

# AFFINE EXTENSIONS OF MULTIPLE CONJUGATION QUANDLES AND AUGMENTED MCQ ALEXANDER PAIRS

TOMO MURAO

**ABSTRACT.** A multiple conjugation quandle is an algebra whose axioms are motivated from handlebody-knot theory. Any linear extension of a multiple conjugation quandle can be described by using a pair of maps called an MCQ Alexander pair. In this paper, we show that any affine extension of a multiple conjugation quandle can be described by using a quadruple of maps, called an augmented MCQ Alexander pair.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A quandle [10, 12] is an algebraic structure whose axioms are derived from the Reidemeister moves for oriented knots. Ishii and Oshiro [9] introduced a pair (resp. triple) of maps called an Alexander pair (resp. augmented Alexander pair), which is a dynamical cocycle [2] corresponding to a linear (resp. affine) extension of a quandle. A linear/affine extension of a quandle plays an important role in constructing knot invariants. Actually, (twisted) Alexander invariants [1, 11, 14] and quandle cocycle invariants [4] for knots correspond to linear and affine extensions of quandles, respectively [9].

A multiple conjugation quandle (MCQ) [6] is an algebraic structure whose axioms are derived from the Reidemeister moves for handlebody-knots [5], which is a handlebody embedded in the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . A genus 1 handlebody-knot can be regarded as a knot by taking that spine, which means that a handlebody-knot is a generalization of a knot with respect to a genus. Recently, the author [13] introduced an MCQ Alexander pair, which is an MCQ version of an Alexander pair. That is, an MCQ Alexander pair is a pair of maps yielding a linear extension of an MCQ. In this paper, we introduce a quadruple of maps, called an augmented MCQ Alexander pair, which is an MCQ version of an augmented Alexander pair. That is, an augmented MCQ Alexander pair yields an affine extension of an MCQ.

Similarly to quandles, a linear/affine extension of an MCQ plays an important role in constructing handlebody-knot invariants. For example, (twisted) Alexander invariants [8] for handlebody-knots are obtained through the theory of linear extensions of MCQs, and MCQ cocycle invariants [3] for handlebody-knots are related to their affine extensions (see Section 2).

An MCQ is a quandle consisting of a disjoint union of some groups. Hence an MCQ equips some binary operations: a quandle operation and each group operation. For that reason, we need to deal with a 6-tuple of maps to consider an affine extension of an MCQ, and it is very complicated. In this paper, we show that a 6-tuple of maps which gives an affine extension of an MCQ can be reduced to some augmented MCQ Alexander pair modulo isomorphism. That is, any affine extension of an MCQ can be realized using some augmented MCQ Alexander pair up to isomorphism.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27; Secondary 57M25, 57M15.

*Key words and phrases.* multiple conjugation quandle; affine extension; augmented MCQ Alexander pair; handlebody-knot.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notion of an MCQ Alexander pair and introduce an augmented MCQ Alexander pair. We see that it is related to an extension of an MCQ. Furthermore, we see that an MCQ 2-cocycle corresponds to a special case of an augmented MCQ Alexander pair. In Section 3, we consider affine extensions of MCQs. We give a 6-tuple of maps corresponding to an affine extension of an MCQ. In Section 4, we show that any affine extension of an MCQ can be realized by using an augmented MCQ Alexander pair modulo isomorphism.

## 2. MULTIPLE CONJUGATION QUANDLES AND AUGMENTED MCQ ALEXANDER PAIRS

A *quandle* [10, 12] is a pair of a set  $Q$  and a binary operation  $\triangleleft : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (Q1) For any  $a \in Q$ ,  $a \triangleleft a = a$ .
- (Q2) For any  $a \in Q$ , the map  $S_a : Q \rightarrow Q$  defined by  $S_a(x) = x \triangleleft a$  is bijective.
- (Q3) For any  $a, b, c \in Q$ ,  $(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft c = (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft (b \triangleleft c)$ .

We denote  $S_a^n(x)$  by  $x \triangleleft^n a$  for any  $a, x \in Q$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the following, we see some examples of quandles. Let  $G$  be a group. The *conjugation quandle* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{Conj } G$ , is defined to be the group  $G$  with the binary operation  $a \triangleleft b = b^{-1}ab$ . For a positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  of order  $n$ . The *dihedral quandle* of order  $n$ , denoted by  $R_n$ , is defined to be the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with the binary operation  $a \triangleleft b = 2b - a$ . Let  $R$  be a ring. The *Alexander quandle* is defined to be a left  $R[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module with the binary operation  $a \triangleleft b = ta + (1-t)b$ .

For quandles  $(Q_1, \triangleleft_1)$  and  $(Q_2, \triangleleft_2)$ , a *quandle homomorphism*  $f : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  is defined to be a map  $f : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  satisfying  $f(a \triangleleft_1 b) = f(a) \triangleleft_2 f(b)$  for any  $a, b \in Q_1$ . We call a bijective quandle homomorphism a *quandle isomorphism*.  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are *isomorphic*, denoted by  $Q_1 \cong Q_2$ , if there exists a quandle isomorphism from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$ .

We define the *type* of a quandle  $Q$ , denoted by  $\text{type } Q$ , by the minimal number of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfying  $a \triangleleft^n b = a$  for any  $a, b \in Q$ . We set  $\text{type } Q := \infty$  if we do not have such a positive integer  $n$ . Any finite quandle is of finite type since the set  $\{S_a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is finite for any  $a \in Q$  when  $Q$  is finite. For a quandle  $Q$ , an *extension* of  $Q$  is a quandle  $\tilde{Q}$  which has a surjective homomorphism  $f : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$  such that for any element of  $Q$ , the cardinality of the inverse image by  $f$  is constant.

**Definition 2.1** ([6]). A *multiple conjugation quandle (MCQ)*  $X$  is a disjoint union of groups  $G_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$  with a binary operation  $\triangleleft : X \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the following axioms:

- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,  $a \triangleleft b = b^{-1}ab$ .
- For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,  $x \triangleleft e_\lambda = x$  and  $x \triangleleft (ab) = (x \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b$ , where  $e_\lambda$  is the identity of  $G_\lambda$ .
- For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $(x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z = (x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z)$ .
- For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,  $(ab) \triangleleft x = (a \triangleleft x)(b \triangleleft x)$ , where  $a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x \in G_\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ .

In this paper, we often omit brackets. When doing so, we apply binary operations from left on expressions, except for group operations, which we always apply first. For example, we write  $a \triangleleft_1 b \triangleleft_2 cd \triangleleft_3 (e \triangleleft_4 f \triangleleft_5 g)$  for  $((a \triangleleft_1 b) \triangleleft_2 (cd)) \triangleleft_3 ((e \triangleleft_4 f) \triangleleft_5 g)$  simply, where each  $\triangleleft_i$  is a binary operation, and  $c$  and  $d$  are elements of the same group. Furthermore, unless otherwise specified, we assume that each  $G_\lambda$  is a group when  $\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  is an MCQ. We denote by  $G_a$  the group  $G_\lambda$  containing  $a \in X$ . We also denote by  $e_\lambda$  the identity of  $G_\lambda$ . Then the identity of  $G_a$  is denoted by  $e_a$  for any  $a \in X$ .

We remark that an MCQ itself is a quandle. For MCQs  $X_1 = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  and  $X_2 = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in M} G_\mu$ , an *MCQ homomorphism*  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is defined to be a map from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  satisfying  $f(x \triangleleft y) = f(x) \triangleleft f(y)$  for any  $x, y \in X_1$  and  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ . We call a bijective MCQ homomorphism an *MCQ isomorphism*.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *isomorphic*, denoted by  $X_1 \cong X_2$ , if there exists an MCQ isomorphism from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ .

For an MCQ  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$ , an *extension* of  $X$  is an MCQ  $\tilde{X}$  which has a surjective MCQ homomorphism  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that for any element of  $X$ , the cardinality of the inverse image by  $f$  is constant.

Next, we recall the notion of a  $G$ -family of quandles [7]. It is an algebraic system which gives an MCQ. Let  $G$  be a group with the identity  $e$ . A  *$G$ -family of quandles* is a non-empty set  $X$  with a family of binary operations  $\triangleleft^g : X \times X \rightarrow X$  ( $g \in G$ ) satisfying the following axioms:

- For any  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $x \triangleleft^g x = x$ .
- For any  $x, y \in X$  and  $g, h \in G$ ,  $x \triangleleft^e y = x$  and  $x \triangleleft^{gh} y = (x \triangleleft^g y) \triangleleft^h y$ .
- For any  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $g, h \in G$ ,  $(x \triangleleft^g y) \triangleleft^h z = (x \triangleleft^h z) \triangleleft^{h^{-1}gh} (y \triangleleft^h z)$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  be a group with the identity  $e$ . Let  $X$  be a right  $R[G]$ -module, where  $R[G]$  is the group ring of  $G$  over  $R$ . Then  $(X, \{\triangleleft^g\}_{g \in G})$  is a  $G$ -family of quandles, called a  *$G$ -family of Alexander quandles*, with  $x \triangleleft^g y = xg + y(e - g)$ . Let  $(Q, \triangleleft)$  be a quandle. Then  $(Q, \{\triangleleft^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -family of quandles. Furthermore if type  $Q$  is finite,  $(Q, \{\triangleleft^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{type } Q}})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{type } Q}$ -family of quandles.

Let  $(X, \{\triangleleft^g\}_{g \in G})$  be a  $G$ -family of quandles. Then  $G \times X = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} (G \times \{x\})$  is an MCQ with

$$(g, x) \triangleleft (h, y) := (h^{-1}gh, x \triangleleft^h y), \quad (g, x)(h, x) := (gh, x)$$

for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $g, h \in G$  [6]. We call it the *associated MCQ* of  $(X, \{\triangleleft^g\}_{g \in G})$ . The associated MCQ  $G \times X$  of a  $G$ -family of quandles  $X$  is an extension of  $G$ , where we regard  $G$  as an MCQ with the conjugation operation.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, we assume that every ring has the multiplicative identity  $1 \neq 0$ . For a ring  $R$ , we denote by  $R^\times$  the group of units of  $R$ . In the following, we introduce a pair of maps, called an MCQ Alexander pair [13], which corresponds to a linear extension of an MCQ. Furthermore we introduce a quadruple of maps, called an augmented MCQ Alexander pair, which corresponds to an affine extension of an MCQ as seen in Proposition 2.4.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Let  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\phi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  be maps.

- (1) The pair  $(f_1, f_2)$  is an *MCQ Alexander pair* if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  satisfy the following conditions:

- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(a, b) + f_2(a, b) = f_1(a, a^{-1}b).$$

- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_1(a, x) = f_1(b, x),$$

$$f_2(ab, x) = f_2(a, x) + f_1(b \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x) f_2(b, x).$$

- For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(x, e_\lambda) = 1,$$

$$f_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b) f_1(x, a),$$

$$f_2(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b) f_2(x, a).$$

- For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
 
$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_1(x, y) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(x, z),$$

$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_2(x, y) = f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(y, z),$$

$$f_2(x \triangleleft y, z) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(y, z).$$
- (2) For an MCQ Alexander pair  $(f_1, f_2)$ , the pair  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an  $(f_1, f_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle if  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the following conditions:
  - For any  $a, b, c \in G_\lambda$ ,
 
$$\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c) = f_1(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc).$$
  - For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,
 
$$f_1(b, b^{-1})\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) = \phi_2(a, b).$$
  - For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,
 
$$f_2(x, ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\phi_1(x, a) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b).$$
  - For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
 
$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z)$$

$$= f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z).$$
  - For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ ,
 
$$f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(ab, x)$$

$$= \phi_1(a, x) + f_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x).$$

We call  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  an *augmented MCQ Alexander pair* if  $(f_1, f_2)$  is an MCQ Alexander pair and  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an  $(f_1, f_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle.

By the definition and [13, Lemma 2.6], we have the following lemma immediately.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Let  $(f_1, f_2)$  be an MCQ Alexander pair of maps  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$  and let  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  be an  $(f_1, f_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle of maps  $\phi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$ . For any  $x, y \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , the following hold.*

$$f_1(x, y) \text{ is invertible, and } f_1(x, y)^{-1} = f_1(x \triangleleft y, y^{-1}),$$

$$f_2(e_\lambda, x) = 0,$$

$$f_1(ab, x)f_1(a, a^{-1}) = f_1(b \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_1(b, x),$$

$$f_2(x \triangleleft a, b) = f_2(x, ab)f_1(a, a^{-1}),$$

$$\phi_1(x, x) = 0,$$

$$\phi_2(e_\lambda, a) = \phi_2(e_\lambda, b).$$

We call  $(1, 0)$  the *trivial MCQ Alexander pair* and  $(0, 0)$  the *trivial  $(f_1, f_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle*, where 0 and 1 respectively denote the zero map and the constant map that sends all elements of the domain to the multiplicative identity 1 of the ring. The notion of a  $(1, 0)$ -twisted 2-cocycle  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  coincides with that of an MCQ 2-cocycle. More precisely, we set the map

$$\phi : R \left[ (X \times X) \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \right) \right] \rightarrow M$$

by

$$\phi(x, y) := \begin{cases} \phi_1(x, y) & ((x, y) \in X \times X), \\ \phi_2(x, y) & ((x, y) \in \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda)) \end{cases}$$

and extend it linearly. Then the pair  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is a  $(1, 0)$ -twisted 2-cocycle if and only if  $\phi$  is an MCQ 2-cocycle. For more details, we refer the reader to [3]. By the definition and Lemma 2.3, if  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair, then  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1)$  is an augmented Alexander pair [9]. An augmented MCQ Alexander pair corresponds to an extension of an MCQ as shown in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Let  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\phi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  be maps. If  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair, then  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2) := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  is an MCQ with*

$$\begin{aligned} (x, u) \triangleleft (y, v) &:= (x \triangleleft y, f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)), \\ (a, u)(b, v) &:= (ab, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b)) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(x, u), (y, v) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , where the identity of each group  $G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ , and the inverse of  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(a^{-1}, -f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ . Furthermore, the converse is true when  $M = R$ .

*Proof.* If  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair, then we have that  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  is an MCQ by direct calculation and by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, for any  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, u)(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) &= (a, u - f_1(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + \phi_2(a, e_\lambda)) = (a, u), \\ (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))(a, u) &= (a, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + f_1(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)u + \phi_2(e_\lambda, a)) = (a, u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(a, u)(a^{-1}, -f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) \\ &= (e_\lambda, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})(-f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\ &= (e_\lambda, f_1(a, a^{-1})(-f_1(a^{-1}, a)\phi_2(a, e_\lambda) - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a)) + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\ &= (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(a, e_\lambda) - f_1(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\ &= (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)), \\ &(a^{-1}, -f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))(a, u) \\ &= (e_\lambda, -f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + f_1(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a)) \\ &= (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

which imply that the identity of  $G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ , and the inverse of  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(a^{-1}, -f_1(a, a)u - \phi_2(a, a^{-1}) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ .

Put  $M := R$ . Assume that  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  is an MCQ. We prove that  $(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $G_\lambda \times M$  is a group. Hence for any  $(a, u), (b, v), (c, w) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &((a, u)(b, v))(c, w) \\ &= (ab, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b))(c, w) \\ &= (abc, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b) + f_1(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})w + \phi_2(ab, c)), \\ &(a, u)((b, v)(c, w)) \\ &= (a, u)(bc, v + f_1(b, b^{-1})w + \phi_2(b, c)) \\ &= (abc, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})(v + f_1(b, b^{-1})w + \phi_2(b, c)) + \phi_2(a, bc)). \end{aligned}$$

By the associativity of  $G_\lambda \times M$ , we have that for any  $a, b, c \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(a, a^{-1})f_1(b, b^{-1}) = f_1(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1}), \quad (1)$$

$$\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c) = f_1(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc). \quad (\phi-1)$$

For any  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$ ,  $(a, u) \triangleleft (b, v) = (b, v)^{-1}(a, u)(b, v)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, u) \triangleleft (b, v) &= (b^{-1}ab, f_1(a, b)u + f_2(a, b)v + \phi_1(a, b)), \\ (b, v)^{-1}(a, u)(b, v) &= (b^{-1}, -f_1(b, b)v - \phi_2(b^{-1}, b) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))(ab, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b)) \\ &= (b^{-1}ab, -f_1(b, b)v - \phi_2(b^{-1}, b) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) \\ &\quad + f_1(b^{-1}, b)(u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b)) + \phi_2(b^{-1}, ab)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that for any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(a, b) &= f_1(b^{-1}, b), \\ f_2(a, b) &= -f_1(b, b) + f_1(b^{-1}, b)f_1(a, a^{-1}), \\ \phi_1(a, b) &= -\phi_2(b^{-1}, b) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + f_1(b^{-1}, b)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(b^{-1}, ab). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For any  $(x, u) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(a, v), (b, w) \in G_\lambda \times M$ ,  $(x, u) \triangleleft (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) = (x, u)$  and  $(x, u) \triangleleft ((a, v)(b, w)) = ((x, u) \triangleleft (a, v)) \triangleleft (b, w)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, u) \triangleleft (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) &= (x, f_1(x, e_\lambda)u - f_2(x, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + \phi_1(x, e_\lambda)), \\ (x, u) \triangleleft ((a, v)(b, w)) &= (x, u) \triangleleft (ab, v + f_1(a, a^{-1})w + \phi_2(a, b)) \\ &= (x \triangleleft ab, f_1(x, ab)u + f_2(x, ab)(v + f_1(a, a^{-1})w + \phi_2(a, b)) + \phi_1(x, ab)), \\ ((x, u) \triangleleft (a, v)) \triangleleft (b, w) &= (x \triangleleft a, f_1(x, a)u + f_2(x, a)v + \phi_1(x, a)) \triangleleft (b, w) \\ &= ((x \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)(f_1(x, a)u + f_2(x, a)v + \phi_1(x, a)) \\ &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft a, b)w + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that for any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(x, e_\lambda) = 1, \quad (3)$$

$$f_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)f_1(x, a), \quad (4)$$

$$f_2(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)f_2(x, a), \quad (5)$$

$$f_2(x, ab)f_1(a, a^{-1}) = f_2(x \triangleleft a, b),$$

$$f_2(x, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) = \phi_1(x, e_\lambda),$$

$$f_2(x, ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\phi_1(x, a) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b). \quad (\phi-3)$$

For any  $(x, u), (y, v), (z, w) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$ ,  $((x, u) \triangleleft (y, v)) \triangleleft (z, w) = ((x, u) \triangleleft (z, w)) \triangleleft ((y, v) \triangleleft (z, w))$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((x, u) \triangleleft (y, v)) \triangleleft (z, w) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft y, f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)) \triangleleft (z, w) \\
 &= ((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)(f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)) \\
 &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft y, z)w + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z)), \\
 &((x, u) \triangleleft (z, w)) \triangleleft ((y, v) \triangleleft (z, w)) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft z, f_1(x, z)u + f_2(x, z)w + \phi_1(x, z)) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z, f_1(y, z)v + f_2(y, z)w + \phi_1(y, z)) \\
 &= ((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)(f_1(x, z)u + f_2(x, z)w + \phi_1(x, z)) \\
 &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)(f_1(y, z)v + f_2(y, z)w + \phi_1(y, z)) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_1(x, y) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(x, z), \quad (6)$$

$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_2(x, y) = f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(y, z), \quad (7)$$

$$f_2(x \triangleleft y, z) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(y, z), \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
 &= f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z). \quad (\phi-4)
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$  and  $(x, w) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$ ,  $((a, u)(b, v)) \triangleleft (x, w) = ((a, u) \triangleleft (x, w))((b, v) \triangleleft (x, w))$ , where we note that  $(a, u) \triangleleft (x, w), (b, v) \triangleleft (x, w) \in G_\mu \times M$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((a, u)(b, v)) \triangleleft (x, w) \\
 &= (ab, u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b)) \triangleleft (x, w) \\
 &= (ab \triangleleft x, f_1(ab, x)(u + f_1(a, a^{-1})v + \phi_2(a, b)) + f_2(ab, x)w + \phi_1(ab, x)), \\
 &((a, u) \triangleleft (x, w))((b, v) \triangleleft (x, w)) \\
 &= (a \triangleleft x, f_1(a, x)u + f_2(a, x)w + \phi_1(a, x))(b \triangleleft x, f_1(b, x)v + f_2(b, x)w + \phi_1(b, x)) \\
 &= ((a \triangleleft x)(b \triangleleft x), f_1(a, x)u + f_2(a, x)w + \phi_1(a, x) \\
 &\quad + f_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)(f_1(b, x)v + f_2(b, x)w + \phi_1(b, x)) + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that for any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_1(ab, x) = f_1(a, x), \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_1(ab, x)f_1(a, a^{-1}) = f_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_1(b, x), \\
 & f_2(ab, x) = f_2(a, x) + f_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_2(b, x), \quad (10) \\
 & f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= \phi_1(a, x) + f_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x). \quad (\phi-5)
 \end{aligned}$$

By equations (1), (2), (9) and (10), we have that for any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_1(a, b) + f_2(a, b) = f_1(a, a^{-1}b), \quad (2')$$

$$f_1(a, x) = f_1(b, x), \quad (9')$$

$$f_2(ab, x) = f_2(a, x) + f_1(b \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_2(b, x). \quad (10')$$

Therefore, by the equations (2'), (3)–(8), (9') and (10'), the pair  $(f_1, f_2)$  is an MCQ Alexander pair. Moreover, by equations ( $\phi$ -1) and ( $\phi$ -2), we have that for

any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(a, b) &= -\phi_2(b^{-1}, b) - \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + f_1(b^{-1}, b)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(b^{-1}, ab) \\ &= -f_1(b^{-1}, b)\phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) + f_1(b^{-1}, b)\phi_2(a, b),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$f_1(b, b^{-1})\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) = \phi_2(a, b). \quad (\phi-2')$$

Therefore, by the equations  $(\phi-1)$ ,  $(\phi-2')$  and  $(\phi-3)$ – $(\phi-5)$ , the pair  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an  $(f_1, f_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle.  $\square$

We remark that the MCQ  $\widetilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  in Proposition 2.4 is an extension of  $X$  since the projection from  $\widetilde{X}(f_1, f_2; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  to  $X$  sending  $(x, u)$  into  $x$  satisfies the defining condition of an extension.

### 3. AFFINE EXTENSIONS OF MULTIPLE CONJUGATION QUANDLES

Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Let  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $f_3, f_4 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow R$ ,  $\phi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  be maps. In this section, we consider an affine extension of  $X$  using  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

We define the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ) for  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  as follows:

- For any  $a, b, c \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_3(a, b) \text{ and } f_4(a, b) \text{ are invertible,} \quad (0\text{-i})$$

$$f_3(ab, c)f_3(a, b) = f_3(a, bc), \quad (0\text{-ii})$$

$$f_3(ab, c)f_4(a, b) = f_4(a, bc)f_3(b, c), \quad (0\text{-iii})$$

$$f_4(ab, c) = f_4(a, bc)f_4(b, c), \quad (0\text{-iv})$$

$$f_3(ab, c)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c) = f_4(a, bc)\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc). \quad (0\text{-}\phi)$$

- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(a, b) = f_4(b^{-1}, ab)f_3(a, b), \quad (1\text{-i})$$

$$f_3(b, b^{-1}ab) + f_4(b, b^{-1}ab)f_2(a, b) = f_4(a, b), \quad (1\text{-ii})$$

$$f_4(b, b^{-1}ab)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) = \phi_2(a, b). \quad (1\text{-}\phi)$$

- For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,

$$f_1(x, e_\lambda) = 1, \quad (2\text{-i})$$

$$f_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)f_1(x, a), \quad (2\text{-ii})$$

$$f_2(x, ab)f_3(a, b) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)f_2(x, a), \quad (2\text{-iii})$$

$$f_2(x, ab)f_4(a, b) = f_2(x \triangleleft a, b), \quad (2\text{-iv})$$

$$f_2(x, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) = \phi_1(x, e_\lambda), \quad (2\text{-}\phi\text{i})$$

$$f_2(x, ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(x, ab) = f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\phi_1(x, a) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b). \quad (2\text{-}\phi\text{ii})$$

- For any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_1(x, y) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(x, z), \quad (3\text{-i})$$

$$f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)f_2(x, y) = f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_1(y, z), \quad (3\text{-ii})$$

$$f_2(x \triangleleft y, z) = f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_2(y, z), \quad (3\text{-iii})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\ &= f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z). \end{aligned} \quad (3\text{-}\phi)$$



- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_1(ab, x)f_3(a, b) = f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)f_1(a, x), \quad (4-i)$$

$$f_1(ab, x)f_4(a, b) = f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)f_1(b, x), \quad (4-ii)$$

$$f_2(ab, x) = f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)f_2(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)f_2(b, x), \quad (4-iii)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(ab, x) \\ = f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x). \end{aligned} \quad (4-\phi)$$

These conditions correspond to every affine extension of an MCQ as seen in Proposition 3.2. We remark that for any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$f_3(a, e_\lambda) = 1 = f_4(e_\lambda, a),$$

$$f_3(a, b)^{-1} = f_3(ab, b^{-1}),$$

$$f_4(a, b)^{-1} = f_4(a^{-1}, ab),$$

$$\phi_1(a, a) = 0$$

by (0-i), (0-ii), (0-iv) and (1- $\phi$ ).

**Remark 3.1.** The condition (1-ii) can be replaced with the following condition:

$$f_2(a, b) = -f_3(b^{-1}, ab)f_4(b^{-1}, e_\lambda)f_3(b, b^{-1}) + f_4(b^{-1}, ab)f_4(a, b),$$

which was used in [13] instead of the condition (1-ii).

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Let  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $f_3, f_4 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow R$ ,  $\phi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  be maps. If  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ), then  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) := \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  is an MCQ with

$$(x, u) \triangleleft (y, v) := (x \triangleleft y, f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)),$$

$$(a, u)(b, v) := (ab, f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b))$$

for any  $(x, u), (y, v) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , where the identity of each group  $G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ , and the inverse of  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(a^{-1}, -f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)))$ . Furthermore, the converse is true when  $M = R$ .

Let us first prove the following lemma in order to prove Proposition 3.2 later.

**Lemma 3.3.** In the same situation as Proposition 3.2, if the maps  $f_3, f_4$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (0-i)–(0- $\phi$ ), then  $G_\lambda \times M$  is a group for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , where the identity of each group  $G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ , and the inverse of  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(a^{-1}, -f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)))$ . Furthermore, the converse is true when  $M = R$ .

*Proof.* If the maps  $f_3, f_4$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (0-i)–(0- $\phi$ ), then we have that  $G_\lambda \times M$  is a group for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  by direct calculation. Furthermore, for any  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, u)(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) &= (a, f_3(a, e_\lambda)u - f_4(a, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + \phi_2(a, e_\lambda)) \\ &= (a, u - f_3(a, e_\lambda)\phi_2(a, e_\lambda) + \phi_2(a, e_\lambda)) \\ &= (a, u), \\ (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))(a, u) &= (a, -f_3(e_\lambda, a)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + f_4(e_\lambda, a)u + \phi_2(e_\lambda, a)) \\ &= (a, -f_4(e_\lambda, a)\phi_2(e_\lambda, a) + u + \phi_2(e_\lambda, a)) \\ &= (a, u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a, u)(a^{-1}, -f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))) \\
&= (e_\lambda, f_3(a, a^{-1})u - f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) \\
&\quad + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\
&= (e_\lambda, f_3(a, a^{-1})u - f_3(a, a^{-1})f_4(a, e_\lambda)f_4(a^{-1}, a)u \\
&\quad - f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})\phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\
&= (e_\lambda, -f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})\phi_2(a^{-1}, a) - f_4(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(e_\lambda, a^{-1}) + \phi_2(a, a^{-1})) \\
&= (e_\lambda, -f_4(a, a^{-1})\phi_2(a^{-1}, e_\lambda)) \\
&= (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)), \\
& (a^{-1}, -f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)))(a, u) \\
&= (e_\lambda, -f_3(a^{-1}, a)f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) \\
&\quad + f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a)) \\
&= (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)),
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that the identity of  $G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$ , and the inverse of  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$  is  $(a^{-1}, -f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})(f_4(a^{-1}, a)u + \phi_2(a^{-1}, a) + \phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)))$ .

Put  $M := R$ . Assume that  $G_\lambda \times M$  is a group for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then it follows that for any  $(a, u), (b, v), (c, w) \in G_\lambda \times M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a, u)(b, v))(c, w) \\
&= (ab, f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b))(c, w) \\
&= (abc, f_3(ab, c)(f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b)) + f_4(ab, c)w + \phi_2(ab, c)), \\
& (a, u)((b, v)(c, w)) \\
&= (a, u)(bc, f_3(b, c)v + f_4(b, c)w + \phi_2(b, c)) \\
&= (abc, f_3(a, bc)u + f_4(a, bc)(f_3(b, c)v + f_4(b, c)w + \phi_2(b, c)) + \phi_2(a, bc)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the associativity of  $G_\lambda \times M$ , we have the conditions (0-ii), (0-iii), (0-iv) and (0- $\phi$ ).

Let  $(g, m)$  be the identity of  $G_\lambda \times M$ . Then for any  $(a, u) \in G_\lambda \times M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a, u)(g, m) = (ag, f_3(a, g)u + f_4(a, g)m + \phi_2(a, g)) = (a, u), \\
& (g, m)(a, u) = (ga, f_3(g, a)m + f_4(g, a)u + \phi_2(g, a)) = (a, u).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $g = e_\lambda$  and  $f_3(a, e_\lambda) = 1 = f_4(e_\lambda, a)$  for any  $a \in G_\lambda$ . By (0-ii) and (0-iv), we obtain the condition (0-i).  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* If  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ), then we have that  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  is an MCQ by direct calculation and by Lemma 3.3.

Put  $M := R$ . Assume that  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  is an MCQ. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $G_\lambda \times M$  is a group. Hence we have the conditions (0-i)–(0- $\phi$ ) by Lemma 3.3.

For any  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$ ,  $(a, u) \triangleleft (b, v) = (b, v)^{-1}(a, u)(b, v)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (b, v)((a, u) \triangleleft (b, v)) \\
&= (b, v)(b^{-1}ab, f_1(a, b)u + f_2(a, b)v + \phi_1(a, b)) \\
&= (ab, f_3(b, b^{-1}ab)v + f_4(b, b^{-1}ab)(f_1(a, b)u + f_2(a, b)v + \phi_1(a, b)) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)), \\
& (a, u)(b, v) = (ab, f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (1-i)–(1- $\phi$ ).

For any  $(x, u) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(a, v), (b, w) \in G_\lambda \times M$ ,  $(x, u) \triangleleft (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) = (x, u)$  and  $(x, u) \triangleleft ((a, v)(b, w)) = ((x, u) \triangleleft (a, v)) \triangleleft (b, w)$ , where  $(e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda))$  is the identity of  $G_\lambda \times M$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x, u) \triangleleft (e_\lambda, -\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)) \\
 &= (x, f_1(x, e_\lambda)u - f_2(x, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) + \phi_1(x, e_\lambda)), \\
 & (x, u) \triangleleft ((a, v)(b, w)) \\
 &= (x, u) \triangleleft (ab, f_3(a, b)v + f_4(a, b)w + \phi_2(a, b)) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft ab, f_1(x, ab)u + f_2(x, ab)(f_3(a, b)v + f_4(a, b)w + \phi_2(a, b)) + \phi_1(x, ab)), \\
 & ((x, u) \triangleleft (a, v)) \triangleleft (b, w) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft a, f_1(x, a)u + f_2(x, a)v + \phi_1(x, a)) \triangleleft (b, w) \\
 &= ((x \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)(f_1(x, a)u + f_2(x, a)v + \phi_1(x, a)) \\
 &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft a, b)w + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (2-i)–(2- $\phi$ ii).

For any  $(x, u), (y, v), (z, w) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$ ,  $((x, u) \triangleleft (y, v)) \triangleleft (z, w) = ((x, u) \triangleleft (z, w)) \triangleleft ((y, v) \triangleleft (z, w))$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((x, u) \triangleleft (y, v)) \triangleleft (z, w) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft y, f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)) \triangleleft (z, w) \\
 &= ((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)(f_1(x, y)u + f_2(x, y)v + \phi_1(x, y)) \\
 &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft y, z)w + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z)), \\
 & ((x, u) \triangleleft (z, w)) \triangleleft ((y, v) \triangleleft (z, w)) \\
 &= (x \triangleleft z, f_1(x, z)u + f_2(x, z)w + \phi_1(x, z)) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z, f_1(y, z)v + f_2(y, z)w + \phi_1(y, z)) \\
 &= ((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)(f_1(x, z)u + f_2(x, z)w + \phi_1(x, z)) \\
 &\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)(f_1(y, z)v + f_2(y, z)w + \phi_1(y, z)) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (3-i)–(3- $\phi$ ).

For any  $(a, u), (b, v) \in G_\lambda \times M$  and  $(x, w) \in \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$ ,  $((a, u)(b, v)) \triangleleft (x, w) = ((a, u) \triangleleft (x, w))((b, v) \triangleleft (x, w))$ , where we note that  $(a, u) \triangleleft (x, w), (b, v) \triangleleft (x, w) \in G_\mu \times M$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((a, u)(b, v)) \triangleleft (x, w) \\
 &= (ab, f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b)) \triangleleft (x, w) \\
 &= (ab \triangleleft x, f_1(ab, x)(f_3(a, b)u + f_4(a, b)v + \phi_2(a, b)) + f_2(ab, x)w + \phi_1(ab, x)), \\
 & ((a, u) \triangleleft (x, w))((b, v) \triangleleft (x, w)) \\
 &= (a \triangleleft x, f_1(a, x)u + f_2(a, x)w + \phi_1(a, x))(b \triangleleft x, f_1(b, x)v + f_2(b, x)w + \phi_1(b, x)) \\
 &= ((a \triangleleft x)(b \triangleleft x), f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)(f_1(a, x)u + f_2(a, x)w + \phi_1(a, x)) \\
 &\quad + f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)(f_1(b, x)v + f_2(b, x)w + \phi_1(b, x)) + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the conditions (4-i)–(4- $\phi$ ).  $\square$

We remark that the MCQ  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M)$  in Proposition 3.2 is an extension of  $X$  since the projection from  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  to  $X$  sending  $(x, u)$  into  $x$  satisfies the defining condition of an extension. We call it an *affine extension* of  $X$ .

#### 4. THE REDUCTION OF AFFINE EXTENSIONS OF MCQS TO AUGMENTED MCQ ALEXANDER PAIRS

In this section, we see that any 6-tuple of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ) can be reduced to some augmented MCQ Alexander pair. That is, any affine extension of an MCQ can be realized by some augmented MCQ Alexander pair up to isomorphism.

Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Let  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  be 6-tuples of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ). Then we write  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  if there exist maps  $h : X \rightarrow R^\times$  and  $\eta : X \rightarrow M$  satisfying the following conditions:

- For any  $x, y \in X$ ,
 
$$h(x \triangleleft y)f_1(x, y) = g_1(x, y)h(x),$$

$$h(x \triangleleft y)f_2(x, y) = g_2(x, y)h(y),$$

$$h(x \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y) + \eta(x \triangleleft y) = g_1(x, y)\eta(x) + g_2(x, y)\eta(y) + \psi_1(x, y).$$
- For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ ,
 
$$h(ab)f_3(a, b) = g_3(a, b)h(a),$$

$$h(ab)f_4(a, b) = g_4(a, b)h(b),$$

$$h(ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \eta(ab) = g_3(a, b)\eta(a) + g_4(a, b)\eta(b) + \psi_2(a, b).$$

Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on the set of all 6-tuples of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ). We often write  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \sim_{h, \eta} (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  to specify  $h$  and  $\eta$ . This equivalence relation gives an isomorphic affine extensions of MCQs as seen in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Let  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  and  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  be 6-tuples of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ). If  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ , then there exists an MCQ isomorphism  $\varphi : \tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \rightarrow \tilde{X}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  such that  $\text{pr}_X \circ \varphi = \text{pr}_X$  for the projection  $\text{pr}_X : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times M) \rightarrow X$  sending  $(x, u)$  to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \sim_{h, \eta} (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  for some maps  $h : X \rightarrow R^\times$  and  $\eta : X \rightarrow M$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the map from  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  to  $\tilde{X}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  sending  $(x, u)$  to  $(x, h(x)u + \eta(x))$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an MCQ isomorphism satisfying  $\text{pr}_X \circ \varphi = \text{pr}_X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. Let  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  be a 6-tuple of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ). We define the maps  $g_1, g_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $g_3, g_4 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow R$ ,  $\psi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\psi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, y) &:= f_1(e_x, y), \\ g_2(x, y) &:= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)f_2(x, y)f_3(e_y, y), \\ g_3(a, b) &:= 1, \\ g_4(a, b) &:= f_1(e_a, a^{-1}), \\ \psi_1(x, y) &:= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y), \\ \psi_2(a, b) &:= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

*Then the following hold.*

- (1) The 6-tuple  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ).
- (2) The quadruple  $(g_1, g_2; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair.

*Proof.* (1) It is sufficient to prove that the conditions (0- $\phi$ ), (1- $\phi$ ), (2- $\phi$ i), (2- $\phi$ ii), (3- $\phi$ ) and (4- $\phi$ ) hold since the remaining conditions follow from [13, Lemma 4.2] and Remark 3.1 immediately.

For any  $a, b, c \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_3(ab, c)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_2(ab, c) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(ab, c) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_3(e_\lambda, abc)f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_3(ab, c)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_4(a, bc)\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(bca, a^{-1})f_3(bc, a)\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third (resp. fourth) equality comes from (0-ii) (resp. (0- $\phi$ )), and where the fifth equality comes from (1-i). On the other hand, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_4(a, bc)\psi_2(b, c) + \psi_2(a, bc) \\
 &= f_1(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, bc) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_3(e_\lambda, abc)f_1(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(bca, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, bca)f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(bca, a^{-1})f_3(bc, a)\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (0-ii)). Hence we have

$$g_3(ab, c)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_2(ab, c) = g_4(a, bc)\psi_2(b, c) + \psi_2(a, bc),$$

which implies that  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the condition (0- $\phi$ ).

For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_4(b, b^{-1}ab)\psi_1(a, b) + \psi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
 &= f_1(e_\lambda, b^{-1})f_3(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1}a^{-1}b)\phi_1(a, b) + f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_3(e_\lambda, ab)f_1(e_\lambda, b^{-1})f_3(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1}a^{-1}b)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(b^{-1}ab^2, b^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, b^{-1}ab^2)f_3(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1}a^{-1}b)\phi_1(a, b) \\
 &\quad + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(b^{-1}ab^2, b^{-1})f_3(b^{-1}ab, b)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_4(b, b^{-1}ab)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) \\
 &= \psi_2(a, b),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (0-ii)), and where the fifth (resp. sixth) equality comes from (1-i) (resp. (1- $\phi$ )). Hence  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the condition (1- $\phi$ ).

For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_2(x, e_\lambda)\psi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) &= f_3(x, x^{-1})f_2(x, e_\lambda)f_3(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)f_3(e_\lambda, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) \\
 &= f_3(x, x^{-1})f_2(x, e_\lambda)\phi_2(e_\lambda, e_\lambda) \\
 &= f_3(x, x^{-1})\phi_1(x, e_\lambda) \\
 &= \psi_1(x, e_\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality comes from (2- $\phi$ i), and

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_2(x, ab)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_1(x, ab) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)f_2(x, ab)f_3(e_\lambda, ab)f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) \\
&\quad + f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)\phi_1(x, ab) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)(f_2(x, ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(x, ab)) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)(f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\phi_1(x, a) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b)) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft a, b)f_3(x \triangleleft a, x^{-1} \triangleleft a)\phi_1(x, a) + f_3((x \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, (x^{-1} \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b)\phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b) \\
&= g_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\psi_1(x, a) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third (resp. fourth) equality comes from (2- $\phi$ ii) (resp. (4-i)).  
Hence  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the conditions (2- $\phi$ i) and (2- $\phi$ ii).

For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\psi_1(x, y) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft y, z)f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y) + f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) \\
&\quad + f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)(f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z)) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), (x^{-1} \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z))(f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) \\
&\quad + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_3(x \triangleleft z, x^{-1} \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) \\
&\quad + f_3((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), (x^{-1} \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z))f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_3(e_y \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z) \\
&\quad \quad f_3(y \triangleleft z, y^{-1} \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) \\
&\quad + f_3((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), (x^{-1} \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z))\phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z) \\
&= g_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\psi_1(x, z) + g_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\psi_1(y, z) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (3- $\phi$ )),  
and where the fifth equality comes from (4-i). Hence  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$   
satisfies the condition (3- $\phi$ ).

For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_1(ab, x)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_1(e_\lambda, x)f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)(f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(ab, x)) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)(f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) \\
 &\quad + f_4(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(e_\lambda \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_1(e_\lambda \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= g_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\psi_1(a, x) + g_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\psi_1(b, x) + \psi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (4- $\phi$ )), and where the fifth equality comes from (0-ii) and (0-iii), and where the sixth (resp. seventh) equality comes from (0-ii) (resp. (1-i)). Hence  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the condition (4- $\phi$ ).

This completes the proof.

- (2) By [13, Lemma 4.2], the pair  $(g_1, g_2)$  is an MCQ Alexander pair. We show that the pair  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is a  $(g_1, g_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle.

For any  $a, b, c \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \psi_2(a, b) + \psi_2(ab, c) \\
 &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(ab, c) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})f_3(ab, c)\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(ab, c) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_3(ab, c)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_2(ab, c)) \\
 &= f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_4(a, bc)\phi_2(b, c) + \phi_2(a, bc)) \\
 &= f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, bc) \\
 &= f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, bc) \\
 &= f_1(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(bc, c^{-1}b^{-1})\phi_2(b, c) + f_3(abc, c^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, bc) \\
 &= g_1(a, a^{-1})\psi_2(b, c) + \psi_2(a, bc),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. fourth) equality comes from (0-ii) (resp. (0- $\phi$ )), and where the fifth (resp. sixth) equality comes from (0-iii) (resp. (0-ii)), and where the seventh equality comes from (1-i).

For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_1(b, b^{-1})\psi_1(a, b) + \psi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
&= f_1(e_\lambda, b^{-1})f_3(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1}a^{-1}b)\phi_1(a, b) + f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
&= f_3(a, a^{-1})f_1(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1})\phi_1(a, b) + f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
&= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})f_3(a, b)f_1(b^{-1}ab, b^{-1})\phi_1(a, b) + f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab) \\
&= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_1(b^{-1}ab^2, b^{-1})f_3(b^{-1}ab, b)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
&= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})(f_4(b, b^{-1}ab)\phi_1(a, b) + \phi_2(b, b^{-1}ab)) \\
&= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) \\
&= \psi_2(a, b)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. third) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (0-ii)), and where the fourth (resp. fifth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (1-i)), and where the sixth equality comes from (1- $\phi$ ).

For any  $x \in X$  and  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_2(x, ab)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_1(x, ab) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)f_2(x, ab)f_3(e_\lambda, ab)f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) \\
&\quad + f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)\phi_1(x, ab) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)(f_2(x, ab)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(x, ab)) \\
&= f_3(x \triangleleft ab, x^{-1} \triangleleft ab)(f_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\phi_1(x, a) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b)) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft a, b)f_3(x \triangleleft a, x^{-1} \triangleleft a)\phi_1(x, a) + f_3((x \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, (x^{-1} \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b)\phi_1(x \triangleleft a, b) \\
&= g_1(x \triangleleft a, b)\psi_1(x, a) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third (resp. fourth) equality comes from (2- $\phi$ ii) (resp. (4-i)).

For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\psi_1(x, y) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft y, z)f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y) + f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) \\
&\quad + f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)(f_1(x \triangleleft y, z)\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_1(x \triangleleft y, z)) \\
&= f_3((x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z, (x^{-1} \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z)(f_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) + f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) \\
&\quad + \phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)) \\
&= f_1(e_x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_3(x \triangleleft z, x^{-1} \triangleleft z)\phi_1(x, z) + f_3((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), (x^{-1} \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z)) \\
&\quad f_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_3(e_y \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)f_3(y \triangleleft z, y^{-1} \triangleleft z)\phi_1(y, z) \\
&\quad + f_3((x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z), (x^{-1} \triangleleft z) \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z))\phi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z) \\
&= g_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\psi_1(x, z) + g_2(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z)\psi_1(y, z) + \psi_1(x \triangleleft z, y \triangleleft z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (3- $\phi$ )), and where the fifth equality comes from (4-i).



For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$  and  $x \in X$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_1(ab, x)\psi_2(a, b) + \psi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_1(e_\lambda, x)f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(ab, x) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)(f_1(ab, x)\phi_2(a, b) + \phi_1(ab, x)) \\
 &= f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)(f_3(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + \phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_4(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) \\
 &\quad + f_4(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(e_\lambda \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3(ab \triangleleft x, b^{-1}a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= f_3(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(a, x) + f_1(e_\lambda \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)f_3(b \triangleleft x, b^{-1} \triangleleft x)\phi_1(b, x) \\
 &\quad + f_3((a \triangleleft x)(b \triangleleft x), (b^{-1} \triangleleft x)(a^{-1} \triangleleft x))\phi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x) \\
 &= \psi_1(a, x) + g_1(a \triangleleft x, a^{-1} \triangleleft x)\psi_1(b, x) + \psi_2(a \triangleleft x, b \triangleleft x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. fourth) equality comes from (4-i) (resp. (4- $\phi$ )), and where the fifth equality comes from (0-ii) and (0-iii), and where the sixth (resp. seventh) equality comes from (0-ii) (resp. (1-i)).

Therefore the pair  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is a  $(g_1, g_2)$ -twisted 2-cocycle.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda$  be an MCQ,  $R$  a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module. For any 6-tuple  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ), there exists an augmented MCQ Alexander pair  $(g_1, g_2; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  such that  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \cong \tilde{X}(g_1, g_2; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2)$  be a 6-tuple of maps satisfying the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ), and let  $g_1, g_2 : X \times X \rightarrow R$ ,  $g_3, g_4 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow R$ ,  $\psi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow M$  and  $\psi_2 : \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (G_\lambda \times G_\lambda) \rightarrow M$  be the maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(x, y) &:= f_1(e_x, y), \\
 g_2(x, y) &:= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)f_2(x, y)f_3(e_y, y), \\
 g_3(a, b) &:= 1, \\
 g_4(a, b) &:= f_1(e_a, a^{-1}), \\
 \psi_1(x, y) &:= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y), \\
 \psi_2(a, b) &:= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b).
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $g_4(a, b) = g_1(a, a^{-1})$ . By Lemma 4.2 (1), the 6-tuple  $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  satisfies the conditions (0-i)–(4- $\phi$ ). We define the map  $h : X \rightarrow R^\times$  by  $h(x) := f_3(x, x^{-1})$ . Then for any  $x, y \in X$ , it follows

$$h(x \triangleleft y)f_1(x, y) = f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)f_1(x, y) = f_1(e_x, y)f_3(x, x^{-1}) = g_1(x, y)h(x),$$

where the second equality comes from (4-i), and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(x \triangleleft y)f_2(x, y) &= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)f_2(x, y)f_3(e_y, y)f_3(y, y^{-1}) = g_2(x, y)h(y), \\
 h(x \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y) &= f_3(x \triangleleft y, x^{-1} \triangleleft y)\phi_1(x, y) = \psi_1(x, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $a, b \in G_\lambda$ , it follows

$$h(ab)f_3(a, b) = f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})f_3(a, b) = f_3(a, a^{-1}) = g_3(a, b)h(a),$$

where the second equality comes from (0-ii), and

$$\begin{aligned} h(ab)f_4(a, b) &= f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})f_4(a, b)f_3(e_\lambda, b)f_3(b, b^{-1}) \\ &= f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(b, b^{-1}a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, b)f_3(b, b^{-1}) \\ &= f_4(a, a^{-1})f_3(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(b, b^{-1}) \\ &= f_1(e_\lambda, a^{-1})f_3(b, b^{-1}) \\ &= g_4(a, b)h(b), \end{aligned}$$

where the second (resp. third) equality comes from (0-iii) (resp. (0-ii)), and where the fourth equality comes from (1-i), and

$$h(ab)\phi_2(a, b) = f_3(ab, b^{-1}a^{-1})\phi_2(a, b) = \psi_2(a, b).$$

Hence we have  $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \sim_{h,0} (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$ , where 0 denotes the zero map. That is,  $\tilde{X}(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4; \phi_1, \phi_2) \cong \tilde{X}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  by Proposition 4.1. By Lemma 4.2 (2),  $(g_1, g_2; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  is an augmented MCQ Alexander pair. Therefore we obtain that  $\tilde{X}(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4; \psi_1, \psi_2) = \tilde{X}(g_1, g_2; \psi_1, \psi_2)$  by the definitions. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Atsushi Ishii for his valuable comments. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18J10105.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Alexander, *Topological invariants of knots and links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1928), 275–306.
- [2] N. Andruskiewitsch and M. Graña, *From racks to pointed Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **178** (2003), no. 2, 177–243.
- [3] J. S. Carter, A. Ishii, M. Saito and K. Tanaka, *Homology for quandles with partial group operations*, Pacific J. Math. **287** (2017), no. 1, 19–48.
- [4] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford, and M. Saito, *Quandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003) 3947–3989.
- [5] A. Ishii, *Moves and invariants for knotted handlebodies*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), 1403–1418.
- [6] A. Ishii, *A multiple conjugation quandle and handlebody-knots*, Topology Appl. **196** (2015), 492–500.
- [7] A. Ishii, M. Iwakiri, Y. Jang and K. Oshiro, *A G-family of quandles and handlebody-knots*, Illinois J. Math. **57** (2013), 817–838.
- [8] A. Ishii, R. Nikkuni and K. Oshiro, *On calculations of the twisted Alexander ideals for spatial graphs, handlebody-knots and surface links*, Osaka J. Math. **55** (2018), 297–313.
- [9] A. Ishii and K. Oshiro, *Twisted Derivatives with Alexander pairs for quandles*, preprint.
- [10] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **23** (1982) 37–65.
- [11] X. S. Lin, *Representations of knot groups and twisted Alexander polynomials*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **17** (2001), 361–380.
- [12] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119** (161) (1982) 78–88.
- [13] T. Murao, *Linear extensions of multiple conjugation quandles and MCQ Alexander pairs*, to appear in J. Algebra Appl.
- [14] M. Wada, *Twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups*, Topology **33** (1994), no. 2, 241–256.

(T. Murao) GLOBAL EDUCATION CENTER, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 1-6-1 NISHI-WASEDA, SHINJUKU, TOKYO 169-8050, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `tmurao@aoni.waseda.jp`