

Energy-efficient Resource Allocation for Mobile Edge Computing Aided by Multiple Relays

Xiang Li, Rongfei Fan, Han Hu, Ning Zhang, and Xianfu Chen

Abstract

In this paper, we study a mobile edge computing (MEC) system with the mobile device aided by multiple relay nodes for offloading data to an edge server. Specifically, the modes of decode-and-forward (DF) with time-division-multiple-access (TDMA) and frequency-division-multiple-access (FDMA), and the mode of amplify-and-forward (AF) are investigated, which are denoted as DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF, respectively. Our target is to minimize the total energy consumption of the mobile device and multiple relay nodes through optimizing the allocation of computation and communication resources. Optimization problems under the three considered modes are formulated and shown to be non-convex. For DF-TDMA mode, we transform the original non-convex problem to be a convex one and further develop a low computation complexity yet optimal solution. In DF-FDMA mode, with some transformation on the original problem, we prove the mathematical equivalence between the transformed problem in DF-FDMA mode and the problem under DF-TDMA mode. In AF mode, the associated optimization problem is decomposed into two levels, in which monotonic optimization is utilized in upper level and successive convex approximation (SCA) is adopted to find the convergent solution in the lower level. Numerical results prove the effectiveness of our proposed methods under various working modes.

Index Terms

Mobile edge computing (MEC), relay communications, resource allocation for communication and computation.

X. Li, R. Fan, and H. Hu are with the School of Information and Electronics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China. ({lawrence,fanrongfei,hhu}@bit.edu.cn,). N. Zhang is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, N9B 3P4, Canada. (ning.zhang@uwindsor.ca). X. Chen is with the VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Oulu 90571, Finland (xianfu.chen@vtt.fi).

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent years have witnessed a surging demand for computation in a wide range of emerging mobile applications, such as image recognition, virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR) [1], which are computationally intensive in general. These applications pose great challenges for mobile devices in terms of high energy consumption if the computation task is computed at local or long latency when the computation task is offloaded to a remote cloud center. Mobile edge computing (MEC) emerges as a promising solution to tackle the above problem [2]. In a MEC system, an edge server rich in computation capacity is implemented in the vicinity of mobile devices, usually on the base station (BS). Hence a mobile device can offload the data for computing at the BS with a lower latency. The BS can complete computing the offloaded data shortly and save the mobile device from consuming too much energy on local computing.

In terms of offloading the data for computing from a mobile device to an BS, partial offloading is the most popular way [3], in which the data for computing can be partitioned into multiple parts and processed at multiple sites in parallel. With partial offloading in a MEC system, the computation of the task is composed of two parts: the computation at local and the computation at the BS, both of which will involve energy consumption at the mobile device. The two parts will be hereinafter referred to as local computing and edge computing, respectively. Specifically, the energy of mobile device will be consumed partly on mobile device's CPU when local computing is executed, and will be consumed partly on wireless transmission when the data for edge computing is offloaded [4]. The energy consumption of the mobile device and the delay for completing the computation task are two important performance metrics [5]. The general design goals of a MEC system include minimizing the energy consumption of the mobile device or BS [6], the time delay for task completion [7], or weighted sum of above two performance metrics [8]. To achieve the research goals, the allocation of both communication and computation resource are performed in literature. The communication resource primarily involves the amount of data for offloading [9], mobile device's transmit power [10], bandwidth or time slot duration in data offloading [11]. The computation resource mainly includes the CPU frequency of the mobile device and the BS [12].

The research on the allocation of communication resource and computation resource is firstly investigated for a MEC system with single mobile device. As an example of early research in this field, reference [5] studied the minimization of energy consumption when one mobile device partially offloads its task to the BS. The proportion of offloaded data, frequency of local CPU for local computing and transmit power for task offloading are jointly optimized. The research on the allocation of communication resource and computation resource is then

extended to the MEC system with multiple mobile devices. To guarantee successful transmission, different communication protocols for multi-user access to offload the task is considered by various research. In [11], multiple mobile devices access into the BS via the way of either time-division multiple access (TDMA) or orthogonal frequency-division multiple access (OFDMA) with the purpose of minimizing the energy consumption of all the mobile devices. In TDMA scenario, the slot duration for data offloading along with the amount of data for offloading are optimized for every mobile device, subject to the constraints of BS's computation capability and task completion latency. A convex optimization problem is formulated and simple solution can be generated by inspecting a derived offloading priority function. In OFDMA scenario, channel allocation is executed together with the allocation of offloaded data for every mobile device. A mixed-integer optimization problem is formulated and sub-optimal low-complexity solution is presented. Unlike [11], [14] considers a wirelessly powered MEC system supporting multiple mobile devices. In such a system, the BS wirelessly transmits power, which is referred to as wireless power transfer (WPT) technology, to multiple mobile devices through multi-antenna, and every mobile device offloads their data back to the BS by exhausting harvested energy via TDMA. To minimize overall energy consumption of the BS, the energy transmit beamforming at the BS, the CPU frequency, the amount of data for offloading and duration of time slot for every mobile device are optimized.

In existing literature on the MEC system with single or multiple mobile devices, the cooperation among multiple mobile devices has been seldom considered. In scenarios where the channel is not ideal due to long distance or deep fading, it would be helpful if other idle mobile devices in the vicinity of both the mobile device and the BS can help to relay the offloaded data. [15] considers a single user MEC system with one relay node. The relay node can help the mobile device to not only forward information but also compute the offloaded data. With some data left for local computing, the mobile device divides the rest of data into two parts: one part is transmitted to the relay node for computing at the relay node, and the other part is offloaded to the BS with the aid of the relay node for computing at the BS. This procedure is completed through time-division manner. The duration of every time slot, the amount of data for each part of computing, and the transmit power on every communication link are optimized together in order to minimize the energy consumption of mobile device and relay node. [16] considers a single-user WPT-driven MEC system with one relay node. Different from [15], the relay node does not help the mobile device to compute but has its own task to complete, which would be partly assisted by BS. Partial offloading is assumed for both mobile device and relay node. The mode of harvest-then-offload is utilized, in which both the mobile device and the relay node

first harvest energy from the BS through WPT, then the mobile device offloads part of its data to the BS with the aid of relay node, and at last the relay node offloads part of its own data to the BS. For such a system, the amount of data to offload for both the mobile device and relay node, the duration of every phase, and the transmit power over every wireless link are optimized for minimizing energy consumption of the BS.

In aforementioned related works, only single relay node is considered. In a real MEC system, e.g., 5G network implemented with MEC technology, there may exist multiple relay nodes between the mobile device and the BS. Exploiting the diversity from these multiple relay nodes can achieve performance gain over the case with single relay node. In this paper, for the first time we investigate a MEC system with multiple relay nodes between the mobile device and the BS. With the purpose of minimizing the energy consumption of both the mobile device and the relay nodes while satisfying the time delay requirements for completing the computation task, two general working modes in a relay network are investigated: Decode-and-forward (DF) mode and amplify-and-forward (AF) mode. In DF mode, the multiple relay nodes can access the mobile device and the BS in the way of time-division-multiple-access (TDMA) and frequency-division-multiple-access (FDMA), which are named as DF-TDMA mode and DF-FDMA mode, respectively. For these three working modes, we optimize the computation and communication resource allocation at the mobile device, multiple relays, and the BS, while satisfying the mobile device's computation latency constraint. The contributions are summarized as follows.

- DF-TDMA: In this mode, the amount of data to offload, transmit power over every communication link, and the slot duration allocated to every communication link are optimized jointly. An optimization problem is formulated, which is non-convex. To get the global optimal solution, we transform it to be a convex optimization problem equivalently. To further reduce the computation complexity, the transformed convex optimization problem is decomposed into two levels. The amount of data to offload is optimized in the upper level, while all the other variable are optimized in the lower level. With a series of analysis and transformation, the lower level optimization problem are transformed to be a linear programming problem and the upper level optimization problem can transformed to be an one-dimensional convex optimization problem, whose optimal solution can be found using Golden search method easily. Numerical result shows that our proposed method can achieve lower computation complexity compared with traditional interior point method, which is broadly used for solving a general convex optimization problem.
- DF-FDMA: In this mode, the amount of data to offload, transmit power over every communication link, and the spectrum allocated to every communication link are optimized jointly.




Fig. 1: System model.

The associated optimization problem is still non-convex. By exploiting special properties beneath the formulated optimization problem, we find the hidden equivalence between the optimization problem in DF-FDMA mode and the transformed convex optimization problem in DF-TDMA mode. Hence the optimization problem in DF-FDMA mode can be solved optimally and easily by following the same method for DF-TDMA mode.

- AF: In this case, the amount of data to offload, transmit power of the mobile device, and the amplifying coefficient on every relay are optimized jointly. An optimization is formulated, which is non-convex either. To find the solution, we decompose it into two levels, in the upper level of which the amount of data to offload is optimized and in the lower level of which all the rest variables are optimized. With steps of transformations and by utilizing successive convex approximation (SCA), convergent solution for the level optimization problem can be found. By resorting to monotonic optimization and polyblock algorithm, the optimal solution for the transformed upper level optimization problem can be found.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. System model is presented in Section II. Optimization problems for the three investigated working modes are formulated in Section III. The solution for the formulated optimization problems associated with the three working modes are demonstrated in Section IV. Numerical results are given in Section V, followed by conclusion remarks in Section VI.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Consider a MEC system with one mobile device, one edge server at a BS, and N relay nodes, which are denoted as n th relay or relay n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N} \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, as shown in

Fig.1a¹. The mobile device has one computation task, say task $\mathcal{T}$, to complete. The task $\mathcal{T}$, can be described by a three-tuple (T, D, L) . In this tuple, T indicates the maximal delay the mobile device can tolerate. D is the total amount of input data to process in order to complete task $\mathcal{T}$, which is in unit of nat for simplicity of presentation. L is the number of CPU cycles required for computing unit data nat. Hence LD represents the total amount of CPU cycles to process for completing task $\mathcal{T}$.

The task $\mathcal{T}$ is assumed to be separable, which means that it can be processed at more than one sites simultaneously. To complete task $\mathcal{T}$ in an energy-efficient way, the mobile device can offload part of the data for computing to the BS, while the rest of data is left for local computing. Suppose the amount of data to offload to the BS is $d \in [0, D]$. Then the amount of data for local computing is $(D - d)$. For the data offloaded to the BS, after receiving the offloaded data, the BS will first process it and then return computational results to the mobile device. Since the computational results are always of small data size and the BS (who is generally rich in power supply) can easily achieve high data rate, the time for feeding back the computational results to the mobile device is ignorable and omitted [17].

Due to blockage or deep fading, there is no direct link between the mobile device and the BS. Hence these N relay nodes help the mobile device to offload data to the BS. Denote the channel gain between the mobile device and n th relay as h_n , and the channel gain between n th relay and BS as g_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Both h_n and g_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ are block faded, which means that these channel gains are stable within the duration of one fading block and vary randomly and independently over different fading blocks. Without loss of generality, the length of one fading block, which is generally at the scale of coherence time, is larger than T . This assumption is reasonable in slow-fading environment. Note that the values of h_n and g_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ can be measured at the beginning of one fading block with negligible time overhead. Suppose the system bandwidth is W , which is not larger than coherence bandwidth. Hence both h_n and g_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ are flat within the system bandwidth W .

As shown in Fig. 1b, task is divided and executed at local and at the BS simultaneously within time T . At local, $(D - d)$ nats of data is computed and finished before deadline. While at the BS, a time of $2t$ is preserved for data offloading. To finish the transmission, these N relay nodes work in a half-duplex manner. Specifically, time for data offloading is divided into two equal-length phases. Hence each phase occupies a time of t . In the first phase, the mobile device

¹The discussion of this paper can be easily extended to the case with multiple mobile devices by allocating every mobile device with an exclusive part of spectrum. In addition, the energy consumption of the relay nodes is not ignorable in case of multiple mobile devices, which has already been taken into account in this paper.




Fig. 2: Communication resource allocation.

transmits the offloaded data to the relays, whereas in the second phase, every relay forwards the received signal or decoded information to the BS. Three working modes for the relay nodes are investigated, which are given as follows.

- **DF-TDMA:** In this mode, these N relay nodes utilize DF and work in the way of TDMA. This indicates that every relay node first decodes the received signal from the mobile device and then forwards the decoded information to the BS, which will happen in the first phase and second phase, respectively. In addition, these relay nodes are orthogonal over time. As shown in Fig. 2a, we suppose that these N relays work on the common bandwidth W , and n th relay occupies a time window of t_n both in the first phase and the second phase for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there are

$$t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq t. \quad (2)$$

For $n \in \mathcal{N}$, define the transmit power from mobile device to n th relay as P_n and the transmit power from n th relay to BS as Q_n , respectively, both of which are larger than zero. Denote the power spectral density (PSD) of background noise as σ^2 , then the amount of data transmitted through n th relay, defined as D_n^T , is the minimum value between the first phase and the second phase, i.e., $D_n^T = \min \left(t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 W} \right), t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{Q_n g_n}{\sigma^2 W} \right) \right), \forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, to offload d nats, there is

$$d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N D_n^T. \quad (3)$$

- **DF-FDMA:** In this mode, these N relay nodes also utilize DF but work in the way of FDMA. As shown in Fig. 2b, n th relay spans a time of t and occupies the spectrum with

the bandwidth w_n both in the first phase and the second phase for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there are

$$w_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^N w_n \leq W. \quad (5)$$

With the same definitions of P_n , Q_n and σ^2 as in DF-TDMA mode, the amount of data transmitted through n th relay is $D_n^F = \min \left(tw_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 w_n} \right), tw_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{Q_n g_n}{\sigma^2 w_n} \right) \right), \forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, to offload d nats, there is

$$d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N D_n^F. \quad (6)$$

- AF: In this mode, the mobile device will transmit the offloaded data to the relays in the first phase, whereas in the second phase, all the relays will amplify the received signal and then transmit it to the BS [18]. In such a process, every relay will occupy all the available spectrum W and time t in the first phase or the second phase. Suppose the transmitted signal of the mobile device with unit power is s , the power of transmitted signal is P , and the additive noise at n th relay is z_n with variance being $\sigma^2 W$, then the received signal at n th relay is $m_n = \sqrt{h_n P} s + z_n, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Denote the amplifying coefficient of n th relay as β_n , where $\beta_n \geq 0$, and the additive noise at the BS as z_0 with variance being $\sigma^2 W$, then the energy consumption of relay n is $\beta_n^2 (P h_n + \sigma^2 W) t$, and the received signal at the BS in the second phase, denoted as r , can be expressed as

$$r = \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{g_n} \beta_n \left(\sqrt{h_n P} s + z_n \right) + z_0 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n P} \beta_n s + \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{g_n} \beta_n z_n + z_0. \quad (7)$$

According to the expression of r in (7), the power of the signal from the mobile device can be written as $P_s^A = P \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} \beta_n \right)^2$, and the noise power can be given as $P_z^A = \sigma^2 W + \sigma^2 W \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \beta_n^2$. Hence the amount of data transmitted from the mobile device to the BS through all the relays in AF mode can be given as [19] $D^A = tW \ln \left(1 + \frac{P_s^A}{P_z^A} \right) = tW \ln \left(1 + \frac{P \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} \beta_n \right)^2}{\sigma^2 W \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \beta_n^2 \right)} \right)$. Then to offload d nats, there is

$$d \leq D^A. \quad (8)$$

In terms of computation, two parts need to be considered, i.e., the computation at local and the computation at the BS. For the computation at local, the mobile device needs to complete

computing of $L(D - d)$ CPU cycles within time T . According to [5], the energy consumption of the mobile device in this case can be expressed to be

$$E_c = \frac{\kappa L^3 (D - d)^3}{T^2}, \quad (9)$$

where κ is a given coefficient depending on the physical structure of mobile device's CPU.

For the computation at the BS, the BS need to complete the computing Ld CPU cycles within time $(T - 2t)$, which corresponds to the maximal left time for computing at the BS. Suppose the computation capacity allocated to the mobile device by the BS is f_B . Then there should be

$$\frac{Ld}{(T - 2t)} \leq f_B. \quad (10)$$

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this section, based on the introduced system model in Section II, optimization problems under three relay modes, including DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF, are presented respectively. For all these three modes, or say cases, our objective is to minimize the overall energy consumption of the mobile device and all the relays by adjusting the amount of data for offloading, time duration for offloading, transmit power of mobile device, and power consumption strategy at every relay node, while respecting the latency requirement for completing the task $\mathcal{T}$.

For the case of DF-TDMA, according to (9), the total energy consumption of the mobile device and N relay nodes can be written as $\sum_{n=1}^N (P_n t_n + Q_n t_n) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$. Then collecting the constraints in (1), (2), (3), (10), and imposing the non-negative restrictions on P_n, Q_n, t_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and box constraint on variable d , the optimization problem can be formulated as follows

Problem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d, \{t_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{P_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{Q_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \sum_{n=1}^N (P_n t_n + Q_n t_n) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N D_n^T, \end{aligned} \quad (11a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (11b)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D; P_n \geq 0 \quad Q_n \geq 0 \quad t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (11c)$$

For the case of DF-FDMA, the total energy consumption of the mobile device and N relay nodes is $\sum_{n=1}^N (P_n t + Q_n t) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$. Combining the constraints in (4), (5), (6), (10), and imposing the non-negative restrictions on t, P_n, Q_n, w_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and box constraint on variable d , the optimization problem can be formulated as follows

Problem 2:

$$\min_{d,t,\{P_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\},\{Q_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\},\{w_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \sum_{n=1}^N (P_n t + Q_n t) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N D_n^F, \quad (12a)$$

$$2t \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (12b)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N w_n \leq W, \quad (12c)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D, t \geq 0; P_n \geq 0, Q_n \geq 0, w_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (12d)$$

For the case of AF, the energy consumption of the mobile device and N relay nodes is $\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^2 (Ph_n + \sigma^2 W) t + Pt + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$. Collecting the constraints (8), (10), and imposing non-negative restrictions on t , P , β_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and box constraint on variable d , the total energy consumption minimization problem can be formulated as follows

Problem 3:

$$\min_{d,t,P,\{\beta_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^2 (Ph_n + \sigma^2 W) t + Pt + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad d \leq tW \ln \left(1 + \frac{P \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} \beta_n \right)^2}{\sigma^2 W \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \beta_n^2 \right)} \right), \quad (13a)$$

$$2t \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (13b)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D, t \geq 0, P \geq 0; \beta_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (13c)$$

To this end, the problem formulation for the energy consumption minimization problem for the relay modes of DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF, has been completed.

IV. OPTIMAL SOLUTION

In this section, Problem 1, Problem 2 and Problem 3, which are associated with the cases of DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF respectively, will be solved.

A. Solution for the Case of DF-TDMA

To simplify the solving of Problem 1, the following lemma is claimed first.

Lemma 1: For Problem 1, the optimal P_n and Q_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ should satisfy

$$P_n h_n = Q_n g_n, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (14)$$

Proof: Please refer to Appendix A. ■

With Lemma 1, by expressing Q_n with P_n according to (14) for the objective function of Problem 1 and constraint (11a), Problem 1 turns to be the following optimization problem

Problem 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d, \{t_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{P_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \sum_{n=1}^N P_n t_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 W}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (15a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (15b)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D; P_n \geq 0, t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (15c)$$

Problem 4 is non-convex due to the non-convexity of the function in the objective function of Problem 4 and the non-concavity of the right-hand side function of the constraint (15a) with variables P_n and t_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. To find the optimal solution, define $E_n = P_n t_n$ and express P_n with E_n and t_n , then Problem 4 is equivalent with the following optimization problem

Problem 5:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d, \{t_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{E_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \sum_{n=1}^N E_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (16a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (16b)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D; E_n \geq 0, t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (16c)$$

It can be checked that Problem 5 is a convex problem, due to the convexity of its objective function with E_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and d , and the concavity of right-hand side function of constraint (16a) with $(E_n, t_n)^T$. Hence Problem 5 can be solved optimally by existing algorithms, such as interior-point method [21]. To further reduce the computation complexity, we offer a different solution method for Problem 5 by decomposing it into two levels.

In the lower level, d is fixed and the following optimization problem need to be solved.

Problem 6:

$$U(d) \triangleq \min_{\{E_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{t_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \sum_{n=1}^N E_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right)$$

$$\text{s.t. } d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W}\right), \quad (17a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (17b)$$

$$E_n \geq 0, t_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (17c)$$

In the upper level, the following optimization problem, which is equivalent with Problem 5, needs to be solved

Problem 7:

$$\min_d U(d) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \leq d \leq D. \quad (18a)$$

It can be checked that Problem 6 is convex and satisfies Slater's conditions [21]. Then the KKT conditions, which can serve as sufficient and necessary condition for its optimal solution, are listed below

$$1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n} - \frac{\mu t_n h_n W}{t_n \sigma^2 W + E_n h_n} - \zeta_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19a)$$

$$2\lambda - \mu W \left(\ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W} \right) - \frac{\frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W}}{1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W}} \right) - \eta_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19b)$$

$$\mu \left(d - \sum_{n=1}^N t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W} \right) \right) = 0 \quad (19c)$$

$$\lambda \left(2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n + \frac{Ld}{f_B} - T \right) = 0 \quad (19d)$$

$$\zeta_n E_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19e)$$

$$\eta_n t_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19f)$$

$$d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N t_n W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W} \right) \quad (19g)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B} \quad (19h)$$

$$E_n \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19i)$$

$$t_n \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N} \quad (19j)$$

in which μ , λ , ζ_n and η_n are non-negative Lagrange multipliers associated with constraints (19g), (19h), (19i) and (19j), respectively.

Define the index set $\mathcal{P}_T = \{n | E_n > 0, t_n > 0, n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_T = \{n | E_n = 0, t_n = 0, n \in \mathcal{N}\}$. For the optimal solution of Problem 6, it is meaningless to set $E_n = 0$ while setting $t_n > 0$ or set $t_n = 0$ while setting $E_n > 0$, $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, only two cases will be considered: 1) The case that $E_n = 0$ and $t_n = 0$; 2) The case that $E_n > 0$ and $t_n > 0$. Therefore, there is $\mathcal{P}_T \cup \bar{\mathcal{P}}_T = \mathcal{N}$. Define $\text{SNR}_n^T = \frac{E_n h_n}{t_n \sigma^2 W}$, which actually represents the signal-to-noise ratio at relay n , for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. For SNR_n^T , $\forall n \in \mathcal{P}_T$, the following lemma can be expected.

Lemma 2: For $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{P}_T$, there is $\text{SNR}_i^T = \text{SNR}_j^T = \text{SNR}^T$ where SNR^T is a common value.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix B. ■

In addition, for the optimal solution of Problem 6, we have Lemma 3.

Lemma 3: For optimal solution of Problem 6, the equality of constraints (17a) and (17b) hold.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix C. ■

With the aid of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, for $\forall n \in \mathcal{P}_T$, there is

$$\text{SNR}_n^T = \text{SNR}^T = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}} E_n h_n}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}} t_n \sigma^2 W} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}} E_n h_n + \sum_{n \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}} E_n h_n}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}} t_n \sigma^2 W + \sum_{n \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}} t_n \sigma^2 W} = \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_n h_n}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} t_n \sigma^2 W} = \frac{2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_n h_n}{\left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right) \sigma^2 W}, \quad (20)$$

which further indicates

$$t_n = \frac{E_n h_n}{\sigma^2 W \text{SNR}^T} = \frac{E_n h_n \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right)}{2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_n h_n}, \forall n \in \mathcal{P}_T. \quad (21)$$

In addition, there naturally exists

$$t_n = \frac{E_n h_n \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right)}{2 \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_n h_n}, \forall n \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_T. \quad (22)$$

Hence the solution of t_n can be expressed by E_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and we only need to focus on finding the optimal solution of E_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

By combining Lemma 3 and (20), the (17a) can be simplified to be

$$\sum_{n=1}^N E_n h_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2d}{W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right)}} - 1\right). \quad (23)$$

Then Problem 6 is equivalent with the following optimization problem

Problem 8:

$$U(d) = \min_{\{E_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \sum_{n=1}^N E_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{n=1}^N E_n h_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2d}{W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right)}} - 1\right), \quad (24a)$$

$$E_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (24b)$$

Problem 8 is a linear programming problem with respect to the vector of $\{E_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ and can be solved by existing numerical methods, e.g., simplex method and interior-point method [22].

Next, we turn to solve the upper level problem, i.e., Problem 7, by optimizing the variable d . For Problem 7, Lemma 4 establishes.

Lemma 4: Problem 7 is a convex optimization problem.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix D. ■

According to Lemma 4, golden-search method can be utilized to find the optimal solution of Problem 7.

B. Solution for the Case of DF-FDMA

In this case, by following the similar discussion in Lemma 1, Problem 2 turns to be

Problem 9:

$$\min_{d,t,\{P_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\},\{w_n|n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \sum_{n=1}^N P_n t \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N t w_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 w_n}\right), \quad (25a)$$

$$2t \leq T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}, \quad (25b)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N w_n \leq W, \quad (25c)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D, t \geq 0; P_n \geq 0, w_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (25d)$$

In Problem 9, the objective function and constraint (25a) are non-convex due to the coupling of t and P_n , and the coupling of t and w_n , which make it hard to solve optimally. To explore the optimal solution of Problem 9, the following lemma can be anticipated.

Lemma 5: For the optimal solution of Problem 9, the equality of constraints (25a), (25b) and (25c) hold.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix E. ■

With Lemma 5, we can express t with $\frac{(Tf_B - Ld)}{2f_B}$. Furthermore, the following lemma can be expected.

Lemma 6: Mathematically, Problem 5 is equivalent with Problem 9.

Proof: To prove this lemma, first we make some transformation for Problem 5. Define r_n as $r_n = t_n W$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Apply the variable substitution and utilize Lemma 3, Problem 5 is equivalent with the following optimization problem

Problem 10:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d, \{r_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{E_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \sum_{n=1}^N E_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D - d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & d = \sum_{n=1}^N r_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{\sigma^2 r_n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (26a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N r_n = W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right), \quad (26b)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D; E_n \geq 0, r_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \quad (26c)$$

in which the expression of (26a) is straightforward and the expression of (26b) is the result of $2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n = T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}$ multiplied by W on both sides.

Next we transform Problem 9. To this end, we apply variable substitution $E_n^\dagger = P_n t$ and $r_n^\dagger = w_n t$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. To avoid abuse of variables, we also replace d with $d^\dagger$ for FDMA case. Based on Lemma 5, constraint (25a) is transformed into $d^\dagger = \sum_{n=1}^N r_n^\dagger \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{\sigma^2 r_n^\dagger}\right)$. In Problem 9, multiplied by the equality $2t = T - \frac{Ld^\dagger}{f_B}$ on both sides, constraint (25c) turns to be $2 \sum_{n=1}^N r_n^\dagger \leq W(T - \frac{Ld^\dagger}{f_B})$. Furthermore, by utilizing the equation $\sum_{n=1}^N w_n = W$ claimed by Lemma 5, constraint (25b) and constraint (25c) can be combined to the single constraint $2 \sum_{n=1}^N r_n^\dagger = W(T - \frac{Ld^\dagger}{f_B})$. Consequently, Problem 9 can be rewritten as the following optimization problem

Problem 11:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d^\dagger, \{r_n^\dagger | n \in \mathcal{N}\}, \{E_n^\dagger | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\dagger \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D - d^\dagger)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & d^\dagger = \sum_{n=1}^N r_n^\dagger \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{\sigma^2 r_n^\dagger}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (27a)$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^N r_n^\dagger = W \left(T - \frac{Ld^\dagger}{f_B}\right), \quad (27b)$$

$$0 \leq d^\dagger \leq D, E_n^\dagger \geq 0, r_n^\dagger \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (27c)$$

It can be easily observed that Problem 10 and Problem 11 are with the identical mathematical form. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 1: Retrospecting the proof of Lemma 6, the variables d , E_n and r_n in Problem 10 are corresponded with the variables $d^\dagger$, $E_n^\dagger$, and $r_n^\dagger$ in Problem 11 for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, respectively. Hence, with a configuration of d , E_n and r_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ in the mode of TDMA, there always a set of $d^\dagger$, $E_n^\dagger$, and $r_n^\dagger$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, such that

$$E_n = E_n^\dagger, r_n = r_n^\dagger, d = d^\dagger, \quad (28)$$

to achieve the identical total energy consumption of the mobile device and multiple relay nodes, in the mode of FDMA. Especially, the minimal objective function of Problem 10 is also identical with the minimal objective function of Problem 11, which indicates that TDMA mode and FDMA mode can achieve the same minimal total energy consumption.

With Lemma 6 and Remark 1, Problem 9 can be solved optimally by following the similar way in Section IV-A. To be specific, the optimal d of Problem 9 is also the optimal d of Problem 5; the optimal t of Problem 9 is $t = \frac{(Tf_B - Ld)}{2f_B}$ according to Lemma 5; the optimal P_n of Problem 9 for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ is $P_n = \frac{E_n^*}{t}$ where E_n^* is the optimal E_n of Problem 5; and the optimal w_n of Problem 9 for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ is $w_n = \frac{t_n^* W}{t}$ where t_n^* is the optimal t_n of Problem 5 and $t = \frac{(Tf_B - Ld)}{2f_B}$. To this end, the optimal solution of Problem 9 is obtained.

C. Solution for the Case of AF

For the case of AF, Problem 3 needs to be solved. Similar with the discussion in Section IV-A and Section IV-B, the following lemma can be expected.

Lemma 7: For optimal solution of Problem 3, the equality of constraints (13a) and (13b) hold.

Proof: The proof for Lemma 7 is similar to the one of Lemma 3 and Lemma 5 and is omitted here. ■

With Lemma 7, substitute the t in Problem 3 with $\frac{(Tf_B - Ld)}{2f_B}$, and with some mathematical manipulations, Problem 3 can be equivalently transformed into the following form:

Problem 12:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{d, P, \{\beta_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \frac{(Tf_B - Ld)}{2f_B} \left(P + \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^2 (Ph_n + \sigma^2 W) \right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D - d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \frac{P \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} \beta_n \right)^2}{\sigma^2 W \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \beta_n^2 \right)} \geq e^{\frac{2d}{W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B} \right)}} - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (29a)$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D, P \geq 0; \beta_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (29b)$$

Problem 12 is still a non-convex problem. To make Problem 12 tractable, by following the similar way in Section IV-A and Section IV-B, Problem 12 is also decomposed into two levels. In the lower level, with d given, Problem 13 is required to be solved, which is given as

Problem 13:

$$\begin{aligned} X(d) = \min_{P, \{\beta_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & P + \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n^2 (Ph_n + \sigma^2 W) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \frac{P \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} \beta_n \right)^2}{\sigma^2 W \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \beta_n^2 \right)} \geq e^{\frac{2d}{w \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B} \right)}} - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (30a)$$

$$P \geq 0, \beta_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (30b)$$

In the upper level, Problem 14 should be solved by optimizing variable d .

Problem 14:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_d \quad & \frac{T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}}{2} X(d) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D - d)^3}{T^2} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq d \leq D. \end{aligned} \quad (31a)$$

We first focus on solving the lower level optimization problem, i.e., Problem 13. By defining $\psi(d) = e^{\frac{2d}{w \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B} \right)}} - 1$, introducing a slack variable δ , and denoting $q = \ln P$, $s = \ln \delta$, and $\alpha_n = \ln \beta_n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, Problem 13 would be equivalent with the following optimization problem

Problem 15:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(d) = \min_{q, s, \{\alpha_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} & \ln \left(e^q + \sum_{n=1}^N h_n e^{q+2\alpha_n} + \sigma^2 \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\alpha_n} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N g_n e^{2\alpha_n} + 1 \right) - s \leq -\ln \psi(d) - \ln \sigma^2 W \end{aligned} \quad (32a)$$

$$2 \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n} \right) + q - s \geq 0 \quad (32b)$$

$$q \geq 1, s \geq 1, \alpha_n \geq 1, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (32c)$$

It can be checked that $Y(d) = \ln X(d)$, i.e., the minimal cost of Problem 15 is the logarithm of the minimal cost of Problem 13.

For Problem 15, the objective function and the left-hand side function of constraints (32a) and (32b) are log-sum-exp functions, which is convex according to geometric programming theory [21]. However, constraint (32b) is in the form that a convex function is larger than 0, which does not define a convex set and leads to the non-convexity of Problem 15. To solve this non-convex problem, the successive convex approximation (SCA) method [20] is introduced. Before going

into details of SCA method, the optimization problem to be solved in i th step of iteration for SCA method is defined in Problem 16.

In Problem 16, $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$ is a given and fixed point in the feasible region of Problem 15. The left-hand side of (33b) is a first order approximation of the left-hand side of (32b), therefore (33b) becomes a linear constraint. Thus Problem 16 is a convex optimization problem and can be solved by traditional methods. Specifically, the whole process to solve Problem 16 can be summarized in Algorithm 1.

Problem 16:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}^i(d) = & \min_{q, s, \{\alpha_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}} \ln \left(e^q + \sum_{n=1}^N h_n e^{q+2\alpha_n} + \sigma^2 \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\alpha_n} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N g_n e^{2\alpha_n} + 1 \right) - s \leq -\ln \psi(d) - \ln \sigma^2 W \end{aligned} \quad (33a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{2\sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i}}{\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i}} (\alpha_n - \alpha_n^i) + (q - q^i) \\ & - (s - s^i) + 2 \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i} \right) + q^i - s^i \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (33b)$$

$$q \geq 1, s \geq 1; \alpha_n \geq 1, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (33c)$$

Algorithm 1 SCA procedure for solving Problem 15

- 1: Choose a small value ϵ as tolerance.
 - 2: Choose a feasible point $(q^0, s^0, \{\alpha_n^0\})$ of Problem 15, and denote the associated cost function of Problem 15 as $\bar{Y}^0$.
 - 3: Given the fixed point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$, solve Problem 16 to achieve $\bar{Y}^i$, and set the calculated optimal solution as $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$.
 - 4: **if** $|\bar{Y}^i - \bar{Y}^{i-1}| \geq \epsilon$ **then**
 - 5: Set $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$ as the new fixed point and let $i = i + 1$, go back to Step 3.
 - 6: **else**
 - 7: Quit. Output the solution $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$, and return the most recent $\bar{Y}^{i+1}$, which is also denoted as $\bar{Y}$.
-

For the convergence of Algorithm 1, the following lemma can be expected.

Lemma 8: For arbitrary $(q^0, s^0, \{\alpha_n^0\})$ in the feasible region of Problem 15, Algorithm 1 generates a sequence of $\bar{Y}^i$, which is decreasing and will converge to a stationary point.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix F. ■

Lemma 8 shows that Algorithm 1 can return a feasible yet stationary-point-achieving solution for Problem 13. To this end, the lower level optimization problem has been solved. Next we turn to solve the upper level optimization problem, i.e., Problem 14.

For Problem 14, the following lemma can be expected

Lemma 9: $X(d)$ is a monotonic increasing function.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix G. ■

With Lemma 9, the objective function of Problem 14 can be recognized as the difference of two monotonic increasing functions with respect to d , which are $\frac{T}{2}X(d)$ and $\left(\frac{Ld}{2f_B}X(d) - \frac{\kappa L^3(D-d)^3}{T^2}\right)$, respectively. By introducing a new variable $\omega \geq 0$, Problem 14 is equivalent to

Problem 17:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{d, \omega} \quad & \left(\frac{Ld}{2f_B}X(d) - \frac{\kappa L^3(D-d)^3}{T^2} \right) + \omega \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \omega + \frac{T}{2}X(d) \leq \frac{T}{2}X(D), \end{aligned} \tag{34a}$$

$$0 \leq d \leq D, \omega \geq 0. \tag{34b}$$

The reason for the equivalence between Problem 14 and Problem 17 is as follows. Minimizing the objective function of Problem 14, which can be written as $\frac{T}{2}X(d) - \left(\frac{Ld}{2f_B}X(d) - \frac{\kappa L^3(D-d)^3}{T^2}\right)$, is equivalent with maximizing $\left(\frac{Ld}{2f_B}X(d) - \frac{\kappa L^3(D-d)^3}{T^2}\right) - \frac{T}{2}X(d) + \frac{T}{2}X(D)$. In addition, when the optimal solution of Problem 17 is achieved, there is $\omega + \frac{T}{2}X(d) = \frac{T}{2}X(D)$ since the objective function of Problem 17 is increasing with both ω and d . In this case, $\omega = \frac{T}{2}X(D) - \frac{T}{2}X(d)$, and the objective function of Problem 17 turns to be $\left(\frac{Ld}{2f_B}X(d) - \frac{\kappa L^3(D-d)^3}{T^2}\right) - \frac{T}{2}X(d) + \frac{T}{2}X(D)$.

Problem 17 is a standard *monotonic optimization problem*, in which the objective function is monotonic and all the constraints can be written as the form such that a monotonic function is larger than smaller than zero. For a monotonic optimization problem, ε -optimal solution can be found by *polyblock algorithm* [23]. The ε -optimal means the gap between the global optimal utility and the utility achieved by polyblock algorithm is upper bounded by any predefined value $\varepsilon > 0$. Due to the limit of space, detail of the polyblock algorithm is not presented. Interested readers please refer to [23].

On the other hand, it should be noticed that, polyblock algorithm is utilized based on the increasing monotonicity of function $X(d)$ with d , or equivalently, the increasing monotonicity of $Y(d)$ with d . Due to the non-convexity of Problem 15, we can only achieve a stationary point, rather than the global optimal solution, of Problem 15 by utilizing Algorithm 1. In other words, we can achieve $\bar{Y}(d)$, rather than $X(d)$ or $Y(d)$. In this case, to take the advantage of polyblock algorithm for solving the upper level problem, i.e., Problem 14, it remains to verify that $\bar{Y}(d)$ is monotonically increasing with d , which, however, is very hard to prove analytically. In Fig.3, $\bar{Y}(d)$ is plotted versus d under various latency requirements T . In the simulation, size of the task is set to $D = 7 \times 10^4$ nats, and the computation capacity $f_B = 5$ GHz. It can be seen from




Fig. 3: Verification of $\bar{Y}$'s monotonicity with d .

Fig.3 that $\bar{Y}(d)$ is always a monotonic increasing function with d . Hence we can still utilize the polyblock algorithm to find the global optimal solution if we replace $X(d)$ with $e^{\bar{Y}(d)}$ in the upper level problem, i.e., Problem 14.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, numerical results of our proposed algorithms for DF-TDMA mode, DF-FDMA mode and AF mode, are presented. The default system parameters are set as follows. The bandwidth of the whole system $W = 1$ MHz. For all the relays, the distances from the mobile device to the relays and the distances from the relays to the BS are uniformly distributed between 100 meters and 500 meters. The channels in the system experiences free space attenuation and Rayleigh fading. Hence the channel gain is the multiplication of free space path loss and the random gain under Rayleigh fading. The free space path loss, which is denoted as PL (in dB), can be calculated from the following formula

$$\text{PL} = 32.4 + 20 \times \log \text{Distance} + 20 \times \log \text{Bandwidth},$$

where the distance is in the unit of kilometer and the bandwidth is in the unit of MHz. The random gain under Rayleigh fading obeys exponential distribution with mean being 0.5. The power spectrum density of noise $\sigma^2 = -140$ dBW/Hz. Similar to [5], the coefficient for local computing $\kappa = 10^{-25}$. The computation capacity $f_B = 5$ GHz. A computation task of data size being 8×10^4 nats is supposed to be finished within 0.01 second. Similar to [5], $L = 50$ cycles/nat. When employing the polyblock algorithm, the predefined gap ε is set as $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$.




Fig. 4: Verification of convergence and optimality for AF mode.

A. Convergence and Optimality of Our Solution for AF Mode

In this subsection, the convergence of SCA method to solve Problem 13 is verified, followed by one-dimension search of d in Problem 14 to prove the optimality of polyblock algorithm.

In Fig. 4a, the convergence of SCA method for solving Problem 13 is illustrated. With $D = 8 \times 10^4$ nats and $d = 6 \times 10^4$ nats, the objective function of Problem 13 is plotted versus the number of iterations in SCA method in Fig. 4a. By reading the plotted data points on Fig. 4a, the objective function of Problem 13 will converge to 1.201W with a deviation of no more than 10^{-5} after 15 iterations.

In Fig. 4b the objective function of Problem 14 is plotted versus d . With $D = 8 \times 10^4$ nats and stepsize set as 100 nats, it can be seen from Fig. 4b that the objective function of Problem 14 is unimodel with d and the minimum is achieved at $d = 5.31 \times 10^4$ nats, which is very close to calculated d by the polyblock algorithm. By inspecting the plotted data point and curve of Fig. 4b, it can be also found that the gap between the minimal objective function of Problem 14 found by one-dimensional search of d and the one calculated by polyblock algorithm is within the predefined ε .

B. Complexity Analysis and Comparison of Our Proposed Method for DF-TDMA Mode

In this subsection, the computation complexity of our proposed method for solving Problem 5 in DF-TDMA mode is analyzed. Note that Problem 5 is a convex optimization problem, which can be solved by existing algorithms optimally. As a comparison, the computation complexity for solving Problem 5 via interior method, which is one of most popular numerical methods for solving a convex optimization problem optimally, is also investigated. Fig. 5 plots the




Fig. 5: Total time consumption of conventional and proposed methods in DF-TDMA case.

accumulated time consumption of calculation for our proposed method and the interior-point method over 100 sets of randomly generated channel gains. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that as the number of relays increases, which indicates the involvement of more constraints and more variables to optimize, the total time consumption of our proposed method grows slightly while the one for interior-point method grows a lot. In addition, our proposed method can always lead to less time consumption compared with the interior-point method. This proves the advantage and necessity of our proposed method for solving Problem 5.

C. Performance Analysis and Comparison under DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF Modes

In this subsection, two indexes, including the optimal amount of data to offload, i.e., the optimal d , and the minimal total energy consumption of mobile device and relays, are plotted versus the total amount of data for computing D , maximal tolerable delay T , and f_B under the modes of DF-TDMA, DF-FDMA, and AF, respectively. No method directly applicable for our investigated system can be found in existing literature. To make comparison, two intuitive methods are also investigated for comparison, which set t_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ equally in DF-TDMA mode, and set w_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ equally in DF-FDMA mode. According to Remark 1, these two methods will achieve the same total energy consumption. For the ease of presentation, these two curves are plotted once and marked as “equal allocation”.

By setting $T = 0.01$ second and $f_B = 5$ GHz, Fig. 6a shows the optimal amount of data to offload versus D , i.e., the total amount of data for computing, and Fig. 6b plots the minimal total energy consumption versus D . It can be seen that as D grows, both the optimal amount of data to offload and the minimal total energy consumption will increase. This is in coordination







(a) Optimal d versus D (b) Minimal total energy consumption versus D (c) Optimal d versus T (d) Minimal total energy consumption versus T (e) Optimal d versus f_B (f) Minimal total energy consumption versus f_B

Fig. 6: Performance analysis and comparison.

with our intuition: With more D to process by the MEC system, more energy consumption will be incurred naturally, and more amount of data would be offloaded to the BS given that the existence of BS can always help the mobile device to reduce energy consumption.

With $D = 8 \times 10^4$ nats and $f_B = 5$ GHz, Fig. 6c and Fig. 6d plot the optimal amount of data to offload and the minimal total energy consumption versus maximal tolerable delay T , respectively. It can be checked from Fig. 6d that as T grows the minimal total energy consumption will decrease. This is because the enlarged T contributes to not only the relaxed feasible region but also the decreased energy consumption at local in the objective function for the associated optimization problems in various working modes. It can be also seen from Fig. 6c that the amount of data to offload will decrease with T . From this figure we can find that it is still preferable to offload more data to the BS although enlarged T can decrease the energy consumption of local computing given that the BS can saving energy consumption from computing at local.

By setting $D = 8 \times 10^4$ nats and $T = 0.01$ second, Fig. 6e and Fig. 6f show the optimal amount of data to offload and the minimal total energy consumption versus computation capacity at the BS f_B . It can be found from Fig. 6e and Fig. 6f that the optimal amount of data to offload and the total energy consumption will go with f_B by following the similar trend with T . With the growth of f_B , the feasible region of associated optimization for any working mode is enlarged, which leads to the decrease of minimal total energy consumption. In addition, the growth of f_B enables the BS to complete the computing of the same amount of data within less time, which is more attractive for the mobile device to offload data to the BS.

At last, by comparing Fig. 6a, Fig. 6b, Fig. 6c, Fig. 6d, Fig. 6e, and Fig. 6f together, some common results can be summarized as follows.

- In terms of minimal total energy consumption, the AF mode outperforms both DF-TDMA mode and DF-FDMA mode, while DF-TDMA mode and DF-FDMA mode can achieve exactly the same performance. This result verifies the equivalence between Problem 5 and Problem 9 and renders such a suggestion on the selection of working mode in a real MEC system with relays: AF mode is more preferable and there should be no preference between DF-TDMA mode and DF-FDMA mode.
- Our proposed methods for DF-TDMA mode and DF-FDMA mode can always lead to less energy consumption than “equal allocation” method. This result proves the effectiveness of our proposed methods.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have investigated a MEC system aided by multiple relays working in DF-TDMA mode, DF-FDMA mode, and AF mode, respectively. For these three investigated working modes, not only the amount of data to offload and slot duration for offloading are optimized, but also the slot duration over every link are jointly optimized in DF-TDMA mode, the bandwidth and transmit power over every link are jointly optimized for DF-FDMA mode, and the total transmit power of the mobile device and amplifying coefficient on every relay are jointly optimized for AF mode. Although being non-convex, by decomposing the associated optimization problem into two levels, simple and global optimal solution is found for DF-TDMA mode by Golden-search method, and convergent solution is found for DF-AF mode by resorting to monotonic optimization and SCA method in the upper level and lower level respectively. In addition, global optimal solution is found for DF-FDMA mode by proving the mathematical equivalence between the transformed optimization problems in DF-FDMA mode and DF-TDMA mode. Numerical results verifies the effectiveness of our proposed method. This research could provide helpful insight on joint computation and communication resource allocation under various working modes for a relay assisted MEC system.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Suppose there is a pair of P_n and Q_n , who are optimal for Problem 1 but do not satisfy (14). Without loss of generality, we assume $P_n h_n \leq Q_n g_n$. In this case, $t_n W \ln(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 W}) \leq t_n W \ln(1 + \frac{Q_n g_n}{\sigma^2 W})$ and $D_n^F = t_n W \ln(1 + \frac{P_n h_n}{\sigma^2 W})$. By defining Q'_n to be $\frac{P_n h_n}{g_n}$, it can be checked that $Q'_n g_n < P_n h_n$, which indicates that a lower value of Problem 1's objective function can be achieved for P_n and Q'_n compared with P_n and Q_n . This contradicts the assumption that P_n and Q_n are optimal. Hence P_n and Q_n should satisfy (14).

APPENDIX B

PROOF OF LEMMA 2

For $E_n > 0$ and $t_n > 0$, η_n is zero according to (19f), it can be derived from (19b) that

$$\ln(1 + \text{SNR}_n^T) - \frac{\text{SNR}_n^T}{1 + \text{SNR}_n^T} = \frac{2\lambda}{\mu W}, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (35)$$

Looking into the function $\theta(x) = \ln(1 + x) - \frac{x}{1+x}$, it is strictly increasing for $x > 0$ by checking its first order derivative. Therefore, we have $\text{SNR}_n^T = \theta^{-1}(\frac{2\lambda}{\mu W})$, in which λ and μ are Lagrangian

multipliers and remains the same for $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence SNR_n^T is a constant irrespective of n and can be denoted as SNR^T .

APPENDIX C

PROOF OF LEMMA 3

For the holding of the equality in (17a), we prove by contradiction. Note that the right-hand side of (17a) is monotonically increasing for both E_n and t_n , $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, if $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{t_n^\dagger\}$ are optimal solution for the problem and lead to $d < \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^\dagger W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{\sigma^2 W t_n^\dagger}\right)$, one can always reduce objective value by randomly choosing $i \in \mathcal{N}$ and replacing $E_i^\dagger$ with $E_i^\ddagger$ such that $d = \sum_{n=1, n \neq i}^N t_n^\dagger W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{\sigma^2 W t_n^\dagger}\right) + t_i^\dagger W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_i^\ddagger h_i}{\sigma^2 W t_i^\dagger}\right)$. This contradicts to the optimality of $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{t_n^\dagger\}$. Hence the equality in (17a) should hold.

With the holding of the equality in (17a), we assume the optimal solution $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{t_n^\dagger\}$ lead to $d = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^\dagger W \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{\sigma^2 W t_n^\dagger}\right)$ and $2 \sum_{n=1}^N t_n^\dagger < T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}$. Here, we can randomly choose $i \in \mathcal{N}$ and replace $t_i^\dagger$ with $t_i^\ddagger$ such that $2 \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq i}^N t_n^\dagger + t_i^\ddagger\right) = T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}$. It is obvious that $t_i^\ddagger > t_i^\dagger$, which can lead to $E_i^\ddagger < E_i^\dagger$ due to the holding of (17a)'s equality. This can contribute to the decrease of Problem 6's cost function and contradicts to the optimality of $\{E_i^\dagger\}$ and $\{t_i^\dagger\}$. Therefore, the holding of the equality in (17b) is proved.

APPENDIX D

PROOF OF LEMMA 4

To prove the convexity of Problem 7, we need to prove that $U(d)$ is a convex function of d . Define the right-hand side function of constraint (24a) as

$$\sigma(d) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 W \left(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2d}{W(T - \frac{Ld}{f_B})}} - 1\right) \quad (36)$$

whose second order derivative with d is

$$\sigma''(d) = \frac{2\sigma^2 f_B^3 T^2}{W(f_B T - Ld)^3} e^{\frac{2df_B}{W(f_B T - Ld)}} \quad (37)$$

which is larger than zero since $f_B T \geq Ld$. Therefore, $\sigma(d)$ is a convex function with d .

Suppose $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{E_n^\ddagger\}$ are the set of optimal solutions of $\{E_n\}$ for Problem 8 when $d = d^\dagger$ and $d = d^\ddagger$ respectively, i.e. $U(d^\dagger) = \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\dagger \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right)$ and $U(d^\ddagger) = \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\ddagger \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right)$. To satisfy constraint (24a), there should be $\sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\dagger h_n \geq \sigma(d^\dagger)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\ddagger h_n \geq \sigma(d^\ddagger)$.

For $a \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$a \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\dagger h_n + (1-a) \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\ddagger h_n \geq a\sigma(d^\dagger) + (1-a)\sigma(d^\ddagger) \geq \sigma(ad^\dagger + (1-a)d^\ddagger) \quad (38)$$

which means $E_n = aE_n^\dagger + (1-a)E_n^\ddagger$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ is a feasible solution for $d = ad^\dagger + (1-a)d^\ddagger$. In this case

$$U(ad^\dagger + (1-a)d^\ddagger) \leq a \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\dagger \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + (1-a) \sum_{n=1}^N E_n^\ddagger \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) = aU(d^\dagger) + (1-a)U(d^\ddagger). \quad (39)$$

Hence $U(d)$ is convex with d and Problem 7 is a convex optimization problem.

APPENDIX E

PROOF OF LEMMA 5

Substitute $P_n = \frac{E_n}{t}$ into Problem 9 for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, (25a) becomes $d \leq \sum_{n=1}^N tw_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t\sigma^2 w_n}\right)$, and the cost function of Problem 9 turn to be $\sum_{n=1}^N E_n \left(1 + \frac{h_n}{g_n}\right) + \frac{\kappa L^3 (D-d)^3}{T^2}$. We first prove the holding of (25a)'s equality via contradiction. Suppose $d^\dagger$, $t^\dagger$, $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{w_n^\dagger\}$ are optimal solution and $d^\dagger < \sum_{n=1}^N t^\dagger w_n^\dagger \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{t^\dagger \sigma^2 w_n^\dagger}\right)$, then we can randomly choose $i \in \mathcal{N}$ and replace $E_n^\dagger$ with $E_n^\ddagger$ that satisfies $d = \sum_{n=1, n \neq i}^N t^\dagger w_n^\dagger \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n^\dagger h_n}{t^\dagger \sigma^2 w_n^\dagger}\right) + t^\dagger w_i^\dagger \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_i^\ddagger h_i}{t^\dagger \sigma^2 w_i^\dagger}\right)$, which is a feasible solution and can also result in less cost function of Problem 9. This is contradictory to the assumption that $d^\dagger$, $t^\dagger$, $\{E_n^\dagger\}$ and $\{w_n^\dagger\}$ are optimal solution. Hence the holding of (25a)'s equality is proved.

Next we prove the holding of (25b)'s equality. With the equality of (25a) active, there is $d = \sum_{n=1}^N tw_n \ln \left(1 + \frac{E_n h_n}{t\sigma^2 w_n}\right)$, whose right-hand side is a monotonically increasing function with respect to t , E_n , and w_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, to reduce the cost function of Problem 9, we need to reduce $\{E_n\}$ as much as possible, which will lead to the increase of t as much as possible, for given d and $\{w_n\}$. When t grows to its maximum, the equality of (25b) is active. Proof for the activeness of (25c)'s equality is similar with the one for the activeness of (25b)'s equality and is omitted here.

APPENDIX F

PROOF OF LEMMA 8

Define the objective function of Problem 15 as $y(q, s, \{\alpha_n\})$, and the objective function of Problem 16 as $\bar{y}^i(q, s, \{\alpha_n\})$. To verify the convergence of SCA method, the following inequality

needs to be proved for a feasible point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$ of Problem 15

$$\bar{y}^i(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) \geq y(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) \quad (40)$$

which indicates that the objective function of Problem 15 can serve as a lower bound for that of Problem 16 at the point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$. In the following, the inequality in (40) will be proved first.

The left-hand side of (32b) is joint convex with respect to the vector of q , s , and α_n for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. According to the property of a convex function's first-order derivative in [21], for any feasible point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$ of Problem 15, there is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i}}{\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i}} (\alpha_n - \alpha_n^i) + (q - q^i) - (s - s^i) + 2 \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n^i} \right) + q^i - s^i \\ & \leq 2 \ln \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{h_n g_n} e^{\alpha_n} \right) + q - s. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Thus, (33b) is a sufficient but not necessary condition of (32b), and Problem 16 has smaller feasible region than Problem 15 at the point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$. To this end, Problem 15 may yield lower cost in terms of cost function, i.e., there is $\bar{y}^i(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) \geq y(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$, which is exactly the expression of (40).

Since Problem 16 has smaller feasible region than Problem 15 at the point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$, which is feasible for Problem 15, the associated optimal solution of Problem 16, denoted as $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$, is also feasible for Problem 15. Then there is

$$y(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) = \bar{y}^i(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) \geq \bar{y}^i(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\}) \geq y(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\}) \quad (42)$$

where the equality in the first line comes from the definition of Problem 16, the inequality in the second line is due to the fact the $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$ is the optimal solution of Problem 16 at point $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$, and the inequality in the third line is from (40).

The inequality in (42) indicates that $(q^{i+1}, s^{i+1}, \{\alpha_n^{i+1}\})$ yields cost in terms of cost function than $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$ for Problem 15. From Cauchy's theorem, in the sequence $\{(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})\}$, there is a convergent subsequence $\{(q^{i_v}, s^{i_v}, \{\alpha_n^{i_v}\})\}$ with limit point $(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})$, such that $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (y(q^{i_v}, s^{i_v}, \{\alpha_n^{i_v}\}) - y(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})) = 0$. For certain i , there must exists a v satisfying $i_v \leq i \leq i_{v+1}$, hence there is $y(q^{i_v}, s^{i_v}, \{\alpha_n^{i_v}\}) \geq y(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) \geq y(q^{i_{v+1}}, s^{i_{v+1}}, \{\alpha_n^{i_{v+1}}\})$.

When i goes to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (y(q^{i_v}, s^{i_v}, \{\alpha_n^{i_v}\}) - y(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (y(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) - y(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})) \\ &\geq \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (y(q^{i_{v+1}}, s^{i_{v+1}}, \{\alpha_n^{i_{v+1}}\}) - y(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Therefore, the sequence $(q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\})$ is also convergent, with limit point being $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (q^i, s^i, \{\alpha_n^i\}) = (q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})$. Based on Theorem 1 in [24], the limit point $(q^*, s^*, \{\alpha_n^*\})$ would be a stationary point.

APPENDIX G

PROOF OF LEMMA 9

The first-order derivative of $\psi(d)$ is $\psi'(d) = \frac{2f_B^2 T}{W(f_B T - Ld)^2} e^{\frac{2df_B}{W(f_B T - Ld)}}$ which is positive for $Ld \leq f_B T$. Then $\psi(d)$ is monotonic increasing. For Problem 13, increasing d will shrink the feasible region of Problem 13 and further increase the minimal cost function of Problem 13, i.e., $X(d)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Shi, J. Cao, Q. Zhang, Y. Li, and L. Xu, "Edge Computing: Vision and Challenges," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 637-646, Oct. 2016.
- [2] P. Mach and Z. Becvar, "Mobile Edge Computing: A Survey on Architecture and Computation Offloading," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1628-1656, 3rd. Quart 2017.
- [3] T. Taleb, K. Samdanis, B. Mada, H. Flinck, S. Dutta, and D. Sabella "On Multi-Access Edge Computing: A Survey of the Emerging 5G Network Edge Cloud Architecture and Orchestration," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1628-1656, 3rd. Quart 2017.
- [4] Y. Mao, C. You, J. Zhang, K. Huang, and K. B. Letaief, "A Survey on Mobile Edge Computing: The Communication Perspective," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2322-2358, 4th. Quart 2017.
- [5] Y. Wang, M. Sheng, X. Wang, L. Wang, and J. Li, "Mobile-Edge Computing: Partial Computation Offloading Using Dynamic Voltage Scaling," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 4268-4282, Oct. 2016.
- [6] Z. Liang, Y. Liu, T. Lok, and K. Huang, "Multiuser Computation Offloading and Downloading for Edge Computing With Virtualization," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 4298-4311, Sept. 2019.
- [7] Y. Wu, L. P. Qian, K. Ni, C. Zhang, and X. Shen, "Delay-Minimization Nonorthogonal Multiple Access Enabled Multi-User Mobile Edge Computation Offloading," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 392-407, June 2019.
- [8] M. T. Kabir and C. Masouros, "A Scalable Energy vs. Latency Trade-Off in Full-Duplex Mobile Edge Computing Systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 5848-5861, Aug. 2019.
- [9] J. Ren, G. Yu, Y. Cai, and Y. He, "Latency Optimization for Resource Allocation in Mobile-Edge Computation Offloading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 5506-5519, Aug. 2018.
- [10] H. Xing, L. Liu, J. Xu, and A. Nallanathan, "Joint Task Assignment and Resource Allocation for D2D-Enabled Mobile-Edge Computing," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 4193-4207, June. 2019.
- [11] C. You, K. Huang, H. Chae, and B. Kim, "Energy-Efficient Resource Allocation for Mobile-Edge Computation Offloading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1397-1411, Mar. 2017.

- [12] T. Q. Dinh, J. Tang, Q. D. La, and T. Q. S. Quek, "Offloading in Mobile Edge Computing: Task Allocation and Computational Frequency Scaling," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 3571-3584, Aug. 2017.
- [13] C. You, K. Huang, and H. Chae, "Energy efficient mobile cloud computing powered by wireless energy transfer," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1757-1771, May 2016.
- [14] F. Wang, J. Xu, X. Wang, and S. Cui, "Joint Offloading and Computing Optimization in Wireless Powered Mobile-Edge Computing Systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 1784-1797, Mar. 2018.
- [15] X. Cao, F. Wang, J. Xu, R. Zhang, and S. Cui, "Joint Computation and Communication Cooperation for Energy-Efficient Mobile Edge Computing," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 4188-4200, June 2019.
- [16] X. Hu, K. Wong, and K. Yang, "Wireless Powered Cooperation-Assisted Mobile Edge Computing," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2375-2388, Apr. 2018.
- [17] S. Bi and Y. J. Zhang, "Computation Rate Maximization for Wireless Powered Mobile-Edge Computing With Binary Computation Offloading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 4177-4190, June 2018.
- [18] X. Ji, B. Zheng, Y. Cai, and L. Zou, "On the Study of Half-Duplex Asymmetric Two-Way Relay Transmission Using an Amplify-and-Forward Relay," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1649-1664, May 2017.
- [19] G. Levin and S. Loyka, "Amplify-and-forward versus decode-and-forward relaying: Which is better?," *Proc. Int. Zurich Seminar Commun.*, pp. 1-4, Mar. 2012.
- [20] A. A. Nasir, H. D. Tuan, T. Q. Duong, and H. V. Poor, "UAV-Enabled Communication Using NOMA," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 5126-5138, July 2019.
- [21] S. P. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [22] J. Nocedal and S. J. Wright, *Numerical Optimization*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering, 2000.
- [23] Y. J. Zhang, L. Qian, and J. Huang, "Monotonic Optimization in Communication and Networking Systems," *Found. Trends Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1-75, Oct. 2013.
- [24] B. R. Marks and G. P. Wright, "A General Inner Approximation Algorithm for Nonconvex Mathematical Programs," *Oper. Res.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 681-683, July-Aug. 1978.