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#### Abstract

Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$. The fractional Cheeger constant $h_{s}(\Omega), 0<s<1$, is defined by $h_{s}(\Omega)=\inf _{E \subset \Omega} \frac{P_{s}(E)}{|E|}$, where $P_{s}(E)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\chi_{E}(x)-\chi_{E}(y)\right|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y$ with $\chi_{E}$ denoting the characteristic function of the smooth subdomain $E$. The main purpose of this paper is to show that $\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}}\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{1-p}=h_{s}(\Omega)=$ $\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}}\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{1-p}$, where $\phi_{p}^{s}$ is the fractional $(s, p)$-torsion function of $\Omega$, that is, the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the fractional $p$-Laplacian: $-(\Delta)_{p}^{s} u=1$ in $\Omega, u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$. For this, we derive suitable bounds for the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)$ of the fractional $p$-Laplacian operator in terms of $\phi_{p}^{s}$. We also show that $\phi_{p}^{s}$ minimizes the $(s, p)$-Gagliardo seminorm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, among the functions normalized by the $L^{1}$-norm.
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## 1 Introduction

The Cheeger constant $h(\Omega)$ of a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N>1)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\Omega)=\inf _{E \subset \Omega} \frac{P(E)}{|E|}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a smooth subset of $\Omega$ and the nonnegative values $P(E)$ and $|E|$ denote, respectively, the distributional perimeter and the $N$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $E$. A subset $E$ that minimizes the quotient is a Cheeger set of $\Omega$.

In [7] Kawohl and Fridman proved that

$$
h(\Omega)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

where $\lambda_{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet $p$-Laplacian operator, that is, the least real number $\lambda$ such that the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p} u & =\lambda|u|^{p-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

has a nontrivial solution. (Let us recall that the $p$-Laplacian operator is defined by $\Delta_{p} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$, $p>1$.)

The first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1, p}(\Omega)$ is also variationally characterized by

$$
\lambda_{1, p}(\Omega):=\min \left\{|\nabla u|_{p}^{p}: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega),|u|_{p}=1\right\}
$$

[^0]with $|\cdot|_{r}$ standing for the usual norm of $L^{r}(\Omega), 1 \leq r \leq \infty$ (this notation will be adopted from now on).
In [2] a different characterization of the Cheeger constant of $\Omega$ was obtained:
$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}}=h(\Omega)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}
$$
where $\phi_{p}$ denotes the $p$-torsion function of $\Omega$, that is, the solution of the Dirichlet problem
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p} u & =1 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0
\end{aligned}
$$ \quad on \partial \Omega,\right.
\]

which is known as the $p$-torsional creep problem (see [6]).
We remark that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}=\min \left\{|\nabla u|_{p}^{p}: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),|u|_{1}=1\right\}
$$

since the minimum is attained at $\phi_{p} /\left|\phi_{p}\right|_{1}$.
The fractional version of problem (1.1) consists in minimizing that quotient when $P(E)$ is substituted by $P_{s}(E)$, given by

$$
P_{s}(E)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\chi_{E}(x)-\chi_{E}(y)\right|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

where $\chi_{E}$ stands for the characteristic function of the smooth subdomain $E$. The value $P_{s}(E)$ is called the (nonlocal) $s$-perimeter of $E$. So, the fractional Cheeger problem is the minimization problem

$$
h_{s}(\Omega)=\inf _{E \subset \Omega} \frac{P_{s}(E)}{|E|}
$$

and $h_{s}(\Omega)$ is called the $s$-Cheeger constant of $\Omega$.
For $1<p<\infty$ and $s \in(0,1)$, the fractional $(s, p)$-Laplacian $(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}$ is the nonlinear nonlocal operator defined by

$$
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u(x)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{\epsilon}(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

This definition is consistent, up to a normalization constant depending on $p, s$ and $N$, with the usual $p$-Laplacian operator.

The first (fractional) eigenvalue $\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)$ of $(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}$ is the least number $\lambda$ such that the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u & =\lambda|u|^{p-2} u & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

has a nontrivial weak solution (see [8, 4]). Its variational characterization is given by (see [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega):=\min \left\{[u]_{s, p}^{p}: u \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega),|u|_{p}=1\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u]_{s, p}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $(s, p)$-seminorm of Gagliardo in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of a measurable function $u$ and $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is a suitable fractional Sobolev space defined in the sequel (see Definition 2.1).

In 1 Brasco, Lindgren and Parini proved the $s$-Cheeger version of the result originally obtained by Kawohl and Friedman [7] for the Cheeger problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}(\Omega)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, by assuming that $\Omega$ is a Lipschitz bounded domain, we show, in the spirit of the paper [2], that the fractional version of the torsional creep problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u & =1 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0
\end{aligned} \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega,\right.
$$

is intrinsically connected to both the $s$-Cheeger problem and the first eigenproblem for the fractional Dirichlet $p$-Laplacian, as $p$ goes to 1 .

This connection will be developed in Section 2, where we introduce the $(s, p)$-torsion function of $\Omega$, that is, the weak solution $\phi_{p}^{s}$ of (1). We will derive the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}} \leq \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) \leq\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right)^{p-1} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)}{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{1}$ denotes the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Then, taking (1.4) into account, we will combine (1.5) with (1.6) in order to conclude the main result of this paper:

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}}=h_{s}(\Omega)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}
$$

Still in Section 2 we prove that $\phi_{p}^{s}$ minimizes, in $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$, the Rayleigh quotient $[u]_{s, p}^{p} /|u|_{1}^{p}$. As an immediate consequence of this fact, we show that $\phi_{p}^{s}$ is a radial function when $\Omega$ is a ball.

## 2 The main results

From now on $\Omega$ denotes a Lipschitz bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, and $0<s<1<p<\frac{N}{s}$.
Definition 2.1 The Sobolev space $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|:=[u]_{s, p}+|u|_{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[u]_{s, p}$ is defined by (1.3).
Functions in $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ have a natural extension to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and, although $u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$, the identity

$$
[u]_{s, p}^{p}=\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

shows dependence on values in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$.
It is worth mentioning that $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space and that this space coincides with the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ relative to the norm

$$
u \longmapsto\left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+|u|_{p}
$$

if $\partial \Omega$ is Lipschitz, (see [1, Proposition B.1]).
Moreover, thanks the fractional Poincaré inequality (see [1. Lemma 2.4])

$$
|u|_{p}^{p} \leq C_{N, s, p, \Omega}[u]_{s, p}^{p}, \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

$[\cdot]_{s, p}$ is also a norm in $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$, equivalent to norm defined in (2.1).
We refer the reader to [3] for fractional Sobolev spaces.

Definition 2.2 We say that a function $u \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the fractional Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u & =f & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\
u & =0 & \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

a notation that will be used from now on.
Existence of a weak solution of (2.2), when $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ follows from direct minimization in $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ of the functional

$$
\frac{1}{p}[u]_{s, p}^{p}-\int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

whereas uniqueness comes from, for instance, the comparison principle for the fractional $p$-Laplacian (see [8, Lemma 9]). The same principle shows that if $f$ is nonnegative then the weak solution $u$ is nonnegative as well. When $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega$ is sufficiently smooth, say with boundary at least of class $C^{1,1}$, the weak solutions are $\alpha$-Hölder continuous up to the boundary for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$, see [5].

When $f \equiv 1$ the Dirichlet problem (2.2) will be referred to as the $(s, p)$-fractional torsional creep problem and its unique weak solution will be called $(s, p)$-torsion function. Let us denote this function by $\phi_{p}^{s}$. We have $\phi_{p}^{s} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} \phi_{p}^{s}, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by taking $\varphi=\phi_{p}^{s}$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} \phi_{p}^{s}, \phi_{p}^{s}\right\rangle=\left[\phi_{p}^{s}\right]_{s, p}^{p}=\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1} .
$$

Theorem 2.1 We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}=\min \left\{[v]_{s, p}^{p}: v \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega),|v|_{1}=1\right\}=\left[\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right]_{s, p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}$ is the only nonnegative function attaining the minimum.
Proof. Since the functional $v \longmapsto|v|_{1}$ is not differentiable, we will first consider the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\inf \left\{[v]_{s, p}^{p}: v \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} v \mathrm{~d} x=1\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and show that it is uniquely solved by the positive function $\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}$.
Thus, let us take a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left[u_{n}\right]_{s, p}^{p} \rightarrow m
$$

We observe that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\left|u_{n}\right|_{1}^{p} \leq|\Omega|^{p-1}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p} \leq|\Omega|^{p-1} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)^{-1}\left[u_{n}\right]_{s, p}^{p}
$$

Since $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, the $L^{1}$-boundedness of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ implies the existence of $u \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ (weak convergence) in $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. The convergence in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ implies that $\int_{\Omega} u \mathrm{~d} x=1$, so that $m \leq[u]_{s, p}^{p}$. On its turn, the weak convergence guarantees that

$$
[u]_{s, p}+1=\|u\| \leq \liminf \left\|u_{n}\right\|=\liminf \left(\left[u_{n}\right]_{s, p}+1\right)=m^{\frac{1}{p}}+1
$$

It follows that $m=[u]_{s, p}^{p}$, so that the infimum in (2.6) is attained by the weak limit $u$.
By applying Lagrange multipliers, we infer the existence of a real number $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\varphi=u$ we conclude that $\lambda=m>0$, since $m=[u]_{s, p}^{p}$ and $\int_{\Omega} u \mathrm{~d} x=1$.
This fact and (2.7) imply that $u$ is a weak solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u & =m & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

By uniqueness, we have $u=m^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \phi_{p}^{s} \geq 0$. Since $\int_{\Omega} u \mathrm{~d} x=1$ we conclude that

$$
m=\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad u=\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}
$$

We remark that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}} \leq[|v|]_{s, p}^{p} \leq[v]_{s, p}^{p}
$$

for every $v \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ such that $|v|_{1}=1$. This finishes the proof since

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}=\left[\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right]_{s, p} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right|_{1}=1
$$

The next result recovers Lemma 4.1 of [5]:
Corollary 2.1 The $(s, p)$-torsion function is radial when $\Omega$ is a ball.
Proof. Let $\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*} \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ be the Schwarz symmetrization of $\phi_{p}^{s}$, that is, the radially decreasing function such that

$$
\left\{\phi_{p}^{s}>t\right\}^{*}=\left\{\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*}>t\right\}, t>0
$$

where, for any $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, D^{*}$ stands for the $N$-dimensional ball with the same volume of $D$.
It is well-known that $\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*} \geq 0,\left[\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*}\right]_{s, p} \leq\left[\phi_{p}^{s}\right]_{s, p}$ and $\left|\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*}\right|_{1}=\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}$. Therefore, $\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*} /\left|\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*}\right|_{1}$ attains the minimum in (2.5) and by uniqueness we have $\left(\phi_{p}^{s}\right)^{*}=\phi_{p}^{s}$.

It is also well-known that the first eigenfunctions of the fractional $p$-Laplacian belong to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and are either positive or negative almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Moreover, they are scalar multiple each other. So, let us denote by $e_{p}^{s}$ the positive and $L^{\infty}$-normalized first eigenfunction. It follows that $\left|e_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} e_{p}^{s} & =\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)\left(e_{p}^{s}\right)^{p-1} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
e_{p}^{s} & =0 & & \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

meaning that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} e_{p}^{s}, \varphi\right\rangle=\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega}\left(e_{p}^{s}\right)^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, by taking $\varphi=e_{p}^{s}$ in (2.8) we obtain

$$
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} e_{p}^{s}, e_{p}^{s}\right\rangle=\left[e_{p}^{s}\right]_{s, p}^{p}=\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)\left|e_{p}^{s}\right|_{p}^{p}
$$

As mentioned in the introduction, $\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)$ is variationally characterized by (1.2).
Proposition 2.1 Let $u \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ be the weak solution of (2.2) with $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} u \leq \phi_{p}^{s} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) \leq|f|_{\infty}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|u|_{1}}\right)^{p-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $u$ and $\phi_{p}^{s}$ are both equal zero in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}\left(|f|_{\infty}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} u\right), \varphi\right\rangle & =|f|_{\infty}^{-1}\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =|f|_{\infty}^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{f \geq 0} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathrm{d} x \leq\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} \phi_{p}^{s}, \varphi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for every nonnegative $\varphi \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$, (2.9) follows from the comparison principle (see [8, Lemma 9]).
In order to prove (2.10) we use (1.2) and Hölder inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) & \leq \frac{[u]_{s, p}^{p}}{|u|_{p}^{p}} \\
& =\frac{\int_{\Omega} f u \mathrm{~d} x}{|u|_{p}^{p}} \leq \frac{|f|_{\infty}|u|_{1}}{|u|_{p}^{p}} \leq \frac{|f|_{\infty}|u|_{1}}{|u|_{1}^{p}}|\Omega|^{p-1}=\|\left. f\right|_{\infty}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|u|_{1}^{p}}\right)^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.2 It holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{p}^{s} \leq \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \phi_{p}^{s} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}} \leq \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) \leq \frac{|\Omega|^{p-1}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking $u=e_{p}^{s}$ and $f=\lambda_{1, p}(\Omega)\left(e_{p}^{s}\right)^{p-1}$ in (2.9) we readily obtain (2.11). Hence, passing to maxima, we arrive at the first inequality in (2.12). The second inequality, on its turn, follows from (2.10) with $u=\phi_{p}^{s}$ and $f \equiv 1$.

We would like to emphasize the following consequence of (2.11): $\phi_{p}^{s}>0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$. A Faber-Krahn inequality also holds true for the first fractional eigenvalue.

Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 3.5 of [1]) Let $p>1$ and $s \in(0,1)$. For every bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)=|B|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(B) \leq|D|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(D) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is any $N$-dimensional ball and $B_{1}$ denotes the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Remark 2.1 Since $h_{s}(D)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(D)$ one has, immediately,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s}{N}} h_{s}\left(B_{1}\right)=|B|^{\frac{s}{N}} h_{s}(B) \leq|D|^{\frac{s}{N}} h_{s}(D) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next estimate is obtained by applying standard set-level techniques; however, the bounds obtained are adequate to study the asymptotic behavior as $p \rightarrow 1^{+}$.
Proposition 2.2 Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ be a nonnegative, weak solution of (2.2) with $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u|_{\infty}}{|u|_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}}\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)|u|_{\infty}^{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $k>0$ we set

$$
A_{k}=\{x \in \Omega: u(x)>k\}
$$

Since $u \in W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega$, the function

$$
(u-k)^{+}=\max \{u-k, 0\}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
u-k, & \text { if } \quad u>k \\
0, & \text { if } & u \leq k
\end{array}\right.
$$

belongs to $W_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$. Therefore, choosing $\varphi=(u-k)^{+}$in (2.3) we obtain

$$
\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u,(u-k)^{+}\right\rangle=\int_{A_{k}} f(x)(u-k) \mathrm{d} x
$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$
\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p} \leq\left\langle(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u,(u-k)^{+}\right\rangle
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p} \leq \int_{A_{k}}(u-k) f \mathrm{~d} x \leq|f|_{\infty} \int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider $k>0$ such that $\left|A_{k}\right|>0$. In order to estimate $\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p}$ from below, let us fix a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$
\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)\left|A_{k}\right|^{-\frac{s p}{N}} \leq \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(A_{k}\right) \leq \frac{\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p}}{\int_{A_{k}}(u-k)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x}
$$

Hence, Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\left(\int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{p} \leq\left|A_{k}\right|^{p-1} \int_{A_{k}}(u-k)^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\left|A_{k}\right|^{p-1} \frac{\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}}\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}
$$

Thus, it follows from (2.16) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)\left|A_{k}\right|^{-\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{N}}\left(\int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{p} & \leq\left[(u-k)^{+}\right]_{s, p}^{p} \\
& \leq|f|_{\infty} \int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

what yields

$$
\left(\int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{|f|_{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right|^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{N}}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{s p+N(p-1)}} \leq\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}}\left|A_{k}\right| \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
g(k):=\int_{A_{k}}(u-k) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{k}^{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right| \mathrm{d} t
$$

the last equality being a consequence of Cavalieri's principle. Combining the definition of $g(k)$ with (2.17), we have

$$
[g(k)]^{\frac{N(p-1)}{s p+N(p-1)}} \leq-\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}} g^{\prime}(k)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq-\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}}[g(k)]^{-\frac{N(p-1)}{s p+N(p-1)}} g^{\prime}(k) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of (2.18) from 0 to $k$ produces

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & \leq\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}}\left[g(0)^{\frac{s p}{s p+N(p-1)}}-g(k)^{\left.\frac{s p}{s p+N(p-1)}\right]}\right. \\
& \leq\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}}\left(|u|_{1}\right)^{\frac{s p}{s p+N(p-1)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $g(k) \geq 0$ and $g(0)=|u|_{1}$.
Let $c$ denote, just for a moment, the right-hand side of the latter inequality. We have proved that $k \leq c$ whenever $\left|A_{k}\right|>0$. Since $c$ does not depend on $k$ this implies that $\left|A_{k}\right|=0$ for every $k>c$, thus allowing us to conclude that $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and also that $|u|_{\infty} \leq c$. So,

$$
|u|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p+N(p-1)}}|u|_{1}^{\frac{s p}{s p+N(p-1)}}
$$

or, what is the same,

$$
|u|_{\infty}^{1+\frac{N(p-1)}{s p}} \leq\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}}\left(\frac{|f|_{\infty}}{\left|B_{1}\right|^{\frac{s p}{N}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p}}|u|_{1}
$$

from what follows (2.15).
Corollary 2.3 The $(s, p)$-torsion function $\phi_{p}^{s}$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and, in addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \leq \frac{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)}{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first inequality is obvious. Proposition 2.2 with $u=\phi_{p}^{s}$ and $f \equiv 1$ yields

$$
\frac{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}\right)^{\frac{s p+N(p-1)}{s p}}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{s p}}
$$

Now, the second inequality in (2.19) follows from the first inequality in (2.12).
Theorem 2.2 One has

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}}=h_{s}(\Omega)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}}
$$

Proof. Taking (1.4) into account, we have

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)}{\lambda_{1, p}^{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}=\frac{h_{s}(\Omega)}{h_{s}\left(B_{1}\right)} \in(0, \infty) .
$$

Hence, it follows from (2.19) that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}}\left(\frac{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right)^{p-1}=1
$$

Thus, by making $p$ go to 1 in (2.12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega) & \leq \lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{|\Omega|^{p-1}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}} \\
& =\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}^{p-1}} \\
& =\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}}\left(\frac{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right)^{p-1} \lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}}=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \frac{1}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{\infty}^{p-1}} \leq \lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}^{s}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{+}} \lambda_{1, p}(\Omega)=h_{s}(\Omega)$, we are done.
In [1], the authors also proved that

$$
h_{s}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{[v]_{s, 1}^{p}: v \in W_{0}^{s, 1}(\Omega),|v|_{1}=1\right\}
$$

Since $W_{0}^{s, 1}(\Omega)$ is not reflexive, they were able to prove that the minimum $h_{s}(\Omega)$ is attained on the larger Sobolev space

$$
\mathcal{W}_{0}^{s, 1}(\Omega):=\left\{v \in L^{1}(\Omega):[v]_{s, 1}^{p}<\infty, u=0 \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right\}
$$

For completeness, we state the following result on the behavior of the $L^{1}$-normalized family $\left\{\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right\}$ as $p \rightarrow 1$. It corresponds to [1, Theorem 7.2], which was proved for the family $\left\{\frac{e_{p}^{s}}{\left|e_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}\right\}$. Its proof follows the same script and will be omitted.
Theorem 2.3 Let $u_{p}:=\frac{\phi_{p}^{s}}{\left|\phi_{p}^{s}\right|_{1}}$. There exists a sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that $p_{n} \rightarrow 1^{+}$and $u_{p_{n}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$, for every $q<\infty$. The limit function $u$ is a solution of the minimization problem

$$
h_{s}(\Omega)=\min _{v \in \mathcal{W}_{0}^{s, 1}(\Omega)}\left\{[v]_{s, 1}: u \geq 0,|u|_{1}=1\right\}
$$

Moreover, $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \leq|u|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{h_{s}(\Omega)}{h_{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound that appears in the statement of Theorem 7.2 of [1] is

$$
\left[\frac{|B|^{\frac{N-s}{N}}}{P_{s}(B)}\right]^{\frac{N}{s}} h_{s}(\Omega)^{\frac{N}{s}} .
$$

However, it is very simple to check, by applying (2.14), that it is equal to the upper bound in (2.20).
We remark that, once obtained the convergence in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ stated above, the upper bound in (2.20) follows from (2.19). Indeed, since

$$
|u|_{q}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{p_{n}}\right|_{q} \leq|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{q}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{p_{n}}\right|_{\infty}
$$

(2.19) implies that

$$
|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}}|u|_{q} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{p_{n}}\right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{h_{s}(\Omega)}{h_{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s}}
$$

Hence, the upper bound in (2.20) follows, since $|u|_{\infty}=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{q}}|u|_{q}$.
The lower bound in (2.20), which does not appear in the statement of Theorem 7.2 of [1] follows by taking $q=1$, since

$$
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{p_{n}}\right|_{1}=|u|_{1} \leq|u|_{\infty}|\Omega| .
$$

It is interesting to note that, as it happens with the standard $p$-torsion functions, $|u|_{\infty}=|\Omega|^{-1}$ when $\Omega$ is a ball. In fact, in this case (2.14) yields

$$
\frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|}\left(\frac{h_{s}(\Omega)}{h_{s}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{N}{s}}=\frac{1}{|\Omega|}
$$
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