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#### Abstract

We develop a new real-variable method for weighted $L^{p}$ estimates. The method is applied to the study of weighted $W^{1,2}$ estimates in Lipschitz domains for weak solutions of secondorder elliptic systems in divergence form with bounded measurable coefficients. It produces a necessary and sufficient condition, which depends on the weight function, for the weighted $W^{1,2}$ estimate to hold in a fixed Lipschitz domain with a given weight. Using this condition, for elliptic systems in Lipschitz domains with rapidly oscillating, periodic and VMO coefficients, we reduce the problem of weighted estimates to the case of constant coefficients.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in weighted $L^{2}$ estimates for the Dirichlet problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\operatorname{div}(f) & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{\varepsilon} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Throughout we assume that the matrix (tensor) $A(y)=\left(a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(y)\right)$, with $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m$, is real-valued and satisfies the ellipticity condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu|\xi|^{2} \leq a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(y) \xi_{i}^{\alpha} \xi_{j}^{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad\|A\|_{\infty} \leq \mu^{-1}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the summation convention is used), for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all $\xi=\left(\xi_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, where $\mu>0$. We also assume that $A$ is 1-periodic; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(y+z)=A(y) \quad \text { for } y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \text { and } z \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the energy estimate, $\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \mu^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$. It was shown in [20, 21] that if $A$ is continuous (or in $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) and $\Omega$ is a bounded $C^{1}$ domain, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<p<\infty$, where $C_{p}$ depends on $d, m, p, A$ and $\Omega$ (see [5, 6] for the case $\varepsilon=1$ ). In fact, given an exponent $p>2$, an elliptic matrix $A$ and a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the $W^{1, p}$ estimate $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{p}$ for the Dirichlet problem (1.6) was

[^0]found by the present author in [17]. This condition is given in terms of a (weak) reverse Hölder inequality for local solutions of $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$. Consequently, for the scalar case $m=1$, it was proved in [20] that if $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and is symmetric and $\Omega$ is Lipschitz, then (1.4) holds for $(3 / 2)-\gamma<p<3+\gamma$ if $d \geq 3$, and for $(4 / 3)-\gamma<p<4+\gamma$ if $d=2$, where $\gamma>0$ depends on $\Omega$. The ranges of $p$ 's are known to be sharp for Lipschitz domains, even in the case of the Laplacian [13]. For $m \geq 2$, partial results may be found in [12]. Also see related work in [11] for the Neumann problem.

In this paper we investigate the weighted $L^{2}$ estimate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq C_{\omega} \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for solutions of (1.1). Using results in [17, 21], it is not hard to see that if $A$ is in $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded $C^{1}$ domain, then the inequality (1.5) holds if either $\omega$ or $\omega^{-1}$ is an $A_{1}$ weight. We point out that the weighted $L^{2}$ estimate is closely related to the $W^{1, p}$ estimate (1.4). In particular, for a given $A$ and a fixed $\Omega$, if (1.5) holds for all $\omega$ in the $A_{1}$ class, then (1.4) holds for all $2<p<\infty$, by a general extrapolation result of Rubio de Francia (see e.g. [8). In view of this close connection, we shall not be interested in conditions for which the weighted estimate (1.5) holds for all weights in the $A_{1}$ class. In fact, such conditions may be found in [17, 4]. Rather, in this paper, we shall address the question: Given an $A_{1}$ weight $\omega$, an elliptic matrix $A$ and a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega$, find a necessary and sufficient condition, which may depend on $\omega, \Omega$ and $A$, for the weighted norm inequality (1.5).

The following two theorems are the main results of the paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $A$ a matrix satisfying the ellipticity condition (1.2). The following are equivalent.

1. For any $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, the weak solution in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the Dirichlet problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f) \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the estimate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \leq C_{1} \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, where either $4 B \subset \Omega$, or $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and $0<r<c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. Let $u \in$ $H^{1}(4 B \cap \Omega)$ be a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$ with $u=0$ on $4 B \cap \partial \Omega$ (in the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ ). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \leq C_{2} f_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x f_{B} \omega . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $A$ a matrix satisfying (1.2), (1.3) and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Suppose that the inequality (1.7) holds for weak solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of $-\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ in $\Omega$, where $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and the constant matrix $\bar{A}$ is either the homogenized matrix of $A$ or obtained from $A$ by averaging over a ball. Then the weighted inequality (1.5) holds, uniformly in $\varepsilon>0$, for any weak solution of (1.1).

For any fixed $A_{1}$ weight $\omega$, any fixed Lipschitz domain $\Omega$ and any given elliptic matrix $A$, Theorem 1.1 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the weighted norm inequality (1.7). To the author's best knowledge, this condition (1.8), which depends on the weight $\omega$, is new even
for the Laplacian. Theorem [1.2 reduces the weighted estimate for the elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}=$ $-\operatorname{div}(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla)$ with rapidly oscillating coefficients to the same estimate for elliptic operators with constant coefficients. By combining these two theorems we see that, to establish the weighted $L^{2}$ estimate (1.5) for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$, it suffices to verify the condition (1.8) for local solutions of $\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla u)=0$, where $\bar{A}$ is either the homogenized matrix of $A$ or obtained from $A$ by averaging over a ball.

One of our motivations for studying (1.5) lies in a special case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{\sigma}(x)=[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\omega_{\sigma} \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if $-1<\sigma \leq 0$ and $\Omega$ is Lipschitz. The weighted inequality (1.5) for this special case arises in the study of the quantitative homogenization theory and provides useful estimates for boundary layers [15] as well as control of solutions at infinite for unbounded domains [23]. As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Suppose that A satisfies conditions (1.2)-(1.3) and that $A \in \mathrm{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. In the case $m \geq 2$ we also assume that $A^{*}=A$, i.e., $a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}=a_{j i}^{\beta \alpha}$. Let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be a weak solution of (1.1). Then for any $-1<\sigma<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \leq C_{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\sigma}$ depends only on $d, m, A, \sigma$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
Remark 1.4. Consider the scalar case $m=1$. It follows from [17, 20] that if $A$ is in $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\Omega$ is Lipschitz, then (1.5) holds for $\omega=(\widetilde{\omega})^{\sigma}$, where $\widetilde{\omega} \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $|\sigma|<\frac{1}{3}+\gamma$ for $d \geq 3$, and $|\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}+\gamma$ for $d=2$, where $\gamma>0$ depends on $\Omega$. The ranges of $\sigma$ are sharp for Lipschitz domains. This result would only yield (1.10) for $|\sigma|<\frac{1}{3}+\gamma$ if $d \geq 3$, and for $|\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}+\gamma$ if $d=2$. Thus, even though the weighted inequality (1.5) may not be true for all weights in the $A_{1}$ class, the inequality (1.10) still holds in Lipschitz domains for the full range of possible $\sigma$ 's. We also note that without any smoothness and periodicity conditions on $A$, (1.10) holds for $|\sigma|<\kappa$, where $\kappa>0$ depends on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$. See Theorem 7.2.

Our approach to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is based on a new real-variable method for establishing weighted $L^{2}$ estimates. In [17, 18, 19] we developed a real-variable method for establishing $L^{p}$ estimates (also see related work in [3). The method, which is originated in [7] (also see [24, 6]), is particularly effective in the non-smooth settings, where the $L^{p}$ estimates are expected only for $p$ in some limited ranges. The basic idea is that to prove the $L^{p}$ estimate for a function $F$, where $p>2$, for each small ball $B$, one decomposes $F$ into two parts, $F_{B}$ and $R_{B}$, both depending on $B$. For $F_{B}$, one establishes an $L^{2}$ estimate with a small parameter $\eta$ for the term involving $F$. For $R_{B}$ one proves an $L^{q}$ estimate for some $q>p$. We point out that the proof is based on a good- $\lambda$ inequality. As such, there is a direct extension of this method to the weighted setting, which has been exploited in [17, 4]. The main novelty of this paper is that instead of requiring an $L^{q}$ estimate with $q>p$ for the function $R_{B}$, we require $R_{B}$ to satisfy a weighted estimate in $L^{p_{1}}$ for some $p_{1}>2$. See Theorems 2.1 and 4.1. As a result, instead of conditions for weighted estimates for a whole class of weights, our conditions for weighted estimates are for each individual weight $\omega$. In particular, we remark that the result in Theorem 1.3 does not seem to be accessible by the methods used in [17, 4]. We expect this insight to be useful in other related problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present a general real-variable method, described above, for weighted $L^{p}$ estimates, where $0<p<\infty$. See Theorem [2.1. In Section 3 we
apply the real-variable method in Section 2 to sublinear operators in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, including linear operators of Calderón-Zygmund type. In Section 4 we use a boundary version of Theorem 2.1 for a Lipschitz domain to prove Theorem 1.1. Sections 5 and 6 contain the proof of Theorem 1.2. We point out that the small parameter $\eta$ in Theorems 2.1 and 4.1 is particularly useful for perturbation arguments. For local estimates $(\varepsilon=1)$, as in the study of $W^{1, p}$ estimates, a perturbation argument reduces the case of VMO coefficients to the case of constant coefficients. See Section 5. For large-scale estimates in homogenization, a similar perturbation with the use of the parameter $\eta$ as well as convergence rates allows us to reduce the problem to the same estimates for the homogenized operator. See Section 6. Finally, Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 7. We remark that the periodic structure of $A$ is not essential for Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, as long as $|\nabla \chi| \in L_{\text {loc }}^{q}$ uniformly for any $q>2$, where $\chi$ denotes the corrector.

We will use $C$ and $c$ to denote constants that may depend on $d, m, \mu$, and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$. If a constant also depends on other parameters, it will be stated explicitly. We use $f_{E} u$ to denote the average of $u$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure, over the set $E$; i.e.

$$
f_{E} u=\frac{1}{|E|} \int_{E} u .
$$

## 2 A real-variable method for weighted estimates

We begin with a brief review of $A_{p}$ weights and refer the reader to 8 for a detailed presentation. For $1<p<\infty$, a nonnegative function $\omega \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is called an $A_{p}$ weight, denoted by $\omega \in A_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, if there exists a constant $C_{\omega} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B} \omega \cdot\left(f_{B} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{p-1} \leq C_{\omega} \quad \text { for any ball } B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $p=1$, the condition (2.1) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B} \omega \leq C_{\omega} \inf _{B} \omega \quad \text { for any ball } B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to the smallest $C_{\omega}$ for which (2.1) (or (2.2) for $p=1$ ) holds as the $A_{p}$ constant of $\omega$. It follows by Hölder's inequality that $A_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset A_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if $p<q$. It is also known that if $\omega \in A_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $q>1$, then $\omega \in A_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $p<q$ ( $p$ depends on $\omega$ ). A function $\omega$ is called an $A_{\infty}$ weight if it is an $A_{p}$ weight for some $p \geq 1$. An $A_{\infty}$ weight satisfies the doubling condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(2 B) \leq C \omega(B) \quad \text { for any ball } B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the notation $\omega(E)=\int_{E} \omega$. Moreover, if $\omega$ is an $A_{\infty}$ weight, then there exist $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(B)} \leq C\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^{\sigma} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E \subset B$ is measurable and $B$ is a ball, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B} \omega^{1+\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\sigma}} \leq C f_{B} \omega . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the doubling condition, it is not hard to see that balls $B$ in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) may be replaced by cubes $Q$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $0<p_{0}<p<p_{1}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $A_{p / p_{0}}$ weight in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $F \in L^{p}\left(4 B_{0}\right)$ and $f \in L^{p}\left(4 B_{0}\right)$, where $B_{0}$ is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Suppose that for each ball $B \subset 2 B_{0}$ with $|B| \leq c_{1}\left|B_{0}\right|$, there exist two functions $F_{B}$ and $R_{B}$, defined on $2 B$, such that $|F| \leq\left|F_{B}\right|+\left|R_{B}\right|$ on $2 B$, and that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f_{2 B}\left|F_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \leq N_{1} \sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\eta\left(f_{100 B}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}  \tag{2.6}\\
\left(f_{2 B}\left|R_{B}\right|^{p_{1}} \omega d x\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \leq N_{2}\left\{\left(f_{100 B}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N_{1}, N_{2}>1,0<c_{1}<1$, and $\eta \geq 0$. Then there exists $\eta_{0}>0$, depending only on $d$, $p_{0}, p_{1}$, $p, c_{1}, N_{2}$, and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$, with the property that if $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B_{0}}|F|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, p_{0}, p_{1}, p, c_{1}, N_{1}, N_{2}$, and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$.
For the most part, the argument for Theorem 2.1 is parallel to that for Theorem 3.1 in [17]. It starts with a Calderón-Zgymund decomposition given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $Q$ be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Suppose that $E \subset Q$ is open and $|E|<2^{-d}|Q|$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ of disjoint dyadic subcubes of $Q$ such that,

1. $Q_{k} \subset E$;
2. the dyadic parent of $Q_{k}$ in $Q$ is not contained in $E$;
3. $\left|E \backslash \cup_{k} Q_{k}\right|=0$.

Proof. See e.g. [22, p.75].
For $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{B}(f)(x)=\sup \left\{f_{B^{\prime}}|f|: x \in B^{\prime} \text { and } B^{\prime} \subset B\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in B$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left\{x \in B: \mathcal{M}_{B}(f)(x)>\lambda\right\} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{B}|f| \omega d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\lambda>0$, where $C$ depends only on $d$ and $C_{\omega}$ in (2.2). If $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\mathcal{M}_{B}(f)\right|^{p} \omega d x \leq C \int_{B}|f|^{p} \omega d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends at most on $d, p$ and $C_{\omega}$ in (2.1).
Proof. Observe that $\mathcal{M}_{B}(f) \leq \mathcal{M}\left(f \chi_{B}\right)$ on $B$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. As a result, (2.10) and (2.11) follow from the standard weighted norm inequalities for the operator $\mathcal{M}$ with $A_{p}$ weights. See e.g. 8].

Proof of Theorem 2.1, Let $Q_{0}$ be a cube such that $2 Q_{0} \subset 2 B_{0}$ and $\left|Q_{0}\right| \approx\left|B_{0}\right|$. We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{Q_{0}}|F|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (2.8) follows from (2.12) by a simple covering argument.
For $t>0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>t\right\} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that if $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}>0$ is sufficiently small, then it is possible to choose three constants $\theta, \gamma \in(0,1)$ and $C_{0}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(E\left(\theta^{-p_{0} / p} t\right)\right) \leq(\theta / 2) \omega(E(t))+\omega\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>\gamma t\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=C_{0} f_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the constants $\eta_{0}, \theta$ and $C_{0}$ depend at most on $d, p_{0}, p_{1}, p, c_{1}, N_{2}$, and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$. The constant $\gamma$ also depends on $N_{1}$.

Assume (2.14) for a moment. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega\left(E\left(\theta^{-p_{0} / p} t\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)>\gamma t\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $T>t_{0}$. By a change of variables we obtain

$$
\theta \int_{\theta^{-\frac{p}{p_{0}}} t_{0}}^{\theta^{-\frac{p}{p_{0}}} T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t+C \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega d x
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\theta^{-\frac{p}{\theta_{0}}} t_{0}}^{\theta^{-\frac{p}{p_{0}}} T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{0}^{\theta^{-\frac{p}{p_{0}}} t_{0}} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t+C \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega d x
$$

This leads to

$$
\int_{0}^{\theta^{-\frac{p}{p_{0}}} T} t^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}-1} \omega(E(t)) d t \leq C t_{0}^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}} \omega\left(Q_{0}\right)+C \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega d x .
$$

By letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega d x & \leq C t_{0}^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}} \omega\left(Q_{0}\right)+C \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega d x \\
& \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{p / p_{0}} \omega\left(B_{0}\right)+C \int_{4 B_{0}}|f|^{p} \omega d x \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.15), the assumption $\omega \in A_{p / p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and (2.11) with $p=\frac{p}{p_{0}}>1$ for the last inequality. The inequality (2.12) now follows readily from (2.16).

To see (2.14), we first note that

$$
|E(t)| \leq \frac{C_{d}}{t} \int_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}} d x<\theta\left|Q_{0}\right|
$$

if $t>t_{0}$, where $t_{0}$ is given by (2.15) with

$$
C_{0}=2 \theta^{-1} C_{d}\left|4 B_{0}\right| /\left|Q_{0}\right|
$$

and $\theta \in\left(0,2^{-d}\right)$ is a small constant to be determined. Fix $t>t_{0}$. Since $E(t)$ is open in $Q_{0}$, by Lemma [2.2, there exists a sequence $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ of non-overlapping maximal dyadic subcubes of $Q_{0}$ such that

$$
\cup_{k} Q_{k} \subset E(t) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|E(t) \backslash \cup_{k} Q_{k}\right|=0 .
$$

By choosing $\theta$ small, we may assume that $\left|Q_{k}\right| \leq c_{1}\left|Q_{0}\right|$. We shall show that if $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}>0$ is sufficiently small, then one may choose $\theta, \gamma \in\left(0,2^{-d}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(E\left(\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t\right) \cap Q_{k}\right) \leq(\theta / 2) \omega\left(Q_{k}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k} \cap\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|f|^{p_{0}}\right)(x) \leq \gamma t\right\} \neq \emptyset . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to see that this yields (2.14).
Finally, to prove (2.17), we use the observation that for any $x \in Q_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)(x) \leq \max \left(\mathcal{M}_{2 B_{k}}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)(x), C_{d} t\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}$ is the smallest ball containing $Q_{k}$ and $C_{d}$ depends only on $d$. It follows that if $\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}}>C_{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(E\left(\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t\right) \cap Q_{k}\right) \leq & \omega\left\{x \in Q_{k}: \mathcal{M}_{2 B_{k}}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t\right\} \\
\leq & \omega\left\{x \in Q_{k}: \mathcal{M}_{2 B_{k}}\left(\left|F_{B_{k}}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>\frac{\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t}{2^{p_{0}+1}}\right\} \\
& +\omega\left\{x \in Q_{k}: \mathcal{M}_{2 B_{k}}\left(\left|R_{B_{k}}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>\frac{\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t}{2^{p_{0}+1}}\right\} \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To bound $I_{1}$, we let

$$
E_{k}=\left\{x \in Q_{k}: \mathcal{M}_{2 B_{k}}\left(\left|F_{B_{k}}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>\frac{\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t}{2^{p_{0}+1}}\right\} .
$$

By using (2.10) with $\omega=1$, the assumption (2.6) and (2.18), wee see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E_{k}\right| & \leq \frac{C \theta^{\frac{p_{0}}{p}}\left|Q_{k}\right|}{t} f_{2 B_{k}}\left|F_{B_{k}}\right|^{p_{0}} d x \\
& \leq C \theta^{\frac{p_{0}}{p}}\left(N_{1}^{p_{0}} \gamma+\eta^{p_{0}}\right)\left|Q_{k}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d$ and $p_{0}$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\omega\left(E_{k}\right)}{\omega\left(Q_{k}\right)} & \leq C\left(\frac{\left|E_{k}\right|}{\left|Q_{k}\right|}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& \leq C \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}} N_{1}^{p_{0} \sigma} \gamma^{\sigma}+C \eta^{p_{0} \sigma} \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $C>0$ depend only on $d, p_{0}$ and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq\left\{C \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}} N_{1}^{p_{0} \sigma} \gamma^{\sigma}+C \eta^{p_{0} \sigma} \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}}\right\} \omega\left(Q_{k}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $I_{2}$, we use (2.11) with $p=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}}>1$ and the assumption (2.7) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq C\left(\frac{\theta^{\frac{p_{0}}{p}}}{t}\right)^{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}}} f_{2 B_{k}}\left|R_{B_{k}}\right|^{p_{1}} \omega d x\left|Q_{k}\right| \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{\theta^{\frac{p_{0}}{p}}}{t}\right)^{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}}}\left(N_{2}^{p_{1}} t^{p_{1} / p_{0}}+\gamma^{p_{1} / p_{0}} t^{p_{1} / p_{0}}\right) \omega\left(Q_{k}\right) \\
& \leq C \theta^{\frac{p_{1}}{p}} N_{2}^{p_{1}} \omega\left(Q_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, p_{0}, p_{1}$ and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$. This, together with (2.20), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(E\left(\theta^{-\frac{p_{0}}{p}} t\right) \cap Q_{k}\right) \leq\left(C \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}} N_{1}^{p_{0} \sigma} \gamma^{\sigma}+C \eta^{p_{0} \sigma} \theta^{\frac{p_{0} \sigma}{p}}+C \theta^{\frac{p_{1}}{p}} N_{2}^{p_{1}}\right) \omega\left(Q_{k}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ and $\sigma>0$ depend at most on $d, p_{0}, p_{1}, p$ and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant $C_{\omega}$ in (2.1). To conclude the proof, we choose $\theta \in\left(0,2^{-d}\right)$ so small that

$$
C \theta^{\frac{p_{1}}{p}} N_{2}^{p_{1}}<(1 / 6) \theta
$$

This is possible since $p_{1}>p$. With $\theta$ chosen, we choose $\gamma>0$ so small that

$$
C \theta^{\frac{\sigma p_{0}}{p}} N_{1}^{p_{0} \sigma} \gamma^{\sigma} \leq(1 / 6) \theta
$$

Finally, we choose $\eta_{0}>0$ so that

$$
C \eta_{0}^{p_{0} \sigma} \theta^{\frac{p_{0} \sigma}{p}} \leq(1 / 6) \theta .
$$

It follows that if $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$, then (2.17 holds. We note that the small positive constants $\theta$ and $\eta_{0}$ depend at most on $d, c_{1}, p_{0}, p_{1}, p, N_{2}$ and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant for the weight $\omega$, and that $\gamma$ also depends on $N_{1}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.4. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon}(f)(x)=\sup \left\{f_{B^{\prime}}|f|: x \in B^{\prime}=B(y, r) \text { and } r \geq \varepsilon,\right\} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x \in B$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{B}^{\varepsilon}(f)(x)=\sup \left\{f_{B^{\prime}}|f|: x \in B^{\prime}=B(y, r) \subset B \text { and } r \geq \varepsilon\right\} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E_{\varepsilon}(t)$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem [2.1, but $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t)=\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)(x)>t\right\} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $Q$ is a cube and $Q \subset E_{\varepsilon}(t)$, then $|Q| \geq c_{d} \varepsilon^{d}$, where $c_{d}$ depends only on $d$. It follows that the sequence $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 satisfies $\left|Q_{k}\right| \geq c_{d} \varepsilon^{d}$. Since $Q_{k} \subset B_{k}$, we also
obtain $\left|B_{k}\right| \geq c_{d} \varepsilon^{d}$. Consequently, if the conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are only satisfied by balls $B$ with radius $r \geq \varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{B_{0}}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(|F|^{p_{0}}\right)\right\}^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}} \omega\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{2.25}\\
& \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. This inequality will be used for large-scale estimates in homogenization in Section 6. We mention that in the case of $L^{p}$ estimates, a similar observation was made in [1].

## 3 Weighted $L^{p}$ estimates in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$

In this section we use Theorem [2.1] to establish weighted norm inequalities for operators in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. An operator $T$ is called sublinear if there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(f+g)| \leq K\{|T(f)|+|T(g)|\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to denote the space of bounded measurable functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
The following theorem may be regarded as the weighted version of Theorem 3.1 in [17. We emphasize that the condition (3.2) and hence the conclusion (3.3) are for an individual weight.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T$ be a sublinear operator in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $0<p_{0}<p<p_{1}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $A_{p / p_{0}}$ weight. Suppose that

1. $T$ is bounded on $L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\|T\|_{L^{p_{0}} \rightarrow L^{p_{0}}} \leq C_{0}$;
2. for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and any $g \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash 4 B$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B}|T(g)|^{p_{1}} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \leq N\left\{\left(f_{3 B}|T(g)|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\sup _{B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|g|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $f \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|T(f)|^{p} \omega d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|^{p} \omega d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, K, p_{0}, p_{1}, p, C_{0}, N$, and the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$.
Proof. Let $f \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Fix $B_{0}=B(0, R)$, where $R>1$ is so large that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B(0, R / 4)$. We apply Theorem 2.1 with $f$ and $F=T(f)$. For each ball $B \subset 2 B_{0}$ with $|B| \leq c_{d}\left|B_{0}\right|$, we define

$$
F_{B}=K T\left(f \varphi_{B}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad R_{B}=K T\left(f\left(1-\varphi_{B}\right)\right),
$$

where $\varphi_{B} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(9 B)$ satisfies $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi_{B}=1$ on $8 B$. Note that by (3.1), $|F| \leq\left|F_{B}\right|+\left|R_{B}\right|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2 B}\left|F_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} & =K\left(\frac{1}{|2 B|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|T\left(f \varphi_{B}\right)\right|^{p_{0}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{9 B}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the boundedness of $T$ on $L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for the last inequality. Next, since $f\left(1-\varphi_{B}\right)=$ 0 in $8 B$, it follows from the assumption (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2 B}\left|R_{B}\right|^{p_{1}} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C\left\{\left(f_{6 B}\left|R_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{2 B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(f_{6 B}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact $\left|R_{B}\right| \leq K\left(|F|+\left|F_{B}\right|\right)$ and the boundedness of $T$ on $L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Thus we have verified the conditions in Theorem [2.1] with $\eta=0$, which yields

$$
\left(f_{B_{0}}|T(f)|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0}}|T(f)|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{0}}|T(f)|^{p} \omega d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|^{p} \omega d x+C\left|B_{0}\right|^{1-\frac{p}{p_{0}}}\|T(f)\|_{L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} f_{B_{0}} \omega . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we note that the condition $\omega \in A_{p / p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ implies $\omega \in A_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $1<q<\frac{p}{p_{0}}$. It follows that

$$
f_{B_{0}} \omega \leq C\left|B_{0}\right|^{q-1}\left(\int_{B_{0}} \omega^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}\right)^{1-q} \leq C_{\omega}\left|B_{0}\right|^{q-1}
$$

where $C_{\omega}$ depends on $\omega$. Therefore, by letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we obtain (3.3).
Remark 3.2. If $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, then it satisfies the condition (3.2). Indeed, suppose

$$
T(f)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} K(x, y) f(y) d y
$$

for $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$, where $K(x, y)$ satisfies the condition

$$
|K(x+h, y)-K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C|h|^{\sigma}}{|x-y|^{d+\sigma}}
$$

for any $x, y, h \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $|h|<(1 / 2)|x-y|$, where $\sigma \in(0,1]$. Let $g \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash 4 B$. Then

$$
\|T(g)\|_{L^{\infty}(B)} \leq f_{B}|T(g)|+C \sup _{B^{\prime} \supset B} f_{B^{\prime}}|g|,
$$

which shows that (3.3) holds for any $1 \leq p_{0}<p_{1}<\infty$. Thus, if $T$ is bounded on $L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $1<p_{0}<\infty$, it is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \omega d x\right)$ for any $p_{0}<p<\infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p / p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Consider the elliptic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{d}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\left(a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)\right)$ satisfies the ellipticity condition (1.2). Given $f \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, there exists $u \in H_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ that satisfies (3.5) and $|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Moreover, the solution $u$ is unique up to a constant and $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$. The next theorem gives a sufficient (and necessary) condition for the weighted $L^{2}$ inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f|^{2} \omega d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $A$ be a matrix satisfying (1.2). Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight. Suppose that for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega \leq N f_{2 B}|\nabla u|^{2} \cdot f_{B} \omega, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $u \in H^{1}(4 B)$ is a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B$. Then, for any $f \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, weak solutions of (3.5) with $|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfy the estimate (3.6), where $C$ depends only on $d, m, \mu$, $N$ and the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$.

Proof. For $f \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, let $T(f)=\nabla u$, where $u$ is a weak solution of (3.5) with $|\nabla u| \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. To prove (3.6), we shall apply Theorem 3.1 with $p=2$. First, by the Myers estimates, there exists $1<p_{0}<2$, depending only on $d, m$ and $\mu$, such that $T$ is bounded on $L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Next, let $g \in L_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash 4 B$ and $v=T(g)$. Then $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla v)=0$ in $4 B$. By the reverse Hölder estimates,

$$
\left(f_{B^{\prime}}|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C f_{(3 / 2) B^{\prime}}|\nabla v|,
$$

where $B^{\prime}$ is a ball with $2 B^{\prime} \subset 4 B$. This, together with the condition (3.7), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{B^{\prime}}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq C f_{3 B^{\prime}}|\nabla v| \cdot\left(f_{B^{\prime}} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C f_{3 B^{\prime}}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the $A_{1}$ condition (2.2) for the last inequality. By the self-improving property of the reverse Hölder inequality for the function $\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|$, there exists $p_{1}>2$, depending only on $d, m, \mu, N$ and the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{B}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C\left(f_{(5 / 4) B}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{(5 / 2) B}|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the condition (3.7) for the last inequality. Using the $A_{1}$ condition (2.2) again, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B}|\nabla v|^{p_{1}} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \leq C f_{3 B}|\nabla v| \cdot\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we have proved that $T$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.1, from which (3.6) follows.

Remark 3.4. The condition (3.7) in Theorem 3.3 is also necessary. We provide a proof for the case of bounded Lipschitz domains in the next section. The same argument works equally well for the case of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## 4 Boundary weighted estimates

In this section we present a boundary version of Theorem 2.1 for a Lipschitz domain and give the proof of Theorem 1.1 .

Theorem 4.1. Let $0<p_{0}<p<p_{1}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $A_{p / p_{0}}$ weight. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and $B_{0}=B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ where $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and $0<r_{0}<c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. Let $F \in L^{p}\left(4 B_{0} \cap \Omega\right)$ and $f \in L^{p}\left(4 B_{0} \cap \Omega\right)$. Suppose that for each ball $B=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ with the properties that $|B| \leq c_{1} r_{0}^{d}$ and either $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$ or $4 B \subset 2 B_{0} \cap \Omega$, there exist two functions $F_{B}$ and $R_{B}$, defined on $2 B \cap \Omega$, such that $|F| \leq\left|F_{B}\right|+\left|R_{B}\right|$ on $2 B \cap \Omega$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|F_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \leq N_{1} \sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\eta\left(f_{8 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|R_{B}\right|^{p_{1}} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \leq N_{2}\left\{\left(f_{8 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\sup _{4 B_{0} \supset B^{\prime} \supset B}\left(f_{B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{1}, N_{2}>1,0<c_{1}<1$, and $\eta \geq 0$. Then there exists $\eta_{0}>0$, depending only on $d$, $p_{0}$, $p_{1}, p, c_{1}, N_{2}$, the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, with the property that if $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}|F|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, p_{0}, p_{1}, p, c_{1}, N_{1}, N_{2}$, the $A_{p / p_{0}}$ constant of $\omega$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.

Proof. We shall apply Theorem 2.1 to the functions

$$
\widetilde{F}=F \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{f}=f \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}
$$

with $B_{0}=B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$. Let $B$ be a ball such that $2 B \subset 2 B_{0}$ with $|B| \leq \widetilde{c}_{1}\left|B_{0}\right|$. We need to construct two functions $\widetilde{F}_{B}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{B}$, which satisfy $|\widetilde{F}| \leq \widetilde{F}_{B}+\widetilde{R}_{B}$ on $2 B$ and the conditions (2.6) and (2.7).

We consider three cases: (1) $4 B \cap \Omega=\emptyset ;(2) 4 B \subset \Omega$; and (3) $4 B \cap \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$. In the first case we simply define $F_{B}$ and $R_{B}$ to be zero. In the second case we let $\widetilde{F}_{B}=F_{B}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{B}=R_{B}$. To treat the third case, we assume $B=B(z, r)$ and $y_{0} \in 4 B \cap \partial \Omega$. Let $\widetilde{B}=B\left(y_{0}, 4 r\right)$,

$$
\widetilde{F}_{B}=F_{\widetilde{B}} \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega} \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{R}_{B}=R_{\widetilde{B}} \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}
$$

Since $2 B \subset 2 \widetilde{B}$ and $2 \widetilde{B} \subset 12 B$, it is not hard to verify the conditions in Theorem 2.1. As a result, the inequality 4.3) follows from (2.8).

Remark 4.2. Let $0<\varepsilon<r_{0}$. Suppose that conditions (4.1) and (4.2) hold only for balls $B$ with radius $r \geq \varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(|F|^{p_{0}} \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\right)\right\}^{\frac{p}{p_{0}}} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}|f|^{p} \omega\right)^{1 / p}+C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined by (2.23) and $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$. See Remark 2.4
The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by giving a condition equivalent to (2) in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $A$ a matrix satisfying (1.2). The following reverse Hölder condition is equivalent to (2) in Theorem 1.1: There exist $p>2$ and $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C_{3} f_{B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right) \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ are the same as in Theorem 1.1.
Proof. We first note that (1.8) follows readily from (4.5) by using Hölder's inequality. To see that (1.8) implies (4.5), we consider the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. The interior case $B\left(x_{0}, 4 r\right) \subset \Omega$ may be handled similarly. Let $B^{\prime}=B\left(y_{0}, t\right)$ be a ball such that $y_{0} \in B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Omega$ and $0<t<c r$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq C\left(f_{8 B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{B^{\prime}} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C f_{9 B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|\left(f_{B^{\prime}} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C f_{9 B^{\prime} \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (2.2) for the last inequality, and $C$ depends only on $d, m, \mu, C_{2}$, the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$. This is a reverse Hölder inequality for the function $\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|$. By the self-improving property of such inequalities, there exist $p>2$ and $C_{3}>0$, depending at most on $d, m, \mu, C_{2}$, the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, such that (4.5) holds.

Lemma 4.4. Condition (2) implies Condition (1) in Theorem 1.1.
Proof. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of (1.6) with $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. To show (1.7), we fix $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, $0<r_{0}=c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$, and apply Theorem 4.1 with $p=2, f$ and $F=|\nabla u|$. Let $B=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ be a ball with the properties that $|B| \leq c_{1} r_{0}^{d}$ and either $y_{0} \in B\left(x_{0}, 2 r_{0}\right) \cap \partial \Omega$ or $4 B \subset B\left(x_{0}, 2 r_{0}\right) \cap \Omega$. Let $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be the weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla v)=\operatorname{div}(f \varphi)$ in $\Omega$, where $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(5 B)$ is a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi=1$ on $4 B$. Let

$$
F_{B}=|\nabla v| \quad \text { and } \quad R_{B}=|\nabla(u-v)| .
$$

By the Myers estimate, there exists $1<p_{0}<2$, depending only on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p_{0}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\varphi f\|_{L^{p_{0}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p_{0}}(5 B \cap \Omega)}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields (4.1) with $\eta=0$. To see (4.2), we observe that $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla(u-v))=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$ and $u-v=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega} \mid(\nabla(u-v)) \omega^{1 / 2}\right)\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C f_{4 B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla(u-v)) \omega^{1 / 2}\right| \\
& \leq C\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla(u-v)|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{1}>2$ and we have used (2.5) for the last inequality. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|R_{B}\right|^{p_{1}} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla(u-v)|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla v|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}+\left(f_{5 B \cap \Omega}|f|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\right\}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (4.6) for the last inequality. Thus, by Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x & \leq C \int_{B\left(x_{0}, 4 r_{0}\right) \cap \Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x f_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} \omega \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the energy estimate and (2.2) for the last inequality. A similar argument shows that if $B\left(x_{0}, 4 r_{0}\right) \subset \Omega$, where $r_{0}=c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x
$$

By a simple covering argument we obtain (1.7), where $C_{1}$ depends only on $d, m, \mu, C_{2}$, the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.

We are now in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1, In view of Lemma 4.4, it remains to show that Condition (1) implies Condition (2). Suppose $d \geq 3$. Let $A^{*}$ denote the adjoint of $A$ and $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight. It follows by a duality argument from (1.7) that weak solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of $-\operatorname{div}\left(A^{*} \nabla v\right)=\operatorname{div}(g)$ in $\Omega$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \frac{d x}{\omega} \leq C \int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} \frac{d x}{\omega} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. This, together with the weighted Sobolev inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{q} \frac{d x}{\omega^{\frac{q}{2}}}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \frac{d x}{w}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $q=\frac{2 d}{d-2}$, yields

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{q} \frac{d x}{\omega^{\frac{q}{2}}}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|g|^{2} \frac{d x}{w}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We remark that the inequality (4.8) holds for any $A_{p}$ weight with $p=2-\frac{2}{d}$ (see 16 and earlier work in [9]). It follows by duality that weak solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=F$ in $\Omega$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|F|^{q^{\prime}} \omega^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $q^{\prime}=\frac{2 d}{d+2}$. Thus, if $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)+F \quad \text { in } \Omega, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F, f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2}+C\left(\int_{\Omega}|F|^{q^{\prime}} \omega^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f, F \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that the right-hand side of (4.11) is finite. By a density argument one may show that (4.11) continues to hold for the weak solution $u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of (4.10).

In the case $d=2$ we replace the inequality (4.8) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Omega}|v|^{q} \frac{d x}{\omega^{\frac{q}{2}}}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C r^{\frac{2}{q}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \frac{d x}{\omega}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $v \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$, which holds for any $2<q<\infty$ and $\omega \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. It follows by duality that if $\operatorname{supp}(F) \subset B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, the weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=F$ in $\Omega$ with $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C r^{2-\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Omega}|F|^{q^{\prime}} \omega^{\frac{q}{}_{2}^{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the estimate (4.11) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2}+C r^{2-\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap \Omega}|F|^{q^{\prime}} \omega^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, to prove (1.8), we let $u \in H^{1}(4 B \cap \Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$, with $u=0$ on $4 B \cap \partial \Omega$, where $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right), 0<r<c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$, and either $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ or $4 B \subset \Omega$. For $1<s<t<2$, let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(t B)$ be a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \varphi=1$ on $s B$, and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq C((t-s) r)^{-1}$. Note that

$$
-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla((u-k) \varphi))=-\operatorname{div}(A(\nabla \varphi)(u-k))-A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi,
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (4.11) that if $d \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{s B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(t-s) r}\left\{\left(\int_{t B \cap \Omega}|u-k|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{t B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{\frac{2 d}{d+2}} \omega^{\frac{d}{d+2}} d x\right)^{\frac{d+2}{2 d}}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(t-s) r}\left(\int_{t B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{\frac{2 d}{d+2}} \omega^{\frac{d}{d+2}} d x\right)^{\frac{d+2}{2 d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have let $k=f_{t B} u$ and used the weighted Sobolev inequality (see [9, 16]),
for $\omega \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. As a result, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{s B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{C}{t-s}\left(f_{t B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{\frac{2 d}{d+2}}\right)^{\frac{d+2}{2 d}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<s<t<2$. By a convexity argument this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right| . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1.8) follows from (4.17) by the Cauchy inequality.
In the case $d=2$ we use (4.14) in the place of (4.11), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{t B \cap \Omega}|u-k|^{2} \omega d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C r^{1-\frac{2}{q^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{t B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{q^{\prime}} \omega^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the place of (4.15), where $1<q^{\prime}<2$. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{s B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{C}{t-s}\left(f_{t B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla u) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{q^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $1<q^{\prime}<2$, which leads to (4.17), as in the case $d \geq 3$.

## 5 Weighted $L^{2}$ estimates at the small scale

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 for the case $\varepsilon=1$. The periodicity condition (1.3) is not needed. Recall that a function $h \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is said to belong to $\operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if $\rho(r ; h) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(r ; h)=\sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\ 0<t \leq r}} f_{B(x, t)}\left|h-f_{B(x, t)} h\right| . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain with $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \leq 1$. Let $A$ be a matrix satisfying (1.2) and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Suppose that Condition (1) in Theorem 1.1 holds for all constant matrices $\bar{A}$ obtained from $A$ by averaging it over a ball. Then the condition holds for the matrix $A$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 it suffices to prove Condition (2). Let $B_{0}=B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ be a ball with $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $0<r_{0}<c_{0}$. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(4 B_{0} \cap \Omega\right)$ be a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B_{0} \cap \Omega$ with $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $4 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$. We will prove the inequality (1.8).

We consider the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and use Theorem 4.1 with $F=|\nabla u|$ and $f=0$ on $4 B_{0} \cap \Omega$. Let $B=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ be a ball such that $|B| \leq c_{1}\left|B_{0}\right|$ and either $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \Omega$ or $4 B \subset 2 B_{0} \cap \Omega$. Again, we consider the case $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$ (the interior case is similar). To construct $F_{B}$ and $R_{B}$, we let $v \in H^{1}(3 B \cap \Omega)$ be the weak solution of

$$
\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla v)=0 \quad \text { in } 3 B \cap \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad v=u \quad \text { on } \partial(3 B \cap \Omega),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}=f_{3 B} A . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the constant matrix $\bar{A}$ satisfies the condition (1.2). Define

$$
F_{B}=|\nabla(u-v)| \quad \text { and } \quad R_{B}=|\nabla v| .
$$

Note that $u-v=0$ on $\partial(3 B \cap \Omega)$ and

$$
-\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla(u-v))=\operatorname{div}((A-\bar{A}) \nabla u) \quad \text { in } 3 B \cap \Omega .
$$

By the Myers estimates, there exist $1<p_{0}<2$, and $C>0$, depending only on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{3 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla(u-v)|^{p_{0}} d x & \leq C f_{3 B \cap \Omega}|A-\bar{A}|^{p_{0}}|\nabla u|^{p_{0}} d x \\
& \leq C\left(f_{3 B}|A-\bar{A}|^{\frac{2 p_{0}}{2-p_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{2-p_{0}}{2}}\left(f_{3 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p_{0}}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality for the last inequality. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|F_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \leq C \widetilde{\rho}\left(c_{0}\right)\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\rho}\left(c_{0}\right)=\sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\ 0<t \leq c_{0}}}\left(f_{B(x, t)}\left|A-f_{B(x, t)} A\right|^{\frac{2 p_{0}}{2-p_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{2-p_{0}}{2 p_{0}}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the John-Nirenberg inequality, we see that $\widetilde{\rho}\left(c_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $c_{0} \rightarrow 0$. This implies that the function $F_{B}$ satisfies the condition (4.1) in Theorem 4.1 if $c_{0}>0$ is sufficiently small.

Finally, we note that by the assumption, Condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds for the matrix $\bar{A}$ given by (5.2). In view of Lemma 4.3, there exist $p_{1}>2$ and $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \leq C_{3} f_{4 B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla v) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the condition (4.2) in Theorem 4.1 follows readily. Hence, by Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$
\left(f_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is equivalent to (1.8) by s simple covering argument.
Remark 5.2. Theorem 5.1 continues to hold if $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)>1$. However, in this case, the constants $C$ will depend on $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$.

Remark 5.3. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded $C^{1}$ domain. Then Condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds for any $A_{1}$ weight $\omega$ and for any matrix $A$ satisfying (1.2) and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Indeed, let $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, where $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and $0<r<c_{0} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. Suppose that $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$ and $u=0$ on $4 B \cap \partial \Omega$. Then

$$
\left(f_{B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C_{p}\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for any $p>2$. By using Hölder's inequality and (2.5) we obtain (1.8). Consequently, by Theorem 1.1, the weighted inequality (1.5) holds if $\Omega$ is $C^{1}, \omega \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ satisfies (1.2). This result is not new and was already proved in [17.

## 6 Large-scale weighted estimates in homogenization

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin by introducing some notations as well as some approximation results from the homogenization theory. We should point out that Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4 below are not new. They have become more or less standard in the quantitative homogenization theory (see e.g. [10, 22, 2]). Throughout this section, unless otherwise indicated, we assume $A$ is a matrix satisfying the ellipticity conditions (1.2) and the periodicity condition (1.3). Let $\chi(y)=\left(\chi_{j}^{\alpha \beta}(y)\right) \in H_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denote the correctors for the operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}=-\operatorname{div}(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, for each $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq m, \chi_{j}^{\beta}=\left(\chi_{j}^{1 \beta}, \chi_{j}^{2 \beta}, \ldots, \chi_{j}^{m \beta}\right)$ is the unique solution of the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(A \nabla \chi_{j}^{\beta}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(A \nabla P_{j}^{\beta}\right) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{d}  \tag{6.2}\\
\chi_{j}^{\beta} \text { is } 1 \text {-periodic, } \\
\int_{Y} \chi_{j}^{\beta}(y) d y=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In (6.2) we have used notation $Y=[0,1)^{d}$ and $P_{j}^{\beta}=y_{j}(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in the $\beta^{\text {th }}$ place. The homogenized operator is given by $\mathcal{L}_{0}=-\operatorname{div}(\widehat{A} \nabla)$, where $\widehat{A}=\left(\widehat{a}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and

$$
\widehat{a}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}=f_{Y}\left[a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+a_{i k}^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\left(\chi_{j}^{\gamma \beta}\right)\right] d y
$$

where the repeated indices are summed. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}=a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+a_{i k}^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\left(\chi_{j}^{\gamma \beta}\right)-\widehat{a}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{Y} b_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} d y=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\left(b_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right)=0
$$

There exist 1-periodic functions $\phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta} \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such that $\int_{Y} \phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta} d y=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\left(\phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta}=-\phi_{i k j}^{\alpha \beta}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$ and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m$. The functions $\phi=\left(\phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right)$ are called flux or dual correctors.
Let $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of the Dirichlet problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad u_{\varepsilon}=g \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{0}$ be the solution of the homogenized problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad u_{0}=g \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon}-u_{0}-\varepsilon \chi(x / \varepsilon)\left(\eta_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{0}\right), \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\varepsilon} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \eta_{\varepsilon} \leq 1,\left|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq C / \varepsilon, \eta_{\varepsilon}(x)=0$ if $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)<$ $4 \varepsilon, \eta(x)=1$ if $x \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \geq 5 \varepsilon$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{t}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)<t\} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<t<\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 6.1. Suppose $A$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3). Also assume that $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain with $1 \leq \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \leq 10$. Let $w_{\varepsilon}$ be given by (6.7). Then for any $0<\varepsilon<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma_{5 \varepsilon}\right)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla^{2} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash \Sigma_{4 \varepsilon)}\right.}\right\}, \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d$, $m, \mu$, the function $\rho$ in (5.1) (if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ ), and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
Proof. Note that $w_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Let $\phi=\left(\phi_{k i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right)$ be given by (6.4). A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left\{\left(A^{\varepsilon}-\widehat{A}\right)\left(1-\eta_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right\}+\varepsilon \operatorname{div}\left\{\left(\phi^{\varepsilon}+\chi^{\varepsilon} A^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{\varepsilon}=A(x / \varepsilon), \chi^{\varepsilon}=\chi(x / \varepsilon)$ and $\phi^{\varepsilon}=\phi(x / \varepsilon)$. See e.g. [15, 22]. In the case $m=1$ or $d=2$, the correctors $\chi$ and $\phi$ are bounded. They are also bounded if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ under the assumption $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. As a result, (6.9) follows from (6.10) by the energy estimate.

Lemma 6.2. Let $A$ and $\Omega$ be the same as in Lemma 6.1. Then there exists $\kappa \in(0,1)$, depending only on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{0}-(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon} \eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa}\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}=\nabla \chi(x / \varepsilon)$ and $C$ depends only on $d, \mu, \mu$, the function $\rho$ in (5.1) (if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ ), and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
Proof. Note that

$$
\nabla w_{\varepsilon}=\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{0}-(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon} \eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)-\varepsilon(\chi)^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

In view of Lemma 6.1, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma_{5 \varepsilon}\right)}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla^{2} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash \Sigma_{4 \varepsilon}\right)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa}\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we choose a function $G \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $G=g$ on $\partial \Omega$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla G|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{-2 \kappa} d x \leq C\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)}^{2}
$$

where $\kappa \in(0,1 / 2)$ is given by Theorem [7.2, Since $-\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla\left(u_{0}-G\right)\right)=\operatorname{div}(A \nabla G)$ in $\Omega$, it follows from Theorem 7.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{-2 \kappa} d x \leq C\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)}^{2} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma_{5 \varepsilon}\right)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\kappa}\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

Also, by the interior estimates for the elliptic systems with constant coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \backslash \Sigma_{4 \varepsilon}}\left|\nabla^{2} u_{0}\right|^{2} d x & \leq C \int_{\Omega \backslash \Sigma_{3 \varepsilon}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{0}(x)\right|^{2}}{[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{2}} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{2 \kappa-2}\|g\|_{H^{1}(\partial \Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (6.13) for the last inequality. This, together with (6.13) and (6.9), gives (6.12).

Lemma 6.3. Let $A$ be a matrix satisfying the same conditions as in Lemma 6.1. Let $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}\left(B_{2 r}\right)$ be a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $B_{2 r}$, where $r \geq 100 \varepsilon$. Then there exists $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(B_{3 r / 2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=0$ in $B_{3 r / 2}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f_{B_{3 r / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(f_{B_{2 r}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{6.14}\\
\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{0}-(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\kappa}\left(f_{B_{2 r}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \tag{6.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, m, \mu$, and the function $\rho$ in (5.1) (if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ ).
Proof. By rescaling we may assume $r=1$. There exists $t \in(3 / 2,2)$ such that

$$
\int_{\partial B_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d \sigma \leq C \int_{B_{2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x .
$$

This follows readily by using the polar coordinates. Let $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(B_{t}\right)$ be the solution of $\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=$ 0 in $B_{t}$ with $u_{0}=u_{\varepsilon}-k$ on $\partial B_{t}$, where $k=f_{\partial B_{t}} u_{\varepsilon}$. By the energy estimate,

$$
\int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x
$$

which yields (6.14) with $r=1$. To see (6.15), we apply Lemma 6.2 with $\Omega=B_{t}$. Since $\eta_{\varepsilon}=1$ in $B_{1}$ and $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-k\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\partial B_{t}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial B_{t}\right)}$, we obtain (6.15).

Lemma 6.4. Assume $A$ satisfies the same conditions as in Lemma 6.1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}\left(B_{4 r} \cap \Omega\right)$ be a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $B_{4 r} \cap \Omega$ with $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $B_{4 r} \cap \Omega$, where $B_{r}=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ and $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Assume $100 \varepsilon<r<c r_{0}$, where $r_{0}=\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(B_{3 r / 2} \cap \Omega\right)$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=0$ in $B_{3 r / 2} \cap \Omega, u_{0}=0$ on $B_{3 r / 2} \cap \partial \Omega$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f_{B_{3 r / 2} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(f_{B_{2 r} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{6.16}\\
\left(f_{B_{r} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{0}-(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) \eta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\kappa}\left(f_{B_{2 r} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d$, $m$, $\mu$, the function $\rho$ in (5.1) (if $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$ ) and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 6.3. By rescaling we may assume $r=1$. In the place of $B_{t}$ we use $B\left(x_{0}, t\right) \cap \Omega$. We omit the details.

Lemma 6.5. Assume $A$ satisfies conditions (1.2)-(1.3) and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $\omega$ be an $A_{1}$ weight and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain with $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)=1$. Suppose that Condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds in $\Omega$ with weight $\omega$ for the homogenized operator $\mathcal{L}_{0}$. Let $B_{0}=B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ with the properties that either $4 B_{0} \subset \Omega$ or $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and $0<r_{0}<c_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right| \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\right)\right\}^{2} \omega d x \leq C \int_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2} f_{B_{0}} \omega, \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $4 B_{0} \cap \Omega$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $4 B_{0} \cap \Omega$ (if $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ ).

Proof. We may also assume $0<\varepsilon<c_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ is small. The case $\varepsilon \geq c_{0}$ is trivial. We consider the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ (the interior case $4 B_{0} \subset \Omega$ is similar). We apply Theorem 4.1 with $F=\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|$ and $f=0$. Fix a large constant $L>1$. Let $B=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$ be a ball with the properties that $r \geq L \varepsilon$, $|B| \leq c_{1}\left|B_{0}\right|$ and that either $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$ or $4 B \subset 2 B_{0} \cap \Omega$. Again, we only consider the case $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$. Note that $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $8 B \cap \Omega$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $8 B \cap \partial \Omega$. Let $u_{0}$ be the solution of $\operatorname{div}\left(\widehat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$, constructed in Lemma 6.4. Define

$$
F_{B}=\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{0}-(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad R_{B}=\left|\nabla u_{0}+(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right)\right)\right| .
$$

It follows from (6.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|F_{B}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\kappa}\left(f_{6 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Hölder's inequality for $F_{B}$ and the reverse Hölder estimate for $\nabla u_{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|F_{B}\right|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \leq C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\kappa}\left(f_{8 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p_{0}<2$ depends only on $d, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
To verify the condition for $R_{B}$, we note that the VMO condition on $A$ implies that $|\nabla \chi| \in L^{q}(Y)$ for any $q>2$. Since $r \geq \varepsilon$, it follows that

$$
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{Y}|\nabla \chi|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$

for any $2<q<\infty$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality, for $2<p_{1}<p_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|R_{B} \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{1}}\left(1+\left|(\nabla \chi)^{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{2}}\right)^{1 / p_{2}} \\
& \leq C f_{4 B \cap \Omega}\left|\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) \omega^{1 / 2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{2}>2$ is the exponent $p$ in (4.5) for the homogenized operator $\mathcal{L}_{0}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|R_{B} \omega^{1 / 2}\right|^{p_{1}}\right)^{1 / p_{1}} & \leq C\left(f_{4 B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{6 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}} \\
& \leq C\left(f_{8 B \cap \Omega}|F|^{p_{0}}\right)^{1 / p_{0}}\left(f_{B} \omega\right)^{1 / p_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the condition (4.2) in Theorem 4.1. We now choose $L>1$ so large that $C L^{-\kappa} \leq \eta_{0}$, where $\eta_{0}>0$ is given in Theorem 4.1. By Remark 4.2 we obtain (6.18) with $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{L \varepsilon}$ in the place of $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}$. However, it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon} \leq L^{d} \mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{L \varepsilon}$. This completes the proof.

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.2,

Proof of Theorem 1.2, By dilation we may assume $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)=1$. We will verify Condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$, assuming that the same condition holds for operators $-\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla)$ with constant coefficients, where either $\bar{A}=\widehat{A}$ or $\bar{A}$ is obtained from $A$ by averaging over a ball.

Let $B_{0}=B\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$, where $0<r_{0}<c_{0}$ and $c_{0}>0$ is sufficiently small. We assume $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ (the interior case $4 B_{0} \subset \Omega$ is similar). Suppose that $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $4 B_{0} \cap \Omega$ and $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $4 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$. We need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\left(f_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(f_{B_{0}} \omega\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first observe that if $\varepsilon \geq c_{0}$, the estimate (6.21) follows directly Theorem 5.1. To see this, we note that

$$
\rho\left(r ; A^{\varepsilon}\right)=\rho(r / \varepsilon ; A) \leq \rho(1 ; A)<\infty,
$$

where $\rho$ is given by (5.1). Thus, by Theorems 1.1 and 5.1) (6.21) holds uniformly in $\varepsilon \geq c_{0}$.
Suppose $0<\varepsilon<c_{0}$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.1 that if $B=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$, where $r=\varepsilon$ and either $y_{0} \in 2 B_{0} \cap \partial \Omega$ or $4 B \subset \Omega$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq C\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right| d x\right)^{2} f_{B} \omega . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x \leq C \int_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right| \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\right)\right\}^{2} \omega d x, \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined by (2.23). By s simple covering argument we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega d x & \leq C \int_{2 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{4 B_{0}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right| \chi_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\right)\right\}^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq C \int_{4 B_{0} \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} f_{B_{0}} \omega \tag{6.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Lemma 6.5 for the last inequality.
We end this section with a result for $C^{1}$ domains.
Theorem 6.6. Suppose that $A=A(y)$ satisfies the ellipticity condition (1.2), the periodicity condition (1.3) and $A \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded $C^{1}$ domain. Let $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of (1.1) with $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then for any $A_{1}$ weight $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \omega^{ \pm 1} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \omega^{ \pm 1} d x \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d$, $m$, the function $\rho$ in (5.1), the $A_{1}$ constant of $\omega$, and $\Omega$.
Proof. Let $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ with a ball with the properties that $|B| \leq c_{1}|\Omega|$ and either $4 B \subset \Omega$ or $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be a weak solution of $\operatorname{div}\left(A(x / \varepsilon) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$ with $u_{\varepsilon}=0$ on $4 B \cap \partial \Omega$ (in the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ ). It follows from [20, 21] that

$$
\left(f_{B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(f_{2 B \cap \Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for any $p>2$. By Hölder's inequality and (2.5), this gives the inequality (1.8) for any $\omega \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. By Theorem 1.1 we obtain (6.25) for $\omega^{+1}$. Since $A^{*}$ satisfies the same conditions as $A$, the case $\omega^{-1}$ follows by duality.

If $\Omega$ is a Lipschitz domain, the inequality (6.25) may not be true for all $A_{1}$ weights, as this would imply the $W^{1, p}$ estimate $\left\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ for $1<p<\infty$, by a general extrapolation result.

## 7 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we consider the weight $\omega_{\sigma}(x)=[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma}$, where $-1<\sigma<1$ and $\Omega$ is a Lipschitz domain. Recall that $w_{\sigma}$ is an $A_{1}$ weight if $-1<\sigma \leq 0$, and $\omega_{\sigma} \in A_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $p>1$ if $-1<\sigma<p-1$.

Lemma 7.1. Let $A$ be a constant matrix satisfying (1.2) and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain. Also assume that $A^{*}=A$ if $m \geq 2$. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ in $\Omega$, where $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, for any $-1<\sigma<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d, m, \mu, \sigma$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
Proof. We may assume $-1<\sigma<0$; the case $0<\sigma<1$ follows by duality. Since $\omega_{\sigma} \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, by Theorems 1.1, we only need to check the condition (1.8).

Let $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ be a ball with $|B| \leq c_{1}|\Omega|$. The case $4 B \subset \Omega$ is trivial. To treat the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, we assume that $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ in $4 B \cap \Omega$ and $u=0$ on $4 B \cap \partial \Omega$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\Omega \cap 10 B=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: x_{d}>\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function with $\psi(0)=0$. Let

$$
(\nabla u)_{r}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sup \left\{\left|\nabla u\left(x, \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+s\right)\right|: 0<s<r\right\} .
$$

It follows from the nontangential-maximal-function estimates (see e.g. [14, 22]) that

$$
\int_{B \cap \partial \Omega}\left|(\nabla u)_{c r}^{*}\right|^{2} d \sigma \leq \frac{C}{r} \int_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x .
$$

We point out that if $m \geq 2$, the estimate above requires the condition $A^{*}=A$. In the scalar case $m=1$, the symmetry condition is not needed, as one may write $\left.\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=(1 / 2) \operatorname{div}\left(\left(A+A^{*}\right) \nabla u\right)\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \omega_{\sigma} d x & \leq C r^{1+\sigma-d} \int_{B \cap \partial \Omega}\left|(\nabla u)_{c r}^{*}\right|^{2} d \sigma+C r^{\sigma} f_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C r^{\sigma} f_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C f_{2 B \cap \Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \cdot f_{B} \omega_{\sigma},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives (1.8)
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By duality we may assume $-1<\sigma<0$. Since $\omega_{\sigma} \in A_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, in view of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to establish the weighted estimate (1.7) with $\omega=\omega_{\sigma}$ for weak solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of $-\operatorname{div}(\bar{A} \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ in $\Omega$, where the constant matrix $\bar{A}$ is either $\widehat{A}$ or obtained from $A$ by averaging over a ball. But this is already done in Lemma 7.1. Indeed, in both case, $\bar{A}$ satisfies (1.2). Also, since $A$ is symmetric for $m \geq 2$, so is $\bar{A}$.

We end this section with a weighted inequality with the weight $\omega_{\alpha}$ for any matrix satisfying (1.2). The inequality was used in the proof of Lemma 6.2,

Theorem 7.2. Let $A$ be a matrix satisfying (1.2) and $\Omega$ a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $u \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ in $\Omega$, where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $\kappa \in(0,1 / 2)$, depending only on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$, such that for $|\sigma| \leq 2 \kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{\sigma} d x \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ depend only on $d, m, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$.
Proof. The result is probably well known. We provide a proof here for reader's convenience. By duality we may assume $\sigma<0$. The proof uses Hardy's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{-2} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, where $C$ depends only on $d$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$. Now, let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of $-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=\operatorname{div}(f)$ in $\Omega$, where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let $\psi_{t}(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)+t$, where $t>0$. Note that $\left|\nabla \psi_{t}\right| \leq 1$. Using

$$
\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(u \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega} f \cdot \nabla\left(u \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma}\right) d x
$$

and the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x & \leq C \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left|\nabla \psi_{t}^{\sigma}\right|^{2} d x+C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x \\
& \leq C|\sigma|^{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left|\psi_{t}^{\sigma-1}\right|^{2} d x+C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $\mu$. Since $u \psi_{t}^{\sigma} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, by Hardy's inequality (7.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left|\psi_{t}^{\sigma-1}\right|^{2} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|u \psi_{t}^{\sigma}\right|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{-2} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u \psi_{t}^{\sigma}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x+C|\sigma|^{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left|\psi_{t}^{\sigma-1}\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $d$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$. Thus, if $C|\sigma|^{2} \leq(1 / 2)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left|\psi_{t}^{\sigma-1}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x
$$

This, together with (7.4), gives

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x \leq C|\sigma|^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x+C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x .
$$

Again, if $C|\sigma|^{2} \leq(1 / 2)$, then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x
$$

As a result, we have proved that if $C|\sigma|^{2} \leq(1 / 2)$, where $\sigma<0$ and $C$ depends on $d, \mu$ and the Lipschitz character of $\Omega$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \psi_{t}^{2 \sigma} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2}[\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)]^{2 \sigma} d x .
$$

By letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and using Fatou's Lemma we obtain (7.2).
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