

INTERIOR REGULARITY RESULTS FOR FRACTIONAL ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS THAT DEGENERATE WITH THE GRADIENT

DISSON DOS PRAZERES AND ERWIN TOPP

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain interior regularity estimates for viscosity solutions of nonlocal Dirichlet problems that degenerate when the gradient of the solution vanishes. Interior Hölder estimates are obtained when the order of the fractional diffusion is less or equal than one, and Lipschitz estimates when it is bigger than one. In the latter case, the estimates are robust enough to conclude interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity by an improvement of the flatness procedure, which is possible when the non-local term is close enough to a second-order diffusion.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study interior regularity estimates for viscosity solutions u to nonlinear elliptic problems with the form

$$(1.1) \quad -|Du(x)|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(u, x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B_1,$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $f \in L^\infty(B_1)$, $Du(x)$ stands for the gradient of the unknown function u at x , and $\mathcal{I}(u, x)$ is a nonlocal operator, uniformly elliptic in the sense of Caffarelli and Silvestre [11, 12]. To be more precise, we consider $\sigma \in (0, 2)$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$ and a family of symmetric kernels \mathcal{K}_0 , given by measurable functions $K : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda \frac{C_{\sigma,N}}{|x|^{N+\sigma}} \leq K(x) \leq \Lambda \frac{C_{\sigma,N}}{|x|^{N+\sigma}}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

where $C_{\sigma,N} > 0$ is a normalizing constant to be specified later. For each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and a function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$(1.3) \quad I_K(u, x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [u(y) - u(x)] K(x - y) dy,$$

where P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value of the integral.

Notice that for each K , I_K is a linear operator and it is defined for functions u sufficiently smooth at x and satisfying certain growth conditions at

Date: January 1, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R09, 35R11, 35B65, 35D40.

Key words and phrases. Regularity, Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Nonlocal Operators, Viscosity Solutions.

infinity, namely

$$(1.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|}{1+|y|^\sigma} dy < +\infty.$$

We say that such a function belongs to the class $L^1_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and in particular, bounded functions belong to this class.

In the case $K(x) = C_{N,\sigma}|x|^{-(N+\sigma)}$, the normalizing constant $C_{N,\sigma}$ is taken in such a way $I_K = \Delta^{\sigma/2}$, the fractional Laplacian of order σ , and has the property that $\Delta^{\sigma/2} \rightarrow \Delta$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 2^-$ in an adequate functional framework, see [16]. This stability property is going to be crucial in this note.

Then, for a two-parameter family of kernels $\{K_{ij}\}_{ij} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_0$, the nonlinear operator \mathcal{I} takes the form

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{I}(u, x) = \inf_i \sup_j I_{K_{ij}}(u, x).$$

The main novelty here is the presence of the gradient term $|Du|^\gamma$ in (1.1), since the problem becomes degenerate as soon as the gradient of the solution vanishes. Roughly, the information coming from the equation is missed when the gradient is null.

Equation (1.1) is the nonlocal analog to second-order, fully nonlinear equations with the form

$$(1.6) \quad -|Du|^\gamma F(D^2u) = f \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $F : \mathbb{S}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear operator acting on the set of symmetric matrices \mathbb{S}^N , uniformly elliptic in the sense that there exists constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < +\infty$ such that

$$\lambda \text{Tr}(N) \leq F(M + N) - F(M) \leq \Lambda \text{Tr}(N), \quad \text{for all } M, N \in \mathbb{S}^N, \quad N \geq 0,$$

where the last inequality is understood in the sense of matrices. This equation appears as a fully nonlinear version of equations that degenerate with the gradient, showing a similar degeneracy behavior compared with more studied equations involving, for example, the p -Laplace operator in divergence form, for which regularity results are addressed in the standard weak formulation in Sobolev spaces.

Due to the fully nonlinear nature of the problem (1.6), the viscosity solution's framework is a consistent notion of weak solution to address this problem, see [15]. In this setting, interior regularity results for (1.6) and similar problems have been addressed by several authors, see for instance [7, 8, 17, 18, 10, 2] and references therein. In the first part of this paper, we basically follow the procedure of Birindelli and Demengel developed in a series of papers, see for instance [7, 8, 9], where the authors obtain Hölder and Lipschitz estimates for problems with the degenerate elliptic structure of (1.6) exploiting the nowadays well-known method of Ishii-Lions [19]. In the context of viscosity solutions for nonlocal problems presented in [6], and

adapting to our degenerate case the Ishii-Lions method for nonlocal problems used in [5], we are able to conclude the following interior estimates for (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in L^\infty(B_1)$ and \mathcal{I} an operator with the form (1.5). Let $u \in L_{loc}^\infty \cap L_\sigma^1$ be a viscosity solution to problem (1.1). Then,*

- *If $0 < \sigma < 1$ then $u \in C^\sigma$ and*

$$[u]_{C^\sigma(B_{1/2})} \leq C(\|u\|_{L_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}).$$

- *If $\sigma = 1$, then $u \in C^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and*

$$[u]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq C_\alpha(\|u\|_{L_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}).$$

- *If $1 < \sigma < 2$ then $u \in C^{0,1}$ and*

$$[u]_{C^{0,1}(B_{1/2})} \leq C_0(\|u\|_{L_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}),$$

and the constant C_0 is uniformly bounded as $\sigma \rightarrow 2^-$.

As we mentioned, the proof of this result is a consequence of Ishii-Lions method and elliptic estimates “in the direction of the gradient” already proven by Barles, Chasseigne and Imbert in [5] (for Hölder estimates), and the same authors together with Ciomaga in [4] (for Lipschitz estimates). Roughly speaking, the “doubling variables” method in the Ishii-Lions argument determines a test function with a large gradient, which evaluated on the equation strenghten the ellipticity of the diffusion.

More interesting is the analysis of higher-order regularity. Both equations (1.1) and (1.6) show a strong nonlinear structure that prevents a “linearization” mechanism and a bootstrap procedure that allows to get regularity estimates for the gradient of their solutions. Thus, a different approach is necessary. Related to this, Imbert and Silvestre in [18] obtain interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates for solutions of (1.6) by an improvement of flatness of the solutions. The strategy is based on the study of equicontinuity properties for the auxiliar equation

$$-|p + Du|^\gamma F(D^2u) = f \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

in terms of $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Such an equation appears when it is measured how far is the graph of the solution from an hiperplane around each interior point. Using an itearive method relying on the stability property of viscosity solutions, the authors extract the regularity from the limit equation

$$(1.7) \quad -|Du|^\gamma F(D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

showing the equivalence among it and the equation

$$(1.8) \quad F(D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

for which it is known that interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates hold, see Caffarelli and Cabré [13].

The proof of the equivalence among (1.7) and (1.8) in [18] is intrinsically local, and in fact it is not true in the nonlocal framework, as the following example shows: consider the function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $u(x) = x + 1$ if $x \leq -1$, $u(x) = 0$ if $x \in (-1, 1)$, and $u(x) = x - 1$ if $x \geq 1$. For every $\sigma \in (1, 2)$ and $\gamma > 0$, it is easy to see that u satisfies

$$-|u_x|^\gamma \Delta^{\sigma/2} u = 0 \quad \text{in } (-1, 1),$$

(the fact that u is unbounded here is not important since it belongs to the class L_σ^1), but we have that $x \mapsto \Delta^\sigma u(x)$ is a continuous function in $(-1, 1)$ such that $\Delta^\sigma u(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow -1^+$ and $\Delta^\sigma u(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 1^-$. Despite this example does not imply the lack of interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates for (1.1), it is illustrative about how the nonlocality combined with the gradient degeneracy create difficulties that do not arise in the second-order context.

Nevertheless, we provide a positive answer for interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates for (1.1) when the order of the nonlocal term \mathcal{I} is sufficiently close to 2, following the approximation procedure described in [18] in the spirit of section 8 of [13]. We use the fact that if σ is close to 2, the nonlocal problem (1.1) approximate a second-order equation for which interior $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates are at hand. However, in this procedure we require some extra continuity assumptions on the kernels K defining \mathcal{I} in order to identify the local limit associated to (1.1).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in L^\infty(B_1)$ and \mathcal{I} as in (1.5) defined through a family of kernels $\{K_{ij}\}_{i,j} \subset \mathcal{K}_0$, additionally satisfying the following property: there exist a modulus of continuity ω and a set $\{k_{ij}\}_{i,j} \subset (\lambda, \Lambda)$ such that*

$$(1.9) \quad |K_{ij}(x)|x|^{N+\sigma} - k_{ij}| \leq \omega(|x|), \quad |x| \leq 1.$$

Then, there exists $\sigma_0 \in (1, 2)$ close enough to 2 such that for $\sigma_0 < \sigma < 2$ every bounded viscosity solution u to (1.1) is in $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and

$$[u]_{C^{1,\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \leq C_0(\|u\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty^{\frac{\sigma-1}{1+\gamma}}).$$

As we mentioned above, the proof of this result is performed by approximation to the second-order equation associated to (1.1) as $\sigma \rightarrow 2^-$. By assumption (1.9), this limiting problem takes the form

$$(1.10) \quad -|Du|^\gamma \inf_i \sup_j \left(k_{ij} \text{Tr}(D^2 u) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

for which, as we mentioned above, $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates are proven in [18]. In fact they show that solutions of (1.10) are solutions of

$$\inf_i \sup_j \left(k_{ij} \text{Tr}(D^2 u) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Moreover, solutions of

$$(1.11) \quad -|Du|^\gamma \inf_i \sup_j \left(k_{ij} \text{Tr}(D^2 u) \right) = f(x) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

when $f \in L^\infty(B_1)$ are also $C^{1,\alpha}$. And in this case they ensure that this is the best regularity theory that you can expect even when f is a constant and the second order operator is the Laplacian. The same phenomenon happens with the nonlocal case, since that $u = |x|^{1+\frac{\sigma-1}{1+\gamma}}$ is a solution of

$$-|Du|^\gamma (-\Delta^{\sigma/2}) = C \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

In the spirit of [1] we show that in our case

$$\alpha < \min \left\{ \bar{\alpha}, \frac{\sigma-1}{1+\gamma} \right\}$$

where $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ is the regularity of the gradient of the solutions of (1.10).

Concerning existence and uniqueness, we can start mentioning that comparison principle fails for (1.1). We illustrate this in the homogeneous case $f \equiv 0$: consider a smooth nondecreasing function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 2$, and let $v(x) = \varphi(|x|)$. It is clear that v is a (super)solution to (1.1). For $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, consider a nonnegative smooth function η_ϵ with support in the ball of radius ϵ , such that $\eta_\epsilon(0) > 0$ and $\|\eta_\epsilon\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Then, the function $u := v + \eta_\epsilon$ is a subsolution to (1.1) for ϵ small enough, $u = v$ in B_1^c , but $u(0) > v(0)$. This is in contrast with the second-order counterpart of the problem (namely, (1.7)) as it is shown by Barles and Busca in [3]. This prevents the application of standard Perron's method to conclude existence of continuous viscosity solutions. Moreover, comparison principles have been used in other contexts to conclude equivalence among viscosity and other type of weak solutions, that a posteriori drives to conclusions about regularity, see for instance [20]. In view of the discussion above, this is not possible in our case. Nevertheless, our regularity estimates in Theorem 1.1 are robust enough to get compactness of the family of solutions of the “vanishing viscosity” problem

$$-\epsilon \Delta^{\sigma/2} u - |Du|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(u) = f \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

in order to get existence by stability as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Finally, we mention that our results can be easily extendable to other type of nonlocal equations involving nonsymmetric kernels, and lower order terms, but we prefer to state the results in this setting for simplicity.

Notion of solution and organization of the paper. We introduce the notion of solution we consider for (1.1). We introduce some notation, for a bounded function u , a smooth function φ , and a measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ we write

$$I_K[A](u, \varphi, x) = C_\sigma \text{P.V.} \int_A (u(x+z) - u(x)) K(z) dz.$$

In order to introduce the notion of viscosity solution we are going to use here, for $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$ fixed we consider the auxiliar problem

$$(1.12) \quad -|Du + p|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(u) = f \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Of course, our main equation (1.1) is included in (1.12) with $p = 0$.

Definition 1.3. *An upper semicontinuous function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a viscosity subsolution to (1.12) if for any $x \in B_1$ and every function $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u - \varphi$ attains a local maximum at x and $D\varphi(x) \neq -p$, then*

$$-|D\varphi(x) + p|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, \varphi, x) \leq f(x),$$

where we have denoted

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, \varphi, x) = \inf_i \sup_j \left(I_K[B_\delta](\varphi, D\varphi(x), x) + I_K[B_\delta^c](u, D\varphi(x), x) \right).$$

In an analogous way it is defined supersolution and solution to the problem.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1, which is proven in a slightly more general form in order to get Theorem 1.2 in the subsequent Section 3.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1, stating a slightly stronger result in the case $\sigma > 1$ which is going to be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we recall the auxiliar problem (1.12) and obtain Hölder estimates for their solutions independent of p through the following

Proposition 2.1. *Assume $\sigma \in (1, 2)$. For every $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$, each bounded viscosity solution u to problem (1.12) is Lipschitz continuous, and the Lipschitz constant depends only on $|u|_\infty, |f|_\infty$ and the data, but not on p .*

This result is a direct consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $\sigma \in (1, 2)$. There exists $c_0 > 1$ such that if $|p| \geq c_0$, each bounded viscosity solution to (1.12) is Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant independent of p .*

Proof. Scalling in terms of $|p|$, we consider the equivalent problem

$$(2.1) \quad -|\hat{p} + a_0 Du|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(u) = a_0^\gamma f,$$

where $\hat{p} = p/|p|$ and $a_0 = |p|^{-1}$.

Now, consider a nonnegative, smooth function $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ in $B_{1/2}$ and $\tilde{\psi} = 1$ in $B_{3/4}^c$, and denote $\psi = (\text{osc}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u) + 1)\tilde{\psi}$. We also consider $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ to be fixed small enough, and the function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\varphi(t) = t - t^{1+\alpha}$ for $t \in [0, t_0]$ and $\varphi(t) = \varphi(t_0)$ for all $t > t_0$,

where $t_0 > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small in order to have $\varphi > 0$ in $(0, +\infty)$. Notice that $\varphi \in C^2(0, t_0) \cap C^1[0, t_0]$.

We will prove that there exists a universal constant $\bar{C} > 0$ such that, taking

$$(2.2) \quad L = \bar{C}(|f|_\infty + \text{osc}_{B_1}(u) + 1),$$

then u is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant L .

We consider the function

$$\phi(x, y) := L\varphi(|x - y|) + \psi(y)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(x, y) = u(x) - u(y) - \phi(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By continuity, Φ attains its maximum in $\bar{B}_1 \times \bar{B}_1$ at \bar{x}, \bar{y} . If this maximum is nonpositive, then we have the interior Lipschitz result. By contradiction, we assume that the maximum is strictly positive.

In particular, by taking we have $L\varphi(x - y) \leq \text{osc}_{B_1}(u)$, and therefore, by taking L large in terms of $\text{osc}(u)$, by the Lipschitz continuity of φ we conclude that

$$L|\bar{x} - \bar{y}| \leq \text{osc}_{B_1}(u).$$

On the other hand, by the definition of ψ , we have $\bar{y} \in B_{3/4}$, and by taking L larger (through \bar{C} in (2.2)) if it is necessary, we conclude that $\bar{x} \in B_{7/8}$. Finally, note that $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$.

Then, we write

$$(2.4) \quad \xi_1 = L\varphi'(|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|)\widehat{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}; \quad \xi_2 = \xi_1 - D\psi(\bar{y}),$$

and for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we can use the viscosity inequalities for u at \bar{x} and \bar{y} to write

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{p} + a_0\xi_1|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, \phi(\cdot, \bar{y}), \bar{x}) &\geq a_0^\gamma f(\bar{x}), \\ |\hat{p} + a_0\xi_2|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, -\phi(\bar{x}, \cdot), \bar{y}) &\leq a_0^\gamma f(\bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the notation introduced in (1.13).

Then, we take $a_0 < 1$ small enough in terms of L (which is going to be fixed in terms of $\text{osc}(u)$ and the data, but not on a_0) to conclude that

$$|\hat{p} + a_0\xi_1|, |\hat{p} + a_0\xi_2| \geq 1/2,$$

and dividing by the gradient, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, \phi(\cdot, \bar{y}), \bar{x}) &\geq -C|f|_\infty, \\ \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, -\phi(\bar{x}, \cdot), \bar{y}) &\leq C|f|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$. Then, we subtract these inequalities to get

$$-2C|f|_\infty \leq \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, \phi(\cdot, \bar{y}), \bar{x}) - \mathcal{I}_\delta(u, -\phi(\bar{x}, \cdot), \bar{y}),$$

and from now on we concentrate in the right-hand side. At this point, we follow the arguments presented in [5, 4] and that are nowadays well-known.

For all $|z| \leq 1/8$, we have $\bar{x} + z, \bar{y} + z \in \bar{B}_1$. Then, there exists a kernel K in the family such that

$$(2.5) \quad -C(|f|_\infty + \text{osc}_{B_1}(u) + \|u\|_{L_\sigma^1}) - 1 \leq I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= I_K[B_\delta](\phi(\cdot, \bar{y}), \bar{x}) - I_K[B_\delta](\phi(\bar{x}, \cdot), \bar{y}), \\ I_2 &:= I_K[B_{1/8} \setminus B_\delta](u, \xi_1, \bar{x}) - I_K[B_{1/8} \setminus B_\delta](u, \xi_2, \bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

and the constant $C > 0$ depends on the ellipticity constants.

At this point, denote $e = \bar{x} - \bar{y}$, $\hat{e} = e/|e|$ and

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{C} = \{z \in B_\rho : |\langle \hat{e}, z \rangle| \geq (1 - \eta)|z|\},$$

for constants $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho \in (0, 1/8)$ to be fixed.

Using the maximality of (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , it is possible to get that

$$\begin{aligned} I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](u, \xi_1, \bar{x}) &\leq I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](\varphi, e), \\ I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](u, \xi_2, \bar{y}) &\geq -I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](\varphi, e) - C \text{osc}(u), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is uniformly bounded above and below as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

Again by the maximality of (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , for every set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\bar{x} + z, \bar{y} + z \in \bar{B}_1$, we have

$$I_K[\mathcal{O}](u, \tilde{\varphi}, x) - I_K[\mathcal{O}](u, \tilde{\varphi}, y) \leq I_K[\mathcal{O}](\psi, y) \leq C \Lambda \text{osc}_{B_1}(u),$$

the latter inequality by the smoothness of ψ .

Then, we replace these inequalities in (2.5) to conclude that

$$-C(a_0^\gamma |f|_\infty + \text{osc}_{B_1}(u) + \|u\|_{L_\sigma^1} + 1) \leq 2I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](\varphi, e) + I_1,$$

and at this point we notice that the term $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, meanwhile, by Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have $I_K[\mathcal{C} \setminus B_\delta](\varphi, e) \rightarrow I_K[\mathcal{C}](\varphi, e)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

We make $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and take $\eta = c_1|e|^{2\alpha}$, $\rho = c_1|e|^\alpha$ for some constant $c_1 > 0$ universal. Using the estimates of Corollary 9 in [4], we have that

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{I}[\mathcal{C}](\varphi, e) \leq -cL|e|^{1-\sigma+\alpha(N+2-\sigma)},$$

for some $c > 0$ is an universal constant. We stress on the fact that there exists $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ just depending on N such that $\epsilon_0 \leq c \leq \epsilon_0^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in (1, 2)$.

At this point, we fix $\alpha > 0$ small enough to get

$$-\theta := 1 - \sigma + \alpha(N + 2 - \sigma) \leq (1 - \sigma)/2 < 0.$$

Thus, replacing the estimates above into (2.5) we arrive at

$$-C(|f|_\infty + \text{osc}_{B_1}(u) + \|u\|_{L_\sigma^1} + 1) \leq -cL|e|^{-\theta}$$

Recalling that $|e| \leq L^{-1} \text{osc}_{B_1}(u)$, taking $L \geq \text{osc}(u)$ we have

$$-C(|f|_\infty + \text{osc}_{B_1}(u) + \|u\|_{L_\sigma^1} + 1) \leq -cL,$$

and from here, we arrive at a contradiction with the choice of L in (2.2). This completes the proof. \square

Now we present the result in the case p in (1.12) is small.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\sigma \in (1, 2)$ and let c_0 be as in the previous lemma. If $|p| \leq c_0$, each bounded viscosity solution to (1.12) is Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant independent of p .*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the one of the previous lemma, so we will be sketchy.

We consider the same functions φ, ψ in Lemma 2.2 and argue by contradiction. Thus, there exists a maximum point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in B_1 \times B_1$ for the function (2.3), with $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$.

Then, denoting ξ_1, ξ_2 as in (2.4), we can take L large enough just in terms of $\text{osc}(u)$ and c_0 in order to have

$$|p + \xi_1|, |p + \xi_2| \geq 1.$$

From this point, we follow the same proof above to conclude the result by fixing L adequate. \square

Now we are in position to provide the

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The case $\sigma > 1$ is already proven in Proposition 2.1.

In the case $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, we follow the same procedure as in Lemmas 2.3-2.2 with $p = 0$ and considering the function $\varphi(t) = Lt^\sigma$ in order to get the Hölder profile of the solution. The crucial estimate (2.7) is obtained using the estimates of T_2 in the Step 3 of Theorem 1-(i) in [5]. Similarly for the case $\sigma = 1$, where the same estimates are possible with the function $\varphi(t) = Lt^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

Remark 2.4. *Some of our results in Theorem 1.1 apply to other types of degenerate elliptic nonlocal operators. For instance, Chasseigne and Jakobsen in [14] introduce an interesting nonlocal version of p -Laplace type operators with the form*

$$\Delta_p^{\sigma/2} u(x) = C_\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [u(x + j_p(Du(x))z) - u(x) - \mathbf{1}_B j_p(Du(x)) \cdot z] |z|^{-(N+\sigma)} dz,$$

for $p > 2$ and a jump function $j_p : \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ defined as

$$j_p(q) = |q|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (I_N + r_p \hat{q} \otimes \hat{q}),$$

where $\hat{q} = q/|q|$ for $q \neq 0$ and $r_p > 0$ is a normalizing constant.

The method used in the proof of Theorem (1.1) (or more specifically, of Lemma 2.3) applies to problems with the form

$$\Delta_p^{\sigma/2} u = f \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

in order to get that bounded solutions to this problem are $C_{loc}^\sigma(B_1)$ if $\sigma < 1$, and $C_{loc}^\alpha(B_1)$ for $\alpha < \min\{1, \sigma\}$ if $\sigma \geq 1$. Nevertheless, Lipschitz bounds and equicontinuity results in the sense of Proposition 2.1 are not direct to

adapt due to the localization term driven by ψ in (2.3), and this is why we do not pursue in this direction.

It is interesting to mention that $\Delta_p^{\sigma/2} \rightarrow \Delta_p$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 2^-$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.

As it can be seen in [18] (see Lemma 6 there), equation (1.10) has the particularity that the notion of viscosity solution can be equivalently defined if we require test functions do not have null gradient. Such a property is unavoidable linked to the homogeneity of the problem. This is a crucial property in the following stability result, since solutions to (1.1) request test functions with no-null gradient.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $M > 0$, $\epsilon, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and a modulus of continuity $\omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma_0 \in (1 + \alpha, 2)$ such that, for each $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a viscosity solution u to*

$$-\eta \leq -|Du + p|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_\sigma(u) \leq \eta \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

satisfying the following conditions

- (i) \mathcal{I}_σ is a nonlocal operator as in (1.5) with $\sigma \in (\sigma_0, 2)$ and kernels $\{K_{ij}\}$ satisfying (1.9) with respect to ω ,
- (ii) $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq \omega(|x - y|)$ for $x, y \in \bar{B}_1$,
- (iii) $|u(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^{1+\alpha})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

there exist a solution h to problem (1.10) such that

$$\sup_{B_1} |u - h| \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. By contradiction, we assume there exists $M > 0$, $\epsilon, \alpha \in (0, 1)$, a modulus of continuity ω , and sequences $(p_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $\eta_k \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma_k \rightarrow 2$, and a family of functions $\{u_k\}$ such that (ii), (iii) above holds with $u = u_k$ and solving, in the viscosity sense, the problem

$$-\eta_k \leq -|Du_k + p_k|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_{\sigma_k}(u_k) \leq \eta_k \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

and such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{B_1} |u_k - h| > \epsilon,$$

where h is a solution to (1.10).

However, the uniform continuity condition (ii) together with the uniform integrability condition (iii) allows us to pass to the limit and, up to subsequences, we have u_k converge locally uniformly in B_1 to a solution to (1.10). This is a contradiction to (3.1). \square

The last challenge is iterate the Lemma 3.1 and be able to guarantee that there exists a sequence l_k of first order polynomials that approximate u solution to (1.1) in a suitable way, and at different scales.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\gamma > 0$. There exist $\rho, \alpha, \eta \in (0, 1)$, $\sigma_0 \in (1, 2)$ and $C > 0$ such that, for each continuous viscosity solution u to the problem*

$$(3.2) \quad -\eta \leq -|Du|^\gamma \mathcal{I}_\sigma(u) \leq \eta \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

with $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1$ and $\sigma \in (\sigma_0, 2)$, there exist a sequence $l_k = a_k + p_k x$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{B_{\rho^k}} |u - l_k| \leq \rho^{k(1+\alpha)},$$

such that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} |a_{k+1} - a_k| \leq C\rho^{(1+\alpha)k} \\ |b_{k+1} - b_k| \leq C\rho^{\alpha k}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In what follows, we consider universal constants $\bar{A} > 0, \bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ such that, for all function $h \in C(B_1)$ with $\|h\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq 4$, and satisfying (1.10), then

$$|h(0)|, |Dh(0)| \leq \bar{A}; \quad |h(x) - h(0) - Dh(0)x| \leq \bar{A}|x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}, \quad x \in B_1.$$

This is possible by classical interior $C^{1,\bar{\alpha}}$ estimates, see [13].

By a slight modification of u , we can assume $u(0) = 0$.

We are going to fix $\alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$ such that $\sigma - 1 - \alpha(1 + \gamma) > 0$, and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ small enough in order to have

$$(3.5) \quad \rho^{\bar{\alpha}-\alpha}(1 + \bar{A}) \leq \frac{1}{100}.$$

Taken in such a way, we are going to pick η small enough in order and σ_0 sufficiently close to 2 (this does not affect the choice of α) such that for each solution u to (3.2) satisfying the growth condition $|u(x)| \leq 1 + |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have the existence of a function h solving (1.10) such that $\|u - h\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \rho^{1+\alpha}/2$. This is possible in view of Lemma 3.1 since the equicontinuity property (ii) is fulfilled by the regularity results proven in Theorem 1.1.

We denote $l_0 = 0$, and we are going to construct inductively a sequence of linear functions l_k with the form $l_k x = a_k + p_k x$ where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a sequence of functions $\{w_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ defined as

$$(3.6) \quad w_{k+1}(x) = \frac{u(\rho^k x) - l_k(\rho^k x)}{\rho^{k(1+\alpha)}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It is easy to see that w_k solves

$$-\rho^{\sigma-\alpha\gamma-1-\alpha}\eta \leq -|Dw_k + p_k|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(w_k) \leq \rho^{\sigma-\alpha\gamma-1-\alpha}\eta, \quad \text{in } B_{\rho^{-k-1}},$$

and therefore, taking α small enough and $\rho < 1/4$, in particular we have

$$-\eta \leq -|Dw_k + p_k|^\gamma \mathcal{I}(w_k) \leq \eta, \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

We construct the sequence l_k as follows: once it is proven that

$$(3.7) \quad |w_k(x)| \leq 1 + |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

then by the choice of the parameters above, we have the existence of a function $h_k \in C^{1,\bar{\alpha}}$ solving (1.10) such that $\|w_k - h_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \rho^{1+\alpha}/2$.

Now we explain how to define a_k, p_k in order to have (3.7) inductively. Once w_k is constructed and satisfies (3.7), we consider h_k as above and define $\tilde{a}_k = h_k(0)$ and $\tilde{p}_k = Dh_k(0)$, we write $\tilde{l}_k(x) = \tilde{a}_k + \tilde{p}_k x$ and define

$$(3.8) \quad l_{k+1}(x) = l_k(x) + \rho^{k(1+\alpha)} \tilde{l}_k(\rho^{-k}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

or equivalently

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + \rho^{1+\alpha} \tilde{a}_k; \quad p_{k+1} = p_k + \rho^\alpha \tilde{p}_k.$$

Starting with $w_0 = u$ and $l_0 = 0$, in view of definition (3.6), the sequences $\{w_k\}, \{l_k\}$ are well-defined. Once (3.7) is proven, (3.3) holds directly, and (3.4) holds from (3.8) with $C = \bar{A}$.

Thus, the rest of the proof is focused on an inductive proof for (3.7), assuming it holds for k and proving it for $k+1$. For this, it is direct to check that

$$w_{k+1}(x) = \frac{w_k(\rho x) - \tilde{l}_k(\rho x)}{\rho^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Thus, we see that for $|x|\rho \geq 1/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{k+1}(x)| &\leq \rho^{-(1+\alpha)} (|w_k(\rho x)| + |\tilde{l}_k(\rho x)|) \\ &\leq \rho^{-(1+\alpha)} (1 + \rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}} |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}) + \rho^{-(1+\alpha)} \bar{A} (1 + \rho |x|) \\ &\leq \rho^{\bar{\alpha}-\alpha} (5 + 6\bar{A}) |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the choice of ρ in (3.5), we conclude $|w_{k+1}(x)| \leq |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}$.

In the case $|x|\rho \leq 1/2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{k+1}(x)| &\leq \rho^{-(1+\alpha)} (|w_k(\rho x) - h_k(\rho x)| + |h_k(\rho x) - \tilde{l}_k(\rho x)|) \\ &\leq \rho^{-(1+\alpha)} (\rho^{1+\alpha}/2 + \bar{A} |\rho x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &\leq 1/2 + \bar{A} \rho^{\bar{\alpha}-\alpha} |x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

and again by (3.5), we conclude the result. \square

Now we are in position to provide the

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

First we consider

$$\bar{u} = \frac{u}{\|u\|_\infty + (\eta^{-1} \|f\|_\infty^{\frac{\sigma-1}{1+\gamma}})}$$

so $\|\bar{u}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\|f\|_\infty \leq \eta$ attending the assumptions of the Proposition 3.2. Now we will prove that there exists a first order polynomial $l_\infty = a_\infty + p_\infty x$ such that

$$|\bar{u}(x) - l_\infty(x)| \leq C_0 |x|^{1+\alpha}.$$

By (3.4) we have that $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are Cauchy sequences then $a_k \rightarrow a_\infty$ and $p_k \rightarrow p_\infty$. Moreover

$$|l_\infty - l_k| \leq \sum_k^\infty |l_{k+1} - l_k| \leq C\rho^{(1+\alpha)k}.$$

Finally we take $|x| \leq 1$ and k such that $\rho^{k+1} \leq |x| < \rho^k$, so

$$|\bar{u} - l_\infty| \leq |l_\infty - l_k| + |u - l_k| \leq (1 + C)\rho^{(1+\alpha)k},$$

then

$$|\bar{u} - l_\infty| \leq \frac{(1 + C)}{\rho^{1+\alpha}} |x|^{1+\alpha}.$$

By the Campanato theory the above estimate assure us that

$$[\bar{u}]_{C^{1+\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \leq C_0$$

therefore

$$[u]_{C^{1+\alpha}(B_{1/2})} \leq C_0(\|u\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty^{\frac{\sigma-1}{1+\gamma}}).$$

□

Acknowledgements: DP was partially supported by Capes-Fapitec and CNPq. E.T. was partially supported by Conicyt PIA Grant No. 79150056, Fondecyt Iniciación Grant No. 11160817. Both authors are thankful to Departamento de Matemática de UFS, and DMCC-Usach for the hospitality of their respective visits to these centers, which were also supported by Promob/Capes-Fapitec, Apoyo a Asistencia de Eventos Dicyt-Usach, Fondecyt and CNPq.

REFERENCES

- [1] Araújo, D. J. , Ricarte, G., and Teixeira, E. V. *Geometric gradient estimates for solutions to degenerate elliptic equations*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 53 (2015), no. 3-4, 605-625.
- [2] Attouchi, A. and Ruosteenoja, E. *Remarks on regularity for p -Laplacian type equations in non-divergence form*. J. Differential Equations 265 (2018) 1922-1961.
- [3] Barles, G. and Busca, J. *Existence and comparison results for fully nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations without zeroth-order term*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, Volume 26, Issue 11-12 (2001) 2323-2337.
- [4] Barles, G., Chasseigne, E., Ciomaga, A. and Imbert, C. *Lipschitz Regularity of Solutions for Mixed Integro-Differential Equations*. J. Diff. Eq., 252 (2012), 6012-6060.
- [5] Barles, G., Chasseigne, E. and Imbert, C. *Hölder Continuity of Solutions of Second-Order NonLinear Elliptic Integro-Differential Equations*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 13 (2011), no. 1, 1-26
- [6] Barles, G. and Imbert, C. *Second-order Elliptic Integro-Differential Equations: Viscosity Solutions' Theory Revisited*. IHP Anal. Non Linéaire, Vol. 25 (2008) no. 3, 567-585.
- [7] I. Birindelli and F. Demengel, Comparison principle and Liouville type results for singular fully nonlinear operators, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **13** (2004), no. 2, 261–287.
- [8] I. Birindelli and F. Demengel, Regularity for radial solutions of degenerate fully nonlinear equations, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), no. 17, 6237–6249.

- [9] Birindelli, I., and Demengel, F. $C^{1,\beta}$ regularity for Dirichlet problems associated to fully nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **20** (4) (2014) 1009-1024.
- [10] G. Dávila, P. Felmer and A. Quaas, Harnack inequality for singular fully nonlinear operators and some existence results, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **39** (2010), no. 3-4, 557–578.
- [11] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62** (2009), no. 5, 597–638.
- [12] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, Regularity results for nonlocal equations by approximation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **200** (2011), no. 1, 59–88.
- [13] L. A. Caffarelli and X. Cabré, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [14] Chasseigne, E. and Jakobsen, E. *On nonlocal quasilinear equations and their local limits*. *J. Differential Equations* **262** (2017), no. 6, 3759-3804.
- [15] Crandall, M.G., Ishii H. and Lions, P.-L. *User's Guide to Viscosity Solutions of Second Order Partial Differential Equations*. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, Vol. 27 (1992), no. 1, 1-67.
- [16] Di Neza, E., Palatucci, G. and Valdinoci, E. *Hitchhiker's Guide to the Fractional Sobolev Spaces*. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136, (2012), no. 5, 521-573.
- [17] C. Imbert, Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate and Harnack inequality for degenerate/singular fully non-linear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* **250** (2011), no. 3, 1553–1574.
- [18] C. Imbert and L. Silvestre, $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of solutions of some degenerate fully non-linear elliptic equations, *Adv. Math.* **233** (2013), 196–206.
- [19] Ishii, H. and Lions, P.L. *Viscosity Solutions of Fully Nonlinear Second-Order Elliptic Partial Differential Equations* *J. Differential Equations*, 83(1) 26-78, 1990.
- [20] Juutinen, P., Lindqvist, P., and Manfredi, J.J. *On the equivalence of viscosity solutions and weak solutions for a quasilinear equation*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (3) (2001) 699-717.

DISSON DOS PRAZERES: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA , UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SERGIPE, SALA 19, SO CRISTVO-SE, BRAZIL.
 disson@mat.ufs.br

ERWIN TOPP: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y C.C., UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE CHILE, CASILLA 307, SANTIAGO, CHILE.
 erwin.topp@usach.cl