

Gait Recovery System for Parkinson's Disease using Machine Learning on Embedded Platforms

Gokul H.
Research Assistant
Solarillion Foundation
Chennai, India
hgokul@ieee.org

Prithvi Suresh
Electronics and Communication
SRM Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai, India
prithvisuresh@ieee.org

Hari Vignesh B
Electronics and Communication
SRM Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai, India
havigbaskar@ieee.org

Pravin Kumar R
Computer Science and Engineering
SRM Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai, India
pravin.kumaar99@ieee.org

Vineeth Vijayaraghavan
Director - Research & Outreach
Solarillion Foundation
Chennai, India
vineethv@ieee.org

Abstract—Freezing of Gait (FoG) is a common gait deficit among patients diagnosed with Parkinson's Disease (PD). In order to help these patients recover from FoG episodes, Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation (RAS) is needed. The authors propose a ubiquitous embedded system that detects FOG events with a Machine Learning (ML) subsystem from accelerometer signals. By making inferences on-device, we avoid issues prevalent in cloud-based systems such as latency and network connection dependency. The resource-efficient classifier used, reduces the model size requirements by approximately 400 times compared to the best performing standard ML systems, with a trade-off of a mere 1.3% in best classification accuracy. The aforementioned trade-off facilitates deployability in a wide range of embedded devices including microcontroller based systems. The research also explores the optimization procedure to deploy the model on an ATmega2560 microcontroller with a minimum system latency of 44.5 ms. The smallest model size of the proposed resource efficient ML model was 1.4 KB with an average recall score of 93.58%.

Keywords—Healthcare monitoring system, Medical system, Sensors, Embedded Systems, Accelerometers, Machine Learning, Microcontrollers, Signal Processing, Edge computing

I. INTRODUCTION

Freezing of Gait (FoG), defined as a "brief, episodic absence or marked reduction of forward progression of the feet despite the intention to walk" [1], is a gait impairment common among Parkinson's diseased people. According to the survey conducted by Macht et al [2], with 6620 people diagnosed with Parkinson's, about 47% have reported regular freezing, with 28% of them experiencing FoG on a daily basis.

As FoG is highly sensitive to environmental triggers, cognitive input and medication, it is difficult measure, and as a result, becomes difficult to treat [3]. Pharmacological treatment of Parkinson's disease with Levodopa (LD) is difficult to manage since its effect wears off over time [4]. As the disease progresses, more frequent LD administration is required and

such gait aberrations often become resistant to pharmacological treatments [5].

Non-Pharmacological treatments include various behavioral tricks like marching commands, visual cueing or walking to a music or beat to alleviate FoG symptoms. Lim et al [6] performed an extensive study on the effects of rhythmic cueing on gait in patients with Parkinson's which suggested an improvement in the walking speed with the help of auditory cues. This treatment consists of *Rhythmic Auditory Stimulation* (RAS) [7] with a metronome producing a rhythmic ticking sound, allowing the patient to recover without external human aid. However, the effects of RAS degrades with time, thereby rendering permanent cueing inadvisable ([8], [9], [10]). Thus, auditory cueing is required only in response to occurrences of FoG events. Such a context aware auditory cueing system needs to reliably identify FoG events from normal activities of the patient. With the availability of a wearable, context aware FoG detection system, Parkinson's diseased people can overcome FoG events without external human aid, thus improving their quality of life.

The authors of this paper propose a scalable sensor based, FoG aware audio cueing system. The system uses a powerful, yet easily deployable Machine Learning algorithm that detects FoG events with high accuracy.

In this paper, the architecture of and experiments carried out on the system are organised as follows. Section IV elaborates on the different subsystems that are present in the system. Section V explains the implementation of the system and the experiments carried out on a specific subsystem, namely the *ML subsystem*. Section VI elucidates the optimization of the entire system, for an embedded device with ATmega2560 controller.

II. RELATED WORK

In order to perform context aware rhythmic auditory stimulation (RAS) during detection of FoG episodes, several in-

vestigations have been done using a variety of sensor systems. Delval et al [11] used data from goniometers worn by Parkinson's diseased people to detect FoG. Nieuwboer et al [12] analysed electromyographic (EMG) gait profiles, Handjoso et al [13] studied spatial, spectral and temporal features of electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, while S. Mazilu et al [14] studied electrocardiography (ECG) and skin conductance from PD patients to design FoG detection systems. However, these sensors are difficult to be integrated as mobile, wearable embedded systems. Thus our research focuses on simple accelerometer-based systems that satisfy the aforementioned requirement.

Detection of FoG events using accelerometers have been thoroughly worked on in the last decade. Han et al [15], first attempted to tackle the gait freezing disorder using body worn accelerometers in their monitoring system with frequency domain analysis. Moore et al [16] made observations on the high frequency components of accelerometers signal (specifically in the 3Hz-8Hz band which was termed as "Freeze band") of the left leg movement during FoG episodes which were not apparent during normal gait ("Locomotor Band": 0.5-3 Hz). They suggested *Freeze index*, which has been widely implemented in this area of research.

Based on the algorithm of Moore et al [16], Bachlin et al [17] developed a real time wearable assistant under user dependent settings with a sensitivity of 73.1% and a specificity of 81.6% to detect FoG events. However, the algorithm parameters needs to be manually adjusted for optimal results. Jovanov et al [18] performed statistical analysis to set these algorithm parameters which enhanced inter-user adaptability in their real time FoG detection system. Their enhanced implementation on the ARMV7 processor operating at 72 MHz achieved an average latency of 332ms and a maximum latency of 580ms. However, these FOG detection systems involve a thresholding based algorithm which can be improved by implementing learning algorithms for better performance.

Machine learning(ML) algorithms are capable of effectively performing accelerometer data based classification tasks, especially in activity recognition ([19],[20],[21],[22]). As a result, they were also used in experiments involving FOG detection. Mazilu et al [23] proposed a FoG detection system capable of being deployed in smart phones with average specificity and sensitivity of more than 95% followed by Oung et al [24]. Though ML methods have exceptional performance in this domain, the models size and computational complexity requirements limits the scalability of these systems. They can be deployed as cloud based IoT systems but high operational latencies due to data transmission are inevitable.

These systems can be made much more pervasive, mobile and cheaper for the consumers with the implementation of lightweight learning algorithms that can be deployed in resource constrained, embedded devices without compromising the performance. In this context, a *resource constrained device* or an *edge device* refers to an embedded device with less than 32KB RAM and 16Mhz processor. The authors of this paper, implement and study

two such lightweight learning algorithms called *ProtoNN* by Gupta et al [25] and *Bonsai* by Ashish et al [26].

ProtoNN is a novel, k-nearest neighbors (kNN) based general supervised learning algorithm that can be deployed on tiny edge devices, whilst maintaining state-of-the-art accuracies for typical IoT prediction tasks, with just about 16KB of memory size. *Bonsai* is a new tree model for supervised learning tasks such as binary and multi-class classification and can be deployed on small embedded devices. Bonsai can also fit in the L1 cache of processors found in mobiles, tablets, laptops and servers for low-latency applications.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Although existing Machine Learning (ML) models provide good solutions for detection of FoG, implementation and scalability of these models on embedded platforms are difficult owing to their limitations, as stated in Section II. During real time application, an FoG event lasts only for a few seconds. Hence the system must be capable of operating with less computational time, as a lower latency implies capability of faster and seamless recovery via RAS.

Thus, the authors of this paper propose an assistive system consisting of a Machine Learning subsystem capable of detecting such events on-device. This avoids the data transmission latency that is otherwise present in cloud based ML-IoT systems. The proposed system provides high accuracy, comparable to traditional Machine Learning Classifiers, on embedded devices with extremely small model size and inference time. This is achieved by extracting powerful features from signals of the accelerometers, with computational time in the order of a few milliseconds. These features are passed to a lightweight Classifier that detects the FoG event. The system was optimised to be compatible on an ATmega2560, reifying the scalability of the system across wider range of embedded platforms.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The proposed FoG aware auditory-cueing system obtains signals from the **wearable sensor unit** and is fed into the pre-processing stage of the **ML subsystem** termed as the *Feature Extraction Engine*. The *Feature Extraction Engine* extracts necessary signal features and is pipelined to a (ML) *classifier* which detects the activity. Upon detection of an FoG event, an output signal is sent to the **RAS module** to initiate recovery from FoG. These system components are further elaborated as follows.

A. Wearable Sensor Unit

This input unit consists of 3 tri-axial accelerometers placed on the Ankle (A), Leg(L) and Torso(T). Each channel constitutes of a signal corresponding to a *single axis* from an *accelerometer*. Thus a total of 9 channels are present and they are represented by the set Γ as shown,

$$\Gamma = \{A_X, A_Y, A_Z, L_X, L_Y, L_Z, T_X, T_Y, T_Z\} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1. System Architecture

B. ML subsystem

1) *Feature extraction engine*: From the signals of the sensors mounted, the *Feature Extraction Engine* extracts features from each channel (γ , where $\gamma \in \Gamma$) and passes on these features to a machine learning *classifier module* to detect the activity. In this pre-processing stage, the data received from each channel is stored as a 1-dimensional window of $w \times f_s$ time-steps, where w is the length of the window in seconds and f_s is the sampling frequency in Hz.

$$\gamma = [\gamma_1 \quad \gamma_2 \quad \dots \quad \gamma_{w \times f_s}]_{w \times f_s} \quad (2)$$

In this research, 5 time domain and 5 frequency domain features, whose description is elucidated in Table I, are extracted from each window for all the channels forming a feature set (F_D).

The time domain features include mean (μ), standard deviation (σ), variance (σ^2), root mean square (rms) and mean absolute value (mav). Among the frequency domain features, Freeze Index (FI) which was first proposed by Moore et al [16], is found to be an important feature in existing works ([14],[16],[17],[23],[24]) mentioned in section II. Other frequency domain features include Band Power(P), Energy(E), Entropy(S) and Peak Frequency(f_{peak}). To eliminate redundancies and avoid over-fitting, the most discriminating features from the available set of features are selected to form a smaller subset (F_d) which will be used by the classification module.

2) *Classification Module*: The Classification module of the ML subsystem consists of a Machine Learning algorithm, that detects whether a person is undergoing FoG or not. The module takes the features from the Feature Extraction Engine as the input, passes it through the algorithm and sends a signal to the RAS module, if the person is undergoing FoG.

The detection problem is modelled as a binary classification

problem with an occurrence of FoG as the positive class. In this binary classification problem, the recall score of the positive class is also termed as *sensitivity* while the recall score of negative class is termed as *specificity*. These metrics which are extensively found in the literature and used throughout this paper are given by the formula,

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (3)$$

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad (4)$$

where,

TP = True positives *FoG* events classified as *FoG* events

TN = True negatives *Normal* events classified as *Normal* events

FP = False positives *Normal* events classified as *FoG* events

FN = False negatives *FoG* events classified as *Normal* events

For this system, various Machine Learning classifiers were experimented with, keeping in mind factors such as accuracy, latency and deployability including the best performing benchmark models proposed by Mazilu et al [23] (Random Forests) and Oung et al [24] (Support Vector Machine). The results and inferences of these Machine Learning classifiers are presented in section V.

C. RAS module

The RAS module placed in the patient's ear consists of a circuitry capable of emitting auditory cues and is triggered based on the signal from the classifier model. The RAS module, when triggered, sends out metronome click-embedded music that helps people undergoing FoG to regain control of their gait independently.

The run time implementation and experimentation of this system is performed in section V.

V. SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

A. Dataset

The run-time experiments were carried out on the DAPHNet dataset [17], which is publicly available. This dataset was a collaborative effort of the Laboratory for Gait and Neurodynamics, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Israel and the Wearable Computing Laboratory, ETH Zurich, Switzerland. Recordings were run at the Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center in 2008. The study was approved by the local Human Subjects Review Committee, and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. The dataset consists of accelerometer data obtained from 10 patients who are diagnosed with Parkinson's disease. 3 Body worn accelerometers, on the ankle, leg and torso were attached to the the patient while they were asked to perform the following activities :

- Walking back and forth with 180° turns

TABLE I
FEATURE SET (F_D)

Time Domain Features	Description
Mean	The average value of the signal in the window
Standard Deviation	Deviation of the signal in a window as compared to its mean value.
Variance	Square root of Standard Deviation.
Root Mean Square	Square root of mean of the squared signal in the window: $\sqrt{(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2)}$
Mean absolute Value	Mean of absolute of the signal in the window: $\frac{1}{N} (\sum_{n=1}^N x_n)$
Frequency Domain Features	Description
Entropy	The Measure of the random distribution of frequency components
Energy	The sum of squared magnitudes of FFT components of the signal, divided by window length.
Peak Frequency	Value of maximum frequency in the power spectrum.
Freeze Index	Power of signal in freeze band (3-8Hz) divided by it's Power in locomotor band(0.5-3Hz) : $\frac{\sum_{j=3}^{j=8} P_j}{\sum_{j=0.5}^{j=3} P_j}$, where P denotes Power
Band Power	Sum of the power in freeze band and in locomotor band : $\sum_{j=3}^{j=8} P_j + \sum_{j=0.5}^{j=3} P_j$

- Walking with a series of initiated stops and 360° turns
- Walking resembling activities in daily life (ADL), such as entering rooms, making coffee or leaving rooms, etc.

The data was sampled at a sampling frequency (f_s) of 64Hz. In total, 8 hours and 20 minutes worth of data was collected, in which 237 events of FoG have been labelled. The duration of the FoG events were in between 0.5s to 40.5s, with a mean of 7.3s and standard deviation of 6.7s. Over 50% of the FoG events lasted longer than 5s and 93.2% of the FoG events were less than 20s. Synchronized video recordings were taken and analyzed by physiotherapists to label these FoG events. There were three classes that were labelled namely, 0-debriefing, 1-Normal activity and 2-Freezing Of Gait. The data was provided for ten users as separate set of files. Each line of the file consisted of 1 sample of data with the following fields:

- 1) Time Stamp
- 2) Accelerometer in the ankle's X axis
- 3) Accelerometer in the ankle's Y axis.
- 4) Accelerometer in the ankle's Z axis.
- 5) Accelerometer in the Leg's X axis.
- 6) Accelerometer in the Leg's Y axis.
- 7) Accelerometer in the Leg's Z axis.
- 8) Accelerometer in the Torso's X axis.
- 9) Accelerometer in the Torso's Y axis.
- 10) Accelerometer in the Torso's Z axis.
- 11) Label indicating FoG or Walking or ADL

Out of the 11 *fields* provided, only the 9 columns/channels pertaining to the accelerometer readings were used in the Feature Extraction Engine. Out of the 10 patients, patient 4 and patient 10 did not undergo FoG during the experiment procedure. Hence, the data from these two patients were excluded from our experiments.

B. ML subsystem - Implementation

From the dataset, the 0-debriefing class was omitted because they were not part of the experiment, allowing for binary classification between *FoG* (positive class) and *Normal* (negative class). Various Machine Learning classifiers were implemented in the classification module for reasons stated in subsection IV-B2. The train-test split ratio of the dataset that is fed to the models is 70%-30%. The general training and testing process of this ML based FoG detection system implementation is represented in Fig. 2.

The classifiers that were implemented are categorized as

1) Standard ML classifiers:

These classifiers consist of ML models with the following characteristics,

- Large space in memory: These models occupy over 100 KB, thus taking up a sizable portion of memory.
- Computationally intensive: These models require large RAM, for inference. This is due to reasons such as the High Dimensional Feature space, retaining entire training set in memory during training, etc

The classifiers specified in Table II were tested out in the classification module and the results of 10 fold cross validation for these experiments are portrayed in the same. These classifiers were trained on the data from features extracted from the signals as described in section IV-B1 for varying window lengths, $w \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since the maximum efficiencies were observed at $w = 4$ and the system latency increases with higher values of w , we restrict our maximum value of w in our experiments to 4 (256 timesteps).

- 2) **Resource-efficient ML classifiers:** These classifiers, in contrast to the standard ML classifiers, were crafted to occupy low space in memory and are computationally light. These characteristics allow these classifiers to be deployed on devices that have RAM in the order of a




Fig. 2. Flowchart of Training and Testing phase

TABLE II
RECALL SCORES FOR SUBJECT DEPENDANT TEST- STANDARD ML MODELS

Classifier	Size (KiloBytes)	w=1		w=2		w=3		w=4	
		Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)
DecisionTree	109.57	95.98	91.28	95.73	92.95	98.16	96.07	98.92	96.70
Random Forest	545.04	97.92	98.51	98.95	98.56	99.76	98.79	99.44	98.91
AdaBoost	946.44	97.55	96.03	98.62	96.57	99.29	97.86	99.56	97.99
K Nearest Neighbors	13005.55	99.67	86.087	98.83	90.35	96.97	93.56	97.38	94.61
Support Vector Machine	2966.57	94.65	90.96	96.15	93.98	97.54	95.86	98.64	97.547

TABLE III
RECALL SCORES FOR SUBJECT DEPENDANT TESTS- RESOURCE EFFICIENT ML MODELS

Classifier	Size (KiloBytes)	w=1		w=2		w=3		w=4	
		Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)	Spec(%)	Sens(%)
ProtoNN	2.92	94.83	90.44	97.65	91.82	98.82	94.57	99.66	95.25
Bonsai	6.71	94.04	88.27	95.79	90.05	96.71	91.76	98.36	92.9

few kilobytes. These Resource efficient ML classifiers also were observed to have a small test inference time of the order of 20ms per data point. These models project the training data into a lower dimensional space where prediction is made simpler, reducing model complexity and size, resulting in faster computation.

The resource efficient classifiers used by the authors in this paper are Bonsai [26] and ProtoNN [25]. The results of the classifiers under similar experimental conditions as that of the Standard ML classifiers are portrayed in Table III.

As observed from Table II, all standard ML classifiers perform almost equally well for a given window size. Whilst considering deployability of the model on a resource constrained device, **Random Forests and Decision Tree** have comparable metric scores with relatively smaller model size. Provided there is scope for further compression of these models without

reducing the training data, these two classifiers were chosen for optimization and were pit against the two resource efficient classifiers, **ProtoNN and Bonsai**. Section VI elaborates on selecting the most suitable out of these four classifiers for a deployable ML model in a resource constrained device.

VI. SYSTEM OPTIMIZATION AND RESULTS

The optimization of this gait recovery system is targeted to achieve our suggested minimum-level configuration: to be deployed on a 16MHz Arduino Mega which uses an ATmega2560 micro-controller with an 8KB internal SRAM. For the ML subsystem to even theoretically fit such low specifications, the ML classifier model's size needs to be constrained within few kilobytes. This optimization is done by studying the size vs performance characteristics of the four models suggested in section V-B which is elaborated in VI-A.

A. Model Optimization

Decision Tree and Random Forests are generally categorized as Tree-based classifiers. The size of these tree based classifiers can be reduced by the process of tuning its hyper-parameters such as Depth. This process to reduce model size and prevent over-fitting is termed as *pruning* [27].

The hyper parameters of ProtoNN; projection dimensions (\hat{d}) and number of prototypes (m) were tuned, in accordance to the binary class implementation of ProtoNN by Gupta et al. [25], to achieve lower model sizes. Similarly, the parameters of Bonsai; projection dimension and depth were altered to minimise its model size [26]. The performance of these models with varying model sizes is shown in Fig 3.

Though higher recall scores were achieved by Decision Tree and Random Forests at optimal settings as seen in Table II, these models performed poorly in our tests under compressed settings as inferred in Fig 3. At a model size of 1.4KB, the average recall score of Decision Trees was at 66.4% while that of ProtoNN and Bonsai was at 92.3% and 91.6% respectively, with ProtoNN marginally outperforming Bonsai. The least achievable model size of the Random Forests ensemble model, was at 7.2KB with an average recall score of 68.9%. This is attributed to the explicit imposition of sparsity constraints on the parameters by resource-efficient models during the training optimization itself to obtain an optimal model within the given model size de-facto, instead of post-facto pruning by the standard models to force the model to fit in memory [25]. Owing to its practical viability in real-time [28] and better performance under the minimum setting configuration, we choose ProtoNN as our model to deploy on the ATMega2560.




Fig. 3. Model size vs Recall score for ProtoNN and DecisionTree

B. Feature set Optimization

Though ProtoNN model can fit in less computationally powerful embedded devices, in order to deploy the ML subsystem in an ATMega2560, there is a need to alter the extracted features to satisfy low computational complexity and latency of the Feature Extraction Engine. However, these features must also be capable of ensuring good classification performance. This is possible in this FoG detection problem since the feature set F_d , after the feature selection process mentioned in Section

IV-B1, involved a considerable number of easily computable features, mostly from the time domain.

The time domain subset of the feature set F_D ($=90$ features) is denoted as F_{TD} ($=45$ features). From F_{TD} , the redundant features are eliminated to form a small subset F_{td} . With ProtoNN as the classifier of this ML subsystem, the average recall scores with the "all-feature" subset F_d and the currently optimised time domain feature subset F_{td} are compared in Table IV with their corresponding computational time (in ms) when tested in Arduino Mega (ATMega2560), for all values of w .

TABLE IV
AVERAGE COMPUTATIONAL TIME(MS) AND RECALL SCORE COMPARISON
BETWEEN FEATURE SUBSETS F_d VS F_{td}

w (sec)	Computational Time (ms)		Average Recall Score (%)	
	F_d	F_{td}	F_d	F_{td}
1	354.1	24.5	92.64	91.23
2	747.5	49.3	94.73	93.58
3	1105.3	79.1	96.70	94.63
4	1471.2	100.5	97.45	95.77

While including frequency domain features in our model yields better recall score, it can be inferred from the Table IV that the latency due to these features compared to its time domain counterpart is very high. This is attributed to the simplicity of time domain features which are based on simple mathematical and statistical operations whereas the frequency domain needs performance of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). Computing FFT of even a single signal channel window increases the computational complexity and also demands the use of complex variables for storing the real and imaginary part of the transformed signal, thereby increasing the subsystem's memory requirements.

The total inference time of the ML subsystem is the sum of latencies of the Feature Extraction Engine and the classification module. The ProtoNN classification module predicts the class within 20ms, on an average. The minimum Feature Extraction Engine latency is for $w = 1$, as observed in Table IV. This makes the least total inference time of the ML subsystem at 44.5 ms.

However, this small inference time is at the cost of compromising the accuracy. Thereby, the optimum window length, w_{opt} , proposed is 2 seconds (128 time steps), with an average recall score of 93.58%.

This small size, low latency ML subsystem can now be effectively deployed in the ATMega2560 microcontroller which is considered as our minimum level configuration. The system's complexity can be further reduced by optimising the number of sensors used, also enhancing the wearability of the device.

C. Sensor Optimization

We recall that the three accelerometers used in this system are positioned on different parts of a person's body namely, Ankle(A), Leg(L) and Torso(T). Every accelerometer has 3

axes of signal channels, with a total of 9 channels. Using all the 3 sensors for classifying increases the system complexity due to larger number of data dimensions resulting in a larger feature set. Hence, The system's average recall scores for various permutations of the sensors at $w_{opt} = 2$ were analysed to infer the importance of various sensors in the *Wearable Sensor Unit*, depicted in Figure VI-C.




Fig. 4. Accuracy scores for various Sensors

Though the system performs best with all the three sensors, it can be inferred from Figure VI-C that eliminating the torso sensor does not affect the system's performance considerably. This effect was confirmed by observing feature set F_{td} , wherein only 2 features corresponding to the torso were present. In addition to this, the model trained using the ankle sensor alone yields higher recall scores than the model trained on the torso and leg individually. By making optimal trade-offs in usage of sensors, the system complexity and latency is further reduced with a smaller yet powerful feature set. Thus, we eliminate hardware redundancies while also improving the system's performance.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, system optimization of a Freezing of Gait (FoG) aware audio cueing system was carried out with the implementation of a resource efficient Machine Learning model. Our proposed system involving this low-sized model, ProtoNN, requires 1.4 KB of space with a specificity and sensitivity of 97.65% and 91.82% respectively, for w_{opt} , notwithstanding the meagre 1.3% drop in average recall score as compared to standard ML classifiers. A suitable choice of less computationally demanding time domain feature set facilitated deployment of the Machine Learning subsystem in a basic embedded micro-controller based platform, the Arduino Mega with ATmega2560 consisting of just an 8KB RAM. In the ATmega2560, the average time taken by this system to extract feature set F_d is 747ms and to detect the class is 20ms for w_{opt} . This feature extraction time, for w_{opt} , was reduced to 49.3ms for the optimized feature set F_{td} , thereby reducing

the system latency and complexity with a minimal decrease in its efficiency. The average recall score for this system is 93.58%. By trading the number of sensors used, the system complexity was further reduced to fit in the ATmega2560. By deploying this system in a micro-controller at a minimum level configuration, we conclude that the system can be scaled for a wider range of embedded devices.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

In the future, this system can be tweaked to perform FoG prediction, which helps in detecting the FoG event much before its onset. This FoG prediction problem can be solved efficiently with the use of recurrent neural networks(RNN) [29] and ML models with a powerful feature set [30] which involves much higher system complexity. However, there is scope to resolve the model complexity of RNNs by implementing methods like early prediction and multiple instance learning [31] in order to be deployed on embedded devices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge Solarillion Foundation for its support and funding for this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. G. Nutt, B. R. Bloem, N. Giladi, M. Hallett, F. B. Horak, and A. Nieuwboer, "Freezing of gait: moving forward on a mysterious clinical phenomenon," *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 10, pp. 734-744, 2011. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70143-0
- [2] M. Macht, Y. Kaussner, I. C. Moller, K. Stiasny-Kolster, K. M. Eggert, H. P. Kruger, and H. Ellgring, "Predictors of freezing in Parkinson's disease: A survey of 6,620 patients", *Movement Disorders*, vol. 22, pp. 953-956, 2007. doi: 10.1002/mds.21458
- [3] Nieuwboer A1, Giladi N., "The challenge of evaluating freezing of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease", *Br J Neurosurg*, 2008;22 Suppl 1:S16-8. doi: 10.1080/02688690802448376.
- [4] N. Giladi, M. P. McDermott, S. Fahn, S. Przedborski, J. Jankovic, M. Stern, and C. Tanner, "Freezing of gait in PD: Prospective assessment in the DATATOP cohort", *Neurology*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 1712-1721, 2001. doi: 10.1212/wnl.56.12.1712
- [5] B. R. Bloem, J. M. Hausdorff, J. E. Visser, and N. Giladi, "Falls and freezing of gait in parkinson's disease: A review of two interconnected, episodic phenomena", *Movement Disorders*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 871-884, 2004. doi: 10.1002/mds.20115
- [6] I. Lim, E. van Wegen, C. de Goede, M. Deutekom, A. Nieuwboer, A. Willems, D. Jones, L. Rochester, and G. Kwakkel, "Effects of external rhythmical cueing on gait in patients with Parkinson's disease: A systematic review", *Clin. Rehabil.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 695-713, 2005. doi: 10.1191/0269215505cr9060a
- [7] T. Hashimoto, "Speculation on the responsible sites and pathophysiology of freezing of gait", *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, vol. 12, pp. 55-62, 2006. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2006.05.017
- [8] T. C. Rubinstein, N. Giladi, and I. M. Hausdorff, "The power of cueing to circumvent dopamine deficits: A review of physical therapy treatment of gait disturbances in Parkinson's disease", *Movement Disorders*, vol. 17, pp. 1148-1160, 2002. doi: 10.1002/mds.10259
- [9] A. Nieuwboer, "Cueing for freezing of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease: A rehabilitation perspective", *Movement Disorders*, vol. 23, pp. 475 - 481, 2008. doi:10.1002/mds.21978

- [10] E. Cubo, S. Leurgans, and C. G. Goetz, "Short-term and practice effects of metronome pacing in Parkinson's disease patients with gait freezing while in the on state: randomized single blind evaluation", *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, vol. 10, pp. 507 - 510, 2004. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2004.05.001
- [11] A. Delval, A. H. Snijders, V. Weerdesteyn, I. E. Duysens, L. Defebvre, N. Giladi, and B. R. Bloem, "Objective detection of subtle freezing of gait episodes in Parkinson's disease" *Movement Disorders*, vol. 25, pp. 1684-1693, 2010. doi: 10.1002/mds.23159.
- [12] Alice Nieuwboer, Ren Dom, Willy De Weerd, Kaat Desloovere, Luc Janssens, Vangheluwe Stijn, Electromyographic profiles of gait prior to onset of freezing episodes in patients with Parkinson's disease, *Brain*, Volume 127, Issue 7, July 2004, Pages 1650-1660, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh189>
- [13] A. Handojoseno, J. M. Shine, T. N. Nguyen et al., The detection of Freezing of Gait in Parkinson's disease patients using EEG signals based on Wavelet decomposition in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE, 2012. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2012.6345873
- [14] S. Mazilu, A. Calatroni, E. Gazit, A. Mirelman, J. M. Hausdorff and G. Trster, "Prediction of Freezing of Gait in Parkinson's From Physiological Wearables: An Exploratory Study," in *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1843-1854, Nov. 2015. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2015.2465134
- [15] I. H. Han, W. I. Lee, T. B. Ahn, B. S. Leon, and K. S. Park, "Gait analysis for freezing detection in patients with movement disorder using three dimensional acceleration system," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*, 2003. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2003.1279781
- [16] S. T. Moore, H. G. MacDougall, and W. G. Ondo, "Ambulatory monitoring of freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease," *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, vol. 167, pp. 340-348, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.08.023
- [17] M. Bachlin et al., "Wearable Assistant for Parkinson's Disease Patients With the Freezing of Gait Symptom," in *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 436-446, March 2010. doi: 10.1109/TITB.2009.2036165
- [18] E. Jovanov, E. Wang, L. Verhagen, M. Fredrickson and R. Fratangelo, "deFoG A real time system for detection and unfreezing of gait of Parkinson's patients," 2009 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, Minneapolis, MN, 2009, pp. 5151-5154. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2009.5334257
- [19] Ramesh A., Ganesan A.V., Anupkrishnan S., Rao A., Vijayaraghavan V. (2019) Design Optimization of Activity Recognition System on an Embedded Platform. In: Arai K., Kapoor S., Bhatia R. (eds) *Advances in Information and Communication Networks. FICC 2018. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol 886. Springer, Cham doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03402-3_46
- [20] A. Jain and V. Kanhangad, "Human Activity Classification in Smart-phones Using Accelerometer and Gyroscope Sensors," in *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 1169-1177, 1 Feb.1, 2018. doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2017.2782492
- [21] O. D. Lara and M. A. Labrador, "A Survey on Human Activity Recognition using Wearable Sensors," in *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1192-1209, Third Quarter 2013. doi: 10.1109/SURV.2012.110112.00192
- [22] Krishna G.G., Nathan K.S., Kumar B.Y., Prabhu A.A., Kannan A., Vijayaraghavan V. (2019) A Generic Multi-modal Dynamic Gesture Recognition System Using Machine Learning. In: Arai K., Kapoor S., Bhatia R. (eds) *Advances in Information and Communication Networks. FICC 2018. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol 887. Springer, Cham
- [23] S. Mazilu et al., "Online detection of freezing of gait with smart-phones and machine learning techniques," 2012 6th International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare (PervasiveHealth) and Workshops, San Diego, CA, 2012, pp. 123-130. doi: 10.4108/icst.pervasivehealth.2012.248680
- [24] Q. W. Oung et al., "Objective Evaluation of Freezing of Gait in Patients with Parkinson's Disease through Machine Learning Approaches," 2018 International Conference on Computational Approach in Smart Systems Design and Applications (ICASSDA), Kuching, 2018, pp. 1-7. doi: 10.1109/ICASSDA.2018.8477606
- [25] Chirag Gupta, Arun Sai Suggala, Ankit Goyal, Harsha Vardhan Simhadri, Bhargavi Paranjape, Ashish Kumar, Saurabh Goyal, Raghavendra Udupa, Manik Varma, and Prateek Jain. 2017. "ProtoNN: Compressed and Accurate kNN for Resource-scarce Devices. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*" (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research), Doina Precup and Yee Whye Teh (Eds.), Vol. 70. PMLR, International Convention Centre, Sydney, Australia, 1331-1340. <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v70/gupta17a.html>
- [26] Ashish Kumar, Saurabh Goyal, Manik Varma, "Resource-efficient Machine Learning in 2KB RAM for the Internet of Things" *ICML 2017*, <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v70/kumar17a.html>
- [27] Bohanec, M. & Bratko, "Trading accuracy for simplicity in decision trees" *I. Machine Learning* (1994) 15: 223. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00993345>
- [28] Shishir G. Patil, Don K. Dennis, Chirag Pabbaraju, Nadeem Shaheer, Harsha Simhadri, Vivek Seshadri, Manik Varma, Prateek Jain, "GesturePod: Gesture-based Interaction Cane for People with Visual Impairments", *Microsoft Research India, MSR-TR-2018-14*.
- [29] V. G. Torvi, A. Bhattacharya and S. Chakraborty, "Deep Domain Adaptation to Predict Freezing of Gait in Patients with Parkinson's Disease," 2018 17th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), Orlando, FL, 2018, pp. 1001-1006. doi: 10.1109/ICMLA.2018.00163
- [30] N. K. Orphanidou, A. Hussain, R. Keight, P. Lishoa, J. Hind and H. Al-Askar, "Predicting Freezing of Gait in Parkinson's Disease Patients Using Machine Learning," 2018 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC), Rio de Janeiro, 2018, pp. 1-8. doi: 10.1109/CEC.2018.8477909
- [31] Don Dennis, Chirag Pabbaraju, Harsha Vardhan Simhadri, and Prateek Jain. 2018. Multiple Instance Learning for Efficient Sequential Data Classification on Resource-constrained Devices. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-first Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 1097610987. <http://papers.nips.cc/paper/8292-multiple-instance-learning-for-efficient-sequential-data-classification-on-resource-constrained-devices.pdf>