NO JACKSON-TYPE ESTIMATES FOR PIECEWISE q-MONOTONE, $q \ge 3$, TRIGONOMETRIC APPROXIMATION

DANY LEVIATAN, OKSANA V. MOTORNA, AND IGOR A. SHEVCHUK

ABSTRACT. We say that a function $f \in C[a, b]$ is q-monotone, $q \geq 3$, if $f \in C^{q-2}(a, b)$ and $f^{(q-2)}$ is convex in (a, b). Let f be continuous and 2π -periodic, and change its q-monotonicity finitely many times in $[-\pi, \pi]$. We are interested in estimating the degree of approximation of fby trigonometric polynomials which are co-q-monotone with it, namely, trigonometric polynomials that change their q-monotonicity exactly at the points where f does. Such Jackson type estimates are valid for piecewise monotone (q = 1) and piecewise convex (q=2) approximations. However, we prove, that no such estimates are valid, in general, for coq-monotone approximation, when $q \geq 3$.

Dedicated to the memory of our friend Professor Gerhard Opfer 15.9.1935–20.2.2020

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

A function $f \in C[a, b]$ is called q-monotone, $q \ge 2$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, if $f \in C^{q-2}(a, b)$ and $f^{(q-2)}$ is a convex function on (a, b). For the sake of uniformity, for q = 1, we say that $f \in C[a, b]$ is 1-monotone, if it is nondecreasing in [a, b].

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Y}_s := \{Y_s\}$ where $Y_s = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^{2s}$ such that $y_{2s} < \cdots < y_1 < y_{2s} + 2\pi =: y_0$. We say that a 2π -periodic function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, is piecewise q-monotone with respect to Y_s , if it changes its q-monotonicity at the points Y_s , that is, if $(-1)^{i-1}f$ is q-monotone on $[y_i, y_{i-1}], 1 \leq i \leq 2s$. We denote by $\Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$ the collection of all such piecewise q-monotone functions. Note that if, in addition, $f \in C^q(\mathbb{R})$, then $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$, if and only if,

$$f^{(q)}(t)\prod_{i=1}^{2s}(t-y_i) \ge 0, \quad t \in [y_{2s}, y_0].$$

Remark 1.1. We do not consider the case of odd number of points $y_i \in [-\pi, \pi)$, since, in that case, the collection $\Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$ consists only of constant functions. Note that we also have excluded the case s = 0, namely, the collection of *q*-monotone functions, as, again, it consists only of constant functions.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42A05, 42A10, 41A17, 41A25, 41A29.

Key words and phrases. Piecewise *q*-monotone functions, Co-*q*-monotone trigonometric approximation, Degree of approximation.

We also need the notation W^r , $r \in \mathbb{N}$, for the Sobolev class of 2π periodic functions $f \in AC^{(r-1)}(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$||f^{(r)}|| \le 2.$$

For a 2π -periodic function g, denote

$$||g|| := \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x)|.$$

If, in addition, g is continuous, then, of course,

$$||g|| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x)|.$$

Similarly, for a function g, defined on the interval [a, b], we denote $||g||_{[a,b]} := \text{esssup}_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)|$, and if $g \in C[a,b]$, then $||g||_{[a,b]} = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |g(x)|$.

Let \mathcal{T}_n be the space of trigonometric polynomials

$$T_n(t) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k \cos kt + \beta_k \sin kt), \quad \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

of degree $\leq n$ (of order 2n + 1) and, for 2π -periodic function $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$E_n(g) := \inf_{T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|g - T_n\|,$$

denote the error of the best approximation of the function g. If $g \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$, then we would like to approximate it by trigonometric polynomials that change their q-monotonicity together with g, namely, are in $\Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$. We call it co-q-monotone approximation. Denote by

$$E_n^{(q)}(g, Y_s) := \inf_{T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)} \|g - T_n\|,$$

the error of the best co-q-monotone approximation of the function g.

It is well known that if $f \in \Delta^{(1)}(Y_s) \cap W^r$, $r \ge 1$, then for q = 1,

(1.1)
$$E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) = O(1/n^r), \quad n \to \infty,$$

(see, e.g., [1, 3, 4] for details and references).

It is also known [7] that (1.1), with q = 2, is valid for $f \in \Delta^{(2)}(Y_s) \cap W^r$, when $r \leq 3$. We believe it is true for all $r \geq 1$.

It turns out, and proving this is the main purpose of this article, that for $q \geq 3$, (1.1) is, in general, invalid for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. For each $q \geq 3$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^r$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} n^r E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) = \infty.$$

We will also prove the following less general but more precise statements.

Theorem 1.3. For each $q \geq 3$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^{q-2}$, such that

(1.2)
$$E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) \ge C(q, Y_s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C(q, Y_s) > 0$ depends only on q and Y_s .

Corollary 1.4. For each $q \geq 3$, $r \leq q-2$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^r$, such that

$$E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) \ge C(q, Y_s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $C(q, Y_s) > 0$ depends only on q and Y_s .

Theorem 1.5. For each $q \geq 3$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^{q-1}$, such that

(1.3)
$$nE_n^{(q)}(f,Y_s) \ge C(q,Y_s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C(q, Y_s) > 0$ depends only on q and Y_s .

Our final result is

Theorem 1.6. Let $q \geq 3$, $p \geq q$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$. For each sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers, tending to infinity, there is a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^p$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n n^{p-q+2} E_n^{(q)}(f, Y_s) = \infty.$$

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 2, Theorem 1.5 in Section 4 and Theorem 1.6 in Section 6. In the proofs we apply ideas from [2], and we have to overcome the constraints and challenges of periodicity.

In the sequel, positive constants c and c_i either are absolute or may depend only on r, q, p and m.

2. Eulerian type ideal splines and proof of Theorem 1.3

Definition 2.1. For each $b \in (0, \pi]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\varepsilon_{r,b}$ the 2π -periodic function, such that

1)
$$\varepsilon_{r,b} \in C^{r-1}$$
,
2) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r,b}(x) dx = 0$, and
3) $\varepsilon_{r,b}^{(r)} = \operatorname{sgn} x - \gamma_b$, $x \in (-b, 2\pi - b) \setminus \{0\}$,

where

(2.1)
$$\gamma_b = 1 - b/\pi,$$

so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r,b}^{(r)}(x) dx = 0.$$

Remark 2.2. By its definition, $\varepsilon_{r,b}$ is a spline of minimal defect of degree r, in particular $\varepsilon_{r,\pi}$ is called an Eulerian ideal spline.

Put

$$F_r(x) := \frac{1}{r!} |x| x^{r-1}.$$

The following properties of $\varepsilon_{r,b}$ readily follow from its definition.

(2.2)
$$\varepsilon_{r,b}(x) = F_r(x) + p_{r,b}(x), \quad x \in [-b, 2\pi - b],$$

where $p_{r,b}$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq r$;

(2.3)
$$1 \le \|\varepsilon_{r,b}^{(r)}\| < 2, \text{ whence } \varepsilon_{r,b} \in W^r,$$

and, for each collection Y_s , such that $\{-b, 0\} \in Y_s$, and every q > r, we have

(2.4)
$$\varepsilon_{r,b} \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s).$$

We need the following lemma (see [2, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 2.3. For each $q \ge 3$ and any function $g \in C^{q-2}[-1,1]$, such that $g^{(q-2)}$ is convex on [0,1] and concave on [-1,0], we have

(2.5)
$$||F_{q-2} - g||_{[-1,1]} \ge c.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, let

$$b := \min_{1 \le j \le 2s} \{ y_{j-1} - y_j \},$$

and by shifting the periodic function f, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2s} = -b$ and $y_{2s-1} = 0$. Obviously, it follows that $y_{2s-2} \ge b$.

We will show that $f := \varepsilon_{q-2,b}$ is the desired function. Indeed, by (2.3) and (2.4), $\varepsilon_{q-2,b} \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^{q-2}$. So we have to prove (1.3).

To this end we take an arbitrary polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$. Then the function $g_n := T_n - p_{q-2,b}$ satisfies $xg_n^{(q)}(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in [-b,b]$, whence $xg_n^{(q)}(x/b) \ge 0$ for $x \in [-1,1]$. Let $\tilde{F}_{q-2}(x) := F_{q-2}(x/b)$, $\tilde{g}_n(x) := g_n(x/b)$. By Lemma 2.3 we obtain,

$$||f - T_n||_{[-\pi,\pi]} = ||F_{q-2} - g_n||_{[-\pi,\pi]} \ge ||F_{q-2} - g_n||_{[-b,b]}$$

= $||\tilde{F}_{q-2} - \tilde{g}_n||_{[-1,1]} = b^{2-q} ||F_{q-2} - b^{q-2}\tilde{g}_n||_{[-1,1]}$
 $\ge b^{2-q}c,$

which yields (1.3).

3. Approximation of |x|

Recall that

$$F_1(x) \equiv |x|.$$

In this Section we prove, for trigonometric polynomials, an analog of Bernstein's estimate

$$||F_1 - P_n||_{[-b,b]} \ge c\frac{b}{n},$$

which is valid for every algebraic polynomial P_n of degree $\leq n$ (for the exact constant c, see [6]).

To this end, we first extend to an arbitrary interval [-b, b] the Bernstein – de la Vallée-Poussin inequality

(3.1)
$$||T'_n|| \le n ||T_n||,$$

which is valid for every $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$.

Following Privalov (see [5, p. 96-97]), we prove

Lemma 3.1. For each $b \in (0, \pi)$ and every odd trigonometric polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ there holds the inequality

(3.2)
$$||T'_n||_{[-b/2,b/2]} \le \frac{c_0}{b} n ||T_n||_{[-b,b]},$$

where $c_0 < 10$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1 - x^2}.$$

Then by virtue of (3.1), for every algebraic polynomial, P_{n-1} , of degree < n, we have

(3.3)
$$|\varphi(x)(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi(x))'| \le n ||P_{n-1}\varphi||_{[-1,1]}, \quad x \in (-1,1),$$

Indeed, given P_{n-1} , set $x := \cos t$, and denote by

$$\tau_n(t) := P_{n-1}(\cos t) \sin t,$$

the odd trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Then

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\tau_n(t)\right| = \left|\varphi(x)\frac{d}{dx}(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi(x))\right|.$$

Thus, (3.1) readily implies

$$\left|\varphi(x)\frac{d}{dx}(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi(x))\right| = \left|\frac{d}{dt}\tau_n(t)\right| \le n\|\tau_n\|_{[-\pi,\pi]} = n\|P_{n-1}\varphi\|_{[-1,1]}.$$

For each $-1 < h < 1$, let

$$\varphi_h(x) := \sqrt{(1-x)(x-h)}$$

Then the linear mapping of [-1, 1] onto [h, 1], turns (3.3) into,

(3.4)
$$|\varphi_h(x)(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi_h(x))'| \le n \|P_{n-1}\varphi_h\|_{[h,1]}, \quad x \in (h,1).$$

Let $h := \cos b$. Since, for $x \in [h, 1)$,

$$\frac{\varphi_h^2(x)}{\varphi^2(x)} = \frac{x-h}{1+x} \le \frac{1-h}{2} = \sin^2(b/2) \le \frac{b^2}{4},$$

that is

$$(3.5) \|\varphi_h/\varphi\|_{[h,1]} \le b/2,$$

and

$$-\frac{\varphi_h(x)}{\varphi(x)}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h(x)}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1+h}{1+x}\frac{1}{x-h} \le \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{x-h},$$

so that (3.4) implies, for all $x \in (h, 1)$,

$$(3.6) \qquad |(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi(x))'| \leq |(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi_h(x))'| \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h(x)} + \left| P_{n-1}(x)\varphi_h(x) \left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h(x)}\right)' \right| \leq ||P_{n-1}\varphi||_{[h,1]} \left(\frac{nb}{2}\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h^2(x)} + \frac{\varphi_h(x)}{\varphi(x)} \left| \left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h(x)}\right)' \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} ||P_{n-1}\varphi||_{[h,1]} \left(nb\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_h^2(x)} + \frac{1}{x-h}\right).$$

Finally, if $x \in [\cos(b/2), 1)$, then

(3.7)
$$\frac{\varphi(x)}{x-h} \le \frac{\sin(b/2)}{\cos(b/2) - \cos b} = \frac{\cos(b/4)}{\sin(3b/4)} \le \cot(b/4) < \frac{4}{b},$$

and

(3.8)
$$\frac{\varphi^2(x)}{\varphi_h^2(x)} = \frac{1+x}{x-h} \le \frac{1+\cos(b/2)}{\cos(b/2) - \cos b}$$
$$= \frac{\cos^2(b/4)}{\sin(b/4)\sin(3b/4)} \le \cot^2(b/4) < \frac{16}{b^2}.$$

We are ready to complete the proof. Given odd trigonometric polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, denote by P_{n-1} the algebraic polynomial of degree < n, such that

$$T_n(t) \equiv P_{n-1}(\cos t) \sin t.$$

For $t \in [-b/2, b/2]$ (thus, $x = \cos t \in [\cos(b/2), 1]$), combining (3.6) through (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{d}{dt}T_{n}(t)\right| &= \left|\varphi(x)\frac{d}{dx}\left(P_{n-1}(x)\varphi(x)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|P_{n-1}\varphi\|_{[h,1]}\left(nb\frac{\varphi^{2}(x)}{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)} + \frac{\varphi(x)}{x-h}\right) \\ &< \frac{2}{b}(4n+1)\|P_{n-1}\varphi\|_{[h,1]} = \frac{2}{b}(4n+1)\|T_{n}\|_{[-b,b]}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. Similarly (more easily) one may prove (3.2) for even polynomials $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ (in fact, with 10 replaced by 4) and, in turn, for any $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ (see, e.g., Lemma 3.4 below).

We are ready to prove Lemma 3.3. We follow the arguments in [DzSh, pages 434,435].

Lemma 3.3. For each $b \in (0, \pi]$ and polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ we have

(3.9)
$$||F_1 - T_n||_{[-b,b]} \ge \frac{c_1 b}{n},$$

where $c_1 \ge (80c_0)^{-1}$.

Proof. We may assume that $c_0 > 1$. Let

$$c^* := \frac{1}{40c_0} < \frac{1}{40}.$$

Assume to the contrary, that there is a polynomial $\tilde{T}_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, such that

(3.10)
$$\|F_1 - \tilde{T}_n\|_{[-b,b]} < \frac{c^*b}{2n}.$$

Then there is an even polynomial $\hat{T}_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, such that

(3.11)
$$||F_1 - \hat{T}_n||_{[-b,b]} \le \frac{c^* b}{n}$$

and

(3.12)
$$\hat{T}_n(0) = 0.$$

Hence \hat{T}_n may be represented in the form

$$\hat{T}_n(t) = a_1(1 - \cos t) + \dots + a_n(1 - \cos nt) = 2\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sin^2\left(\frac{kt}{2}\right)$$

Thus, for $T_n(t) := \hat{T}_n(2t)$ we have

(3.13)
$$||2F_1 - T_n||_{[-b/2,b/2]} \le \frac{c^*b}{n}$$

Denote

$$\tau_n(t) := \frac{T_n(t)}{\sin t}, \quad (\tau_n(0) = T'_n(0)).$$

Then τ_n is an odd trigonometric polynomial of degree < 2n.

First, we prove that

(3.14)
$$\|\tau_n\|_{[-b/2,b/2]} < 10.$$

Indeed, by virtue of (3.13), one has

$$||T_n||_{[-b/2,b/2]} \le b + \frac{c^*b}{n} < \frac{9b}{8}.$$

Hence, if $b/8 \le |t| \le b/2$, then

$$|\tau_n(t)| < \frac{9b}{8\sin(b/8)} \le \frac{9\pi}{8\sin(\pi/8)} < \frac{9\pi}{6\sin(\pi/6)} = 3\pi < 10.$$

Thus, assuming the contrary, that there is a point $t_0 \in [-b/2, b/2]$, such that

$$\|\tau_n\|_{[-b/2,b/2]} = |\tau_n(t_0)| = M \ge 10,$$

we conclude, that $t_0 \in [-b/8, b/8]$. Since Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\|\tau'_n\|_{[-b/4,b/4]} \le \frac{2c_0}{b}(2n-1)M,$$

we get for

$$t \in I_n := \left[t_0 - \frac{c^*b}{n}, t_0 + \frac{c^*b}{n}\right] \subset (-b/4, b/4),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_n(t)| &\ge |\tau_n(t_0)| - |\tau_n(t) - \tau_n(t_0)| \ge |\tau_n(t_0)| - |t - t_0| \|\tau'_n\|_{I_n} \\ &\ge M - |t - t_0| \|\tau'_n\|_{[-b/4, b/4]} \ge M - |t - t_0| \frac{2c_0}{b} (2n - 1)M \\ &\ge M - 2c_0 c^* \frac{2n - 1}{n} M > M - M/3 = \frac{2}{3}M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $t \in I_n$,

$$|T_n(t)| \ge \frac{2}{3}M|\sin t| \ge \frac{M}{3}|t|,$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\|T_n - 2F_1\|_{I_n} \ge \left(\frac{M}{3} - 2\right) \|F_1\|_{I_n} \ge \left(\frac{10}{3} - 2\right) \frac{c^*b}{n} > \frac{c^*b}{n},$$

contradicting (3.13). Therefore, (3.14) is proved.

By virtue of Lemma 3.1 and (3.14),

$$\|\tau_n'\|_{[-b/4,b/4]} \le \frac{2c_0}{b}(2n-1)\|\tau_n\|_{[-b/2,b/2]} < \frac{40c_0}{b}n = \frac{n}{c^*b}$$

Therefore, for $t \in (0, b/4]$,

$$|\tau_n(t)| = \left| \int_0^t Q'_n(u) \, du \right| < \frac{tn}{c^* b};$$

whence

$$|T_n(t)| < \frac{tn}{c^*b} \sin t < \frac{t^2n}{c^*b}.$$

Hence, for

$$t = \frac{c^* b}{n}$$

we get

$$2t - T_n(t) > t\left(2 - \frac{tn}{c^*b}\right) = t = \frac{c^*b}{n},$$

contradicting (3.13) and, in turn, (3.10). This completes the proof.

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.4. If $f \in C[-a, a]$ is an even function and $g \in C[-a, a]$ is an odd function, then

$$||f||_{[-a,a]} \le ||f+g||_{[-a,a]}$$
 and $||g||_{[-a,a]} \le ||f+g||_{[-a,a]}$.

Proof. Let $M := ||f + g||_{[-a,a]}$ and assume to the contrary, that there is a point $x \in [-a,a]$, such that |f(x)| = K > M. Then either $|f(x)+g(x)| \ge K$, or $|f(-x)+g(-x)| = |f(x)-g(x)| \ge K$, a contradiction. The proof for g is similar.

Corollary 3.5. For each $b \in (0, \pi]$, any linear function l and every trigonometric polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ we have

(3.15)
$$||F_1 + l - T_n||_{[-b,b]} \ge \frac{c_1 b}{n}.$$

Proof. We represent T_n in the form $T_n = T_e + T_o$, where T_e is an even polynomial, and T_o is an odd polynomial. Let $l(x) = ax + k =: l_o(x) + l_e$. Denote $\tilde{T}_e := T_e - l_e \in \mathcal{T}_n$, the even polynomial. By (3.9), $||F_1 - \tilde{T}_e|| \ge c_1 b/n$. Since $l_o - T_o$ is an odd function, it follows by Lemma 3.4 that (3.15) is valid.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The following result readily follows from [2, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 4.1. Given $q \geq 3$. If a function $f \in C^{q-2}[-2b, 2b]$ has a convex (q-2)-nd derivative $f^{(q-2)}$ on [0,2b] and a concave (q-2)-nd derivative $f^{(q-2)}$ on [-2b, 0], then

(4.1)
$$b^{q-2} \| f^{(q-2)} \|_{[-b,b]} \le c_2 \| f \|_{[-2b,2b]}$$

Indeed, let $||f^{(q-2)}||_{[-b,b]} \neq 0$ and $x^* \in [-b,b]$ be such that $|f^{(q-2)}(x^*)| =$ $||f^{(q-2)}||_{[-b,b]}$. If either $x^* = 0$ and $f^{(q-2)}(0) < 0$, or $x^* > 0$, then [2, (3.1)] yields,

$$b^{q-2} \| f^{(q-2)} \|_{[-b,b]} = b^{q-2} \| f^{(q-2)} \|_{[0,b]} \le c_2 \| f \|_{[0,2b]} \le c_2 \| f \|_{[-2b,2b]}.$$

Otherwise (4.1) follows from [2, (3.2)]. Recall that $F_r(x) = |x|x^{r-1}/r!$. We have,

Lemma 4.2. For every $b \in (0, \pi]$, every trigonometric polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, satisfying $tT_n^{(r+1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b$, and any algebraic polynomial P_r of degree < r, we have

(4.2)
$$n \|F_r + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} \ge c_3 b^r, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since $F_r^{(r-1)} = F_1$ and $P_r^{(r-1)}$ is linear, it follows by Corollary 3.5 that

$$||T_n^{(r-1)} - F_r^{(r-1)} - P_r^{(r-1)}||_{[-b/2,b/2]} \ge \frac{c_1 b}{2n}.$$

Now, $T_n^{(r-1)} - F_r^{(r-1)} - P_r^{(r-1)}$ is convex in [0, b] and concave in [-b, 0], so by virtue of Lemma 4.1,

$$\|T_n - F_r - P_r\|_{[-b,b]} \ge \frac{1}{c_2} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{r-1} \|T_n^{(r-1)} - F_r^{(r-1)} - P_r^{(r-1)}\|_{[-b/2,b/2]} \ge \frac{c_1}{c_2 n} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^r$$

Hence, (4.2) follows with $c_3 \ge 2^{-r} c_1/c_2$.

Hence, (4.2) follows with $c_3 \ge 2^{-r} c_1 / c_2$,

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Given $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, again, let

(4.3)
$$b := \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ y_{i+1} - y_i \},$$

and by shifting the periodic function f, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2s} = -b$ and $y_{2s-1} = 0$. Then $f := \varepsilon_{q-1,b}$ is the desired function. Indeed, by (2.3) and (2.4), $\varepsilon_{q-1,b} \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s) \cap W^{q-1}$. So we have to prove (1.3).

To this end, take an arbitrary polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$. By (2.2),

$$\varepsilon_{q-1,b}(x) = F_{q-1}(x) + p_{q-1,b}(x), \quad x \in [-b, 2\pi - b],$$

where $p_{q-1,b}$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq q-1$. Therefore, Lemma 4.2 implies (1.3) with $C(q, Y_s) \geq c_3 b^{q-1}$.

5. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let $S \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, be a monotone odd function, such that $S(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$, $|x| \ge 1$.

Put

$$s_j := \|S^{(j)}\|, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Fix $d \in (0, \pi]$, and for $\lambda \in (0, d/3]$, let

$$\tilde{S}_{\lambda,d}(x) := \begin{cases} S\left(\frac{x-2\lambda}{\lambda}\right), & \text{if } x \in [0, 2\pi - d], \\ -S\left(\frac{x-2\lambda+d}{\lambda}\right), & \text{if } x \in [-d, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Finally, denote

$$S_{\lambda,d}(x) := \tilde{S}_{\lambda,d}(x) - \gamma_d, \quad x \in [-d, 2\pi - d],$$

where γ_d was defined in (2.1).

Note that

(5.1)
$$\|S_{\lambda,d}^{(j)}\| = \lambda^{-j} s_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_{\lambda,d}(x) dx = 0.$$

Definition 5.1. For each $\lambda \in (0, d/3]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}$ the 2π -periodic function $\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, such that

1)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}(x) dx = 0, \text{ and}$$

2) $\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}^{(r)} = S_{\lambda,d}(x), x \in [-d, 2\pi - d].$

Note that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

(5.2)
$$[-d, 2\pi - d] \cap \operatorname{supp} \varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}^{(r+j)} = [-d + \lambda, -d + 3\lambda] \cup [\lambda, 3\lambda],$$

and that (5.1) implies

(5.3)
$$\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}^{(r+j)}\| = \lambda^{-j}s_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also,

(5.4)
$$\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}^{(j)}\| < c_4, \quad j = 0, \dots, r, \text{ in particular } \|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda}^{(r)}\| < 2.$$

Lemma 5.2. We have

(5.5)
$$\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda} - \varepsilon_{r,d}\| \le c_5 \lambda.$$

Proof. Put $\varepsilon_j := \varepsilon_{j,d} - \varepsilon_{j,d,\lambda}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Since $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_j(x) dx = 0$, it follows that for any $1 \leq j \leq r$ there is an $x_j \in [-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\varepsilon_j(x_j) = 0$. Hence, we first conclude that

$$\|\varepsilon_1\| \le \int_{-d}^{2\pi-d} |\operatorname{sgn} x - \tilde{S}_{\lambda,d}(x)| \, dx = 8\lambda.$$

Assume by induction that $\|\varepsilon_j\| \leq c\lambda$ for some j < r, and note that $\varepsilon'_{j+1} = \varepsilon_j$. Thus, for $x \in [x_{j+1} - \pi, x_{j+1} + \pi]$,

$$|\varepsilon_{j+1}(x)| = |\varepsilon_{j+1}(x) - \varepsilon_{j+1}(x_{j+1})| = |\int_{x_{j+1}}^x \varepsilon_j(t) dt| \le \pi c\lambda,$$

and the proof is complete.

Lemma 5.3. Let $0 < b \leq d$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, be such that $tT_n^{(r+1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b$. Then for any algebraic polynomial P_r of degree $\leq r$, if

$$0 < \lambda \le \min\left\{\frac{c_3b^r}{2nc_5}, \frac{d}{3}\right\} =: \min\left\{c_6\frac{b^r}{n}, \frac{d}{3}\right\},\$$

then

(5.6)
$$2n\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda} + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} \ge c_3 b^r$$

Proof. Inequalities (4.2) and (5.5) imply

$$2n\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda} + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} \ge 2n\|\varepsilon_{r,d} + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} - 2n\|\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda} - \varepsilon_{r,d}\|$$
$$\ge 2c_3b^r - 2nc_5\lambda \ge c_3b^r.$$

This completes the proof.

Fix $r \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let q := r + 1, and

$$c_7 := c_6^m s_m^{-1}.$$

For $0 < b \leq d$ and each $n \geq 3c_6 b^r$, denote,

$$\lambda_{n,b} := c_6 \frac{b^r}{n},$$

and

$$f_{n,b} := c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m} \varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda_{n,b}}.$$

Then, we have

11

Lemma 5.4. We have,

(5.7)
$$\|f_{n,b}^{(r+m)}\| \le 1$$

(5.8)
$$||f_{n,b}^{(r+j)}|| \le c_8 n^{j-m}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

and

(5.9)
$$||f_{n,b}^{(j)}|| \le \frac{c_9}{n^m}, \quad j = 0, \dots, r.$$

For each collection Y_s , such that $y_{2s} = -d$, $y_{2s-1} = 0$, and $d = \min_{1 \le j \le 2s} \{y_{j-1} - y_j\}$, we have

(5.10)
$$f_{n,b} \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$$

and for every polynomial $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$, satisfying $tT_n^{(q)}(t) \ge 0$ for $|t| \le b$ and any algebraic polynomial P_r of degree $\le r$, we have

(5.11)
$$n^{m+1} \|f_{n,b} + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} \ge c_{10} b^{r(m+1)}$$

Proof. First, (5.9) and (5.10) are clear from the definition of $\varepsilon_{r,d,\lambda_{n,b}}$ and (5.4), respectively.

We prove (5.7) and (5.8) together. By virtue of (5.3), we have, for $j = 0, \ldots, m$,

$$\|f_{n,b}^{(r+j)}\| = c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m} \left(c_6 \frac{b^r}{n}\right)^{-j} s_j = c_6^m s_m^{-1} \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m} \left(c_6 \frac{b^r}{n}\right)^{-j} s_j$$
$$= c_6^{m-j} n^{j-m} b^{r(m-j)} \frac{s_j}{s_m},$$

that is, (5.7) and (5.8).

Finally, we prove (5.11). Let $\tilde{P}_r := \left(c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m}\right)^{-1} P_r$, $\tilde{T}_r := \left(c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m}\right)^{-1} T_r$, apply Lemma 5.3 and get

$$n^{m+1} \|f_{n,b} + P_r - T_n\|_{[-b,b]} = n^{m+1} c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m} \|\varepsilon_{r,b,\lambda} + \tilde{P}_r - \tilde{T}_n\|_{[-b,b]}$$

$$\geq n^{m+1} c_7 \frac{b^{rm}}{n^m} \frac{c_3 b^r}{2n}$$

$$=: c_{10} b^{r(m+1)}.$$

6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Set r := q - 1 and m := p - r. Given $Y_s \in \mathbb{Y}_s$, let

$$d := \min_{1 \le j \le 2s} \{ y_{j-1} - y_j \},$$

and by shifting the periodic function f, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2s} = -d$ and $y_{2s-1} = 0$. Obviously, it follows that $y_{2s-2} \ge d$.

We will prove, that the desired function f may be taken in the form

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n_{k+1}, b_k},$$

where integers n_k and numbers b_k are chosen as follows. We put $n_1 := \lceil 3c_6d^r \rceil$ and $b_1 := d/4$. Then, let n_2 be such that $b_2 := \lambda_{n_2,b_1} < b_1/3$. Assume that n_k and b_k have been chosen. Then we take $n_{k+1} \ge 2n_k$, to be such that

(6.2)
$$\varepsilon_{n_{k+1}}c_{10}b_k^{r(m+1)} \ge k$$

and

(6.3)
$$\frac{c_9}{n_{k+1}^m} \le \frac{c_{10}b_{k-1}^{r(m+1)}}{10n_k^{m+1}}.$$

Denote

$$(6.4) b_{k+1} := \lambda_{n_{k+1}, b_k}.$$

It follows by (5.2) and (6.4) that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

(6.5)
$$[-d, 2\pi - d] \cap \operatorname{supp} f_{n_{k+1}, b_k}^{(r+j)} = [-d + b_{k+1}, -d + 3b_{k+1}] \cup [b_{k+1}, 3b_{k+1}].$$

Hence by (6.1), for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

(6.6)
$$\operatorname{supp} f_{n_{k+1},b_k}^{(r+j)} \cap \operatorname{supp} f_{n_k,b_{k-1}}^{(r+j)} = \emptyset.$$

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.6 into two Lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. We have

(6.7)
$$f \in W^p \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s).$$

Proof. Inequalities (5.8) and (5.9) imply, for all $j = 0, \ldots p - 1$,

$$\|f_{n_{k+1},b_k}^{(j)}\| \le \frac{c}{n_{k+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, for each $j = 0, \ldots p - 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1},b_k}^{(j)}\| \le c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \le \frac{c}{n_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = c,$$

so that f is well defined on \mathbb{R} , it is periodic, $f \in C^{p-1}$, for each $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$,

$$f^{(j)}(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{(j)}_{n_{k+1},b_k}(x),$$

which, combined with (5.10), implies that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$.

Then (6.6) means, that for each point $x \in (-d, 0) \cup (0, 2\pi - d)$ there is neighbourhood, where the sum in $f^{(r+j)}$ consists of at most one term not identically zero. Hence, $f \in C^{\infty}((-d,0) \cup (0,2\pi - d))$ and, in particular, $f \in C^{p}((-d,0) \cup (0,2\pi - d))$. Combining with (5.7), we have $||f^{(p)}|| \leq 1$, and the proof is complete.

Lemma 6.2. For each k > 2, we have

(6.8)
$$n_k^{m+1}\varepsilon_{n_k}E_{n_k}^{(q)}(f,Y_s) \ge k/2$$

Proof. Fix k > 1. Then by (6.1) and (6.4), for every $1 \le j \le k - 1$,

$$f_{n_{j+1},b_j}^{(r+1)}(x) = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad |x| \le b_k$$

Hence,

(6.9)
$$P_r(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{n_{j+1}, b_j}(x), \quad |x| \le b_k,$$

is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq r$.

Now, by (5.9) and (6.3),

(6.10)
$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{j+1},b_j}\| \le c_9 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{j+1}^m} \le \frac{c_9}{n_{k+2}^m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{jm}} = \frac{2c_9}{n_{k+2}^m} \le \frac{c_{10}b_k^{m}}{5n_{k+1}^{m+1}}$$

Finally, we take an arbitrary polynomial $T_{n_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{T}_{n_{k+1}} \cap \Delta^{(q)}(Y_s)$ and note, that $tT_{n_{k+1}}^{(q)} \ge 0$ for $|t| \le b_k \le d$. Therefore (6.9), (6.10) and (5.11), imply

$$\begin{split} \|f - T_{n_{k+1}}\| \ge \|f - T_{n_{k+1}}\|_{[-b_k, b_k]} &= \left\|P_r + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_j} - T_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{[-b_k, b_k]} \\ &= \left\|\left(P_r + f_{n_{k+1}, b_k} - T_{n_{k+1}}\right) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_j}\right\|_{[-b_k, b_k]} \\ &\ge \left\|P_r + f_{n_{k+1}, b_k} - T_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{[-b_k, b_k]} - \left\|\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_j}\right\| \\ &\ge \frac{c_{10}b_k^{r(m+1)}}{n_{k+1}^{m+1}} - \frac{c_{10}b_k^{r(m+1)}}{5n_{k+1}^{m+1}} = \frac{4c_{10}b_k^{r(m+1)}}{5n_{k+1}^{m+1}}. \end{split}$$

Combining with (6.2), we obtain (6.8), and the proof is complete.

References

- H. A. Dzyubenko, Comonotone approximation of twice differentiable periodic functions, Ukr. Math. J. 61 (2009), 519–540. Translated from Ukrainskyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal, Vol. 61, No. 4 (2009), 435–451.
- [2] D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, Jackson type estimates for piecewise q-monotone approximation, $q \geq 3$, are not valid, Pure & Appl. Functional Analysis 1 (2016), 85–96.

- [3] G. G. Lorentz and K. L. Zeller, Degree of Approximation by Monotone Polynomials I, J. Approx. Theory, 1 (1968), 501–504.
- [4] M. G. Pleshakov, Comonotone Jacksons Inequality, J. Approx. Theory, 99 (1999), 409–421.
- [5] A. A. Privalov *Theory of interpolation of functions*, Book 1, Saratov University Publishing House, Saratov 1990, (In Russian).
- [6] R. S. Varga and A. J. Carpenter, On the Bernstein conjecture in approximation theory, Constr. Approx. 1 (1985), 333–348.
- [7] Zalizko, V.D., Coconvex approximation of periodic functions, Ukr. Math. J. 59, (2007), 28–44. Translated from Ukrainskyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal, Vol. 59, No. 1, pp. 29–43, January, 2007.

(D. Leviatan) RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCI-ENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{leviatan@tauex.tau.ac.il}$

(O. V. Motorna) FACULTY OF RADIO PHYSICS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER SYSTEMS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, 01601 KYIV, UKRAINE *E-mail address*: omotorna@ukr.net

(I. A. Shevchuk) FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, 01601 KYIV, UKRAINE *E-mail address*: shevchuk@univ.kiev.ua