

# A BERTINI TYPE THEOREM FOR PENCILS OVER FINITE FIELDS

SHAMIL ASGARLI AND DRAGOS GHIOCA

ABSTRACT. We study the question of finding smooth hyperplane sections to a pencil of hypersurfaces over finite fields.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth projective variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  over the complex numbers, the classical Bertini theorem asserts the existence of a hyperplane  $H$  such that  $X \cap H$  is smooth. The statement remains valid over an arbitrary infinite field  $k$ . For example, every smooth  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety admits a smooth  $\mathbb{Q}$ -hyperplane section. However, if  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field, there are counter-examples to the statement. The following example is due to Nick Katz [Kat99]. Consider the surface  $S \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^3$  defined by

$$X^q Y - XY^q + Z^q W - ZW^q = 0$$

One can check that each  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is tangent to the surface  $S$ , and so  $S \cap H$  is singular for every choice of  $H$  in this case [ADL19, Example 3.4].

If the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has sufficiently large cardinality with respect to the degree of  $X$ , then we still expect to find smooth hyperplane sections. A theorem of Ballico [Bal03] shows that for  $q \geq d(d-1)^{n-1}$ , any smooth hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $d$  admits an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane  $H$  such that  $X \cap H$  is smooth. When  $X$  is a plane curve, a sharper bound of  $q \geq d-1$  has been obtained under a stronger hypothesis of reflexivity [Asg19].

We restrict our attention to the case of hypersurfaces. If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a hypersurface, we say that a given hyperplane  $H$  is *transverse* to  $X$  if  $X \cap H$  is smooth.

In this paper, we study a pencil of hypersurfaces defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and ask for an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane which is simultaneously transverse to all the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -members of the pencil. We take two different hypersurfaces  $X_1 = \{F = 0\}$  and  $X_2 = \{G = 0\}$  of the same degree, and consider the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -members of the pencil generated by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . In other words, we examine the  $q+1$  hypersurfaces,

$$X_{[s:t]} = \{sF + tG = 0\}$$

where  $[s:t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The main question can be phrased as follows:

**Question 1.1.** Suppose that each member of the pencil spanned by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  admits a transverse hyperplane over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . Provided that  $q$  is sufficiently large with respect to  $d$ , can we find an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane  $H$  such that  $H$  is simultaneously transverse to  $X_{[s:t]}$  for each  $[s:t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ?

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J70; Secondary 14C21, 14N05.  
*Key words and phrases.* Bertini theorem, finite fields.

The case  $d = 1$  is clear, because we can simply pick  $H$  to be any hyperplane that is not in the pencil, and any two distinct hyperplanes intersect transversely. We assume  $d > 1$  throughout the paper. In a similar vein with Question 1.1, one may be inclined to ask for the existence of an  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -hyperplane  $H$  such that  $H$  is transverse to all the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -members of a given pencil. However, this cannot be attained because any hyperplane  $H$  must intersect some members of the pencil non-transversely. This is proved in Lemma 3.1.

Our main result asserts that the answer to Question 1.1 is positive if we allow a base extension. The result rests on the following natural assumption on the pencil:

**Assumption on the pencil.** Suppose that  $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  are two hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  defined over a finite field  $k$ . We will say that the pencil generated by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  satisfies the condition **(T)** if the following hold:

- (1) Each member of the pencil has a transverse hyperplane over  $\overline{k}$ .
- (2) The pencil has a smooth member defined over  $\overline{k}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 2$  be positive integers with  $p \nmid n(d-1)$ . Suppose that  $X_1, X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  are two hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  defined over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  satisfying the assumption **(T)**. Then there exists a finite field extension  $k'/k$  such that the following holds: for all finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_q \supseteq k'$ , there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane  $H$  such that  $H$  is transverse to  $X_{[s,t]}$  for each  $[s:t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .*

*Remark 1.3.* The finite field extension  $k'/k$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ , but not on the pencil itself. This assertion will be explicitly justified in the proof.

*Remark 1.4.* As it will be mentioned in the proof, the hypothesis  $p \nmid n(d-1)$  is needed to ensure that a certain map is separable. The required separability condition would also follow if we had instead imposed the following geometric condition: there exists a hyperplane  $H$  defined over  $\overline{k}$  such that  $H$  is tangent to  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$  many *distinct* hypersurfaces in the pencil (see Lemma 3.1 for more context).

*Remark 1.5.* The hypothesis that a pencil has at least one smooth member defined over  $\overline{k}$  is fairly mild. Indeed, a pencil can be viewed as a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  inside the parameter space of all hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The condition that the pencil admits a smooth member is equivalent to the statement that the corresponding  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is not contained inside the discriminant hypersurface  $\mathcal{D}_{d,n}$ , which parametrizes singular hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . A generically chosen line is not contained inside  $\mathcal{D}_{d,n}$ , and so a generic pencil contains a smooth member.

*Remark 1.6.* According to our definition, a hyperplane  $H$  is said to be transverse to  $X$  if  $H$  provides a smooth hyperplane section of  $X$ . This condition automatically implies that  $H \notin X^*$  where  $X^*$  is the dual hypersurface parametrizing tangent hyperplanes to  $X$ . More precisely,  $X^*$  is the closure of the image of the Gauss map of  $X$ . However, the converse implication is not true. For example a line  $L$  passing through the singularity of an irreducible nodal cubic  $C$  is not transverse according to our definition, but still satisfies  $L \notin C^*$ . Some authors, such as [Bal03], defines  $H$  to be transverse when the weaker condition  $H \notin X^*$  is satisfied. Note that if  $X$  is smooth, then  $H \notin X^*$  if and only if  $X \cap H$  is smooth. Thus, for smooth hypersurfaces, these two definitions of “transverse hyperplane” coincide.

We sketch here the plan for our paper. In Section 2 we discuss our Question 1.1 in the context of plane curves. Then we prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. Finally,

we conclude our paper by a brief discussion of whether we need to consider a base extension from  $k$  to  $k'$  as in the conclusion of Theorem 1.2; in particular, we prove in Proposition 3.3 that for a pencil of reduced plane conics (with at least one smooth conic in the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -pencil), there always exists a common transverse line to each element of the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -pencil (as long as  $q \geq 16$ ).

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Zinovy Reichstein and Dori Bejleri for very helpful discussions on the topic of this paper.

## 2. PLANE CURVES

In this Section, we discuss more broadly Question 1.1 in the context of plane curves. In particular, we show (see Proposition 2.3) that given any  $N$  reduced plane curves of degree  $d$ , there exists a common  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line transverse to each one of these  $N$  curves, as long as  $q \geq 2Nd(d-1)$ . Therefore, it makes sense to consider our Question 1.1 in which we search for a common  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line transverse to each curve in a given set of  $q+1$  curves. On the other hand, we show in Example 2.6 that there exists a set of  $q+1$  smooth plane curves with the property that no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line is simultaneously transverse to each curve in our set. Hence, this suggests even more the setup considered in Question 1.1 in which we consider a *pencil* of plane curves, or more generally of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The setup for this Section is to have two plane curves  $C_1 = \{F = 0\}$  and  $C_2 = \{G = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The polynomials  $F, G \in \mathbb{F}_q[x, y, z]$  are homogenous of degree  $d$ , and we assume that  $C_1 \cap C_2$  is finite, i.e. the curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  do not share any components. We consider the pencil of plane curves,

$$C_{[s:t]} = \{sF + tG = 0\}$$

We are interested in finding a line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $L$  is simultaneously transverse to the  $q+1$  members  $C_{[s:t]}$  as  $[s:t]$  varies in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Note that a line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is transverse to a curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  if and only if  $L \cap C$  consists of  $d = \deg(C)$  distinct points (over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ).

We need the following result on the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points to an arbitrary plane curve which is used in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a plane curve of degree  $d$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $X$  can be bounded by:*

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq dq + 1$$

*The equality occurs if  $X$  is a union of  $d$  lines, each defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , passing through a common  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point  $P_0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $d \geq q+1$ , then  $dq + 1 \geq q^2 + q + 1 = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , and the claim is trivially true. Thus, we may assume that  $d < q+1$ . First, we prove the result in the special case when  $X$  has no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear component. In this case, we prove a slightly stronger bound, namely  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq dq$ . Consider the finite set,

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(P, L) : P \in (X \cap L)(\mathbb{F}_q) \text{ and } L \text{ is an } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-line}\}.$$

Given that each  $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is contained in exactly  $q+1$  lines defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we get that  $\#\mathcal{I} = (q+1) \cdot \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . On the other hand, using the assumption that  $X$

contains no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line as a component, we deduce  $L \cap X$  consists of at most  $d$   $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points by Bezout's theorem. Since the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines is  $q^2 + q + 1$ , we obtain,

$$\#\mathcal{I} \leq (q^2 + q + 1)d$$

Combining the two inequalities, we get,

$$(q + 1) \cdot \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq (q^2 + q + 1)d \Rightarrow \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq \left(q + \frac{1}{q + 1}\right)d < qd + 1$$

where in the last step we used  $d < q + 1$ . Thus,  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq qd$  for every plane curve  $X$  which does not contain an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line as a component.

Now, suppose that  $X$  contains an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line as a component. We induct on the degree of  $X$  in this case. We write  $X = L_0 \cup Y$  where  $L_0$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line and  $Y$  is a curve of degree  $d - 1$ . If  $Y$  does not contain an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line, then

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq \#L(\mathbb{F}_q) + \#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q + 1 + (d - 1)q = dq + 1$$

as desired. If  $Y$  has an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L_1$ , then by induction,  $\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq (d - 1)q + 1$  but the point  $P := L_0 \cap L_1$  is counted twice, so

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq \#L(\mathbb{F}_q) + \#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) - 1 \leq q + 1 + ((d - 1)q + 1) - 1 = dq + 1$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that Lemma 2.1 is covered by a result of Serre [Ser91] who proved a similar upper bound on the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points for an arbitrary projective hyper-surface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Serre's result was generalized to all projective varieties by [Cou16].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a reduced plane curve of degree  $d$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $q \geq 2d(d - 1)$ , then there exists a transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line to  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Given a line  $L = \{ax + by + cz = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , we will show that the condition that  $L$  is *not* transverse to  $C = \{F = 0\}$  can be expressed in terms of vanishing of a certain discriminant. Indeed, we can solve for the intersection points  $C \cap L$  by substituting  $z = -(a/c)x - (b/c)y$  into the equation of  $F(x, y, z) = 0$  to obtain  $F(x, y, -(a/c)x - (b/c)y) = 0$ . After homogenizing (which takes care of the possibility that  $c$  could be 0 in the above expression), the equation represents vanishing of a binary form  $B_L(x, y)$  of degree  $d$  in variables  $x$  and  $y$  with coefficients that are homogenous in variables  $a, b, c$  with degree  $d$ . The line  $L$  is non-transverse to  $C$  if this binary form  $B_L$  has a repeated root on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , i.e. the discriminant of  $B_L$  vanishes. Since  $\text{disc}(B_L)$  has degree  $2d - 2$  in the coefficients of the binary form, and the coefficients themselves are degree  $d$  in variables  $a, b, c$ , we can view

$$\text{disc}(B_L) \in \mathbb{F}_q[a, b, c]$$

as a homogenous form  $H$  of degree  $(2d - 2)d = 2d(d - 1)$  in variables  $a, b, c$ . By viewing a particular line  $L$  as a point  $[p : q : r] \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$  in the dual space, we deduce that  $L$  is tangent to  $C$  if and only if the point  $[p : q : r]$  lies on the plane curve  $D = \{H = 0\}$ . In particular,

$$\#\{L \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid L \text{ is a line not transverse to } C\} \leq \#D(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

Since  $D$  is a plane curve of degree  $2d(d - 1)$ , the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $D$  can be bounded by  $2d(d - 1)q + 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Since the total number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is  $q^2 + q + 1$ , we will obtain a transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line to  $C$  provided that

$$q^2 + q + 1 > 2d(d - 1)q + 1$$

This last inequality is equivalent to  $q + 1 > 2d(d - 1)$ , that is,  $q \geq 2d(d - 1)$ .  $\square$

Using the same idea as in the previous proposition, we obtain:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$  be  $N$  reduced plane curves of degree  $d > 1$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $q \geq 2Nd(d - 1)$ , then there exists a common  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line which is simultaneously transverse to  $C_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of the previous proposition, we obtain that the number of non-transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines to  $C_i$  is at most  $2d(d - 1)q + 1$ . Thus, the number of lines that are non-transverse to at least one of the curves  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$  is at most  $N \cdot (2d(d - 1)q + 1)$ . So, we will obtain a common transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line to all  $C_i$  if

$$q^2 + q + 1 > N \cdot (2d(d - 1)q + 1)$$

This inequality will be satisfied for  $q \geq 2Nd(d - 1)$  according to the following computation.

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 + q + 1 &= q(q + 1) + 1 \geq q(2Nd(d - 1) + 1) + 1 \\ &= 2Nd(d - 1)q + q + 1 > 2Nd(d - 1)q + N = N \cdot (2d(d - 1)q + 1) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that  $q + 1 > N$  which is valid under the assumption  $q \geq 2d(d - 1)N$ .  $\square$

However, if the number of curves depend also on  $q$ , then the existence of a simultaneous transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line is not guaranteed.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For each  $d \geq 2$ , there exist  $q + 1$  plane curves  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{q+1}$  of degree  $d$  such that there is no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line which is transverse to each  $C_i$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L_0$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . After enumerating the  $q + 1$   $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{q+1}$  on  $L_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ , construct the curve  $C_i$  such that  $C_i$  is any given degree  $d$  curve that is singular at the point  $P_i$ . The resulting collection of curves  $C_1, \dots, C_{q+1}$  satisfy the conclusion of the claim. Indeed, each  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  meets  $L_0$  at a unique point  $P_i \in L_0$  (depending on  $L$ ), and so  $L$  passes through the singular point of  $C_i$ , implying that  $L$  is not transverse to  $C_i$ . Thus, no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  can be simultaneously transverse to all the  $q + 1$  curves  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{q+1}$ .  $\square$

It would be more satisfying to have examples of smooth curves satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 2.4. We conjecture that such a collection of  $q + 1$  curves exist.

**Conjecture 2.5.** *For each  $d \geq 2$ , there exist  $q + 1$  smooth curves  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{q+1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $d$  such that there is no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line which is transverse to each  $C_i$ .*

We can prove the conjecture in the special case when  $d = 2$ .

**Example 2.6.** Suppose that the characteristic of the field is  $p > 2$ . We want to construct  $q + 1$  smooth conics  $C_1, \dots, C_{q+1}$  such that each  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is tangent to at least one of  $C_i$ . The set of tangent lines to a given smooth conic  $C$  is parametrized by the dual curve  $C^*$  which also has degree  $d(d - 1) = 2$ . The condition that no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line is transverse to all of  $C_1, \dots, C_{q+1}$  can be translated into the statement that the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of the corresponding dual curves  $C_1^*, \dots, C_{q+1}^*$  fill up all the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $(\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ .

Motivated by the observation above, we proceed to construct  $q+1$  smooth conics  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{q+1}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{q+1} D_i(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

Consider the collection of 4 points  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  such that  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  is a  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^3}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ -orbit of the point  $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_{q^3})$ , while  $P_4 \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . In other words, if we write  $P_1 = [a : b : c] \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_{q^3})$ , then  $P_2 = [a^q : b^q : c^q]$  and  $P_3 = [a^{q^2} : b^{q^2} : c^{q^2}]$ .

Furthermore, we can pick the collection  $B := \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$  in such a way that no three of  $P_i$  are collinear. The vector space of homogeneous quadratic polynomials in 3 variables passing through  $B$  has dimension  $6 - 4 = 2$ , and so we get a pencil of conics with base locus  $B$ . If  $\{F_1, F_2\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis for this vector space, then we consider the  $q+1$  members of the pencil,

$$D_{[s,t]} := \{sF_1 + tF_2 = 0\}$$

where  $[s, t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We claim that each  $D_{[s,t]}$  is smooth. Indeed, there are only three singular conics (geometrically) in this pencil, and they are union of two lines passing through  $B = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ . Using the notation  $\overline{PQ}$  for the line passing through  $P$  and  $Q$ , these 3 singular conics are:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \overline{P_1 P_2} \cup \overline{P_3 P_4} \\ S_2 &:= \overline{P_2 P_3} \cup \overline{P_1 P_4} \\ S_3 &:= \overline{P_1 P_3} \cup \overline{P_2 P_4} \end{aligned}$$

However, none of the  $S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In fact,  $S_1$  is strictly defined over the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ , and Frobenius action sends  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_1$ , and so  $\{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$  is a Galois orbit of the Frobenius. In particular, each  $D_{[s,t]}$  is a smooth conic, and together they cover the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Indeed, on one hand, they all pass through  $P_4 \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ; on the other hand, for each  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{P_4\}$ , the conic  $D_{[-F_2(P), F_1(P)]}$  passes through  $P$ . We re-label the elements of the pencil,

$$\{D_{[s,t]} \mid [s, t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)\} = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{q+1}\}$$

So  $D_1, \dots, D_{q+1}$  are smooth conics which together cover the set  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Finally, we let  $C_i = (D_i)^*$  to be the corresponding dual curve for each  $1 \leq i \leq q+1$ . By reflexivity, we have  $D_i = (C_i)^*$ , and so the tangent lines to  $C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q+1$  together cover all the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , i.e. the collection of smooth conics  $C_1, \dots, C_{q+1}$  admit no common transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line.

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In order to establish Theorem 1.2, we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Consider a pencil of hypersurfaces generated by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  defined over  $k$ . Given a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , either  $H$  is non-transverse to every  $\bar{k}$ -member of the pencil, or  $H$  is non-transverse to exactly  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$  members of the pencil, counted with appropriate multiplicities.*

*Proof.* We have  $X_1 = \{F_1 = 0\}$  and  $X_2 = \{F_2 = 0\}$  where  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ . By definition, the elements of the pencil

are of the form  $X_{[s:t]} = \{sF_1 + tF_2 = 0\}$  as  $[s : t]$  varies in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Suppose that  $H$  is an arbitrary hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that  $H = \{x_n = 0\}$ . We can restrict the original pencil to the hyperplane  $H$  to obtain a new pencil whose elements are of the form,

$$\tilde{X}_{[s:t]} = \{sF_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) + tF_2(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = 0\}$$

which can be viewed as a pencil of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Note that  $H$  is transverse to  $X_{[s:t]}$  if and only if  $\tilde{X}_{[s:t]} = X_{[s:t]} \cap H$  is smooth. Thus, our task has been reduced to understanding how many of  $\tilde{X}_{[s:t]}$  are singular. Let  $\mathcal{D}_{d,n-1}$  be the discriminant hypersurface parametrizing singular hypersurfaces of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  be the pencil whose members are  $\tilde{X}_{[s:t]}$ . Either  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_{d,n-1}$  or  $\mathcal{P} \not\subset \mathcal{D}_{d,n-1}$ . In the first case,  $H$  is non-transverse to every member  $X_{[s:t]}$  of the original pencil. In the second case, the number of the singular members of  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by the degree of the discriminant  $\mathcal{D}_{d,n-1}$ , which is  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$  according to [EH16, Proposition 7.4]. Thus,  $H$  is non-transverse to exactly  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$  members of the original pencil, counted with multiplicity.  $\square$

We are now ready to present the proof of the main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We have a pencil of hypersurfaces generated by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that the generic member of the pencil is smooth. Given  $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , we will denote by  $X_\zeta$  to be the corresponding member of the pencil. Consider the variety,

$$V = \{(H, \zeta) \mid H \text{ is not transverse to } X_\zeta\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

We claim that  $V$  is a geometrically irreducible variety. Consider the second projection  $\pi_2: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since the generic member of the pencil is smooth, it follows that the generic fiber is irreducible. Indeed, if  $X_\zeta$  is smooth, then the fiber

$$\pi_2^{-1}(\zeta) = \{H \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \mid H \text{ is tangent to } X_\zeta\} = (X_\zeta)^*$$

is the dual hypersurface, which is geometrically irreducible as it is the closure of the image of the irreducible hypersurface  $X_\zeta$  under the Gauss map. Since  $\pi_2: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  has geometrically irreducible fibers over an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $V$  is equidimensional (in fact,  $V$  is a hypersurface because it can be seen as the dual hypersurface of the generic element of the pencil), it follows that  $V$  is geometrically irreducible.

Now, we consider the projection  $\pi_1: V \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ . Note that  $\pi_1$  is surjective, because any chosen hyperplane is non-transverse to at least one element of the pencil by Lemma 3.1. In fact, Lemma 3.1 shows that a fiber of  $\pi_1$  either consists of  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$  points (which is the generic case) or is an entire  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let

$$Z = \{P \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \mid \pi_1^{-1}(P) = \mathbb{P}^1\}$$

consist of those hyperplanes  $P$  that are simultaneously non-transverse to all the members of the pencil. In particular, such a hyperplane  $P \in X_1^* \cap X_2^*$  for any two smooth members  $X_1, X_2$  of the pencil. This shows that  $Z \subset X_1^* \cap X_2^*$  and therefore  $\dim(Z) \leq n-2$ . In particular,  $Z$  is a proper Zariski-closed subset in  $(\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ . Since  $V$  is geometrically irreducible, we can apply [PS20, Theorem 1.8] to deduce that the locus

$$M_{\text{bad}} = \{\text{hyperplanes } H \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^* \mid \pi_1^{-1}(H) \text{ is not geometrically irreducible}\}$$

differs from a proper Zariski-closed subset by at most a constructible set of dimension 1. As a result,  $M_{\text{bad}} \neq (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ . Thus, there exists a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \not\subset M_{\text{bad}}$ . Thus, we obtain a map  $\pi_1 : \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . We apply [PS20, Theorem 1.8] again to this new morphism, and continue inductively until we find a line  $B = \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $W := \pi_1^{-1}(B)$  is a geometrically irreducible curve. Let  $k_1/k$  be a finite field extension such that  $B$  and  $W$  are defined over  $k_1$ . We claim that  $[k_1 : k]$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ . Indeed,  $M_{\text{bad}}$  is a proper closed set whose degree and dimension are bounded by  $n$  and  $d$ . Thus, Lang-Weil theorem ensures the existence of an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point in  $(\mathbb{P}^n)^* \setminus M_{\text{bad}}$  for  $q$  sufficiently large with respect to  $n$  and  $d$ . The same observation is true for each iteration of the inductive process, explaining why the degree  $[k_1 : k]$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ .

We obtain a finite map  $f : W \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  of geometrically irreducible curves over the field  $k_1$ ; its degree is  $m := \deg(\pi_1) = n(d-1)^{n-1}$  by Lemma 3.1, which is larger than 1. Furthermore, the map is separable due to the hypothesis  $p \nmid n(d-1)$ . Note that  $B \subset (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$ , so a point  $P \in B$  will correspond to a hyperplane  $P$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The fiber  $f^{-1}(P)$  above a given point  $P \in B$  will be:

$$f^{-1}(P) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mid P \text{ is non-transverse to } X_\zeta\}$$

which is a finite set inside  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Using the formulation above, we observe that a given  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -hyperplane  $P \in B$  is simultaneously transverse to all the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -members of the pencil generated by  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  if and only if the fiber  $f^{-1}(P)$  contains no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . In order to show the existence of such a point  $P$ , we will apply the Twisting Lemma of Dèbes and Legrand [DL12] to the cover  $W/B$  after applying a suitable base extension. Note that  $f : W \rightarrow B$  is a cover of geometrically irreducible curves; so, there exists a finite extension  $k'/k_1$  such that the base extension of the cover  $W_{k'}/B_{k'}$  has a regular Galois cover  $Z_{k'}/B_{k'}$ . More explicitly,  $k'$  is the closure of  $k_1$  inside the function field  $Z(k_1)$ . We also note that for any finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \supseteq k'$ , it is still true that  $Z_{\mathbb{F}_q}/B_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  is a regular Galois cover.

We claim that  $k'/k$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ . Indeed,  $k'$  is the algebraic closure of  $k_1$  inside  $k_1(Z)$  and so,  $[k' : k_1]$  is bounded above by  $[k_1(Z) : k_1(B)]$  because  $k_1(B)$  is the rational function field over  $k_1$  (since  $B$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ) and so,  $k_1$  is closed inside  $k_1(B)$ . Moreover,  $Z/B$  is the Galois closure of  $W/B$ . As  $W/B$  has degree  $n(d-1)^{n-1}$ , it follows that  $Z/B$  has degree bounded above by  $(n(d-1)^{n-1})!$ . We deduce that  $[k' : k_1]$  is uniformly bounded solely in terms of  $n$  and  $d$ . This shows that the extension  $k'/k_1$  and therefore also  $k'/k$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ .

For the rest of the proof, let  $\mathbb{F}_q \supseteq k'$  be any finite field. Let  $G$  be the Galois group of  $Z_{\mathbb{F}_q}/B_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ; we view  $G$  as a subgroup of  $S_m$ .

We will apply [DL12, Lemma 3.4] to the map  $f : W_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  in order to obtain a point  $P \in B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with the property that no point in  $f^{-1}(P)$  is contained in  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

We need first a cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  generated by an element  $\sigma \in S_m$  with the property that  $\sigma$  fixes no element in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  (note that  $m > 1$ ). Indeed, for any Galois group  $G$  (seen as a subgroup of  $S_m$ ), there exists an element  $\sigma \in G$  which has no fixed point in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  because  $G$  is a transitive group, which means that the stabilizers of the elements in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  are all conjugated and finally, no group is a union of conjugates of a given proper subgroup.

So, we let  $H$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by an element  $\sigma$  which has no fixed points (as above); we let  $r$  be the number of all cycles appearing in  $\sigma \in S_m$ .



We consider the étale  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $\prod_{\ell=1}^r E_\ell$ , where the  $E_\ell$ 's are field extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of degrees equal to the orders of the cycles appearing in the permutation  $\sigma$ . Then we apply [DL12, Lemma 3.4] to the étale algebra  $\prod_{\ell=1}^r E_\ell/\mathbb{F}_q$  to obtain a point  $P \in B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with the property that  $f^{-1}(P)$  splits into  $r$  Galois orbits of order  $[E_\ell : \mathbb{F}_q]$ ; in particular, none of the points in  $f^{-1}(P)$  would be contained in  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$  since each of these Galois orbits would have cardinality larger than 1 (because  $\sigma$  does not have fixed points).

Now, the hypothesis in applying [DL12, Lemma 3.4] is satisfied because the (const/comp) condition from [DL12, Section 3.1.1] is automatically satisfied for regular covers. We need to check the following two conditions, namely [DL12, Lemma 3.4, conditions (ii)-1 and (ii)-2]:

- (1) This condition is automatically satisfied for large  $q$ , because the Lang-Weil bounds for the number of points of curves defined over finite fields guarantees the existence of many rational points on the corresponding twisted covers of  $Z$ , which are curves of the same genus as the genus of  $Z$  (see also the proof of [DL12, Corollary 4.3]). Note that  $q$  can be made to be sufficiently large by extending the field  $k'$  even further in a way so that  $[k' : k]$  would still only depend on  $n$  and  $d$ ; indeed, Lang-Weil bounds apply once  $q$  is larger than some function of the genus of  $Z$ . Since  $Z$  is a degree  $\delta$  cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , where  $\delta$  is bounded above solely in terms of  $d$  and  $n$ , it follows that the genus of  $Z$  is also bounded solely in terms of  $d$  and  $n$ .
- (2) This condition is satisfied as explained in the discussion regarding cyclic specializations (since our group  $H$  is cyclic) on [DL12, p. 153].

Therefore, [DL12, Lemma 3.4] yields the existence of a point  $P \in B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that no point in  $f^{-1}(P)$  is contained in  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , concluding the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* In our proof of Theorem 1.2 we used that the ground field  $k$  may have to be replaced by  $k'$  when considering the Galois closure  $Z/B$  for the cover  $W/B$  since we want that  $Z$  be geometrically irreducible (over  $k'$ ). Note that there are covers of degree larger than 1 of geometrically irreducible curves  $W/B$  (over  $k$ ) for which each  $k$ -point of  $B$  has a preimage contained in  $W(k)$ , thus contradicting the conclusion we seek for the strategy of our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Indeed, we let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $W = B = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$  for some prime power  $q$  satisfying the congruence equation  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and then let  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be given by  $x \mapsto x^3$ . Clearly,  $f$  induces a permutation of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ ; so, each point in  $B(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has a preimage contained in  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . On the other hand, the Galois closure of this cover is  $Z = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}^1$ , i.e., we need to perform a base extension of our ground field in order for the Galois cover to be geometrically irreducible. Once we replace  $q$  by  $q^2$ , then  $W_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}/B_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}$  is actually a regular Galois cover and then it is true that there exist points  $P \in B(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  such that no point in  $f^{-1}(P)$  is contained in  $W(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ .

We do not know whether one can choose  $k' = k$  in Theorem 1.2 in general, as our proof strategy requires a base extension (see Remark 3.2). It might be reasonable to expect that if the cardinality of the ground field  $k$  is sufficiently large (depending only on  $n$  and  $d$ ), then one does not require an additional field extension. For example, the following result establishes that  $k' = k$  works for the case of pencil of plane conics (as long as  $\#k \geq 16$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that we have a pencil of reduced conics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that the pencil admits at least one smooth member over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . Provided that  $q \geq 16$ , we can find an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  that is simultaneously transverse to all the conics defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in the pencil.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_1 = \{F_1 = 0\}$  and  $C_2 = \{F_2 = 0\}$  are the two conics that generate the pencil.

We start with some general considerations regarding our proof strategy. First, we observe that if  $C$  is a non-smooth reduced conic, then it means that  $C$  is a union of two lines  $L_1 \cup L_2$  (over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ) and therefore, we have at most  $q+1$  lines defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which are non-transverse to  $C$  (they would correspond to all the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines passing through the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point of  $L_1 \cap L_2$ ). Second, we note that if  $C$  is any smooth conic defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then the only possibility for an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  be non-transverse to  $C$  is for  $L$  be tangent to  $C$  at an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point (since otherwise, we would have that  $L$  is tangent to  $C$  at two  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -points, contradiction). In particular, if  $C$  is a smooth conic which has no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point, then any  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line is transverse to  $C$ . On the other hand, the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points on a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -conic (which has at least one  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point) is  $q+1$  (since then the conic would be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ); furthermore, each such  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point has a tangent line defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This provides at most  $(q+1) \cdot (q+1)$  lines defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which are non-transverse to at least one element of the given  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -pencil. This number is an overestimate since there are only  $q^2 + q + 1$  lines defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and so there is overcounting that needs to be addressed. In order to refine the counting for the number of non-transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines, we need to take into account the fact that a given  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line  $L$  will be non-transverse to more than one conic.

In the set-up of the proof for the Theorem 1.2, we have the map  $\pi_1 : V \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ . Given a line  $L \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ , the fiber  $\pi_1^{-1}(L)$  is either a  $\mathbb{P}^1$  or consists of 2 conics according to Lemma 3.1. In the first case, the line  $L$  is non-transverse to every element of pencil, and in the second case  $L$  is non-transverse to exactly 2 conics (counted with multiplicity). In most cases, we see that each non-transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line is counted at least twice. However, there is a locus  $\mathcal{B} \subset (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$  consisting of those lines  $L \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$  which are tangent to exactly one conic (with multiplicity 2) in the pencil. We claim that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a plane curve of degree 4.

The variety  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$  can be described as the locus  $\{R(s, t, a, b, c) = 0\}$  which has bidegree  $(2, 2)$ , that is, degree 2 in variables  $s, t$  and degree 2 in variables  $a, b, c$ . The two roots  $[s : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1$  satisfying  $R(s, t, a, b, c) = 0$  exactly correspond to those members of the pencil to which a given line  $L = \{ax + by + cz = 0\}$  is non-transverse. The condition that these two roots coincide is controlled by the vanishing of the discriminant  $D$  of  $R(s, t, a, b, c)$  when  $R$  is viewed as a homogeneous quadratic polynomial in  $s$  and  $t$ . Note that  $D = D(a, b, c)$  is a degree 4 homogeneous polynomial in  $a, b, c$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{B} = \{D = 0\}$  and so  $\deg(\mathcal{B}) = 4$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have  $\#\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq 4q + 1$ , and so there are at most  $4q + 1$  lines over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which are non-transverse to a single conic (with multiplicity 2) in the pencil.

Finally, there are at most three distinct singular conics in a given pencil of conics by [EH16, Proposition 7.4]. Each such conic is a union of two lines, and the only lines that are not transverse are the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines passing through the singular point. Thus, there are at most  $3(q+1)$  non-transverse lines arising from the singular conics in the pencil.

In total, the number of non-transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines to the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -members of the pencil is at most  $\frac{(q+1)^2}{2} + 4q + 1 + 3(q+1)$ . Since the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -lines is  $q^2 + q + 1$ , we get a simultaneously transverse  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -line provided that,

$$q^2 + q + 1 > \frac{(q+1)^2}{2} + 4q + 1 + 3(q+1)$$

The inequality above is equivalent to  $q^2 > 14q + 7$  which is true for  $q \geq 16$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Asg19] Shamil Asgarli, *Sharp Bertini theorem for plane curves over finite fields*, Canad. Math. Bull. **62** (2019), no. 2, 223–230, DOI 10.4153/cmb-2018-018-0.
- [ADL19] Shamil Asgarli, Lian Duan, and Kuan-Wen Lai, *Transverse lines to surfaces over finite fields*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1903.08845, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.08845>.
- [Bal03] Edoardo Ballico, *An effective Bertini theorem over finite fields*, Adv. Geom. **3** (2003), no. 4, 361–363.
- [Cou16] Alain Couvreur, *An upper bound on the number of rational points of arbitrary projective varieties over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 9, 3671–3685, DOI 10.1090/proc/13015.
- [DL12] Pierre Dèbes and François Legrand, *Twisted covers and specializations*, Galois-Teichmüller theory and arithmetic geometry, 2012, pp. 141–162, DOI 10.2969/aspm/06310141.
- [EH16] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [Kat99] Nicholas M. Katz, *Space filling curves over finite fields*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), no. 5–6, 613–624, DOI 10.4310/MRL.1999.v6.n6.a2.
- [PS20] Bjorn Poonen and Kaloyan Slavov, *The exceptional locus in the Bertini irreducibility theorem for a morphism*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2001.08672, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08672>.
- [Ser91] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Lettre à M. Tsfasman*, 1991, pp. 11, 351–353 (1992). Journées Arithmétiques, 1989 (Luminy, 1989).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2

*Email address:* `sasgarli@math.ubc.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2

*Email address:* `dghioca@math.ubc.ca`