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#### Abstract

We say that a function $f \in C[a, b]$ is $q$-monotone, $q \geq 3$, if $f \in C^{q-2}(a, b)$ and $f^{(q-2)}$ is convex in $(a, b)$. Let $f$ be continuous and $2 \pi$-periodic, and change its $q$-monotonicity finitely many times in $[-\pi, \pi]$. We are interested in estimating the degree of approximation of $f$ by trigonometric polynomials which are $\operatorname{co}-q$-monotone with it, namely, trigonometric polynomials that change their $q$-monotonicity exactly at the points where $f$ does. Such Jackson type estimates are valid for piecewise monotone $(q=1)$ and piecewise convex ( $\mathrm{q}=2$ ) approximations. However, we prove, that no such estimates are valid, in general, for co-$q$-monotone approximation, when $q \geq 3$.
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## 1. Introduction and the main results

A function $f \in C[a, b]$ is called $q$-monotone, $q \geq 2, q \in \mathbb{N}$, if $f \in C^{q-2}(a, b)$ and $f^{(q-2)}$ is a convex function on $(a, b)$. For the sake of uniformity, for $q=1$, we say that $f \in C[a, b]$ is 1-monotone, if it is nondecreasing in $[a, b]$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Y}_{s}:=\left\{Y_{s}\right\}$ where $Y_{s}=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 s}$ such that $y_{2 s}<\cdots<y_{1}<$ $y_{2 s}+2 \pi=: y_{0}$. We say that a $2 \pi$-periodic function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, is piecewise $q$-monotone with respect to $Y_{s}$, if it changes its $q$-monotonicity at the points $Y_{s}$, that is, if $(-1)^{i-1} f$ is $q$-monotone on $\left[y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right], 1 \leq i \leq 2 s$. We denote by $\Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$ the collection of all such piecewise $q$-monotone functions. Note that if, in addition, $f \in C^{q}(\mathbb{R})$, then $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$, if and only if,

$$
f^{(q)}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{2 s}\left(t-y_{i}\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[y_{2 s}, y_{0}\right]
$$

Remark 1.1. We do not consider the case of odd number of points $y_{i} \in$ $[-\pi, \pi)$, since, in that case, the collection $\Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$ consists only of constant functions. Note that we also have excluded the case $s=0$, namely, the collection of $q$-monotone functions, as, again, it consists only of constant functions.

[^0]We also need the notation $W^{r}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}$, for the Sobolev class of $2 \pi$ periodic functions $f \in A C^{(r-1)}(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$
\left\|f^{(r)}\right\| \leq 2
$$

For a $2 \pi$-periodic function $g$, denote

$$
\|g\|:=\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}|g(x)|
$$

If, in addition, $g$ is continuous, then, of course,

$$
\|g\|=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|g(x)|
$$

Similarly, for a function $g$, defined on the interval $[a, b]$, we denote $\|g\|_{[a, b]}:=$ $\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in[a, b]}|g(x)|$, and if $g \in C[a, b]$, then $\|g\|_{[a, b]}=\max _{x \in[a, b]}|g(x)|$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ be the space of trigonometric polynomials

$$
T_{n}(t)=\alpha_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{k} \cos k t+\beta_{k} \sin k t\right), \quad \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

of degree $\leq n$ (of order $2 n+1$ ) and, for $2 \pi$-periodic function $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$
E_{n}(g):=\inf _{T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}}\left\|g-T_{n}\right\|
$$

denote the error of the best approximation of the function $g$. If $g \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$, then we would like to approximate it by trigonometric polynomials that change their $q$-monotonicity together with $g$, namely, are in $\Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$. We call it co- $q$-monotone approximation. Denote by

$$
E_{n}^{(q)}\left(g, Y_{s}\right):=\inf _{T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n} \cap \Delta{ }^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)}\left\|g-T_{n}\right\|
$$

the error of the best co- $q$-monotone approximation of the function $g$.
It is well known that if $f \in \Delta^{(1)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{r}, r \geq 1$, then for $q=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right)=O\left(1 / n^{r}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, e.g., [1, 3, 4] for details and references).
It is also known [7] that (1.1), with $q=2$, is valid for $f \in \Delta^{(2)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{r}$, when $r \leq 3$. We believe it is true for all $r \geq 1$.

It turns out, and proving this is the main purpose of this article, that for $q \geq 3$, (1.1) is, in general, invalid for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$.

Our main result is
Theorem 1.2. For each $q \geq 3, r \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{r}$, such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r} E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right)=\infty
$$

We will also prove the following less general but more precise statements.

Theorem 1.3. For each $q \geq 3, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{q-2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right) \geq C\left(q, Y_{s}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(q, Y_{s}\right)>0$ depends only on $q$ and $Y_{s}$.
Corollary 1.4. For each $q \geq 3, r \leq q-2, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{r}$, such that

$$
E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right) \geq C\left(q, Y_{s}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $C\left(q, Y_{s}\right)>0$ depends only on $q$ and $Y_{s}$.
Theorem 1.5. For each $q \geq 3, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, there exists a function $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{q-1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right) \geq C\left(q, Y_{s}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(q, Y_{s}\right)>0$ depends only on $q$ and $Y_{s}$.
Our final result is
Theorem 1.6. Let $q \geq 3, p \geq q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$. For each sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers, tending to infinity, there is a function $f \in$ $\Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{p}$, such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n} n^{p-q+2} E_{n}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right)=\infty .
$$

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 2, Theorem 1.5 in Section 4 and Theorem 1.6 in Section 6. In the proofs we apply ideas from [2], and we have to overcome the constraints and challenges of periodicity.

In the sequel, positive constants $c$ and $c_{i}$ either are absolute or may depend only on $r, q, p$ and $m$.

## 2. Eulerian type ideal splines and proof of Theorem 1.3

Definition 2.1. For each $b \in(0, \pi]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\varepsilon_{r, b}$ the $2 \pi$-periodic function, such that

1) $\varepsilon_{r, b} \in C^{r-1}$,
2) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r, b}(x) d x=0$, and
3) $\varepsilon_{r, b}^{(r)}=\operatorname{sgn} x-\gamma_{b}, \quad x \in(-b, 2 \pi-b) \backslash\{0\}$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{b}=1-b / \pi, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r, b}^{(r)}(x) d x=0
$$

Remark 2.2. By its definition, $\varepsilon_{r, b}$ is a spline of minimal defect of degree $r$, in particular $\varepsilon_{r, \pi}$ is called an Eulerian ideal spline.

Put

$$
F_{r}(x):=\frac{1}{r!}|x| x^{r-1} .
$$

The following properties of $\varepsilon_{r, b}$ readily follow from its definition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r, b}(x)=F_{r}(x)+p_{r, b}(x), \quad x \in[-b, 2 \pi-b], \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r, b}$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq r$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{r, b}^{(r)}\right\|<2, \quad \text { whence } \quad \varepsilon_{r, b} \in W^{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for each collection $Y_{s}$, such that $\{-b, 0\} \in Y_{s}$, and every $q>r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r, b} \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following lemma (see [2, Lemma 2.4]).
Lemma 2.3. For each $q \geq 3$ and any function $g \in C^{q-2}[-1,1]$, such that $g^{(q-2)}$ is convex on $[0,1]$ and concave on $[-1,0]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{q-2}-g\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq c . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Given $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, let

$$
b:=\min _{1 \leq j \leq 2 s}\left\{y_{j-1}-y_{j}\right\},
$$

and by shifting the periodic function $f$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2 s}=-b$ and $y_{2 s-1}=0$. Obviously, it follows that $y_{2 s-2} \geq b$.

We will show that $f:=\varepsilon_{q-2, b}$ is the desired function. Indeed, by (2.3) and (2.4), $\varepsilon_{q-2, b} \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{q-2}$. So we have to prove (1.3).

To this end we take an arbitrary polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n} \cap \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$. Then the function $g_{n}:=T_{n}-p_{q-2, b}$ satisfies $x g_{n}^{(q)}(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in[-b, b]$, whence $x g_{n}^{(q)}(x / b) \geq 0$ for $x \in[-1,1]$. Let $\tilde{F}_{q-2}(x):=F_{q-2}(x / b), \tilde{g}_{n}(x):=g_{n}(x / b)$. By Lemma 2.3 we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-T_{n}\right\|_{[-\pi, \pi]} & =\left\|F_{q-2}-g_{n}\right\|_{[-\pi, \pi]} \geq\left\|F_{q-2}-g_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \\
& =\left\|\tilde{F}_{q-2}-\tilde{g}_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]}=b^{2-q}\left\|F_{q-2}-b^{q-2} \tilde{g}_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \\
& \geq b^{2-q} c,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields (1.3).

## 3. Approximation of $|x|$

Recall that

$$
F_{1}(x) \equiv|x| .
$$

In this Section we prove, for trigonometric polynomials, an analog of Bernstein's estimate

$$
\left\|F_{1}-P_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq c \frac{b}{n}
$$

which is valid for every algebraic polynomial $P_{n}$ of degree $\leq n$ (for the exact constant $c$, see [6]).

To this end, we first extend to an arbitrary interval $[-b, b]$ the Bernstein - de la Vallée-Poussin inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\left\|T_{n}\right\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$.
Following Privalov (see [5, p. 96-97]), we prove
Lemma 3.1. For each $b \in(0, \pi)$ and every odd trigonometric polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ there holds the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]} \leq \frac{c_{0}}{b} n\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}<10$.
Proof. Let

$$
\varphi(x):=\sqrt{1-x^{2}} .
$$

Then by virtue of (3.1), for every algebraic polynomial, $P_{n-1}$, of degree $<n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi(x)\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi(x)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq n\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad x \in(-1,1), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, given $P_{n-1}$, set $x:=\cos t$, and denote by

$$
\tau_{n}(t):=P_{n-1}(\cos t) \sin t,
$$

the odd trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d t} \tau_{n}(t)\right|=\left|\varphi(x) \frac{d}{d x}\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi(x)\right)\right| .
$$

Thus, (3.1) readily implies

$$
\left|\varphi(x) \frac{d}{d x}\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi(x)\right)\right|=\left|\frac{d}{d t} \tau_{n}(t)\right| \leq n\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{[-\pi, \pi]}=n\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[-1,1]} .
$$

For each $-1<h<1$, let

$$
\varphi_{h}(x):=\sqrt{(1-x)(x-h)} .
$$

Then the linear mapping of $[-1,1]$ onto $[h, 1]$, turns (3.3) into,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{h}(x)\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi_{h}(x)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq n\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi_{h}\right\|_{[h, 1]}, \quad x \in(h, 1) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h:=\cos b$. Since, for $x \in[h, 1)$,

$$
\frac{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)}{\varphi^{2}(x)}=\frac{x-h}{1+x} \leq \frac{1-h}{2}=\sin ^{2}(b / 2) \leq \frac{b^{2}}{4},
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{h} / \varphi\right\|_{[h, 1]} \leq b / 2, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
-\frac{\varphi_{h}(x)}{\varphi(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}(x)}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+h}{1+x} \frac{1}{x-h} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x-h}
$$

so that (3.4) implies, for all $x \in(h, 1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi(x)\right)^{\prime}\right|  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq\left|\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi_{h}(x)\right)^{\prime}\right| \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}(x)}+\left|P_{n-1}(x) \varphi_{h}(x)\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}(x)}\right)^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[h, 1]}\left(\frac{n b}{2} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)}+\frac{\varphi_{h}(x)}{\varphi(x)}\left|\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}(x)}\right)^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[h, 1]}\left(n b \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)}+\frac{1}{x-h}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, if $x \in[\cos (b / 2), 1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi(x)}{x-h} \leq \frac{\sin (b / 2)}{\cos (b / 2)-\cos b}=\frac{\cos (b / 4)}{\sin (3 b / 4)} \leq \cot (b / 4)<\frac{4}{b}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi^{2}(x)}{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)} & =\frac{1+x}{x-h} \leq \frac{1+\cos (b / 2)}{\cos (b / 2)-\cos b}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{\cos ^{2}(b / 4)}{\sin (b / 4) \sin (3 b / 4)} \leq \cot ^{2}(b / 4)<\frac{16}{b^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

We are ready to complete the proof. Given odd trigonometric polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, denote by $P_{n-1}$ the algebraic polynomial of degree $<n$, such that

$$
T_{n}(t) \equiv P_{n-1}(\cos t) \sin t
$$

For $t \in[-b / 2, b / 2]$ (thus, $x=\cos t \in[\cos (b / 2), 1]$ ), combining (3.6) through (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d}{d t} T_{n}(t)\right| & =\left|\varphi(x) \frac{d}{d x}\left(P_{n-1}(x) \varphi(x)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[h, 1]}\left(n b \frac{\varphi^{2}(x)}{\varphi_{h}^{2}(x)}+\frac{\varphi(x)}{x-h}\right) \\
& <\frac{2}{b}(4 n+1)\left\|P_{n-1} \varphi\right\|_{[h, 1]}=\frac{2}{b}(4 n+1)\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 3.2. Similarly (more easily) one may prove (3.2) for even polynomials $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ (in fact, with 10 replaced by 4) and, in turn, for any $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ (see, e.g., Lemma 3.4 below).

We are ready to prove Lemma 3.3. We follow the arguments in [DzSh, pages 434,435].

Lemma 3.3. For each $b \in(0, \pi]$ and polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{1}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq \frac{c_{1} b}{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \geq\left(80 c_{0}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. We may assume that $c_{0}>1$. Let

$$
c^{*}:=\frac{1}{40 c_{0}}<\frac{1}{40} .
$$

Assume to the contrary, that there is a polynomial $\tilde{T}_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{1}-\tilde{T}_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]}<\frac{c^{*} b}{2 n} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is an even polynomial $\hat{T}_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{1}-\hat{T}_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \leq \frac{c^{*} b}{n} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}_{n}(0)=0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\hat{T}_{n}$ may be represented in the form

$$
\hat{T}_{n}(t)=a_{1}(1-\cos t)+\cdots+a_{n}(1-\cos n t)=2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k t}{2}\right) .
$$

Thus, for $T_{n}(t):=\hat{T}_{n}(2 t)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 F_{1}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]} \leq \frac{c^{*} b}{n} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\tau_{n}(t):=\frac{T_{n}(t)}{\sin t}, \quad\left(\tau_{n}(0)=T_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right)
$$

Then $\tau_{n}$ is an odd trigonometric polynomial of degree $<2 n$.
First, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]}<10 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by virtue of (3.13), one has

$$
\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]} \leq b+\frac{c^{*} b}{n}<\frac{9 b}{8} .
$$

Hence, if $b / 8 \leq|t| \leq b / 2$, then

$$
\left|\tau_{n}(t)\right|<\frac{9 b}{8 \sin (b / 8)} \leq \frac{9 \pi}{8 \sin (\pi / 8)}<\frac{9 \pi}{6 \sin (\pi / 6)}=3 \pi<10 .
$$

Thus, assuming the contrary, that there is a point $t_{0} \in[-b / 2, b / 2]$, such that

$$
\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]}=\left|\tau_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|=M \geq 10
$$

we conclude, that $t_{0} \in[-b / 8, b / 8]$. Since Lemma 3.1 implies

$$
\left\|\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[-b / 4, b / 4]} \leq \frac{2 c_{0}}{b}(2 n-1) M
$$

we get for

$$
t \in I_{n}:=\left[t_{0}-\frac{c^{*} b}{n}, t_{0}+\frac{c^{*} b}{n}\right] \subset(-b / 4, b / 4)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tau_{n}(t)\right| & \geq\left|\tau_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|-\left|\tau_{n}(t)-\tau_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \geq\left|\tau_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|-\left|t-t_{0}\right|\left\|\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{I_{n}} \\
& \geq M-\left|t-t_{0}\right|\left\|\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[-b / 4, b / 4]} \geq M-\left|t-t_{0}\right| \frac{2 c_{0}}{b}(2 n-1) M \\
& \geq M-2 c_{0} c^{*} \frac{2 n-1}{n} M>M-M / 3=\frac{2}{3} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $t \in I_{n}$,

$$
\left|T_{n}(t)\right| \geq \frac{2}{3} M|\sin t| \geq \frac{M}{3}|t|
$$

which, in turn, implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{n}-2 F_{1}\right\|_{I_{n}} & \geq\left(\frac{M}{3}-2\right)\left\|F_{1}\right\|_{I_{n}} \geq\left(\frac{10}{3}-2\right) \frac{c^{*} b}{n} \\
& >\frac{c^{*} b}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting (3.13). Therefore, (3.14) is proved.
By virtue of Lemma 3.1 and (3.14),

$$
\left\|\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[-b / 4, b / 4]} \leq \frac{2 c_{0}}{b}(2 n-1)\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]}<\frac{40 c_{0}}{b} n=\frac{n}{c^{*} b}
$$

Therefore, for $t \in(0, b / 4]$,

$$
\left|\tau_{n}(t)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} Q_{n}^{\prime}(u) d u\right|<\frac{t n}{c^{*} b}
$$

whence

$$
\left|T_{n}(t)\right|<\frac{t n}{c^{*} b} \sin t<\frac{t^{2} n}{c^{*} b}
$$

Hence, for

$$
t=\frac{c^{*} b}{n}
$$

we get

$$
2 t-T_{n}(t)>t\left(2-\frac{t n}{c^{*} b}\right)=t=\frac{c^{*} b}{n}
$$

contradicting (3.13) and, in turn, (3.10). This completes the proof.
We need the following simple lemma.
Lemma 3.4. If $f \in C[-a, a]$ is an even function and $g \in C[-a, a]$ is an odd function, then

$$
\|f\|_{[-a, a]} \leq\|f+g\|_{[-a, a]} \quad \text { and } \quad\|g\|_{[-a, a]} \leq\|f+g\|_{[-a, a]}
$$

Proof. Let $M:=\|f+g\|_{[-a, a]}$ and assume to the contrary, that there is a point $x \in[-a, a]$, such that $|f(x)|=K>M$. Then either $|f(x)+g(x)| \geq K$, or $|f(-x)+g(-x)|=|f(x)-g(x)| \geq K$, a contradiction. The proof for $g$ is similar.

Corollary 3.5. For each $b \in(0, \pi]$, any linear function $l$ and every trigonometric polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{1}+l-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq \frac{c_{1} b}{n} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We represent $T_{n}$ in the form $T_{n}=T_{e}+T_{o}$, where $T_{e}$ is an even polynomial, and $T_{o}$ is an odd polynomial. Let $l(x)=a x+k=: l_{o}(x)+l_{e}$. Denote $\tilde{T}_{e}:=T_{e}-l_{e} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, the even polynomial. By (3.9), $\left\|F_{1}-\tilde{T}_{e}\right\| \geq c_{1} b / n$. Since $l_{o}-T_{o}$ is an odd function, it follows by Lemma 3.4 that (3.15) is valid.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The following result readily follows from [2, Lemma 3.1].
Lemma 4.1. Given $q \geq 3$. If a function $f \in C^{q-2}[-2 b, 2 b]$ has a convex $(q-2)$-nd derivative $f^{(q-2)}$ on $[0,2 b]$ and a concave $(q-2)$-nd derivative $f^{(q-2)}$ on $[-2 b, 0]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{q-2}\left\|f^{(q-2)}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{[-2 b, 2 b]} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $\left\|f^{(q-2)}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \neq 0$ and $x^{*} \in[-b, b]$ be such that $\left|f^{(q-2)}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|=$ $\left\|f^{(q-2)}\right\|_{[-b, b]}$. If either $x^{*}=0$ and $f^{(q-2)}(0)<0$, or $x^{*}>0$, then [2, (3.1)] yields,

$$
b^{q-2}\left\|f^{(q-2)}\right\|_{[-b, b]}=b^{q-2}\left\|f^{(q-2)}\right\|_{[0, b]} \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{[0,2 b]} \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{[-2 b, 2 b]} .
$$

Otherwise (4.1) follows from [2, (3.2)].
Recall that $F_{r}(x)=|x| x^{r-1} / r!$. We have,
Lemma 4.2. For every $b \in(0, \pi]$, every trigonometric polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, satisfying $t T_{n}^{(r+1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b$, and any algebraic polynomial $P_{r}$ of degree $\leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left\|F_{r}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq c_{3} b^{r}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $F_{r}^{(r-1)}=F_{1}$ and $P_{r}^{(r-1)}$ is linear, it follows by Corollary 3.5 that

$$
\left\|T_{n}^{(r-1)}-F_{r}^{(r-1)}-P_{r}^{(r-1)}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]} \geq \frac{c_{1} b}{2 n} .
$$

Now, $T_{n}^{(r-1)}-F_{r}^{(r-1)}-P_{r}^{(r-1)}$ is convex in $[0, b]$ and concave in $[-b, 0]$, so by virtue of Lemma 4.1.
$\left\|T_{n}-F_{r}-P_{r}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq \frac{1}{c_{2}}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{r-1}\left\|T_{n}^{(r-1)}-F_{r}^{(r-1)}-P_{r}^{(r-1)}\right\|_{[-b / 2, b / 2]} \geq \frac{c_{1}}{c_{2} n}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{r}$.
Hence, (4.2) follows with $c_{3} \geq 2^{-r} c_{1} / c_{2}$,.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Given $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, again, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
b:=\min _{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\{y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right\}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by shifting the periodic function $f$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2 s}=-b$ and $y_{2 s-1}=0$.Then $f:=\varepsilon_{q-1, b}$ is the desired function. Indeed, by (2.3) and (2.4), $\varepsilon_{q-1, b} \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \cap W^{q-1}$. So we have to prove (1.3).

To this end, take an arbitrary polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n} \cap \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$. By (2.2),

$$
\varepsilon_{q-1, b}(x)=F_{q-1}(x)+p_{q-1, b}(x), \quad x \in[-b, 2 \pi-b],
$$

where $p_{q-1, b}$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq q-1$. Therefore, Lemma 4.2 implies (1.3) with $C\left(q, Y_{s}\right) \geq c_{3} b^{q-1}$.

## 5. Auxiliary results

Let $S \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, be a monotone odd function, such that $S(x)=\operatorname{sgn} x$, $|x| \geq 1$.

Put

$$
s_{j}:=\left\|S^{(j)}\right\|, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0} .
$$

Fix $d \in(0, \pi]$, and for $\lambda \in(0, d / 3]$, let

$$
\tilde{S}_{\lambda, d}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
S\left(\frac{x-2 \lambda}{\lambda}\right), & \text { if } & x \in[0,2 \pi-d], \\
-S\left(\frac{x-2 \lambda+d}{\lambda}\right), & \text { if } & x \in[-d, 0] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finally, denote

$$
S_{\lambda, d}(x):=\tilde{S}_{\lambda, d}(x)-\gamma_{d}, \quad x \in[-d, 2 \pi-d],
$$

where $\gamma_{d}$ was defined in (2.1).
Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\lambda, d}^{(j)}\right\|=\lambda^{-j} s_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_{\lambda, d}(x) d x=0
$$

Definition 5.1. For each $\lambda \in(0, d / 3]$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}$ the $2 \pi$ periodic function $\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, such that

1) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}(x) d x=0$, and
2) $\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}^{(r)}=S_{\lambda, d}(x), \quad x \in[-d, 2 \pi-d]$.

Note that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-d, 2 \pi-d] \cap \operatorname{supp} \varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}^{(r+j)}=[-d+\lambda,-d+3 \lambda] \cup[\lambda, 3 \lambda], \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that (5.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}^{(r+j)}\right\|=\lambda^{-j} s_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}^{(j)}\right\|<c_{4}, \quad j=0, \ldots, r, \quad \text { in particular } \quad\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}^{(r)}\right\|<2 . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}-\varepsilon_{r, d}\right\| \leq c_{5} \lambda . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Put $\varepsilon_{j}:=\varepsilon_{j, d}-\varepsilon_{j, d, \lambda}, j=1, \ldots, r$. Since $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varepsilon_{j}(x) d x=0$, it follows that for any $1 \leq j \leq r$ there is an $x_{j} \in[-\pi, \pi]$ such that $\varepsilon_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$. Hence, we first conclude that

$$
\left\|\varepsilon_{1}\right\| \leq \int_{-d}^{2 \pi-d}\left|\operatorname{sgn} x-\tilde{S}_{\lambda, d}(x)\right| d x=8 \lambda .
$$

Assume by induction that $\left\|\varepsilon_{j}\right\| \leq c \lambda$ for some $j<r$, and note that $\varepsilon_{j+1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{j}$. Thus, for $x \in\left[x_{j+1}-\pi, x_{j+1}+\pi\right]$,

$$
\left|\varepsilon_{j+1}(x)\right|=\left|\varepsilon_{j+1}(x)-\varepsilon_{j+1}\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{x_{j+1}}^{x} \varepsilon_{j}(t) d t\right| \leq \pi c \lambda,
$$

and the proof is complete.
Lemma 5.3. Let $0<b \leq d$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, be such that $t T_{n}^{(r+1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b$. Then for any algebraic polynomial $P_{r}$ of degree $\leq r$, if

$$
0<\lambda \leq \min \left\{\frac{c_{3} b^{r}}{2 n c_{5}}, \frac{d}{3}\right\}=: \min \left\{c_{6} \frac{b^{r}}{n}, \frac{d}{3}\right\},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq c_{3} b^{r} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Inequalities (4.2) and (5.5) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} & \geq 2 n\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]}-2 n\left\|\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda}-\varepsilon_{r, d}\right\| \\
& \geq 2 c_{3} b^{r}-2 n c_{5} \lambda \geq c_{3} b^{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Fix $r \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $q:=r+1$, and

$$
c_{7}:=c_{6}^{m} s_{m}^{-1} .
$$

For $0<b \leq d$ and each $n \geq 3 c_{6} b^{r}$, denote,

$$
\lambda_{n, b}:=c_{6} \frac{b^{r}}{n},
$$

and

$$
f_{n, b}:=c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}} \varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda_{n, b}} .
$$

Then, we have

Lemma 5.4. We have,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|f_{n, b}^{(r+m)}\right\| \leq 1  \tag{5.7}\\
\left\|f_{n, b}^{(r+j)}\right\| \leq c_{8} n^{j-m}, \quad j=0, \ldots, m \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n, b}^{(j)}\right\| \leq \frac{c_{9}}{n^{m}}, \quad j=0, \ldots, r \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each collection $Y_{s}$, such that $y_{2 s}=-d, y_{2 s-1}=0$, and $d=\min _{1 \leq j \leq 2 s}\left\{y_{j-1}-\right.$ $\left.y_{j}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, b} \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every polynomial $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, satisfying $t T_{n}^{(q)}(t) \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b$ and any algebraic polynomial $P_{r}$ of degree $\leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{m+1}\left\|f_{n, b}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \geq c_{10} b^{r(m+1)} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, (5.9) and (5.10) are clear from the definition of $\varepsilon_{r, d, \lambda_{n, b}}$ and (5.4), respectively.

We prove (5.7) and (5.8) together. By virtue of (5.3), we have, for $j=$ $0, \ldots, m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n, b}^{(r+j)}\right\| & =c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}}\left(c_{6} \frac{b^{r}}{n}\right)^{-j} s_{j}=c_{6}^{m} s_{m}^{-1} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}}\left(c_{6} \frac{b^{r}}{n}\right)^{-j} s_{j} \\
& =c_{6}^{m-j} n^{j-m} b^{r(m-j)} \frac{s_{j}}{s_{m}},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, (5.7) and (5.8).
Finally, we prove (5.11). Let $\tilde{P}_{r}:=\left(c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}}\right)^{-1} P_{r}, \tilde{T}_{r}:=\left(c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}}\right)^{-1} T_{r}$, apply Lemma 5.3 and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{m+1}\left\|f_{n, b}+P_{r}-T_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} & =n^{m+1} c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}}\left\|\varepsilon_{r, b, \lambda}+\tilde{P}_{r}-\tilde{T}_{n}\right\|_{[-b, b]} \\
& \geq n^{m+1} c_{7} \frac{b^{r m}}{n^{m}} \frac{c_{3} b^{r}}{2 n} \\
& =: c_{10} b^{r(m+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Set $r:=q-1$ and $m:=p-r$. Given $Y_{s} \in \mathbb{Y}_{s}$, let

$$
d:=\min _{1 \leq j \leq 2 s}\left\{y_{j-1}-y_{j}\right\},
$$

and by shifting the periodic function $f$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $y_{2 s}=-d$ and $y_{2 s-1}=0$. Obviously, it follows that $y_{2 s-2} \geq d$.

We will prove, that the desired function $f$ may be taken in the form

$$
f(x):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}
$$

where integers $n_{k}$ and numbers $b_{k}$ are chosen as follows. We put $n_{1}:=$ $\left\lceil 3 c_{6} d^{r}\right\rceil$ and $b_{1}:=d / 4$. Then, let $n_{2}$ be such that $b_{2}:=\lambda_{n_{2}, b_{1}}<b_{1} / 3$. Assume that $n_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ have been chosen. Then we take $n_{k+1} \geq 2 n_{k}$, to be such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
3 \lambda_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}<b_{k}  \tag{6.1}\\
\varepsilon_{n_{k+1}} c_{10} b_{k}^{r(m+1)} \geq k \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{9}}{n_{k+1}^{m}} \leq \frac{c_{10} b_{k-1}^{r(m+1)}}{10 n_{k}^{m+1}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k+1}:=\lambda_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}} . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by (5.2) and (6.4) that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-d, 2 \pi-d] \cap \operatorname{supp} f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}^{(r+j)}=\left[-d+b_{k+1},-d+3 b_{k+1}\right] \cup\left[b_{k+1}, 3 b_{k+1}\right] . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (6.1), for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}^{(r+j)} \cap \operatorname{supp} f_{n_{k}, b_{k-1}}^{(r+j)}=\emptyset \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.6 into two Lemmas.
Lemma 6.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in W^{p} \cap \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Inequalities (5.8) and (5.9) imply, for all $j=0, \ldots p-1$,

$$
\left\|f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}^{(j)}\right\| \leq \frac{c}{n_{k+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Hence, for each $j=0, \ldots p-1$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}^{(j)}\right\| \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \leq \frac{c}{n_{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}}=c
$$

so that $f$ is well defined on $\mathbb{R}$, it is periodic, $f \in C^{p-1}$, for each $j=$ $0, \ldots, p-1$,

$$
f^{(j)}(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}^{(j)}(x),
$$

which, combined with (5.10), implies that $f \in \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$.
Then (6.6) means, that for each point $x \in(-d, 0) \cup(0,2 \pi-d)$ there is neighbourhood, where the sum in $f^{(r+j)}$ consists of at most one term not
identically zero. Hence, $f \in C^{\infty}((-d, 0) \cup(0,2 \pi-d))$ and, in particular, $f \in C^{p}((-d, 0) \cup(0,2 \pi-d))$. Combining with (5.7), we have $\left\|f^{(p)}\right\| \leq 1$, and the proof is complete.

Lemma 6.2. For each $k>2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{k}^{m+1} \varepsilon_{n_{k}} E_{n_{k}}^{(q)}\left(f, Y_{s}\right) \geq k / 2 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $k>1$. Then by (6.1) and (6.4), for every $1 \leq j \leq k-1$,

$$
f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}^{(r+1)}(x)=0, \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leq b_{k} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(x):=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}(x), \quad|x| \leq b_{k} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq r$.
Now, by (5.9) and (6.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}\right\| & \leq c_{9} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{j+1}^{m}} \leq \frac{c_{9}}{n_{k+2}^{m}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j m}}=\frac{2 c_{9}}{n_{k+2}^{m}}  \tag{6.10}\\
& \leq \frac{c_{10} b_{k}^{r(m+1)}}{5 n_{k+1}^{m+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we take an arbitrary polynomial $T_{n_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{T}_{n_{k+1}} \cap \Delta^{(q)}\left(Y_{s}\right)$ and note, that $t T_{n_{k+1}}^{(q)} \geq 0$ for $|t| \leq b_{k} \leq d$. Therefore (6.9), (6.10) and (5.11), imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-T_{n_{k+1}}\right\| & \geq\left\|f-T_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{\left[-b_{k}, b_{k}\right]}=\left\|P_{r}+\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}-T_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{\left[-b_{k}, b_{k}\right]} \\
& =\left\|\left(P_{r}+f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}-T_{n_{k+1}}\right)+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}\right\|_{\left[-b_{k}, b_{k}\right]} \\
& \geq\left\|P_{r}+f_{n_{k+1}, b_{k}}-T_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{\left[-b_{k}, b_{k}\right]}-\left\|\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} f_{n_{j+1}, b_{j}}\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{c_{10} b_{k}^{r(m+1)}}{n_{k+1}^{m+1}}-\frac{c_{10} b_{k}^{r(m+1)}}{5 n_{k+1}^{m+1}}=\frac{4 c_{10} b_{k}^{r(m+1)}}{5 n_{k+1}^{m+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with (6.2), we obtain (6.8), and the proof is complete.
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