

Parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian manifolds

Kevin Smith

Abstract. We prove the long-time existence and convergence of solutions to a general class of parabolic equations, not necessarily concave in the Hessian of the unknown function, on a compact Hermitian manifold. The limiting function is identified as the solution of an elliptic complex Monge-Ampère equation.

1 Introduction

Since the celebrated solution of the Calabi conjecture by solving the complex Monge-Ampère equation on a compact Kähler manifold [31], fully non-linear partial differential equations have played an important role in complex geometry.

Motivated by unified string theories and particularly mirror symmetry, there has recently been interest in extending the theory of such equations beyond the Kähler setting to more general classes of Hermitian manifolds [27, 24, 17, 10, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 26, 2, 11].

Additionally, new parabolic equations have emerged which are not concave in the eigenvalues of the Hessian of the unknown function [20, 21, 6, 4]. This concavity property was an important requirement for fully non-linear equations as originally formulated in [7, 8, 9].

The main theorem is:

Theorem 1. *Let (X, χ) be an n -dimensional compact Hermitian manifold, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a smooth function, $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a strictly increasing smooth function, and $u_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a smooth function satisfying $\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0 > 0$. Then the equation*

$$\partial_t u = F(e^{-f} \det h) \quad (1.1)$$

admits a smooth solution which exists for all time and satisfies $\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}u > 0$ as well as $u(0) = u_0$, where $h^j_{\ell} = \delta^j_{\ell} + \chi^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{k}\ell}$. Furthermore the normalized solution

$$\varphi = u - \frac{1}{V} \int_X u \chi^n \quad (1.2)$$

converges in C^∞ to the unique smooth function φ_∞ solving the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\infty)^n = ce^f \chi^n, \quad c = \frac{\int_X (\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\infty)^n}{\int_X e^f \chi^n} \quad (1.3)$$

satisfying $\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\infty > 0$ and $\int_X \varphi_\infty \chi^n = 0$.

Equation (1.1) has been studied for various concave choices of F in the Kähler and Hermitian settings [3, 5, 30]. Because we do not assume F to be concave, the general theory of parabolic equations developed in [7, 8, 9] or [23] can not be applied.

Theorem 1 is a generalization of the theorem of [25], which was proved in the Kähler setting. To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to prove the following C^2 estimate.

Theorem 2. *With the setup of Theorem 1, suppose that u solves (1.1) on $X \times [0, T]$ for some $0 < T \leq \infty$ with $u(0) = u_0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on X, χ, f, F, u_0 so that*

$$\mathrm{Tr} h \leq C e^{C(\varphi - \inf \varphi)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Here and throughout this paper, we are writing $\inf \varphi = \inf_{X \times [0, T]} \varphi$.

The reason that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1 is that the C^2 estimate is essentially the only place in [25] that the Kähler property is used, and so the rest of the proof carries over to the Hermitian setting. We remark that the elliptic C^0 estimate from [29] may be used as an alternative to the C^0 estimate from [25].

In order to streamline the proof of Theorem 2, we make use of Corollary 1 below. Corollary 1 follows from the following generalization of an inequality of Aubin and Yau, which we prove in section 5 using a special coordinate system of [16].

Theorem 3. *If χ and ω are two Hermitian metrics on a compact complex manifold, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h \partial_{\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} \mathrm{Tr} (h^{-1} \nabla_p h h^{-1} \nabla_{\bar{q}} h) - \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p h^r_j \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} h^p_r T_{pj}^r \\ & \leq -2 \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr} h} g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} T_{pj}^r \overline{T_{qk}^s} \\ & \quad + 2 \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} \overline{\alpha_k} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr} h} 2 \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{\alpha_k} - \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \overline{\alpha_{\bar{r}qk}} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr} h} g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Here, we define the relative torsion $\alpha_{\bar{q}pj} = \partial_j (g_{\bar{q}p} - \chi_{\bar{q}p}) - \partial_p (g_{\bar{q}j} - \chi_{\bar{q}j})$ (that is, $i\alpha = \partial\omega - \partial\chi$) as well as $\alpha_j = \chi^{p\bar{q}} \alpha_{\bar{q}pj}$. For the rest of the notation in (1.5) we refer the reader to section 2.

We note that in the proof of Theorem 3 and in the following corollary, we rewrite (1.5), using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^{p\bar{q}} \mathrm{Tr} (h^{-1} \nabla_p h h^{-1} \nabla_{\bar{q}} h) + \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p h^r_j \overline{T_{qk}^s} + \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} h^p_r T_{pj}^r \\ & = \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} + \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} + \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} g_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Corollary 1. *If $\partial\omega = \partial\chi$ (in particular if $\omega - \chi$ is $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -exact) then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h \partial_{\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \mathrm{Re} \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} g_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r \\ & \leq -2 \mathrm{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr} h} g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} T_{pj}^r \overline{T_{qk}^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

To prove Theorem 2 we use the test function

$$G = \log \operatorname{Tr} h - A\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1} + \frac{B}{2}F^2 \quad (1.8)$$

and combine the techniques of [25] and [30]. We briefly discuss the motivation for including each term of (1.8).

The first two terms of (1.8) were used to obtain (1.4) for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on a compact Kähler manifold [1, 31]. From this Yau obtained a priori estimates up to order two, thus solving the Calabi conjecture and proving what is now called Yau's theorem.

The third term was introduced by [22] in a different setting, to obtain a C^1 estimate in the absence of a C^0 estimate. Subsequently this term was used in [30] to obtain a C^2 estimate for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on a compact Hermitian manifold.

Finally, the fourth term was introduced in [21] to provide an alternative proof of Yau's theorem using the Anomaly flow [18], and the result was generalized in [25].

We remark that in case the metric χ is balanced, then the proof of Theorem 2 becomes considerably simpler, and indeed does not require the third term of (1.8). This is made especially clear by, for example, noting the presence of the torsion 1-form in first term on the right-hand side of (1.7).

Additionally we remark that one may obtain another C^2 estimate, which is of a different form than (1.4), by using the term $e^{A(\sup \varphi - \varphi)}$ from [15] rather than the terms $-A\varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1}$ in (1.8).

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we define all the objects that appear in the paper and review their basic properties. In section 3 we prove some fundamental estimates that we will need many times. In section 4 we compute the evolution of the test function G along the flow. In section 5 we prove Theorem 3. In section 6 we prove Theorem 2 and hence Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank my advisor D. H. Phong for constant, ongoing encouragement and support.

2 Setup and notation

In this section we fix the notation that will be used throughout this paper.

Let (X, χ) be an n -dimensional compact Hermitian manifold. In a local holomorphic coordinate system we write $\chi = i\chi_{\bar{k}j}dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k$ and $\chi^{j\bar{k}}\chi_{\bar{k}\ell} = \delta^j_\ell$.

Corresponding to the Hermitian metric χ is the Chern connection, which is given on sections of $T^{1,0}X$ by

$$\nabla_j V^p = \partial_j V^p + \Gamma_{jq}^p V^q, \quad \nabla_{\bar{k}} V^j = \partial_{\bar{k}} V^j + \Gamma_{\bar{k}q}^j V^q \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{jq}^p = \chi^{p\bar{r}} \partial_j \chi_{\bar{r}q}, \quad \Gamma_{\bar{k}q}^p = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The Chern connection has torsion and torsion 1-form

$$T_{jq}^p = \Gamma_{jq}^p - \Gamma_{qj}^p, \quad T_j = T_{pj}^p \quad (2.11)$$

which are both generally non-zero. It also has curvature

$$R_{\bar{k}j}{}^p{}_q = -\partial_{\bar{k}} \Gamma_{jq}^p \quad (2.12)$$

which satisfies the defining property that

$$[\nabla_j, \nabla_{\bar{k}}] V^p = R_{\bar{k}j}{}^p{}_q V^q. \quad (2.13)$$

The metric defines a Laplacian

$$\Delta = \chi^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_j \nabla_{\bar{k}}. \quad (2.14)$$

Now we turn our attention to equation (1.1). Since $F' > 0$, the flow is parabolic and exists for a short time. The remainder of this paper is dedicated to proving a priori estimates, so from now on we assume that u solves (1.1) on an interval $[0, T]$, where $0 < T \leq \infty$, with $u(0) = u_0$, as well as the condition $\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0 > 0$. We set

$$\varphi = u - \frac{1}{V} \int_X u \chi^n \quad (2.15)$$

where $V = \int_X \chi^n$.

Along the flow, we define a $(1, 1)$ -form

$$g_{\bar{k}j} = \chi_{\bar{k}j} + u_{\bar{k}j} \quad (2.16)$$

as well as an endomorphism of $T^{1,0}X$

$$h^p{}_q = \chi^{p\bar{r}} g_{\bar{r}q}. \quad (2.17)$$

The determinant estimate in the next section, together with the assumption that $\chi + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0 > 0$, implies that g and h remain positive along the flow. Therefor we may also consider the inverse matrix $g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{k}\ell} = \delta_\ell^j$ and the inverse endomorphism h^{-1} . It follows that $\text{Tr } h = n + \chi^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{k}j}$ and $\text{Tr } h^{-1} = n - g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{k}j}$.

We denote the linearized operator by

$$L = F' e^{-f} \det h \, g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \partial_{\bar{k}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Here and throughout we write $F = F(e^{-f} \det h)$, $F' = F'(e^{-f} \det h)$, and so on.

3 Preliminary estimates

In this section we prove fundamental estimates for the determinant and traces that will be needed many times.

We start by estimating the determinant. It will be convenient to consider the quantity $H = e^{-f} \det h$.

Lemma 1. *With the hypotheses of Theorem 2,*

$$C^{-1} \leq H \leq C, \quad C^{-1} \leq \det h \leq C, \quad |\partial_t u| \leq C, \quad |\partial_t \varphi| \leq C. \quad (3.19)$$

In the first two estimates $C = C(\chi, u_0, f)$, and in the last two estimates $C = C(\chi, u_0, f, F)$.

Proof. Since

$$(\partial_t - L)H = F'' H g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j H \partial_{\bar{k}} H \quad (3.20)$$

we have that H_{max} is decreasing and H_{min} is increasing. The first two estimates follow. The equation $\partial_t u = F$ now gives the last two estimates. \square

Now we prove positive lower bounds for the traces.

Lemma 2. *With the hypotheses of Theorem 2,*

$$\mathrm{Tr} h \geq C^{-1} \text{ and } \mathrm{Tr} h^{-1} \geq C^{-1}. \quad (3.21)$$

Here $C = C(\chi, u_0, f)$.

Proof. The determinant estimate (3.19) yields

$$\mathrm{Tr} h \geq \lambda_{max} \geq \sqrt[n]{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n} \geq C^{-1} \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr} h^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}} \geq C^{-1}. \quad (3.23)$$

\square

4 Evolution equations

In this section we compute $(\partial_t - L)G$.

It is straightforward to check that the following formulas from [25] still hold without the assumption that χ is Kähler:

$$(\partial_t - L) \log \mathrm{Tr} h = \frac{(\partial_t - L) \mathrm{Tr} h}{\mathrm{Tr} h} + F' e^{-f} \det h \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \mathrm{Tr} h \partial_{\bar{k}} \mathrm{Tr} h}{(\mathrm{Tr} h)^2} \quad (4.24)$$

$$(\partial_t - L)\text{Tr } h = F'e^{-f}\det h \left\{ \frac{\Delta\det h}{\det h} - \frac{2}{\det h}\text{Re} \langle \partial f, \partial\det h \rangle + e^f \Delta e^{-f} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{F''}{F'e^{-f}\det h} |\partial(e^{-f}\det h)|^2 - g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \partial_{\bar{k}} \Delta u \right\}. \quad (4.25)$$

$$\frac{\Delta\det h}{\det h} = \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}j} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{k}r} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{s}j} + \frac{|\partial\det h|^2}{(\det h)^2}. \quad (4.26)$$

To proceed we must commute the covariant derivatives in the first term of (4.26). Because in the non-Kähler setting it is no longer true that $[\nabla_j, \nabla_{\bar{k}}] = 0$, we will obtain terms involving both the curvature and the torsion of χ . The relevant commutation relations, in the order we will use them, are

$$[\nabla_{\bar{q}}, \nabla_{\bar{k}}]u_j = -\partial_{\bar{s}}u_j \overline{T_{qk}^s} \quad (4.27)$$

$$[\nabla_p, \nabla_{\bar{k}}]u_{\bar{q}j} = u_{\bar{s}j} R_{\bar{k}p\bar{q}}^{\bar{s}} - u_{\bar{q}r} R_{\bar{k}p}^r{}_{\bar{q}}{}^j \quad (4.28)$$

$$[\nabla_p, \nabla_j]u_{\bar{q}} = -\partial_r u_{\bar{q}} T_{pj}^r. \quad (4.29)$$

Applying (4.27), (4.28), (4.29) to the first term of (4.26) gives

$$\chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}j} = g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \partial_{\bar{k}} \Delta u + g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{s}j} R_{\bar{k}p}^{p\bar{s}} - g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} R_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{q}r}{}_j \\ - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p (u_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s}) - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} (u_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r). \quad (4.30)$$

Using $u_{\bar{s}r} = g_{\bar{s}r} - \chi_{\bar{s}r}$ on the curvature terms gives

$$\chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}j} = g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \partial_{\bar{k}} \Delta u + R' - h^{-1j}{}_k R^k{}_p{}^q{}_j h^p{}_q \\ - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p (u_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s}) - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} (u_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r) \quad (4.31)$$

where $R' = R^j{}_p{}^p{}_j$.

Substituting (4.31), (4.26), (4.25) into (4.24), and expanding the $\partial(e^{-f}\det h)$ term of (4.25) as well as the torsion terms of (4.31) and using $\nabla\chi = 0$, we find

$$(\partial_t - L) \log \text{Tr } h = \quad (4.32) \\ \frac{F'e^{-f}\det h}{\text{Tr } h} \left\{ \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \partial_{\bar{k}} \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{k}r} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{s}j} \right. \\ - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} g_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_p \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} \nabla_{\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \\ + \left(\frac{1}{(\det h)^2} + \frac{F''e^{-f}}{F'\det h} \right) |\partial\det h|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\det h} + \frac{F''e^{-f}}{F'} \right) 2\text{Re} \langle \partial\det h, \partial f \rangle \\ \left. + \frac{F''e^{-f}\det h}{F'} |\partial f|^2 + e^f \Delta e^{-f} + R' - h^{-1j}{}_k R^k{}_p{}^q{}_j h^p{}_q \right\}.$$

Now we apply $(\partial_t - L)$ to the other terms of (1.8).

$$-A(\partial_t - L)\varphi = -A\partial_t\varphi + AnF'e^{-f}\det h - AF'e^{-f}\det h \text{Tr } h^{-1} \quad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t - L) \frac{1}{\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1} &= -\frac{1}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \partial_t \varphi - \frac{2F'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \varphi \partial_{\bar{k}} \varphi \\
&\quad + \frac{nF'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} - \frac{F'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \text{Tr } h^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

$$\frac{B}{2} (\partial_t - L) F^2 = -BF'e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F. \tag{4.35}$$

Combining (4.32), (4.33), (4.34), (4.35) we find

$$(\partial_t - L)G = \tag{4.36}$$

$$\frac{F'e^{-f} \det h}{\text{Tr } h} \left\{ \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \partial_{\bar{k}} \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{k}r} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{s}j} \right. \tag{4.37}$$

$$\left. - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} g_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_p \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} \nabla_{\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \right. \tag{4.38}$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{1}{(\det h)^2} + \frac{F''e^{-f}}{F' \det h} \right) |\partial \det h|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\det h} + \frac{F''e^{-f}}{F'} \right) 2\text{Re} \langle \partial \det h, \partial f \rangle \right. \tag{4.39}$$

$$\left. + \frac{F''e^{-f} \det h}{F'} |\partial f|^2 + e^f \Delta e^{-f} + R' - h^{-1j}{}_k R^k{}_p{}^q{}_j h^p{}_q \right\} \tag{4.40}$$

$$- A \partial_t \varphi + A n F' e^{-f} \det h - A F' e^{-f} \det h \text{Tr } h^{-1} \tag{4.41}$$

$$- \frac{1}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \partial_t \varphi - \frac{2F'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \varphi \partial_{\bar{k}} \varphi \tag{4.42}$$

$$+ \frac{nF'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} - \frac{F'e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \text{Tr } h^{-1} \tag{4.43}$$

$$- BF'e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F. \tag{4.44}$$

We note that though some terms in this expression are complex, nonetheless their sum is real. Hence in what follows we need only to estimate the real part of each term.

We now discuss the role of the many terms in (4.36).

The first term of (4.37) is the primary bad term. The second term of (4.37) is the primary good term. The terms of (4.38) are new torsion terms that do not appear in the Kähler case.

In the Kähler case, the primary good term is able to defeat the primary bad term. In fact, this is still true in the balanced case (see the remark in the introduction). However it is no longer true in the Hermitian case.

Fortunately, though the primary good term is only able to defeat a part of the primary bad term, it is nonetheless able to defeat the new torsion terms at the same time. The special coordinate system (5.45) below facilitates this.

Bounding the remaining part of the primary bad term requires help from the terms of (4.42), (4.43), and (4.44). This is the reason for including $\frac{1}{\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1}$ in (1.8).

The first term of (4.39) is another bad term. It is defeated by the term of (4.44). This is the purpose of the F^2 term in (1.8).

5 Aubin-Yau inequality

In this section we work only with two arbitrary Hermitian metrics χ, ω on a compact complex manifold, and prove Theorem 3. As a result, we will have obtained control over the terms of (4.37) and (4.38). Recall that we define $\alpha_{\bar{q}pj} = \partial_j u_{\bar{q}p} - \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j}$ and $\alpha_j = \chi^{p\bar{q}} \alpha_{\bar{q}pj}$, where $u_{\bar{k}j} = g_{\bar{k}j} - \chi_{\bar{k}j}$.

We work at a point x_0 using the coordinate system of [16], which satisfies

$$\chi_{\bar{k}j}(x_0) = \delta_{\bar{k}j}, \quad \partial_j \chi_{\bar{k}k}(x_0) = 0, \quad g_{\bar{k}j}(x_0) \text{ is diagonal.} \quad (5.45)$$

We first compute the bad term. In this coordinate system we have $\partial_j \text{Tr } h = \sum_q \partial_j u_{\bar{q}q}$.

$$\begin{aligned} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \partial_{\bar{k}} \text{Tr } h &= \sum g^{k\bar{k}} \partial_k u_{\bar{q}q} \partial_{\bar{k}} u_{\bar{s}s} \\ &= \sum g^{k\bar{k}} (\partial_q u_{\bar{q}k} + \alpha_{\bar{q}qk}) (\partial_{\bar{s}} u_{\bar{k}s} + \overline{\alpha_{\bar{s}sk}}) \\ &= \sum_{k,q,s} g^{k\bar{k}} \partial_q u_{\bar{q}k} \partial_{\bar{s}} u_{\bar{k}s} + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \overline{\alpha_k} + g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\ &= \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} (\partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} \chi_{\bar{k}r} + \partial_p \chi_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \partial_p \chi_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} \chi_{\bar{k}r}) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \overline{\alpha_k} + g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\ &= \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} (\partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} \chi_{\bar{k}r} + \partial_p \chi_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} u_{\bar{k}r} + \partial_p \chi_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} \chi_{\bar{k}r}) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \overline{\alpha_k} + g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\ &= \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \overline{T_k} - g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p u_{\bar{q}j} \overline{\alpha_k} + g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\ &= \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} (\partial_j u_{\bar{q}p} - \alpha_{\bar{q}pj}) \overline{T_k} - g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} (\partial_j u_{\bar{q}p} - \alpha_{\bar{q}pj}) \overline{\alpha_k} + g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\ &= \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \overline{T_k} + 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{T_k} - g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \overline{\alpha_k} - g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

The good term is

$$-\chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} = -\chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \partial_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{\Gamma_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{pj}^r \overline{\Gamma_{qk}^s}. \quad (5.47)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p u_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r &= -2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} \\ &\quad + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{pj}^r \overline{\Gamma_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{jp}^r \overline{\Gamma_{kq}^s} \\ &\quad + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} T_{pj}^r \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \overline{\alpha_{rk}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

Note that the second term on the right-hand side of (5.47) may combine with the first term on the right-hand side of (5.48), using $\Gamma_{qk}^s - T_{qk}^s = \Gamma_{kj}^s$. Also note that the third term

on the right-hand side of (5.47) cancels with the second term on the right-hand side of (5.48). Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \partial_{\bar{k}} \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p u_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} \chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} - 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} \\
&\quad + 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} \overline{\alpha_k} + \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\
&\quad - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \partial_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} + 2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{\Gamma_{kq}^s} \\
&\quad - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{jp}^r \overline{\Gamma_{kq}^s} + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} T_{pj}^r \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \overline{\alpha_{\bar{r}qk}}. \tag{5.49}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (5.49) may be estimated as follows, by a classic technique using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality twice:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^{p\bar{q}} \chi^{r\bar{s}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{q}j} \partial_{\bar{s}} g_{\bar{k}r} &= \sum_{s,q} \sum_k g^{k\bar{k}} \partial_s g_{\bar{s}k} \partial_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}q} \\
&\leq \sum_{s,q} \sqrt{\sum_k g^{k\bar{k}} |\partial_s g_{\bar{s}k}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_k g^{k\bar{k}} |\partial_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}q}|^2} \\
&= \left(\sum_s \sqrt{\sum_k g^{k\bar{k}} |\partial_s g_{\bar{s}k}|^2} \right)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_s \sqrt{g_{\bar{s}s}} \sqrt{\sum_k g^{s\bar{s}} g^{k\bar{k}} |\partial_s g_{\bar{s}k}|^2} \right)^2 \\
&\leq (\text{Tr } h) \cdot \sum_{k,s} g^{k\bar{k}} g^{s\bar{s}} |\partial_s g_{\bar{s}k}|^2. \tag{5.50}
\end{aligned}$$

Also the eighth term on the right-hand side of (5.49) may be broken apart, using the special coordinate system, as

$$2\text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_p g_{\bar{s}j} \overline{\Gamma_{kq}^s} \leq \sum_{q \neq s} g^{k\bar{k}} g^{s\bar{s}} |\partial_q g_{\bar{s}k}|^2 + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g_{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{jp}^r \overline{\Gamma_{kq}^s}. \tag{5.51}$$

Hence from (5.49), (5.50), (5.51) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \text{Tr } h \partial_{\bar{k}} \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} - \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} g^{r\bar{s}} \nabla_p g_{\bar{s}j} \nabla_{\bar{q}} g_{\bar{k}r} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_p u_{\bar{s}j} \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \nabla_{\bar{k}} u_{\bar{q}r} T_{pj}^r \\
&\leq -2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} g^{j\bar{k}} T_j \overline{T_k} + 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \text{Tr } h}{\text{Tr } h} \overline{\alpha_k} + \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} 2\text{Re } g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{T_k} - \frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} g^{j\bar{k}} \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} \\
&\quad + \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} \chi_{\bar{s}r} T_{pj}^r \overline{T_{qk}^s} - \text{Re } \chi^{p\bar{q}} g^{j\bar{k}} T_{pj}^r \overline{\alpha_{\bar{r}qk}}. \tag{5.52}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 3.

6 C^2 estimate

Now we prove Theorem 2. We work at a maximum point (x_0, t_0) of G . Since $\partial_j G = 0$ at this point, we have

$$\frac{\partial_j \operatorname{Tr} h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} = A \partial_j \varphi + \frac{1}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \partial_j \varphi - BF \partial_j F. \quad (6.53)$$

Recalling the expression (4.36) for $(\partial_t - L)G$ and applying Corollary 1 shows that we now need only to estimate the term involving $g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \operatorname{Tr} h \overline{T_k}$ from (1.7) and the terms controlled by $|\partial \det h|^2$ from (4.36).

Using (6.53) and incorporating the factor of $F' e^{-f} \det h / \operatorname{Tr} h$ from outside the brackets in (4.36), we have that the first term coming from the right-hand side of (1.7) may be estimated in the following way, as long as $A > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{F' e^{-f} \det h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} 2 \operatorname{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \operatorname{Tr} h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} \overline{T_k} \\ &= - \frac{F' e^{-f} \det h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} 2 \operatorname{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \left(A \partial_j \varphi + \frac{1}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^2} \partial_j \varphi - BF \partial_j F \right) \overline{T_k} \\ &\leq \frac{F' e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \varphi \partial_{\bar{k}} \varphi + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\operatorname{Tr} h)^2} C A^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{F' e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \varphi \partial_{\bar{k}} \varphi + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^{-1}}{(\operatorname{Tr} h)^2} C \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} \\ &\quad + F' e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F + C B^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2 F' e^{-f} \det h}{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \varphi \partial_{\bar{k}} \varphi + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\operatorname{Tr} h)^2} C A^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} \\ &\quad + F' e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F + C B^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.54)$$

Adding the terms from (4.41), (4.42), (4.43), (4.44) to (6.54) and using a positive lower bound for $F' e^{-f} \det h$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{F' e^{-f} \det h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} 2 \operatorname{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \frac{\partial_j \operatorname{Tr} h}{\operatorname{Tr} h} \overline{T_{qk}} + (\partial_t - L) \left\{ -A \varphi + \frac{1}{\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1} + \frac{B}{2} F^2 \right\} \\ &\leq C A + (C B^2 - \epsilon A) \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\operatorname{Tr} h)^2} C A^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - B) F' e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F. \end{aligned} \quad (6.55)$$

Now as long as $B > 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - B) F' e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F &= (1 - B) (F')^3 e^{-3f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \det h \partial_{\bar{k}} \det h \\ &\quad + (1 - B) (F')^3 e^{-3f} (\det h)^3 g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j f \partial_{\bar{k}} f \\ &\quad - (1 - B) (F')^3 e^{-3f} (\det h)^2 2 \operatorname{Re} g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \det h \partial_{\bar{k}} f \\ &\leq (2 - \epsilon B) g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \det h \partial_{\bar{k}} \det h + C B^2 \operatorname{Tr} h^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\text{Tr } h} |\partial \det h|^2 \leq g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j \det h \partial_{\bar{k}} \det h \quad (6.56)$$

we see that for $B \gg 1$ the term $(1-B)F'e^{-f} \det h g^{j\bar{k}} \partial_j F \partial_{\bar{k}} F$ overtakes the final unwanted terms from (4.39).

Putting all of the above estimates together, it follows that at (x_0, t_0)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (\partial_t - L)G \\ &\leq CA + (CB^2 - \epsilon A) \text{Tr } h^{-1} + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\text{Tr } h)^2} CA^2 \text{Tr } h^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.57)$$

Take $A \gg B$ so that

$$0 \leq CA - 2 \text{Tr } h^{-1} + \frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\text{Tr } h)^2} CA^2 \text{Tr } h^{-1}. \quad (6.58)$$

Now we consider two cases. If

$$(\text{Tr } h)^2 \leq CA^2(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3 \quad (6.59)$$

then since $\frac{3}{2} \log s \leq s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G &\leq G(x_0, t_0) \\ &\leq \log \text{Tr } h(x_0, t_0) - A\varphi(x_0, t_0) + 1 + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log (CA^2(\varphi(x_0, t_0) - \inf \varphi + 1)^3) - A\varphi(x_0, t_0) + 1 + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2 \\ &\leq \varphi(x_0, t_0) - \inf \varphi + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log (CA^2) - A\varphi(x_0, t_0) + 1 + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2 \\ &= -(A-1)\varphi(x_0, t_0) - \inf \varphi + 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log (CA^2) + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2 \\ &\leq -A \inf \varphi + 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log (CA^2) + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

Since F is uniformly bounded this implies (1.4) directly.

The alternative to (6.59) is

$$\frac{(\varphi - \inf \varphi + 1)^3}{(\text{Tr } h)^2} CA^2 \leq 1. \quad (6.61)$$

In this case (6.58) implies $\text{Tr } h^{-1}(x_0, t_0) \leq C$. The determinant estimate now gives $\text{Tr } h(x_0, t_0) \leq C$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Tr } h - A\varphi &\leq G \\ &\leq G(x_0, t_0) \\ &\leq \log \text{Tr } h(x_0, t_0) - A \inf \varphi + 1 + \frac{B}{2} F(x_0, t_0)^2 \\ &\leq \log \text{Tr } h(x_0, t_0) - A \inf \varphi + C \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

and finally

$$\mathrm{Tr} h \leq C e^{A(\varphi - \inf \varphi)}. \quad (6.63)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2 and hence the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] T. Aubin, *Equations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés Kähleriennes compactes*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 283 (1976), 119-121.
- [2] L. Bedulli and L. Vezzoni, *A parabolic flow of balanced metrics*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 723 (2017), 79-99. arXiv:1301.1862
- [3] H. D. Cao, *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*. Invent. Math. 81 (1985), no. 2, 359-372.
- [4] T. Collins, *$C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations of twisted type*. Calc. Var. 55, 6 (2016). arXiv:1501.06455
- [5] T. Collins, T. Hisamoto, and R. Takahashi, *The inverse Monge-Ampère flow and applications to Kähler-Einstein metrics*. To appear in J. Differential Geom. arXiv:1712.01685
- [6] T. Collins, A. Jacob, and S. T. Yau, *(1,1) forms with specified Lagrangian phase: A priori estimates and algebraic obstructions*. To appear in Cambridge J. Math. arXiv:1508.01934
- [7] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations I*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37, 1984, 369-402.
- [8] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations II*. Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 38 (1985), 209-252.
- [9] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III*. Acta Math. 155 (1985), 261-301.
- [10] T. Fei, Z. Huang, and S. Picard, *A construction of infinitely many solutions to the Strominger system*. To appear in J. Differential Geom. arXiv:1703.10067
- [11] M. Fernandez, A. Fino, and A. Rafferio, *On G_2 -structures, special metrics and related flows*. Lectures and Surveys on G_2 manifolds and related topics. arXiv:1810.07587
- [12] A. Fino, G. Grantcharov, and L. Vezzoni, *Solutions to the Hull-Strominger system with torus symmetry*. arXiv:1901.10322

- [13] J. X. Fu and S. T. Yau, *A Monge-Ampère type equation motivated by string theory*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (2007), no. 1, 29-76.
- [14] J. X. Fu and S. T. Yau, *The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*. J. Diff.Geom.78:369-428,2009. arXiv:hep-th/0604063
- [15] M. Gill, *Convergence of the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 19 (2011), no. 2, 277-304. arXiv:1009.5756
- [16] B. Guan and Q. Li, *Complex Monge-Ampère equations on Hermitian manifolds*. arXiv:0906.3548
- [17] D. H. Phong, *Geometric partial differential equations from unified string theories*. arXiv:1906.03693
- [18] D. H. Phong, S. Picard, and X. W. Zhang, *Geometric flows and Strominger systems*. Mathematische Zeitschrift 288 (1-2), 101-113. arXiv:1508.03315
- [19] D. H. Phong, S. Picard, and X. W. Zhang, *The Fu-Yau equation with negative slope parameter*. Invent. Math., Vol. 209, No. 2 (2017), 541-576. arXiv:1602.08838
- [20] D. H. Phong, S. Picard, and X. W. Zhang, *The Anomaly flow and the Fu-Yau equation*. Annals of PDE volume 4, Article number: 13 (2018). arXiv:1610.02740
- [21] D. H. Phong, S. Picard, and X. W. Zhang, *A flow of conformally balanced metrics with Kähler fixed points*. Mathematische Annalen volume 374, pages20052040(2019). arXiv:1805.01029
- [22] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm, *The Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*. arXiv:0904.1898
- [23] D. H. Phong and D. T. To, *Fully non-linear parabolic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*. arXiv:1711.10697
- [24] S. Picard, *The Hull-Strominger system in complex geometry*.
- [25] S. Picard and X. W. Zhang, *Parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds*. arXiv:1906.10235
- [26] J. Streets and G. Tian, *Hermitian curvature flow*. arXiv:0804.4109
- [27] A. Strominger, *Superstrings with torsion*. Nuclear Physics B, 274(2):253-284, 1986.
- [28] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *Estimates for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian and balanced manifolds*. Asian J. Math. 14 (2010), no.1, 19-40. arXiv:0909.4496

- [29] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no.4, 1187-1195. arXiv:0910.1390
- [30] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern-Ricci form*. J. Differential Geom. 99 (2015), no.1, 125-163. arXiv:1201.0312
- [31] S. T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978) 339-411.

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
 kjs@math.columbia.edu