de Oliveira, J.M. do Ó, P. Ubilla, Existence for a k-Hessian equation involving supercritical growth,
 J. Differential Equations, 267, 1001–1024 (2019) 2, 4, 5, 9, 23, 24
- [12] J.F. de Oliveira, J.M. do O, P. Ubilla, Hardy–Sobolev type inequality and supercritical extremal problem, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 39, 3345–3364 (2019) 2, 4
- [13] J.F. de Oliveira, J.M. do Ó, B. Ruf, Extremal for a k-Hessian inequality of Trudinger-Moser type, Math.Z., 295, 1683–1706 (2020) 2, 4
- [14] J.M. do Ó, J.F. de Oliveira, Concentration-compactness and extremal problems for a weighted Trudinger-Moser inequality, Commun. Contemp. Math. 19 (2017) 1650003 27, 28

- [15] J. M. do Ó, A. Macedo, J. F. de Oliveira, A sharp Adams-type inequality for weighted Sobolev spaces, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 71, 517–538 (2020) 4
- [16] J. M. do O, B. Ruf, P. Ubilla, On supercritical Sobolev type inequalities and related elliptic equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55, 55–83 (2016) 1, 3, 5, 23
- [17] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 47, 324–353 (1974) 17
- [18] G.H. Hardy, Note on a theorem of Hilbert, Math. Z., 6, 314–0317 (1920) 2
- [19] C. Ji, Z-Q. Wang, Y. Wu, A monotone property of the ground state energy to the scalar field equation and applications, J. London Math. Soc., 100, 804-824 (2019) 2
- [20] A. Kufner, B. Opic, Hardy-type Inequalities, Pitman Res. Notes in Math., Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, (1990). 2, 4, 17
- [21] D. Labutin, Potential estimates for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Duke Math. J., 111, 1–49 (2002) 2
- [22] Q.A. Ngô, V.H. Nguyen, A supercritical Sobolev type inequality in higher order Sobolev spaces and related higher order elliptic problems, J. Differential Equations, **268**, 5996–6032 (2020) 1, 3, 5, 25
- [23] J. Sánchez, V. Vergara, Bounded solutions of a k-Hessian equation in a ball, J. Differential Equations, 261, 797–820 (2016) 2
- [24] W. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys., 55, 149–162. (1977)
- [25] K. Tso, Remarks on critical exponents for Hessian operators, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 7, 113–122 (1990) 2, 20
- [26] G.-T. Tian, X.-J. Wang, Moser-Trudinger type inequalities for the Hessian equation, J. Funct. Anal., 259, 1974–2002 (2010) 2
- [27] N.S. Trudinger, On the Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations, Acta Math., 175, 151–164 (1995) 2
- [28] X.-J. Wang, A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 43, 25–54 (1994) 2, 4
- [29] W. Wei, Uniqueness theorems for negative radial solutions of k-Hessian equations in a ball, J. Differential Equations, 261, 3756-3771 (2016) 2
- [30] W. Wei, Existence and multiplicity for negative solutions of k-Hessian equations, J. Differential Equations, 263, 615–640 (2017) 2, 4

(J.F de Oliveira) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PIAUÍ 64049-550 TERESINA, PI, BRAZIL *Email address*: jfoliveira@ufpi.edu.br

(P. Ubilla) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE CHILE CASILLA 307, CORREO 2, SANTIAGO, CHILE *Email address*: pedro.ubilla@usach.cl