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#### Abstract

One of the problems of periodic FPU-chains with alternating masses is whether significant interactions exist between the so-called (high frequency) optical and (low frequency) acoustical groups. We show that for $\alpha$-chains with $4 n$ and $8 n$ particles ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) we have significant interactions caused by external forcing. For $\beta$-chains with 4 and 8 particles the interactions are characterised by parametric forcing, the interactions are negligible.
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## 1 Introduction

This note studies the alternating periodic FPU-chain (formulated in [3]) for the special case of a large difference between the masses; it is a continuation of [2]. The spectrum in this case can be divided into two groups, the optical group with relatively large frequencies, and the acoustical group with small frequencies. We will show that energy exchange can occur between the optical group and the acoustical group. As the system is Hamiltonian such exchange will always be reversible.

The spatially periodic FPU-chain with $N$ particles where the first oscillator is connected with the last one can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p, q)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 m_{j}} p_{j}^{2}+V\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the number $N=2 n$ of particles even and take the odd masses $m_{2 j+1}$ equal to 1 , the much larger even masses $m_{2 j}=\frac{1}{a}$, where in this note $a>0$ is small. The chain is called an alternating FPU-chain.
We consider the Hamiltonian near stable equilibrium $p=q=0$, and use a potential $V$ of the form

$$
V(z)=\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{3} z^{3}+\frac{\beta}{4} z^{4},
$$

and speak of an $\alpha$-chain if $\alpha \neq 0, \beta=0$ and of a $\beta$-chain if $\alpha=0, \beta \neq 0$.

We mention some facts concerning such systems, and refer to our paper [2] for more details. The eigenvalues of the linear system are given in [3, Proposition 3.2]. With $2 n$ particles in the chain the optical group is characterised by $n$ eigenvalues of size $2+O(a)$ and we have $n$ eigenvalues of size $O(a)$, the acoustical group, which includes the eigenvalue 0 corresponding to the momentum integral. If the number $2 n$ of particles is a 4 -fold, the system has the eigenvalues $2(1+a), 2$ (in the optical group), and $2 a$ (in the acoustical group). For each of these eigenvalues we found explicit periodic solutions, for the $\alpha$-chain as well as the $\beta$-chain. (See section 2 of [2].)
Theorem 3.1 in [2] is an embedding result. It states that each alternating periodic FPU-chain with $2 n \geq 4$ particles occurs isomorphically as an invariant submanifold in all subsystems with $2 k n$ particles $\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}\right)$ with the same parameters $a, \alpha$, and $\beta$. So the study of small alternating FPU-chains is relevant for larger alternating systems.

A question posed in [3] is whether there is energy exchange between the two groups or, formulated differently, can high frequency modes transfer energy to low frequency modes and vice versa? Our answer will be affirmative for $\alpha$-chains.
The parameters $\alpha, \beta$ scale the nonlinearities. To avoid the solutions of the acoustical group leaving the domain of stable equilibrium around the origin, we can choose the initial values small or adjust $\alpha, \beta$. The techniques are equivalent. The interaction dynamics will be characterised by using actions $E(t)$ (the traditional form of the quadratic part of the energies), defined at the end of next section, or by computing the Euclidean distance to the initial values as a function of time.

## 2 Periodic FPU $\alpha$-chain with 4 particles





Figure 1: We illustrate the interaction of the optical and acoustical groups of system (2) for $a=0.01$. Initial positions $x_{1}(0)=x_{2}(0)=0.5, x_{3}(0)=0$ and initial velocities zero. Left $E_{1}(t)$ (optical group), middle $x_{3}(t)$ and right $E_{3}(t)$ (acoustical group) (the scales for $E_{1}$ and $E_{3}$ are different).

Systems with 4 particles are embedded in systems with $4 n$ particles. In the case of 4 particles we find the eigenvalues $2(1+a), 2,2 a, 0$. Reduction to 3 degrees-of-freedom (dof) produces for the $\alpha$-chain the system:

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{1}+2(1+a) x_{1} & =2 \alpha \sqrt{a(1+a)} x_{2} x_{3}  \tag{2}\\ \ddot{x}_{2}+2 x_{2} & =2 \alpha \sqrt{a(1+a)} x_{1} x_{3} \\ \ddot{x}_{3}+2 a x_{3} & =2 \alpha \sqrt{a(1+a)} x_{1} x_{2}\end{cases}
$$

We choose here $\alpha=1$ and the initial values suitable. For system (2) the 3 normal modes exist and are
harmonic functions with frequencies

$$
\sqrt{2(1+a)}, 2,2 a
$$

This problem for $a$ small was studied in [4] with the conclusion that there exist strong interaction between the optical group (modes 1 and 2) and the acoustical group (mode 3 ) when starting in a neighbourhood of periodic solutions of the detuned resonance formed by the modes 1 and 2. (When starting at initial conditions that are not close to a periodic solution in $1: 1$ resonance for modes $x_{1}, x_{2}$, the interaction is neglible.) The interaction is demonstrated in [4] by constructing normal forms for system (2). The asymptotic approximation to $O(\sqrt{a})$ obtained in this way leads to a forced, linear equation for $x_{3}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{3}+2 a x_{3}=2 \sqrt{a} r_{0}^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\sqrt{2} t+\psi_{0}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\psi_{0}$ is the constant phase of the optical periodic solution, $r_{0}$ the amplitude. The general solution with constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}(t)=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{2 \sqrt{a}}-\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{8 r_{0}^{2}-2 \sqrt{a}} \cos \left(2 \sqrt{2} t+2 \psi_{0}\right)+c_{1} \cos (\sqrt{2 a} t)+c_{2} \sin (\sqrt{2 a} t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We illustrate the interaction in fig. 1 with the actions $E_{1}(t)=0.5\left(\dot{x}_{1}^{2}+2(1+a) x_{1}^{2}\right), E_{3}(t)=0.5\left(\dot{x}_{3}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.2 a x_{5}^{2}\right)$. The interaction is spectacular.

## 3 Periodic FPU $\beta$-chain with 4 particles

In this case the reduction to 3 dof, choosing $\beta=1$, becomes for 4 particles (see [1]):

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{1}+2(1+a) x_{1} & =-x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{2}^{2}\right)-a x_{1}\left(2 x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{2}^{2}+3 x_{3}^{2}\right)-a^{2} x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{3}^{2}\right),  \tag{5}\\ \ddot{x}_{2}+2 x_{2} & =-x_{2}\left(x_{2}^{2}+3 x_{1}^{2}\right)-3 a x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right) \\ \ddot{x}_{3}+2 a x_{3} & =-3 a x_{3}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)-a^{2} x_{3}\left(x_{3}^{2}+3 x_{1}^{2}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

The detuned 1:1 resonance of the modes 1 and 2 can be studied by averaging-normalisation in the usual way ( $x_{1} \mapsto \varepsilon x_{1}, \dot{x}_{1} \mapsto \varepsilon \dot{x}_{1}$ etc, see [1]); this leads to in-phase and out-phase periodic solutions that can be approximated shortly by putting $x_{1}(t)= \pm x_{2}(t)$. To $O(a)$ we find for these periodic solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{1}+2 x_{1}=-4 x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}(t)= \pm x_{2}(t) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for $x_{3}$ becomes in this approximation:

$$
\ddot{x}_{3}+2 a\left(1+3 x_{1}^{2}(t)\right) x_{3}=0,
$$

with $x_{1}(t)$ a periodic solution. For the Floquet exponents we have $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=0$.
In the 4 particles $\alpha$-chain the $x_{3}$ mode with small frequency is excited by a periodic, semi-definite forcing, whereas for the 4 particles $\beta$-chain we have parametric excitation with widely different freqencies, close to $\sqrt{2 a}$ and $\sqrt{2}$. Even if these frequencies are resonant, the Floquet instability tongues will be extremely narrow for $a \rightarrow 0$. Interactions between optical and acoustical modes are then negligible for the 4 particles $\beta$-chain. Numerical experiments confirm this.

## 4 Periodic FPU $\alpha$-chain with 8 particles

Systems with 8 particles are imbedded in systems with $8 n$ particles. We use system (23) and Table 1 of [2] to determine the 7 dof system describing the alternating FU-chain with 8 particles. The eigenvalues of the linearised system are:

$$
2(a+1), \sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1(\text { twice }), 2,2 a,-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1(\text { twice }), 0 .
$$

To show the relative size of the terms in the equations of motion we include for the optical group (equations 1-4) the nonlinear terms to $O(\sqrt{a})$. We find for this group:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{x}_{1}+2 x_{1}=\alpha \sqrt{a}\left(2 x_{4} x_{5}+\sqrt{2} x_{3} x_{6}+\sqrt{2} x_{2} x_{7}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),  \tag{7}\\
\ddot{x}_{2}+2 x_{2}=\alpha \sqrt{a}\left(\sqrt{2} x_{7} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}+\sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{7}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \\
\ddot{x}_{3}+2 x_{3}=\alpha \sqrt{a}\left(-\sqrt{2} x_{6} x_{4}-x_{3} x_{5}+\sqrt{2} x_{1} x_{6}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \\
\ddot{x}_{4}+2 x_{4}=\alpha \sqrt{a}\left(-\sqrt{2} x_{6} x_{3}+2 x_{1} x_{5}+\sqrt{2} x_{2} x_{7}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the same way the acoustical group with nonlinear terms to $O(\sqrt{a})$ becomes:

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{5}+2 a x_{5} & =\alpha \sqrt{a}\left(x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{4}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),  \tag{8}\\ \ddot{x}_{6}+a x_{6} & =\alpha \sqrt{2 a}\left(x_{1} x_{3}-x_{4} x_{3}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \\ \ddot{x}_{7}+a x_{7} & =\alpha \sqrt{2 a}\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{4} x_{2}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

For the $\alpha$-chain with 8 particles 3 invariant manifolds were found in [2]. First, we consider possible interactions between optical and acoustical modes in these manifolds. We choose $\alpha=1$ and small initial values.

## Invariant manifold $M_{145}$

This manifold with modes $1,4,5$ corresponds with the case of 4 particles described by system (2) in section 2. The embedding of the manifold $M_{145}$ was formulated and proved in [2, Theorem 3.1]. It shows interactions between optical and acoustical modes as the periodic solutions of the resonant modes 1 and 4 are forcing mode 5.

## Invariant manifold $M_{256}$

For the equations of motion we need the following coefficients:

$$
d_{225}=-\sqrt{a} \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}, d_{256}=\frac{\sqrt{2} a^{2}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}, d_{566}=\sqrt{a} \frac{-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1}{2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} .
$$

The dynamics in the manifold $M_{256}$ consisting of modes $2,5,6$ is described by the system:

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{2}+\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{2} & =-2 d_{225} x_{2} x_{5}-d_{256} x_{5} x_{6},  \tag{9}\\ \ddot{x}_{5}+2 a x_{5} & =-d_{225} x_{2}^{2}-d_{256} x_{2} x_{6}-d_{566} x_{6}^{2}, \\ \ddot{x}_{6}+\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{6} & =-d_{256} x_{2} x_{5}-2 d_{566} x_{5} x_{6} .\end{cases}
$$

The only (exact) normal mode periodic solution is found for $x_{5}$ which is harmonic. In this manifold the optical group counts 1 mode $\left(x_{2}\right)$, the acoustical group 2 modes. The external forcing of mode $x_{5}$ by


Figure 2: Left we illustrate the behaviour of the solutions of system (9) describing the dynamics in invariant manifold $M_{256}$. Initial positions $x_{2}(0)=1, x_{5}(0)=0.1, x_{6}(0)=0.1$ and initial velocities zero; $a=0.01$. Left $x_{5}(t)$ and right $E_{5}(t)=0.5\left(\dot{x}_{5}^{2}+2 a x_{5}^{2}\right)$.
mode $x_{2}$ is clear from system (9). See fig. 2 where the excitation of mode 5 is shown, $x_{6}$ is not excited.

## Invariant manifold $M_{357}$

The dynamics in the manifold $M_{357}$ consisting of modes $3,5,7$ shows similar behaviour as in manifold 256. We have from [2]:

$$
d_{355}=-d_{225}, d_{357}=d_{256}, d_{775}=-d_{566}
$$

It is described by the system of the form:

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{3}+\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{3} & \left.=-2 d_{335} x_{3} x_{5}-d_{357} x_{5} x_{7}\right),  \tag{10}\\ \ddot{x}_{5}+2 a x_{5} & =-d_{335} x_{3}^{2}-d_{775} x_{7}^{2}-d_{357} x_{3} x_{7}, \\ \ddot{x}_{7}+\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{7} & =-d_{357} x_{3} x_{5}-2 d_{775} x_{5} x_{7} .\end{cases}
$$

For $a \rightarrow 0$ we have $d_{335}=O(\sqrt{a}), d_{357}=O\left(a^{2}\right), d_{775}=O\left(a^{\frac{5}{2}}\right)$. There is one optical mode, 2 acoustical ones. Again mode $x_{5}$ is excited, in this case by mode $x_{3} ; x_{7}$ shows very small variations. The behaviour is similar to the dynamics in manifold $M_{256}$.

## General initial conditions

As we can see by inspection of the Hamiltonian with coefficients given in table 1 of [2] there are more terms leading to interaction of the optical and acoustical group, for instance the terms $x_{3} x_{4} x_{6}, x_{2} x_{4} x_{7}$. We illustrate the interaction by choosing nonzero initial values in the optical group and zero initial values in the acoustical group, see fig. 3. We use the distance to the initial values of the optical and acoustical groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{o}(t)=\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{4}\left(\left(x_{j}(t)-x_{j}(0)\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{x}_{j}(t)-\dot{x}_{j}(0)\right)^{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a}(t)=\sqrt{\sum_{j=5}^{7}\left(\left(x_{j}(t)-x_{j}(0)\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{x}_{j}(t)-\dot{x}_{j}(0)\right)^{2}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On a time interval of 1000 steps the interaction is dominated by $x_{5}(t)$; for a more complete picture we also give $d_{a}(t)$ for 50000 timesteps in fig. 3 .


Figure 3: We illustrate for $a=0.01$ the interaction of the optical and acoustical groups of system (23) in [2] (derived for $\alpha$-chains with 8 particles). Initial positions $x_{j}(0)=0.5, j=1, \ldots, 4,, x_{j}(0)=$ $0, j=5,6,7$ and initial velocities zero. Left $d_{o}(t)$ for 4000 timesteps. The acoustical group is mainly excited by $x_{5}(t)$ (middle figure shows $d_{a}(t)$ on 4000 timesteps), as an illustration $d_{a}(t)$ is also shown for 50000 timesteps.

We conclude that in periodic $\alpha$-chains with 8 n particles we have significant interaction between the optical and the acoustical groups. In each case mode $x_{5}$ plays an important part.

## 5 Periodic FPU $\beta$-chain with 8 particles

Using [2] and the appendix in section 7] we can write down the cubic part of the 7 dof Hamiltonian; it contains 49 terms dependent on $a$. As discussed before the invariant manifold $M_{145}$ corresponds with the description for 4 particles in section 4 . At this stage we keep the choice of the parameter $\beta$ open.

## Invariant manifold $M_{256}$

The equations of motion for modes 2,5 and 6 to $O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{2}+\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{2} & =-\beta\left((1+a) x_{3}^{3}+\frac{3}{2} a x_{3} x_{5}^{2}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),  \tag{13}\\ \ddot{x}_{5}+2 a x_{5} & =-\beta \frac{3}{2} a x_{2}^{2} x_{5}+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \\ \ddot{x}_{6}+\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{6} & =O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

In the limit for $a \rightarrow 0$ we find periodic solutions from the $x_{2}$ limit equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{2}+2 x_{2}=-\beta x_{2}^{3} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta>0$ the solutions of the limit equation are all periodic, if $\beta<0$ the solutions are periodic in an $O(1)$ neighbourhood of the origin. The $O(a)$ perturbation term modulates the period on a long timescale $O\left(1 / a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$. For $x_{5}$ we have the parametrically excited equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{5}+2 a\left(1+\beta \frac{3}{4} x_{2}^{2}\right)=O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta>0$ we expect (as in the case of $M_{145}$ ) only small interaction between optical and acoustical modes, if $\beta<0$ the same conclusion holds for small values of $\beta$ or in a neighbourhood of the origin.

## Invariant manifold $M_{357}$

For modes 3,5 and 7 in invariant manifold $M_{357}$ we find to $O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{cases}\ddot{x}_{3}+\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{3} & =-\beta\left((1+a) x_{2}^{3}+\frac{3}{2} a x_{2} x_{5}^{2}\right)+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),  \tag{16}\\ \ddot{x}_{5}+2 a x_{5} & =-\beta \frac{3}{2} a x_{2}^{2} x_{5}+O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \\ \ddot{x}_{7}+\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{7} & =O\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

The equations are analogous to the system for $M_{236}$, the discussion runs along the same lines.
We conclude that for 8 particles $\beta$-chains no significant interaction between optical and acoustical modes takes place in the 3 invariant manifolds.

## General initial conditions

This is a more complicated case as among the 49 coefficients of the Hamiltonian we have terms like $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{7}$; in the equations of motion these terms will produce a certain forcing of modes $x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}$. However, in all the cases of such forcing terms they have coefficients that are $O\left(a^{3 / 2}\right)$, they will have less influence. See also the discussion in section 6 .

## 6 Conclusions

For alternating periodic FPU-chains with a large mass ratio, we showed that for $\alpha$-chains with 4 (or $4 n$ ) and 8 (or $8 n$ ) particles that a strong interaction may occur between optical and acoustic frequencies. A special part is played by the eigenmode with eigenvalue $2 a$, which occurs in systems with $4 n$ and $8 n$ modes. In systems with 4 or 8 particles we find the eigencoordinates with eigenvalues:

| $2 n$ | $2(1+a)$ | 2 | $2 a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| 8 | $x_{1}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |

In the case of 8 particles there is an additional optical eigenvalue $1+a+\sqrt{1+a^{2}}$ with multiplicity 2 , corresponding to the eigencoordinates $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$.
For the $\alpha$-chains the external forcing is visible in the system by the presence in the quadratic contribution in system (8) to $\ddot{x}_{5}$ of three terms $x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2}^{2}$ and $x_{3}^{2}$, (the term with $x_{1} x_{4}$ corresponds to $x_{1} x_{2}$ in system (2) in the line with $\ddot{x}_{3}$. Suitable starting values of the optical eigencoordinates have a strong influence on the eigenmode with eigenvalue $2 a$.

For the $\beta$-chains we look at the cubic contribution to $\ddot{x}_{3}$ in $(5)$, which is of the form $x_{3}$ times a negative definite expression of size $\mathrm{O}(a)$. For 8 particles the quadratic contribution to $\ddot{x}_{5}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{3 a}{2} x_{5}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-x_{1} x_{3} x_{6}+x_{3} x_{4} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{7}+x_{2} x_{4} x_{7}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(a^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This does not give rise to external forcing.
Theorem 3.1 in [2] implies that an alternating periodic FPU-chain with $2 n$ particles is present as an invariant submanifold in all alternating periodic FPU-chains with $2 n k$ particles for all $k \geq 2$, with the same parameters $a, \alpha$ and $\beta$. We have seen this explicitly for the systems with 4 particles arising as the invariant submanifold $M_{145}$ in the system with 8 particles.
Two questions arise:

1. Are there more optical eigenmodes in an $\alpha$-chain with $4 n$ particles that influences the eigenmode for the eigenvalue $2 a$ by external forcing?
2. Can there be optical eigenmodes in a $\beta$-chain with $4 n$ particles that influence the eigenmode for the eigenvalue $2 a$ by external forcing?

Question 1 for $\alpha$-chains has the answer yes. The embedding result [2, Theorem 3.1] is proved by a decomposition $\mathbb{C}^{2}=\bigoplus_{\zeta} X(\zeta)$ with two-dimensional subspace $X(\zeta)$ parametrised by $n$-th roots of unity $\zeta$. The eigenspaces with eigenvalues $2 a$ and 0 are contained in $X(1)$, the eigenspaces with eigenvalues 2 and $2 a$ in $X(-1)$. For all other $n$-th roots of unity the space $X(\zeta)$ contains an eigenvector in the optical group and an eigenvector in the acoustic group that are both non-real. To get real eigenvectors we have to consider the sum $X(\zeta) \oplus X(\bar{\zeta})$.
Let $\eta_{-1}$ be the coordinate of the eigenvector with eigenvalue $2 a$, contained in $X(-1)$. (So $\eta_{-1}$ is proportional to $x_{3}$ in the system with 4 particles, and proportional to $x_{5}$ in the system with 8 particles.) The quadratic contribution to $\ddot{\eta}_{-1}$ is obtained from products of eigencoordinates corresponding to subspaces $X\left(\zeta_{1}\right)$ and $X\left(\zeta_{2}\right)$ such that $\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}=-1$; see [2, (16)]. Working this out we get products of an optical eigencoordinate related to $X\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \oplus X\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}}\right)$ and an optical eigencoordinate related to $X\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \oplus$ $X\left(\overline{\zeta_{2}}\right)$, and more quadratic monomials in which at most one factor is an optical eigencoordinate. So, for $\alpha$-chains with $4 n$ particles we get optical contributions like $x_{1} x_{4}$ in (8). Only if $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$ is equal to $i$ or $-i$, squares of optical eigencoordinates are possible. This correspond to the squares $x_{2}^{2}$ and $x_{3}^{2}$ in (8).


Figure 4: Left the Euclidean distance for the $\alpha$-chain, right the $\beta$-chain, both with 8 particles (based on Hamiltonian (1) with $N=8, a=0.01$ ). In both cases the initial conditions correspond with optical position 0.5 , acoustical positions 0 and all initial velocities zero; we took respectively for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the value 0.5 . Both cases show instability of the optical group but this is weaker and shows more regular recurrence in the case of the $\beta$-chain.

Question 2 is more difficult. For $\beta$-chains with 4 or 8 particles we found no significant interaction, see fig 4 for an illustration of the time series of the Euclidean distance to the initial values in an $\alpha$-chain and a $\beta$-chain with 8 particles. Note that an optical group does not represent an invariant manifold but we expect that strong interaction with the acoustical group will increase and complicate the recurrence in the system expressed by the Euclidean distance.
More in general: if $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are eigenmodes of the optical group and $x_{a}$ an eigenmode of the acoustical group, the Hamiltonian will in general contain terms of the form $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{a}$. If we have for $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$
solutions that are periodic or nearly periodic, this may result in forcing of the acoustical mode. As we discussed before, in the case of 8 particles such terms are $O\left(a^{3 / 2}\right)$ i.e dominated by other nonlinear terms. The mathematics of this interaction can be exemplified by the cartoon equation for acoustical modes (see also the coefficients in the appendix, section 7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}+a x=a \beta\left(\cos ^{2}(2 t) x+\sqrt{ } a \cos ^{3}(2 t)\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=1 / m$ is a small parameter. Here we have replaced the optical modes by $\cos (2 t)$ which correponds with period $2+o(a)$ solutions that satisfy the optical system, see system (7). If $\beta \ll 1$ or altenatively we rescale the coordinates close to the origin of phase-space, we have again a parametrically excited equation with extremely narrow instability tongues. In this case we conclude that for $\beta$ small enough or at low energy values we expect no significant interaction between optical and acoustical groups.

## 7 Appendix

For the case of 8 particles the coefficients used in the systems of section 4 can be found in [2] table 1 . The $\beta$-chain of the alternating FPU-chain discussed in section 5 has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{x}_{1}=-2(a+1) x_{1} \\
& -\beta\left(4 x_{1}^{3} e_{1,1,1,1}+2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} e_{1,1,2,2}+2 x_{1} x_{3}^{2} e_{1,1,3,3}+x_{2}^{2} x_{4} e_{1,2,2,4}\right. \\
& +x_{3}^{2} x_{4} e_{1,3,3,4}+2 x_{1} x_{4}^{2} e_{1,1,4,4}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{5} e_{1,2,3,5}+2 x_{1} x_{5}^{2} e_{1,1,5,5} \\
& +x_{2} x_{4} x_{6} e_{1,2,4,6}+x_{3} x_{5} x_{6} e_{1,3,5,6}+2 x_{1} x_{6}^{2} e_{1,1,6,6}+x_{4} x_{6}^{2} e_{1,4,6,6} \\
& +x_{3} x_{4} x_{7} e_{1,3,4,7}+x_{2} x_{5} x_{7} e_{1,2,5,7}+x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} e_{1,5,6,7}+2 x_{1} x_{7}^{2} e_{1,1,7,7} \\
& \left.+x_{4} x_{7}^{2} e_{1,4,7,7}\right) \\
& \ddot{x}_{2}=-\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{2} \\
& -\beta\left(2 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} e_{1,1,2,2}+4 x_{2}^{3} e_{2,2,2,2}+2 x_{2} x_{3}^{2} e_{2,2,3,3}+2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} e_{1,2,2,4}\right. \\
& +2 x_{2} x_{4}^{2} e_{2,2,4,4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{5} e_{1,2,3,5}+2 x_{2} x_{5}^{2} e_{2,2,5,5}+3 x_{2}^{2} x_{6} e_{2,2,2,6} \\
& +x_{3}^{2} x_{6} e_{2,3,3,6}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{6} e_{1,2,4,6}+2 x_{2} x_{6}^{2} e_{2,2,6,6}+x_{6}^{3} e_{2,6,6,6} \\
& +2 x_{2} x_{3} x_{7} e_{2,2,3,7}+x_{1} x_{5} x_{7} e_{1,2,5,7}+x_{4} x_{5} x_{7} e_{2,4,5,7}+x_{3} x_{6} x_{7} e_{2,3,6,7} \\
& \left.+2 x_{2} x_{7}^{2} e_{2,2,7,7}+x_{6} x_{7}^{2} e_{2,6,7,7}\right) \\
& \ddot{x}_{3}=-\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{3} \\
& -\beta\left(2 x_{1}^{2} x_{3} e_{1,1,3,3}+2 x_{2}^{2} x_{3} e_{2,2,3,3}+4 x_{3}^{3} e_{3,3,3,3}+2 x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} e_{1,3,3,4}\right. \\
& +2 x_{3} x_{4}^{2} e_{3,3,4,4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} e_{1,2,3,5}+2 x_{3} x_{5}^{2} e_{3,3,5,5}+2 x_{2} x_{3} x_{6} e_{2,3,3,6} \\
& +x_{1} x_{5} x_{6} e_{1,3,5,6}+x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} e_{3,4,5,6}+2 x_{3} x_{6}^{2} e_{3,3,6,6}+x_{2}^{2} x_{7} e_{2,2,3,7} \\
& +3 x_{3}^{2} x_{7} e_{3,3,3,7}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{7} e_{1,3,4,7}+x_{2} x_{6} x_{7} e_{2,3,6,7}+x_{6}^{2} x_{7} e_{3,6,6,7} \\
& \left.+2 x_{3} x_{7}^{2} e_{3,3,7,7}+x_{7}^{3} e_{3,7,7,7}\right) \\
& \ddot{x}_{4}=-2 x_{4} \\
& -\beta\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{2} e_{1,2,2,4}+x_{1} x_{3}^{2} e_{1,3,3,4}+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{4} e_{1,1,4,4}+2 x_{2}^{2} x_{4} e_{2,2,4,4}\right. \\
& +2 x_{3}^{2} x_{4} e_{3,3,4,4}+4 x_{4}^{3} e_{4,4,4,4}+2 x_{4} x_{5}^{2} e_{4,4,5,5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{6} e_{1,2,4,6} \\
& +x_{3} x_{5} x_{6} e_{3,4,5,6}+x_{1} x_{6}^{2} e_{1,4,6,6}+2 x_{4} x_{6}^{2} e_{4,4,6,6}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{7} e_{1,3,4,7} \\
& \left.+x_{2} x_{5} x_{7} e_{2,4,5,7}+x_{1} x_{7}^{2} e_{1,4,7,7}+2 x_{4} x_{7}^{2} e_{4,4,7,7}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x}_{5}= & -2 a x_{5} \\
& -\beta\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} e_{1,2,3,5}+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{5} e_{1,1,5,5}+2 x_{2}^{2} x_{5} e_{2,2,5,5}+2 x_{3}^{2} x_{5} e_{3,3,5,5}\right. \\
& +2 x_{4}^{2} x_{5} e_{4,4,5,5}+4 x_{5}^{3} e_{5,5,5,5}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{6} e_{1,3,5,6}+x_{3} x_{4} x_{6} e_{3,4,5,6} \\
& +2 x_{5} x_{6}^{2} e_{5,5,6,6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{7} e_{1,2,5,7}+x_{2} x_{4} x_{7} e_{2,4,5,7}+x_{1} x_{6} x_{7} e_{1,5,6,7} \\
& \left.+2 x_{5} x_{7}^{2} e_{5,5,7,7}\right) \\
= & -\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{6} \\
& -\beta\left(x_{2}^{3} e_{2,2,2,6}+x_{2} x_{3}^{2} e_{2,3,3,6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} e_{1,2,4,6}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{5} e_{1,3,5,6}\right. \\
& +x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} e_{3,4,5,6}+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{6} e_{1,1,6,6}+2 x_{2}^{2} x_{6} e_{2,2,6,6}+2 x_{3}^{2} x_{6} e_{3,3,6,6} \\
& +2 x_{1} x_{4} x_{6} e_{1,4,6,6}+2 x_{4}^{2} x_{6} e_{4,4,6,6}+2 x_{5}^{2} x_{6} e_{5,5,6,6}+3 x_{2} x_{6}^{2} e_{2,6,6,6} \\
& +4 x_{6}^{3} e_{6,6,6,6}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{7} e_{2,3,6,7}+x_{1} x_{5} x_{7} e_{1,5,6,7}+2 x_{3} x_{6} x_{7} e_{3,6,6,7} \\
& \left.+x_{2} x_{7}^{2} e_{2,6,7,7}+2 x_{6} x_{7}^{2} e_{6,6,7,7}\right) \\
= & -\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) x_{7} \\
& -\beta\left(x_{2}^{2} x_{3} e_{2,2,3,7}+x_{3}^{3} e_{3,3,3,7}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} e_{1,3,4,7}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} e_{1,2,5,7}\right. \\
& +x_{2} x_{4} x_{5} e_{2,4,5,7}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{6} e_{2,3,6,7}+x_{1} x_{5} x_{6} e_{1,5,6,7}+x_{3} x_{6}^{2} e_{3,6,6,7} \\
& +2 x_{1}^{2} x_{7} e_{1,1,7,7}+2 x_{2}^{2} x_{7} e_{2,2,7,7}+2 x_{3}^{2} x_{7} e_{3,3,7,7}+2 x_{1} x_{4} x_{7} e_{1,4,7,7} \\
& +2 x_{4}^{2} x_{7} e_{4,4,7,7}+2 x_{5}^{2} x_{7} e_{5,5,7,7}+2 x_{2} x_{6} x_{7} e_{2,6,7,7}+2 x_{6}^{2} x_{7} e_{6,6,7,7} \\
& \left.+3 x_{3} x_{7}^{2} e_{3,7,7,7}+4 x_{7}^{3} e_{7,7,7,7}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following table gives the coefficients.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1111}=\frac{1}{8}(a+1) \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{8}+\frac{a}{4}+\frac{a^{2}}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1122}=\frac{3}{8}(a+1)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \\
& =\frac{3}{4}+\frac{9 a}{8}+\frac{9 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1133}=\frac{3}{8}(a+1)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \quad=\frac{3}{4}+\frac{9 a}{8}+\frac{9 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1144}=\frac{3(a+1)}{4}=\frac{3}{4}+\frac{3 a}{4}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1155}=\frac{3}{4} a(a+1) \quad=\frac{3 a}{4}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{4}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1166}=-\frac{3}{8}(a+1)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a-1\right)=\frac{3 a}{8}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1177}=-\frac{3}{8}(a+1)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a-1\right) \quad=\frac{3 a}{8}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1224}=\frac{3 \sqrt{a+1}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{4 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& e_{1235}=-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2} \sqrt{a+1}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& =\quad \frac{3}{2}+\frac{3 a}{2}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1246}=-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2} \sqrt{a+1}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& =-3 a^{3 / 2}-3 a^{5 / 2}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& =-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{3 a^{5 / 2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1257}=\frac{3 a \sqrt{a+1}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& e_{1334}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{a+1}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{4 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& =\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& =-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3 a}{2}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1347}=-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2} \sqrt{a+1}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& =-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{3 a^{5 / 2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{1356}=-\frac{3 a \sqrt{a+1}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& =-\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1466}=-\frac{3 \sqrt{a+1}\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{4 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& e_{1477}=\frac{3 \sqrt{a+1}\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{4 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& e_{1567}=-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2} \sqrt{a+1}\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}} \\
& e_{2222}=\frac{\left(a^{2}+2\right)\left(a^{2}+2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}+2\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& e_{2226}=\frac{a^{3 / 2}\left(a\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)-2\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& e_{2233}=\frac{3 a^{2}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)^{2}}{4\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& e_{2237}=-\frac{3 a^{3 / 2}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right.} \\
& e_{2244}=\frac{3}{8}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \\
& e_{2255}=\frac{3}{8} a\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \\
& e_{2266}=\frac{3 a^{3}}{8 a^{2}+8} \\
& e_{2277}=\frac{3 a\left(a^{2}+2\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)} \\
& e_{2336}=\frac{3 a^{3 / 2}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right.} \\
& e_{2367}=\frac{3 a^{3}}{2 a^{2}+2} \\
& e_{2457}=\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& e_{2666}=\frac{a^{5 / 2}\left(a\left(-2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}+2 a-1\right)+\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& e_{2677}=\frac{3 a^{5 / 2}\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)} \\
& e_{3333}=\frac{\left(a^{2}+2\right)\left(a^{2}+2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}+2\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{4}+\frac{a}{4}+\frac{a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3337}=\frac{a^{3 / 2}\left(a^{2}-\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right) a+2\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{a^{3 / 2}}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{a^{5 / 2}}{4 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3344}=\frac{3}{8}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \\
& =\quad \frac{3}{4}+\frac{3 a}{8}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3355}=\frac{3}{8} a\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) \\
& =\quad \frac{3 a}{4}+\frac{3 a^{2}}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3366}=\frac{3 a\left(a^{2}+2\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{3 a}{4}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3377}=\frac{3 a^{3}}{8 a^{2}+8} \\
& =\frac{3 a^{3}}{8}+O\left(a^{5}\right) \\
& e_{3456}=\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& =\frac{3 a}{\sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3667}=\frac{3 a^{5 / 2}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{3 a^{5 / 2}}{4 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
& e_{3777}=-\frac{a^{5 / 2}\left(a\left(-2 \sqrt{a^{2}+1}+2 a-1\right)+\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+1\right)}{2 \sqrt{2}\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}}=-\frac{a^{5 / 2}}{4 \sqrt{2}}+O\left(a^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
e_{4444} & =\frac{1}{8} & & =\frac{1}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{4455} & =\frac{3 a}{4} & & =\frac{3 a}{4}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{4466} & =-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a-1\right) & & =\frac{3 a}{8}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{4477} & =-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a-1\right) & & \frac{3 a}{8}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{5555} & =\frac{a^{2}}{8} & & =\frac{a^{2}}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{5566}=\frac{3}{8} a\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) & & =\frac{3 a^{2}}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{5577} & =\frac{3}{8} a\left(-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right) & & =\frac{3 a^{2}}{8}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{6666} & =\frac{a^{2}\left(a^{2}+2\right)\left(2 a\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}\right)+1\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} & & =\frac{a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \\
e_{6677} & =\frac{3 a^{2}\left(a^{2}-\sqrt{a^{2}+1} a-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}+a+1\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)} \\
e_{7777} & =\frac{a^{2}\left(a^{2}+2\right)\left(2 a\left(a-\sqrt{a^{2}+1}\right)+1\right)}{8\left(a^{2}+1\right)\left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}-a+1\right)^{2}} & & \frac{3 a^{4}}{16}+O\left(a^{5}\right) \\
& & =\frac{a^{2}}{16}+O\left(a^{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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