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#### Abstract

We obtain $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons by a gluing construction which captures, in a precise and explicit fashion, their interpretation as non-linear superpositions of the moduli space of centred $S U(2)$ monopoles, equipped with the Atiyah-Hitchin metric, and $k$ copies of the Taub-NUT manifold. The construction proceeds from a finite set of points in euclidean space, reflection symmetric about the origin, and depends on an adiabatic parameter which is incorporated into the geometry as a fifth dimension. Using a formulation in terms of hyperKähler triples on manifolds with boundaries, we show that the constituent Atiyah-Hitchin and Taub-NUT geometries arise as boundary components of the 5 -dimensional geometry as the adiabatic parameter is taken to zero.


> To appear in a special issue of The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics dedicated to Sir Michael Atiyah

## 1. Introduction and conclusion

1.1. First statement of the main result. A 4-dimensional gravitational instanton is a complete hyperKähler 4-manifold $(M, g)$, possibly with a decay condition on the curvature at infinity. Michael Atiyah was fascinated by gravitational instantons from the early 1980s onwards, and much progress was made by the Oxford group, led by Sir Michael, until he left for the mastership of Trinity College Cambridge in 1990. In particular, his student Peter Kronheimer, building on the work of Nigel Hitchin (e.g. [21]) and others, gave a complete classification of the asymptotically locally euclidean (ALE) gravitational instantons, using the hyperKähler quotient construction $[25,24]$. At about the same time, Atiyah and Hitchin computed the metric on the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ of centred $S U(2)$ monopoles, which is an example of an asymptotically locally flat (ALF) gravitational instanton [2, 6].

The classification of ALF gravitational instantons has proved to be more difficult, but, following substantial progress $[11,12,10,35,36,7,9]$ which we review below, is now quite well understood. In particular, there are two infinite families, the $A_{k}$ and $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons, labelled by a non-negative integer $k$ and distinguished by the fundamental group of the asymptotic region of $M$. The $A_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons can all be constructed by the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz [17, 36]. In particular, the $A_{0}$ gravitational instanton is the euclidean (positive mass) Taub-NUT space which we denote by TN in the following.

Constructions of $D_{k}$ gravitational instantons are not so explicit. The early paper [14] gave a construction using Nahm's equations of hyperKähler metrics on 4-manifolds with the correct $D_{k}$ asymptotic topology, but did not prove the ALF property. Other constructions either use twistor theory $[11,12,10]$ or rely on gluing or desingularization constructions [8, 7]. In this paper we shall present a construction in which $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons appear as (nonlinear) superpositions of $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ and $k$ copies of TN.

The idea of this construction can be found in a paper of Ashoke Sen [37]: the GibbonsHawking Ansatz, applied to a harmonic function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=1-\frac{2}{|x|}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{2\left|x-q_{\nu}\right|}+\frac{1}{2\left|x+q_{\nu}\right|}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $q_{\nu}$ are points of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ widely separated from each other and from 0 , yields a hyperKähler manifold $\left(M_{q}, g_{q}\right)$, with ALF asymptotics, but which is incomplete near 0 due to the negative
coefficient of $1 /|x|$. The function $V$ is symmetric under $x \mapsto-x$ and this is covered by an orientation-preserving isometry $\iota$ of $M_{q}$. The geometry of $M_{q} / \iota$ for $|x| \sim R$ is well approximated by the asymptotic geometry of $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ (see below), provided that $R$ is large but is much less than the smallest of the $\left|q_{\nu}\right|$. Denote by $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$ the 4 -manifold obtained by gluing $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ into the 'hole' near $x=0$ in $M_{q} / \iota$. (The construction will be described carefully later, cf. $\S 1.5$ and $\S 4.1$ ). This is a smooth 4-manifold with a metric $g^{\chi}$ obtained by gluing $g_{q}$ to $g_{\mathrm{AH}}$. In particular $g^{\chi}$ is approximately hyperKähler on $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$. A first statement of the Theorem to be proved is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be such that $\left\{0, \pm p_{1}, \ldots, \pm p_{k}\right\}$ is a set of $2 k+1$ distinct points. Define $q_{\nu}=p_{\nu} / \varepsilon$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, so that for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, there is a small perturbation $g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}$ of $g^{\chi}$ such that $\left(\mathrm{Se}_{k}, g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}\right)$ is a $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instanton.

Since the geometry of $g_{q}$ is approximately that of the Taub-NUT metric for $\left|x \pm q_{\nu}\right| \sim R$, for $R$ large but much less than $1 / \varepsilon$, this result already justifies the title of the paper: ( $\mathrm{Se}_{k}, g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}$ ) is a $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instanton appearing as a (nonlinear) superposition of the Atiyah-Hitchin and Taub-NUT geometries. We shall, however, prove a much more precise version of this result (Theorem 1.8 below), which shows that $g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}$ is, in a suitable sense, smooth in $\varepsilon$ uniformly down to $\varepsilon=0$.

In the remainder of this extended introduction we explain the relation of this result to Michael Atiyah's interest in geometrical models of matter, provide some technical background and use it to state a more detailed version of the theorem.
1.2. Motivation. Our interest in Theorem 1.1 has its origin in a speculative proposal for purely geometric models of physical particles made in [4] by Michael Atiyah, Nick Manton and the first named author of the current paper. While our main concern here is geometry, we briefly recall the physical motivation.

The idea developed in [4] is to use non-compact hyperKähler 4-manifolds to model electrically charged particles like the electron or the proton. Outside a compact core region, or at least asymptotically, the 4-manifolds are required to be circle fibrations over physical 3-dimensional space. In this asymptotic region, the model is interpreted as a dual Kaluza-Klein picture: the Chern class of the asymptotic circle bundle, which would be the magnetic charge in KaluzaKlein theory, is taken to represent the negative of the electric charge. The further requirement that the 4-manifold has cubic volume growth means that the allowed geometric models are in effect ALF gravitational instantons [9].

In [4], these ideas were illustrated with two main examples, namely the Taub-NUT and Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds as potential models of, respectively, the electron and the proton. Following the convention of [4] we write AH for the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold by which we mean the simply-connected double cover of the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ of centred 2-monopoles in critically coupled $S U(2)$ Yang-Mills-Higgs theory [6].

Geometries which are obtained by gluing together copies of TN and of AH or $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ are potential geometric models for electrons interacting with each other and a proton, and therefore interesting arenas for exploring if and how geometrical models can make contact with physics beyond basic quantum numbers like electric charge and baryon number. In particular, the model for a single electron interacting with the proton would need to account for the formation of the hydrogen atom and its excited states.

The gluing process is well-understood when dealing only with copies of TN, where it leads to the multi-center Taub-NUT spaces which make up the $A_{k}$ series of ALF gravitational instantons, with the positive integer $k+1$ counting the number of centres or 'NUTs' $[17,36]$.

However, the interpretation of $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons, even in some asymptotic region, as a composite of more elementary geometries is less clear. This is the issue addressed by Theorem 1.1, building on the procedure first outlined by Sen. While Sen's proposal was made in the context of $M$-theory, it is similar in spirit to the motivation coming from geometric models of matter. In both cases one aims to obtain a $D_{k}$ space as a non-linear superposition of $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ and $k$ copies of TN, thus interpreting it as a composite object or bound state.

The construction has two main ingredients, the Gibbons-Hawking gravitational instanton $\left(M_{q}, g_{q}\right)$ (see (1.1)) with the additional symmetry $\iota$, and and a further manifold, obtained as a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-quotient of a branched cover $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ of the Atiyah-Hitchin space AH, which we call HA. We now discuss these in turn, but should alert the reader that, while AH and $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ have smooth hyperKähler metrics, the lifts of these metrics to the branched covers $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ and HA are singular on the branching locus.
1.3. The adiabatic Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz. The definition of ALF gravitational instantons allows for the complement of all sufficiently large compact subsets to have a non-trivial fundamental group $\Gamma$. Apart from a few exceptional cases, $\Gamma$ must be a finite subgroup of $S U(2)$, more specifically a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ or the binary dihedral group $\mathscr{D}_{\ell}$ of order $4 \ell$, for a suitable positive integer $\ell$. The corresponding ALF gravitational instantons are called $A_{\ell-1}$ and $D_{\ell+2}$ ALF gravitational instantons. In fact, it is natural to extend this correspondence to $D_{k}$ instantons for non-negative integers $k$ as follows.

To fix notation, our presentation of $\mathscr{D}_{\ell}$ as a subgroup of $S U(2)$ is as the group generated by

$$
R_{\ell}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i \frac{\pi}{\ell}} & 0  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & e^{i \frac{\pi}{\ell}}
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \ell \geqslant 1,
$$

so that $\mathscr{D}_{1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{2}$ is the lift of the Vierergruppe, viewed as the group of rotations by $\pi$ around orthogonal axes in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, to $S U(2)$. For our purposes it is convenient to define also $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ as the infinite group with generators $R_{0}, S$ and relations $S R_{0} S^{-1}=R_{0}^{-1}$ and $S^{4}=\mathrm{id}$. With these conventions, the fundamental group of the asymptotic region of $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons is $\mathscr{D}_{k^{*}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{*}=|k-2| . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now give a more detailed account of the hyperKähler manifolds $\left(M_{q}, g_{q}\right)$ which appear Theorem 1.1. It is convenient to fix $p_{\nu}$ as in the statement of that theorem, set $q_{\nu}=p_{\nu} / \varepsilon$ and also replace $x$ by $x / \varepsilon$, so that $V$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\varepsilon}(x)=1-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left|x-p_{\nu}\right|}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left|x+p_{\nu}\right|}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function yields an adiabatic family of Gibbons-Hawking metrics

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\varepsilon}=h_{\varepsilon} \frac{|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}+h_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \alpha^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \alpha=*_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} h_{\varepsilon}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on 4-manifolds $M_{\varepsilon}$ which carry a circle-action with quotient $\{|x|>2 \varepsilon\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Denoting the quotient map by $\phi$ and putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{ \pm p_{1}, \ldots, \pm p_{k}\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the action is free away from $\phi^{-1}(P)$, the fixed-point set of the $S^{1}$-action on $M_{\varepsilon}$. Then $M_{\varepsilon} \backslash \phi^{-1}(P)$ is the total space of a principal $S^{1}$-bundle over $Y_{\varepsilon}=\{|x|>2 \varepsilon\} \backslash P$, and the precise interpretation of $\alpha$ in (1.5) is as a connection-form on this bundle. Thus in local coordinates $(x, \theta)$ on $\phi^{-1}\left(Y_{\varepsilon}\right)$, $\alpha=\mathrm{d} \theta+$ (1-form on base), and $\mathrm{d} \alpha$, the curvature of $\alpha$, is a 2 -form on $Y_{\varepsilon}$. The second equation of (1.5) thus makes sense with $*_{\varepsilon}$ denoting the Hodge star operator of the metric $|\mathrm{d} x|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$ (and a fixed orientation) on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The involution $x \mapsto-x$ preserves $h_{\varepsilon}$ and is covered on $M_{\varepsilon}$ by an orientation-preserving involution $\iota$. Then $\alpha$ can (and will) be chosen so that $\iota^{*} \alpha=-\alpha ; \iota$ is then an isometry of $g_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\iota$ acts freely on $M_{\varepsilon}$, the quotient $\left(M_{\varepsilon} / \iota, g_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a smooth hyperKähler manifold with $D_{k}$ ALF asymptotics, but it is incomplete near 0 due to the restriction to $|x|>2 \varepsilon$. To verify the claim about the asymptotics, note that for $|x| \gg 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\varepsilon}(x)=1+\frac{\varepsilon(2 k-4)}{2|x|}+O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{-3}\right)=1+\frac{2 k^{*} \varepsilon}{2|x|}+O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{-3}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(There is no $O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{-2}\right.$ ) term because $h_{\varepsilon}(-x)=h_{\varepsilon}(x)$.) This means that the asymptotic topology of $M$ is $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}$ if $k^{*}=0$, and $\mathbb{R}^{4} /\left\langle R_{k^{*}}\right\rangle$ otherwise ( $R$ is defined in (1.2)). Our involution $\iota$
corresponds to the generator $S$ above acting on $\mathbb{R}^{4} /\left\langle R_{k^{*}}\right\rangle$ so that ( $M_{\varepsilon} / \iota, g_{\varepsilon}$ ) does indeed have the correct asymptotic geometry for a $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instanton.

As we have indicated, the choice of leading term $1-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}$ is made so that the hole can be filled by gluing in $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ with the Atiyah-Hitchin metric. We therefore turn next to various aspects of its geometry.
1.4. The Atiyah-Hitchin manifold and related spaces. Michael Atiyah revisited the geometry of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold on several occasions. Even though it arose in the specific physical context of magnetic monopoles, he hoped for an application to real and fundamental physics, and pursued this in the Skyrme model of nuclear particles [3] and in geometric models of matter [4]. In all these studies, he stressed and used the interpretation of AH and its branched cover as parameter spaces of oriented ellipses, up to scale, in euclidean space.

We have also found this picture helpful, and develop it further in this section and Appendix A in order to clarify the discrete symmetries and their action on the core and asymptotic regions. We begin by noting that, as real manifolds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}=T S^{2} \simeq \mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$ is the anti-diagonal $\mathbb{C P}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$. This manifold is a branched cover of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold AH, which, as already explained, is the double cover of the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ of centred 2 -monopoles. We would like to make this explicit, and to define the manifold HA in terms of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$.

In Appendix A, we derive the concrete realisation of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}=\left\{Y \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+Y_{3}^{2}=1\right\}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we wrote $Y$ for the vector in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ with coordinates $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ and $Y_{3}$. The real and imaginary parts of $Y=y+i \eta$ are orthogonal, with magnitudes related via $|y|^{2}=1+|\eta|^{2}$. We can picture this description in terms of an oriented ellipse, called the $Y$-ellipse in the following, with major axis $y$ and minor axis $\eta$. When $|\eta|=0$ the $Y$-ellipse degenerates to an oriented line. The set of these lines is a two-sphere to which $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ retracts and which we call the core in the following. It is the diagonal submanifold of $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$, and we denote it by $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$.

This description of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ is useful for understanding its symmetries and the structure near the core, but less useful when studying the asymptotic region away from the core, which for us means simply $|\eta| \neq 0$. In this region it is convenient to switch to a dual description, derived in the appendix, in terms of a complex vector $X$ whose components also satisfy $X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+X_{3}^{2}=1$, but whose real and imaginary parts are

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\tilde{x}+i \eta, \quad \tilde{x}=\frac{y \times \eta}{|\eta|^{2}}, \quad \xi=-\frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{2}} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

One checks that $|\tilde{x}|^{2}=1+|\xi|^{2}$, and in Appendix A we explain that $\tilde{x}$ and $\xi$ are the major and minor axes of a family of ellipses which we call $X$-ellipses and which are dual to the $Y$-ellipses.

The $X$-ellipses degenerate into oriented lines in the direction of $\tilde{x}$ when $|\xi|=0$. The directions of these lines make up the sphere at spatial infinity in the asymptotic region of $\widehat{A H}$, which is $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$ in the description (1.8). The core $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ is obtained in another degenerate limit of the $X$-ellipses, namely in the limit $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, where they become circles of infinite radius.

We are interested in the quotients of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ by discrete symmetries which arise naturally from its description as $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$, namely the factor switching map $s$, the antipodal maps on both factors $a$ and the composition $r=a s$. It follows from the description of these maps in Appendix A, that they act in the following way on the ellipse parameters, where the formulation in terms of $(\tilde{x}, \xi)$ assumes that $|\eta| \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
s:(y, \eta) & \mapsto(y,-\eta), & (\tilde{x}, \xi) \mapsto(-\tilde{x},-\xi), \\
r:(y, \eta) & \mapsto(-y,-\eta), & (\tilde{x}, \xi) \mapsto(\tilde{x},-\xi), \\
a:(y, \eta) \mapsto(-y, \eta), & (\tilde{x}, \xi) \mapsto(-\tilde{x}, \xi) . \tag{1.11}
\end{array}
$$

In particular we see that $s$ fixes the core $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ but acts as the antipodal map on the sphere at spatial infinity $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$, while $r$ fixes the sphere at spatial infinity and acts as the antipodal map on the core. Writing 1 for the identity map and defining the Vierergruppe

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Vier }=\{1, s, r, a\}, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can characterise the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold AH and the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ of centred 2 -monopoles as the quotients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AH}=\widehat{\mathrm{AH}} / s, \quad \mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{AH} / r=\widehat{\mathrm{AH}} / \mathrm{Vier} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from our discussion of the generators, that in AH the core is still a two-sphere, but the space of directions at spatial infinity is now $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq \mathbb{R P}_{2}$. Finally, in $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ both the core and the space of directions at spatial infinity are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R P}_{2}$.

The manifold obtained by quotienting $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ by the free action of $r$ is, literally, central to the construction of the Sen spaces. We therefore define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HA}=\widehat{\mathrm{AH}} / r . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This manifold still has a two-sphere of directions at spatial infinity, but its core is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$. It allows us to write the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ of centred 2-monopoles also as the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{HA} / s \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is precisely what we require for our construction. The situation is summed up in Fig. 1.


Figure 1. Coverings and quotients of the Atiyah-Hitchin manifold
Having defined the manifolds, we turn to their symmetries and metric structure. The rotation group $S O(3)$ acts on all four manifolds in Fig. 1 by the obvious action of $G \in S O(3)$ on $X \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$. This action commutes with the action of the Vierergruppe (1.11), so that the generic $S O(3)$ orbit is $S O(3)$ for $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}, S O(3) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for both AH and HA and $S O(3) / \mathrm{Vier}$ for $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$, with the generators $s, r$ and $a$ realised as rotations by $\pi$ around three orthogonal axes. Away from the core $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$, we have a $U(1)$ action which commutes with the $S O(3)$ action and which fixes the asymptotic direction $m=\tilde{x} /|\tilde{x}|$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{i \theta},(\tilde{x}, \xi)\right) \mapsto\left(\tilde{x}, R_{m}(\theta) \xi\right), \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{m}(\theta)$ is the rotation about $m$ by angle $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$.
The Atiyah-Hitchin metric is most easily expressed in terms of the $S O(3)$ matrix $G$ and one transversal coordinate $\tau$ (bijectively related to ellipse parameter $|\tilde{x}|)$. We define left-invariant 1-forms on $S O(3)$ via $G^{-1} \mathrm{~d} G=\sigma_{1} t_{1}+\sigma_{2} t_{2}+\sigma_{3} t_{3}$ for generators $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathfrak{s o ( 3 )}$ of the rotations around three orthogonal axes, satisfying $\left[t_{i}, t_{j}\right]=\varepsilon_{i j k} t_{k}$. Then the Atiyah-Hitchin metric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{AH}}=f^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}+a^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+b^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+c^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the choice of $f$ amounts to fixing the transversal coordinate $\tau$, and the radial functions $a, b$ and $c$ obey coupled differential equations which follow from the hyperKähler property of the metric [6]. As explained in [19], the choice $f=-b / \tau$ results in a radial coordinate in the
range $\tau \in[\pi, \infty)$, with $\tau=\pi$ corresponding to the core $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$, and coefficient functions $a, b$ and $c$ with the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \sim b \sim \tau \sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\tau}}, \quad c \sim-\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\tau}}} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and exponentially small corrections. Substituting the asymptotic form into (1.17) yields the negative-mass Taub-NUT metric as the leading term

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{AH}}=\left(1-\frac{2}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}\right)\left|\mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{2}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}\right)^{-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}+O\left(e^{-\left|x^{\prime}\right|}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we made the identifications

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}=G\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{1.20}\\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left|x^{\prime}\right|=\tau, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=2 \sigma_{3}
$$

In the following we will refer to (1.17) as the Atiyah-Hitchin metric and to (1.19) as its asymptotic form regardless of whether the underlying manifold is $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}, \mathrm{AH}, \mathrm{HA}$ or $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$, even though the metric is singular at the core on $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ and HA.

We can tie together the asymptotic Taub-NUT geometry with the description of $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ (and its quotients) in terms of the $X$-ellipses by noting that both are $U(1)$ bundles over $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, with $x$ and the major axis $\tilde{x}$ being coordinates on the base. The directions of both $x$ and $\tilde{x}$ parametrise the two-sphere at spatial infinity and can be identified. The magnitudes of $\tilde{x}$ and $x$ are bijectively related, but not in any obvious way.

To end this review of the Atiyah-Hitchin geometry we note that the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ equipped with the hyperKähler metric (1.17) is the, up to scaling, unique $D_{0}$ ALF gravitational instanton [6], and, suitably interpreted, fits into the general construction outlined in $\S 1.3$ with $k=0$. In this case, no gluing is required since the manifold HA has the required asymptotic structure, both topologically and metrically. The quotient (1.15) realises the division by an involution $\iota=s$ which is covered by the generator $S$ in (1.2), see our Appendix A for details.
1.5. Improved statement of Theorem 1.1. Let the notation be as in §1.3. In particular, with $h_{\varepsilon}$ defined as in (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\varepsilon}(x)=1+\mu \varepsilon-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}+O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{2}\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x$ in some small ball $B(0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sum_{p \in P} \frac{1}{2|p|}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\left|p_{\nu}\right|} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error term in (1.21) is smooth in $B(0, \delta)$ and we have $O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{2}\right)$ rather than $O(\varepsilon|x|)$ because $h_{\varepsilon}(-x)=h_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

Fix $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$ and take $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \delta^{2}\right)$. If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}<|x|<\delta\right\}, \quad V_{1}=\phi^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $V_{1}$ is a non-empty open subset of $M_{\varepsilon}$.
We have seen that an asymptotic region of HA is the total space of a circle-bundle, $\psi$, say, over a subset of the form $\left\{\delta\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=\left\{\frac{1}{\delta}<\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right\}, \quad V_{0}=\psi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. For $\mu>0$ denote by $g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ the AH metric which has the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\mu \varepsilon-2 /\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left(1+\mu \varepsilon-2 /\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\psi^{-1}\left\{\delta\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1\right\}$, cf. (1.19).

The rescaling $x^{\prime}=x / \varepsilon$ defines a diffeomorphism $s: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{0}$, and matches up the harmonic function of $x^{\prime}$ appearing in (1.25) with the leading terms of $h_{\varepsilon}$ in (1.21). The two circle bundles $\phi$ and $\psi$ have the same degree and so the diffeomorphism $s$ can be covered by a bundle map $\kappa: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{0}$, say. Such $\kappa$ is far from unique, but it may be fixed up to a constant phase by insisting that it matches up the leading terms of $g_{\varepsilon}$ and $g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$.

From (1.21) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha_{0}+O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{2}\right), \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}$ is the standard connection on $V_{1}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha_{0}=-*_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}\right) . \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In (1.26), the error term is a 1 -form on $B(0, \delta)$ whose length, as measured by the metric $|\mathrm{d} x|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$, is $O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{2}\right)$; see Proposition 2.8.)

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha^{\prime}=-* \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{2}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}\right) \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the right-hand sides of (1.27) and (1.28) are matched by our rescaling $s$. It follows that $\kappa$ can be chosen so that $\kappa^{*}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}$, and this condition fixes $\kappa$ up to a constant phase.
Definition 1.3. The space $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k}$ (also denoted by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k, \varepsilon}$ when we need to keep track of the scale $\varepsilon$ ) is obtained from the disjoint union

$$
\left(\mathrm{HA}_{\varepsilon} \S \psi^{-1}\left\{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \delta \varepsilon^{-1}\right\}\right) \amalg\left(M_{\varepsilon} \backslash \phi^{-1}\left\{|x| \leqslant \delta^{-1} \varepsilon\right\}\right)
$$

by identifying $V_{0}$ with $V_{1}$ by $\kappa$.
The space $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$ (or $\mathrm{Se}_{k, \varepsilon}$ ) is the quotient $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k} / \iota$.
Remark 1.4. This discussion clarifies the gluing referred to in the introduction to Theorem 1.1. It would be straightforward to perturb $g_{\varepsilon}$ and $\kappa^{*} g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ over $V_{1}$ to yield a metric $g^{\chi}$ which is smooth on $\mathrm{Se}_{k, \varepsilon}$, has $D_{k}$ ALF asymptotics, and is hyperKähler outside of $V_{1}$. We shall not do so here, since such a construction is subsumed in our work in $\S 5$, see in particular Prop. 5.11.

To give the improved version of Theorem 1.1, we shall make the construction of $\mathrm{Se}_{k, \varepsilon}$ uniform in $\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We give a summary here, referring forward to $\S 4$ for the details.

We start by introducing compactifications $\overline{\mathrm{HA}}, \overline{\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}}, \overline{\mathrm{TN}}$ by adjoining a boundary 'at spatial infinity'. Each of these compactifications is a smooth 4-manifold with fibred boundary, cf. §3.2 below. Thus $\partial \overline{\mathrm{HA}}$ is the total space of the $S^{1}$-bundle over $S^{2}$ of degree $4, \partial \overline{\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}}$ is the quotient of this by $\iota$, and $\partial \overline{\mathrm{TN}}=S^{3}$, viewed as the total space of the Hopf fibration over $S^{2}$. Moreover the ALF metrics on these spaces extend smoothly to $\phi$-metrics on their compactifications (see Proposition 3.4). Similarly, for fixed $\varepsilon>0,\left(M_{\varepsilon}, g_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a (partial) compactification $\bar{M}_{\varepsilon}$ by adjoining $S^{3} /\left\langle R_{2 k^{*}}\right\rangle$ (viewed as the total space of the $S^{1}$-bundle of degree $2 k^{*}$ over $S^{2}$, and interpreted as $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ if $k^{*}=0$ ) as a boundary at spatial infinity. The involution $\iota$ extends smoothly to the boundary and its quotient is of course $S^{3} / \mathscr{D}_{k^{*}}$.

Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k}$ is obtained from $M_{\varepsilon}$ by gluing in HA, near 0 , this compactification of $M_{\varepsilon}$ also gives a compactification of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k}$ and the quotient by $\iota$ gives a compactification, $\overline{\mathrm{Se}}_{k}$, of $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$ as a manifold with fibred boundary.

These compactifications feature in the construction, in $\S 4$, of spaces $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ and $\mathscr{W}$ whose properties we summarize in the following result:
Proposition 1.5. There exists a 5-manifold $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ with corners up to codimension 2 having the following properties:
(i) $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ is equipped with a smooth proper map (technically, a b-fibration, cf. [30]) $\widetilde{\pi}: \widetilde{\mathscr{W}} \longrightarrow$ $I=\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right), \varepsilon_{0}$ being a small positive constant; and for $\varepsilon>0, \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is the above compactification of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Se}}_{k, \varepsilon}$, its boundary being $\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon) \cap \widetilde{I}_{\infty}$.
(ii) The boundary of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ is a union of hypersurfaces denoted $\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \widetilde{X}_{0}, \widetilde{X}_{p}$ for $p \in P$ and $\widetilde{I}_{\infty}$. Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}_{0}=\overline{H A}, \quad \tilde{X}_{p}=\overline{\mathrm{TN}}, \quad(p \in P) \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(0)=\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}} \cup \widetilde{X}_{0} \cup \bigcup_{p \in P} \widetilde{X}_{p} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) The boundary hypersurface $\widetilde{I}_{\infty}$ is not compact but $\widetilde{\pi} \mid \widetilde{I}_{\infty} \rightarrow I$ is a smooth submersion onto $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\varepsilon) \cap \widetilde{I}_{\infty}=\partial \overline{M_{\varepsilon}} \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) The boundary hypersurfaces $\tilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\widetilde{I}_{\infty}$ are fibred: each is the total space of a principal circle-bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{ad}}: \tilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\left[\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} ; 0, P\right], \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\infty}: \tilde{I}_{\infty} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{\infty}=S^{2} \times I \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) The boundary hypersurface $\tilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is itself a manifold with boundary. Its boundary hypersurfaces (i.e. the connected components of $\partial \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ ) are denoted $\partial_{p} \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ for $p \in P \cup\{0\}$ (the internal boundary hypersurfaces) and $\partial_{\infty} \widetilde{X}_{\text {ad }}$ (the boundary at infinity). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{p} \widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\partial \widetilde{X}_{p}=\widetilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}} \cap \widetilde{X}_{p} \text { and } \partial_{\infty} \widetilde{X}_{\infty}=\partial \widetilde{I}_{\infty} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the decomposition (1.30).
(vi) Given that $P=-P$, there is a smooth involution $\iota$ on $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ which acts on the fibres of $\pi$ $(\pi \circ \iota=\pi)$ and which covers (the lift of) $x \mapsto-x$ on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$.

Definition 1.6. Granted this result, define $\mathscr{W}=\widetilde{\mathscr{W}} / \iota$ (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Schematic picture of the space $\mathscr{W}$ with boundary faces labelled. For $\varepsilon>0, \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is the compactification $\overline{\operatorname{Se}}_{k}$ of $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$, but $\pi^{-1}(0)=X_{\mathrm{ad}} \cup X_{0} \cup \cdots \cup X_{k}$. $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the total space of a circle-bundle with base $Y_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $I_{\infty}$ is the total space of a circle-bundle with base $Y_{\infty}$.

This space has properties precisely analogous to (i)-(v) above and we shall use symbols unadorned by ${ }^{\sim}$ for the corresponding objects in $\mathscr{W}$. Since $\widetilde{X}_{p}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{-p}$ are identified by $\iota$, it is more convenient to enumerate the images of these boundary hypersurfaces in $\mathscr{W}$ by $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$. Each of these is still $\overline{\mathrm{TN}}$, but $X_{0}=\overline{\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}}$. For $\varepsilon>0, \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathscr{W}$ is the compactification $\overline{\operatorname{Se}}_{k, \varepsilon}$ of the Sen space at scale $\varepsilon$, the fibred boundary being $\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon) \cap I_{\infty}=\partial\left(M_{\varepsilon} / \iota\right)=S^{3} / \mathscr{D}_{k^{*}}$.

One more definition is needed before we can state the improved version of our main theorem, that of the rescaled vertical tangent bundle $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ of $\mathscr{W}$, relative to $\pi$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is any submersion of manifolds without boundary, the sub-bundle of vectors tangent to the fibres of $f$ will be denoted $T(X / Y)$ and the space of smooth $f$-vertical vector fields by $\mathscr{V}(X / Y)$.

Definition 1.7. Let $\rho$ be a smooth boundary-defining function of $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$, let $\sigma_{I}$ be a smooth boundary-defining function of $I_{\infty}$. Assume without loss that $\rho=\pi^{*}(\varepsilon)$ in a collar neighbourhood of $I_{\infty}$. A vector field $v$ on $\mathscr{W}$ is called a $\phi$-vector field if $v$ is tangent to all boundary hypersurfaces and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left|X_{\mathrm{ad}} \in \mathscr{V}\left(X_{\mathrm{ad}} / Y_{\mathrm{ad}}\right), v\right| I_{\infty} \in \mathscr{V}\left(I_{\infty} / Y_{\infty}\right) \text { and } v\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right) \in \rho^{2} \sigma_{I}^{2} C^{\infty}(\mathscr{W}) \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space of all smooth $\phi$-vector fields on an open subset $U$ of $\mathscr{W}$ is denoted $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(U)$. The subspace of $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(U / I)$ consists of $v \in \mathscr{V}_{\phi}(U)$ which in addition are tangent to the fibres of $\pi$. By the Serre-Swan theorem, there is a vector bundle to be denoted $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I) \rightarrow \mathscr{W}$ whose full space of sections $C^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{W}, T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)\right)$ is equal to $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.

This definition should be compared with the simpler definition 3.2 of $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(X)$ and $T_{\phi} X$ where $X$ is a manifold with fibred boundary. Indeed, for the restriction of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ to an interior fibre of $\pi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I) \mid \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)=T_{\phi} \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)=T_{\phi} \overline{S e}_{k, \varepsilon} \text { for } \varepsilon>0 \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I) \mid X_{\nu}=T_{\phi} X_{\nu} \text { for } \nu=0, \ldots, k . \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ to $X_{\text {ad }}$ is locally spanned by the lifts from $M_{\varepsilon}$ to $\mathscr{W}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is a locally defined angular fibre variable in $X_{\text {ad }}$. The point is that this is a local frame for $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ even at $\varepsilon=0$.
Denote by $g_{\nu}$ the ALF hyperKähler metric on $X_{\nu}$, regarded as a smooth metric on $T_{\phi} X_{\nu}$, cf. Proposition 3.4. (We allow ourselves to blur the distinction between the complete metric on the interior and the smooth metric on the compactification.) Then the improved form of Theorem 1.1 is as follows:
Theorem 1.8. Let $\mathscr{W}$ and $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ be as defined above. There exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a smooth metric $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}}$ on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ such that $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}} \mid \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is a smooth $D_{k}$ ALF hyperKähler metric on $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}} \mid X_{\nu}=g_{\nu} \text { and } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}} \mid X_{\mathrm{ad}}=g_{\mathrm{ad}}:=\frac{|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{ad}}^{2}, \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

viewed as a quadratic form on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I) \mid X_{\mathrm{ad}}$. Here $\alpha_{\mathrm{ad}}=\alpha \mid X_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $\alpha$ is the connection 1-form defined in the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz with potential $h_{\varepsilon}$, see (1.5).
Remark 1.9. Putting $g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}:=\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}} \mid \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ we recover Theorem 1.1. The rough interpretation of $g_{\mathrm{Se}, \varepsilon}$ as giving a superposition of the Atiyah-Hitchin and Taub-NUT geometries is here replaced by the statement that $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}} \mid X_{\nu}=g_{\nu}$ for each $\nu$. The assertion that $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Se}}$ is a smooth metric on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ gives a precise meaning to the sense in which the family $g_{\varepsilon}$ is smooth in $\varepsilon$ down to $\varepsilon=0$.

The use of manifolds with corners in the analysis of partial differential equations in noncompact and singular settings was pioneered by Richard Melrose. Of particular relevance to the underlying analytical techniques are [27, 31, 28, 29]. We note also references such as $[1,33,34,13]$ in which techniques including real blow-up and rescaling the tangent bundle are used in a variety of geometric contexts. From this point of view, the combination of a gluing theorem with an adiabatic limit appears to be new-see however, the following remark.

Remark 1.10. We have set things up so that the asymptotic size of the circle remains $\sim 2 \pi$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, while the length-scale of the base goes to $\infty$. Alternatively, the rescaled metrics $\varepsilon^{2} g_{\mathrm{Se}_{k}, \varepsilon}$ give a family of metrics which are collapsing to the euclidean metric on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash P\right) /\{ \pm 1\}$. In this formulation, we have a non-compact version of Foscolo's result [16], where a family of hyperKähler metrics on the K3 surface was constructed, collapsing to the flat metric on $\mathbb{T}^{3} /\{ \pm 1\}$, away from a finite set of points.
Remark 1.11. The fact that $\mathbf{g}$ is smooth on $\mathscr{W}$, rather than merely having a polyhomogeneous conormal expansion - see [33, 34], for an example of this phenomenon-is due to special geometric features of the problem, which simplify the analysis at a number of points. We take full advantage of this, rather than attempting to develop the machinery in generality.
1.6. Further background. ALF gravitational instantons can be interpreted and realised in a number of different ways. A gauge-theoretical model was proposed by Cherkis and Kapustin in [12], where they showed that the moduli space of a smooth and unit-charge $S U(2)$ monopole moving in the background of $k$ singular $U(2)$ monopoles is an $A_{k-1}$ ALF gravitational instanton of the Gibbons-Hawking form, and argued that the moduli space of a smooth and strongly centred charge-two $S U(2)$ monopole moving in the background of $k$ singular $U(2)$ monopoles is a $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instanton.

Subsequently, Cherkis and Hitchin [10] used twistor methods and a generalised Legendre transform developed in [26] and [23] for a rigorous construction of $D_{k}$ ALF instantons. However, it was only shown in [36] that all $A_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons are of the Gibbons-Hawking form and, even more recently in [9], that all $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons are described by the Cherkis-Hitchin-Ivanov-Kapustin-Lindström-Roček metric which emerged from the papers [26, 23, 12, 10].

The interpretation of the $A_{k}$ and $D_{k}$ ALF spaces as moduli space of monopoles with prescribed singularities provides useful intuition about the geometry of these spaces. In particular, it suggests that, at least for singularities which are well-separated from the origin, one should be able to isolate a core region of the $D_{k}$ ALF space where the smooth and strongly centred monopoles do not 'see' the $k$ singular monopoles and which should therefore be well-approximated by the Atiyah-Hitchin geometry. The picture also suggests that there should be an asymptotic region of the moduli space where the two smooth monopoles, with their centre fixed at the origin, are well-separated and move between (and over) the $k$ singularities. Orienting the line joining the smooth monopoles amounts to double-covering this part of the moduli space, and so this double cover should be well-approximated by the moduli space of a single monopole moving in background of $2 k$ symmetrically spaced singularities, i.e. by the $A_{2 k-1}$ ALF geometry.

It is this intuitive picture, also captured in Sen's proposal, which our theorem makes precise. It differs from that underlying the gluing construction using ALE instantons and the EguchiHanson geometry, carried out in [7] and [8], even though the mathematical techniques are related. It also clarifies that our method will not produce all $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons, but only those where the $k$ singularities are well-separated from the origin.
1.7. Plan. The proof of Theorem 1.8 proceeds via a reformulation of the problem it addresses in a number of ways. Instead of solving for hyperKähler metrics we use the formalism of hyperKähler triples [15] to obtain an elliptic formulation of the gluing problem. This method is described in $\S 2$. Then, instead of working on non-compact manifolds we formulate the problem on compact spaces with fibred boundaries. In $\S 3$, we explain why these compactified spaces are natural domains for the family of hyperKähler metrics on the Sen spaces $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$, and how the structure of the compact manifolds is such that the asymptotic behaviour of the metric can be encoded in smoothness and decay at all boundary hypersurfaces. This is dealt with in $\S 4$. In $\S 5$, we construct a smooth triple on the fibres of $\mathscr{W}$, which is hyperKähler to all orders in $\varepsilon$. The proof of Theorem 1.8 is completed in final $\S 6$ by using the inverse function theorem to perturb this family to be exactly hyperKähler for all sufficiently small positive $\varepsilon$. A number of technical results are deferred to the appendices.
1.8. Outlook. Our construction and main result can be extended in a number of ways by replacing the space $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ with other dihedral ALF gravitational instantons and adapting the adiabatic Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz correspondingly.

The simplest generalisation in this spirit is to replace $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}$ by AH, which is an example of a $D_{1}$ ALF gravitational instanton. The branched cover of AH is the manifold $\widehat{A H}$ whose asymptotic behaviour, when written in the standard Gibbons-Hawking form, is that of a singular $A_{2}$ space with a single pole of weight -2 . Thus one could construct $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons for $k \geqslant 2$ by replacing $-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}$ by $-\frac{\varepsilon}{|x|}$ in $h_{\varepsilon}$ and filling the hole near $x=0$ with $\widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ and then dividing by the involution $\iota$. In this way one would obtain $D_{k}$ instantons for $k \geqslant 2$ which are not included in the family constructed here, but which arise in the limit as one pair of NUTs approaches zero.

More generally still, we could replace $h_{\varepsilon}$ in (1.4) by

$$
1+\left(k^{\prime}-2\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|}+\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} m_{\nu}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left|x-p_{\nu}\right|}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left|x+p_{\nu}\right|}\right)
$$

for positive integers $m_{\nu}$. This function is engineered so that if we are given a $D_{k^{\prime}}$ ALF gravitational instanton $M_{0}$ and for each $\nu$ an $A_{m_{\nu}-1}$ gravitational instanton $M_{\nu}$, there will be a version $\mathscr{W}_{m}$, say, of $\mathscr{W}$ in which $X_{0}$ is the compactification of $M_{0}$ and $X_{\nu}$ is the compactification
of $M_{\nu}$. It should be possible to extend Theorem 1.8 to construct $D_{K}$ ALF hyperKähler metrics on $\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathscr{W}_{m}$, where $K=k^{\prime}+\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}$. Again, the $D_{K}$ ALF metrics produced from these examples would correspond to limiting cases of those obtained from Theorem 1.8.

Finally, one may wonder if the geometrical interpretation of the 5-manifold $W$ in Theorem 1.8 can be extended from one where each fibre has a metric to a fully geometrical picture, with a 5 -dimensional metric on $W$. This is possible for the (single NUT) Taub-NUT geometry, which can be extended to a warped product with a Lorentzian geometry satisfying the (4+1)dimensional Einstein equations [18]. The coordinate $t=1 / \varepsilon$ is a natural time coordinate in this geometry, which fascinated Michael Atiyah as a possible time-dependent model of the electron [5]. It would clearly be interesting if this solution could be generalised to natural 5 -dimensional metrics on the manifold $W$ for general ALF instantons, but we have not pursued this here.

## 2. HyperKÄhler triples

It is a remarkable fact that conformal geometry in four dimensions can be described almost entirely in terms of the geometry of the bundle of 2-forms. Here we review this, showing in particular how the hyperKähler condition in four dimensions can be completely described in terms of orthonormal symplectic triples (Theorem 2.3). The section continues with some consequences for the analysis of hyperKähler metrics from this point of view.

Let $M$ be an oriented 4-manifold.
Definition 2.1. A symplectic triple on $M$ is a triple $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$ of symplectic forms on $M$ such that the matrix $q$ with

$$
q_{i j}=\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}
$$

is positive-definite at every point. A hyperKähler triple is a symplectic triple for which $q$ is a multiple of the identity at every point.

Remark 2.2. Since $q$ is a symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrix with values in $\Lambda^{4} T^{*}$, it is worth spelling out what is meant by 'positive-definite' in this definition. Let $\nu \in C^{\infty}\left(M, \Lambda^{4} T^{*}\right)$ be any smooth positive section, denote by $\nu^{-1}$ the dual section of $\Lambda^{4} T$. (Such positive sections exist because $M$ is oriented.) Then $\nu^{-1} q$ is a genuine symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrix at each point. To say that $q$ is positive-definite is to say that $\nu^{-1} q$ is positive-definite for one or equivalently any positive section $\nu$ of $\Lambda^{4} T^{*}$. Another way of giving this definition is to choose a riemannian metric on $M$, and hence a Hodge *-operator.

If $\omega$ is a hyperKähler triple, taking the trace of $q_{i j} \propto \delta_{i j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) \delta_{i j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus this equation holds if and only if $\omega$ is a hyperKähler triple. The reason for the introduction of these triples, and the notation, is explained by the following:

Theorem 2.3. Let $M$ be an oriented 4-manifold. If $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$ is a symplectic triple on $M$, then there is a unique metric $g$ on $M$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{+}^{2}(g)=\left\langle\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{d} \mu_{g}=\operatorname{tr}(q)$. If $\omega$ is a hyperKähler triple, then $g$ is hyperKähler and the $\omega_{j}$ are the Kähler forms associated with the three complex structures,

$$
\omega_{j}(\xi, \eta)=g\left(\xi, I_{j} \eta\right)
$$

Equivalently, the complex structures act on 1-forms according

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{j} \beta=*_{g}\left(\omega_{j} \wedge \beta\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result has the status of a folk-theorem and there are many closely related results in the hyperKähler literature. For example, Lemma 6.8 of [22] is related to this result, but there the existence of the metric $g$ is assumed, rather than derived from the triple of forms. A relatively recent reference for triples of 2 -forms in 4 dimensions is [15].

For the convenience of the reader we recall the main points of the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2.3.
(1) Let $V$ be an oriented four-dimensional real vector space, and let $H$ be the conformal quadratic form $H(\omega)=\omega \wedge \omega$ on $\Lambda^{2} V^{*}$. If $g$ is a positive-definite inner product on $V$, then the space $P_{g}=\Lambda_{+}^{2}(g) \subset \Lambda^{2} V^{*}$ of $g$-self-dual 2-forms is a maximal $H$-positive subspace. As is well known, $P_{g}$ depends only upon the conformal class of $g$. We claim that this is a bijection. This is a manifestation of a low-dimensional special isomorphisms of homogeneous spaces. The space of conformal inner products on $V$ may be identified with $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(4)$, but we have the double covers $\mathrm{SO}(3,3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and $S(O(3) \times O(3)) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$. Thus the space of conformal inner products is the same as the homogeneous space $\mathrm{SO}(3,3) / S(O(3) \times O(3))$, and this is the Grassmannian of $H$-positive maximal subspaces of $\Lambda^{2} V^{*}$.
(2) By definition, a symplectic triple spans an $H$-positive subspace of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*}$ at every point, and so by what has just been shown, it determines a conformal metric on $M$. The condition $\mathrm{d} \mu_{g}=\operatorname{tr}(q)$ clearly picks out a metric in the conformal class.
(3) Let $P$ be an $H$-positive maximal sub-bundle of $\Lambda^{2} T^{*} M$ and let a volume form $\mathrm{d} \mu$ on $M$ be given. Then $\mathrm{d} \mu$ turns the conformal metric $H \mid P$ into a genuine metric, $h$, say. We claim there is a unique connection $\nabla$ on $P$ which preserves $h$ and which is torsion-free in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{skew}(\nabla \varphi)=\mathrm{d} \varphi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any local section $\varphi$ of $P$. On the LHS, $\nabla \varphi$ is a section of $T^{*} \otimes P \subset T^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{2} T^{*}$, and skew is just the map into $\Lambda^{3} T^{*}$.

The claim can easily be proved by choosing a local orthonormal frame $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}$ for $P$. If $\nabla$ is a metric connection on $P$, then for locally defined 1 -forms $u_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \varphi_{1} & =u_{3} \otimes \varphi_{2}-u_{2} \otimes \varphi_{3}, \\
\nabla \varphi_{2} & =-u_{3} \otimes \varphi_{1}+u_{1} \otimes \varphi_{3},  \tag{2.5}\\
\nabla \varphi_{3} & =u_{2} \otimes \varphi_{1}-u_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The torsion-free condition is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \varphi_{1}=u_{3} \wedge \varphi_{2}-u_{2} \wedge \varphi_{3}, \quad \text { etc. } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this system has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(* \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{1}+I_{3} * \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{2}-I_{2} * \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{3}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with similar formulae for $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$. Here $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)$ is the triple of almost-complex structures determined by (2.3) with $\omega_{j}$ replaced by $\varphi_{j}$ and the quaternion relations $I_{1}^{2}=I_{2}^{2}=I_{3}^{2}=I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}=-1$ are used in the derivation of (2.7).
(4) With $P$ as above, the unique $h$-compatible torsion-free connection just described must be equal to the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g$ determined by $P$ and with volume element $\mathrm{d} \mu$, since the Levi-Civita connection also has these two properties. In particular, if $\omega$ is a hyperKähler triple, then we may apply the above with $\varphi_{j}=\omega_{j}, \mathrm{~d} \mu=\operatorname{tr}(q)$ to obtain that the $u_{j}=0$. Thus the hyperKähler triple gives a flat (with respect to the Levi-Civita connection) orthonormal trivialization of $\Lambda_{+}^{2}(g)$, and so $g$ is hyperKähler with complex structures given by (2.3).

The formulation of the hyperKähler condition in terms of triples of forms has the advantage of leading to a nonlinear partial differential equation which is elliptic modulo gauge freedom. This has been exploited already in, for example, $[16,9]$. We now review the details of this.
2.1. Perturbative formulation. For any triple of 2 -forms $\omega$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\omega)=\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) \delta_{i j} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Q$ is a symmetric, trace-free $3 \times 3$ matrix, with values in $\Lambda^{4} T^{*} M$ and by $(2.1), Q(\omega)=0$ if and only if $\omega$ is a hyperKähler triple.

We shall study the perturbative version of this equation. That is, we fix a symplectic triple $\omega$ with $Q(\omega)$ small and seek a ( $C^{1}$-small) triple of 1-forms $a$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\omega+\mathrm{d} a)=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

More formally, $a \mapsto Q(\omega+\mathrm{d} a)$ is a nonlinear differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{1}(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}\left(M, S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \Lambda^{4}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation cannot be elliptic as the rank of the bundle on the left here is 12 , while the rank on the right is 5 . The difference in ranks, 7 , is accounted for by the gauge-freedom of the problem. Indeed, (2.9) is left invariant by the action of the orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms Diff ${ }^{+}(M)$; it is clearly also unchanged if $a$ is replaced by $a+\mathrm{d} f$, for any triple of functions $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$. Thus there are 7 gauge degrees of freedom, and this count matches the difference in the ranks of the bundles in (2.10).

By fixing the gauge, we shall obtain an elliptic equation for the triple $a$. To state the theorem, write $D$ for the coupled Dirac operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{*}+\mathrm{d}_{+}: \Omega^{1}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0}(M) \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2}(M) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is determined by the metric $g(\omega)$ of Theorem 2.3. To avoid excessive notation, we shall not distinguish between $D$ and its tripled version, in which every bundle in (2.11) is tensored with $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\omega$ be a symplectic triple as above and let a be a triple of 1 -forms. Let $\widehat{\omega}=\omega+\mathrm{d} a$ and let $q=\left(q_{i j}\right)=\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}$. Write $p_{i j}$ for the inverse matrix $q^{-1}$ and $R=R_{i j}$ for the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{2} Q(\omega)+\frac{1}{2} Q(\mathrm{~d} a) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{+} a_{j}=-R_{j s} p_{s k} \omega_{k} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $\omega+\mathrm{d} a$ is a hyperKähler triple.
Remark 2.5. Here the summation convention holds for all repeated indices. Furthermore, $q^{-1}$ is a matrix with values in $\Lambda^{4} T, R$ is a matrix with values in $\Lambda^{4} T^{*}$ and these dual factors cancel on the RHS of (2.13), giving a triple of 'honest' 2 -forms.

Proof. From the definitions, $Q(\omega+\mathrm{d} a)=0$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\omega, \omega)+2 Q(\omega, \mathrm{~d} a)+Q(\mathrm{~d} a, \mathrm{~d} a)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have commited an abuse of notation by writing $Q(\omega, \eta)$ for the polarized version of the quadratic form $Q$ (i.e. $Q(\omega, \omega)=Q(\omega)$ ). Thus for triples of 2 -forms $\omega$ and $\eta, Q(\omega, \eta)$ is by definition the projection onto the trace-free symmetric part of the matrix $\left(\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{j}\right)$. Thus (2.14) is implied by

$$
Q(\omega)_{j s}+2 \mathrm{~d} a_{j} \wedge \omega_{s}+Q(\mathrm{~d} a)_{j s}=0
$$

which we rewrite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} a_{j} \wedge \omega_{s}=-R_{j s} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the definition of $R$, and we claim this is equivalent to (2.13).
For the metric $g=g(\omega)$ of Theorem $2.3, \Lambda_{+}^{2}(g)$ is spanned by the $\omega_{j}$, and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{+} a_{j}=u_{j s} \omega_{s} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some collection of functions $u_{j s}$. Then (2.15) is equivalent to

$$
u_{j k} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{s}=u_{j k} q_{k s}=-R_{j s}
$$

and multiplying by the inverse of $q$, we obtain

$$
u_{j k}=-R_{j s} p_{s k}
$$

and hence, using (2.16),

$$
\mathrm{d}_{+} a_{j}=-R_{j s} p_{s k} \omega_{k},
$$

as required.
Remark 2.6. If $\omega$ is itself a hyperKähler triple then $Q(\omega)=0$ and (2.13) takes the simpler form

$$
\mathrm{d}_{+} a_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \nu^{-1} Q(\mathrm{~d} a)_{j k} \omega_{k},
$$

where $\nu=q_{j j} / 3=\left(\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{2}\right) / 3$.
The following gives our elliptic formulation of the perturbative hyperKähler problem.
Theorem 2.7. Let the notation be as in Lemma 2.4. Define a nonlinear mapping

$$
\mathscr{F}: \Omega^{1}(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow\left(\Omega^{0}(M) \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2}(M)\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j} \mapsto\left(\mathrm{~d}^{*} a_{j}, \mathrm{~d}_{+} a_{j}+R_{j s} p_{s k} \omega_{k}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $\omega+\mathrm{d} a$ is a symplectic triple and $\mathscr{F}(a)=0$, it follows that $\omega+\mathrm{d} a$ is a hyperKähler triple. Furthermore, the linearization of $\mathscr{F}$ at $a=0$ is the (tripled version of the) Dirac operator $D_{g(\omega)}$.
Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.4.
Let us write $\mathscr{F}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(a)=D a+e+\widehat{r}(\mathrm{~d} a), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=\mathscr{F}(0)$ and $\widehat{r}(\mathrm{~d} a)$ is the part of $R_{j s} p_{s k} \omega_{k}$ which is quadratic in $\mathrm{d} a$. Then $e$ will be small if $\omega$ is approximately hyperKähler. To find a small $a$ solving (2.18), we shall seek

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=D^{*} u, \quad u \in\left(\Omega^{0}(M) \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2}(M)\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into (2.18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(a)=0 \Leftrightarrow D D^{*} u=-e-\widehat{r}\left(\mathrm{~d} D^{*} u\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A standard Weitzenböck formula relates $D D^{*}$ to the rough Laplacian $\nabla^{*} \nabla$ of the metric $g=$ $g(\omega)$, the curvature terms being the self-dual part $W_{+}$of the Weyl curvature and the scalar curvature $s$. These both vanish if $g$ is hyperKähler and will be small if $e$ is small. This suggests that if $e$ is small enough, then $D D^{*}$ should be invertible, given a suitable Fredholm framework for $D D^{*}$, and then (2.20) should be solvable for $u$ by the implicit function theorem. Since we want to solve $\mathscr{F}(a)=0$ on an ALF space, finding the right Fredholm framework is one of the technical issues that we deal with this in this paper: then we shall be able to apply the implicit function theorem to (2.20) to construct hyperKähler triples on $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$, thereby proving Theorem 1.8.
2.2. HyperKähler triples for Gibbons-Hawking. It is easy to verify that the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=\alpha \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1}}{\varepsilon}+h_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \\
& \omega_{2}=\alpha \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2}}{\varepsilon}+h_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{3} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{1}}{\varepsilon^{2}},  \tag{2.21}\\
& \omega_{3}=\alpha \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{3}}{\varepsilon}+h_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

form a hyperKähler triple for the adiabatic Gibbons-Hawking metric $g_{\varepsilon}$ of (1.5). In the rest of this section we study these forms near $x=0$ : Corollary 2.9 will be needed at the start of the gluing construction in $\S 5$.

In the punctured ball $B^{*}(0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\varepsilon}=H_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\varepsilon}(x)=1+\mu \varepsilon-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|}, \quad u_{\varepsilon}(x)=O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{2}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{\varepsilon}$ is smooth and harmonic in $B(0, \delta)$ (cf. $\S 1.5$ ). There is a corresponding decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}, \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{0}=*_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} H_{\varepsilon}, \alpha_{1}=*_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} u_{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. (1.27)) where $\alpha_{0}$ is a connection on the principal $U(1)$-bundle $\phi^{-1} B^{*}(0, \delta)$ and $\alpha_{1}$ is a smooth 1 -form on $B(0, \delta)$. Since the triples (2.21) are linear in $\alpha$ and $h_{\varepsilon}$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}=\Omega_{j}+\eta_{j} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1}=\alpha_{0} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{1}}{\varepsilon}+H_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \eta_{1}=\alpha_{1} \wedge \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{1}}{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \text {, etc } \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\phi^{-1} B^{*}(0, \delta)$.
Now as a closed 2 -form on $B(0, \delta), \eta_{j}$ must be exact. The next result shows how to choose a primitive for $\eta_{j}$ whose size is controlled by the size of $u_{\varepsilon}$. In the following, $|f|_{\varepsilon}$ is the pointwise length of a $p$-form with respect to the metric $|\mathrm{d} x|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$. The quantities in the next Proposition may depend smoothly upon $\varepsilon$ as well as $x$ but we often suppress this from the notation.

Proposition 2.8. Let $u=u_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{n}\right)$ be smooth and harmonic in $B(0, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Let the $\eta_{j}$ be defined as in (2.26). Then there exists a primitive $b_{j}$ of $\eta_{j}$ (i.e. $\left.\mathrm{d} b_{j}=\eta_{j}\right)$ such that $\left|b_{j}\right|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(|x|^{n+1}\right)$ in $B(0, \delta)$.
Proof. We need a quantitative form of the Poincaré lemma, which we state in the following slightly more general form: Let $f$ be a closed $p$-form in $B(0, \delta)$ such that $|f|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(|x|^{m}\right)$. Then there exists a $(p-1)$-form $v$ in $B(0, \delta)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} v=f \text { and }|v|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon^{-1}|x|^{m+1}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows at once from the proof of the Poincaré lemma using the radial retraction of $B(0, \delta)$ to the origin, taking due care of the fact that we are using the metric $|\mathrm{d} x|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$ to measure the lengths or our forms.

Consider first the equation $\mathrm{d} \alpha_{1}={ }_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} u_{\varepsilon}$ for $\alpha_{1}$. We note that $|\mathrm{d} u|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}|x|^{n-1}\right)$, and so $*_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} u$ is of the same order since $*_{\varepsilon}$ is an isometry on forms with respect to the metric $|\mathrm{d} x|^{2} / \varepsilon^{2}$. From (2.27), $\alpha_{1}$ can be chosen in (2.24) with $\left|\alpha_{1}\right|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{n}\right)$. Then $\left|\eta_{j}\right|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon|x|^{n}\right)$, and applying (2.27) again, we find a primitive $b_{j}$ for $\eta_{j}$ with $\left|b_{j}\right|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(|x|^{n+1}\right)$ as claimed.

Applying this in the case of interest:
Corollary 2.9. With the above definitions, there is a triple of smooth 1 -forms $b_{\varepsilon}$ in $B(0, \delta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varepsilon}=\Omega_{\varepsilon}+\mathrm{d} b_{\varepsilon} \text { in } B^{*}(0, \delta) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b|_{\varepsilon}=O\left(|x|^{3}\right) \text { in } B(0, \delta) . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. HyperKähler triples for AH. A hyperKähler triple for the Atiyah-Hitchin metric was written down in [23]. We shall not use this directly, because the important thing for us is to compare the AH triple with the triple of the asymptotic Taub-NUT model. This is straightforward because these metrics differ by exponentially small terms (1.19).

Return to the family $g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ of Atiyah-Hitchin metrics which are given asymptotically (up to the involution $\iota$ ) by the negative-mass Taub-NUT metric with potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1+\mu \varepsilon-\frac{2}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. (1.21)) for $\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1 / \delta$, and let $g_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ be the asymptotic metric (1.25). Write the hyperKähler triple for $g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ as a sum of terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}=\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is the hyperKähler triple of $g_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$. The result parallel to Corollary 2.9 is

Proposition 2.10. Let the notation be as above. Then there exists a triple of 1 -forms a such that for $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$,

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}=\Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d} a
$$

with a (and all derivatives) exponentially decaying as $\left|x^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. ALF spaces and manifolds with fibred boundary

Let $X^{n}$ be a compact manifold with boundary. For simplicity assume $\partial X$ is connected; otherwise the following discussion applies to each of its connected components. Recall that a boundary defining function (bdf) for $\partial X$ in $X$ is a smooth function $\rho \geqslant 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial X=\{p \in X: \rho(p)=0\} \text { and } \mathrm{d} \rho(p) \neq 0 \text { for all } p \in \partial X . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space of all smooth vector fields on $X$ which are tangent to $\partial X$ is denoted by $\mathscr{V}_{b}(X)$. If $p \in \partial X$ then we may choose local coordinates in an open neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ in $X$ by taking local coordinates $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$ centred at $p$ in $\partial X$ and adjoining the restriction to $U$ of $\rho$. Any element of $\mathscr{V}_{b}(U)$ is then a smooth linear combination of the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n-1}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Warning: even though $\rho$ is globally defined on $X, \rho \partial_{\rho}$ is only defined when $\rho$ is used as here, as one of a system of local coordinates.) Either from this explicit description or by invoking the Serre-Swan theorem, one sees that there is a vector bundle $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$, the b-tangent bundle, with the defining property that $\mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)=C^{\infty}\left(X, T_{\mathrm{b}} X\right)$, the full space of sections of $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$. The study of b-geometry (and analysis) is the study of metrics and differential operators defined on $X$, but using $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$ instead of $T X$, see [31]. Working in local coordinates and making the change of variables $t=-\log \rho$ shows that b-geometry on $X$ is (essentially) the same as asymptotically cylindrical geometry on the interior of $X$.
3.1. Fibred boundaries. To capture ALF geometry in a similar fashion, we need to assume that $X$ has a fibred boundary. For a systematic account, see [28].
Definition 3.1. Let $X^{n}$ be a compact manifold with connected boundary. We say that $X$ has fibred boundary, or $\phi$-structure, if $\partial X$ is the total space of a smooth submersion $\phi$ from $\partial X$ onto $Y$, the latter being a compact manifold without boundary.

Since we assumed $\partial X$ is connected and compact, $Y$ must also be connected, and it follows that the fibres $\phi^{-1}(y)$ are all mutually diffeomorphic.
Definition 3.2. For any smooth fibration (i.e. surjective submersion) $\phi: W \rightarrow Y$, denote by $T(W / Y)$ the vertical subbundle of vectors tangent to the fibres of $\phi$. Let $X$ be a manifold with fibred boundary as in the preceding definition and fix a boundary defining function $\rho$. The space of $\phi$-vector fields, denoted $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(X)$ is the subspace of b-vector fields $v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \mid \partial X \in C^{\infty}(\partial X, T(\partial X / Y)) \text { and } v(\rho) \in \rho^{2} C^{\infty}(X) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the first of the conditions (3.3) depends only on the fibration of $\partial X$, but the second depends on the choice of $\rho$. Nonetheless, with the 1 -jet of $\rho$ fixed at $\partial X$, there is again a smooth vector bundle, to be called the $\phi$-tangent bundle $T_{\phi} X$, with the defining property $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(X)=C^{\infty}\left(X, T_{\phi} X\right)$.
In order to give a local basis for $T_{\phi} X$, pick a point $p \in \partial X$ and choose local coordinates $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{f}\right)$ on $\partial X$ centred at $p$ and adapted to $\phi$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{f}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adjoining $\rho$ we get a system of local coordinates in an open subset $U$ of $X$. Then the space $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(U)$ of $\phi$-vector fields in $U$ will be the space of smooth linear combinations of the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{b}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{f}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.3. Given a manifold $X$ with fibred boundary as above, a $\phi$-metric is a smooth metric on $T_{\phi} X$ which takes the special form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\phi}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho^{2}}{\rho^{4}}+\frac{\phi^{*} h_{1}}{\rho^{2}}+h_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a collar neighbourhood $\partial X^{+}$of $\partial X$ : here $h_{1}$ is a symmetric 2 -tensor on $Y \times\left[0, \rho_{0}\right)$, positivedefinite at $\rho=0$, and $h_{2}$ is a symmetric 2 -tensor which is positive-definite on the vertical tangent bundle $T(\partial X / Y)$.

To see what kind of asymptotic geometry a $\phi$-metric captures, let $X$ be a manifold with fibred boundary as above and let $C(Y)=(0, \infty)_{r} \times Y$ be the cone over $Y$. Let $\partial X^{+}$denote the pull-back of $\partial X \rightarrow Y$ over $C(Y)$. If we write $h_{1} \mid Y=H_{1}$ and $h_{2} \mid \partial X=H_{2}$, then with $r=1 / \rho$ in (3.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\phi}=\mathrm{d} r^{2}+r^{2} \phi^{*} H_{1}+H_{2}+O(1 / r) \text { for } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the restriction of $g_{\phi}$ to $X \backslash \partial X$ is a metric asymptotic to the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\phi}^{+}=\mathrm{d} r^{2}+r^{2} \phi^{*} H_{1}+H_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\partial X^{+}$. The first two terms give a cone metric on the base $C(Y)$, while the $H_{2}$-term gives a smooth family of metrics on the fibres $\phi^{-1}(y)$, which however do not suffer any rescaling with $r$ : the fibres remain the same size and shape as $r \rightarrow \infty$ along any given generator of $C(Y)$. In the special case that $Y=S^{3}, C(Y)=\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash 0$ and $\partial X \rightarrow Y$ is a circle-bundle, we recover $A$-type ALF geometry in four dimensions, and $D$-type ALF geometry just corresponds to the quotient of this by an involution.
3.2. Compactification of spaces with Gibbons-Hawking asymptotics. Suppose that $h(x)$ is positive and harmonic in the set $U=\{\delta|x|>1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ (for some $\delta>0$ ) and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=1+\frac{\ell}{2|x|}+O\left(|x|^{-2}\right) \text { in } U, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\psi: V \rightarrow U$ the circle-bundle of degree $-\ell$ over $U$ so that the GibbonsHawking Ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=h(x)|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}+h(x)^{-1} \alpha^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \alpha=* \mathrm{~d} h \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an ALF metric on $V$.
Proposition 3.4. Let $g$ be as in (3.10). Then there is a partial compactification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{U} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\psi$ and a smooth connection-form $\bar{\alpha}$ on $\bar{V}$ (viewed as a circle-principal bundle over $\bar{U}$ ) for which $\alpha=\bar{\alpha} \mid U$ satisfies the second of (3.10) and $g$ is the restriction to $V$ of a smooth $\phi$-metric $g_{\phi}$ on $\bar{V}$.

Proof. The partial compactification $\bar{U}$ is defined to be the radial compactification of $U$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}=S^{2} \times[0, \delta)_{\rho}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is identified with the interior of $\bar{U}$ by inverted polar coordinates, $x \longmapsto(x /|x|, 1 /|x|) \in$ $S^{2} \times(0, \delta)$. Then $\bar{U}$ is a (topological) manifold with boundary and it has a unique smooth structure extending that of $U$ with respect to which $\rho$ is a smooth boundary defining function for $\partial \bar{U}$. More informally, $\bar{U}$ is obtained from $U$ by adjoining the 'sphere at infinity' of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. (Radial compactification is discussed in detail in [29].)

Since $h$ is harmonic in $\{\delta|x|>1\}$, it has a multipole expansion, each term of which is a homogenous harmonic function of $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ of non-positive degree. Each such multipole term extends smoothly to $\bar{U}$ and it follows that the coefficients $h$ and $h^{-1}$ in (3.9) extend smoothly to $\bar{U}$. As in (3.7) in inverted polar coordinates $x=y / \rho$, where $|y|=1$,

$$
|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho^{2}}{\rho^{4}}+\frac{|\mathrm{d} y|^{2}}{\rho^{2}}
$$

from which it follows that the first term in $g$ is the restriction of the 'base part' of a $\phi$-metric on $\bar{U}$.

To construct $\bar{\psi}: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{U}$, an $S^{1}$-bundle of degree $-\ell$ over $\bar{U}$, we simply take the $S^{1}$-bundle $\Sigma \rightarrow S^{2}$ of degree $-\ell$ and define $\bar{V}=p_{1}^{*} \Sigma$, where $p_{1}: \bar{U} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is the projection on the first factor. This gives $\bar{\psi}: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{U}$ as required. Viewing $\Sigma$ as a principal $S^{1}$-bundle, it has a standard smooth connection whose curvature is $(-\ell / 2)$ times the round area-form on $S^{2}$. Denote by $\alpha_{0}$ the pull-back of this connection to $\bar{V}$. Then $\alpha_{0}$ is smooth on $\bar{V}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha_{0}=* \mathrm{~d}\left(1+\frac{\ell}{2|x|}\right) \text { in } V . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}$, where $\alpha_{1}$ is a smooth form $\bar{U}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha_{1}=* \mathrm{~d}\left(h-\frac{\ell}{2|x|}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U$, so that $\bar{\alpha} \mid U$ solves the second of (3.10). By working term-by-term with the multipole expansion of $h$ (or by using a retraction to the boundary), it is not hard to see that this can be solved for $\alpha_{1} \in \Omega^{1}(\bar{U})$, as required. Then $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}$ is smooth on $\bar{V}$ and its restriction $\alpha=\bar{\alpha} \mid V$ satisfies the second of (3.10). In this way, we see that $g$ is indeed the restriction of a smooth $\phi$-metric on $\bar{V}$.

The Atiyah-Hitchin metric has Gibbons-Hawking asymptotics, modulo terms that are exponentially small at infinity (1.19). Because of this, it is convenient to have the following definition:

Definition 3.5. Let $X$ be a 4 -manifold with fibred boundary, and let $g_{\phi}$ be a $\phi$-metric on $X$. We say that $g_{\phi}$ is strongly ALF if there is a (compactified) ALF Gibbons-Hawking metric $g_{0, \phi}$, say, in a neighbourhood $\bar{V}$ of $\partial X$ (cf. Prop. 3.4) such that $\left.g_{\phi}-g_{0, \phi}=O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)\right)$ near $\partial X$. In this definition we allow the base of the fibration of $\partial X$ to be $S^{2}$ or $S^{2} /\{ \pm 1\}$, the latter being needed as always for the $D_{k}$ ALF geometry.
3.3. Real blow-up. In this section we give a quick explicit description of the real blow-up of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, since this is needed for the construction of our spaces $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ and $\mathscr{W}$. For a systematic account of real blow-up, two references among many are [1, 32].

The real blow-up

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left[\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) ;(0,0)\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ in the origin may be defined as the quotient of

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}:=\left\{(Z, R, S) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times[0, \infty)^{2}:(Z, R) \neq(0,0), R S<\varepsilon_{0}\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-action

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \cdot(Z, R, S)=\left(t Z, t R, t^{-1} S\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $[Z, R, S]$ the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-orbit of $(Z, R, S) \in B^{\prime}$-we think of $[Z, R, S]$ as homogeneous coordinates on $B$. The condition $R S<\varepsilon_{0}$ descends to the quotient and we see that $B$ is a manifold with corners up to codimension 2 , with boundary hypersurfaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\mathrm{ff}(B)=\{S=0\}, \quad Y=\{R=0\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

meeting in the corner $\{R=S=0\}$. The blow-down map is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta: B \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right), \quad \beta:(Z, R, S) \mapsto(S Z, S R) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in homogeneous coordinates. From its definition, $\beta$ is a diffeomorphism from $B \backslash F_{0}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times$ $\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \backslash(0,0)$, but $\beta$ crushes $F_{0}$ to ( 0,0 ) (Figure 3).

As the notation suggests, $F_{0}$ is the front face (exceptional divisor) of the blow-up, while $Y$ is the (lift of the) 'old' boundary, in other words the lift to $B$ of $\{\varepsilon=0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.

The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \ni\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto\left[x^{\prime}, 1, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right] \in B \backslash Y \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism, and so we have local coordinates on the open subset $B \backslash Y$ of $B$. In these coordinates, $\beta\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} x^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \ni(x, \varepsilon) \longmapsto[x, \varepsilon, 1] \in B \backslash F_{0} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism, and so we have local coordinates $(x, \varepsilon)$ on $B \backslash F_{0}$ with respect to which $\beta(x, \varepsilon)=x$. These two charts cover everything but the corner $Y \cap F_{0}$ of $B$. Here we have a neighbourhood $S^{n-1} \times H$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left\{(\rho, \sigma) \in[0, \infty)^{2}: \rho \sigma<\varepsilon_{0}\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{n-1} \times H \ni(y, \rho, \sigma) \longrightarrow[y, \rho, \sigma] \in B \backslash\{z=0\} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these coordinates, $\beta(y, \rho, \sigma)=(\sigma y, \rho \sigma)$ and $\rho$ and $\sigma$ are local defining functions for $Y$ and $F_{0}$ respectively.

The relationships between these different coordinate charts are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{x}{|x|}=\frac{x^{\prime}}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}, \rho=\frac{1}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}=\frac{\varepsilon}{|x|}, \sigma=\varepsilon^{\prime}\left|x^{\prime}\right|=|x| . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. The blow-up $B$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$
We observe that $F_{0}$ is canonically identifiable with the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (really the radial compactification of $T_{0} \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) and that $Y=\left[\mathbb{R}^{n} ; 0\right]$, the real blow-up of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ at the origin.

In addition to the blow-down map $\beta$, we have the lift to $B$ of the projection map $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. In terms of the homogeneous coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi[Z, R, S]=R S \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the above local coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{\prime}, \pi(y, \rho, \sigma)=\rho \sigma, \pi(x, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above coordinate systems, the following lifting results are easy to verify.
Proposition 3.6. The map $(x, \varepsilon) \mapsto x / \varepsilon$, defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, extends to define a smooth mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: B \rightarrow F_{0}, r[Z, R, S]=[Z, R, 0] . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $f(\varepsilon, x)=\varepsilon /|x|$, then $\beta^{*} f$ extends to define a smooth function on $B \backslash \Sigma$, where $\Sigma=\left\{\left[0,1, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right]: \varepsilon^{\prime} \geqslant 0\right\}$ is the lift of $x=0$ to $B . \beta^{*} f$ has the simple singularity $1 /\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ near $\Sigma$ and vanishes identically on $Y$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be euclidean coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The vector field $\varepsilon \partial / \partial x_{j}$ lifts to define a smooth vector field on $B$, described as follows: away from $Y$ the lift is just $\partial / \partial x_{j}^{\prime}$; away from $F_{0}$ it is $\varepsilon \partial / \partial x_{j}$; and near the corner $F_{0} \cap Y$ it is a smooth linear combination of the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}-\rho \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \text { and } \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \text {, } \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial y$ is shorthand for a smooth vector field on $S^{n-1}$.

Remark 3.8. The lift of a vector field here is defined as the push-forward by the inverse of $\beta$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \backslash(0,0) \rightarrow B \backslash F_{0}$. In general a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ will have a smooth lift to $B$ iff it vanishes at $(0,0)$; the Proposition shows what the lift looks like in the case of a particular class of such vector fields.

## 4. The 'Gluing space' $\mathscr{W}$

The construction of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ proceeds in several steps. This is the major effort, for $\mathscr{W}$ is just the quotient of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ by an involution $\iota$. We shall construct $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ by gluing together two pieces, $\widetilde{W}_{0}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{1}$. The first of these is essentially the product $\mathrm{HA} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ while the second is a resolved version of the family of $M_{\varepsilon}$.
4.1. Resolution of the adiabatic Gibbons-Hawking family. To construct $\widetilde{W}_{1}$, we need to resolve the base $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \cap\{|x|>2 \varepsilon\}$ of the family of Gibbons-Hawking manifolds $M_{\varepsilon}$. Let us write $I=\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ in what follows.

Pick $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$. In what follows one should think of this as being small but fixed, whereas we allow $\varepsilon$ to vary in $\left[0, \delta^{2}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}=\left[\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \times I ;(0,0), P \times\{0\}\right] \cap\{|x|>\varepsilon / \delta\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that we have passed to the radial compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.) The blow-ups in (4.1) are independent of each other (so can be carried out in any order) and each blow-up is modelled by the explicit description given in $\S 3.3$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is a 4 -manifold with corners and we label the boundary hypersurfaces in the obvious way: for $p$ in $\underset{\sim}{P} \cup\{0\}, F_{\underset{p}{ }}$ is the front face of the blow-up of $(p, 0)$, while the lift of $\{\varepsilon=0\}$ to $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is denoted by $\tilde{Y}$. Then $\widetilde{Y}=\left[\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} ; P, 0\right]$ is the real blow-up of the points $0, \pm p_{1}, \ldots, \pm p_{k}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$.

For $p \neq 0, F_{p}$ is a copy of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$, with euclidean coordinate $x_{p}^{\prime}=(x-p) / \varepsilon$. Setting $x^{\prime}=x / \varepsilon, F_{0}$ is the part $\left\{\delta\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1\right\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$ of the front face of the blow-up at 0 . See Figures 4 and 5 .


Figure 4. The space $\{|x|>2 \varepsilon\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.


Figure 5. Schematic picture of the resolved base $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$.
Recall from $\S 1.3$ the fibred structure $\phi: M_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\{|x|>2 \varepsilon\}$ of the manifold on which the metric $g_{\varepsilon}$ lives. This extends smoothly to $\bar{M}_{\varepsilon}$, the space obtained by adjoining a boundary at spatial infinity (cf. Proposition 3.4). Pulling $\phi$ back by the obvious map $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$ (composite of blow-down and projection on the first factor) we obtain a 5 -manifold $\widetilde{W}_{1}$ with $S^{1}$-action such that $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1} / S^{1}=\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$. We abuse notation by denoting this quotient map also by $\phi$. The NUTs in $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1}$ become the $\phi^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{p}\right)$, for $p \in P$, and $\widetilde{W_{1}} \backslash \bigcup_{p} \phi^{-1} \Sigma_{p}$ is a circle-bundle over $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \backslash \bigcup_{p} \Sigma_{p}$. In addition to the fibration $\phi$, there is a smooth map $\pi: \mathscr{W}_{1} \rightarrow I$ given by composing $\phi$ with the map $\mathscr{B} \rightarrow I$ given by blow-down followed by projection of the product $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \times I$ to $I$.

The point of this is that the family of metrics $g_{\varepsilon}$ will lift to define a smooth metric $\mathbf{g}_{1}$, say, on $T_{\phi}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1} / I\right)$, with

$$
\mathbf{g}_{1} \mid \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)=g_{\varepsilon} \text { for } \varepsilon>0, \mathbf{g}_{1} \mid \phi^{-1}\left(F_{p}\right)=g_{\mathrm{TN}} \text { and } \mathbf{g}_{1} \mid \tilde{X}_{\mathrm{ad}}=g_{\mathrm{ad}}
$$

(Cf. Theorem 1.8). We shall not prove this here: the corresponding statement at the level of triples is given in Lemma 5.9. Instead, let us complete the construction of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$, 'filling the hole' in $\phi^{-1}\left(F_{0}\right)$ with a family of Atiyah-Hitchin manifolds.


Figure 6. Gluing $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1}$ (left) to $\widetilde{W}_{0}$ (right). The schematic picture shows the subsets $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}_{1} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}_{0} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{0}$ in dark grey. These are matched up by the gluing map $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$. The dotted lines represent $\pi_{1}^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ and $\pi_{0}^{-1}(\varepsilon)$. These are also matched up by $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$.

So return to HA with its asymptotic region a circle-bundle $\psi$ with base $\left\{\delta\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{W}_{0}}=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{HA} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right):\left|\psi\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|<\delta / \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is understood that the condition applies only to $z^{\prime} \in \psi^{-1}\left\{\delta\left|x^{\prime}\right|>1\right\}$. Denote by $\pi_{0}: \mathscr{W}_{0} \rightarrow I$ the (restriction of) the projection to $I$.

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{U}_{0}}:=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right): 0 \leqslant \varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}, \delta^{-1}<\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\delta \varepsilon^{-1}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{\mathscr{V}}_{0}=\psi^{-1} \widetilde{\mathscr{U}_{0}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{U}_{1}}=S^{2} \times H \subset \mathscr{B} \text { where } H=\left\{(\rho, \sigma) \in[0, \delta)^{2}: \rho \sigma<\varepsilon_{0}\right\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}_{1}=\phi^{-1} \widetilde{\mathscr{U}}_{1}$ so that $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}_{1} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1}$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{s}: \widetilde{\mathscr{U}}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{U}}_{0}, \mathbf{s}:(y, \rho, \sigma) \mapsto\left(x^{\prime}=y / \rho, \varepsilon^{\prime}=\rho \sigma\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism. For fixed $\varepsilon>0, \mathbf{s}$ restricts to the scaling map $x^{\prime}=x / \varepsilon$ of $\S 1.5$ (Figure 6).
As in $\S 1.5, \mathrm{~s}$ is covered by a diffeomorphism $\kappa: \mathscr{V}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{0}$. We can now make the following definition:
Definition 4.1. Define $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ as the quotient of the disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{0} \amalg \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the identification $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$. The involution $\iota$ lifts from the pieces to define an involution on $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ and the quotient $\widetilde{W} / \iota$ is denoted $\mathscr{W}$.

Remark 4.2. From the above construction and by a slight abuse of notation we regard $\mathscr{W}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{W}_{0}$ as open subsets of $\mathscr{W}$, intersecting in $\mathscr{V}_{1}$.

We claim that this is the manifold whose existence was claimed in Proposition 1.5.
4.2. Proof of Proposition 1.5. We check the claimed properties one by one.
(i) The maps $\pi_{0}: \mathscr{W}_{0} \rightarrow I, \pi_{1}: \mathscr{W}_{1} \rightarrow I$ defined above fit together under the gluing $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, yielding a smooth proper map $\pi: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow I$.
(ii) This assertion about the boundary hypersurfaces of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$ is clear from the construction: $\widetilde{X}_{p}=\phi^{-1}\left(F_{p}\right), \widetilde{X}_{\text {ad }}=\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{Y}), \widetilde{I}_{\infty}=\phi^{-1}\left(S^{2} \times I\right)$, and $\widetilde{X}_{0}=$ HA from the gluing of $\widetilde{W_{0}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}_{1}}$, restricted to $\phi^{-1}\left(F_{0}\right) \subset \widetilde{W}_{1}$ and $\left\{\varepsilon^{\prime}=0\right\} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{W}_{0}}$.
(iii)-(v) are clear from the definition.
(vi) The involutions of $\mathscr{W}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{W}_{0}$ are intertwined by $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, and so glue together to define an involution $\iota$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$.
Setting $\mathscr{W}=\widetilde{\mathscr{W}} / \iota$, we obtain the 'gluing space' on which we shall construct our smooth families of hyperKähler metrics in the next sections.

## 5. Formal solution

From now on, $\mathscr{W}$ will be as constructed in the previous section, and the notation will be as there. The goal of this section is the construction of smooth families of approximate hyperKähler triples on the fibres of $\pi$ inside $\mathscr{W}$, whose limits, at $\varepsilon=0$ are the triples of $g_{\mathrm{AH}}$ at $X_{0}, g_{\mathrm{TN}}$ at the other $X_{\nu}$ and the triple of $g_{\mathrm{ad}}$ at $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$.
The steps in this construction are an initial approximation, followed by an iterative argument that improves this approximation order by order in $\varepsilon$.

We begin with some necessary technical preliminaries about fibrewise symplectic and hyperKähler triples on $\mathscr{W}$, and then proceed to the statement of the main result of this section.
Notation 5.1. (Naming the parts of $\mathscr{W}$.) The boundary hypersurfaces of $\mathscr{W}$ are denoted by $X_{\nu}$, for $\nu=0, \ldots, k, X_{\mathrm{ad}}$ and $I_{\infty}$, corresponding to the boundary hypersurfaces of $\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}$. Boundary defining functions for these hypersurfaces will be denoted $\sigma_{\nu}$ for $X_{\nu}, \rho$ for $X_{\text {ad }}$ and $\sigma_{I}$ for $I_{\infty}$. For small positive $\delta$, let $U_{\nu}=\left\{\sigma_{\nu}<\delta\right\}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of $X_{\nu}$ and $V=\{\rho<\delta\}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$.

We may and shall assume:
(1) $\rho$ is circle-invariant and is equal to $1 /\left|x_{p}^{\prime}\right|$ near $X_{\nu} \cap X_{\mathrm{ad}}$;
(2) $\sigma_{\nu}=\left|x-p_{\nu}\right|$ near $X_{\nu} \cap X_{\mathrm{ad}}$;
(3) $\rho \sigma_{0} \cdots \sigma_{k}=\varepsilon$;
(4) $\sigma_{\nu}=\varepsilon$ in $\Omega_{\nu}:=U_{\nu} \backslash V$ and $\rho=\varepsilon$ in an open set of the form $V \backslash \bigcup_{\nu} U_{\nu}$.

Set $\sigma=\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{k} \sigma_{I}$.
From the construction of $\mathscr{W}$, for each $\nu$ there is a retraction $r_{\nu}: U_{\nu} \rightarrow X_{\nu}$ (cf. Prop. 3.6). Near $X_{\nu} \cap X_{\text {ad }}$, this is a map of circle-bundles covering the rescaling map $(x, \varepsilon) \mapsto\left(x-p_{\nu}\right) / \varepsilon$.

### 5.1. Fibrewise symplectic and hyperKähler triples on $\mathscr{W}$.

Notation 5.2. If $U \subset \mathscr{W}$ is an open set, denote by $\Omega_{\phi}^{k}(U)$ the space of smooth sections over $U$ of $\Lambda^{k} T_{\phi}^{*}(\mathscr{W} / I)$. The relative differential is denoted

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\pi}: \Omega_{\phi}^{k}(U) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\phi}^{k+1}(U) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, if $U$ meets $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$, write $\Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{ei}}^{k}(U)$ for the subspace of essentially invariant forms. This is the subspace of forms $\alpha$ such that $\mathscr{L}_{\partial_{\theta}} \alpha=O\left(\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right)$. Write $\Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{k}(U)$ for the subspace of essentially basic forms. These are the essentially invariant forms $\alpha$ which also satisfy $\iota_{\partial_{\theta}} \alpha=$ $O\left(\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right)$.
Remark 5.3. Observe that if $\alpha$ is essentially invariant (resp. essentially basic) then $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \alpha$ is essentially invariant (resp. essentially basic).
Definition 5.4. By a symplectic triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ on an open subset $U$ of $\mathscr{W}$ we shall always mean a triple $\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_{3}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j} \in \Omega_{\phi}^{2}(U)$, such that $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}=0$ and such that the $3 \times 3$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{j} \wedge \omega_{k}\right) \text { is positive-definite at every point of } U \text {. } \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A symplectic triple on $U \subset \mathscr{W}$ is called hyperKähler if $Q(\boldsymbol{\omega})=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\boldsymbol{\omega})_{j k}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{k}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\omega}_{3}^{2}\right) \delta_{j k} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.5. While it would be more accurate to call the triples appearing in this definition relative symplectic or hyperKähler triples, we believe that no serious confusion will result from this definition. However, the reader should bear in mind that symplectic triples on $\mathscr{W}$ are to be thought of informally as $\varepsilon$-dependent smooth families of symplectic triples on the 'Sen space' $\mathrm{Se}_{k}$ with some rather strong control on their behaviour in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. As previously in this paper, we shall try to be consistent in our use of bold symbols for $\varepsilon$-dependent families, viewed as data on the 5 -dimensional space $\mathscr{W}$.

The $3 \times 3$ matrices appearing in (5.2) and (5.3) take values in the trivial real line-bundle $\lambda:=\Lambda^{4} T_{\phi}^{*}(\mathscr{W} / I)$. The condition that (5.2) be positive-definite makes sense for one and hence any trivialization of this bundle.

Notation 5.6. For $\nu=0,1, \ldots, k$, we shall denote by $\omega_{\nu}$ the hyperKähler triple of $g_{\nu}$. (We omit the straightforward proof that the triples discussed in $\S 2.2$ and $\S 2.3$ extend to define triples on the rescaled tangent bundles $T_{\phi} X_{\nu}$ over the compactifications.) We also denote by $\omega_{\text {ad }}$ the hyperKähler triple of the $g_{\text {ad }}$ on $X_{\text {ad }}$.

The main theorem to be proved in this section is the following:
Theorem 5.7. On $\mathscr{W}$, there is a smooth symplectic triple $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) \in \dot{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{W}: S^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\zeta}\left|X_{\mathrm{ad}}=\omega_{\mathrm{ad}}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}\right| X_{\nu}=\omega_{\nu} \text { for } \nu=0,1, \ldots, k \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.8. Recall that $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(\mathscr{W})$ means that $f$ is smooth with all derivatives rapidly decreasing at all boundary hypersurfaces of $\mathscr{W}$.

### 5.2. Initial approximation.

Lemma 5.9. Denote by $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ the lift to $\mathscr{W}_{1}$ of the hyperKähler triple (2.21) of the family of metrics $g_{\varepsilon}$. Then $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ extends to a smooth triple on $\mathscr{W}_{1} \subset \mathscr{W}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1} \mid X_{\nu}=\omega_{\nu} \text { for } \nu=1, \ldots, k \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1} \mid X_{\mathrm{ad}}=\omega_{\mathrm{ad}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we regard $\mathscr{W}_{1}$ as an open subset of $\mathscr{W}$, cf. Remark 4.2. In (5.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{ad}, 1}=\alpha_{\mathrm{ad}} \wedge e_{1}+e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the other components of the triple, where $e_{j}=\mathrm{d} x_{j} / \varepsilon$ and $\alpha_{\text {ad }}=\alpha \mid X_{\text {ad }}$. Thus $\omega_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the hyperKähler triple of $g_{\mathrm{ad}}$.

Proof. We verify that all the pieces in the formula (2.21) lift smoothly as claimed.
Write $\mathbf{h}$ for the lift to $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ of the function $(x, \varepsilon) \mapsto h_{\varepsilon}(x)$. The from Prop. 3.6, the term $\varepsilon /|x-p|$ has a smooth lift to $\left[\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{3} \times I ;(p, 0)\right] \backslash \Sigma_{p}$, and this lift vanishes on the (lifts of) $\{\varepsilon=0\}$ and $S^{2} \times I$ (spatial infinity). Near the front face it is equal to $1 /\left|x_{p}^{\prime}\right|$. The lift (really pullback) of this term to $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is therefore smooth away from $\Sigma_{p}$ and vanishes at all boundary hypersurfaces other than $F_{p}$. This shows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{h}|\tilde{Y}=1, \mathbf{h}| F_{p}=1+\frac{1}{2\left|x_{p}^{\prime}\right|}, \mathbf{h} \mid S^{2} \times I=1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We saw in Prop 3.7 that the lifts of the $\varepsilon \partial_{x_{j}}$ are smooth on the blow-up. From the local forms of the lifts, it is easy to see that they are in fact smooth sections of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ near $X_{\text {ad }}$. (One needs to check Def. 1.7). If $\theta$ is a local fibre coordinate then $\partial / \partial \theta$ is also a section of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$
and it is not hard to see that the $\varepsilon \partial_{x_{j}}$ and $\partial_{\theta}$ together span $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ near $X_{\text {ad }}$. Away from $X_{\mathrm{ad}}$, $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ is just the ordinary $\pi$-vertical tangent bundle $T(\mathscr{W} / I)$. Dually, the 1 -forms $\mathrm{d} x_{j} / \varepsilon$ and $\alpha$ locally span $T_{\phi}^{*}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ near $X_{\text {ad }}$, and taking into account what we have already proved about $\mathbf{h}$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ is smooth near $X_{\text {ad }}$ and also that (5.7) holds.

In a set of the form $\left|x_{\nu}^{\prime}\right|<R\left(x_{\nu}^{\prime}=\left(x-p_{\nu}\right) / \varepsilon\right)$ the triple is smooth in the $x_{\nu}^{\prime}$ and restricts to define the Taub-NUT triple (by (5.9)).

Similarly, the family $\omega_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ of hyperKähler triples for the metrics $g_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}$ fit together to form a smooth triple on $\mathscr{W}_{0}$ :

Lemma 5.10. The definition

$$
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} \mid \pi_{0}^{-1}(\varepsilon):=\omega_{\mathrm{AH}, \varepsilon}
$$

determines a smooth hyperKähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ on the subset $\mathscr{W}_{0}$ of $\mathscr{W}$, with $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} \mid X_{0}=\omega_{\mathrm{AH}, 0}$.
Proof. Over the interior of $X_{0}$, in other words in any subset of the form $\left\{\rho>\rho_{0}\right\}$, where $\rho_{0}>0$, there is nothing to check. Near the corner $\rho=0$, we have the triple of a GibbonsHawking metric, up to exponentially small terms, and the same observations used in the proof of Lemma 5.10 apply here as well.

Our initial approximation to $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is furnished by a simple patching of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ :
Proposition 5.11. There exists a smooth symplectic triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ on $\mathscr{W}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right) \in \varepsilon^{3} \rho^{\infty} \sigma_{I}^{\infty} C^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{W}, S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\left|X_{\mathrm{ad}}=\omega_{\mathrm{ad}}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right| X_{\nu}=\omega_{\nu} \text { for } \nu=0,1, \ldots, k \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that we have defined $\lambda$ to be the 'relative density bundle' $\lambda=\Lambda^{4} T_{\phi}^{*}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.)
Proof. By Lemma 5.9, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ is smooth in $\mathscr{W}_{1}$ and satisfies the boundary conditions at $X_{\text {ad }}$ and the $X_{\nu}$ except $\nu=0$. Thus $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ will be obtained by patching $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ to $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$, modifying these forms only in a neighbourhood $U_{0} \cap V$ of the corner $Z=X_{0} \cap X_{\text {ad }}$.

Recall Corollary 2.9 gave the formula $\omega_{\varepsilon}=\Omega_{\varepsilon}+\mathrm{d} b_{\varepsilon}$ near $x=0$, where $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is the triple (2.26) associated to the family of harmonic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mu \varepsilon-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{|x|} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the family $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ gives a smooth triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{Z}$, say, in $U_{0} \cap V$ and Cor. 2.9 and Prop. 2.10 give

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in \rho^{\infty} \Omega_{\phi}^{1}\left(U_{0} \cap V\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \text { such that } \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{Z}+\mathrm{d}_{\pi} a \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \in \sigma_{0}^{3} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}\left(U_{0} \cap V\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \text { such that } \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{Z}+\mathrm{d}_{\pi} b \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U_{0} \cap V$.
Let $\chi(t)$ be a smooth cut-off function equal to 1 for $t \leqslant \delta / 2$ and vanishing for $t \geqslant \delta, \chi(t) \in[0,1]$ for all $t$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{Z}+\mathrm{d}_{\pi}\left(\chi(\rho) a+\chi\left(\sigma_{0}\right) b\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This triple is initially defined only in $U_{0} \cap V$, but the claim is that it extends naturally to the whole of $\mathscr{W}$ (possibly after shrinking $\varepsilon_{0}$ ).

To prove the claim, consider first the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ to $\left\{\sigma_{0}<\delta / 2\right\}$. Then $\chi\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=1$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{Z}+\mathrm{d}_{\pi} a+\mathrm{d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b)=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}+\mathrm{d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b) \text { in }[0, \delta / 2)_{\sigma_{0}} \times[0, \delta)_{\rho} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both terms on the RHS extend to the neighbourhood $\left\{\sigma_{0}<\delta / 2\right\}$ of $X_{0}$.
Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}+\mathrm{d}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\left(\chi\left(\sigma_{0}\right) a\right) \text { in }\{\rho<\delta / 2\} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ extends smoothly to $\mathscr{W}_{1}$. Observe that $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ is identically equal to $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ in $\mathscr{W}_{0} \backslash U_{0} \cap V$ and is identically equal to $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}$ in $\mathscr{W}_{1} \backslash U_{0} \cap V$.

To prove (5.10) and (5.11), note quite generally that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \in \rho^{n} \sigma_{0}^{m} \Omega_{\phi}^{k}\left(U_{0} \cap V\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi} \alpha \in \rho^{n+1} \sigma_{0}^{m+1} \Omega_{\phi}^{k+1}\left(U_{0} \cap V\right), \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows from the explicit forms of the basis vectors of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ near $Z$. Then (5.13) and (5.14) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\pi}\left(\chi\left(\sigma_{0}\right) a\right)=O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b) \in \rho \sigma_{0}^{3} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{2} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these in (5.16) and (5.17) now gives (5.11).
It remains to verify (5.10). To do so, observe first that $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ is smooth, and will be a symplectic triple if $\delta$ is chosen small enough. It is clear that $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right)$ will also be smooth. Because of this $a$ priori smoothness, it is sufficient to compute the order of vanishing of $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right)$ near $X_{0}$ and $X_{\text {ad }}$ but away from the corner. In $U_{0} \backslash X_{\text {ad }}$, use (5.16). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right) & =Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}\right)+2 Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b)\right)+Q\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b), \mathrm{d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b)\right)  \tag{5.20}\\
& =2 Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}, \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}(\chi(\rho) b)\right)+O\left(\rho^{\infty} \sigma_{0}^{6}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

because $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ is hyperKähler and $Q$ applied to an essentially basic form is $O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)$ for degree reasons. The first term is zero because $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$ is hyperKähler, and the second is $O\left(\rho \sigma_{0}^{3}\right)$ for $\sigma_{0} \rightarrow 0$ away from $\rho=0$ (cf. (5.19)). In similar fashion, we see that $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right)=O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)$ for $\rho \rightarrow 0$ away from $\sigma_{0}=0$. Smoothness now gives that $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{3} \rho^{\infty}\right)$ in $U_{0} \cap V$ since $\varepsilon=\rho \sigma_{0}$ in this set. This error term is moreover supported in $U_{0} \cap V$ which gives the additional vanishing in $\sigma_{I}$.
Notation 5.12. In the interest of readability we shall write $d$ for $d_{\pi}$ in the rest of this section.
Theorem 5.7 is proved by induction. The inductive assumption is that we have found

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{c} \in \sigma_{I}^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}(\mathscr{W}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}+\varepsilon \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{c}\right)=\varepsilon^{N} F+\varepsilon^{N+3} G, \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \in \rho^{\infty} \sigma_{I}^{\infty} C^{\infty}\left(\mathscr{W}, S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right), \quad G \in \sigma^{\infty} C_{\mathrm{ei}}^{\infty}\left(S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5.23) and (5.24) imply that the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}+\varepsilon \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{c}$ to $\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ is a symplectic triple that is 'approximately hyperKähler to order $\varepsilon^{N}$. We need to keep track of the fine structure of the error term as in (5.24) to be sure of the smoothness of the triple $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ that is claimed in Theorem 5.7.

We shall construct $\boldsymbol{a}$ defined near $\bigcup X_{\nu}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ defined near $X_{\text {ad }}$, essentially basic and decaying near spatial infinity, so that with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{c}+\varepsilon^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon^{N+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}, \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}+\varepsilon \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N+4} G^{\prime} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ are in the same spaces as $F$ and $G$ in (5.24). Thus we have improved the error term in (5.23) by one order in $\varepsilon$.

The induction starts because of Proposition 5.11, which is the case $N=3$ of (5.23). Given (5.23), the required $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ are obtained by solving a Poisson equation respectively over $\bigcup X_{\nu}$ and on the base $Y_{\text {ad }}$ of the $S^{1}$-bundle $X_{\text {ad }} \rightarrow Y_{\text {ad }}$.
5.3. Construction of $a$ : linear theory. We gather in this subsection the linear theory of the equation $Q(\omega, \mathrm{~d} a)=f$, on an ALF gravitational instanton $X$, where the RHS is rapidly decreasing near $\partial X$.

The following is an explicit version of the infinitesimal diffeomorphism gauge invariance of the linearized equations:
Lemma 5.13. Let $X$ be a hyperKähler 4-manifold with hyperKähler triple $\omega$. For any vector field $v$, we have $Q\left(\omega, \mathrm{~d}\left(\iota_{v} \omega\right)\right)=0$.

Proof. The equation is gauge-invariant, so we have $Q\left(\phi_{t}^{*}(\omega)\right)=\phi_{t}^{*} Q(\omega)=0$ where $\phi_{t}$ is the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms generated by $v$. Then the derivative at $t=0$ of $\phi_{t}^{*}(\omega)$ is just $\mathrm{d}\left(\iota_{v} \omega\right)$ by Cartan's formula, and the Lemma follows at once.

Theorem 5.14. Let $(X, g)$ be a strongly ALF gravitational instanton with hyperKähler triple $\omega$. Suppose that $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}\left(X, S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right)$. (Recall this means that all derivatives of $f$ vanish at $\partial X$.) Then there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \rho^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \text { such that } Q(\omega, \mathrm{~d} u)=f . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here $\rho$ is the boundary defining function of $\partial X$.)
Proof. As in $\S 2$, regard $f$ as a section of $\left(\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda_{+}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$ using the triple to identify $\Lambda_{+}^{2}$ with the product $\mathbb{R}^{3}$-bundle over $X$ and $\sum \omega_{i}^{2}$ to trivialize $\lambda$. If we solve $D D^{*} \phi=f$ where $D=\mathrm{d}^{*}+\mathrm{d}_{+}$ is the Dirac operator as in (2.11), then $u_{0}=D^{*} \phi$ will solve $D u_{0}=f$. Now the bundle ( $\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda_{+}^{2}$ ) can also be identified with the product $\mathbb{R}^{4}$-bundle over $X$, again using the triple $\omega$. Thus $D D^{*}$ is just 12 copies of the scalar laplacian and each component of $D D^{*} \phi=f$ can be solved for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \in \rho C_{\mathrm{ei}}^{\infty}\left(X,\left(\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda_{+}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}\right. \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

by invoking Theorem C.4. Then $u_{0}=D^{*} \phi$ is $O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$, essentially invariant, and $D u_{0}=f$, which also implies $Q\left(\omega, \mathrm{~d} u_{0}\right)=f$.

In order to get an essentially basic solution $u$, we shall find a vector field $v$, supported near $\partial X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}+\iota_{v} \omega \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is essentially basic. By Lemma 5.13, we shall still have $Q(\omega, \mathrm{~d} a)=f$. In an asymptotic Gibbons-Hawking chart with local coordinates $(x, \theta)$, write

$$
u_{0}=u_{00} \alpha+\text { terms in } \mathrm{d} x_{j} .
$$

Here $u_{00}$ is a triple $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ of essentially invariant functions defined near $\partial X$ : regard this triple as a vector field $v_{j} \partial_{x_{j}}$ defined near $\partial X$, and it is easy to check that

$$
\iota_{v} \omega=-u_{00} \alpha+\text { terms in } \mathrm{d} x_{j} .
$$

Then $u$ defined by (5.29) is essentially basic as required (we use a cut-off function to extend $v$ smoothly away from the given neighbourhood of $\partial X$ ).

### 5.4. Construction of $a$.

Proposition 5.15. Given $\boldsymbol{c}$ satisfying (5.22) and (5.23), there exists $\boldsymbol{a} \in \rho^{2} \sigma^{\infty} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}(\mathscr{W})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}+\varepsilon \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{c}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}\right) \in \varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime}, \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ are in the spaces shown in (5.24).
Moreover $\boldsymbol{a}$ can be chosen to be supported arbitrarily close to $\bigcup X_{\nu}$ (and in particular away from spatial infinity $I_{\infty}$ ).
Proof. Let us write $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}+\varepsilon \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{c}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a} \in \rho^{2} \sigma_{I}^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}(\mathscr{W}) \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}\right)=Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon^{N} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a}\right)+\varepsilon^{2 N} Q(\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}, \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}) \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{a}$ is essentially basic, the third term on the RHS is $O\left(\varepsilon^{2 N} \rho^{\infty}\right)$. Since the correction term $\boldsymbol{c}$ is also essentially basic, the second term on the RHS differs from $\varepsilon^{N} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu}, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a}\right)$ by $O\left(\varepsilon^{N+1} \rho^{\infty}\right)$ in each collar neighbourhood $U_{\nu}$. Thus, using $F^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ to denote elements of the spaces (5.24) that are allowed to vary from line to line, (5.32) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}\right)=\varepsilon^{N} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu}, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a}\right)+\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

in each $U_{\nu}$.

This equation has a well-defined leading term at $X_{\nu}$ obtained by dividing by $\varepsilon^{N}$ and taking the limit $\sigma_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$. The leading term, $f_{\nu}$ say, of $F^{\prime}$ at $X_{\nu}$ is equal to the leading term of $Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}\right)$ at $X_{\nu}$. Thus the leading term of the RHS of (5.33) at $X_{\nu}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}+Q\left(\omega_{\nu}, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a} \mid X_{\nu}\right) . \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $f_{\nu}$ is $O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)$ on $X_{\nu}$ so by Theorem 5.14, there exists a solution $a_{\nu} \in \rho^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}\left(X_{\nu}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\omega_{\nu}, \mathrm{d} a_{\nu}\right)=-f_{\nu} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X_{\nu}$. Define $\boldsymbol{a}$ in $U_{\nu}$ to be $\chi\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right) r_{\nu}^{*}\left(a_{\nu}\right), r_{\nu}$ from Notation 5.1. As the neighbourhoods $U_{\nu}$ are pairwise disjoint, we may regard this as defining $\boldsymbol{a}$ over the whole of $\mathscr{W}$, and so defined, $\boldsymbol{a}$ is supported in the union of the $U_{\nu}$. We claim that $\boldsymbol{a}$ satisfies (5.30).

In $U_{\nu}$, we have, from (5.33),

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}\right) & =\varepsilon^{N}\left(f_{\nu}+Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}, \mathrm{d}\left(\chi\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right) r_{\nu}^{*}\left(a_{\nu}\right)\right)+\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime}\right.\right.  \tag{5.36}\\
& =\varepsilon^{N} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}, \mathrm{d} \chi\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right) \wedge r_{\nu}^{*} a_{\nu}\right)+\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime}, \tag{5.37}
\end{align*}
$$

by choice of $f_{\nu}$. Because $\chi\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right)$ is identically equal to 1 on $X_{\nu}, \mathrm{d} \chi\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right)=O\left(\rho \sigma_{\nu}^{\infty}\right)$, using (5.18)). Since $a_{\nu}=O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$, the first term on the RHS is $O\left(\sigma^{\infty} \varepsilon^{N} \rho^{3}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{N+3} \sigma^{\infty}\right)$. Hence this term can be absorbed by the $\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime}$ term, and (5.30) is proved.
5.5. Construction of $b$ : linear theory. In this section we summarize the linear theory for the Laplacian of the adiabatic family of metrics $g_{\varepsilon}$. By a straightforward calculation, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=h_{\varepsilon} \frac{|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}+h_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \alpha^{2}, \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g_{\varepsilon}}=\varepsilon^{2} h^{-1} \widetilde{\Delta}_{0}-h \partial_{\theta}^{2}, \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{0}$ is the laplacian of the (unrescaled) euclidean metric, $\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}$ is its horizontal lift and $\partial_{\theta}$ denotes the generator of the circle action. As an aside, if in local coordinates,

$$
\alpha=\mathrm{d} \theta+\sum a_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}
$$

then

$$
\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}=-\sum \nabla_{j}^{2}, \text { where } \nabla_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-a_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} .
$$

In particular, if $u$ is invariant, then regarding it without change of notation as a function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g_{\varepsilon}} u=\varepsilon^{2} h^{-1} \Delta_{0} u . \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $D=\mathrm{d}^{*}+\mathrm{d}_{+}$,

$$
D: \Omega^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega^{0} \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2} .
$$

As in $\S 2$, on a hyperKähler 4-manifold $M, \Lambda_{+}^{2}$ has a flat orthonormal trivialization by a hyperKähler triple ( $\omega_{j}$ ). Using this trivialization, if

$$
\phi=\left(\phi_{0}, \phi_{j} \omega_{j}\right) \in \Omega^{0} \oplus \Omega_{+}^{2}
$$

then $D D^{*}$ acts as the scalar Laplacian on the coefficients $\left(\phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{3}\right)$.
We shall need the formula for $D^{*}$ for the metric (5.38), acting on invariant functions. A simple calculation gives that if

$$
D^{*} \phi=w_{0} e_{0}+w_{j} e_{j},
$$

where

$$
e_{0}=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{h}}, \quad e_{j}=\sqrt{h} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{j}}{\varepsilon},
$$

then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{0}  \tag{5.41}\\
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{h}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \partial_{1} & \partial_{2} & \partial_{3} \\
-\partial_{1} & 0 & -\partial_{3} & \partial_{2} \\
-\partial_{2} & \partial_{3} & 0 & -\partial_{1} \\
-\partial_{3} & -\partial_{2} & \partial_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{0} \\
\phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2} \\
\phi_{3}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(One can verify $D D^{*}=\Delta_{g}$ directly from this formula and its adjoint.)
In the next theorem, we write $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\text {ad }}$ for the lift of the hyperKähler triple of (5.38) to a collar neighbourhood $V$ of $X_{\text {ad }}$ in $\mathscr{W}$. The notation for boundary defining functions is as in paragraph 5.1.

Theorem 5.16. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \in \sigma^{\infty} C_{\mathrm{ei}}^{\infty}\left(V, S_{0}^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3} \otimes \lambda\right) \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an essentially invariant section. Then there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{b} \in \sigma_{I}^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{eb}}^{1}(V) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}\right)=\varepsilon G+O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty} \sigma_{I}^{\infty}\right) \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $V$.
Proof. Suppose that $G$ is exactly $S^{1}$-invariant. Then we may regard $G$ as a function on $V / S^{1}$. Because $G$ vanishes to all orders in $\sigma_{\nu}$ near $X_{\nu}$, we may regard $G$ as a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, which vanishes to all orders in $|x-p|$ at every point $p$ of $P$.

We solve (5.44) in two stages. First, the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}(x, \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon} \int \frac{1}{|x-y|} h_{\varepsilon}(y) G(y, \varepsilon) \mathrm{d} y \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g_{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{u}(x, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon G . \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{u}(x, \varepsilon)$ is smooth in all variables because the singularities in $h$ at the points of $P$ are cancelled by the vanishing of $G$ to all orders at these points. It is also $O\left(|x|^{-1}\right)$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

As before, regard $G$ and $\boldsymbol{u}$ as sections of $\left(\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda_{+}^{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then if $\boldsymbol{b}_{0}=D_{g_{\varepsilon}}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}$, we have $D_{g_{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{b}_{0}=\varepsilon G$. From the formula (5.41), $\boldsymbol{b}_{0}$, is initially defined on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash P$ and lifts to a smooth section of $\Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{e}}^{1}(V) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Indeed, near each of the $X_{\nu}, h_{\varepsilon}=1+O\left(\sigma_{\nu}\right)$, where the ' $O$ ' is smooth for small $\sigma_{\nu}$.

We can now correct $\boldsymbol{b}_{0}$ exactly as we did in Theorem 5.14 to obtain $\boldsymbol{b}$ satisfying (5.43) and (5.44).

We started the proof by assuming that $G$ was exactly invariant. If $G$ is only essentially invariant, we can write $G=G_{0}+G_{1}$ where $G_{0}$ is exactly invariant and $G_{1}$ is $O\left(\varepsilon^{\infty} \sigma_{I}^{\infty}\right)$. Then we apply the previous argument with $G$ replaced by $G_{0}$ to obtain the result.
5.6. Construction of $\boldsymbol{b}$. The second half of the inductive step is contained in the following result:

Proposition 5.17. Let $\boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}$ be as in Proposition 5.15. Then there exists $\boldsymbol{b}$, supported near $\rho=0$, essentially basic and $O\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon^{N+2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}\right)=\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+4} G^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ are in the spaces in (5.24).
Proof. Let us write $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{a}$. If we calculate the LHS of (5.47) in $V$, assuming that $\boldsymbol{b}$ is essentially basic in $V$, our collar neighbourhood of $X_{\text {ad }}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}\right)=Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\varepsilon^{N+2} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{b}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{2 N+4} \rho^{\infty}\right) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as before the last term vanishes to all orders in $\rho$ because $\boldsymbol{b}$ is essentially basic. Using $F^{\prime \prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ for generic functions in the spaces (5.24) which may vary from line to line, simplifications analogous to those in the proof of Proposition 5.15 yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}\right)=\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+3} G^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+2} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{b}\right) \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $G^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies the hypotheses of $G$ in Theorem 5.16 so there exists $\boldsymbol{b}$ as in (5.43) and satisfying (5.44) (with $G$ replaced by $-G^{\prime}$ ). In order to extend $\boldsymbol{b}$ to $\mathscr{W}$, we must replace it by $\chi(\rho) \boldsymbol{b}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+2} \mathrm{~d}(\chi(\rho) \boldsymbol{b})\right)=\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{N+4} G^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon^{N+2} Q\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \mathrm{~d} \chi \wedge \boldsymbol{b}\right) . \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term is $O\left(\rho^{\infty}\right)$ because $\chi$ is identically 1 near $X_{\text {ad }}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ is smooth near each $X_{\nu}$. Thus this last term can be absorbed into $\varepsilon^{N+1} F^{\prime}$, yielding (5.47).
5.7. Completion of proof of Theorem 5.7. The inductive argument given in $\S \S 5.3-5.6$ shows that there is a solution $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ of (5.4) in formal power series in $\varepsilon$. However, it is well known that given such a formal power series, there exists smooth $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ whose derivatives at all boundary hypersurfaces agree with those of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ (Borel's Lemma). This observation completes the proof of Theorem 5.7.

Remark 5.18. Our proof yields rather more than what is stated in Theorem 5.7. From the construction we have seen that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\chi}$ is smooth, essentially basic and $O\left(\varepsilon \rho^{2} \sigma_{I}^{2}\right)$ on $\mathscr{W}$.

## 6. Completion of Proof

To complete the proof of our main theorem, we need to modify $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ in Theorem 5.7 by a triple $\boldsymbol{a} \in \Omega_{\phi}^{1}(\mathscr{W}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$, say, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a})=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on (the fibres of) $\mathscr{W}$.
This is an application of the implicit function theorem, uniformly on the fibre $\pi^{-1}(\varepsilon)$, for $\varepsilon>0$. The key step is the uniform invertibility result for the linearization, Theorem 6.6, below. To have this invertibility, we shall need to use the freedom to choose $\varepsilon_{0}$ to be very small.

Let us agree to denote by $\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}$ the metric on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ determined by the symplectic triple $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, and by $\Delta_{\zeta}$ the associated Laplacian.

We shall use the reformulation $\boldsymbol{a}=D_{\zeta}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}$ discussed in (2.19)-(2.20) to reduce our work to the study of the scalar Laplacian $\Delta_{\zeta}$ of $\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}$.
6.1. (Fibrewise) differential operators on $\mathscr{W}$. The $\phi$-vertical tangent bundle $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ was introduced in Definition 1.7. Denote by $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ the space of smooth sections of $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$. This space of vector fields is used to define the relevant space of differential operators on $\mathscr{W}$ :

Definition 6.1. The space $\operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ is the space of differential operators which are polynomial (of degree $\leqslant m$ ) in the vector fields from $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ with smooth coefficients. The space $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ is the set of differential operators on $\mathscr{W}$ which are polynomial in $\mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathscr{W} / I)$, the b-vector fields on $\mathscr{W}$ that are tangent to the fibres of $\pi$.

These definitions also make sense for differential operators acting between sections of vector bundles over $\mathscr{W}$. From the definitions, we see that $\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{m} \operatorname{Diff}_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I) \subset \operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.

Example 6.2. We have already seen the relative exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}_{\pi}$ as an example of an operator in $\operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{1}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.

If $\mathbf{g}$ is a smooth metric on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$, then the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ is an operator in $\operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{2}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.
Definition 6.3. A function $f$ on $\mathscr{W}$ is said to be essentially invariant if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}=O\left(\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathscr{W}$. The definition makes sense for a given choice of $S^{1}$-action near $X_{\mathrm{ad}} \cup I_{\infty}$. The subclass Diff ${ }_{\phi, \mathrm{ei}}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ consists of those operators in $\operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{m}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ with essentially invariant coefficients.
6.2. Function spaces. Let $\mathscr{W}$ be as before. Pick a smooth b-density on $\mathscr{W}$ and introduce the space $L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(\mathscr{W})$ and the Sobolev spaces $H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W})=\left\{u \in L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(\mathscr{W}): P u \in L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(\mathscr{W}) \text { for all } P \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W})\right\} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H_{\phi, \mathrm{b}}^{n, m}(\mathscr{W})$ be the space of functions $u$ such that $P u \in H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W})$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{n}(\mathscr{W} / I)$. Then by definition, $P$ extends to define a bounded map $H_{\phi, \mathrm{b}}^{n, m} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}$ for any $m$. Define also $H_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}}^{n, m}(\mathscr{W})$ to be the space of $u$ such that $Q u \in H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W})$ for all $Q \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\mathrm{b}}^{n}(\mathscr{W} / I)$.

In order to deal with the Laplacian, we shall need to split off the $S^{1}$-invariant component of elements in these Sobolev spaces. This only makes sense near $X_{\mathrm{ad}} \cup I_{\infty}$, which means that our definitions are a little complicated. Recall that $V$ is a fixed tubular neighbourhood of $X_{\text {ad }}$.

Definition 6.4. Let $\beta>\alpha+2, \alpha>0$, and fix a bump function $\chi(t)=1$ for $t \leqslant 1 / 2$ and equal to zero for $t \geqslant 1$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(\mathscr{W})=\left\{\chi(\rho / \delta) f_{0}+f_{1}\right\} \text { where } f_{0} \in\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\alpha+2} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(V) \text { and } \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \theta}=0, f_{1} \in\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\beta} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(\mathscr{W}) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha+2<\beta$, this allows for the zero-Fourier mode $f_{0}$ to be larger than the non-zero Fourier modes. In practice, we shall take $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\beta$ can be as large as we like. The space just defined will serve as a range space for the Laplacian. The definition of the domain is similar:

Definition 6.5. With $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as in Definition 6.4, let
$\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}(\mathscr{W})=\left\{\chi(\rho / \delta) u_{0}+u_{1}\right\}$ where $u_{0} \in\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\alpha} H_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}}^{2, m}(V)$ and $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \theta}=0, u_{1} \in\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\beta} H_{\phi, \mathrm{b}}^{2, m}(\mathscr{W})$.

The Laplacian of a smooth, essentially invariant metric on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ extends to define a bounded linear map

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(\mathscr{W})
$$

for every $m$ and $\beta>\alpha+2$.
The main linear result to be given in this section is the invertibility of the Laplacian between these spaces.

Theorem 6.6. Let $\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}$ be the metric on $T_{\phi}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ determined by the symplectic triple $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ on $\mathscr{W}$, and let $\Delta_{\zeta}$ be the associated Laplacian. Fix $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\beta>\alpha+2$ and $m$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ so that with $\mathscr{W}=\pi^{-1}\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\zeta}: \mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}(\mathscr{W}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(\mathscr{W}) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invertible.
Proof. This follows by patching inverses on the $X_{\nu}$ to an 'adiabatic' inverse on $V$. For this we first need to localize functions on $\mathscr{W}$ near the different boundary hypersurfaces.

To simplify notation, having fixed $\alpha, \beta$ and $m$, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}=\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}, \quad \mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}), \mathscr{R}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$ etc. to distinguish between function spaces on $\mathscr{W}$ and on $X_{\nu}$ (cf. (C.3)-(C.4) below).

As before, let $\chi(t)$ be a standard cut-off function, $0 \leqslant \chi(t) \leqslant 1, \chi(t)=1$ for $t \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and vanishing for $t \geqslant 1$. Let $\delta>0$ be small. Let $\chi_{\nu}=\chi\left(\sigma_{\nu} / \delta\right)$ and let $\chi_{\mathrm{ad}}=1-\sum_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}$. If $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathscr{W})$ then $\chi_{\nu} f$ is smooth and supported in $U_{\nu}$ and $\chi_{\text {ad }} f$ is smooth and supported in $V$.

Now we identify $U_{\nu}$ with a subset of the product $X_{\nu} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ by the map $w \mapsto\left(\kappa_{\nu}(w), \pi(w)\right)$, where $\kappa_{\nu}$ was introduced in (5.6). Under this identification, a set of the form $\sigma_{\nu}<a$ maps to the subset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(x_{\nu}^{\prime}, \theta_{\nu}, \varepsilon\right):\left|x_{\nu}^{\prime}\right|<a \varepsilon^{-1}\right\} \subset X_{\nu} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular $\chi_{\nu} f$, when transferred to the product, will be compactly supported in each slice $X_{\nu} \times\{\varepsilon\}$ for $\varepsilon>0$ (though these compact sets grow as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ).

From Theorem C.3, we have an inverse $G_{\nu}: \mathscr{R}\left(X_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$ of the Laplacian $\Delta_{g_{\nu}}$. Using the identification of $U_{\nu}$ with the product (6.8), now define a lift $\mathbf{G}_{\nu}$ of $G_{\nu}$ to act on functions on $\mathscr{W}$ by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\nu}(f)=\eta_{\nu} G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu} f \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\nu}=\chi\left(\log \sigma_{\nu} / \log \delta\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Then $\eta_{\nu}$ goes from 1 to 0 as $\sigma_{\nu}$ goes from $\delta$ to $\sqrt{\delta}$ and in particular $\eta_{\nu}$ is identically 1 on $\operatorname{supp}\left(\chi_{\nu}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}=\chi_{\nu} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the boundedness of $G_{\nu}: \mathscr{R}\left(X_{\nu}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}\left(X_{\nu}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\nu}: \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W}) \text { is bounded, } \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{G}_{\nu}=\chi_{\nu}-e_{\nu} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key point is that we can choose $\delta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the operator norm of } e_{\nu}: \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \text { is bounded by } \frac{1}{10(k+1)}+C_{\nu}(\delta) \varepsilon_{0} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, let $f \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W})$ and observe that $\mathbf{G}_{\nu} f$ is supported in $U_{\nu}$, and in this set, $\Delta_{\zeta}=$ $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}_{\nu}}+O\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$, where $g_{\nu}$ is the original ALF hyperKähler metric on the hypersurface $X_{\nu}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\zeta} \mathbf{G}_{\nu} & =\Delta_{g_{\nu}} \mathbf{G}_{\nu}+O\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{g_{\nu}} \eta_{\nu} G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}+O\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) \\
& =\eta_{\nu} \Delta_{g_{\nu}} G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}+\left[\Delta_{g_{\nu}}, \eta_{\nu}\right] G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}+O\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) \\
& =\chi_{\nu}+\left[\Delta_{g_{\nu}}, \eta_{\nu}\right] G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}+O\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

using (6.11) to obtain the first term in (6.15). The commutator is an operator in $\operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{1}(\mathscr{W} / I)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Delta_{g_{\nu}}, \eta_{\nu}\right]=c_{0}+c_{1} \nabla \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}=\Delta_{g_{\nu}} \eta_{\nu}$ and $c_{1}=\nabla \eta_{\nu}$. Now for any given smooth function $\beta$ with compact support in $U_{\nu}, \beta G_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}$ defines a bounded linear map $\mathscr{R} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}$. Noting that functions in $\mathscr{D}$ decay like $\rho^{\alpha}$ while functions in $\mathscr{R}$ decay at the faster rate $\rho^{\alpha+2}$, in order that (6.16) have small norm, we require that the coefficient of $\nabla$ be bounded by $o(\delta) \rho$ and the order- 0 term be bounded by $o(\delta) \rho^{2}$. This is where the specific formula for $\eta$ comes in. Indeed, from (5.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \eta_{\nu}=\chi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\log \sigma_{\nu}}{\log \delta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\nu}}{\sigma_{\nu} \log \delta} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since the norm of $\sigma_{\nu}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\nu}$ is $O(\rho)$, this term is bounded by a multiple of $\rho /|\log \delta|$. Similarly $\left|\nabla^{2} \eta\right|=O\left(\rho^{2} /|\log \delta|\right)$. Thus the operator norm of $\left[\Delta_{g_{\nu}}, \eta_{\nu}\right] G_{\nu}$ is controlled by $1 /|\log \delta|$, and by taking $\delta$ sufficiently small, we can make the operator norm of this term $<\frac{1}{10(k+1)}$. Then (6.14) is obtained.

We now need to complete the definition of $\mathbf{G}$ by finding an approximate inverse localized near $V$. For this, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{ad}}(x)=1-\sum_{\nu} \chi\left(\frac{\log 2 \sigma_{\nu}}{2 \log \delta}\right) \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\eta_{\text {ad }}$ is identically 1 on the support of $\chi_{\text {ad }}$ and goes from 1 to 0 as any of the $\sigma_{\nu}$ goes from $\delta / 2$ to $\delta^{2} / 2$. We shall construct an operator $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ as a sum $\sum \eta_{\mathrm{ad}} G_{n} \chi_{\mathrm{ad}}$ where $G_{n}$ acts on the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $\chi_{\mathrm{ad}} f \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W})$. Our operator will have properties analogous to those of $\mathbf{G}_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}}: \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W}) \text { is bounded and } \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\chi_{\mathrm{ad}}-e_{\mathrm{ad}} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can choose $\delta$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the operator norm of } e_{\mathrm{ad}}: \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \text { is bounded by } \frac{1}{10}+C_{\mathrm{ad}}(\delta) \varepsilon_{0} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the construction of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ we are localized to $V$, we have the $S^{1}$-action and for $f \in \mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W})$ we may split $\chi_{\text {ad }} f$ into its Fourier modes. For the zero Fourier mode, define $u_{0} \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W})$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=\varepsilon^{-2} \eta_{\mathrm{ad}} G_{0}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{ad}} f_{0}\right) \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{0}$ is the Green's operator of the euclidean Laplacian $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. It is not hard to check that this is bounded between the given spaces, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Delta_{0}\right) u_{0}=\left[\Delta_{0}, \eta_{\mathrm{ad}}\right] G_{0} \chi_{\mathrm{ad}} f_{0}+\chi_{\mathrm{ad}} f_{0} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed above, the operator norm of the first term can be made as small as we please by choosing $\delta$ sufficiently small: the derivatives of $\eta_{\text {ad }}$ give factors of $1 /|\log \delta|$ in the coefficients of the commutator.

On the $n$-th Fourier mode we invert the model operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2} \Delta_{0}+n^{2} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the explicit Green's operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{e^{-|n|\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| / \varepsilon}}{4 \pi\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|} \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{u}_{n}(x)=\eta_{\mathrm{ad}}(x) \int G_{n}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \chi_{\mathrm{ad}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \widehat{f}_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}, n \neq 0 \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of a function $u$ in $\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W})$ if $\chi_{\mathrm{ad}} \widehat{f}_{n}$ is the $n$-th Fourier coefficient of $\chi_{\mathrm{ad}} f$, with $f \in\left(\rho \sigma_{I}\right)^{\beta} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m} \subset \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(\mathscr{W})$.

Combining the definitions (6.21) and (6.25), we obtain an operator $\mathbf{G}_{\text {ad }}$, which is a bounded linear map from $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W})$.

Now, in $V, \Delta_{\zeta}$ differs from $\Delta_{\text {ad }}$ by an operator $\rho A$ where $A \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{2}(\mathscr{W} / I)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\zeta}=\Delta_{\mathrm{ad}}+\rho A \text { in } V \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\zeta} \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}}=\chi_{\mathrm{ad}}+O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)+\rho A \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\delta$ so small that the operator norm of the second term on the RHS is less than $\frac{1}{10}$. With $\delta$ fixed in this way, the support of $A \mathbf{G}_{\text {ad }}$ is bounded away from the $X_{\nu}$ and so $\rho$ can be bounded here by $C_{\text {ad }}(\delta) \varepsilon_{0}$.

Choosing $\delta$ to satisfy (6.14) and (6.20), and defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}=\sum_{\nu} \mathbf{G}_{\nu}+\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ad}} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have a bounded operator $\mathscr{R}(\mathscr{W}) \rightarrow \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{W})$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\zeta} \mathbf{G}=1-e_{\zeta} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator norm of $e_{\zeta}$ has the form $\frac{1}{5}+C \varepsilon_{0}$. Thus, picking $\varepsilon_{0}$ sufficiently small, $1-e_{\zeta}$ is invertible and $\mathbf{G}\left(1-e_{\zeta}\right)^{-1}$ is the required inverse.

Remark 6.7. The glued inverse operator $\mathbf{G}$ appears to depend upon $m$, in that in general if $m$ is increased, we shall need to take $\delta$ smaller. However, the argument shows that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \bigcap_{m, n \geqslant 0} \varepsilon^{n} \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m} \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution $u$ of $\Delta_{\zeta} u=f$ given by the Theorem will lie in the intersection

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \bigcap_{m, n \geqslant 0} \varepsilon^{n} \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2} \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6.8. Let $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ be as in Theorem 5.7. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a} \in \varepsilon^{\infty} \sigma_{I}^{2} \Omega_{\phi, \mathrm{ei}}^{1}(\mathscr{W}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{a}$ is a hyperKähler triple on $\mathscr{W}$.

Proof. We obtain a finite-regularity solution by the implicit function theorem, and then iterate to obtain smoothness. Seek $\boldsymbol{a}=D^{*} G \phi$, where $\mathbf{G}_{\zeta}$ is the inverse of $\Delta_{\zeta}$ from Theorem 6.6.

By (2.20) we require $\phi$ to solve the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=-e-\widehat{r}\left(\mathrm{~d} D^{*} G \phi\right), \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ and $\widehat{r}$ is quadratic.
We find a solution $\phi \in \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$. Since $\mathrm{d} D^{*}$ maps $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}$ into $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$, we need to know that $u \mapsto u \otimes u$ is bounded from $\mathscr{R}$ to $\mathscr{R}$. If we choose $m>5 / 2$ (remember that $\mathscr{W}$ is 5 -dimensional) then since the weights force decay, this this is indeed satisfied. Because $Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is smooth and rapidly decreasing in $\varepsilon$ and $\sigma_{I}$, by taking $\varepsilon_{0}$ small, $Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ can be arranged to have very small norm in any fixed $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(\mathscr{W})$. Because $\widehat{r}$ is quadratic, $\phi \mapsto-e-\widehat{r}\left(\mathrm{~d} D^{*} G \phi\right)$ is a contraction for $\varepsilon_{0}$ small enough, giving a solution to (6.33) for any given $m$.

For the regularity statement, note first that the solution will be smooth in any bounded open subset of the interior of $\mathscr{W}$ by elliptic regularity, because $Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ is itself smooth. To see boundary regularity consider first the boundary components $X_{\nu}$ and $X_{\text {ad }}$, staying away from spatial infinity $I_{\infty}$. The solution for a given $m$ is defined in a subset $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, and by construction this solution has b-regularity of order $m$ at $\pi^{-1}(0)$. The solution is also $O\left(\varepsilon^{n}\right)$ for every $n$, because this is true of $Q(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$. If we pass to a larger value $m_{1}>m$, then we obtain a different solution $u_{1}$ defined in $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{1}$, where in general, $\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon_{0}$. However, the solution is unique, so $u_{0} \mid\left\{\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{1}\right\}=u_{1}$, and it follows that $u_{0}$ also has b-regularity of order $m_{1}$. Hence in any neighbourhood $O$ of any boundary point of $\pi^{-1}(0), u \in \varepsilon^{\infty} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{\infty}(O)$ and so is smooth and vanishes to all orders at the boundary of $O$.

For the regularity at $I_{\infty}$, we need to take a closer look at the asymptotic form of $\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}$. We claim that $\bmod O\left(\left(\varepsilon \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right), \mathbf{g}_{\zeta}$ is given by the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz near $I_{\infty}$.

Recall that a hyperKähler metric in 4 dimensions can be expressed using the GibbonsHawking Ansatz if it admits an isometric triholomorphic $S^{1}$-action. The euclidean coordinates $x_{j}$ emerge as the three components of the hyperKähler moment map for this action, and the Gibbons-Hawking form of the metric follows by using these coordinates.

If we write $\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$ and correspondingly $\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}=\mathbf{g}_{0}+\mathbf{g}_{1}$ where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{g}_{0}$ are exactly $S^{1}$-invariant, then the error terms $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{g}_{1}$ will be $O\left(\left(\varepsilon \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right)$. Now $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is a modification of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\text {ad }}$ by essentially basic forms, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{\partial_{\theta}}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}\right), \quad \iota_{\partial_{\theta}}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathrm{ad}}\right) \text { are } O\left(\left(\varepsilon \sigma_{I}\right)^{\infty}\right) \text { near } I_{\infty} . \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the $x_{j}$ are approximate moment maps for $\mathbf{g}_{0}$ and following through the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz we obtain a harmonic function $\mathbf{h}$, say, defined near $I_{\infty}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{0}=\mathbf{h} \frac{|\mathrm{d} x|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}+\mathbf{h}^{-1} \alpha_{\zeta}^{2} . \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smoothness of decaying solutions of the Laplace equation now follows as it did for $X_{\nu}$ in Theorem C.4.
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## Appendix A. The manifold $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$ and dual Ellipses

A.1. Homogeneous coordinates and projection operators. The non-compact manifolds obtained from $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$ by removing the diagonal and anti-diagonal $\mathbb{C P}_{1}$ can be interpreted in terms of oriented ellipses in two dual ways. The goal of this appendix is to derive this picture, which was used in $\S 1.4$ to explain the geometry and mutual relationships of the spaces $\mathscr{M}_{2}^{0}, \mathrm{AH}, \widehat{\mathrm{AH}}$ and HA.

We begin by fixing notation for the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and its projective space $\mathbb{C P}_{1}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}, \quad w=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for elements $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ which also serve as homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{C P}_{1}$. We will require both the anti-symmetric bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \wedge w=z_{1} w_{2}-w_{1} z_{2} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, linear in the second argument, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle w, z\rangle=\bar{w}_{1} z_{1}+\bar{w}_{2} z_{2} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\perp}=\binom{-\bar{w}_{2}}{\bar{w}_{1}} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we note that $z \wedge w^{\perp}=\langle w, z\rangle$.
We are interested in the non-compact manifolds obtained from $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$ by removing the diagonal or anti-diagonal, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }} & =\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid z \wedge w \neq 0\right\} / \sim \\
\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }} & =\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid z \wedge w^{\perp} \neq 0\right\} / \sim, \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sim$ is division by the scaling action of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $(z, w)$. A convenient description of these quotient spaces is in terms of the projection operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z, w)=\frac{1}{w \wedge z} z\left\langle\bar{w}^{\perp}, \cdot\right\rangle, \quad Q(z, w)=\frac{1}{\langle w, z\rangle} z\langle w, \cdot\rangle \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

naturally representing points in, respectively, $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ and $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$. Clearly $P^{2}=P$ and $Q^{2}=Q$. With

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\dagger}(z, w)=\frac{1}{\langle z, w\rangle} w\langle z, \cdot\rangle \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also note the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
P Q=Q, \quad P Q^{\dagger}=0 \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q P=P, \quad Q P^{\dagger}=0 \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now define traceless $2 \times 2$ matrices $M$ and $N$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}+M), \quad Q=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}+N), \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=N^{2}=\mathrm{id} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as $Q^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{id}+N^{\dagger}\right)$. The identities (A.8) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M N=\mathrm{id}+N-M, \quad M N^{\dagger}+N^{\dagger}+M+\mathrm{id}=0 \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we leave the discussion of the projectors $P$ and $Q$, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\dagger}(z, w)=P(z, w) \Leftrightarrow w^{\perp}=z, \quad Q^{\dagger}(z, w)=Q(z, w) \Leftrightarrow w=z \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $P$ is Hermitian precisely on the anti-diagonal inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ and $Q$ is Hermitian precisely on the diagonal inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$.
A.2. Ellipses in euclidean space. To obtain the description of $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ and $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times$ $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$ in $\S 1.4$, we use the Pauli matrices

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{A.14}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

to expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=X_{1} \sigma_{1}+X_{2} \sigma_{2}+X_{3} \sigma_{3}, \quad N=Y_{1} \sigma_{1}+Y_{2} \sigma_{2}+Y_{3} \sigma_{3} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then assemble the Cartesian components into vectors $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)^{t}, Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)^{t}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, with real and imaginary parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\tilde{x}+i \xi, \quad Y=y+i \eta \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the constraint (A.11) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{x}|^{2}=|\xi|^{2}+1, \quad \tilde{x} \cdot \xi=0 \quad|y|^{2}=|\eta|^{2}+1, \quad y \cdot \eta=0 \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus arrive at pairs of vectors $(\tilde{x}, \xi)$ and $(y, \eta)$ satisfying the constraints (A.17) as natural coordinates on, respectively $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ and $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$. They make explicit the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }} \simeq T^{*} S^{2}, \quad \mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }} \simeq T S^{2} \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m=\tilde{x} /|\tilde{x}|, n=y /|y|$ taking values on the round sphere in euclidean space, and $\xi$ and $\eta$ being co-tangent and tangent vectors at $m$ and $n$. It follows from (A.13) that $X=m$ on the anti-diagonal $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$ inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$, so that $m$ is a natural coordinate there. Similarly, $Y=n$ on the diagonal $\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}$ inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$, so that $n$ is a natural coordinate there. This picture is consistent with the self-intersection numbers of the zero-section of $T S^{2}$ and the diagonal inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$ both being +2 , and the self-intersection numbers of the zero-section of $T^{*} S^{2}$ and the anti-diagonal inside $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1}$ both being -2 .

The coordinates $(\tilde{x}, \xi)$ and $(y, \eta)$ naturally parametrise oriented ellipses up to scale in euclidean space, which we call the $X$ - and $Y$-ellipse. In this interpretation, $\tilde{x}$ and $\xi$ are major and minor axes of the $X$-ellipse, while $y$ and $\eta$ are the major and minor axes of the $Y$-ellipse. When $\xi=0$ the $X$-ellipse degenerates into a line along $\tilde{x}$ and when $\eta=0$, the $Y$-ellipse degenerates into a line along $y$. The special case of the ellipse becoming a circle is only obtained in the limit of $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ for the $X$-ellipse and $|\eta| \rightarrow \infty$ for the $Y$-ellipse.

We can now state and prove the main result of this appendix.
Lemma A.1. The $X$ - and $Y$-ellipses are dual to each other in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}=\frac{y \times \eta}{|\eta|^{2}}, \quad \xi=-\frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{2}} \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\eta| \neq 0$. This map is an involution of $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times \mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash\left(\mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }} \cup \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {diag }}\right)$, where we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{\tilde{x} \times \xi}{|\xi|^{2}}, \quad \eta=-\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^{2}} \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the degeneration of the $X$-ellipse into a line corresponds to the degeneration of the $Y$-ellipse into a circle at right angles to that line, and conversely.

Proof: We deduce from (A.12) that the Hermitian matrices $X$ and $Y$ characterising the $X$ and $Y$-ellipses satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(N-N^{\dagger}\right)=2 \operatorname{id}+N+N^{\dagger} \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\sigma$ for the vector with cartesian component $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N-N^{\dagger}=2 i \eta \cdot \sigma, \quad\left(N-N^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=-4|\eta|^{2} \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that $N-N^{\dagger}$ is invertible when $|\eta| \neq 0$, with inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N-N^{\dagger}\right)^{-1}=-\frac{N-N^{\dagger}}{4|\eta|^{2}} \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (A.21) from the right by this inverse, and using and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N N^{\dagger}-N^{\dagger} N=4 y \times \eta \cdot \sigma \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =-\frac{\left(N+N^{\dagger}+2\right)\left(N-N^{\dagger}\right)}{4 \eta^{2}} \\
& =\frac{N N^{\dagger}-N^{\dagger} N-2\left(N-N^{\dagger}\right)}{4|\eta|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{y \times \eta \cdot \sigma-i \eta \cdot \sigma}{|\eta|^{2}}, \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the claimed relation (A.20). The proof of (A.19) is elementary, but also follows from the dual relation of projectors (A.9). One checks that the degeneration of the $X$-ellipse into lines along $\tilde{x}$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow 0$ makes the $Y$-ellipse degenerate into a circle of infinite radius in the plane orthogonal to $\tilde{x}$. Conversely, in the limit $|\eta| \rightarrow 0$ the $Y$-ellipses degenerate into lines along $y$ while the $X$-ellipses become circles of infinite radius in the orthogonal plane.
A.3. Symmetries. In $\S 1.4$ of the main text we also make use of discrete symmetries of $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \times$ $\mathbb{C P}_{1} \backslash \mathbb{C P}_{1}^{\text {adiag }}$. The factor switching map

$$
\begin{equation*}
s:(z, w) \mapsto(w, z) \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces the map $Q \mapsto Q^{\dagger}$ at the level of projectors and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
s: T S^{2} \rightarrow T S^{2}, \quad Y \mapsto \bar{Y} \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|\eta| \neq 0$, it also induces the map $X \mapsto-X$.
The factor switching map composed with the antipodal map on both factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
r:(z, w) \mapsto\left(w^{\perp}, z^{\perp}\right) \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces the map $Q \mapsto \mathrm{id}-Q$ at the level of projectors and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: T S^{2} \rightarrow T S^{2}, \quad Y \mapsto-Y . \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|\eta| \neq 0$, it also induces the map $X \mapsto \bar{X}$. The maps $s$ and $r$ commute, and generate the Vierergruppe. The product $a=r s=s r$ is the antipodal map on both factors, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
a:(z, w) \mapsto\left(z^{\perp}, w^{\perp}\right) \tag{A.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
a: T S^{2} \rightarrow T S^{2}, \quad Y \mapsto-\bar{Y} . \tag{A.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|\eta| \neq 0$, it also induces the map $X \mapsto-\bar{X}$.
The $S U(2)$ action on the homogeneous coordinates induces the adjoint $S O(3)$ action on both $X$ and $Y$, so a rotation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \mapsto G X, \quad Y \mapsto G Y, \tag{A.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the complex vectors $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ by $G \in S O(3)$. This action commutes with the action of the Vierergruppe given above.

To make contact with the discussion in the main text we also require a lift of the Vierergruppe to the subgroup $\mathscr{D}_{2}$ of $S U(2)$. This can be achieve by noting that the traceless complex matrix $M$ can be expressed in terms of the magnitudes of $\tilde{x}$ and $\xi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=g\left(|\tilde{x}| \sigma_{3}+i|\xi| \sigma_{1}\right) g^{\dagger}, \quad g \in S U(2) \tag{A.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that, for given $M$, this fixes $g$ up to sign when $\xi \neq 0$. Then one checks that, with the matrices $R_{\ell}=\exp \left(-i \frac{\pi}{\ell} \sigma_{3}\right)$ and $S=-i \sigma_{2}$ defined in (1.2), the right-multiplication by $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \mapsto g S, \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces the map $s:(\tilde{x}, \xi) \mapsto(-\tilde{x},-\xi)$ given in (1.11), and the right-multiplication by $R_{\ell}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \mapsto g R_{\ell}, \tag{A.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces the map $(\tilde{x}, \xi) \mapsto\left(\tilde{x}, R_{m}(2 \pi / \ell) \xi\right)$, where we used the notation defined after (1.16). In particular, the right-multiplication by $R_{2}$ induces the map $r$ given in (1.2). Identifying ( $g,|\xi|$ ) for $\xi \neq 0$ with $g(|\xi|, 0)^{t} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, this is the lift of the Vierergruppe to the binary dihedral group $\mathscr{D}_{2}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ which is used in the main text.

## Appendix B. Manifolds with corners

B.1. Definitions. In this paper, we have used manifolds with corners (MWCs) systematically to resolve singularities (for example the indeterminacy in the adiabatic Gibbons-Hawking family $g_{\varepsilon}$ ) and to obtain a smooth family of $D_{k}$ ALF gravitational instantons on the Sen space through the introduction of the space $\mathscr{W}$. We gather here the most important definitions of the theory, the aim being to make the rest of the paper more self-contained, rather than to give a systematic development. For more details, the reader is referred to [32] or the short summary in [30]. Another good introduction is contained in [1].

We give start with an extrinsic definition of MWC, referring to the above references for the intrinsic approach.

Let $M$ be a real manifold of dimension $n$. A subset $X \subset M$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold with corners (MWC) if $X$ is a finite non-empty intersection of 'half-spaces' $H_{j}=\left\{\rho_{j} \geqslant 0\right\}$, where the $\rho_{j} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\mathrm{d} \rho_{j} \neq 0$ on the zero-set of $\rho_{j}$. (Here $j$ lies in some finite index set $J$.) This condition guarantees that $Z_{j}=\left\{\rho_{j}=0\right\}$ is a smooth embedded submanifold of $M$ of codimension 1.

We assume that the interior $X^{\circ}$ of $X$ (in $M$ ) is non-empty, so that $X^{\circ}$ is an $n$-manifold. We assume also that there is no redundancy in the set $\left\{H_{j}\right\}$, so that the intersection of any proper subset of the $H_{j}$ is strictly larger than $X$. In particular $Y_{j}=X \cap Z_{j}$ is non-empty, and more importantly its interior in $Z_{j}$ is a manifold of dimension of $n-1$. It is customary to suppose that the $Y_{j}$ are connected. (This can be achieved by renumbering, and possibly shrinking the ambient manifold $M$.) The $Y_{j}$ are called the boundary hypersurfaces of $X$ and $\rho_{j}$ is the boundary defining function (bdf) of $Y_{j}$.

The final technical point is that all non-empty intersections of the boundary hypersurfaces should be cut out transversally by the $\rho_{j}$-we do not want any pair of boundary hypersurfaces to meet tangentially, for example. Thus we insist that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{j}(p)=0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, k \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \rho_{1}(p) \wedge \cdots \mathrm{d} \rho_{k}(p) \neq 0 \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(It is to be understood that this holds for all subsets of $J$.) It follows in particular that at most $n$ boundary hypersurfaces can meet in $X$.

Example B.1. If we have a finite collection of generically chosen half-spaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then their intersection (if non-empty) will be a manifold with corners. One may think of a general manifold with corners as a 'curvilinear version' of this, though of course there is no reason for a general MWC to be homeomorphic to a ball.

Example B.2. A closed (solid) octahedron in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is not an example of a MWC because four faces come together at each vertex, which is not allowed in a MWC of dimension 3.

In this paper, all our MWCs have corners only up to codimension 2: in other words, there are non-empty intersections of certain pairs of boundary hypersurfaces, but any intersection of three boundary hypersurfaces is empty. We now explain what is meant by adapted coordinates in this setting.
Example B.3. First of all, suppose that $p$ lies on some boundary hypersurface $Y$ but is not in any intersection $Y \cap Y^{\prime}$ of boundary hypersurfaces. Then adapted coordinates in a neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $p$ in $X$ are ( $\rho, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ ), where the $y_{j}$ are local coordinates on $Y \cap \Omega$ centred at $p$ : thus $p$ is identified with the origin of this coordinate system.

Example B.4. Similarly, if $p \in Y \cap Y^{\prime}$ and the bdfs of $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are respectively $\rho$ and $\sigma$, adapted coordinates in a neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $p$ in $X$ are $\left(\rho, \sigma, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}\right)$, where now the $y_{j}$ are local coordinates on $Y \cap Y^{\prime} \cap \Omega$ (which is an ordinary ( $n-2$ )-manifold because there are no corners of codimension 3 or more), centred at $p$. Again, $p$ is identified with the origin of this coordinate system.
B.2. The b-tangent bundle. The references mentioned above develop a suitable category of MWCs and smooth maps. In this development, the so-called b-tangent bundle of MWCs is the 'correct' replacement for the tangent bundle in ordinary differential analysis.

Let $X$ be a compact MWC, of dimension $n$. The set of all smooth vector fields which are tangent to all boundary faces of $X$ is denoted $\mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$. There is a smooth vector bundle the b-tangent bundle $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$ with the property that $C^{\infty}\left(X, T_{\mathrm{b}} X\right)=\mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$, where on the LHS we have unrestricted smooth sections over $X$.

Let us give a local description of $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$ in the case of the two examples above.
Example B.5. With the notation of Example B.3, a local basis for $T_{\mathrm{b}} \Omega$ is given by the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n-1}} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{V}_{b}(\Omega)$ is the space of all linear combinations of these vector fields with coefficients in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Example B.6. With the notation of Example B.4, a local basis for $T_{\mathrm{b}} \Omega$ is given by the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n-2}} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{V}_{b}(\Omega)$ is the space of all linear combinations of these vector fields with coefficients in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
If we change adapted local coordinates in either of these examples, we get new local bases (B.3) or (B.4) and it is easy to see that these are related by transition functions in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$. This is one way to verify the existence of the bundle $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$. More abstractly, one may invoke the Serre-Swan theorem.

For every point $p$ of $X$ there is an 'evaluation map' $T_{\mathrm{b}, p} X \rightarrow T_{p} X$, but this is not an isomorphism if $p \in \partial X$. On the other hand the restriction of $T_{\mathrm{b}} X$ to the interior $X^{\circ}$ of $X$ is canonically isomorphic to $T X^{\circ}$. However, if $v \in \mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}(X)$, the smoothness of the coefficients up to and including the boundary of $X$ means that $v \mid X^{\circ}$ will have some controlled vanishing near each of the boundary hypersurfaces.

It is convenient to introduce the following notation.
Notation B.7. Let $X$ be a MWC as above. Let $\Omega$ be an open set of $X$. Then $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is the space of smooth functions on $\Omega$ (up to an including the boundary of $X$, if $\Omega \cap \partial X \neq \varnothing$ ). The space $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is the subspace of functions with compact support in $\Omega$. The support of such a function meets $\partial X$ in a compact subset of $\partial X \cap \Omega$ but need not be empty. By contrast, the subspace $\dot{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ consists of those functions which vanish to all orders at the boundary hypersurfaces with non-empty intersection with $\Omega$. If $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we also say that $f$ is rapidly decreasing at $\partial \Omega$ and this has to be understood in the precise sense of the previous sentence. In the setting of Example B.4, we say that $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is rapidly decreasing at $Y$ if $f$ vanishes to all orders in the bdf $\rho$ of $Y$.

## Appendix C. Analysis of the Laplacian of a strongly ALF space

The essential analytical input we need for the proof of the main theorem is a good understanding of the Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} u=f \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a strongly ALF manifold $(X, g)$ in the sense of Definition 3.5. The geometric microlocal approach to the analysis of elliptic operators in this setting was first undertaken in [28] and was further developed for the purposes of Hodge theory in [38] and [20]. The strong ALF property of $g$ leads to stronger results than those in the literature, so we describe our results here and explain how they are obtained.

Definition C.1. Let $\mathrm{d} \mu_{\mathrm{b}}$ be any smooth b-density on $X$, and let $L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(X)$ be the resulting space of $L^{2}$ functions. For positive integers $H_{\phi, b}^{n, m}(X)$ is the Sobolev space of functions on $X$ with $m$ b-derivatives and $n \phi$-derivatives in $L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(X)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathrm{b}}^{n}(X) \operatorname{Diff}_{\phi}^{m}(X) u \subset L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(X) .
$$

As previously, the subscript ei will be used to denote functions which are essentially invariant near $\partial X$. Write $H_{\mathrm{b}}^{s}(X)$ for $H_{\mathrm{b}, \phi}^{s, 0}(X)$.

Remark C.2. Because of the algebraic properties of the b- and $\phi$ - vector fields, $H_{\phi, \mathrm{b}}^{n, m}(X)$ could also have been defined as the set of $u$ for which

$$
v_{1} \ldots v_{n+m} u \in L_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(X)
$$

for any collection of vector fields of which $n$ are in $\mathscr{V}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $m$ are in $\mathscr{V}_{\phi}$.
We now define a family of domains and ranges for $\Delta_{g}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g}: \mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}(X) \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(X) \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bounded invertible linear mapping. There is some flexibility in the definition, and we choose to make the range space as close as possible to a b-Sobolev space, albeit one in which the invariant and non-invariant components with respect to the $S^{1}$-action are weighted differently. For $m$ a positive integer and any numbers $\alpha>0, \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta>\alpha+2$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}(X)=\left\{\chi f_{0}+f_{1}\right\} \text { where } f_{0} \in \rho^{\alpha+2} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(V) \text { and } \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \theta}=0, f_{1} \in \rho^{\beta} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m}(X) . \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this definition, $V$ is a collar neighbourhood of $\partial X, \rho$ is a bdf identically equal to $1 /|x|$ in $V$ and $\chi$ is cut-off function with compact support in $V$ and identically 1 in a neighbourhood of $\partial X$. We always take $\beta>\alpha+2$, so that the invariant component decays more slowly than the non-invariant component.
For the domain, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}(X)=\left\{\chi u_{0}+u_{1}\right\} \text { where } u_{0} \in \rho^{\alpha} H_{\mathrm{b}}^{m+2}(V)+\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}, \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \theta}=0, u_{1} \in \rho^{\beta} H_{\phi, \mathrm{b}}^{2, m}(X) \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where everything is already defined apart from $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$. This is a finite-dimensional space of finiteorder harmonic multipole expansions on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{[\alpha]} H_{j}(x)\right\} \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j}(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $-j$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$. Here if $\alpha \in(0,1), \mathscr{H}_{\alpha}=\{0\}$ by definition.
Both the domain and range are independent of the choice of cut-off $\chi$.
Theorem C.3. Let the definitions be as above with $\alpha>0, \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and $\beta>\alpha+2$. Then there is a bounded inverse of $\Delta_{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G: \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m+2}, \quad \Delta_{g} G=G \Delta_{g}=1 . \tag{C.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(X)$, then it lies in the intersection over all $(\alpha, \beta, m)$ of $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$, the solution $u=G f$ lies in all the $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$, and so:

Theorem C.4. Let $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(X)$. Then there exists unique $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \rho C_{\mathrm{ei}}^{\infty}(X) \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

solving $\Delta_{g} u=f$.

The condition $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ is needed to avoid the indicial roots of the three-dimensional Laplacian acting on the invariant part $u_{0}$ [31]. These indicial roots are precisely the integers in this case, corresponding to the homogeneous solutions $\Delta H=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$.

The essentially invariant part of $u$ in (C.7) is not merely smooth, but has an asymptotic expansion in homogeneous harmonic functions (multipole expansion). That is, there is a sequence of functions $H_{j}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ such that for any $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-\sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{j} \in \rho^{N+1} C^{\infty}(X) \text { near } \partial X \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{j}$ is homogeneous of degree $-j$. Furthermore this equation can be differentiated any number of times and remains valid.
Remark C.5. For a general ALF manifold, one cannot expect a smooth (up to the boundary) solution $u$ of the Poisson equation, even for $f \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(X)$. The most that can be expected is that $u$ will have a polyhomogeneous conormal expansion, cf. [20, Prop. 17].
Proof of Theorem C.3. We use the geometric microlocal approach, and assume that the reader has some familiarity with [28].

The inverse operator $G$ is constructed in stages, using the class $\Psi_{\phi}^{*}(X)$ of $\phi$-pseudodifferential operators on $X$. These operators have kernels whose structure is clearest on the 'stretched product' $X_{\phi}^{2}$, which is a certain blow-up of the cartesian square $X^{2}=X \times X$.

The boundary faces of $X_{\phi}^{2}$ are the two front faces, $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ and $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$, as well as the old boundary hypersurfaces, which are the lifts of $\partial X \times X$ and $X \times \partial X$. Boundary defining functions will be denoted by $\rho_{\phi}, \rho_{\mathrm{b}}, \rho, \rho^{\prime}$.

We give a somewhat rough, local, description of $X_{\phi}^{2}$ in terms of a local asymptotic GibbonsHawking chart $(x, \theta)$ near $\partial X$. On the interior $X^{\circ} \times X^{\circ}$ (near $\partial X \times \partial X$ ) we have coordinates $\left(x, \theta, x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$. In $X_{\phi}^{2}$, a neighbourhood of the corner $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}} \cap(X \times \partial X)$ corresponds to the region $|x| \gg 1,\left|x^{\prime}\right| /|x|$ bounded (by some $\delta<1$ ) and we may take $\rho_{\mathrm{b}}=1 /|x|$ and $\rho^{\prime}=|x| /\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ near this corner. Similarly, near $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}} \cap(\partial X \cap X)$ we may take $\rho_{\mathrm{b}}=1 /\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ and $\rho=\left|x^{\prime}\right| /|x|$.

The front face $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ is the total space of a fibration over $\partial X$, with fibres $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \times S^{1}$, and a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ in $X_{\phi}^{2}$ corresponds to extending this to a neighbourhood $V$ of $\partial X$ in $X$. Working locally in the base we identify this with the product

$$
U \times S^{1} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \times S^{1}
$$

with local coordinates $\left(x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}, w, \psi\right)$. (To have this trivialization, $x^{\prime}$ must lie in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of a point in $\partial \overline{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$.) In these coordinates, the two projections $X_{\phi}^{2} \rightarrow X$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}:\left(x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}, w, \psi\right) \mapsto\left(x^{\prime}+w, \theta^{\prime}+\psi\right), \quad \pi_{2}:\left(x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}, w, \psi\right) \mapsto\left(x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right) \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We could equally well have given a description of $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ as a fibration over the boundary of the first factor of $X$, rather than the second.)

The intersection $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi} \cap \mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is non-empty and $1 /|w|$ and $1 /\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ are local defining functions for $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ respectively, near this corner.

The space $\Psi_{\phi}^{m}(X)$ of $\phi$-pseudodifferential operators of order $m$ consists of those operators whose Schwarz kernels, lifted to $X_{\phi}^{2}$, are smooth away from the (lift of the) diagonal, rapidly decreasing at all boundary faces apart from $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$, and with a standard pseudodifferential singularity along the lifted diagonal $\{w=0, \psi=0\}$. If $m=-\infty$, we have kernels in $C^{\infty}\left(X_{\phi}^{2}\right)$ with the same rapid decrease at all boundary faces apart from $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$.

Because of the circle-action near the boundary, we can introduce the subclass $\Psi_{\phi, \text { ei }}^{m}(X)$ of essentially invariant operators defined by insisting that $R$ be essentially invariant with respect to the diagonal circle action on $X_{\phi}^{2}$. Explicitly, a kernel in $\Psi_{\phi, \text { ei }}^{m}(X)$ has the (local) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(x^{\prime}, w, \psi\right) \quad \bmod \rho_{\phi}^{\infty} \Psi_{\phi}^{m}(X) \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the achievements of [28] is the proof that $\Psi_{\phi}^{*}(X)$ is closed under composition. This, and the surjectivity of the $\phi$-symbol map, means that many of the standard arguments involving
pseudodifferential operators on manifolds without boundary can be adapted to the study of $\phi$-differential operators on $X$.

This is used in the first step in constructing $G$ :
Step 1: There exists $P \in \Psi_{\phi, \text { ei }}^{-2}(X)$ and $R \in \Psi_{\phi, \text { ei }}^{-\infty}(X)$, formally self-adjoint, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \Delta_{g}=1-R, \quad \Delta_{g} P=1-R . \tag{C.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an adaptation of the usual symbolic argument in the theory of elliptic pseudodifferential operators, see [28]. Since the metric is strongly ALF, the operator $\Delta_{g}$ is essentially invariant. An examination of the usual proof shows that $P$ (and hence $R$ ) can be chosen to be essentially invariant as claimed.

The error term $R$ in (C.11) is not compact $L^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}$, so this result does not yield a Fredholm result for $\Delta_{g}$. We need to improve the error term to one which vanishes at all boundary hypersurfaces. Let $Q=Q\left(x^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}, w, \psi\right)$ be smooth on $X_{\phi}^{2}$ with support near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$. The Laplacian $\Delta_{g}$ differs from the Laplacian $\Delta_{h}$ of the Gibbons-Hawking metric $g_{h}$ by rapidly decreasing terms, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} Q=\Delta_{h} Q+O\left(\rho_{\phi}^{\infty}\right) Q \tag{C.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h} Q=-\frac{1}{h\left(x^{\prime}+w\right)} \widetilde{\nabla}_{w}^{2} Q-h\left(x^{\prime}+w\right) \partial_{\psi}^{2} Q \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{j}}-a_{j}\left(x^{\prime}+w\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}
$$

(cf. (5.39)).
We shall explain how to solve $\Delta_{h} Q=R(\bmod$ rapidly decreasing terms). Then replacing $R$ by $R+Q$ will give our improved parametrix, from which Theorems C. 3 and C. 4 can be proved directly.

To save on notation, replace $R$ by its invariant part, so $R=R\left(x^{\prime}, w, \psi\right)$. Since we are working modulo $\rho_{\phi}^{\infty}$ in any case, this will not cause any harm. Now decompose $R=R_{0}+R_{1}$ where $R_{0}$ is the zero Fourier-mode of $R$ with respect to $\psi$ and $R_{1}$ is the sum of the non-zero Fourier-modes. Step 2: There exist smooth functions $Q_{0} \in \rho_{\mathrm{b}} \rho \rho^{\prime} C^{\infty}\left(X_{\phi}^{2}\right)$ and $Q_{1} \in \Psi_{\phi, \mathrm{ei}}^{-\infty}(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h} Q_{0}-R_{0} \in\left(\rho_{\phi} \rho_{\mathrm{b}} \rho\right)^{\infty} \rho^{\prime} C^{\infty}\left(X_{\phi}^{2}\right) \tag{C.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h} Q_{1}-R_{1} \in \dot{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{\phi}^{2}\right) \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(rapid decrease at all boundary hypersurfaces). Both of $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ are supported near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi} \cup \mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $Q_{0}$ is $S^{1} \times S^{1}$-invariant (independent of $\psi$ as well as $\theta^{\prime}$ ).
Let us start with $Q_{1}$. It is obtained order by order in powers of $\rho_{\phi}$ starting with the leading term. Let $q_{1}=Q_{1} \mid \mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ and $r_{1}=R_{1} \mid \mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$. Solving $\Delta Q_{1}=R_{1}$ to leading order at $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$ means setting $x^{\prime}=\infty$ in (C.13). This yields the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}} q_{1}=r_{1} \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can easily be solved using the Fourier transform. If we denote by $\hat{f}(\eta, n)$ the Fourier transform with respect to $w$ and $\psi$ of a function on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}$, then we may solve our equation by setting

$$
\widehat{q}_{1}(\eta, n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\widehat{r}_{1}(\eta, n)}{{\frac{1 \eta}{2}+n^{2}}_{n^{2}}+n \neq 0 ;} \\
0, n=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Because $\widehat{r}_{1}(\eta, 0)=0$ (the zero Fourier-mode has been removed), the inverse Fourier transform $q_{1}$ of $\widehat{q}_{1}$ solves (C.16). Because $r_{1}$ is smooth and rapidly decreasing for $|w| \rightarrow \infty$, its Fourier coefficients are also smooth in $\eta$ and rapidly decreasing in $\eta$ and $n$. The same is therefore true of the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{q}_{1}(\eta, n)$, so $q_{1}$ is smooth and rapidly decreasing (and its zero Fourier-mode is equal to 0 ).

Extend $q_{1}$ smoothly to a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$, calling the result $\widetilde{q}_{1}$. Then $\Delta_{h} \widetilde{q_{1}}-R_{1}=O\left(\rho_{\phi}\right)$ and the error is still rapidly decreasing at all other boundary hypersurfaces. We can now iterate,
solving next for the coefficient of $\rho_{\phi}$ in the RHS of this equation and proceeding order by order. Invoking Borel's Lemma, we find a $Q_{1}$ satisfying (C.15).

Now turn to the $S^{1} \times S^{1}$-invariant part $R_{0}$. We may suppose that this is smooth and supported near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$. Taking $Q_{0}$ to be invariant, the equation $\Delta_{h} Q_{0}=R_{0}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{w} Q_{0}=h\left(x^{\prime}+w\right) R_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, w\right), \tag{C.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{w}$ is the standard euclidean Laplacian in the $w$ variables. Let $\delta>0$ be small and let $\chi(t)$ be a smooth cut-off function equal 1 for $t<\delta / 2$ and equal to 0 for $t>\delta$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}\left(w, x^{\prime}\right)=\chi(\rho) \chi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \frac{1}{\left|w-w^{\prime \prime}\right|} h\left(x^{\prime}+w^{\prime \prime}\right) R_{0}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} w^{\prime \prime} . \tag{C.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the standard formula for solving the Poisson equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ apart from the cut-offs, and because $R_{0}$ is rapidly decreasing for $|w| \rightarrow \infty, Q_{0}$ is smooth in $w$ and decays like $1 /|w|$, for $|w| \rightarrow \infty$. In fact $Q_{0}$ has a multipole expansion (cf. (C.8)) for large $|w|$ the coefficients of which are smooth in the parameter $x^{\prime}$. Recalling that $\rho_{\mathrm{b}}=1 /|w|$ near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi} \cap \mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$, we see that $Q_{0} \in \rho_{\mathrm{b}} C^{\infty}$, at least in a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$.

By the change of variables $w=x-x^{\prime}$ (cf. (C.9)), however, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}\left(x-x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\chi(\rho) \chi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \frac{1}{\left|x-x^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}\right|} h\left(x^{\prime}+w^{\prime \prime}\right) R_{0}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} w^{\prime \prime} \tag{C.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we have an extension of $Q_{0}$ to $X_{\phi}^{2}$, supported near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi} \cup \mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{b}}$. One checks that $Q_{0} \in$ $\rho_{\mathrm{b}} \rho \rho^{\prime} C^{\infty}$ as claimed in the statement of Step 2. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h} Q_{0}=\chi(\rho) \chi\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) R_{0}+E \tag{C.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ comes from the commutator $\left[\Delta_{h}, \chi(\rho)\right]$ and is thus supported near $X \times \partial X$ (away from the corner) and vanishes to first order in $\rho^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Step 2.
Step 3: Let $Q=Q_{0}+Q_{1}$ and let $P^{\prime}=P+Q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} P^{\prime}=1-R^{\prime} \tag{C.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the error term $R^{\prime}$ is as in (C.15). One shows (as in [28] and [20]) that the operator $R^{\prime}$ is compact on $\mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$. (The fact that there is no indicial root of the euclidean Laplacian in $(0,1)$ is used here, cf. [31].) Theorem C. 3 follows this from for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, using integration by parts to prove that $\Delta_{g}$ is injective and the Fredholm alternative. If $\alpha>1, \Delta_{g}$ is still injective on $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$, and if $f \in \mathscr{R}_{\alpha, \beta, m}$, the result for $\alpha^{\prime} \in(0,1)$ gives a unique solution $u$ of the equation in $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta, m}$ of $\Delta_{g} u=f$. Using the fact that the invariant part of $f$ decays at the faster rate $\rho^{\alpha}$, one may deduce that the leading terms of $u$ are in $\mathscr{H}_{\alpha}$. This completes the proof of Theorem C.3.

Remark C.6. In [28], what we have called Step 2 is addressed using the 'normal operator' of $\Delta_{g}$. This is the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}}$, acting in the $(w, \psi)$ variables, i.e. on the fibres of $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$. This operator appeared in our argument to solve away the component $R_{1}$ of $R$ near $\mathrm{ff}_{\phi}$. The normal operator is used systematically in [28] for the Fredholm theory of fully elliptic $\phi$-operators. However, the Laplacian of a $\phi$-metric is not fully elliptic and this is why the additional argument was needed to deal with the $S^{1} \times S^{1}$-invariant part $R_{0}$ of the error.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have here suppressed explicit mention of the map identifying $U_{\nu}$ with the product

