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#### Abstract

The classical Feynman-Kac identity builds a bridge between stochastic analysis and partial differential equations (PDEs) by providing stochastic representations for classical solutions of linear Kolmogorov PDEs. This opens the door for the derivation of sampling based Monte Carlo approximation methods, which can be meshfree and thereby stand a chance to approximate solutions of PDEs without suffering from the curse of dimensionality. In this article we extend the classical Feynman-Kac formula to certain semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. More specifically, we identify suitable solutions of stochastic fixed point equations (SFPEs), which arise when the classical Feynman-Kac identity is formally applied to semilinear Kolmorogov PDEs, as viscosity solutions of the corresponding PDEs. This justifies, in particular, employing full-history recursive multilevel Picard (MLP) approximation algorithms, which have recently been shown to overcome the curse of dimensionality in the numerical approximation of solutions of SFPEs, in the numerical approximation of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs.
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## 1 Introduction

The classical Feynman-Kac identity (see, e.g., [15, 18, 28, 38]) builds a bridge between stochastic analysis and partial differential equations (PDEs) by providing stochastic representations for classical solutions of linear Kolmogorov PDEs. The fact that certain solutions of linear Kolmogorov PDEs can be expressed as appropriate averages of Itô processes associated with these PDEs opens the door for the derivation of sampling based Monte Carlo approximation methods, which can be meshfree and thereby stand a chance to approximate solutions of PDEs without suffering from the curse of dimensionality. Since PDEs in applications are not always linear, an extension of the classical Feynman-Kac formula to nonlinear PDEs is desirable. One approach to nonlinear Feynman-Kac type formulas passes through backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs); see, e.g., [7, 35] for references on BSDEs and see, e.g., [2, 3, 19, 20, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43] for references on the connection between BSDEs and PDEs. The approach which is pursued in this article is to identify suitable solutions of stochastic fixed point equations (SFPEs), which arise when the classical Feynman-Kac identity is formally applied to semilinear Kolmorogov PDEs by treating the nonlinearity as mere inhomogeneity, as viscosity solutions of the corresponding PDEs; see, e.g., [8, 9, 10, 18, 26] for references on viscosity solutions of PDEs. More specifically, we establish in this article a one-to-one correspondence between viscosity solutions of certain semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs and solutions of the associated SFPEs (see Theorem 3.7 in Section 3.3 below). This justifies, in particular, employing full-history recursive multilevel Picard (MLP) approximation algorithms (see [6, 12, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] for references on MLP approximation algorithms), which have been shown to overcome the curse of dimensionality in the numerical approximation of solutions of SFPEs, in the numerical approximation of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. MLP approximation algorithms are the first and up to now only methods which have been shown to overcome the curse of dimensionality in the numerical approximation of solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. To illustrate the findings of this article, we now present in Theorem 1.1 below a special case of Theorem 3.7 which is the main result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, L, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be locally Lipschitz continuous, let $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be at most polynomially growing, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, assume for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\langle x, \mu(x)\rangle \leqslant L\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right),\|\sigma(x) y\| \leqslant$ $L(1+\|x\|)\|y\|$, and $|f(x, v)-f(x, w)| \leqslant L|v-w|$, let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basi ${ }^{1}$, and let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$-Brownian motion. Then
(i) there exists a unique at most polynomially growing viscosity solution $u \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(x)[\sigma(x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+f(x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$,
(ii) for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted }}$ stochastic process $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying

[^0]that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

(iii) there exists a unique at most polynomially growing $v \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right], \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(iv) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $u(t, x)=v(t, x)$.

Theorem 1.1 above is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.9, Corollary 3.9, in turn, follows from Corollary 3.8 which itself is a special case of Theorem 3.7, the main result of this article. Let us comment on some of the mathematical objects appearing in Theorem 1.1. The real number $L \in(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1.1 above is used to formulate a growth condition, a coercivity type condition, and a Lipschitz continuity condition on the functions $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \sigma: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The real number $T \in(0, \infty)$ specifies the time horizon of the PDE in (11) in Theorem 1.1 above. The functions $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ in Theorem 1.1] above determine the random dynamics in (2) and specify the linear part of the PDE in (1). The assumption that the functions $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ in Theorem 1.1 are locally Lipschitz continuous, the assumption that $\sigma$ is at most linearly growing, and the assumption that $\mu$ satisfies a coercivity type condition, i.e., the assumption that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\langle x, \mu(x)\rangle \leqslant L\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)$, roughly speaking, prevent local solutions of the stochastic differential equation (SDE) in (2) from blowing up (see, e.g., Gyöngy \& Krylov [17]). The function $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in Theorem 1.1]represents the nonlinearity of the semilinear Kolmogorov PDE in (1). The function $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in Theorem 1.1, in turn, specifies the terminal condition of the semilinear Kolmogorov PDE in (11). Theorem 1.1 proves, in particular, the unique existence of an at most polynomially growing viscosity solution $u$ of the PDE in (1) and, moreover, shows that $u$ is the unique at most polynomially growing solution of the SFPE in (3). Related results can be found, e.g., in El Karoui et al. [13, Theorem 8.5], Kalinin [27, Theorem 2.3], Ma \& Zhang [33, Theorem 4.2], Pardoux [34, Theorem 4.6], Pardoux \& Peng [36, Theorem 4.3], Pardoux \& Tang [39, Theorem 5.1], Pardoux et al. [37, Theorem 4.1], and Peng [41, Theorem 3.2]. Note that, roughly speaking, these results are, on the one hand, more general than Theorem 1.1 above with regard to the assumptions on the nonlinearity $f$ in Theorem 1.1 and, on the other hand, less general than Theorem 1.1 above with regard to the assumptions on the coefficients $\mu$ and $\sigma$ of the SDE in (2) in Theorem 1.1 above. In addition, observe that in general the viscosity solution $u \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ of the PDE in (1) fails to be a classical solution of the PDE in (11). Indeed, Hutzenthaler et al. [18] implies that there exist admissible choices for the functions $\mu, \sigma$, and $g$ in Theorem 1.1 such that the unique at most polynomially growing viscosity solution of the PDE in (11) with $f=\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \ni(x, a) \mapsto 0 \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ is not locally Hölder continuous (cf., e.g., Elworthy [14 and Li \& Scheutzow [30] for related results). Next we comment on the proof of Theorem 1.1. Item (iii) is well-known in the scientific literature (see, e.g., Gyöngy \& Krylov [17]) and Item (iii) follows from [5, Corollary 3.10]. In order to prove Items (ii) and (iv) we first show in Proposition [2.23 in Section[2.5 (see also the proof of Theorem 3.7 in Section (3.3) that the unique at most polynomially growing solution of the SFPE in (3) is a viscosity solution of the PDE in (1) and, thereafter, we show in Proposition 3.5 in Section 3.1 that there is at most one at most polynomially growing viscosity solution of the terminal value problem in Item (il).

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with a FeynmanKac representation result for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs; see Proposition 2.23 in Section 2.5. Proposition 2.23 is proved by combining a well-known approximation argument (see Corollary 2.20 in Section 2.3) with a well-known result for Feynman-Kac representations of classical solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs (see Lemma 2.2 in Section (2.1) and an essentially well-known approximation result for SDEs (see Lemma 2.21 in Section (2.4). The notion of viscosity solutions as well as some basic properties of viscosity solutions are recalled in Section [2.2, Section 3 deals with existence, uniqueness, and FeynmanKac representation results for viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. In Section 3.1 we establish suitable uniqueness results for suitable viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs (see Proposition [3.5 in Section 3.1). In Section 3.2 we reprove an essentially well-known existence result for solutions of SDEs which is originally due to Gyöngy \& Krylov [17]. Finally, in Section [3.3, we combine the existence and uniqueness result for SFPEs in [5, Theorem 3.8], the Feynman-Kac representation result for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs in Proposition 2.23, and the uniqueness result in Proposition 3.5 to establish Theorem 3.7, the main result of this article. We conclude this article by presenting in Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 3.11 in Section 3.3 below a few illustrative applications of Theorem 3.7,

## 2 Linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov partial differential equations (PDEs)

In this section we recall the definitions of a viscosity subsolution (see Definition 2.5 in Section 2.2 below), of a viscosity supersolution (see Definition 2.6 in Section 2.2 below), and of a viscosity solution (see Definition 2.7 in Section 2.2 below) in the case of a suitable class of degenerate parabolic PDEs, which in particular includes linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs as special cases, and we establish in Proposition 2.23 in Section 2.5 below a Feynman-Kac type representation result for viscosity solutions of such linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs. The Feynman-Kac type representation result in Proposition 2.23 in Section 2.5 will be employed in our proof of Theorem 3.7 in Section 3.3 below, the main result of this article. Our proof of Proposition 2.23, in turn, is based on the combination of the following three essentially well-known results: (i) the existence and Feynman-Kac type representation result for classical solutions of certain linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs in Lemma 2.2 in Section 2.1 below, (ii) the approximation result for viscosity solutions of degenerate parabolic PDEs in Corollary 2.20 in Section 2.3 below, and (iii) the approximation result for solutions of SDEs in Lemma 2.21 in Section 2.4 below.

In Section 2.1 we establish in the essentially well-known result in Lemma 2.2 that a linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDE with smooth and compactly supported drift and diffusion coefficients, with a smooth terminal condition, and with a smooth inhomogeneity admits a classical solution. For the sake of completeness we also provide in Section 2.1] detailed proof for Lemma 2.2, In Section [2.2 we specify in Definitions 2.4 and 2.7 below the well-known notions of a degenerate elliptic function and of a viscosity solution (cf. also, for example, Crandall et al. [9, Sections 2 and 8], Hairer et al. [18, Section 4.1 and Definition 4.1], and Peng [40, Definition 1.2 in Appendix C]) which are used in this article. In addition, in Section 2.2 we also briefly recall in Lemma 2.8, Lemma 2.9, Lemma 2.10, Lemma 2.16, and Lemma 2.17 some elementary and well-known properties of viscosity solutions which are employed later on in this article. In particular, Lemma 2.8 recalls that every classical solution is also a viscosity solution, Lemma 2.9 recalls an equivalent characterization for the notion of a viscosity subsolution, Lemma 2.10 proves, roughly speaking, that under suitable assumptions the notion of a viscosity subsolution in Definition 2.5 is consistent with the notion of a viscosity subsolution in Hairer et al. [18, Definition 4.1], and Lemma 2.16]
and Lemma 2.17 provide an equivalent characterization for the notion of a viscosity subsolution based on the notion of a parabolic superjet, which we briefly recall in Definition 2.11 (cf. also Crandall et al. [9, Section 8] and Peng [40, Appendix C]). In Section [2.3] we establish in the essentially well-known results in Lemma 2.18, Corollary 2.19, and Corollary 2.20 (cf., e.g., Crandall et al. [9, Lemma 6.1], Hairer et al. [18, Lemma 4.8], and Imbert \& Silvestre [26, Proposition 2.3.11]) approximation results for viscosity subsolutions, viscosity supersolutions, and viscosity solutions. Our proof of Lemma 2.18 is strongly inspired by Hairer et al. [18, Lemma 4.8] (cf. also Barles \& Perthame [4, Theorem A.2]), Corollary 2.19 is a rather direct consequence of Lemma 2.18, and Corollary 2.20 follows immediately from Lemma 2.18 and Corollary 2.19. In Section 2.4 we recall in Lemma 2.21 an essentially well-known result on the continuous dependence of solutions of SDEs on their initial values. In Section 2.5 we establish in Proposition 2.23 an existence result for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs. Our proof of Proposition 2.23 employs Lemma 2.22 together with the approximation result for viscosity solutions of degenerate parabolic PDEs in Corollary 2.20. Lemma 2.22, in turn, uses the existence and Feynman-Kac type representation result for classical solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs in Lemma 2.2, the approximation result for viscosity solutions of degenerate parabolic PDEs in Corollary 2.20, and the approximation result for solutions of SDEs in Lemma 2.21,

### 2.1 Existence results for classical solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs

Lemma 2.1. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, L, T \in(0, \infty), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mu \in C([0, T] \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+$ $\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|\|L\| x-y \|$, let $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) / \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$-measurable, let $h:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{B}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right) / \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$-measurable, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open set which satisfies $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq$ $[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$, assume that $\sup (\{|g(x)|+|h(t, x)|: t \in[0, T], x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\} \cup\{0\})<\infty$, assume for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\int_{0}^{T}|h(t, x)| d t<\infty$, let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$-Brownian motion, and let $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}{ }^{-}$ adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\xi+\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|h\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right| d t\right]<\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. To prove (5) we distinguish between the case $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \mathcal{O}$ and the case $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. We first prove (5) in the case $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \mathcal{O}$. Note that the assumption that $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq$ $[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$ ensures that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall t \in[0, T]: X_{t}=\xi\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [5, Item (i) in Lemma 3.4]). Combining this with the assumption that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\int_{0}^{T}|h(t, x)| d t<\infty$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|h\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right| d t\right]=|g(\xi)|+\int_{0}^{T}|h(t, \xi)| d t<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes (5) in the case $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \mathcal{O}$. Next we prove (5) in the case $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Observe that the assumption that $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$ yields that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall t \in[0, T]: X_{t} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [5. Item (ii) in Lemma 3.4]). Combining this with the assumption that $\sup (\{|g(x)|+|h(t, x)|: t \in$
$[0, T], x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\} \cup\{0\})<\infty$ assures that we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}\right)\right|+\int_{0}^{T}\left|h\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right| d t\right] \leqslant\left[\sup _{x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}}|g(x)|\right]+T\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}}|h(t, x)|\right]<\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes (5) in the case $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.2. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\mu:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ be infinitely often differentiable functions with compact support, let $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be infinitely often differentiable functions, let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard
 be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}$-adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Lemma 2.1). Then
(i) we have that $u \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and
(ii) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $u(T, x)=g(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Throughout this proof let $o \in(0, \infty), \mathfrak{o} \in(o, \infty)$, assume that $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup$ $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq[0, T] \times(-o, o)^{d}$, let $\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, let $\mathfrak{m}: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathfrak{s}: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times m}, \mathfrak{g}: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{h}: \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be infinitely often differentiable functions with bounded derivatives which satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $y \in[-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o}]^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathfrak{m}(t, x)=\binom{1}{\mu(t, x)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(t, x)=\binom{0}{\sigma(t, x)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times m},  \tag{11}\\
\mathfrak{g}(t, y)=g(y) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{h}(t, y)=h(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}
\end{gather*}
$$

(cf., for instance, Seeley 42]), for every $s \in[0, T], t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ let $Y^{s,(t, x)}=\left(Y_{r}^{s,(t, x)}\right)_{r \in[s, T]}:[s, T] \times$ $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{r}\right)_{r \in[s, T]}$-adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $r \in[s, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{r}^{s,(t, x)}=\binom{t}{x}+\int_{s}^{r} \mathfrak{m}\left(Y_{q}^{s,(t, x)}\right) d q+\int_{s}^{r} \mathfrak{s}\left(Y_{q}^{s,(t, x)}\right) d W_{q} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.9]), for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ let $Z^{t, x}=$ $\left(Z_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ satisfy for all $s \in[t, T]$ that $Z_{s}^{t, x}=\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)$, and let $v:[0, T] \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $w:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $s \in[0, T], t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(s, t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}\left(Y_{T}^{s,(t, x)}\right)\right] \quad \text { and } \quad w(s, t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{h}\left(Y_{T}^{s,(t, x)}\right)\right] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the assumption that $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq[0, T] \times(-o, o)^{d}$ ensures that for all $t \in[0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash(-o, o)^{d}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: X_{s}^{t, x}=x\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [5, Item (i) in Lemma 3.4]). This implies that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash(-o, o)^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right]=g(x)+\int_{t}^{T} h(s, x) d s \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $g$ and $h$ are infinitely often differentiable and the fact that $(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup$ $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq[0, T] \times(-o, o)^{d}$ therefore assure that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash[-o, o]^{d}$ we have that $\left.u\right|_{[0, T] \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash[-o, o]^{d}\right)} \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash[-o, o]^{d}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+h(t, x) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Next note that, e.g., Da Prato \& Zabczyk [11, Theorem 7.4.5 and Theorem 7.5.1] (cf. also, e.g., Gihman \& Skorokhod [15, Theorem 2.8.1 and Corollary 2.8.1] and Andersson et al. [1, Theorem 1.1]) and (13) guarantee that
(I) we have that $v, w \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$,
(II) we have for all $s \in[0, T], t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v\right)(s, t, x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}(t, x)[\mathfrak{s}(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{(t, x)} v\right)(s, t, x)\right)+\left\langle\left\langle\mathfrak{m}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{(t, x)} v\right)(s, t, x)\right\rangle\right\rangle  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(s, t, x)\right)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(s, t, x)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)(s, t, x)\right\rangle,
\end{align*}
$$

and
(III) we have for all $s \in[0, T], t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w\right)(s, t, x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}(t, x)[\mathfrak{s}(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{(t, x)} w\right)(s, t, x)\right)+\left\langle\left\langle\mathfrak{m}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{(t, x)} w\right)(s, t, x)\right\rangle\right\rangle  \tag{17}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} w\right)(s, t, x)\right)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w\right)(s, t, x)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} w\right)(s, t, x)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, observe that (8), (11), and the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $Z_{s}^{t, x}=\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)$ ensure that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{s}^{t, x} & =\binom{s}{X_{s}^{t, x}}=\binom{t}{x}+\int_{t}^{s}\binom{1}{\mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right)} d r+\int_{t}^{s}\binom{0}{\sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right)} d W_{r} \\
& =\binom{t}{x}+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{m}\left(Z_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{s}\left(Z_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $Z^{t, x}$ is an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]^{-} \text {-adapted }}$ stochastic process with continuous sample paths, (121), e.g., Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.5], and (18) demonstrates that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: Z_{s}^{t, x}=Y_{s}^{t,(t, x)}\right)=1 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: X_{s}^{t, x} \in[-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o}]^{d}\right)=1$, (11), and (13)) therefore yield that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}\left(Y_{T}^{t,(t, x)}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}\left(Z_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}\left(T, X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, note that (11), (13), (19), the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: X_{s}^{t, x} \in[-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o}]^{d}\right)=1$, and the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{T}^{s,(t, x)} \in B\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{T-s+t}^{t,(t, x)} \in B\right)$ (cf., e.g., Klenke [29, Theorem 26.8]) demonstrate that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
w(T-s+t, t, x) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{h}\left(Y_{T}^{T-s+t,(t, x)}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{h}\left(Y_{s}^{t,(t, x)}\right)\right]  \tag{21}\\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{h}\left(Z_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{h}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

This, (9), and (20) show for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=v(t, t, x)+\int_{t}^{T} w(T-s+t, t, x) d s \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that $v, w \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and the chain rule ensures that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ we have that $\left.u\right|_{[0, T] \times(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}} \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v\right)(t, t, x)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, t, x)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[\int_{t}^{T} w(T-s+t, t, x) d s\right] . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, note that (16) and (17) yield that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v\right)(t, t, x)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, t, x) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, t, x)\right)-\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)(t, t, x)\right\rangle \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w\right)(s, t, x)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w\right)(s, t, x)  \tag{25}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} w\right)(s, t, x)\right)-\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} w\right)(s, t, x)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

This ensures for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[\int_{t}^{T} w(T-s+t, t, x) d s\right] \\
& =-w(T, t, x)+\int_{t}^{t}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)\right) d s  \tag{26}\\
& =-w(T, t, x)-\int_{t}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)\right) d s \\
& \quad-\int_{t}^{T}\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)\right\rangle d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Next observe that the fact that $w \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ proves that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)\right) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left[\operatorname{Hess}_{x}\left(\int_{t}^{T} w(T-s+t, t, x) d s\right)\right]\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{T}\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} w\right)(T-s+t, t, x)\right\rangle d s=\left\langle\mu(t, x), \nabla_{x}\left(\int_{t}^{T} w(T-s+t, t, x) d s\right)\right\rangle \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that (8) and (21) ensure for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(T, t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[h\left(t, X_{t}^{t, x}(x)\right)\right]=h(t, x) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (22)-(28) shows for all $t \in[0, T], x \in(-\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{o})^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)-\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle-h(t, x) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and (15) demonstrate for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $u \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)-\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle-h(t, x) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes Item (ii). Furthermore, observe that (8)) and (9) demonstrate that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $u(T, x)=g(x)$. Combining this with (31) establishes Item (iii). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

### 2.2 Basic properties of viscosity solutions of suitable PDEs

Definition 2.3 (Symmetric matrices). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we denote by $\mathbb{S}_{d}$ the set given by $\mathbb{S}_{d}=\left\{A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: A^{*}=A\right\}$.

Definition 2.4 (Degenerate elliptic functions). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then we say that $G$ is degenerate elliptic on $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ (we say that $G$ is degenerate elliptic) if and only if
(i) we have that $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function from $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and
(ii) we have for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A, B \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ with $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\langle A y, y\rangle \leqslant\langle B y, y\rangle$ that $G(t, x, r, p, A) \leqslant G(t, x, r, p, B)$
(cf. Definition 2.3).
Definition 2.5 (Viscosity subsolutions). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, and let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic (cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.4). Then we say that $u$ is a viscosity solution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (we say that $u$ is a viscosity subsolution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right.$, $\left.\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0$ for $\left.(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}\right)$ if and only if there exists a set $A$ such that
(i) we have that $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \subseteq A$,
(ii) we have that $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an upper semi-continuous function from $A$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and
(iii) we have for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi(t, x)=u(t, x)$ and $\phi \geqslant u$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, \phi(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.6 (Viscosity supersolutions). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, and let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic (cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.4). Then we say that $u$ is a viscosity solution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (we say that $u$ is a viscosity supersolution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right.$, $\left.\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0$ for $\left.(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}\right)$ if and only if there exists a set $A$ such that
(i) we have that $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \subseteq A$,
(ii) we have that $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semi-continuous function from $A$ to $\mathbb{R}$, and
(iii) we have for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi(t, x)=u(t, x)$ and $\phi \leqslant u$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, \phi(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.7 (Viscosity solutions). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, and let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic (cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.4). Then we say that $u$ is a viscosity solution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ if and only if
(i) we have that $u$ is a viscosity subsolution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t\right.$, $x))=0$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ and
(ii) we have that $u$ is a viscosity supersolution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t\right.$, $x))=0$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$
(cf. Definitions 2.5 and 2.6).
Lemma 2.8. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, and let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic (cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.4 ). Then
(i) we have for every $u \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\forall t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G(t, x, u(t, x)$, $\left.\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0$ that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$,
(ii) we have for every $u \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\forall t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G(t, x, u(t, x)$, $\left.\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0$ that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, and
(iii) we have for every $u \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\forall t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G(t, x, u(t, x)$, $\left.\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0$ that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$
(cf. Definitions 2.5 2.7).
Proof of Lemma 2.8. First, note that (32) establishes Item (i). Next observe that (33) proves Item (iii). Moreover, note that Item (ii) and Item (iii) establish Item (iii). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.8 .

Lemma 2.9. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, $\mathfrak{t} \in(0, T)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open set, let $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{O}$, $\phi \in$ $C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic, let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a viscosity solution of $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0$ for $(t, x) \in$ $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, and assume that $u-\phi$ has a local maximum at $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definitions 2.3 2.5). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+G\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}, u(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.9. First, observe that the fact that $u$ is upper semi-continuous implies that there exist $\psi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ and a non-empty open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ which satisfy that
(i) we have that $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}) \in \mathcal{U}$,
(ii) we have for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $u(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})-\psi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}) \geqslant u(t, x)-\psi(t, x)$, and
(iii) we have for all $(t, x) \in \mathcal{U}$ that $\psi(t, x)=\phi(t, x)$.

Hence, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+G\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}, u(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+G\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}, u(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\nabla_{x} \psi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.9,
Lemma 2.10. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic and upper semi-continuous, let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be upper semi-continuous, and assume for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in\left\{\psi \in C^{2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}):(u-\right.$ $\psi$ has a local maximum at $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O})\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.4). Then $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 2.5).
Proof of Lemma 2.10. Throughout this proof let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, let $t_{0} \in(0, T), x_{0} \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in$ $C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy for all $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\phi(s, y) \geqslant u(s, y)$ and $\phi\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$, let $\psi_{0} \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy for all $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\psi_{0}(s, y)=\phi(s, y)+\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{4}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{4}$, let $\eta \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy that $\left\{(s, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}: \max \left\{\left|s-t_{0}\right|,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|\right\} \leqslant \eta\right\} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, and let $I_{r} \in[0, \infty)$, $r \in(0, \eta]$, satisfy for all $r \in(0, \eta]$ that $I_{r}=\frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{\psi_{0}(s, y)-u(s, y):(s, y) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, r^{2} \leqslant\right.$ $\left.\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \eta^{2}\right\}$. Observe that the fact that for all $(s, y) \in[(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}] \backslash\left\{\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ we have that $\psi_{0}(s, y)>\phi(s, y)$ ensures that for all $(s, y) \in[(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}] \backslash\left\{\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ we have that $\psi_{0}(s, y)>u(s, y)$ and $\psi_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$. The assumption that $u$ is upper semi-continuous hence guarantees that for all $r \in(0, \eta]$ we have that $I_{r} \in(0, \infty)$. Moreover, note that there exist $\psi_{n} \in C^{2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}[ & \sup _{(s, y) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{n}\right)(s, y)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{0}\right)(s, y)\right|+\left|\psi_{n}(s, y)-\psi_{0}(s, y)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{n}\right)(s, y)-\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}\right)(s, y)\right\|+\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi_{n}\right)(s, y)-\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi_{0}\right)(s, y)\right\| \|\right)\right]=0 . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that there exists $\mathfrak{n}=\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}, \infty\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|\psi_{n}(s, y)-\psi_{0}(s, y)\right|:(s, y) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O},\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \eta^{2}\right\}<\varepsilon \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $I_{\eta} \in(0, \infty)$ and (41) hence ensure that there exist $\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{I_{\eta}}, \infty\right), s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \eta^{2}$ that $\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-y_{0}\right\|^{2}<\eta^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\psi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \geqslant u(s, y)-\psi_{n}(s, y) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (39) proves that for all $n \in\left[\mathfrak{n}_{I_{n}}, \infty\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi_{n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that (42) and (43) imply that for all $r \in(0, \eta), n \in\left[\mathfrak{n}_{I_{r}}, \infty\right)$ we have that $\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+$ $\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqslant r^{2}$. Therefore, we obtain that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)=0$. Combining this with (41), (43), and the assumption that $u$ is upper semi-continuous shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \geqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \\
& \geqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\psi_{n}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\psi_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\left(\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)=0$, the fact that $\psi_{0} \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, (41), the assumption that $G$ is upper semi-continuous, and (44) hence demonstrate that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+G\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right.  \tag{46}\\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+G\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \geqslant 0 .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

This establishes (40). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.10.
Definition 2.11 (Parabolic superjets). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then we denote by $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$ (we denote by $\left.\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)\right)$ the set given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\mathcal{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{+} u\right)(t, x)=\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x) & =\left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:\right. \\
& \limsup \quad[(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \backslash\{(t, x)\} \ni(s, y) \rightarrow(t, x) \tag{47}
\end{array}\left[\frac{u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle A(y-x), y-x\rangle}{|t-s|+\| x-\left.y\right|^{2}}\right] \leqslant 0\right\},
$$

(cf. Definition 2.3).
Definition 2.12 (Parabolic subjets). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then we denote by $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{-} u\right)(t, x)$ (we denote by $\left.\left(\mathcal{P}^{-} u\right)(t, x)\right)$ the set given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{-} u\right)(t, x)=\left(\mathcal{P}^{-} u\right)(t, x)= & \left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:\right. \\
& \liminf \quad  \tag{48}\\
{[(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}]\{\{(t, x)\} \ni(s, y) \rightarrow(t, x)} & {\left.\left[\frac{u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle A(y-x), y-x\rangle}{|t-s|+\| x-\left.y\right|^{2}}\right] \geqslant 0\right\} }
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. Definition 2.3).

Definition 2.13 (Generalized parabolic superjets). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then we denote by $\left(\mathfrak{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$ (we denote by $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$ ) the set given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathfrak{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{+} u\right)(t, x)=\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x) & =\left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:\right. \\
& \left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\exists\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}: \\
\left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}:\left(b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \text { and } \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right)=(t, x, u(t, x), b, p, A)
\end{array}\right)\right\} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.11).
Definition 2.14 (Generalized parabolic subjets). Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then we denote by $\left(\mathfrak{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{-} u\right)(t, x)$ (we denote by $\left(\mathfrak{P}^{-} u\right)(t, x)$ ) the set given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathfrak{P}_{d, T, \mathcal{O}}^{-} u\right)(t, x)=\left(\mathfrak{P}^{-} u\right)(t, x) & =\left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:\right. \\
& \left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\exists\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}: \\
\left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}:\left(b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{-} u\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \text { and } \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right)=(t, x, u(t, x), b, p, A)
\end{array}\right)\right\} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3 and 2.12).
Lemma 2.15. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $u:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be upper semi-continuous, and let $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O},(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$. Then there exists $\phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ such that
(i) we have that $\left(b, p, A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)=\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right)$ and
(ii) we have that $u-\phi$ has a local maximum at $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$
(cf. Definition 2.11).
Proof of Lemma 2.15. Throughout this proof let $\Phi:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\Phi(s, y)= \begin{cases}\max \left\{\frac{u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)(y-x), y-x\right\rangle}{|s-t|}, 0\right\} & : s \neq t  \tag{51}\\ 0 & : s=t\end{cases}
$$

Observe that (47) ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \backslash\{(t, x)\} \ni(s, y) \rightarrow(t, x)}\left[\frac{u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle A(y-x), y-x\rangle}{|s-t|+\|y-x\|^{2}}\right] \leqslant 0 . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the assumption that $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ imply that there exists $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ which satisfies that
(I) we have that $[t-\rho, t+\rho] \times\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\| \leqslant \rho\right\} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ and
(II) we have for all $s \in[t-\rho, t+\rho], y \in\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|z-x\| \leqslant \rho\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)(y-x), y-x\right\rangle \leqslant \varepsilon|s-t| . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(r)=\sup \{\Phi(s, y):(s, y) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O},|s-t| \leqslant|r|,\|y-x\| \leqslant \rho\} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$
\Psi(r)= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{|r|} \int_{0}^{2 r} \int_{0}^{s} \eta(\theta) d \theta d s & : r \neq 0  \tag{55}\\ 0 & : r=0\end{cases}
$$

and let $\psi:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\psi(s, y)=b(s-t)+\Psi(s-t)+\langle p, y-$ $x\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)(y-x), y-x\right\rangle$. Note that the assumption that $u$ is upper semi-continuous, (51), (531), (54), and (55) ensure that
(a) we have for all $r \in[0, \infty), s \in[r, \infty)$ that $\eta(r) \leqslant \eta(s)<\infty, \eta(0)=0$, and $\eta(-r)=\eta(r)$,
(b) we have that $\Psi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$,
(c) we have that $\Psi^{\prime}(0)=0$,
(d) we have for all $s \in[t-\rho, t+\rho], y \in\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|z-x\| \leqslant \rho\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(s, y)-u(t, x)-b(s-t)-\langle p, y-x\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)(y-x), y-x\right\rangle \\
& \leqslant|s-t| \Phi(s, y) \leqslant|s-t| \eta(|s-t|) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and
(e) we have for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(r)=\frac{2}{|r|} \int_{0}^{2|r|} \int_{0}^{s} \eta(\theta) d \theta d s \geqslant \frac{2}{|r|} \int_{|r|}^{2|r|} \int_{|r|}^{s} \eta(r) d \theta d s=|r| \eta(r) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that for all $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\psi(s, y)=b(s-t)+\Psi(s-t)+\langle p, y-x\rangle+$ $\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)(y-x), y-x\right\rangle$ hence ensures that
(A) we have that $\psi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$,
(B) we have that $\left(b, p, A+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)=\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi\right)(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \psi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \psi\right)(t, x)\right)$, and
(C) we have for all $s \in[t-\rho, t+\rho], y \in\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|z-x\| \leqslant \rho\right\}$ that $u(s, y)-\psi(s, y) \leqslant u(t, x)=$ $u(t, x)-\psi(t, x)$.

This establishes Items (ii) and (iii). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.15.
Lemma 2.16. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic and upper semi-continuous, and let $u:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3 2.5). Then we have for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O},(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b+G(t, x, u(t, x), p, A) \geqslant 0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definition 2.13).

Proof of Lemma 2.16. Throughout this proof let $\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\left(b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(t_{m}, x_{m}, u\left(t_{m}, x_{m}\right), b_{m}, p_{m}, A_{m}\right)=\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right), b_{0}, p_{0}, A_{0}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that Lemma 2.15 ensures that there exist $\phi_{\varepsilon, n} \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), \varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$, which satisfy that
(i) we have for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{n}, p_{n}, A_{n}+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)=\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(ii) we have for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $u-\phi_{\varepsilon, n}$ has a local maximum at $\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 2.9 therefore demonstrates that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{n}+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), p_{n}, A_{n}+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)  \tag{62}\\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The assumption that $G$ is upper semi-continuous therefore ensures for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), p_{n}, A_{n}\right) \geqslant \limsup _{(0, \infty) \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[b_{n}+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u_{n}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), p_{n}, A_{n}+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right)\right] \geqslant 0 . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the assumption that $G$ is upper semi-continuous proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b+G\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right), p_{0}, A_{0}\right) \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[b_{n}+G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, u\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right] \geqslant 0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes (59). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.16,
Lemma 2.17. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $G:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be degenerate elliptic, let $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be upper semi-continuous, and assume for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O},(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b+G(t, x, u(t, x), p, A) \geqslant 0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.11). Then we have that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}(c f$. Definition [2.5).
Proof of Lemma 2.17. First, observe that for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \geqslant u$ and $\phi(t, x)=u(t, x)$ we have that $\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u\right)(t, x)$. Hence, we obtain that for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \geqslant u$ and $\phi(t, x)=$ $u(t, x)$ we have that $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0$. This establishes that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.17.

### 2.3 Approximation results for viscosity solutions of suitable PDEs

Lemma 2.18. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $u_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be functions, let $G_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be degenerate elliptic, assume that $G_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous, assume for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|u_{n}(t, x)-u_{0}(t, x)\right|+\left|G_{n}(t, x, r, p, A)-G_{0}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|\right)\right]=0, \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $u_{n}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{n}\right)(t, x)+G_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definitions (2.3-2.5). Then we have that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 2.5).
Proof of Lemma 2.18. Throughout this proof let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $t_{0} \in(0, T), x_{0} \in \mathcal{O},\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)} \subseteq C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$, $s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\phi_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right), \phi_{0}(s, y) \geqslant u_{0}(s, y)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\varepsilon}(s, y)=\phi_{0}(s, y)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right), \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\eta \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy that $\left\{(s, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}: \max \left\{\left|s-t_{0}\right|,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|\right\} \leqslant \eta\right\} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Note that (68) and the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $u_{n}$ is upper semi-continuous ensure that $u_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous. Moreover, note that (68) assures that there exists $\mathfrak{n}=\left(\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}, \infty\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|u_{n}(s, y)-u_{0}(s, y)\right|:(s, y) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \max \left\{\left|s-t_{0}\right|,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|\right\} \leqslant \eta\right\}<\frac{\varepsilon \eta^{2}}{4} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (71) implies that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}, \infty\right), s \in(0, T), y \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\left|s-t_{0}\right| \leqslant \eta,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \eta$, and $\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \geqslant \eta^{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) & =u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\phi_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)>-\frac{\varepsilon \eta^{2}}{4} \\
& >u_{n}(s, y)-u_{0}(s, y)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{73}\\
& \geqslant u_{n}(s, y)-\phi_{0}(s, y)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|s-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)=u_{n}(s, y)-\phi_{\varepsilon}(s, y) .
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that for every $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $u_{n}-\phi_{\varepsilon}$ is upper semi-continuous therefore guarantees that there exist $\mathfrak{t}=\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)_{(\varepsilon, n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow(0, T)$ and $\mathfrak{x}=\left(\mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)_{(\varepsilon, n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ which satisfy for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}, \infty\right), s \in\left[t_{0}-\eta, t_{0}+\eta\right], y \in\left\{z \in \mathcal{O}:\left\|z-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \eta\right\}$ that $\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)} \in\left(t_{0}-\eta, t_{0}+\eta\right),\left\|\mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-x_{0}\right\|<\eta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right) \geqslant u_{n}(s, y)-\phi_{\varepsilon}(s, y) . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9 and (69) hence prove that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N} \cap\left[\mathfrak{n}_{\varepsilon}, \infty\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)+G_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that (68), (71), and (74) imply that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \\
& \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\phi_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)-u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right]  \tag{76}\\
& \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(\left|\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-t_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|\mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that $u_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous and (68) hence ensure that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)+u_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]  \tag{77}\\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, note that the fact that $\phi_{0} \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, (68), and (74) prove that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \\
& \geqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[u_{n}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

This and (77) show for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right|=0 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $G_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous, the fact that $\phi_{0} \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, (68), (71), and (76) hence imply that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ we have that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \right\rvert\,=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, \phi_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon I\right) \\
& =G_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[G_{0}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right)\right]  \tag{80}\\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[G_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, u_{n}\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (75) assures for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+G_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, \phi_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $G_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous therefore demonstrates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+G_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, u_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes (70). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.18,

Corollary 2.19. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $u_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be functions, let $G_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be degenerate elliptic, assume that $G_{0}$ is lower semi-continuous, assume for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|u_{n}(t, x)-u_{0}(t, x)\right|+\left|G_{n}(t, x, r, p, A)-G_{0}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|\right)\right]=0, \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $u_{n}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{n}\right)(t, x)+G_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definitions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.6). Then we have that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}(c f$. Definition 2.6).
Proof of Corollary 2.19. Throughout this proof let $v_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $H_{n}:(0, T) \times$ $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that $v_{n}(t, x)=-u_{n}(t, x)$ and $H_{n}(t, x)=-G_{n}(t, x,-r,-p,-A)$. Observe that the assumption that $G_{0}$ is lower semi-continuous ensures that $H_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous. Moreover, note that the assumption that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have that $G_{n}$ is degenerate elliptic shows that we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ that $H_{n}$ is degenerate elliptic. Furthermore, observe that (84) assures that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $v_{n}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{n}\right)(t, x)+H_{n}\left(t, x, v_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v_{n}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v_{n}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. In addition, note that (83) proves that for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq$ $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|v_{n}(t, x)-v_{0}(t, x)\right|+\left|H_{n}(t, x, r, p, A)-H_{0}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|\right)\right]=0 . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this, (86), the fact that $H_{0}$ is upper semi-continuous, and the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have that $H_{n}$ is degenerate elliptic with Lemma 2.18 demonstrates that $v_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{0}\right)(t, x)+H_{0}\left(t, x, v_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Hence, we obtain that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.19,
Corollary 2.20. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $u_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be functions, let $G_{n}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, be degenerate elliptic, assume that $G_{0}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, assume for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|G_{n}(t, x, r, p, A)-G_{0}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|+\left|u_{n}(t, x)-u_{0}(t, x)\right|\right)\right]=0, \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $u_{n}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{n}\right)(t, x)+G_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{n}\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definitions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.7). Then we have that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Corollary 2.20. First, observe that Lemma 2.18 ensures that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Next note that Corollary 2.19 proves that $u_{0}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{0}\right)(t, x)+G_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{0}\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Combining this with (93) establishes (92). This completes the proof of Corollary 2.20.

### 2.4 Approximation results for solutions of stochastic differential equations (SDEs)

Lemma 2.21. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an non-empty open set, let $\mu_{n} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $\sigma_{n} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash\{x\}}\left(\frac{\left\|\mu_{n}(t, x)-\mu_{n}(t, y)\right\|+\left\|\sigma_{n}(t, x)-\sigma_{n}(t, y)\right\|}{\|x-y\|}\right)<\infty, \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left(\left\|\mu_{n}(t, x)-\mu_{0}(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\sigma_{n}(t, x)-\sigma_{0}(t, x)\right\| \|\right)\right]=0 \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ let $X^{n, t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}$-adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{n, t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d W_{r} . \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{s \in[t, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right]\right)\right]=0 . \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 2.21. Throughout this proof let $L \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu_{0}(t, x)-\mu_{0}(t, y)\right\|+\left\|\sigma_{0}(t, x)-\sigma_{0}(t, y)\right\| \leqslant L\|x-y\| . \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, e.g., Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.9] ensures that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, t \in[0, T]$, $x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s \in[t, T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next observe that (97) proves that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}=\int_{t}^{s}\left(\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right) d W_{r} . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minkowski's inequality and Itô's isometry hence ensure that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T]$, $x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant & \int_{t}^{s}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2} d r \\
& +\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{t}^{s}\left(\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right) d W_{r}\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{102}\\
\leqslant & \int_{t}^{s}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2} d r \\
& +\left(\int_{t}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] d r\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

The fact that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $(a+b)^{2} \leqslant 2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality therefore show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right] \leqslant & 2\left[\int_{t}^{s}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{1 / 2} d r\right]^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] d r  \tag{103}\\
\leqslant & 2 T \int_{t}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] d r \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] d r
\end{align*}
$$

This and the fact that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $(a+b)^{2} \leqslant 2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}$ prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant 2 T \int_{t}^{s}\left(2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right) d r  \tag{104}\\
& +2 \int_{t}^{s}\left(2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{n, t, x}\right)-\sigma_{0}\left(r, X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]\right) d r .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (99) demonstrates that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right] \leqslant 4 L^{2}(T+1) \int_{t}^{s} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{r}^{n, t, x}-X_{r}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right] d r \\
& +4 T(T+1)\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left\|\mu_{n}(r, y)-\mu_{0}(r, y)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\sigma_{n}(r, y)-\sigma_{0}(r, y)\right\|^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

Gronwall's inequality and (100) hence imply that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], s \in[t, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|X_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{0, t, x}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leqslant 4 T(T+1)\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left\|\mu_{n}(r, y)-\mu_{0}(r, y)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\sigma_{n}(r, y)-\sigma_{0}(r, y)\right\|^{2}\right)\right] e^{4 L^{2} T(T+1)} . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

This and (96) establish (98). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.21,

### 2.5 Existence results for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs

Lemma 2.22. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \| \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, $h \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, assume that $\mu$ and $\sigma$ have compact supports, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{x\}}\left[\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}\right]<\infty, \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion, for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ let $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all } s \in[t, T]}$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Lemma 2.1). Then we have that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ (cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Lemma 2.22. Throughout this proof let $\mathcal{K}=(\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)) \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\rho \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy $\mathcal{K} \subseteq[0, T] \times(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$, let $\mathfrak{m}_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_{n} \in C^{\infty}([0, T] \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{n}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}\right)\right] \subseteq[0, T] \times(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mu(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\sigma(t, x)\right\|\right)\right]=0, \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\mathfrak{g}_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathfrak{h}_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\| \leqslant n}\left(\left|\mathfrak{g}_{n}(x)-g(x)\right|+\left|\mathfrak{h}_{n}(t, x)-h(t, x)\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}, \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $G^{n, k}:(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in(0, T), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{0,0}(t, x, r, p, A) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x) \sigma(t, x)^{*} A\right)+\langle\mu(t, x), p\rangle+h(t, x),  \tag{113}\\
G^{0, k}(t, x, r, p, A) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x) \sigma(t, x)^{*} A\right)+\langle\mu(t, x), p\rangle+\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x), \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{n, k}(t, x, r, p, A)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x) \mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)^{*} A\right)+\left\langle\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x), p\right\rangle+\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x), \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{X}^{n, t, x}=\left(\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]^{-}}$ adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{n}\left(r, \mathfrak{X}_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(r, \mathfrak{X}_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d W_{r} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., for example, Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.9]), and let $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Lemma 2.1). Note that Lemma 2.2 (applied with $g \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_{k}, h \leftarrow \mathfrak{h}_{k}, \mu \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}_{n}, \sigma \leftarrow \mathfrak{s}_{n}$, $X^{t, x} \leftarrow \mathfrak{X}^{n, t, x}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ in the notation of Lemma (2.2) establishes that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k} \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(T, x)=\mathfrak{g}_{k}(x)$, and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\left[\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
+\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x)=0 . \tag{119}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.8 hence implies that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\left[\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
+\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x)=0 \tag{120}
\end{array}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Next note that (108), (116), and the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{n}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\mu) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\sigma)\right) \subseteq[0, T] \times(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ demonstrate that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}=x=X_{s}^{t, x}\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [5. Item (i) in Lemma 3.4]). Hence, we obtain for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ that $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)=\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)$. Combining this with (117) and (118) assures that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[\left|\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)-\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)\right|\right]=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left[\left|\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)-\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)\right|\right] \\
& \leqslant \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right| d s\right]\right) . \tag{121}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, observe that the fact that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{g}_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, the fact that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{h}_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, the fact that $(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ is convex, the fact that $[-\rho, \rho]^{d}$ is compact, and the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: X_{s}^{t, x} \in[-\rho, \rho]^{d}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x} \in[-\rho, \rho]^{d}\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [5, Item (ii) in Lemma 3.4]) yields that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right]\right) \\
& \leqslant \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup _{y \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left\|\left(\nabla \mathfrak{g}_{k}\right)(y)\right\|\right)\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}-X_{T}^{t, x}\right\|\right]\right)  \tag{122}\\
& \leqslant \underbrace{\left(\sup _{y \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left\|\left(\nabla \mathfrak{g}_{k}\right)(y)\right\|\right)}_{<\infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}-X_{T}^{t, x}\right\|\right]\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right| d s\right]\right) \\
& \leqslant \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left(\sup _{y \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\right)(s, y)\right\|\right)\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{t, x}\right\| d s\right]\right)  \tag{123}\\
& \leqslant\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\right)(t, x)\right\|\right)\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{t, x}\right\| d s\right]\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, note that Lemma 2.21 ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in(-\rho, \rho)^{d}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}-X_{T}^{t, x}\right\|\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left\|\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}-X_{s}^{t, x}\right\| d s\right]\right)\right]=0 . \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (121)-(123) guarantees that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left|\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)-\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)\right|\right)\right]=0 \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that (120) proves that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)+G^{n, k}\left(t, x, \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Furthermore, observe that (111) yields that for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{C}}\left|G^{n, k}(t, x, r, p, A)-G^{0, k}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{C}}\left(\left\|\mu(t, x)-\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)\right\|\|p\|\right)\right]  \tag{127}\\
& +\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{C}}\left(\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\left[\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right]^{*}-\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\right\|\| \| A \|\right)\right]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This, (125), (126), the fact that $G^{0,0}$ is continuous, and Corollary 2.20 demonstrate that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x)+G^{0, k}\left(t, x, \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Moreover, observe that (112) ensures that for all compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)-g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right]\right] \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{\substack{(t, x) \in \mathcal{C},\|x\| \leqslant k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)-g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right]\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{\substack{t \in[0, T]\\
}} \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{C}(-, \rho, \rho)^{d},\|x\| \leqslant k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)-g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right]\right]  \tag{129}\\
& \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\| \leqslant k}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(x)-g(x)\right|\right] \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)-h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right| d s\right]\right] \\
& =\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{\substack{(t, x) \in \mathcal{C},\|x\| \leqslant k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)-h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right| d s\right]\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
\|x\| \leqslant k}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{T}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)-h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right| d s\right]\right]  \tag{130}\\
& \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[T \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
\|x\| \leqslant k}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x)-h(t, x)\right|\right] \leqslant \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{T}{k}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (109), (118), and (129) proves that for all compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}}\left|\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)-u(t, x)\right|\right]=0 . \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.20, the fact that for all non-empty compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$ we have that $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x, r, p, A) \in \mathcal{C}}\left|G^{0, k}(t, x, r, p, A)-G^{0,0}(t, x, r, p, A)\right|\right]=0$, (128), and (131) show that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G^{0,0}\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. This assures that $u$ is a viscosity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Next note that (108) and (109) ensure that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $u(T, x)=g(x)$. Combining this with (133) establishes (110). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.22.

Proposition 2.23. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, for every $r \in$ $(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\|x\| \leqslant r\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $g \in C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), h \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), \mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), V \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times$ $\mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$, assume for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left(\left\{\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}: t \in[0, T], x, y \in O_{r}, x \neq y\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)<\infty \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \leqslant 0 \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume that $\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} V(t, x)\right]=\infty$ and $\inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{V(T, x)}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\frac{|h(t, x)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0$, let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard
$\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{\left.t \in[0, T] \text {-Brownian motion, for every } t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O} \text { let } X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\right) .}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all }}$ $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} h\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right] . \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Proposition 2.23. Throughout this proof let $\mathfrak{g}_{n} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathfrak{h}_{n} \in C([0, T] \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, be compactly supported functions which satisfy $\left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{n}\right)\right] \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$, $\left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{n}\right)\right] \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{\left|\mathfrak{g}_{n}(x)-g(x)\right|}{V(T, x)}+\frac{\left|\mathfrak{h}_{n}(t, x)-h(t, x)\right|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0, \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\mathfrak{m}_{n} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_{n} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy that
(I) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, x \neq y}\left[\frac{\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, y)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, y)\right\|}{\|x-y\|}\right]<\infty, \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{1}_{\{V \leqslant n\}}(t, x)\left[\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mu(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\sigma(t, x)\right\|\right]=0, \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(III) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{V \leqslant n+1\}$ that $\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right\|=0$,
for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ let $\mathfrak{X}^{n, t, x}=\left(\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]^{-}}$ adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{n}\left(r, \mathfrak{X}_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(r, \mathfrak{X}_{r}^{n, t, x}\right) d W_{r} \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., for instance, Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.9]), let $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} \mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right) d s\right] \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., [5, Lemma 2.1]), and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ let $\tau_{n}^{t, x}: \Omega \rightarrow[t, T]$ satisfy $\tau_{n}^{t, x}=\inf \left(\left\{s \in[t, T]: \max \left\{V\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right), V\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right\} \geqslant n\right\} \cup\{T\}\right)$. Next observe that Lemma 2.22 (applied with $\mu \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}_{n}, \sigma \leftarrow \mathfrak{s}_{n}, g \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_{k}, h \leftarrow \mathfrak{h}_{k}$ for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.22) ensures that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\left[\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{n, k}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
+\mathfrak{h}_{k}(t, x)=0 \tag{145}
\end{array}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Moreover, observe that Items (II) (III) and (142) assure that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\forall s \in[t, T]: \mathbb{1}_{\left\{s \leqslant \tau_{n}^{t, x}\right\}} \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{s \leqslant \tau_{n}^{t, x}\right\}} X_{s}^{t, x}\right)=1 \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., [5, Lemma 3.5]). This implies that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tau_{n}^{t, x}<T\right\}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{T}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{g}_{k}\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right] \leqslant 2\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(y)\right|\right] \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}<T\right) \tag{147}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right] d s \\
& =\int_{t}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\tau_{n}^{t, x}<T\right\}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{n, t, x}\right)-\mathfrak{h}_{k}\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right|\right] d s \leqslant 2 T\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(s, y)\right|\right] \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}<T\right) . \tag{148}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}, X_{\tau_{n}^{t, x}}^{t, x}\right)\right] \leqslant$ $V(t, x)$ (cf., e.g., [5, Lemma 3.1]) proves that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)-\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)\right| & \leqslant 2\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(y)\right|+T \sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(s, y)\right|\right] \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}<T\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(y)\right|+T \sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(s, y)\right|\right] \mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}, X_{\tau_{n}^{t, x}}^{t, x}\right) \geqslant n\right)  \tag{149}\\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{n}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(y)\right|+T \sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(s, y)\right|\right] \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\tau_{n}^{t, x}, X_{\tau_{n}^{t, x}}^{t, x}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{n}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{g}_{k}(y)\right|+T \sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{k}(s, y)\right|\right] V(t, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

This demonstrates that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left|\mathfrak{u}^{n, k}(t, x)-\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)\right|\right)\right]=0 . \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, note that the assumption that $\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash O_{r}} V(t, x)\right]=\infty$ and (141) ensure that for all compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{K}}\left(\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mu(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\sigma(t, x)\right\|\right)\right]=0 . \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.20, (145), and (150) yield that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathfrak{u}^{0, k}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
&+h^{(k)}(t, x)=0 \tag{152}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, note that (137), (139), and (144) prove that for all compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{(t, x) \in \mathcal{K}}\left|\mathfrak{u}^{0, k}(t, x)-u(t, x)\right|\right]=0 \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., [5, Item (iv) in Lemma 2.2]). This, (139), (152), and Corollary 2.20 demonstrate that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Next note that (137) ensures that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $u(T, x)=g(x)$. This and (154) establish (138). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.23.

## 3 Semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs

In this section we establish in Theorem 3.7 in Section 3.3 below, the main result of this article, a one-to-one correspondence between suitable solutions of certain SFPEs and suitable viscosity solutions of certain semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs and we thereby obtain an existence, uniqueness, and Feynman-Kac type representation result for viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. Our proof of Theorem 3.7 employs the following four constituents: (i) the existence and uniqueness result for solutions of SFPEs in [5, Theorem 3.8], (ii) the Feynman-Kac type representation result for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs in Proposition [2.23]in Section 2.5 above, (iii) the uniqueness result for viscosity solutions of suitable degenerate parabolic PDEs in Proposition 3.5 in Section 3.1 below, and (iv) the existence and uniqueness result for solutions of SDEs in Proposition 3.6 in Section 3.2 below.

In Section 3.1 we establish in Proposition 3.5 under suitable assumptions that a semilinear Kolmogorov PDE with Lipschitz continuous nonlinearity possesses at most one viscosity solution which satisfies a certain growth condition. Proposition 3.5 generalizes Hairer et al. [18, Corollary 4.14] with respect to the possible time dependence of the drift and diffusion coefficient functions of the PDE as well as with respect to the possible appearance of a one-sided Lipschitz continuous nonlinearity in the PDE. Our proof of Proposition 3.5 is strongly inspired by Hairer et al. [18, Section 4.3]. Our proof of Proposition 3.5 employs the comparison result for viscosity sub- and supersolutions of suitable degenerate parabolic PDEs in Corollary 3.4. Corollary 3.4, in turn, is a rather direct consequence of Lemma 3.3. Our proof of Lemma 3.3 is strongly inspired by, e.g., Crandall et al. [9, Section 8], Hairer et al. [18, Corollary 4.11], and Imbert \& Silvestre [26, Section 2.3]. For completeness we provide in Section 3.1 a detailed proof for Lemma 3.3. Our proof of Lemma 3.3 is based on the well-known result in Crandall et al. [9, Proposition 3.7] (cf. also Hairer et al. [18, Lemma 4.9]), which we recall in Lemma 3.1 below, and on a special case of the result in Crandall et al. [9, Theorem 8.3] (cf. also Peng [40, Theorem 2.1 in Appendix C]), which we recall in Lemma 3.2 below. In Section 3.2 we establish in Proposition 3.6 an existence result for solutions of SDEs with drift and diffusion coefficient functions which satisfy certain Lipschitz and coercivity type conditions. Proposition 3.6 is essentially well-known in the scientific literature (see, e.g., Gyöngy \& Krylov [17, Corollary 2.6]). For completeness we provide in Section 3.2 a detailed
proof for Proposition 3.6. In Section 3.3 we establish in Theorem 3.7 an existence, uniqueness, and Feynman-Kac type representation result for viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs. Our proof of Theorem 3.7 is based on the existence and uniqueness result for solutions of SFPEs in [5, Theorem 3.8], the Feynman-Kac type representation result for viscosity solutions of linear inhomogeneous Kolmogorov PDEs in Proposition 2.23 in Section 2.5, the uniqueness result for viscosity solutions in Proposition [3.5 in Section 3.1, and the existence and uniqueness result for solutions of SDEs in Proposition 3.6 in Section 3.2. We conclude this article by providing in Corollary 3.8, Corollary 3.9, and Corollary 3.11] below several sample applications of Theorem 3.7.

### 3.1 Uniqueness results for viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs

Lemma 3.1. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty set, let $\eta: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be upper semi-continuous, let $\phi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be lower semi-continuous, assume that $\inf _{\alpha \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}(\eta(y)-\alpha \phi(y))\right] \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in(0, \infty)}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}(\eta(y)-\alpha \phi(y))-\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right]=0 . \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(i) we have that $\lim \sup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right]=0$ and
(ii) we have for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{O}$ and all $\alpha_{n} \in(0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{\alpha_{n}}-\mathfrak{x}\right\|=0<\infty=$ $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}$ that $\phi(\mathfrak{x})=0$ and $\eta(\mathfrak{x})=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}(\eta(y)-\alpha \phi(y))\right]=\sup _{y \in \phi^{-1}(0)} \eta(y)$.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. Throughout this proof let $S_{\alpha} \in(-\infty, \infty], \alpha \in(0, \infty)$, and $\varepsilon_{\alpha} \in[0, \infty], \alpha \in$ $(0, \infty)$, satisfy for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha}=\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}(\eta(y)-\alpha \phi(y)) \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha}=\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}(\eta(y)-\alpha \phi(y))-\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right) . \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (156) assures that for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ we have that $S_{\alpha}=\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)+\varepsilon_{\alpha}$. Moreover, note that (155) ensures that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} S_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim \inf _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{\alpha}=\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{\alpha}=0$. Hence, we obtain that there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in[\mathfrak{a}, \infty)}\left\{S_{\alpha}, \varepsilon_{\alpha}\right\}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. This yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant \limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)-\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left(\eta(y)-\frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(y)\right)-\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha}\right)-\alpha \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right]=\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{\alpha / 2}-S_{\alpha}+\varepsilon_{\alpha}\right)=0 . \tag{157}
\end{align*}
$$

This establishes Item (iil). It remains to establish Item (iii). For this let $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{O}$ and let $\alpha_{n} \in(0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{\alpha_{n}}-\mathfrak{x}\right\|=0$. Note that (157) ensures that $\lim \inf _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\limsup \operatorname{sum}_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=0$. Combining this with the assumption that $\phi$ is lower semi-continuous demonstrates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \phi(\mathfrak{x}) \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{\alpha_{n}}\right)=0 \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $\eta$ is upper semi-continuous and the fact that for all $y \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\phi(y) \geqslant 0$ hence imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(\mathfrak{x}) & \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta\left(x_{\alpha_{n}}\right) \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\eta\left(x_{\alpha_{n}}\right)-\alpha_{n} \phi\left(x_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{\alpha_{n}}-\varepsilon_{\alpha_{n}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\alpha_{n}} \geqslant \sup _{y \in \phi^{-1}(0)} \eta(y) \geqslant \eta(\mathfrak{x}) . \tag{159}
\end{align*}
$$

This and (158) establish Item (iii). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\|\cdot\|:\left(\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ that $\|x\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, let $\|\cdot\| \cdot\left(\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ that $\|A\| \|=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}}\left(\|A x\|\|x\|^{-1}\right)$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, let $\Phi=(\Phi(t, x))_{(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}} \in C^{1,2}\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, let $G_{i}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $G_{i}$ is degenerate elliptic and upper semicontinuous, let $u_{i}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $u_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{i}\right)(t, x)+G_{i}\left(t, x, u_{i}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, and let $(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})=\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}$ be a global maximum point of $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k} \ni(t, x)=\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mapsto\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}\left(t, x_{i}\right)\right]-\Phi\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ (cf. Definitions 2.3 2.5). Then there exist $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k} \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ such that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $\left(b_{i},\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}), A_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u_{i}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{i}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})$, and

$$
\left.-\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right\|\right]\right] \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k d}} \leqslant\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & \ldots & 0  \tag{161}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+\varepsilon\left[\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right]^{2}
$$

(cf. Definition 2.13).
Proof of Lemma 3.2. Throughout this proof let $v_{i}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $v_{i}(t, x)=u_{i}(T-t, x)$ and let $\Psi:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in(0, T), x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{O}^{k}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\Phi\left(T-t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (160) guarantees that
(i) we have for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $v_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous,
(ii) we have that $\Psi \in C^{1,2}\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and
(iii) we have that $\left(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right)$ is a global maximum point of $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k} \ni\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right)-\Psi\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$.
In addition, note that (47) ensures that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)(t, x)=\left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:(-b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} u_{i}\right)(T-t, x)\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathfrak{P}_{+}^{1,2} v_{i}\right)(t, x)=\left\{(b, p, A) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}:(-b, p, A) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u_{i}\right)(T-t, x)\right\} \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $u_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{i}\right)(t, x)+G_{i}\left(t, x, u_{i}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{164}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ and Lemma 2.16 hence imply that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}$, $(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)(t, x)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b-G_{i}\left(T-t, x, v_{i}(t, x), p, A\right)=-\left[-b+G_{i}\left(T-t, x, u_{i}(T-t, x), p, A\right)\right] \leqslant 0 \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and the assumption that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $G_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous ensure that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, M \in(0, \infty)$ and all compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup \left\{b \in \mathbb{R}:(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathcal{K},\left|v_{i}(t, x)\right|+\|p\|+\|A\| \leqslant M\right\} \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{G_{i}\left(T-t, x, v_{i}(t, x), p, A\right):\binom{(b, p, A) \in\left(\mathcal{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)(t, x),(t, x) \in \mathcal{K}}{\left|v_{i}(t, x)\right|+\|p\|+\|A\| \|}\right\}  \tag{166}\\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{G_{i}(s, y, r, p, A):(T-s, y) \in \mathcal{K},|r|+\|p\|+\|A\| \leqslant M\right\}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Crandall et al. [9, Theorem 8.3] (applied with $k \leftarrow k, u_{i} \leftarrow v_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{i} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}, \varphi \leftarrow \Psi, \hat{t} \leftarrow T-\mathfrak{t}, \hat{x} \leftarrow \mathfrak{x}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ in the notation of Crandall et al. [9, Theorem 8.3]) hence guarantees that there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k} \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ which satisfy that
(I) we have for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $\left(a_{i},\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Psi\right)\left(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right), A_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)\left(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{i}\right)$,
(II) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Psi\right)(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right\|\right] \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k d}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Psi\right)(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+\varepsilon\left[\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Psi\right)(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right]^{2} \tag{167}
\end{align*}
$$

and
(III) we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi\right)\left(T-\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right)$.

This and (163) prove that
(A) we have for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $\left(-a_{i},\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right), A_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} u_{i}\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{i}\right)$,
(B) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right\|\right] \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k d}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})+\varepsilon\left[\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\right]^{2} \tag{168}
\end{align*}
$$

and
(C) we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(-a_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi\right)\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right)$.

This establishes (161). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.
Lemma 3.3. Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\{x \in \mathcal{O}:(\|x\| \leqslant r$ and $\{y \in$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $G_{i}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $G_{i}$ is degenerate elliptic and upper semi-continuous, let $u_{i}:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $u_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{i}\right)(t, x)+G_{i}\left(t, x, u_{i}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(T, x)\right] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in(0, T)} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(t, x)\right)\right] \leqslant 0, \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume for all $t^{(n)} \in(0, T), n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and all $\left(x_{i}^{(n)}, r_{i}^{(n)}, A_{i}^{(n)}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, with $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t^{(n)}-t^{(0)}\right|+\left\|x_{1}^{(n)}-x_{1}^{(0)}\right\|+\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{i-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right]=0<$
$\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}^{(n)}\right]=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}^{(n)}\right] \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|r_{i}^{(n)}\right|\right]<\infty$ and $\forall\left(n \in \mathbb{N}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):-5 \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle z_{i}, A_{i}^{(n)} z_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant 5 \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right\|^{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}, r_{i}^{(n)}, n\left(\mathbb{1}_{[2, k]}(i)\left[x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{i-1}^{(n)}\right]+\mathbb{1}_{[1, k-1]}(i)\left[x_{i}^{(n)}-x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right]\right), n A_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3-2.5). Then we have for all $t \in(0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(t, x) \leqslant 0$.
Proof of Lemma 3.3. We intend to prove that for all $t \in(0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(t, x) \leqslant$ 0 by showing that for all $\delta \in(0, \infty), t \in(0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(t, x) \leqslant \frac{k \delta}{t}$. Throughout this proof let $\delta \in(0, \infty)$, let $v_{i}:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty), i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
v_{i}(t, x)= \begin{cases}u_{i}(t, x)-\frac{\delta}{t} & : t>0  \tag{172}\\ -\infty & : t=0\end{cases}
$$

let $H_{i}:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, t \in(0, T)$, $x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}(t, x, r, p, A)=G_{i}\left(t, x, r+\frac{\delta}{t}, p, A\right)-\frac{\delta}{t^{2}}, \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\Phi:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}^{k} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ and $\eta:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}^{k} \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{O}^{k}$ that $\eta(t, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\left(t, x_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t, x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right\|^{2}\right], \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\mathcal{S} \in(-\infty, \infty]$ satisfy $\mathcal{S}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right]$, let $S_{\alpha, r} \in(-\infty, \infty], \alpha, r \in[0, \infty)$, satisfy for all $\alpha, r \in[0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, r}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in\left(O_{r}\right)^{k}}[\eta(t, x)-\alpha \Phi(t, x)], \tag{175}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\|\cdot\| \|: \mathbb{R}^{(k d) \times(k d)} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(k d) \times(k d)}$ that

$$
\|A\|=\sup \left\{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k d}\left|y_{i}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k d}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}:\left(\begin{array}{c}
x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k d} \backslash\{0\},  \tag{176}\\
y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k d}, \\
y=A x
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

Observe that (170), the fact that $\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(0, x)\right]=-\infty$, and the fact that for all $i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i} \leqslant u_{i}$ yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(T, x)\right] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right] \leqslant 0 . \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that the assumption that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $u_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous implies that for all $i \in\{1,2 \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous. Furthermore, note that (173) shows that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{i}\right)(t, x)+H_{i}\left(t, x, v_{i}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v_{i}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v_{i}\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Next we claim that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right] \leqslant 0 . \tag{179}
\end{equation*}
$$

We intend to prove (179) by contradiction. For this assume that $\mathcal{S} \in(0, \infty]$. Observe that the hypothesis that $\mathcal{S} \in(0, \infty]$ and (177) ensure that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies that
(i) we have that $O_{N} \neq \varnothing$,
(ii) we have that $O_{N}$ is compact, and
(iii) we have that $\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in O_{N}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right]=\mathcal{S}$.

The fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous hence proves that $\mathcal{S} \in(0, \infty)$. Moreover, note that the fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}} v_{i}(0, x)=$ $-\infty$ yields that $\mathcal{S}=\sup _{t \in(0, T]} \sup _{x \in O_{N}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, x)\right]$. Note that the fact that $\Phi \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}^{k}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and the fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous ensure that for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ we have that $[0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k} \ni(t, x) \mapsto \eta(t, x)-\alpha \Phi(t, x) \in[-\infty, \infty)$ is upper semicontinuous. This and the fact that $O_{N}$ is compact prove that there exist $t^{(\alpha)} \in[0, T], \alpha \in(0, \infty)$, and $x^{(\alpha)}=\left(x_{1}^{(\alpha)}, x_{2}^{(\alpha)}, \ldots, x_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right) \in\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}, \alpha \in(0, \infty)$, which satisfy for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(t^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\alpha)}\right)-\alpha \Phi\left(t^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\alpha)}\right)=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{N}\right)^{k}}[\eta(t, x)-\alpha \Phi(t, x)]=S_{\alpha, N} \tag{180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], y \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\eta(t, y, y, \ldots, y)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, y)$ and the fact that for all $t \in[0, T], y \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\Phi(t, y, y, \ldots, y)=0 \mathrm{im}-$ ply that for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, N} \geqslant \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}[\eta(t, y, y, \ldots, y)-\alpha \Phi(t, y, y, \ldots, y)]=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, y)\right]=\mathcal{S}>0 . \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that for all $\alpha, \beta \in(0, \infty)$ with $\alpha \geqslant \beta$ we have that $S_{\alpha, N} \leqslant S_{\beta, N}$ demonstrates that $\lim _{\inf }^{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} S_{\alpha, N}=\lim \sup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} S_{\alpha, N} \in[\mathcal{S}, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, note that (181) and the fact that for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ we have that $\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}^{k}}[\eta(0, x)-\alpha \Phi(0, x)]=-\infty$ yield that for all $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, N}=\sup _{t \in(0, T]} \sup _{x \in\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}}[\eta(t, x)-\alpha \Phi(t, x)] . \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

Item (ii) in Lemma 3.1 (applied with $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow(0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k},\left.\eta \leftarrow \eta\right|_{\left.(0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}\right)},\left.\phi \leftarrow \Phi\right|_{(0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}}$, $x \leftarrow\left((0, \infty) \ni \alpha \mapsto\left(t^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\alpha)}\right) \in(0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}\right)$ in the notation of Lemma 3.1) hence ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\limsup _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}\left[\alpha \Phi\left(t^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\alpha)}\right)\right]=\underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|x_{i}^{(\alpha)}-x_{i-1}^{(\alpha)}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{183}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, note that the fact that $O_{N}$ is compact guarantees that there exist $\mathfrak{t} \in[0, T], \mathfrak{x}=$ $\left(\mathfrak{x}_{1}, \mathfrak{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_{k}\right) \in\left(O_{N}\right)^{k},\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which satisfy $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}-\mathfrak{t}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left\|x^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}-\mathfrak{x}\right\|\right]=0$. Furthermore, observe that the fact that $\eta$ is upper semi-continuous and the fact that $\Phi$ is continuous imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}) \geqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\eta\left(t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}, x^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\right)-\alpha_{n} \Phi\left(t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}, x^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\right)\right] \geqslant \mathcal{S}>0 \tag{184}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that for all $x \in \mathcal{O}^{k}$ we have that $\eta(0, x)=-\infty$ shows that $\mathfrak{t} \in$ $(0, T]$. Item (iii) of Lemma 3.1 hence ensures that $0=\Phi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|\mathfrak{x}_{i}-\mathfrak{x}_{i-1}\right\|^{2}$ and $\eta(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})=$ $\sup _{(t, x) \in\left[\Phi^{-1}(0)\right] \cap\left[(0, T] \times\left(O_{N}\right)^{k}\right]} \eta(t, x)$. Therefore, we obtain for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that $\mathfrak{x}_{i}=\mathfrak{x}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} \leqslant \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} S_{\alpha, N}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{i}\right)=\eta(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})=\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in O_{N}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}(t, y)\right] \leqslant \mathcal{S} . \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (177) ensures that $\mathfrak{t} \in(0, T)$. This implies that there exists $\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap[\mathfrak{j}, \infty)$ we have that $t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \in(0, T)$. Hence, we obtain that there exists a non-empty set $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies that $\mathcal{N}=\left\{\alpha_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}, t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \in(0, T)\right\}$. Note that Lemma 3.2 (applied with $\varepsilon \leftarrow \frac{1}{n}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k},\left.\Phi \leftarrow n \Phi\right|_{(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}},\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}} \leftarrow\left(\left.v_{i}\right|_{(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}}\right)_{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}}, \mathfrak{t} \leftarrow t^{(n)}$, $\mathfrak{x} \leftarrow x^{(n)}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ in the notation of Lemma 3.2) guarantees that there exist $b_{1}^{(n)}, b_{2}^{(n)}, \ldots, b_{k}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$, $A_{1}^{(n)}, A_{2}^{(n)}, \ldots, A_{k}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ which satisfy that
(I) we have for all $n \in \mathcal{N}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{i}^{(n)}, n\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right), n A_{i}^{(n)}\right) \in\left(\mathfrak{P}^{+} v_{i}\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}\right), \tag{186}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) we have for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}^{(n)}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right)=0$, and
(III) we have for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(n+n\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right)\right\|\right) \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k d}} \\
& \leqslant n\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1}^{(n)} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant n\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right)+n\left[\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{187}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that the fact that for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}^{k}$ we have that $\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(t, x)=\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(0,0)$ and Item (III) show that for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(1+\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(0,0)\right\|\right) \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k d}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1}^{(n)} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right) \leqslant\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(0,0)+\left[\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(0,0)\right]^{2} . \tag{188}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, note that Lemma 2.16. Item (II), and (178) ensure that for all $n \in \mathcal{N}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}^{(n)}+H_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}, v_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}\right), n\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right), n A_{i}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{189}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this and Item (III) with (173) proves that for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}, v_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}\right)+\frac{\delta}{t^{(n)}}, n\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right), n A_{i}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant \frac{k \delta}{\left[t^{(n)}\right]^{2}} \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $\left(\mathbf{t}^{(n)}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}, \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}, \mathbf{A}_{i}^{(n)}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\left(\mathbf{t}^{(n)}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}, \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}, \mathbf{A}_{i}^{(n)}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}, v_{i}\left(t^{(n)}, x_{i}^{(n)}\right)+\frac{\delta}{t^{(n)}}, A_{i}^{(n)}\right) & : n \in \mathcal{N}  \tag{191}\\ \left(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x}_{i}, \frac{\mathcal{S}}{k}+\frac{\delta}{\mathfrak{t}}, 0\right) & : \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that the fact that $\lim \sup _{\mathcal{N} \ni n \rightarrow \infty}\left[t^{(n)}-\mathfrak{t} \mid+\left\|x^{(n)}-\mathfrak{x}\right\|\right]=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}-\mathfrak{t}\right|+\left\|x^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}-\mathfrak{x}\right\|\right]=0$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|\mathbf{t}^{(n)}-\mathfrak{t}\right|+\left\|\mathbf{x}_{1}^{(n)}-\mathfrak{x}_{1}\right\|\right]=0 \tag{192}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that the fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $\mathfrak{x}_{i}=\mathfrak{x}_{1}$, (183), and (191) ensure that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}-\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right] & =\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[n\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}-\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}  \tag{193}\\
& \leqslant \sqrt{k} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n \sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}-\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{(n)}\right\|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, observe that (191) and the fact that $\lim \sup _{\mathcal{N} \ni n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\eta\left(t^{(n)}, x^{(n)}\right)-\mathcal{S}\right|=0$ prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}\right]=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}\right]=\mathcal{S}+\frac{k \delta}{\mathfrak{t}}>0 \tag{194}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, note that the fact that $\left\{\left(\mathbf{t}^{(n)}, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\right) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}^{k}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup\{(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{x})\}$ is compact and the fact that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is upper semi-continuous guarantee that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}: n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}\right\}<\infty \tag{195}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that (194) ensures that $\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty$. Combining this with (195) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}\right|\right]<\infty . \tag{196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next note that (174) ensures that $\left\|\left\|\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \Phi\right)(0,0)\right\|\right\| \leqslant 4$ (cf., for instance, (4.41) in Hairer et al. [18]). This, (191), and (188) imply that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-5\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}\right] \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle z_{i}, \mathbf{A}_{i}^{(n)} z_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant 5\left[\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left\|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{197}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, observe that (174) guarantees that for all $t \in(0, T), x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{O}^{k}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} \Phi\right)(t, x) & = \begin{cases}x_{1}-x_{2} & : 1=i<k \\
2 x_{i}-x_{i-1}-x_{i+1} & : 1<i<k \\
x_{k}-x_{k-1} & : 1<i=k \\
0 & : 1=i=k\end{cases}  \tag{198}\\
& =\mathbb{1}_{[2, k]}(i)\left[x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right]+\mathbb{1}_{[1, k-1]}(i)\left[x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (171) and (190) with (192)-(197) hence ensures that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\frac{k \delta}{\mathfrak{t}^{2}}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \delta}{\left[t^{(n)}\right]^{2}} \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { k } G _ { i } \left(\mathbf{t}^{(n)}, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}, \mathbf{r}_{i}^{(n)}, n\left(\mathbb{1}_{[2, k]}(i)\left[\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}-\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{(n)}\right]\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\mathbb{1}_{[1, k-1]}(i)\left[\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(n)}-\mathbf{x}_{i+1}^{(n)}\right]\right), n \mathbf{A}_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 \tag{199}
\end{align*}
$$

This contradiction implies that $\mathcal{S} \leqslant 0$. Therefore, we obtain that for all $t \in(0, T], y \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(t, y) \leqslant \frac{k \delta}{t}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\{x \in \mathcal{O}:(\|x\| \leqslant r$ and $\{y \in$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $G \in C\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), u, v \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}}(u(T, x)-v(T, x)) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in(0, T)} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}(u(t, x)-v(t, x))\right] \leqslant 0, \tag{200}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume that $G$ is degenerate elliptic, assume that $u$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, u(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{201}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, assume that $v$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, x)+G\left(t, x, v(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{202}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$, and assume for all $t_{n} \in(0, T), n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, all $\left(x_{n}, r_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and all $\left(\mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|+\right.$ $\left.\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ and $\forall\left(n \in \mathbb{N}, z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right):\left\langle z, A_{n} z\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{A}_{n \mathfrak{z}}\right\rangle \leqslant 5\|z-\mathfrak{z}\|^{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n A_{n}\right)-G\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 \tag{203}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. Definitions 2.3 [2.6). Then we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $u(t, x) \leqslant v(t, x)$.
Proof of Corollary 3.4. Throughout this proof let $H:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, x, r, p, A)=-G(t, x,-r,-p,-A) \tag{204}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (204) ensures that $H$ is degenerate elliptic. Moreover, observe that (204) and the assumption that $G \in C\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ assure that $H \in C\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. In addition, note that (204) implies that $-v$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-v)\right)(t, x)+H\left(t, x,(-v)(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x}(-v)\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x}(-v)\right)(t, x)\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{205}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, observe that (203) guarantees that for all $t_{n} \in(0, T), n \in$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}$, all $\left(x_{n}, r_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and all $\left(\mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|+\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}+\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}+\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant$ $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ and $\forall\left(n \in \mathbb{N}, z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right):-5\left(\|z\|^{2}+\|\mathfrak{z}\|^{2}\right) \leqslant\left\langle z, A_{n} z\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{A}_{n \mathfrak{z}}\right\rangle \leqslant 5\|z-\mathfrak{z}\|^{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n A_{n}\right)+H\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, n\left(\mathfrak{x}_{n}-x_{n}\right), n \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[G\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n A_{n}\right)-G\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n},-\mathfrak{r}_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right),-n \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 . \tag{206}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 (applied with $k \leftarrow 2, u_{1} \leftarrow u, u_{2} \leftarrow-v, G_{1} \leftarrow G, G_{2} \leftarrow H$ in the notation of Lemma (3.3) hence ensures that for all $t \in(0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $u(t, x)-v(t, x) \leqslant 0$. The assumption that $u, v \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ therefore ensures that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $u(t, x) \leqslant v(t, x)$. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 3.5. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, L, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \cdot \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\|x\| \leqslant r\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $\mu \in C([0, T] \times$ $\left.\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), f \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \in C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), V \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$, assume for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left(\left\{\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}: t \in[0, T], x, y \in O_{r}, x \neq y\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)<\infty \tag{207}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $(f(t, x, v)-f(t, x, w))(v-w) \leqslant L|v-w|^{2}$, $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(s, y, 0)|}{V(s, y)}\right)\right]=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \leqslant 0 \tag{208}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}): \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}(t, x) \mid}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0\right\}$ satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2\}$ that $u_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{i}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u_{i}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
+f\left(t, x, u_{i}(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{209}
\end{array}
$$

with $u_{i}(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf. Definition [2.7). Then we have for all $t \in[0, T]$, $x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $u_{1}(t, x)=u_{2}(t, x)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Throughout this proof let $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket:\left(\bigcup_{a, b=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, A=\left(A_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in\{1,2, \ldots, a\} \times\{1,2, \ldots, b\}} \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket A \rrbracket=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b}\left|A_{i, j}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, \tag{210}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\mathbb{V}:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\mathbb{V}(t, x)=e^{-L t} V(t, x)$, let $v_{i}:[0, T] \times$ $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2\}$, satisfy for all $i \in\{1,2\}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $v_{i}(t, x)=\frac{u_{i}(t, x)}{\mathbb{V}(t, x)}$, let $G:(0, T) \times$ $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, x, r, p, A)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*} A\right)+\langle\mu(t, x), p\rangle+f(t, x, r) \tag{211}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $H:(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{S}_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t, x, r, p, A)=\frac{r}{\mathbb{V}(t, x)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{\mathbb{V}(t, x)} G\left(t, x, r \mathbb{V}(t, x), \mathbb{V}(t, x) p+r\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x),\right. \\
\left.\mathbb{V}(t, x) A+p\left[\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right]^{*}+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x) p^{*}+r\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right) . \tag{212}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that (210) proves that for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ we have that $\llbracket A \rrbracket=\| \| A \|$. Next note that (208) implies that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $\mathbb{V} \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+L \mathbb{V}(t, x) \leqslant 0 \tag{213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that (211) ensures that $G \in C\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is degenerate elliptic. In addition, note that (212) proves that $H \in C\left((0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is degenerate elliptic.

Next observe that the assumption that for all $i \in\{1,2\}, x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that $u_{i}(T, x)=g(x)$ shows that for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(T, x) \leqslant v_{2}(T, x) \leqslant v_{1}(T, x) . \tag{214}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, note that the assumption that $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{\left|u_{1}(t, x)\right|+\left|u_{2}(t, x)\right|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left|v_{1}(t, x)-v_{2}(t, x)\right|\right]=0 . \tag{215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, observe that (209) and (212) ensure that for all $i \in\{1,2\}$ we have that $v_{i}$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_{i}\right)(t, x)+H\left(t, x, v_{i}(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v_{i}\right)(t, x),\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v_{i}\right)(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{216}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$ (cf., for example, Hairer et al. [18, Lemma 4.12]). We intend to prove that $u_{1}=u_{2}$ by applying Corollary 3.4 to obtain that $v_{1} \leqslant v_{2}$ and $v_{2} \leqslant v_{1}$. Next let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfy $e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0), e_{2}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_{m}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$, let $t_{n} \in(0, T), n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy $\limsup \operatorname{sum}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|=0$, and let $\left(x_{n}, r_{n}, A_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $\left(\mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_{d}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, satisfy $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|+\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0<r_{0}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=$ $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ and $\forall\left(n \in \mathbb{N}, z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right):\left\langle z, A_{n} z\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{A}_{n \mathfrak{z}}\right\rangle \leqslant$ $5\|z-\mathfrak{z}\|^{2}$. Observe that (207) and the fact that $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{[ | t _ { n } - t _ { 0 } | + \| x _ { n } - x _ { 0 } \| + \sqrt { n } \| x _ { n } - \mathfrak { x } _ { n } \| ] = 0}$ ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n\left\|\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]=0 \tag{217}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, the fact that for all $B \in \mathbb{S}_{d}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ we have that $\operatorname{Trace}\left(C C^{*} B\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle C e_{i}, B C e_{i}\right\rangle$, and the assumption that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, z, \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\left\langle z, A_{n} z\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{A}_{n} \mathfrak{z}\right\rangle \leqslant 5\|z-\mathfrak{z}\|^{2}$ prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) n A_{n}-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) n \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*} A_{n}-\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*} \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left\langle\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) e_{i}, A_{n} \sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) e_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) e_{i}, \mathfrak{A}_{n} \sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) e_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right]  \tag{218}\\
& \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{5}{2} n\left\|\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) e_{i}-\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) e_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]=\frac{5}{2} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n\left\|\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Next observe that (207) and the fact that $\mathbb{V} \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$ ensure that for all compact $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ we have that there exists $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s \in[0, T], y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\llbracket \frac{\sigma\left(s, y_{1}\right)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{1}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(s, y_{1}\right)}-\frac{\sigma\left(s, y_{2}\right)\left[\sigma\left(s, y_{2}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(s, y_{2}\right)} \rrbracket+\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(s, y_{1}\right)-\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(s, y_{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant \mathfrak{c}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| . \tag{219}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|\right]=0$ and the fact that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0$ hence guarantee that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\| \llbracket \frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\left(\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}\right.}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} \rrbracket\right]  \tag{220}\\
& =0=\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[n\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\|\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with the fact that for all $B \in \mathbb{S}_{d}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\operatorname{Trace}\left(B v w^{*}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Trace}\left(w^{*} B v\right)=w^{*} B v=\langle w, B v\rangle=\langle B w, v\rangle=\langle v, B w\rangle=v^{*} B w=\operatorname{Trace}\left(v^{*} B w\right)=\operatorname{Trace}\left(B w v^{*}\right)$ yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac { 1 } { 2 } \operatorname { T r a c e } \left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)^{*}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)}\left(n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)^{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\langle\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)} n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\langle\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right.  \tag{221}\\
& \left.+\left\langle\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\llbracket \frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} \rrbracket n\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\llbracket \frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)} \rrbracket n\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\|\right] \\
& =0 \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, note that the fact that $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, y) \mapsto \frac{\sigma(s, y)[\sigma(s, y)]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}(s, y)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is continuous, the fact that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0$, and the fact that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|\right]=0$ guarantee that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)\right| . \tag{222}
\end{align*}
$$

This and the fact that $0<r_{0}=\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ ensure that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)} r_{n}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)} \mathfrak{r}_{n}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{223}\\
& \left.\quad+\mathfrak{r}_{n} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{r_{0}}{2 \mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (218) and (221) demonstrates that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac { 1 } { 2 } \operatorname { T r a c e } \left(\frac { \sigma ( t _ { n } , x _ { n } ) [ \sigma ( t _ { n } , x _ { n } ) ] ^ { * } } { \mathbb { V } ( t _ { n } , x _ { n } ) } \left(\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) n A_{n}+n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right]^{*}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)^{*}+r_{n}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\frac { \sigma ( t _ { n } , \mathfrak { x } _ { n } ) [ \sigma ( t _ { n } , \mathfrak { r } _ { n } ) ] ^ { * } } { \mathbb { V } ( t _ { n } , \mathfrak { r } _ { n } ) } \left(\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) n \mathfrak{A}_{n}+n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\left[\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right]^{*}\right.\right.  \tag{224}\\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad+\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)^{*}+\mathfrak{r}_{n}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{r_{0}}{2 \mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, observe that the fact that $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathbb{V}(s, y)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and the fact that $0<r_{0}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{r_{n}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\mathfrak{r}_{n}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)+\mathfrak{r}_{n}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right)\right]=\frac{r_{0}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) . \tag{225}
\end{align*}
$$

Next note that (207), the fact that $\lim _{\sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}}\left[\left|t_{n}-t_{0}\right|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|\right]=0$, and the fact that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sqrt{n}\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[n\left\|\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right]=0 \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, the fact that $(0, T) \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, y) \mapsto\left\langle\frac{\mu(s, y)}{\mathbb{V}(s, y)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(s, y)\right\rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and the fact that $0<r_{0}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right) \leqslant \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right|\right)<\infty$ yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}\left\langle\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right), \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)+r_{n}\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right.}\left\langle\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right) n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)+\mathfrak{r}_{n}\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& =\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[\left\langle\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad+r_{n}\left\langle\frac{\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\left\langle\frac{\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right]  \tag{227}\\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\|\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)-\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\| n\left\|x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& \quad+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\left\langle\frac{\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& \quad+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\mathfrak{r}_{n}\left(\left\langle\frac{\mu\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{\mu\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)},\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{r_{0}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}\left\langle\mu\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, note that the assumption that $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ proves that for all compact
$\mathcal{K} \subseteq[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$
\limsup _{(0, \infty) \nexists \varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\sup \left(\left\{\left|f\left(s_{1}, y_{1}, a_{1}\right)-f\left(s_{2}, y_{2}, a_{2}\right)\right|:\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(s_{1}, y_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(s_{2}, y_{2}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{K}, \\
\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|+\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon \\
\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon
\end{array}\right)\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)\right]
$$

This and the assumption that for all $s \in[0, T], y \in \mathcal{O}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $(f(s, y, a)-$ $f(s, y, b))(a-b) \leqslant L|a-b|^{2}$ imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{f\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{f\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{f\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right]+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{L\left(r_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)-\mathfrak{r}_{n} \mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right)}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)}\right]  \tag{229}\\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[L\left(r_{n}-\mathfrak{r}_{n}\right)\right]=L r_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (212), (213), (224), (225), and (227) hence demonstrates that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[H\left(t_{n}, x_{n}, r_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n A_{n}\right)-H\left(t_{n}, \mathfrak{x}_{n}, \mathfrak{r}_{n}, n\left(x_{n}-\mathfrak{x}_{n}\right), n \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{r_{0}}{\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\left[\sigma\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{230}\\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle\mu\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right\rangle+L \mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right] \leqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This, (214), (215), and Corollary 3.4 guarantee that $v_{1} \leqslant v_{2}$ and $v_{2} \leqslant v_{1}$. Therefore, we obtain that $v_{1}=v_{2}$. This establishes $u_{1}=u_{2}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.5.

### 3.2 Existence results for solutions of SDEs

Proposition 3.6. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\|x\| \leqslant r\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $\mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right)$ satisfy for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left(\left\{\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}: t \in[0, T], x, y \in O_{r}, x \neq y\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)<\infty \tag{231}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $V \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$ satisfy $\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} V(t, x)\right]=\infty$, assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \leqslant 0, \tag{232}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion, and let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Then there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ adapted stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with continuous sample paths such that for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\xi+\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s} \tag{233}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Throughout this proof let $\mathfrak{m}_{n} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_{n} \in$ $C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy that
(A) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O}} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash\{x\}}\left[\frac{\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, y)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, y)\right\|}{\|x-y\|}\right]<\infty, \tag{234}
\end{equation*}
$$

(B) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{1}_{\{V \leqslant n\}}(t, x)\left[\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)-\mu(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)-\sigma(t, x)\right\|\right]=0, \tag{235}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(C) we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{1}_{\{V \geqslant n+1\}}(t, x)\left[\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{n}(t, x)\right\|+\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}(t, x)\right\|\right]=0 . \tag{236}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Items (A) and (C) ensure that there exist $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$-adapted stochastic processes $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}=$ $\left(\mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(n)}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(n)}=\xi+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{m}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d s \tag{237}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g, Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.9] and [5, Item (ii) in Lemma 3.4]). Next let $\tau_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow[0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\tau_{n}=\inf \left(\left\{t \in[0, T]: V\left(t, \mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant n\right\} \cup\{T\}\right)$. Moreover, observe that Item (B) ensures that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cap[m, \infty)$ we have that $\mathbb{P}\left(\forall t \in[0, T]: \mathbb{1}_{\left\{t \leqslant \tau_{m}\right\}} \mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(n)}=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{t \leqslant \tau_{m}\right\}} \mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(m)}\right)=1$ (cf., e.g, [5, Lemma 3.5]). Combining this with (237) and Item (B) proves that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}^{(n)} & =\xi+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}} \mathfrak{m}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}} \mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d W_{s} \\
& =\xi+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}} \mu\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}} \sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d W_{s}  \tag{238}\\
& =\xi+\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{s \leqslant \tau_{n}\right\}} \mu\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{s \leqslant \tau_{n}\right\}} \sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right) d W_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

Itô's formula hence guarantees that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left(\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}^{(n)}\right)=V(0, \xi)+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right), \sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right) d W_{s}\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}\left\langle\mu\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right),\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right)\right\rangle d s  \tag{239}\\
& +\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right)\left[\sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right)\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, s\right\}}^{(n)}\right)\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

This and (232) show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}^{(n)}\right) \leqslant V(0, \xi)+\int_{0}^{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right), \sigma\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d W_{s}\right\rangle . \tag{240}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in[0, T]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}, \mathfrak{X}_{\min \left\{\tau_{n}, t\right\}}^{(n)}\right)\right] \leqslant V(0, \xi) . \tag{241}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\tau_{n}, \mathfrak{X}_{\tau_{n}}^{(n)}\right)\right] \leqslant V(0, \xi) \tag{242}
\end{equation*}
$$

Markov's inequality and the fact that $\mathfrak{X}^{(n)}:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes with continuous sample paths hence ensure that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n}<T\right) \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left(V\left(\tau_{n}, \mathfrak{X}_{\tau_{n}}^{(n)}\right) \geqslant n\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\tau_{n}, \mathfrak{X}_{\tau_{n}}^{(n)}\right)\right] \leqslant \frac{V(0, \xi)}{n} . \tag{243}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{n^{2}}<T\right) \leqslant V(0, \xi)\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right]<\infty . \tag{244}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma hence yields that $\mathbb{P}\left(\exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \tau_{n}=T\right)=1$. This demonstrates that there exists an $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$-adapted stochastic process $X:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\forall t \in[0, T]: X_{t}=\mathfrak{X}_{t}^{(n)}\right)=1$. Item (B) hence yields that for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \mathbb{E}\left[\min \left\{1, \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\mathfrak{s}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right)-\sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right)\right\|^{2} d s\right\}\right]=0 \tag{245}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, the fact that for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{m}_{n}\left(s, \mathfrak{X}_{s}^{(n)}\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s\right\|=0 \tag{246}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (237) guarantee that for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\xi+\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s} \tag{247}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and, e.g., Karatzas \& Shreve [28, Theorem 5.2.5] establish (233). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.6.

### 3.3 Existence results for viscosity solutions of semilinear Kolmogorov PDEs

Theorem 3.7. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, L, T \in(0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\|x\| \leqslant r\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $\mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), f \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \in C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}), V \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$, assume for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left(\left\{\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}: t \in[0, T], x, y \in O_{r}, x \neq y\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)<\infty \tag{248}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume $\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} V(t, x)\right]=\infty$ and $\inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|}{V(t, x)}+\frac{|g(x)|}{V(T, x)}\right)\right]$ $=0$, assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $|f(t, x, v)-f(t, x, w)| \leqslant L|v-w|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \leqslant 0, \tag{249}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, and let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion. Then
(i) there exists a unique viscosity solution $u \in\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}): \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0\right\}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\langle\mu(t, x) & \left.\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{250}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$,
(ii) for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted }}$ stochastic process $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{251}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) there exists a unique $v \in C(0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|v(s, y)|}{V(s, y)}\right)\right]=0, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{252}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(iv) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $u(t, x)=v(t, x)$
(cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Theorem 3.7. First, observe that Proposition 3.6 (applied with $d \leftarrow d, m \leftarrow m, T \leftarrow$ $T-t, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathcal{O}, \mu \leftarrow\left([0, T-t] \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, x) \mapsto \mu(t+s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \leftarrow([0, T-t] \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, x) \mapsto$ $\left.\sigma(t+s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), V \leftarrow([0, T-t] \times \mathcal{O} \ni(s, x) \mapsto V(t+s, x) \in(0, \infty)),(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \leftarrow(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[0, T]} \leftarrow\left(\mathbb{F}_{s+t}\right)_{s \in[0, T-t]},\left(W_{s}\right)_{s \in[0, T]} \leftarrow\left(W_{s+t}-W_{t}\right)_{s \in[0, T-t]}$ for $t \in[0, T]$ in the notation of Proposition (3.6) establishes Item (iii). Next we prove Item (iii). Note that Item (iii) ensures that there exists a unique $v \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|v(s, y)|}{V(s, y)}\right)\right]=0, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{253}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., [5, Theorem 3.8]). This establishes Item (iiii). In the next step we prove Items (ii) and (iv). For this let $h:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $h(t, x)=f(t, x, v(t, x))$. Observe that $h \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|h(t, x)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, v(t, x))|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+|f(t, x, v(t, x))-f(t, x, 0)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]  \tag{254}\\
& \leqslant \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+L|v(t, x)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2.23, Item (iii), and (253) hence guarantee that $v$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x)=0 \tag{255}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. This implies that for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \geqslant v$ and $\phi(t, x)=v(t, x)$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+f(t, x, \phi(t, x)) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x) \\
& \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, note that (255) proves that for all $t \in(0, T), x \in \mathcal{O}, \phi \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi \leqslant v$ and $\phi(t, x)=v(t, x)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+f(t, x, \phi(t, x)) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \phi\right)(t, x)\right\rangle+h(t, x) \quad \text { (2 }  \tag{257}\\
& \leqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (256) shows that $v$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)\right. & (t, x)\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, v(t, x))=0 \tag{258}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$. Combining this and the fact that $v \in\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}): \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\right.$ $\left.\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{V(t, x)}\right)\right]=0\right\}$ with Proposition 3.5 (applied with $u_{1} \leftarrow v$ in the notation of Proposition (3.5) establishes Items (ii) and (iv). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \in(0, \infty)$, L, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\| \cdot: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a non-empty open set, for every $r \in(0, \infty)$ let $O_{r} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $O_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{O}:\left(\|x\| \leqslant r\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\|y-x\|<1 / r\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\right)\right\}$, let $\mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), f \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \in C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$, $V \in C^{2}(\mathcal{O},(0, \infty))$, assume for all $r \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left(\left\{\frac{\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\|}{\|x-y\|}: t \in[0, T], x, y \in O_{r}, x \neq y\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)<\infty \tag{259}
\end{equation*}
$$

assume that $\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} V(x)\right]=\infty$ and $\left.\inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} \frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)|}{V(x)}\right)\right]=0$, assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $|f(t, x, v)-f(t, x, w)| \leqslant L|v-w|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}(\operatorname{Hess} V)(x)\right)+\langle\mu(t, x),(\nabla V)(x)\rangle \leqslant \rho V(x), \tag{260}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, and let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion. Then
(i) there exists a unique viscosity solution $u \in\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}): \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(s, y)|}{V(y)}\right)\right]=0\right\}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\langle\mu(t, x) & \left.,\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{261}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$,
(ii) for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}$-adapted stochastic process $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{262}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) there exists a unique $v \in C([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in[t, T]} \sup _{y \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{v(s, y) \mid}{V(y)}\right)\right]=0, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right], \tag{263}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(iv) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $u(t, x)=v(t, x)$
(cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Corollary 3.8. Throughout this proof let $\mathbb{V}:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T]$, $x \in \mathcal{O}$ that $\mathbb{V}(t, x)=e^{-\rho t} V(x)$. Observe that (260) ensures that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathcal{O}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} \mathbb{V}\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \leqslant 0 \tag{264}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g, [5, Lemma 3.2]). Moreover, note that the hypothesis that $\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} V(x)\right]=\infty$ assures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}} \mathbb{V}(t, x)\right]=\infty . \tag{265}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, observe that the hypothesis that $\inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)|}{V(x)}\right)\right]=0$ guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{r \in(0, \infty)}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|}{\mathbb{V}(t, x)}+\frac{|g(x)|}{\mathbb{V}(T, x)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{266}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.7 hence establishes Items (iii)-(iv). This completes the proof of Corollary 3.8,
Corollary 3.9. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, L, T \in(0, \infty), \mu \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right)$, $\mathfrak{C} \in C([0, \infty),[0, \infty))$, let $f \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be at most polynomially growing, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, assume for all $t \in[0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\|\mu(t, x)-\mu(t, y)\|+\|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)\| \leqslant \mathfrak{C}(\|x\|+\|y\|) \| x-$ $y\left\|,\langle x, \mu(t, x)\rangle \leqslant L\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right),\right\| \sigma(t, x) \| \leqslant L(1+\|x\|)$, and $|f(t, x, v)-f(t, x, w)| \leqslant L|v-w|$, let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ be a stochastic basis, and let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard $\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]^{-}}$ Brownian motion. Then
(i) there exists a unique at most polynomially growing viscosity solution $u \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\langle\mu(t, x) & \left.,\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{267}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$,
(ii) for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted }}$ stochastic process $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, \tag{268}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) there exists a unique at most polynomially growing $v \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, v\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right], \tag{269}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(iv) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $u(t, x)=v(t, x)$
(cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Corollary 3.9. Throughout this proof let $V_{q}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow(0, \infty), q \in(0, \infty)$, satisfy for all $q \in(0, \infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{q}(x)=\left[1+\|x\|^{2}\right]^{q / 2} . \tag{270}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the assumption that $f$ is at most polynomially growing and the assumption that $g$ is at most polynomially growing ensure that there exists $p \in(0, \infty)$ which satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\frac{|g(x)|+|f(t, x, 0)|}{V_{p}(x)}\right)<\infty . \tag{271}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain for all $q \in(p, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)|}{V_{q}(x)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{272}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, note that (270), the assumption that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\langle x, \mu(t, x)\rangle \leqslant$ $L\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)$, and the assumption that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\|\sigma(t, x)\| \leqslant L(1+\|x\|)$ guarantee that there exist $\rho_{q} \in[0, \infty), q \in \mathbb{R}$, which satisfy for all $q \in(p, \infty), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess} V_{q}\right)(x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla V_{q}\right)(x)\right\rangle \leqslant \rho_{q} V_{q}(x) \tag{273}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf., e.g., [5, Lemma 3.3]). In addition, observe that (270) demonstrates for all $q \in(0, \infty)$ that $\liminf \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r} V_{q}(x)\right]=\infty$. Item (iii) in Corollary 3.8 (applied with $\rho \leftarrow \rho_{2 p}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $V \leftarrow V_{2 p}$ in the notation of Corollary (3.8), (272), and (273) therefore ensure that for every $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $\left(\mathbb{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in[t, T] \text {-adapted stochastic }}$ process $X^{t, x}=\left(X_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}:[t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with continuous sample paths satisfying that for all $s \in[t, T]$ we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} \mu\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r} . \tag{274}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes Item (iii). Next we prove Item (ii). Note that Item (ii) in Corollary 3.8 (applied with $\rho \leftarrow \rho_{2 p}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, V \leftarrow V_{2 p}$ in the notation of Corollary (3.8), (272), and (273) prove that there exists a unique viscosity solution $u \in\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{O} \backslash O_{r}}\left(\frac{(\mathbf{u}(t, x) \mid}{V_{2 p}(t, x)}\right)\right]\right.$ $=0\}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)\right. & (t, x)\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{275}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Next let $v \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be an at most polynomially growing viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\sigma(t, x)[\sigma(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right)+\left\langle\mu(t, x),\left(\nabla_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right\rangle \\
& +f(t, x, v(t, x))=0 \tag{276}
\end{align*}
$$

with $v(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Note that the fact that $v$ is at most polynomially growing guarantees that there exists $\alpha \in[2 p, \infty)$ which satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r}\left(\frac{|v(t, x)|}{V_{\alpha}(x)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{277}
\end{equation*}
$$

Item (ii) in Corollary 3.8 (applied with $\rho \leftarrow \rho_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, V \leftarrow V_{\alpha}$ in the notation of Corollary (3.8) and (275) hence ensure that $u=v$. This establishes Item (ii). In the next step we prove Items (iiil) and (iv). Observe that Item (iiii) in Corollary 3.8 guarantees for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, u\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, u\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{278}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $w \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be an at most polynomially growing function satisfying for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}, w\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{279}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the fact that $w$ is at most polynomially growing yields that there exists $\beta \in[\alpha, \infty)$ which satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r}\left(\frac{|w(t, x)|}{V_{\beta}(x)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{280}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Items (iiii) and (iv) in Corollary 3.8 (applied with $\rho \leftarrow \rho_{\beta}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, V \leftarrow V_{\beta}$ in the notation of Corollary (3.8) with (275) and (278) hence demonstrates that $u=v=w$. This establishes Items (iiii) and (iv). This completes the proof of Corollary 3.9.

Lemma 3.10. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon, T \in(0, \infty)$, $\alpha, c \in[0, \infty)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $B:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ satisfy $\sup \left\{\left\langle\xi, B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*} \xi\right\rangle: t \in[0, T], x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|\xi\|=1\right\}=c \leqslant \alpha$, and let $V:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, x)=\frac{1}{[2 \pi(\alpha t+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}\right) . \tag{281}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(i) we have that $V \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d},(0, \infty)\right)$ and
(ii) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 . \tag{282}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Throughout this proof let $\delta_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i<j$ that $\delta_{i, i}=1$ and $\delta_{i, j}=\delta_{j, i}=0$ and let $b_{i, j}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
B(t, x)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1,1}(t, x) & b_{1,2}(t, x) & \ldots & b_{1, m}(t, x)  \tag{283}\\
b_{2,1}(t, x) & b_{2,2}(t, x) & \ldots & b_{2, m}(t, x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{d, 1}(t, x) & b_{d, 2}(t, x) & \ldots & b_{d, m}(t, x)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Observe that (281) and the chain rule establish Item (ii). Moreover, note that (281) ensures that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, t \in[0, T], x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)= \\
\alpha\left[-\frac{d}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] V(t, x), \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} V\right)(t, x)=\frac{x_{i}}{\alpha t+\varepsilon} V(t, x),  \tag{284}\\
\\
\text { and } \quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} V\right)(t, x)=\left[\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}+\frac{\delta_{i, j}}{\alpha t+\varepsilon}\right] V(t, x) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, we obtain that for all $t \in[0, T], x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i, k}(t, x) b_{j, k}(t, x)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} V\right)(t, x)\right] \\
& =\alpha\left[-\frac{d}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] V(t, x)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i, k}(t, x) b_{j, k}(t, x)\left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}+\frac{\delta_{i, j}}{\alpha t+\varepsilon}\right)\right] V(t, x)  \tag{285}\\
& =\alpha\left[-\frac{d}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] V(t, x)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left\langle x, B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*} x\right\rangle}{(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}+\frac{\operatorname{Trace}\left(B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*}\right)}{\alpha t+\varepsilon}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Next note that the assumption that for all $t \in[0, T], x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\left\langle\xi, B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*} \xi\right\rangle \leqslant$ $c\|\xi\|^{2}$ implies that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that Trace $\left(B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*}\right) \leqslant c d$. Combining this with (285) and the assumption that $c \leqslant \alpha$ ensures that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B(t, x)[B(t, x)]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V\right)(t, x)\right) \\
& \leqslant-\alpha\left[\frac{d}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}+\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] V(t, x)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{c\|x\|^{2}}{(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}+\frac{c d}{\alpha t+\varepsilon}\right] V(t, x)  \tag{286}\\
& =(-\alpha+c)\left[\frac{d}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)}+\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(\alpha t+\varepsilon)^{2}}\right] V(t, x) \leqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

This establishes Item (iii). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.10.
Corollary 3.11. Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}, a, T \in(0, \infty), c, L \in \mathbb{R}, f \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the standard Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, assume for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $c=\sup \left\{\left\langle y, B B^{*} y\right\rangle: y \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|y\|=1\right\}<\frac{1}{2 a T},|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)| \leqslant L \exp \left(a\|x\|^{2}\right)$, and $\mid f(t, x, v)-$ $f(t, x, w)|\leqslant L| v-w \mid$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and let $W:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a standard Brownian motion. Then
(i) there exists a unique viscosity solution $u \in\left(\bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \sup \left\{\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{\exp \left(b|x| x \|^{2}\right)}: t \in\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}<\infty\right\}$ ) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B B^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{287}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$,
(ii) there exists a unique $v \in\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)}\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \sup \left\{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)| \exp \left(-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}\right): t \in\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}<\infty\right\}\right)$ which satisfies for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(x+B W_{T-t}\right)\right|+\right.$ $\left.\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}, v\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(x+B W_{T-t}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}, v\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{288}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(iii) we have for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $u(t, x)=v(t, x)$
(cf. Definition 2.7).
Proof of Corollary 3.11. Throughout this let $V_{\varepsilon}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow(0, \infty), \varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$, satisfy for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\frac{1}{[2 \pi(c t+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}\right) \tag{289}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon} \subseteq C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right), \varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$, satisfy for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right):\left(\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)}\right)\right]=0\right)\right\} \tag{290}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the assumption that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)| \leqslant$ $L \exp \left(a\|x\|^{2}\right)$ ensures that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|+|g(x)|}{V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)} & \leqslant L[2 \pi(c t+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2} \exp \left(a\|x\|^{2}-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}\right) \\
& \leqslant L[2 \pi(c T+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2} \exp \left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}\right)\|x\|^{2}\right)  \tag{291}\\
& \leqslant L[2 \pi(c T+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2} \exp \left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2(c T+\varepsilon)}\right)\|x\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r}\left(\frac{|f(t, x, 0)|}{V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)}+\frac{|g(x)|}{V_{\varepsilon}(T, x)}\right)\right]  \tag{292}\\
& \leqslant 2 L[2 \pi(c T+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}\left[\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\exp \left(\left(a-\frac{1}{2(c T+\varepsilon)}\right) r^{2}\right)\right]\right]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, note that (289) demonstrates that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \geqslant \frac{1}{[2 \pi(c T+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c T+\varepsilon)}\right) . \tag{293}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{t \in[0, T]} \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\|>r} V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right]=\infty . \tag{294}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, observe that Lemma 3.10 guarantees that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V_{\varepsilon}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B B^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} V_{\varepsilon}\right)(t, x)\right) \leqslant 0 \tag{295}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (292), (294), and Item (ii) in Theorem 3.7 (applied with $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mu \leftarrow$ $\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni(t, x) \mapsto 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \leftarrow\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni(t, x) \mapsto B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), V \leftarrow V_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ in the notation of Theorem 3.7) proves that for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ there exists a unique viscosity solution $U_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U_{\varepsilon}\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B B^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} U_{\varepsilon}\right)(t, x)\right)+f\left(t, x, U_{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right)=0 \tag{296}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $U_{\varepsilon}(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. This, (290), and (293) ensure that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[\frac{\left|U_{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right|}{\exp \left(\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2 \varepsilon}\right)}\right] \leqslant \frac{1}{[2 \pi \varepsilon]^{d / 2}} \sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[\frac{\left|U_{\varepsilon}(t, x)\right|}{V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)}\right]<\infty . \tag{297}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, observe that (289) yields for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \delta, \varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ with $\delta \leqslant \varepsilon$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\varepsilon}(t, x)}{V_{\delta}(t, x)}=\left[\frac{2 \pi(c t+\delta)}{2 \pi(c t+\varepsilon)}\right]^{d / 2} \exp \left(\|x\|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}-\frac{1}{2(c t+\delta)}\right)\right) \leqslant\left[\frac{c T+\delta}{\varepsilon}\right]^{d / 2} \tag{298}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies for all $\delta, \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ with $\delta \leqslant \varepsilon$ that $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\delta}$. Combining this with (296) demonstrates that for all $\delta, \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that $U_{\varepsilon}=U_{\delta}$. This proves that there exists a unique $u \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ which satisfies for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=U_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \tag{299}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (296), (297), and (299) ensure that $u \in\left(\bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \sup \left\{\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{\exp \left(b \mid x \|^{2}\right)}\right.\right.\right.$ : $\left.\left.\left.t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}<\infty\right\}\right)$ is a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B B^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} u\right)(t, x)\right)+f(t, x, u(t, x))=0 \tag{300}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Next let $v \in\left(\bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \sup \left\{\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|}{\exp \left(b|x| \|^{2}\right)}\right.\right.\right.$ : $\left.\left.t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}<\infty\right\}$ ) be a viscosity solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v\right)(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(B B^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{x} v\right)(t, x)\right)+f(t, x, v(t, x))=0 \tag{301}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v(T, x)=g(x)$ for $(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $b \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy $\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\frac{|v(t, x)|}{\exp \left(b \mid x \|^{2}\right)}\right)<\infty$, and let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq[0, T]$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}=\left\{t \in[0, T]:\left.v\right|_{[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}=\left.u\right|_{\left.[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}}\right\} . \tag{302}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (302) and the fact that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $u(T, x)=g(x)=v(T, x)$ imply that $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Moreover, note that the fact that $u, v \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ assures that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed. In addition, observe that (289) and (302) ensure that for all $t \in[0, T], s \in\left[0, \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}\right], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 4 b)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|v\left(\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 c}, 0\right\}+s, x\right)\right|}{V_{\varepsilon}(s, x)} & =[2 \pi(c s+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}\left[\frac{\left|v\left(\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b c}, 0\right\}+s, x\right)\right|}{\exp \left(b \mid x \|^{2}\right)}\right] \exp \left(\left(b-\frac{1}{2(c s+\varepsilon)}\right)\|x\|^{2}\right)  \tag{303}\\
& \leqslant[2 \pi(c T+\varepsilon)]^{d / 2}\left[\frac{\left|v\left(\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 c}, 0\right\}+s, x\right)\right|}{\exp \left(b|x| \|^{2}\right)}\right] \exp \left(\left(b-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon+1 / 2 b}\right)\|x\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain for all $t \in[0, T], \varepsilon \in(0,1 / 4 b)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{s \in\left[0, \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}\right]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d},\|x\| \gg}\left(\frac{\left|v\left(\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 c c}, 0\right\}+s, x\right)\right|}{V_{\varepsilon}(s, x)}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{304}
\end{equation*}
$$

Item (ii) in Theorem 3.7 (applied with $T \leftarrow \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}, \mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mu \leftarrow\left(\left[0, \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni\right.$ $\left.(s, x) \mapsto 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \sigma \leftarrow\left(\left[0, \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni(s, x) \mapsto B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), f \leftarrow\left(\left[0, \min \left\{t, \frac{1}{4 b c}\right\}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \ni\right.$ $\left.(s, x, v) \mapsto f\left(\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b c}, 0\right\}+s, x, v\right) \in \mathbb{R}\right), g \leftarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \ni x \mapsto u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}\right), V \leftarrow V_{\varepsilon}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap(0, T]$, $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4 b}\right) \cap\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ in the notation of Theorem 3.7), the fact that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that $U_{\varepsilon}=u$, and (296) therefore demonstrate that for all $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap(0, T], \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4 b}\right) \cap\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that $\left.v\right|_{\left[\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b}, 0\right\}, t\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}=\left.U_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\left[\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b}, 0\right\}, t\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}$. This and (299) ensure that for all $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap(0, T]$ we have that $\left.v\right|_{\left[\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{46}, 0\right\}, t\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}=\left.u\right|_{\left[\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b}, 0\right\}, t\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}$. Hence, we obtain for all $t \in \mathcal{T} \cap(0, T]$ that $\left[\max \left\{t-\frac{1}{4 b}, 0\right\}, t\right] \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. This implies that $\mathcal{T} \in\{A \subseteq[0, T]:(\forall a \in A: \exists \varepsilon \in(0, \infty):(a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon) \cap$ $[0, T] \subseteq A)\}$. Combining this with the fact that $\mathcal{T}$ is non-empty, the fact that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed, and the fact that $[0, T]$ is connected ensures that $\mathcal{T}=[0, T]$. This and (300) establish Item (il). Next we prove Items (iii) and (iii). Observe that (289), (290), (292), (294), (295), (296), (299), and Items (iiii) and (iv) in Theorem 3.7 (applied with $\mathcal{O} \leftarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mu \leftarrow\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni(t, x) \mapsto 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\sigma \leftarrow\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \ni(t, x) \mapsto B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\right), V \leftarrow V_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ in the notation of Theorem 3.7) guarantee that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(x+B\left(W_{T}-W_{t}\right)\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, x+B\left(W_{s}-W_{t}\right), u\left(s, x+B\left(W_{s}-W_{t}\right)\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{305}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $W$ is a standard Brownian motion and Fubini's theorem therefore ensure that for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(x+B W_{T-t}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}, u\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{306}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $w \in\left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)}\left\{\mathbf{u} \in C\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}\right): \sup \left\{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)| \exp \left(-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c t+\varepsilon)}\right): t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}<\infty\right\}\right)$ satisfy for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(x+B W_{T-t}\right)\right|+\int_{t}^{T}\left|f\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}, w\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}\right)\right)\right| d s\right]<$ $\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(x+B W_{T-t}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}, w\left(s, x+B W_{s-t}\right)\right) d s\right] \tag{307}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\eta \in(0, \infty)$ satisfy $\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(|w(t, x)| \exp \left(-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2(c t+\eta)}\right)\right)<\infty$. Observe that (298) demonstrates that for all $\varepsilon \in(0, \eta) \cap\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that $w \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}$. Combining this, (306), and (307) with the fact that $W$ is a standard Brownian motion, the fact that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 a}-c T\right)$ we have that $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}$, and Item (iiii) in Theorem (3.7 proves that $u=w$. This establishes Items (iii) and (iiii). This completes the proof of Corollary 3.11.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that we say that a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P},\left(\mathbb{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}\right)$ is a stochastic basis if and only if we have for all $t \in[0, T)$ that $\{A \in \mathcal{F}: \mathbb{P}(A)=0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{t}=\left(\cap_{s \in(t, T]} \mathbb{F}_{s}\right)$; cf., e.g., Liu \& Röckner [31, Definition 2.1.11].

