Tomography of Entangled Macroscopic Mechanical Objects
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Observing quantum mechanics at the macroscopic scale has captured the attention of scientists and the imagination of the public for more than a century. While quantum mechanics was conceived in the context of electrons and atoms, the ability to observe its properties on ever more macroscopic systems holds great promise for fundamental research and technological applications. Therefore, researchers have been preparing larger material systems in interesting quantum states and, in particular, entangled states of separate mechanical oscillators$^{[1-3]}$. As these quantum devices move from demonstrations to applications, their full potential can only be realized by combining entanglement generation with an efficient measurement of the joint mechanical state. Unfortunately, such a high level of control and measurement can expose the system to undesired interactions with its environment, a problem that becomes more pronounced at the macroscopic scale. Here, using a superconducting electromechanical circuit and a pulsed microwave protocol, we ground-state cool, entangle and perform state tomography of two mechanical drumheads with masses of 70 pg. Entan-
glement is generated deterministically and is followed by a nearly quantum-limited measurement of the positions and momentums of both mechanical oscillators. From these efficient measurements, the resulting tomography demonstrates entanglement without noise subtraction. Highly entangled, massive quantum systems, as demonstrated here, are uniquely poised to address fundamental tests of quantum mechanics, enable force sensing beyond the standard quantum limit, and possibly serve as long-lived nodes of a future quantum network.

The experimental pursuit of macroscopic quantum phenomena straddles the line between seemingly contradicting constraints. Since quantum states are very fragile, usually they can only persist when well isolated from their surroundings. However, a perfectly isolated system cannot be seen, measured, or manipulated since these actions involve interaction with either an experimental meter or control. Therefore some interaction is needed, especially if the quantum state is to be used as a resource for applications in quantum information processing. This problem becomes more pronounced for larger systems that tend to interact more strongly with their environments, which causes quantum coherence to vanish more quickly.

The competing requirements of environmental isolation and experimental control and measurement are well demonstrated by considering two leading platforms for quantum information processing: trapped ions and superconducting qubits. In a trapped-ions system, quantum information is stored in the internal atomic state of individual ions. Due to their small dipoles, trapped ions can be well-isolated from their environment. The challenge is to strongly and efficiently interface many ions in a programmable and scalable manner. In contrast, superconducting qubits are designed to have large electrical dipoles, which can easily be controlled using standard microwave
engineering techniques. Therefore, it is challenging to isolate them from their environment and allow them to retain quantum coherence for long enough to allow for processing.

Hybrid quantum systems have the potential to alleviate the inherent tension between isolation and interaction. In a hybrid system, two (or more) degrees of freedom inhabit a single platform. Typically, one degree of freedom is used as a quantum memory while another is used to interface the experimental apparatus. In order to measure or manipulate the memory, one turns on an interaction between the two degrees of freedom for as short as possible while still allowing full control. In this paper, we describe a hybrid system composed of mechanical oscillators that are well isolated from their environments and each other, and an electrical resonator that can efficiently interface the mechanical oscillators with the experimental instrumentation. Since the mechanical and electrical counterparts are sensitive to different interactions, they can be optimized separately for their different purposes. The mechanical oscillators are long-lived and can retain energy for milliseconds, while the electrical resonator can be controlled and measured strongly in a fraction of a microsecond. Moreover, the mechanical and electrical degrees of freedom only interact when a microwave pulse is applied. This separation of roles has potential quantum and classical applications, for example, in sensing, communication and storage.

Some future applications rely on continuous variable (CV) entanglement and CV quantum information processing. Originally conceived by Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen, CV entanglement has been demonstrated in various systems, such as: optical fields, spin ensembles, optical polarization, microwave fields, mechanical motion and microwave fields, and recently using a mechanical oscillator as a mediator to entangle two microwave modes and optical
For entanglement to be useful, generation alone is not enough; one also needs efficient measurements. Consider the following simplified model of an experiment (see Fig.1a). First, entanglement is generated between two mechanical oscillators. Ideally, the entangled state can then be used for some quantum information task by a hypothetical user that measures state observables. In practice, however, loss mechanisms degrade the state by mixing it with noise, quantified by the measurement efficiency parameter $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. If $\eta = 1$, then all of the entanglement is available for use. If $\eta = 0$, then only un-entangled noise remains. Typical low efficiencies can still be sufficient for state characterization, i.e. to infer what the original entangled state was before loss mechanisms intervened, but this requires long averaging times and, in many cases, noise subtraction. Unfortunately, quantum information protocols, such as teleportation and entanglement swapping, require high efficiency, as demonstrated for optical modes.

One approach to overcoming the difficulty of entangling macroscopic mechanical oscillators is to use the electromagnetic field as a mediator. Indeed, two experiments demonstrated entanglement of massive mechanical oscillators, one using pulsed heralded optical photons, and another using a steady-state scheme with microwave fields. However, due to various constraints of the experimental designs used, a significant portion of the information was lost before it could reach a hypothetical user. Separately, other experiments, using pulsed interactions, showed that a single mechanical oscillator can be independently cooled to the ground state and measured with high efficiency. A clear next step towards CV quantum information processing has been to combine deterministic entanglement generation with high detection efficiency in a single
platform. Here we strongly entangle two massive mechanical oscillators and perform efficient tomography that completely characterizes their joint covariance matrix. Ground-state cooling, entanglement and readout are separately optimized through a combination of frequency and time-domain multiplexed microwave pulses. Moreover, we measure the positions and momentums of both mechanical oscillators in every single realization of the experiment. We demonstrate clear evidence of entanglement in the measurement signals, without noise subtraction. We quantify entanglement using the Simon-Duan criterion and find $\nu_{\text{meas}} = 0.44^{+0.004}_{-0.004} (\text{stat})^{+0.033}_{-0.028} (\text{sys}) < 0.5$. Accounting for noise to correct for measurement inefficiency, we infer the original entangled state and show that it has $\nu = 0.15^{+0.03}_{-0.02} (\text{stat})^{+0.19}_{-0.05} (\text{sys})$.

Our two mechanical oscillators are made of lithographically-patterned thin-film aluminum that form drum-like membranes, each with a mass of $\approx 70$ pg, suspended above a sapphire substrate, as shown in Fig. 1b. We use the $f_{m,1} = 10.9$ MHz mode of the left drum in Fig. 1b and the $f_{m,2} = 15.9$ MHz mode of the right drum. By design, the drums have no acoustic interaction with each other. Here we use electro-mechanics in order to mediate the interaction between the drums. Below each suspended drum, we fix an aluminum bottom plate to the substrate, so each drum is the top plate of a parallel-plate capacitor. A change in the position of each drumhead changes the capacitance of its corresponding capacitor. Both capacitors are shunted by a shared superconducting aluminum inductor, as shown in Fig. 1c. The capacitors and inductor form a single microwave resonator, known as the ‘cavity’, whose resonance frequency depends on the drums positions, and is centered at $f_c = 6.0806$ GHz. Thus, information about the drums can be encoded into the microwave field. We uniquely associate an acoustic frequency with a specific drum since their eigenmode frequencies are not degenerate and their bottom plates are split along the long and
short axes of the drums (see Fig. 1b). The latter changes the transduction strength between the drums’ motions and the microwave cavity in a way that depends on the spatial overlap between the bottom plate and the acoustic mode shape, and therefore is drum-dependent. The cavity is coupled to the outside world using an inductive coupling as shown in Fig. 1d, at a rate of \( \approx 800 \text{ kHz} \). A microwave pulse, reflected off the cavity, imparts forces on the drums and encodes the amplitudes of their positions and momentums into Doppler-shifted sidebands of the microwave pulse. The carrier frequency of the microwave pulse determines the nature of the interaction. A red-sideband (RSB) pulse is sent with a carrier frequency of \( f_d = f_c - f_m \), where \( f_m \) is the mechanical frequency of the drum of interest. It generates an effective beam-splitter interaction \({37}\), \( H_{rsb} = \hbar g_r(t)(ab^\dagger + ba^\dagger) \), where \( g_r(t) \) is proportional to the pulse power at time \( t \), \( \hbar \) is the Planck constant divided by \( 2\pi \), \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \) are the annihilation and creation operators of the microwave cavity photons and \( b \) and \( b^\dagger \) are the annihilation and creation operators of the drum’s phonons, satisfying the canonical commutation relations of \( [b, b^\dagger] = [a, a^\dagger] = 1 \). We use the RSB interaction to cool the mechanical mode nearly to the ground state \({45}\). In contrast, a blue-sideband (BSB) pulse has a carrier frequency of \( f_d = f_c + f_m \), resulting in an effective two-mode squeezing interaction, \( H_{bsb} = \hbar g_b(t)(a^\dagger b^\dagger + ba) \). These pulses have been shown to entangle the motion of a mechanical oscillator with the itinerant reflected microwave field \({22}\). As shown in Fig. 1e, an experimental sequence includes an initial ground-state cooling pulse, followed by a middle entangling pulse and a final readout pulse, as detailed below.

To entangle the two drums, we use a single microwave pulse, that combines a BSB with respect to drum 1, and an RSB with respect to drum 2. Specifically, the BSB entangles the cavity with drum 1, generating correlated photon-phonon pairs. At the same time, the RSB swaps some
of the cavity photons with phonons in drum 2. Therefore, some of the correlations that originated from the BSB interaction become distributed between the two drums. The two-drum system can be characterized by the first and second moments of the unit-less positions \( X_i = (b_i^\dagger + b_i)/\sqrt{2} \) and momentums \( P_i = i(b_i^\dagger - b_i)/\sqrt{2} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Our entangled state should exhibit four features: (1) The joint probability distribution of position and momentum of each individual drum should be approximately thermal. (2) The drum that receives a BSB interaction should have a higher temperature than the drum that receives an RSB interaction. (3) The positions should be correlated, and the momentums should be anti correlated. (4) The angle determining the squeezed and anti-squeezed joint quadratures of the two-drum system depends on the duration of the entanglement pulse. However, these features could be consistent with classical correlations. To verify that the correlations signal entanglement, we use the Simon-Duan criterion, which can be derived by applying the positive partial transpose criterion to oscillator states. The Simon-Duan criterion is calculated from the covariance matrix \( \mathcal{C} \) of \( \vec{S} = \{X_1, P_1, X_2, P_2\} \). Element \( i, j \) of the \( 4 \times 4 \) covariance matrix is \( C_{ij} = \langle (S_i - \langle S_i \rangle)(S_j - \langle S_j \rangle) \rangle \) where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the expectation value. The smallest symplectic eigenvalue \( \nu \) of the covariance matrix of the partially-transposed density matrix, quantifies the entanglement of the system (see Methods Summary for explicit expressions). The two-drum state is entangled if \( \nu < \frac{1}{2} \), where the zero-point fluctuations have variance \( \frac{1}{2} \).

In practice, proving entanglement with minimal assumptions comes with a heavy price, as it requires knowledge of the full covariance matrix. To estimate it, we measure the position and momentum of the two drums in each single experiment, analogously to a heterodyne measurement of electromagnetic radiation. As argued theoretically, our strategy of measuring both positions...
and momentums allows more accurate covariance matrix estimation (for a given number of state preparations) than a strategy based on homodyne detection in which each drum’s phase is rotated and then only one quadrature of each drum is measured. Crucially, this concurrent measurement improves substantially if it is efficient. The inefficiency of our measurement apparatus can be modeled as an effective beam-splitter\textsuperscript{22, 37, 40}, where each variable describing the mechanics $S_i \in \{X_1, P_1, X_2, P_2\}$, becomes mixed with vacuum noise such that, $s_i = \sqrt{\eta_i}S_i + (\sqrt{1-\eta_i})\xi_i$, where $\eta_i$ is the efficiency of the measurement of drum $i$, $\xi_i$ is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and vacuum variance $\langle \xi_i^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi_i$ and $\xi_j$ are independently distributed for $i \neq j$. Therefore, following calibration of the measurement chain, we have direct access to the measured variables $s_i$.

For a two-mode thermal squeezed state with minimal symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$, we observe $\nu_{\text{meas}}$:

$$\nu_{\text{meas}} = \eta\nu + (1 - \eta)\frac{1}{2},$$

where $\eta = \sqrt{\eta_1\eta_2}$ is the geometric mean of the two efficiencies. If efficiencies are low, $\nu_{\text{meas}}$ will approach $\frac{1}{2}$, and it will be more difficult to certify that $\nu_{\text{meas}} < \frac{1}{2}$ with high confidence.

We are able to perform efficient measurements of the drums by using a pulsed, parametric amplification of their variables. Measurement is performed by monitoring the reflected microwave pulse after it has interacted with the drums. Since the device must be well-isolated from the environment, it is cooled inside a low-temperature dilution refrigerator ($< 20$ mK). Therefore, the microwave signal originating from the quantum device has to pass through multiple stages of transmission, isolation and amplification before it is recorded. Typically, this results in an effective measurement efficiency that is $\sim 0.01$. Here we achieve higher effective efficiency by using the
BSB interactions native to the device as a preamplifier, following techniques that were developed for single drum readout\textsuperscript{39,40}. Using frequency multiplexing we have extended these methods to improve our measurement efficiency by more than an order of magnitude. We employ simultaneous amplified readout of the two drums with total efficiencies of $\eta_1 = 0.29(6)$ and $\eta_2 = 0.156(5)$, and a geometric mean of $\eta = 0.21(4)$. Characterizations of the efficiencies are explained in the Supplementary Information. Notice that for an ideal concurrent measurement of position and momentum $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are less than 0.5, as constrained by the Heisenberg uncertainty principal.

Figure 2 shows tomography of the two-drum system, as characterized by its covariance matrix, for different protocols. First, we prepare a low temperature thermal state by applying a pulsed sequence of ground state cooling followed by readout, rendering a single concurrent measurement of $x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2$. In Fig. 2a we show the experimental distribution of the measured variables for 10,000 repetitions of the experiment. Indeed the scatter plots show no correlation between any of the measured variables. This is reaffirmed in Fig. 2b by the covariance matrix of the state, which is diagonal to a good approximation. From the magnitude of the diagonal elements, we infer nearly ground-state occupancies of $\bar{n}_1 = 0.79(1)$ and $\bar{n}_2 = 0.60(1)$ for drum 1 and 2 respectively. We now turn to a pulse sequence of ground state cooling, entanglement and readout. Fig. 2c exhibits all the expected features of a highly correlated state. First, the position-momentum distribution of each drum is consistent with an effective thermal state of occupancy $\bar{n}_1 = 11.5(1)$ and $\bar{n}_2 = 4.42(4)$, respectively. As expected, drum 1, which undergoes a BSB interaction, is hotter than drum 2, which undergoes an RSB interaction. Second, a clear signature of drum-drum interaction is demonstrated by the correlation of $x_1, x_2$ and the anti-correlation of $p_1, p_2$. The covariance matrix of the measured variables in Fig. 2d displays a dominant diagonal and four off-diagonal
elements $C_{1,3} \sim -C_{2,4}$ and $C_{3,1} \sim -C_{4,2}$ (See Supplementary Information for how the covariance matrix is estimated). Indeed, clear correlations are directly observable in the measured variables. Delineating those from classical correlations requires an application of the Simon-Duan criteria.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the two-drum state for different entangling pulse durations, all of which are kept significantly shorter than 100 µs to avoid the thermal decoherence of either drum. First, we focus on the individual variances of each drum (Fig. 3a). Recall that drum 1 undergoes a BSB amplification whereas drum 2 undergoes a RSB cooling. At short times $< 1$ µs, the drums show no evidence of interaction. Drum 2 cools while drum 1 heats, the same behavior that would have been expected if the drums did not interact with one another. The dashed lines in Fig. 3a extrapolate this non-interacting behavior. Entangling pulse durations of $> 1$ µs, result in both drums deviating from this independent evolution; their variances now grow together in time with similar rates. Recall that in Fig. 2c, the scatter plot exhibited a correlation between $x_1$ and $x_2$. Theory predicts that the elliptical $(x_1, x_2)$ distribution’s major axis makes with the horizontal will evolve as shown by the solid theory line in Fig. 3b (see Supplementary Information). We now focus on the measured variable $\nu_{\text{meas}}$, shown in Fig. 3c. Again, with short pulses the drums are only classically correlated as the initial state is close to but not exactly the ground state, and the interaction does not yet entangle the drums. At $\sim 4 \mu s$, $\nu_{\text{meas}}$ crosses below $\frac{1}{2}$, indicating entanglement. At 16.8 µs interaction time we observe $\nu_{\text{meas}} = 0.44^{+0.004}_{-0.004} \ (\text{stat})^{+0.033}_{-0.028} \ (\text{sys})$, where “sys” indicates systematic uncertainty mainly caused by uncertainty in the measurement efficiencies, and “stat” indicates statistical uncertainty estimated using bootstrap as described in the Supplementary Information. This is a direct measurement of entanglement for a bipartite system of macroscopic objects. Although $\nu_{\text{meas}}$ is an important quantity for future quantum information applications, it is
still natural to estimate the entanglement before noise processes intervened during the measurement. To that end we separate state preparation and measurement by accounting for noise in order to correct for measurement inefficiency. Doing that with a linear transformation of the observed covariance matrix could produce covariance matrices that violate the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. To avoid this, we use a semi-definite program to find the closest (in $l_2$ distance) physical covariance matrix consistent with the data. From that we estimate the entanglement criterion $\nu$, which is shown in Fig. 3d. Indeed, we see more entanglement for the same interaction time, with $\nu = 0.15^{+0.03}_{-0.02} \text{ (stat)}^{+0.19}_{-0.05} \text{ (sys)}$. Such a level of entanglement might be useful for exploration of mesoscopic Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen non-locality $^5$ and fundamental tests of quantum mechanics $^4$.

This work demonstrates pulsed, time-domain control of three important building blocks for CV quantum information processing and quantum communication: state initialization, entanglement and measurement. Pulsed control played a key role as it allowed optimization of each piece separately, and improve our measurement efficiency by more than an order of magnitude compared to traditional steady-state operation. As a result, we generated a highly entangled state of two macroscopic mechanical oscillators, surpassing the entanglement threshold by $5.2^{+0.7}_{-0.7} \text{ (stat)}^{+1.9}_{-3.5} \text{ (sys)}$ dB. Most excitingly, we even observe entanglement directly in the measured variables. This is important for future applications that require decisions based on measurement outcomes. We therefore expect the methods described here to serve as a stepping stone for teleportation and entanglement swapping of states of massive objects.
Methods summary

To quantify the Simon-Duan criteria, we calculate the minimal symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$ of the covariance matrix $C^{pt}$ of the partial transposition of the oscillator’s density matrix. When a density matrix is partially transposed, the momentum of the transposed mode is reversed, so $C^{pt} = \Lambda C \Lambda$, for $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The value of $\nu$ can be calculated from the $4 \times 4$ covariance matrix $C$, that corresponds to the original un-transposed density matrix, by subdividing it as $C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^t & B \end{pmatrix}$ where $A, B, C$ are $2 \times 2$ sub-matrices, and using the formula $\nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 4 \det C} \right)}$, where $\Delta = \det(A) + \det(B) - 2 \det(C)$ (see [41, 42]).
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Figure 1: **Experiment overview.**

**a,** Concept. Two mechanical harmonic oscillators (pendulums), characterized by their respective positions and momentums $X_1, P_1, X_2, P_2$, are placed in an entangled state $|\psi_{\text{ent}}\rangle$. A user illuminates the pendulums using an electromagnetic source and detects Doppler-shifted pulses carrying information about the system, $x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2$. The latter encode the mechanical variables mixed with noise due to inevitable loss effects.

**b,** Scanning electron micrograph. Two aluminum drums are suspended above a sapphire substrate (false colored in blue) resulting in well-defined harmonic modes in the direction perpendicular to the substrate. Each drum forms the top plate of a capacitor, along with the bottom plate which is fixed to the substrate. The latter are split in half along the long and short drum dimensions, respectively, to allow single drum addressing (see text).

**c,** Device optical image. The parallel capacitance of the two drums is shunted by a spiral inductor, forming a microwave cavity at a frequency of $f_c$. An input line (top right) inductively couples to the microwave resonator.

**d,** Circuit schematics. Mechanical motion of the drums (dashed) modulates the frequency of the microwave cavity. Therefore, an incoming microwave pulse is Doppler-shifted as it reflects off the microwave cavity, encoding information about the drums’ positions and momentums. Incoming and reflected pulses are separated using a circulator.

**e,** Experimental sequence of incoming pulses. The modulation of the incoming microwave pulses around $f_c$ determines the nature of their interaction with the drums. State initialization is achieved by sideband cooling each drum mode close to its ground state. This is followed by a short entangling pulse. A readout pulse imprints an amplified record of the mechanical states onto the reflected microwave pulse.
Figure 2: **Tomography of two mechanical oscillators.** 

a. Scatter plots of a two-oscillator sideband-cooled state. Each experiment records the four system variables $x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2$ concurrently. Blue and red points display the position-momentum scatter plots of 10,000 experiment repetitions for drums 1 and 2 resp. Green points display the inter-drum correlations. All variables are normalized according to the canonical commutation relation $[x_i, p_i] = i$, so the vacuum state has variance $\frac{1}{2}$. The drums’ individual mean phonon numbers are $\bar{n}_1 = 0.79(1)$ and $\bar{n}_2 = 0.60(1)$.

b. Covariance matrix of the data in a. 

c. Scatter plots of a two-mode thermal squeezed state. After sideband-cooling as in a, a 16.8 $\mu$s entangling pulse generates position-position ($x_2, x_1$ panel) correlation and momentum-momentum ($p_2, p_1$ panel) anti-correlation. Since the entanglement pulse pumps energy into the two-drum system, each drum’s individual variance grows from their ground state cooled value to $\bar{n}_1 = 11.5(1)$ and $\bar{n}_2 = 4.42(4)$, respectively. 

d. Covariance matrix of the data in c. The correlations and anti-correlations are apparent in the off-diagonal terms of the covariance matrix.
Figure 3: **Entanglement of two drums versus pulse duration.**

**a,** Individual drum variances (average of the position variance and momentum variance). Entangling is comprised of an amplifying pulse for drum 1 and a cooling pulse for drum 2. The dashed lines show a theoretical prediction of the drums’ individual variances if amplifying and cooling were employed separately. Blue and red marks are the measured variances for drums 1 and 2, respectively, when amplifying and cooling are employed simultaneously, i.e. when the entangling protocol is used. Solid lines in all panels show theory lines with no fit parameters (see Supplementary Information). 

**b,** Angle of the position-position ($x_1, x_2$) correlation that determines the squeezed and anti-squeezed joint quadratures of the bipartite system. 

**c,** Entanglement in the measured variables, after loss, quantified by $\nu_{\text{meas}}$. Points below 0.5 indicate entanglement of the two drums. Error bars are 1-sigma bias-corrected bootstrapping confidence intervals. Shaded gray area corresponds to a 1-sigma uncertainty region in the location of the black theoretical prediction curve caused by measurement efficiency uncertainties. Inset shows systematic error for the last measured point in the main graph, due to the uncertainty in the measurement efficiencies. Upper and lower points (triangles) correspond to 1-sigma systematic uncertainty. Statistical uncertainties of 1-sigma are present but may be smaller than the marker sizes. The last point attains $\nu_{\text{meas}} = 0.44^{+0.004}_{-0.004}$ (stat)$^{+0.033}_{-0.028}$ (sys). 

**d,** Entanglement in the mechanical variables, prior to loss, quantified by $\nu$. Uncertainties and inset plot are similar to c. The last point attains $0.15^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ (stat)$^{+0.19}_{-0.05}$ (sys).