# Approximate Fixed Point Property for Digital Trees and Products

Laurence Boxer \*

#### Abstract

We add to our knowledge of the approximate fixed point property (AFPP) in digital topology.

We show that a digital image that is a tree has the AFPP.

Given two digital images  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  that have the approximate fixed point property, does their Cartesian product have the AFPP? We explore conditions that yield an affirmative answer. A general answer to this question is not known at the current writing.

## 1 Introduction

The study of fixed points of continuous functions  $f: X \to X$  has long captured the attention of researchers in many areas of mathematics. It was introduced in digital topology by A. Rosenfeld [12]. Rosenfeld showed that even a digital image as simple as a digital interval need not have a fixed point property (FPP), but does have an "almost" or "approximate" fixed point property (AFPP) (precisely defined in [6]). It was shown in [6] that among digital images, only singletons have the FPP; perhaps as a consequence, attention shifted to the AFPP for digital images in such papers as [3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11]. In this paper, we continue to study the AFPP for digital images; in particular, for trees and for Cartesian products.

## 2 Preliminaries

Much of this section is quoted or paraphrased from the references, especially [4]. We use  $\mathbb{Z}$  to indicate the set of integers,  $\mathbb{N}$  for the set of natural numbers, and  $\mathbb{N}^*$  for the set of nonnegative integers.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Niagara University, Niagara University, NY 14109, USA; and Department of Computer Science and Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo. Email: laurence.boxer@gmail.com

## 2.1 Adjacencies

The  $c_u$ -adjacencies are commonly used. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $x \neq y$ , where we consider these points as n-tuples of integers:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \le u \le n$ . We say x and y are  $c_u$ -adjacent if

- there are at most u indices i for which  $|x_i y_i| = 1$ , and
- for all indices j such that  $|x_j y_j| \neq 1$  we have  $x_j = y_j$ .

Often, a  $c_u$ -adjacency is denoted by the number of points adjacent to a given point in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  using this adjacency. E.g.,

- In  $\mathbb{Z}^1$ ,  $c_1$ -adjacency is 2-adjacency.
- In  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $c_1$ -adjacency is 4-adjacency and  $c_2$ -adjacency is 8-adjacency.
- In  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $c_1$ -adjacency is 6-adjacency,  $c_2$ -adjacency is 18-adjacency, and  $c_3$ -adjacency is 26-adjacency.

For  $\kappa$ -adjacent x, y, we write  $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y$  or  $x \leftrightarrow y$  when  $\kappa$  is understood. We write  $x \nleftrightarrow_{\kappa} y$  or  $x \nleftrightarrow y$  to mean that either  $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y$  or x = y.

We say  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^k \subset (X, \kappa)$  is a  $\kappa$ -path (or a path if  $\kappa$  is understood) from  $x_0$  to  $x_k$  if  $x_i \stackrel{\triangle}{=}_{\kappa} x_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ , and k is the length of the path.

A subset Y of a digital image  $(X, \kappa)$  is  $\kappa$ -connected [12], or connected when  $\kappa$  is understood, if for every pair of points  $a, b \in Y$  there exists a  $\kappa$ -path in Y from a to b.

We define

$$N(X, \kappa, x) = \{ y \in X \mid x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y \},$$
  
$$N^*(X, \kappa, x) = \{ y \in X \mid x \backsimeq_{\kappa} y \} = N(X, \kappa, x) \cup \{ x \}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** [1] Given digital images  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$ , the normal product adjacency  $NP(kappa, \lambda)$  for the Cartesian product  $X \times Y$  is as follows. For  $x, x' \in X$ ,  $y, y' \in Y$ , we have  $(x, y) \leftrightarrow_{NP(\kappa, \lambda)} (x', y')$  if

- $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x'$  and y = y', or
- x = x' and  $y \leftrightarrow_{\lambda} y'$ , or
- $x \leftrightarrow_{\kappa} x'$  and  $y \leftrightarrow_{\lambda} y'$ .

#### 2.2 Digitally continuous functions

The following generalizes a definition of [12].

**Definition 2.2.** [2] Let  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  be digital images. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -continuous if for every  $\kappa$ -connected  $A \subset X$  we have that f(A) is a  $\lambda$ -connected subset of Y. If  $(X, \kappa) = (Y, \lambda)$ , we say such a function is  $\kappa$ -continuous, denoted  $f \in C(X, \kappa)$ .  $\square$ 

When the adjacency relations are understood, we will simply say that f is *continuous*. Continuity can be expressed in terms of adjacency of points:

**Theorem 2.3.** [12, 2] A single-valued function  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous if and only if  $x \leftrightarrow x'$  in X implies  $f(x) \rightleftharpoons f(x')$ .

Similar notions are referred to as *immersions*, gradually varied operators, and gradually varied mappings in [7, 8].

Composition and restriction preserve continuity, in the sense of the following assertions.

**Theorem 2.4.** [2] Let  $(X, \kappa)$ ,  $(Y, \lambda)$ , and  $(Z, \mu)$  be digital images. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -continuous and let  $g: Y \to Z$  be  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -continuous. Then  $g \circ f: X \to Z$  is  $(\kappa, \mu)$ -continuous.

**Theorem 2.5.** [9] Let  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  be digital images. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -continuous.

- Let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $f|_A : A \to Y$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -continuous.
- $f: X \to f(X)$  is  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Given  $X = \prod_{i=1}^{v} X_i$ , we denote throughout this paper the projection onto the  $i^{th}$  factor by  $p_i$ ; i.e.,  $p_i : X \to X_i$  is defined by  $p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_v) = x_i$ , where  $x_j \in X_j$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** [10] Given digital images  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$ , the projection maps  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are  $(NP(\kappa, \lambda), \kappa)$ -continuous and  $(NP(\kappa, \lambda), \lambda)$ -continuous, respectively.

#### 2.3 Approximate fixed points

Let  $f \in C(X, \kappa)$  and let  $x \in X$ . We say

- x is a fixed point of f if f(x) = x;
- If  $f(x) \cong_{\kappa} x$ , then x is an almost fixed point [12, 13] or approximate fixed point [5] of  $(f, \kappa)$ .
- A digital image  $(X, \kappa)$  has the approximate fixed point property (AFPP) [5] if for every  $g \in C(X, \kappa)$  there is an approximate fixed point of g.

**Remark 2.7.** What we call the AFPP was denoted in [4] as the AFPP<sub>S</sub> in order to distinguish it from its more general version for multivalued continuous functions, denoted AFPP<sub>M</sub>. In this paper, we discuss only single-valued continuous functions, so we use the simpler notation.

**Theorem 2.8.** [5] Let X and Y be digital images such that  $(X, \kappa)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  are isomorphic. If  $(X, \kappa)$  has the AFPP, then  $(Y, \lambda)$  has the AFPP.

**Theorem 2.9.** [5] Let X and Y be digital images such that Y is a  $\kappa$ -retract of X. If  $(X, \kappa)$  has the AFPP, then  $(Y, \kappa)$  has the AFPP.

## 3 Trees

A tree is a triple  $T=(X,\kappa,s)$ , where  $s\in X$  and  $(X,\kappa)$  is a connected graph that is acyclic, i.e., lacking any subgraph isomorphic to a cycle of more than 2 points. The vertex s is the root. Given  $x\leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y$  in X, we say x is the parent of y, and y is a child of x, if  $x\leftrightarrow_{\kappa} y$  and the unique shortest path from y to the root contains x. Every vertex of the tree, except the root, has a unique parent vertex. A vertex, in general, may have multiple children. We define, recursively, a descendant of x in a tree  $T=(X,\kappa,r)$  as follows:  $y\in X$  is a descendant of  $x\in X$  if y is a child of x or y is a descendant of a child of x.

We will use the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  have the AFPP. Let  $X' = X \cup \{x_0\}$ , where  $x_0 \notin X$ , and let there be a  $\kappa$ -retraction  $r: X' \to X$  such that  $N^*(X', \kappa, x_0) \subset N^*(X', \kappa, r(x_0))$ . Then  $(X', \kappa)$  has the AFPP.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C(X', \kappa)$ . Then  $g = r \circ f|_X \in C(X, \kappa)$ . Therefore, there is an approximate fixed point  $y \in X$  of g.

- If  $f(y) \in X$ , then  $f(y) = g(y) \bowtie_{\kappa} y$ , as desired.
- Otherwise,  $f(y) = x_0$  and  $y = g(y) = r(x_0)$ . The continuity of f implies f(g(y)) = f(y) = f(y) = f(y), hence

$$f(g(y)) \in N^*(X', \kappa, x_0) \subset N^*(X', \kappa, r(x_0)) = N^*(X', \kappa, g(y)).$$

So g(y) is an approximate fixed point of f.

In either case, f has an approximate fixed point. Since f was taken as an arbitrary member of  $C(X', \kappa)$ , the assertion follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** A digital image  $(T, \kappa)$  that is a tree has the AFPP.

*Proof.* We argue by induction on #T, the number of vertices in T. The assertion is trivial for #T = 1.

Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the assertion is correct for all digital trees T satisfying  $\#T \leq k$ . Now let  $(T, \kappa)$  be a digital tree with #T = k+1. Let  $v_0 \in T$  be a leaf of T, with  $v_1 \in T$  as the parent of  $v_0$ . Then  $(T \setminus \{v_0\}, \kappa)$  is a digital tree of k points. The function  $r: T \to T \setminus \{v_0\}$  defined by  $r(v_0) = v_1, r(x) = x$  for  $x \neq v_0$ , is clearly a  $\kappa$ -retraction, and  $N^*(T, \kappa, v_0) = \{v_1\} \subset N^*(T, \kappa, r(v_0))$ . It follows from the inductive hypothesis and Proposition 3.1 that  $(T, \kappa)$  has the  $AFPP_S$ . This completes the induction.

## 4 Cartesian products

In this section, we demonstrate an affirmative response to the following question.

**Question 4.1.** [4] Let  $X = \prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where for at least 2 indices i we have  $b_i > a_i$ . Does  $(X, c_v)$  have the AFPP?

Several authors have written that this question was answered by Theorem 4.1 of [12]. However, it wasn't, as observed in [4]:

A. Rosenfeld's paper [12] states the following as its Theorem 4.1 (quoted verbatim).

Let I be a digital picture, and let f be a continuous function from I into I; then there exists a point  $P \in I$  such that f(P) = P or is a neighbor or diagonal neighbor of P.

Several subsequent papers have incorrectly concluded that this result implies that I with some  $c_u$  adjacency has the  $AFPP_S$ . By digital picture Rosenfeld means a digital cube,  $I = [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^v$ . By a "continuous function" he means a  $(c_1, c_1)$ -continuous function; by "a neighbor or diagonal neighbor of P" he means a  $c_v$ -adjacent point.

A partial solution to this problem is given in the following (restated here in our terminology), which is Theorem 1 of [11]. The "proof" in [11] has multiple errors; a correct proof is given in [4].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $X = [-1, 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^v$  and  $1 \le u \le v$ . Then  $(X, c_u)$  has the AFPP<sub>S</sub> if and only if u = v.

We make use of the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** [6] For  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$  and  $Y \subset Z^n$ ,  $NP(c_m, c_n) = c_{m+n}$ , i.e., given  $x, x' \in X$ ,  $y, y' \in Y$ ,

$$(x,y) \leftrightarrow_{NP(c_m,c_n)} (x',y')$$
 if and only if  $(x,y) \leftrightarrow_{c_{m+n}} (x',y')$ .

**Remark 4.4.** It is shown in [6] that for  $m \leq M$ ,  $n \leq N$ , m + n < M + N, if  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^M$  and  $Y \subset Z^N$ , then we can have  $NP(c_m, c_n) \neq c_{m+n}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a digital image with the AFPP. Then the image  $(X \times [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$  has the AFPP.

*Proof.* We argue by induction on n.

For n=0 we argue as follows. Since

$$(X \times [0,0]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1)) = (X \times \{0\}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$$

is isomorphic to  $(X, \kappa)$ , it follows from Theorem 2.8 that  $(X \times [0, 0]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$  has the AFPP.

Now suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $(X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$  has the AFPP. To complete the induction, we must show that  $(X \times [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$  has the AFPP. Let  $r: X \times [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be defined by

$$r(x,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (x,t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le k; \\ (x,k) & \text{if } t = k+1. \end{array} \right.$$

Clearly, r is  $NP(\kappa, c_1)$ -continuous and is a retraction.

Let  $f \in C(X \times [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_1))$ . Let  $g: X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be defined by  $g(x,t) = r \circ f \circ I(x,t)$ , where  $I: X \times [0,k]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to X \times [0,k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the inclusion function. By the inductive hypothesis, g has an approximate fixed point; i.e., there exists  $p = (x_0, t_0) \in X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$p \cong_{NP(\kappa,c_1)} g(p). \tag{1}$$

• If  $f(p) \in X \times [0, k]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  then

$$p \bowtie_{NP(\kappa,c_1)} g(p) = f(p),$$

so p is an approximate fixed point of f.

• Otherwise, we have that for some  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $f(p) = (x_1, k + 1)$  and  $g(p) = (x_1, k)$ . Let  $p_1 : X \times [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to X$  and  $p_2 : X \times [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the projections defined for  $x \in X$ ,  $t \in [0, k+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  by

$$p_1(x,t) = x, \quad p_2(x,t) = t.$$

By Theorems 2.4 and 2.6, the functions  $f \circ g$ ,  $p_1 \circ f$ ,  $p_1 \circ f \circ g$ ,  $p_2 \circ f$ ,  $p_2 \circ g$ , and  $p_2 \circ f \circ g$  are all continuous. By continuity of f and (1),  $f(g(p)) \Leftrightarrow f(p)$ , so

$$p_1(f(g(p)) \leq_{\kappa} p_1(f(p)) = x_1 = p_1(g(p))$$
 (2)

and  $p_2(f(g(p))) \cong_{c_1} p_2(f(p)) = k+1$ , so  $p_2(f(g(p))) \in \{k, k+1\}$ , hence

$$p_2(f(g(p)) \cong_{c_1} p_2(g(p)). \tag{3}$$

By (2) and (3), g(p) is an approximate fixed point of f.

In either case, f has an approximate fixed point. This completes the induction argument.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a digital image. Consider  $(Y, c_v)$ , where  $Y = [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^v$ . For  $X \times Y \times [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $NP(NP(\kappa, c_k)), c_1) = NP(\kappa, c_{k+1})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, x' \in X$ ,  $y, y' \in Y$ ,  $t, t' \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_v), \quad y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_v),$$

 $y_i, y_i' \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, v$ , such that  $(x, y, t) \neq (x', y', t')$ . Then

$$(x,y,t) \leftrightarrow_{NP(NP(\kappa,c_v),c_1)} (x',y',t')$$
 if and only if

$$(x,y) \Leftrightarrow_{NP(\kappa,c_n)} (x',y')$$
 and  $t \Leftrightarrow_{c_1} t'$  if and only if

$$x \rightleftharpoons_{\kappa} x'$$
 and  $y \rightleftharpoons_{c_v} y'$  and  $t \rightleftharpoons_{c_1} t'$  if and only if

$$x \cong_{\kappa} x'$$
 and  $(y,t) \cong_{NP(c_{n},c_{1})} (y',t')$  if and only if

(by Theorem 4.3)

$$x \cong_{\kappa} x'$$
 and  $(y,t) \cong_{c_{n+1}} (y',t')$  if and only if

$$(x,y,t) \stackrel{\triangle}{=}_{NP(\kappa,c_{n+1})} (x',y',t')$$
. The assertion is established.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a digital image with the AFPP. Let  $Y = [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^v$ . Then the image  $(X \times Y, NP(\kappa, c_v))$  has the AFPP.

*Proof.* We argue by induction on v. For v=1, the assertion is correct by Theorem 4.5.

Suppose, for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , for  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^k [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $(X \times Y, NP(\kappa, c_k))$  has the AFPP. Then by Theorem 4.5,  $(X \times Y \times [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(NP(\kappa, c_k)), c_1)$  has the AFPP. Note that  $X \times Y \times [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}} = X \times [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k+1}$ , and, by Lemma 4.6, that  $NP(NP(\kappa, c_k), c_1) = NP(\kappa, c_{k+1})$ . This completes our induction.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a finite digital image with the AFPP. Then the image  $(X \times \prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_v))$  has the AFPP.

*Proof.* This follows from Theorems 4.7, 2.8, and 2.9, as the image

$$(X \times \prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_v))$$

is clearly isomorphic to an  $NP(\kappa, c_v)$ -retract of  $X \times [0, n]^v$  for some n.

**Theorem 4.9.** [12] The digital image  $([a,b]_{\mathbb{Z}},c_1)$  has the AFPP.

**Theorem 4.10.** [4] Let  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  be such that X has a subset  $Y = \prod_{i=1}^v [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where v > 1; for all indices i,  $b_i \in \{a_i, a_i + 1\}$ ; and, for at least 2 indices i,  $b_i = a_i + 1$ . Then  $(X, c_u)$  fails to have the AFPP for  $1 \le u < v$ .

As noted in [4], Theorem 4.10 states a severe limitation on the AFPP for digital images  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^v$  and the  $c_u$  adjacency, where  $1 \leq u < v$ . We have the following.

**Theorem 4.11.** For  $1 \le u \le v$ ,  $(\prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, c_u)$  has the AFPP if and only if u = v.

*Proof.* For u < v, the assertion comes from Theorem 4.10. Now consider the case u = v. For v = 1, the assertion follows from Theorem 4.9. For v > 1, Theorem 4.3 lets us conclude that

$$(\Pi_{i=1}^{v}[a_i,b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}},c_v) = ([a_1,b_1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \Pi_{i=2}^{v}[a_i,b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(c_1,c_{v-1})).$$

The assertion follows from Theorem 4.8.

## 5 Further remarks

We have shown that a digital image that is a tree has the AFPP.

A general answer to the question posed in the abstract is not known at this writing. We have shown that given a finite digital image  $(X, \kappa)$  with the AFPP, then  $(X \times \prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, NP(\kappa, c_v))$  has the AFPP. It follows that  $(\prod_{i=1}^{v} [a_i, b_i]_{\mathbb{Z}}, c_v)$  has the AFPP.

## References

- C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, 2nd edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [2] L. Boxer, A classical construction for the digital fundamental group, Pattern Recognition Letters 10 (1999), 51-62.
- [3] L. Boxer, Generalized normal product adjacency in digital topology, Applied General Topology 18 (2) (2017), 401-427.
- [4] L. Boxer, Approximate fixed point properties in digital topology, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute 10 (2) (2020), 357-367.
- [5] L. Boxer, O. Ege, I. Karaca, J. Lopez, and J. Louwsma, Digital fixed points, approximate fixed points, and universal functions, Applied General Topology 17(2), 2016, 159-172.
- [6] L. Boxer and I. Karaca, Fundamental Groups for Digital Products, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences 11(4) (2012), 161-180.
- [7] L. Chen, Gradually varied surfaces and its optimal uniform approximation, SPIE Proceedings 2182 (1994), 300-307.
- [8] L. Chen, Discrete Surfaces and Manifolds, Scientific Practical Computing, Rockville, MD, 2004.
- [9] I. Cinar and I. Karaca, Some properties of multivalued functions in digital topology, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute 9 (2019), 553-565.
- [10] S-E Han, Non-product property of the digital fundamental group, *Information Sciences* 171 (2005), 7391.
- [11] S-E Han, Remarks on the preservation of the almost fixed point property involving several types of digitizations, Mathematics 7, 954 (2019)
- [12] A. Rosenfeld, 'Continuous' functions on digital images, Pattern Recognition Letters 4 (1987), 177-184.
- [13] R. Tsaur and M. Smyth, "Continuous" multifunctions in discrete spaces with applications to fixed point theory, in: G. Bertrand, A. Imiya, and R. Klette (eds.), Digital and Image Geometry, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2243, Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2001, 151-162.