

D -dimensional spin projection operators for arbitrary type of symmetry via Brauer algebra idempotents

A.P. Isaev^{a,b,1}, M.A. Podoinitsyn^{a,2}

^a *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna 141980, Russia*

^b *St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS,
Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia*

Abstract

A new class of representations of the Brauer algebra that centralizes the action of orthogonal and symplectic groups in tensor spaces is found. These representations make it possible to apply the technique of building primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra to the construction of integer spin Behrends-Fronsdal type projectors of an arbitrary type of symmetries.

¹e-mail: isaevap@theor.jinr.ru

²e-mail: mikhailpodoinicin@gmail.com

1 Introduction

It is thought that the theory of particles with higher spins j ($j > 2$) began with the paper by Fierz and Pauli [1]. Their approach was based on the imperative physical requirements of the Lorentz invariance and positivity of energy (after quantization). Further, from the works by Wigner [2] and Bargman and Wigner [3] it became clear that these conditions can be replaced by the requirement that single-particle states in quantum field theory are described by special irreducible unitary representations of the Poincaré group. For example, a particle with integer spin j and mass \mathbf{m} in the momentum representation is described by the completely symmetric rank j tensor field $\phi(k) \in (\mathbb{R}^{1,3})^{\otimes j}$ with the components satisfying the relations

$$k_{n_\ell} \phi^{n_1 \dots n_\ell \dots n_j}(k) = 0, \quad \eta_{m_i n_\ell} \phi^{\dots n_i \dots n_\ell \dots}(k) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where k^n are the coordinates of the four-momentum $k \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, the matrix $\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is the metric of the four-dimensional Minkowski space, and the on-shell condition $k^2 \equiv k^n k_n = \mathbf{m}^2$ is implied. Equations (1.1) are called the ***transversality and tracelessness conditions***, respectively.

To construct spin j irreducible unitary representations of the Poincaré group or in other words to extract fields obeying (1.1) from tensor space $(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})^{\otimes j}$, one can use special operators. These operators and their various generalizations are usually called the spin projection operators or TT-projectors (according to the first letters of the words *tracelessness and transversality*, see (1.1)).

The first well-known examples of this type projectors are the Behrends-Fronsdal (BF) spin operators $\Theta^{(j)}$, constructed in papers [4], [5], devoted to the fundamentals of the theory of higher spin fields (about this theory see, e.g., papers [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11] and references therein). The operators $\Theta^{(j)}$ project the space of rank j tensors $\phi(k) \in (\mathbb{R}^{1,3})^{\otimes j}$ onto invariant (under the action of the Poincaré group $ISO(1, 3)$) subspace of the completely symmetric rank j tensors $\phi(k)$ with the components satisfying (1.1). We note that the BF projectors proved extremely useful in elementary particle phenomenology. In particular, they are helpful for a systematic derivation of decay process amplitudes of particles with spin within the framework of the tensor formalism (see [12], [13] and [14]). The BF projectors are also needed in the covariant tensor formalism to obtain reliable results in the phenomenological spin-parity description of resonances in the meson spectroscopy [15].

A D -dimensional ($D > 4$) generalization of the Behrends-Fronsdal projectors for the case of integer spins was found for the first time in [16] (see also [17], [18]). The D -dimensional BF spin projection operator for half-integer spins was constructed in [18] and [19]. The D -dimensional operators of this type are used in different fields of modern theoretical physics³; therefore, different forms of these projectors appear in the literature (see, e.g., [17], [20], [21]).

In this paper, we give a constructive way of building BF projectors onto the spaces of all infinite-dimensional irreducible tensor representations of the Poincaré group $ISO(1, D - 1)$ for the case $D > 4$. We stress that here we consider only tensor representations, which are analogs of integer spin representations for the $D = 4$ case. The corresponding projectors act in the space $(\mathbb{R}^{1, D-1})^{\otimes j}$ and extract invariant subspaces of rank j tensors with the components $\Phi^{\ell_1 \dots \ell_j}(k)$ (where $k \in \mathbb{R}^{1, D-1}$ is D -dimensional momentum) which are symmetrized under

³TT-projectors are used in the formulation of conformal higher-spin actions proposed by Fradkin and Tseytlin [32]. For example, see the work [33], where the TT-projectors are used to construct higher-spin Cotton tensor controlling conformal geometry in three dimensional space-time (see also [34], [36] and [37]).

special permutations of indices ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_j and satisfy D -dimensional generalization of the conditions (1.1).

So our aim is to construct explicitly projectors onto the spaces of TT-tensors of rank j with different types of symmetries that are spaces of irreducible representations of the group $ISO(1, D - 1)$ for the multidimensional case $D > 4$. It is known (see, e.g., [22] and references therein) that such symmetries can be associated with the Young diagrams with j boxes. In particular, the completely symmetric rank j tensors are associated with the one-row Young diagram with j boxes. In the case of orthogonal groups SO , the finite dimensional irreducible tensor representations can be constructed by means of the Schur–Weyl–Brauer duality. The key ingredients here are the Brauer algebra and its primitive orthogonal idempotents (see [22] and [23]). The projectors onto invariant subspaces of rank j tensors with special type of symmetries (spaces of irreducible tensor representations of the group $SO(1, D - 1)$) are realized as images of the primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$ acting in tensor spaces $(\mathbb{R}^{1, D-1})^{\otimes j}$. These images are analogs of the Young symmetrizers, which solve a similar problem for linear groups SL and SU .

As mentioned above, the irreducibility of tensor representations of the Poincaré group $ISO(1, D - 1)$ requires transversality and traceless properties of tensors that form representation spaces. In this paper, we show that the D -dimensional Behrends-Fronsdal TT-projector of rank j is nothing but a complete symmetrizer in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$ taken in a special representation, which is described in Section 4. Making use of this fact, we propose a fairly general algebraic method of constructing all other D -dimensional TT-projectors that possess an arbitrary type of symmetry. Our method is based on the known constructions of all Brauer algebra idempotents (see [23], [26], [27]) and on using of a new family of representations of the Brauer algebra (see Section 4). The images of idempotents of the Brauer algebra in these new representations automatically give TT-projectors.

The work is organized as follows. In the second section, we recall the definition of the Behrends-Fronsdal spin projection operators in the D -dimensional case. In the third section, we present a brief definition of the Brauer algebra. We introduce a system of its generators and give defining relations for these generators. Then we briefly expose the procedure of constructing primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra. In the fourth section, we construct a new class of representations of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ acting in the space of rank j tensors. Then, by using these representations, we present images of primitive idempotents corresponding to rank j symmetrizers $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ that are projected onto spaces of complete symmetric j -rank tensors that are the spaces of irreducible representations (of the group $ISO(1, D - 1)$) associated with the 1-row Young diagrams with j boxes. We prove that these symmetrizers are equal to the D -dimensional Behrends-Fronsdal spin j projectors $\Theta^{(j)}(k)$ constructed in [17] and [18]. In the fifth section, we describe another approach to construct the completely symmetric projectors $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$. This approach is based on using the Zamolodchikov solution [30] of the Yang-Baxter equations and gives the different construction [26] of the complete symmetrizers (3.34) in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$. In this section, we also obtain new recurrence relations for the D -dimensional Behrends-Fronsdal spin j projectors $\Theta^{(j)}(k)$. In the sixth section, by using the new Brauer algebra representations constructed in Sect.4, we present a few examples of images of primitive idempotents. These images are TT-projectors onto tensor spaces of irreducible representations of the group $ISO(1, D - 1)$ associated with the special Young diagrams $\lambda: [1^m]$ and $[2, 1]$ (note that the number of rows m in λ can not exceed r , where $(D - 1) = 2r, 2r + 1$).

2 Behrends-Fronsdal spin projection operator in the general case

Here we recall the definition [17, 18] of the generalized D -dimensional Behrends-Fronsdal projector onto the spaces of irreducible completely symmetric tensor representations of the group $ISO(p, q)$, where $p + q = D$.

Definition 1. *The operator $\Theta^{(j)}(k)$ in the space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^{\otimes j}$, where $(p + q) = D$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$, with the matrix $(\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j}(k)$ is called the D -dimensional Behrends-Fronsdal projector if $\Theta^{(j)}$ has the following properties:*

- 1) *Projective property and hermiticity:* $(\Theta^{(j)})^2 = \Theta^{(j)}$, $(\Theta^{(j)})^\dagger = \Theta^{(j)}$.
- 2) *Complete symmetry:* $(\Theta^{(j)})_{\dots r_i \dots r_\ell \dots}^{n_1 \dots n_j} = (\Theta^{(j)})_{\dots r_\ell \dots r_i \dots}^{n_1 \dots n_j}$, $(\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{\dots n_i \dots n_\ell \dots} = (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{\dots n_\ell \dots n_i \dots}$.
- 3) *Transversality:* $k^{r_1} (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} = 0$, $k_{n_1} (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} = 0$.
- 4) *Tracelessness:* $\eta^{r_1 r_2} (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 r_2 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} = 0$, $\eta_{n_1 n_2} (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_j} = 0$.

Here

$$\|\eta_{kl}\| = \|\eta^{kl}\| = \text{diag}(\underbrace{+1, \dots, +1}_p, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_q),$$

is the metric in the space $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. We note that for real matrices $\Theta^{(j)}$ the hermiticity condition in 1.) is represented as $(\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} = (\Theta^{(j)})_{n_1 \dots n_j}^{r_1 \dots r_j}$ and the second equations in 2.) – 4.) follow from the first.

Instead of the matrix components $(\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j}$ symmetrized in the upper and lower indices it is convenient to consider the generating function

$$\Theta^{(j)}(x, u) = u_{n_1} \dots u_{n_j} (\Theta^{(j)})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} x^{r_1} \dots x^{r_j}. \quad (2.2)$$

where x^r and u^n are the components of the vectors $x, u \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and $u_r = \eta_{rn} u^n$.

Proposition 1 ([17, 18]) *The components of the D -dimensional spin projection operator $\Theta^{(j)}$ are defined uniquely by properties 1)-4) in Definition 1, and their generating function (2.2) has the form*

$$\Theta^{(j)}(x, u) = \sum_{A=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} a_A^{(j)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-2A}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ is the integer part of $j/2$, the coefficients $a_A^{(j)}$ (for $A = 0$ and $A \geq 1$) are

$$a_0^{(j)} = 1, \quad a_A^{(j)} = \frac{(-1/2)^A j!}{(j - 2A)! A! (2j + D - 5)(2j + D - 7) \dots (2j + D - 2A - 3)}, \quad (2.4)$$

and $\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)} = \Theta_{(u)}^{(x)}$ denotes the function

$$\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)} \equiv \Theta^{(1)}(x, u) = x^r u_n \Theta_r^n(k), \quad \Theta_r^n(k) \equiv \delta_r^n - \frac{k_r k^n}{k^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Remark. Constants (2.4) satisfy the recurrence relations

$$a_A^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j-2A+2)(j-2A+1)}{A(2j-2A+D-3)} a_{A-1}^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j-2A+1)j}{A(2j+D-5)} a_{A-1}^{(j-1)}, \quad (2.6)$$

which are used below.

Proposition 2 For the generation function (2.2) the following recurrence relation holds:

$$\Theta^{(j)}(x, u) = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \left(\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)} - \frac{1}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)}(u_k \partial_{x_k}) \right) (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} \Theta^{(j-1)}(z, u), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\partial_{x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$, the function $\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)}$ is defined in (2.5) and $\omega = (D-1)$.

Proof. In the right-hand side of (2.7) the differential operator $(\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1}$ acts on the generating function $\Theta^{(j-1)}(z, u)$, which is given in (2.3) where the coefficients $a_A^{(j-1)}$ are defined in (2.4). The result of this action is

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} \Theta^{(j-1)}(z, u) &= \sum_{A=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} a_A^{(j-1)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)})^A (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} \left((\Theta_{(z)}^{(z)})^A (\Theta_{(z)}^{(u)})^{j-1-2A} \right) = \\ &= (j-1)! \sum_{A=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} a_A^{(j-1)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-1-2A}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the second equality in (2.8) follows from the formula

$$(\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} \left((\Theta_{(z)}^{(z)})^A (\Theta_{(z)}^{(u)})^{j-1-2A} \right) = (j-1)! (\Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-1-2A}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now making use of (2.8), we write the right-hand side of (2.7) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{(j-1)} (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^j + \sum_{A=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} B_A^{(j)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-2A} - \\ - \frac{(j+1-2A)}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \cdot a_{A-1}^{(j-1)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-2A} \Big|_{A=\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where the coefficients $B_A^{(j)}$ are determined as follows:

$$B_A^{(j)} = a_A^{(j-1)} - \frac{2A}{(\omega+2(j-2))} a_A^{(j-1)} - \frac{(j-2A+1)}{(\omega+2(j-2))} a_{A-1}^{(j-1)} = a_A^{(j)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Here, in the last equality, we have used the recurrence relations (2.6) for the coefficients $a_A^{(j)}$ and condition $\omega = (D-1)$. Note that for odd and even j we have respectively the relations $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ and $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Besides, for odd j , the last term in (2.10) is zero, while for even j this term is equal to

$$a_A^{(j)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-2A} \Big|_{A=\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}.$$

As a result, the whole expression in (2.10) can be written (for both even and odd j) as

$$\sum_{A=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} a_A^{(j)} (\Theta_{(u)}^{(u)} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)})^A (\Theta_{(x)}^{(u)})^{j-2A} \equiv \Theta^{(j)}(x, u), \quad (2.12)$$

which proves the identity (2.7). ■

3 Brauer algebra and its idempotents

In this section, we construct primitive idempotents in the Brauer algebra. We use these idempotents in the next sections for building TT-projectors, which act in tensor spaces of irreducible representations of the group $ISO(1, D-1)$. Here we follow the exposition of [22], which is based on the results of papers [23], [25] (see also [26, 27] and references therein).

Definition 2. *The unital associative algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ over the field of complex numbers with generators σ_i and κ_i ($i = 1, \dots, j-1$) and defining relations (see [24] and, e.g., [23, 26])*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i^2 &= e, \quad \kappa_i^2 = \omega \kappa_i, \quad \sigma_i \kappa_i = \kappa_i \sigma_i = \kappa_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, j-1, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_\ell &= \sigma_\ell \sigma_i, \quad \kappa_i \kappa_\ell = \kappa_\ell \kappa_i, \quad \sigma_i \kappa_\ell = \kappa_\ell \sigma_i, \quad |i - \ell| > 1, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \quad \kappa_i \kappa_{i+1} \kappa_i = \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_{i+1} \kappa_i \kappa_{i+1} = \kappa_{i+1}, \\ \sigma_i \kappa_{i+1} \kappa_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_{i+1} \kappa_i \sigma_{i+1} = \kappa_{i+1} \sigma_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, j-2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

is called the **Brauer algebra**. Here e is a unit element and ω is a real parameter characterizing the algebra.

All basis elements of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ are composed as products of the generators σ_i and κ_i . The dimension of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ is

$$\dim(\mathcal{B}r_j) = (2n-1)!! = (2n-1)(2n-3)\cdots 3 \cdot 1. \tag{3.14}$$

One can consider the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ as an extension of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_j]$ of the permutation group S_j . The algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ plays the same role in the theory of representations of the orthogonal groups $SO(N, \mathbb{C})$ (and their real forms $SO(p, q)$) as the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_j]$ in the theory of representations of linear groups $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ (and their real forms $SU(N)$).

Define [22, 23] a set of special elements $y_m \in \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ ($m = 1, \dots, j$)

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= 0, \quad y_m = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (\sigma_{k,m} - \kappa_{k,m}) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_{k+1} (\sigma_k - \kappa_k) \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{m-1}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, j, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\sigma_{k,m} = \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_{k+1} \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{m-1}, \quad \kappa_{k,m} = \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_{k+1} \kappa_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{m-1}. \tag{3.16}$$

The operators $y_m \in \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ are called the Jucys-Murphy elements and play an important role in constructing primitive orthogonal idempotents of $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$. Note that the Jucys-Murphy elements can be expressed via the recurrence relation

$$y_1 = 0, \quad y_{n+1} = \sigma_n - \kappa_n + \sigma_n y_n \sigma_n, \tag{3.17}$$

and for illustration we present the first two nontrivial elements

$$y_2 = \sigma_1 - \kappa_1, \quad y_3 = \sigma_2 - \kappa_2 + \sigma_2 (\sigma_1 - \kappa_1) \sigma_2. \tag{3.18}$$

We define a subalgebra $Y_j \in \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ generated by all Jucys-Murphy elements $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j\}$. For brevity, below we omit ω in the notation $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ and write $\mathcal{B}r_j$. The following statement holds (see [22],[23]).

Proposition 3 *The Jucys-Murphy elements, defined in (3.15), form a complete set of commuting generators in \mathcal{B}_j*

$$[y_i, y_\ell] = 0, \quad \forall i, \ell. \quad (3.19)$$

The algebra Y_j is a maximal commutative subalgebra in \mathcal{B}_j .

Now we briefly discuss the procedure for constructing a complete system of primitive orthogonal idempotents $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_j$ that satisfy the relations

$$e_\alpha e_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha, \quad \sum_\alpha e_\alpha = 1. \quad (3.20)$$

In addition, we require, in the left regular representation of \mathcal{B}_j , the elements $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_j$ to be eigenvectors of the Jucys-Murphy generators:

$$y_m e_\alpha = a_m^{(\alpha)} e_\alpha, \quad a_m^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall m = 1, 2, \dots, j. \quad (3.21)$$

Such a choice of idempotents $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_j$ is always possible, since Jucys-Murphy elements $\{y_1, \dots, y_j\}$ commute with each other. Moreover, it can be shown (see [22]) that the idempotents e_α satisfying (3.20) and (3.21) commute with all Jucys-Murphy elements y_m (it means that e_α are the functions of y_m) and all eigenvalues $a_m^{(\alpha)}$ in (3.21) are real numbers. According to (3.21), each primitive idempotent $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_j$ is characterized by a set of eigenvalues $a_i^{(\alpha)}$ that form the spectral vector

$$\Lambda_\alpha = (a_1^{(\alpha)}, a_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, a_j^{(\alpha)}) \in \mathbb{R}^j, \quad (3.22)$$

where in view of (3.15) we have $a_1^{(\alpha)} = 0$. We denote the set of all spectral vectors (3.22) as $Spec(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. It is possible to prove (the proof is given in [22]) that eigenvalues $a_i^{(\alpha)}$ of y_i satisfy the condition

$$a_i^{(\alpha)} \in \{[1 - i, i - 1], [2 - i, i - 2] + (1 - \omega)\}, \quad (3.23)$$

where the bracket $[-z, z]$ denotes a set of integers

$$[-z, z] = \{-z, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, z\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (3.24)$$

and $[-z, z] + a$ denotes a set of integers shifted by a

$$[-z, z] + a = \{a - z, \dots, a - 1, a, a + 1, \dots, a + z\}. \quad (3.25)$$

The remaining conditions that completely determine the elements of the set $Spec(y_1, \dots, y_j)$ can be found in [22, 23].

Proposition 4 *Elements of the set $Spec(y_1, \dots, y_j)$ correspond one-to-one to the elements of a set of oscillating Young tableaux.*

In the formulation of this Proposition, we use the notion of the **oscillating Young tableau**. Now we recall (see, e.g., [22, 26, 27]) the notions of the Young diagrams and oscillating Young tableaux. The Young diagram λ with r boxes and k rows is a set of integers $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$ such that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_k > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = r$. The standard notation is $\lambda = [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k] \vdash r$. Consider a sequence of Young diagrams $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j\}$, which starts with a trivial diagram $\lambda_0 = \emptyset$, and the diagram λ_{k+1} standing in the sequence

Λ after λ_k is obtained either by adding one box to the outer angle of the diagram λ_k or by deleting one box in the inner angle of the diagram λ_k . Such a sequence Λ of Young diagrams is called the **oscillating Young tableau** (or updown Young tableau) of length j . In the figure below, we give an example of possible transitions from λ_k to λ_{k+1} in the oscillating Young tableau. In the first line we depict the Young diagram $\lambda_k^{(a)} = [3, 2, 2]$. The second line contains five diagrams that can be obtained from $\lambda_k^{(a)}$ by the operations, which were described above (adding or removing one box).




Figure 1: *Examples of possible transitions between the diagrams λ_k and λ_{k+1} in an oscillating Young tableau.*

Here, in the notation of the Young diagrams $\lambda_k^{(a)}$, in addition to the lower index k , we introduce the upper multi-index $(a) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ which is a content vector of the oscillating Young tableau, where the last Young diagram is λ_k . Now using the tables from Fig.1 as an example, we will explain according to what rules we select the value of the element a_{k+1} when moving from the table $\lambda_k^{(a)}$ to the table $\lambda_{k+1}^{(a, a_{k+1})}$, that is, when passing from the vector $(a) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ to the vector $(a, a_{k+1}) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$.

Define the coordinates $(s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ of boxes of an arbitrary Young diagram λ , where s – the row number and t – the column number of the diagram λ . For example, the upper left angle of the diagram has the coordinates $s = 1$ and $t = 1$. The value $(t - s)$ will be called the content of the box with the coordinates (s, t) . The diagram boxes in Fig. 1 are filled with the content, according to this rule. In the general case, when the box with the coordinates (s, t) is added to the diagram $\lambda_k^{(a)}$, then the coordinate $a_{k+1} = (t - s)$ is added to the vector $(a) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ of the new diagram $\lambda_{k+1}^{(a, a_{k+1})}$ thus obtained, and if the box with the coordinates (s, t) is deleted, then the coordinate $a_{k+1} = (t - s)' = (1 - \omega) - (t - s)$ is added to the vector (a) in the notation of the new diagram. For example, consider the diagram $\lambda_{k+1}^{(a, 2')}$ from the second line of Figure 1. It is obtained from $\lambda_k^{(a)}$ by deleting the box with the content 2; therefore, the value (a_1, \dots, a_k) is added to the vector $a_{k+1} = 2' = (1 - \omega) - 2$.

Thus, each oscillating Young tableau corresponds to a sequence of diagrams with transitions between them:

$$\Lambda = \{\emptyset \xrightarrow{a_1=0} \lambda_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \lambda_2 \xrightarrow{a_3} \lambda_3 \xrightarrow{a_4} \dots \xrightarrow{a_j} \lambda_j\}, \quad (3.26)$$

where each transition $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda_{k+1}$ is assigned a value a_{k+1} determined from the content value of the added or deleted box. It follows that to each oscillating Young tableau Λ of length j there corresponds a vector called the *content vector* of length j

$$A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j), \quad (3.27)$$

where, according to the rules for determining the numbers a_i for added or removed boxes, we have (see (3.23))

$$a_i \in \{[1 - i, i - 1], [2 - i, i - 2] + 1 - \omega\}. \quad (3.28)$$

The sequence set (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) for all oscillating Young tableaux of length j is called the set of content vectors of length j . The elements of this set uniquely correspond to the oscillating Young tables, as indicated in Proposition 4. On the other hand (see [22]), the set of content vectors coincides with the set $Spec(y_1, \dots, y_j)$.

We introduce the concept of the colored oscillating Young graph⁴ of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$, which is a convenient visual representation of all possible oscillating Young tableaux (3.26) of fixed length j . On the one hand, the vertices of such a graph at the level j correspond to irreducible representations of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$, on the other hand, such a graph indicates the branching rules of these representations (that is, indicates possible transitions from the diagram at the level of k to the diagrams at the level of $(k + 1)$). Note that the dimension of the representation $\mathcal{B}r_k$, corresponding to the vertex λ at the level k of the Young graph is equal to the number of paths starting at the very top vertex \emptyset and ending at this vertex λ . Thus, the oscillating Young graph encodes information on irreducible representations of the Brauer algebras, including the branching rules of these representations. For instance, Fig. 2 (taken from the book [22]) shows the oscillating Young graph for $\mathcal{B}r_4$, which gives information on irreducible representations of the Brauer algebras $\mathcal{B}r_k$, with $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.





Figure 2: *The colored oscillating Young graph for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_4$. The indices assigned to the edges (arrows) of the graph are the eigenvalues of the Jucys-Murphy operators $y_k \in \mathcal{B}r_4$, which are indicated on the left.*

We now proceed to the derivation (see, for example, [22]) of general formulas for primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra. The following figure shows a schematic view of the Young diagram λ located at the n -th level of the oscillating Young graph.

⁴”Colors” in this case are eigenvalues of the Jucys-Murphy elements y_k assigned to the edges of the graph. The idea to assign these eigenvalues to the edges of the Young graph belongs to O.V. Ogievetsky and one of the author of this paper [28].



Here $(n_i, \lambda_{(i)})$ are the coordinates of the boxes located in the inner angles of λ . Note that the number of boxes $|\lambda|$ of all diagrams λ at the level n obeys the inequality $|\lambda| \leq n$. Consider in the oscillating Young graph of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_{n+1}(\omega)$ any path $T_{\{\lambda;n\}}$ going down from the vertex $\{\emptyset;0\}$ to the vertex of $\{\lambda;n\}$ ⁵, i.e., consider the path corresponding to the oscillating tableau $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1 = \emptyset, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n = \lambda\}$. Let $E_{T_{\{\lambda;n\}}} \in \mathcal{B}r_n(\omega)$ be a primitive orthogonal idempotent corresponding to $T_{\{\lambda;n\}}$. Using the *branching rule*, which is dictated by the oscillating Young graph for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_{n+1}(\omega)$ (see the example in Figure 2), we can conclude that in order to move from the diagram λ at the n level to the diagram λ_{n+1} at the $(n+1)$ level along the path $T_{\{\lambda_{n+1};n+1\}}$, we need to add one box to the λ diagram (with the content $(\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1})$) to the outer angle of λ , or remove one box (with the content of $(\lambda_{(r)} - n_r)$), from the inner angle of the diagram λ . Knowing the contents of the added or deleted boxes, we know all possible eigenvalues $(\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1})$ or $(1 - \omega + n_r - \lambda_{(r)})$ of the element y_{n+1} in the representation defined by $E_{T_{\{\lambda;n\}}}$ and therefore we have the identity

$$E_{T_{\{\lambda;n\}}} \prod_{r=1}^{k+1} (y_{n+1} - (\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1})) \prod_{r=1}^k (y_{n+1} - (1 - \omega + n_r - \lambda_{(r)})) = 0, \quad (3.29)$$

where we put $\lambda_{(k+1)} = n_0 = 0$. Thus, for the new diagram $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda'$ obtained by adding the box to the diagram λ with the coordinates $(n_{i-1} + 1, \lambda_{(i)} + 1)$, the corresponding primitive idempotent (after suitable normalization) has the form [22]

$$E_{T_{\{\lambda';n+1\}}} = E_{T_{\{\lambda;n\}}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i}}^{k+1} \frac{(y_{n+1} - (\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1}))}{((\lambda_{(i)} - n_{i-1}) - (\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1}))} \prod_{r=1}^k \frac{(y_{n+1} - (1 - \omega + n_r - \lambda_{(r)}))}{((\lambda_{(i)} - n_{i-1}) - (1 - \omega + n_r - \lambda_{(r)}))}. \quad (3.30)$$

For the new diagram $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda''$ obtained from λ by deleting the box with the coordinates $(n_i, \lambda_{(i)})$, we get a primitive idempotent [22]

$$E_{T_{\{\lambda'';n+1\}}} = E_{T_{\{\lambda;n\}}} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{k+1} \frac{(y_{n+1} - (\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1}))}{((1 - \omega + n_i - \lambda_{(i)}) - (\lambda_{(r)} - n_{r-1}))} \prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i}}^k \frac{(y_{n+1} - (1 - \omega + n_r - \lambda_{(r)}))}{((n_i - \lambda_{(i)}) - (n_r - \lambda_{(r)}))}. \quad (3.31)$$

Using these formulas, as well as the initial data: $E_{T_{\{1;1\}}} = 1$, step by step we get explicit expressions for all primitive idempotents corresponding to paths in the oscillating Young graph for the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$.

Example. For the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$ we construct an explicit expression for the complete symmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$. In the space of the left regular representation of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$

⁵Here, in the notation for the vertex $\{\lambda;n\}$ the second character n in braces indicates the level at which the diagram λ appears. The level is indicated since in the oscillating Young graph (see Figure 2) the same diagrams can appear at different levels.

the idempotent $E_{T_{\{[j-1];j-1\}}}$ extracts the subspace in which the element y_j can be equal to three eigenvalues. Indeed, according to the *branching rule* discussed above, there are two possibilities to add a box to the diagram $[j-1]$, as indicated by the asterisks in the figure below, or delete the last box in the row with the content $(j-2)$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{0} \mid \boxed{1} \mid \dots \mid \boxed{j-3} \mid \boxed{j-2} \quad * \\ * \end{array}$$

Following the procedure for constructing idempotents described above, we deduce the identity for the element y_j

$$E_{T_{\{[j-1];j-1\}}} \cdot (y_j - (j-1)) \cdot (y_j + 1) \cdot (y_j + \omega + j - 3) = 0 \quad (3.32)$$

Since the symmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$ corresponds to the Young diagram obtained by adding the box with the content $(j-1)$, expression (3.32) gives us the recurrence relation for the symmetrizer ($j \geq 2$)

$$E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} = E_{T_{\{[j-1];j-1\}}} \cdot \frac{(y_j + 1) \cdot (y_j + \omega + n - 3)}{j \cdot (2j - 4 + \omega)} \quad (3.33)$$

Solving this recurrence relation, we obtain an explicit formula for the complete symmetrizer

$$E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} = \frac{(y_2 + 1) \cdots (y_j + 1)}{j!} \cdot \frac{(y_2 + \omega - 1) \cdot (y_3 + \omega) \cdots (y_j + \omega + j - 3)}{\omega \cdot (2 + \omega) \cdots (2j - 4 + \omega)}. \quad (3.34)$$

One can check that (3.34) obeys the identities ($\forall r = 1, \dots, j-1$)

$$\sigma_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} = E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} \cdot \sigma_r = E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}, \quad \kappa_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} = 0 = E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} \cdot \kappa_r. \quad (3.35)$$

The proof of these identities for the general q -deformed case is given in [29].

4 Realization of the Brauer algebra in tensor spaces

In the previous section, we used the presentation of the Brauer algebra which is given in Definition 2. Note that the Brauer algebra has a convenient visualisation in terms of braids (see [24], [26]). This graphical visualisation comes from a natural representation (see e.g. [22], [24]) in which generators (3.13) of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$ are given by some operators in the tensor space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,D-p})^{\otimes j}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{p,D-p}$ is the space of the defining representation of the orthogonal group $SO(p, D-p)$. In this section, we construct a family of tensor representations of the Brauer algebra in the space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,D-p})^{\otimes j}$. The natural tensor representation of the Brauer algebra is an example in this family. Another special representation in this family is used below for constructing TT-operators.

Introduce the triple $(\theta, \hat{\theta}, \check{\theta})$ of $D \times D$ real matrices $\hat{\theta} = \|\hat{\theta}_{nm}\|$, $\check{\theta} = \|\check{\theta}^{nm}\|$ and $\theta = \|\theta^n_m\| = \|\theta_m^n\|$ such that

$$\check{\theta}^{nm} \hat{\theta}_{ml} = \theta^n_\ell, \quad \hat{\theta}_{\ell m} \check{\theta}^{mn} = \theta_\ell^n, \quad \check{\theta}^{m\ell} \cdot \theta_\ell^n = \check{\theta}^{mn}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{m\ell} \cdot \theta^\ell_n = \hat{\theta}_{mn}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proposition 5 *The triple $(\theta, \hat{\theta}, \check{\theta})$ with relations (4.1) satisfy the conditions*

$$\theta_\ell^m \hat{\theta}_{mn} = \hat{\theta}_{\ell n}, \quad \theta_\ell^m \check{\theta}^{mn} = \check{\theta}^{\ell n}, \quad \theta_r^n \theta_\ell^r = \theta_\ell^n, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\text{Tr}(\theta) = \theta_\ell^\ell = \hat{\theta}_{\ell m} \check{\theta}^{m\ell} = \omega, \quad (4.3)$$

where ω is an integer number: $0 \leq \omega \leq D$.

Proof. Relations (4.2) follow directly from equalities (4.1). Formula (4.3) follows from the last relation in (4.2) which means that θ is a projector and eigenvalues of θ are equal to 0 or +1. Thus, ω is a rank of θ . \blacksquare

Consider the operators $P_r^{(\theta)}$ and $K_r^{(\theta)}$ ($r = 1, \dots, j-1$) acting in the space $(\mathbb{R}^D)^{\otimes j}$ according to the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} P_r^{(\theta)} \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_j}) &= \\ (e_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_r} \otimes e_{\ell_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_j}) \theta_{i_1}^{\ell_1} \cdots \theta_{i_{r-1}}^{\ell_{r-1}} \theta_{i_{r+1}}^{\ell_r} \theta_{i_r}^{\ell_{r+1}} \theta_{i_{r+2}}^{\ell_{r+2}} \cdots \theta_{i_j}^{\ell_j}, & \\ K_r^{(\theta)} \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_j}) &= \\ (e_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_r} \otimes e_{\ell_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_j}) \theta_{i_1}^{\ell_1} \cdots \theta_{i_{r-1}}^{\ell_{r-1}} \check{\theta}^{\ell_r \ell_{r+1}} \hat{\theta}_{i_r i_{r+1}} \theta_{i_{r+2}}^{\ell_{r+2}} \cdots \theta_{i_j}^{\ell_j}, & \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $i_\ell = 1, \dots, D$ and e_i are the basis vectors in the space \mathbb{R}^D .

Proposition 6 *If the triple $(\theta, \hat{\theta}, \check{\theta})$ satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), then the map $S_\theta: \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^D)^{\otimes j}$ defined on the generators $\sigma_r, \kappa_r \in \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$:*

$$S_\theta(\sigma_r) = P_r^{(\theta)}, \quad S_\theta(\kappa_r) = K_r^{(\theta)}, \quad (4.5)$$

is extended to the whole algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ as a homomorphism (i.e. S_θ is a representation of $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$).

Proof. Making use of formulas (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), one can check directly that the operators (4.5) satisfy the defining relations (3.13). It means that the map (4.5) can be extended to the whole algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$ as a homomorphism and S_θ is a representation of $\mathcal{B}r_j(\omega)$. \blacksquare

Remark 1. Consider the triple of $D \times D$ matrices $\theta_n^r = \delta_n^r$, $\hat{\theta}_{rn} = \eta_{rn}$, $\check{\theta}^{rn} = \eta^{rn}$, where δ_i^i is the Kronecker delta and

$$\|\eta_{rn}\| = \text{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_p, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_q) \quad (p+q=D) \quad (4.6)$$

is the metric in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. This triple satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) with $\omega = D$. Thus, according to Proposition 6, this triple defines the representation S of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(D)$, which acts in the space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^{\otimes j}$ (see (4.4), (4.5))

$$\begin{aligned} S(\sigma_r) \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_j}) &= \\ (e_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_r} \otimes e_{\ell_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_j}) \delta_{i_1}^{\ell_1} \cdots \delta_{i_{r-1}}^{\ell_{r-1}} \delta_{i_{r+1}}^{\ell_r} \delta_{i_r}^{\ell_{r+1}} \delta_{i_{r+2}}^{\ell_{r+2}} \cdots \delta_{i_j}^{\ell_j}, & \\ S(\kappa_r) \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_r} \otimes e_{i_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_j}) &= \\ (e_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_r} \otimes e_{\ell_{r+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\ell_j}) \delta_{i_1}^{\ell_1} \cdots \delta_{i_{r-1}}^{\ell_{r-1}} \eta^{\ell_r \ell_{r+1}} \eta_{i_r i_{r+1}} \delta_{i_{r+2}}^{\ell_{r+2}} \cdots \delta_{i_j}^{\ell_j}, & \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where e_ℓ are the basis vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. It is known (see e.g. [22]) that the action of the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(D)$ in the space of representation (4.7) centralizes the action of the group $SO(p, q)$

in the same space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^{\otimes j}$ of the representation $T^{(j)} \equiv T^{\otimes j}$, where T are the defining representations of $SO(p, q)$. It means that any operator X , which is a linear combination

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{(2j-1)!!} x_i S(a_i), \quad (4.8)$$

where summation runs over all basis elements $a_i \in \mathcal{B}r_j(D)$ and x_i are complex coefficients, commutes with any element $g \in SO(p, q)$ in the representation $T^{(j)}$:

$$X \cdot (T^{(j)}(g)) = (T^{(j)}(g)) \cdot X. \quad (4.9)$$

In view of Schur's Lemma, it means that the tensor product $T^{\otimes j}$ of the defining representations T of $SO(p, q)$ is reducible and it can be decomposed into a direct sum of its irreducible components. Subspaces of these irreducible components are extracted from the space of the representation $T^{\otimes j}$ by acting of special projectors, which are images (in the representation S given by (4.7)) of the primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j(D)$ (for details see [22]).

Remark 2. Let the triple of matrices $(\theta, \hat{\theta}, \check{\theta})$ be

$$\theta_n^m = \Theta_n^m(k), \quad \check{\theta}^{nm} = \Theta_\ell^n(k) \eta^{\ell m}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{mn} = \Theta_m^\ell(k) \eta_{\ell n}, \quad (4.10)$$

where the metric η is given in (4.6), the matrix $\Theta_n^m(k)$ is defined in (2.5) and depends on the momentum $k \equiv \vec{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{p,q}$. The triple (4.10) satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) with $\omega = (D - 1)$. Thus, according to Proposition 6, the operators (4.4) with the choice of (4.10) define the representation $S_{(\vec{k})} \equiv S_{\Theta(k)}$ of $\mathcal{B}r_j(D - 1)$. Just this representation of the Brauer algebra is needed for us to construct TT-projectors.

Consider the image of the complete symmetrizer (3.34) in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$

$$\Theta_{\{[j];j\}} \equiv S_{(\vec{k})}(E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}). \quad (4.11)$$

The operator $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ acts in the space $(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})^{\otimes j}$ and, in view of (3.35), satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\{[j];j\}} \cdot S_{(\vec{k})}(\sigma_{i,\ell}) &= S_{(\vec{k})}(\sigma_{i,\ell}) \cdot \Theta_{\{[j];j\}} = \Theta_{\{[j];j\}}, \\ \Theta_{\{[j];j\}} \cdot S_{(\vec{k})}(\kappa_{i,\ell}) &= S_{(\vec{k})}(\kappa_{i,\ell}) \cdot \Theta_{\{[j];j\}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where the elements $\sigma_{i,\ell}, \kappa_{i,\ell} \in \mathcal{B}r_j$ are defined in (3.16).

Proposition 7 *The operator $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ given in (4.11) is equal to the D -dimensional spin projection operator $\Theta^{(j)}(k)$ (see Definition 1 and Proposition 1)*

$$\Theta_{\{[j];j\}} = \Theta^{(j)}(k). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. The component form of conditions (4.12) is

$$(\Theta_{\{[j];j\}})_{r_1 \dots r'_i \dots r'_\ell \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} \Theta_{r'_\ell}^{r'_i} \Theta_{r'_i}^{r'_\ell} = \Theta_{n'_\ell}^{n_i} \Theta_{n'_i}^{n_\ell} (\Theta_{\{[j];j\}})_{r_1 \dots n'_i \dots n'_\ell \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_i \dots n_\ell \dots n_j} = (\Theta_{\{[j];j\}})_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$(\Theta_{\{[j];j\}})_{r_1 \dots r'_i \dots r'_\ell \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} \Theta_{r_i r_\ell}^{r'_i r'_\ell} = \Theta_{n_i n_\ell}^{n'_i n'_\ell} (\Theta_{\{[j];j\}})_{r_1 \dots n'_i \dots n'_\ell \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_i \dots n_\ell \dots n_j} = 0, \quad (\forall i, \ell). \quad (4.15)$$

We contract relations (4.14) with momentum k^{r_i} and k_{n_i} and use the properties $\Theta_r^n k^r = k_n \Theta_r^n = 0$. As a result, we obtain from relations (4.14) that the operator $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ obeys properties 2.) and 3.) of Definition 1 in Section 2. Then, we substitute explicit forms (2.5) of the matrices $\Theta(k)$ in equations (4.15) and use the transversality property 3.) for the operator $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$. In this way, we deduce that $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ obeys the property 4.) of Definition 1 in Section 2. Since the symmetrizer (3.34) is idempotent and satisfies the projection identity $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}^2 = E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$, the property 1.) of Definition 1 is fulfilled automatically for the matrix $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$. Thus, the operator $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$ obeys all four conditions of Definition 1 in Section 2, which determine the spin projection operator uniquely (see Proposition 1). It leads to identity (4.13). \blacksquare

In this section, we have constructed the representations $S_{(\vec{k})}$ of the Brauer algebra. These representations act in spaces of the tensor representations of the orthogonal groups. The primitive orthogonal idempotents of the Brauer algebra taken in these representations correspond to the spin projection operators, i.e. to the TT-projectors on the spaces of the irreducible representations of the D -dimensional Poincaré group. The advantage of our approach compared to other approaches is that we automatically obtain TT-projectors with a given symmetry related to a certain Young diagram. Also, using the representation (homomorphic map) $S_{(\vec{k})}$, one can transform the nontrivial identities for the Brauer algebra idempotents to the identities for the spin projection operators. For example, in the next section we deduce, following this idea, a new factorized formula for the Behrends-Fronsdal complete symmetrizer (2.3), (2.4).

5 New factorization formula for Behrends-Fronsdal symmetrizer. Recurrence relation

Now we describe another approach to construct the completely symmetric projectors $\Theta_{\{[j];j\}}$. This approach is based on the different construction (see [26]) of the complete symmetrizer (3.34) in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$.

Consider the rational function $\hat{R}_i(w)$ with values in the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$

$$\hat{R}_i(w) = \sigma_i \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_i}{w} + \frac{\kappa_i}{w - \varkappa} \right), \quad \varkappa = \frac{\omega}{2} - 1, \quad (5.16)$$

where the argument w is usually called the **spectral parameter**. This function is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation in a braid group form

$$\hat{R}_i(w) \hat{R}_{i+1}(w+v) \hat{R}_i(v) = \hat{R}_{i+1}(v) \hat{R}_i(w+v) \hat{R}_{i+1}(w). \quad (5.17)$$

Note that for $w = -1$ the function $\hat{R}_i(-1)$ has the following properties:

$$\sigma_i \hat{R}_i(-1) = \hat{R}_i(-1) \sigma_i = \hat{R}_i(-1), \quad \kappa_i \hat{R}_i(-1) = \hat{R}_i(-1) \kappa_i = 0. \quad (5.18)$$

Define the element $\Xi_j \in \mathcal{B}r_j$ by means of the recurrence relations

$$\Xi_j = \Xi_{j-1} \left(\prod_{i=j-1}^1 \hat{R}_i(-i) \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \hat{R}_i(-i) \right) \Xi_{j-1}, \quad (5.19)$$

where $\Xi_1 = 1$. Here and below we use the following convention in the ordering of products of noncommutative operators:

$$\left(\prod_{i=j-1}^1 \hat{R}_i \right) \equiv \hat{R}_{j-1} \cdots \hat{R}_2 \hat{R}_1, \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \hat{R}_i \right) \equiv \hat{R}_1 \hat{R}_2 \cdots \hat{R}_{j-1}.$$

Let us define the following elements:

$$R_{ik}(w) = \sigma_{i,k} \cdot \hat{R}_{ik}(w), \quad R_{ik}(w) = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{i,k}}{w} + \frac{\kappa_{i,k}}{w - \varkappa} \right) \in \mathcal{B}r_j(\omega), \quad (5.20)$$

where $\sigma_{i,k}$ and $\kappa_{i,k}$ are defined in (3.16); the parameter \varkappa is given in (5.16). Then the following relation holds:

$$\Xi_j = \prod_{k=j-1}^1 \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \right) \cdot \prod_{i=j}^2 \left(\prod_{k=i-1}^1 R_{ki}(k-i) \right), \quad (5.21)$$

which is directly deduced from (5.19) and (5.20). We note that the elements R_{ik} from (5.20) are solutions of the standard Yang-Baxter equation (cf. 5.17)

$$R_{ik}(w)R_{i\ell}(w+v)R_{k\ell}(v) = R_{k\ell}(v)R_{i\ell}(w+v)R_{ik}(w). \quad (5.22)$$

The image of the solution (5.20) in the representation (4.7) is known as Zamolodchikov's R -matrix [30].

Remark 3. Note that we can omit the dependence on the spectral parameters $(k-i)$ in the R -matrices $R_{ki}(k-i)$ in equation (5.21), since all spectral parameters are restored from the lower indices of the elements R_{ki} . Moreover, if we fix the spectral parameters in (5.22) as $w = (i-k)$ and $v = (k-\ell)$, then the Yang-Baxter equation (5.22) can be written in a concise form

$$R_{ik} R_{i\ell} R_{k\ell} = R_{k\ell} R_{i\ell} R_{ik}. \quad (5.23)$$

Proposition 8 *For the element Ξ_j the following representation holds [26]:*

$$\Xi_j = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{\ell=j-1}^k \hat{R}_\ell(\ell-j) \right) \equiv \prod_{\ell=j-1}^1 \hat{R}_\ell(\ell-j) \prod_{\ell=j-1}^2 \hat{R}_\ell(\ell-j) \cdots \prod_{\ell=j-1}^{j-1} \hat{R}_\ell(\ell-j). \quad (5.24)$$

The element Ξ_j defined in (5.19) and (5.24) satisfies the conditions (cf. (3.35))

$$\sigma_r \cdot \Xi_j = \Xi_j \cdot \sigma_r = \Xi_j, \quad \kappa_r \cdot \Xi_j = 0 = \Xi_j \cdot \kappa_r \quad (r = 1, \dots, j-1), \quad (5.25)$$

and we have the identity

$$E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}} = \frac{1}{j!} \Xi_j, \quad (5.26)$$

where the idempotent $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$ is given in (3.34).

Proof. We prove identity (5.24) for the element Ξ_j defined in (5.19) by induction. First, we rewrite the right-hand side of eq. (5.24) in terms of the elements (5.20):

$$\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{\ell=j-1}^k \hat{R}_\ell(\ell-j) \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{i=j-1}^k \sigma_i \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq j} R_{ik}, \quad (5.27)$$

where we use the concise notation $R_{ik} \equiv R_{ik}(i-k)$ and define the double product as

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq j} R_{ik} = \left(\prod_{k=2}^j R_{1k} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{k=3}^j R_{2k} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{k=j-1}^j R_{j-2,k} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{k=j}^j R_{j-1,k} \right). \quad (5.28)$$

Then we note that the prefactors containing only elements σ_i in right-hand sides of (5.21) and (5.27) are equal

$$\prod_{k=j-1}^1 \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{i=j-1}^k \sigma_i \right), \quad (5.29)$$

(one can prove this identity by means of induction over j). So to prove (5.24), it is sufficient to show that the following equality holds:

$$\prod_{i=j}^2 \left(\prod_{k=i-1}^1 R_{ki} \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq j} R_{ik}(i-k). \quad (5.30)$$

For $j=3$ we have the base of induction $R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} = R_{12}R_{13}R_{23}$ which is valid in view of the Yang-Baxter equation (5.23). Below we need the identity

$$\left[\prod_{i=j-1}^k R_{ij} \right] \left(\prod_{\ell=k+1}^{j-1} R_{k\ell} \right) = \left(\prod_{\ell=k+1}^j R_{k\ell} \right) \left[\prod_{i=j-1}^{k+1} R_{ij} \right], \quad (5.31)$$

which can be deduced by applying the Yang-Baxter equation (5.23) many times. Suppose that (5.30) is valid for $j \rightarrow (j-1)$. Then we consider the left-hand side of (5.30)

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=j}^2 \left(\prod_{k=i-1}^1 R_{ki} \right) = \prod_{i=j-1}^1 R_{ij} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < \ell \leq j-1} R_{i\ell} = \\ & = \left[\prod_{i=j-1}^1 R_{ij} \right] \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^{j-1} R_{1,\ell} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=3}^{j-1} R_{2,\ell} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{\ell=j-2}^{j-1} R_{j-3,\ell} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=j-1}^{j-1} R_{j-2,\ell} \right) = \\ & = \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^j R_{1,\ell} \right) \cdot \left[\prod_{i=j-1}^2 R_{ij} \right] \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=3}^{j-1} R_{2,\ell} \right) \left(\prod_{\ell=4}^{j-1} R_{3,\ell} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{\ell=j-1}^{j-1} R_{j-2,\ell} \right) = \cdots = \\ & \cdots = \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^j R_{1,\ell} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\ell=3}^j R_{2,\ell} \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{\ell=j-1}^j R_{j-2,\ell} \right) \cdot [R_{j-1,j}] = \prod_{1 \leq i < \ell \leq j} R_{i\ell}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

where in the first equality we use the induction hypothesis and in the following equalities we apply (5.31) many times (everywhere we use the concise notation from Remark 3).

Now the conditions (5.25) are deduced from the representation (5.24), recurrence relations (5.19) and conditions (5.18). Finally, we prove formula (5.26). Since the properties (3.35) for the idempotent $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$ are the same as the properties (5.25) for the element Ξ_j , then Ξ_j has to be proportional to the idempotent $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$. Therefore, to prove (5.26), it is enough to show that the element in the right-hand side of (5.26) satisfies the projection property, i.e. we need to verify the identity $\Xi_j \cdot \Xi_j = j! \Xi_j$. Indeed, it follows from the chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_j \cdot \Xi_j &= \Xi_{j-1} \cdot \hat{R}_{j-1} \cdots \hat{R}_2 \cdot \hat{R}_1 \cdot \Xi_j = j \cdot \Xi_{j-1} \cdot \Xi_j = \\ &= j \cdot \Xi_{j-2} \cdot \hat{R}_{j-2} \cdots \hat{R}_1 \cdot \Xi_j = j(j-1) \cdot \Xi_{j-3} \cdot \hat{R}_{j-3} \cdots \hat{R}_1 \cdot \Xi_j = \cdots = j! \cdot \Xi_j, \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

where $\hat{R}_k \equiv \hat{R}_k(-k)$. Here we use the recurrence formula (5.19) for the elements Ξ_ℓ and then apply the relations

$$\hat{R}_k(-k) \Xi_j = \frac{k+1}{k} \Xi_j, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, (j-1),$$

where we take into account the explicit form (5.16) of the element $\hat{R}_k(-k)$ and conditions (5.25). \blacksquare

Remark 4. Relations (5.33) lead to the formula $(j-1)! \Xi_j = \Xi_{j-1} \cdot \Xi_j$. Making use of the second part of (5.19) in the right-hand side of this formula, we obtain a new recurrence identity for the symmetrizers

$$\Xi_j = \frac{1}{(j-2)!} \Xi_{j-1} \hat{R}_{j-1} \Xi_{j-1},$$

which is useful in many applications.

In view of identity (5.26) the element $(j!)^{-1} \Xi_j$ in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ (see Remark 2) is equal to the D -dimensional Behrens-Fronsdal symmetrizer (4.11)

$$\frac{1}{j!} S_{(\vec{k})}(\Xi_j) = \Theta^{(j)} \equiv \Theta_{\{[j];j\}} \quad (5.34)$$

where the generating function for the matrix $\Theta^{(j)}$ is given in (2.3).

Now we prove identities (5.34) directly without using properties of Definition 1 in Sect. 2. For this purpose, we show that the matrices $(j!)^{-1} S_{(\vec{k})}(\Xi_j)$ and $\Theta^{(j)}$ satisfy the same recurrence relations.

These recurrence relations have a unique solution and this means that equality (5.34) holds.

Introduce the generating function for the matrix $(j!)^{-1} S_{(\vec{k})}(\Xi_j)$ (cf. (2.2))

$$\Xi^{(j)}(x, u) = \frac{1}{j!} u_{n_1} \cdots u_{n_j} (S_{(\vec{k})}(\Xi_j))_{r_1 \dots r_j}^{n_1 \dots n_j} x^{r_1} \cdots x^{r_j}, \quad (5.35)$$

where the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ is defined in (4.4), (4.10).

Proposition 9 *For the generation function (5.35) the following recurrence relation holds (cf. (2.7)):*

$$\Xi^{(j)}(x, u) = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \left(\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)} - \frac{1}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)} (u_k \partial_{x_k}) \right) (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} \Xi^{(j-1)}(z, u), \quad (5.36)$$

where $\partial_{x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$, the function $\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)}$ is defined in (2.5) and $\omega = (D-1)$.

Proof. Formula (5.36) is a consequence of the last equality in (5.19). Introduce the notation

$$\tau_i := \sigma_i - \frac{2}{(\omega+2(i-1))} \kappa_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{R}_i(-i) = \left(\frac{1}{i} + \tau_i \right).$$

Then one can write the last equality in (5.19) in the form

$$\Xi_j = \mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}_{j-1} \Xi_{j-1}, \quad (5.37)$$

where we have taken into account the conditions (5.25) and introduce a 1-shuffle element (about shuffle elements see [31] and [29] and references therein)

$$\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}_{j-1} = \left(1 + \tau_{j-1} + \tau_{j-2}\tau_{j-1} + \cdots + \tau_{j-k} \cdots \tau_{j-2}\tau_{j-1} + \cdots + \tau_1\tau_2 \cdots \tau_{j-1}\right). \quad (5.38)$$

Now in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$, identity (5.37) is written for the generating function (5.35) as

$$\Xi^{(j)}(x, u) = \frac{1}{j!} x^{n_1} \cdots x^{n_j} (\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_{j-1})_{n_1 \dots n_{j-1} n_j}^{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{j-1} \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\ell_j}} \Xi^{(j-1)}(z, u) \Theta(t, u). \quad (5.39)$$

Here we define the element

$$\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_{j-1} = (\tilde{\mathbb{I}} + \tilde{\tau}_{j-1} + \tilde{\tau}_{j-2}\tilde{\tau}_{j-1} + \cdots + \tilde{\tau}_{j-k} \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{j-2}\tilde{\tau}_{j-1} + \cdots + \tilde{\tau}_1\tilde{\tau}_2 \cdots \tilde{\tau}_{j-1}). \quad (5.40)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{I}} = \Theta_{n_1}^{l_1} \cdots \Theta_{n_j}^{l_j}$ and the element $\tilde{\tau}_i$ has the form ⁶

$$(\tilde{\tau}_i)_{n_1 \dots n_j}^{l_1 \dots l_j} = \left(\Theta_{n_1}^{l_1} \cdots \Theta_{n_{i+1}}^{l_i} \Theta_{n_i}^{l_{i+1}} \cdots \Theta_{n_j}^{l_j} - \frac{2}{(\omega+2(i-1))} \cdot \Theta_{n_1}^{l_1} \cdots \Theta_{n_i n_{i+1}} \Theta^{l_i l_{i+1}} \cdots \Theta_{n_j}^{l_j} \right), \quad (5.41)$$

where $\omega = D-1$. Let us simplify formula (5.39). Note, we will need it later, that the following formula hold:

$$\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_{j-1} = (\tilde{\mathbb{I}} + \overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_{j-2} \tilde{\tau}_{j-1}). \quad (5.42)$$

Consider the differential operator

$$x^{n_1} \cdots x^{n_j} (\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_{j-1})_{n_1 \dots n_{j-1} n_j}^{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{j-1} \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\ell_j}} \quad (5.43)$$

from the right hand-side of (5.39). Next we show that (5.43) reduces to the following sum of two simple terms

$$j \cdot \Theta_{(\partial t)}^{(x)} \left(\Theta_{(\partial z)}^{(x)} \right)^{j-1} - \frac{j(j-1)}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)} \Theta_{(\partial z)}^{(\partial t)} \left(\Theta_{(\partial z)}^{(x)} \right)^{j-2} \quad (5.44)$$

We now show that formula (5.44) is equivalent to (5.43). We carry out the proof by induction on j . For $j = 2$ formula (5.40) is represented as

$$(\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_1)_{n_1 n_2}^{r_1 r_2} = \Theta_{n_1}^{r_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{r_2} + \Theta_{n_2}^{r_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{r_2} - \frac{2}{\omega} \Theta_{n_1 n_2} \Theta^{r_1 r_2} \quad (5.45)$$

We make a contraction similar to (5.43) for $j = 2$, using formula (5.45) and the definition of the generating function $\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)}$, as a result we have

$$x^{n_1} x^{n_2} (\overline{\mathbb{I}\mathbb{I}}_1)_{n_1 n_2}^{r_1 r_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{r_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{r_2}} = 2 \cdot \Theta_{(\partial t)}^{(x)} \Theta_{(\partial z)}^{(x)} - \frac{2}{\omega} \Theta_{(x)}^{(x)} \Theta_{(\partial z)}^{(\partial t)}, \quad (5.46)$$

⁶Here the operator $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ really plays the role of a unit, since it trivially acts on all covariant combinations constructed from the matrix $\Theta = \|\Theta_m^n\|$ and space-time metric $\eta = \|\eta_{nm}\|$.

it follows that formulas (5.44) and (5.43) are equivalent for $j = 2$. Now we consider the sequence of transformations for (5.43) in case any j

$$\begin{aligned}
& x^{n_1} \cdots x^{n_j} (\overline{\text{III}}_{j-1})_{n_1 \dots n_{j-1} n_j}^{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{j-1} \ell_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\ell_j}} = x^{n_1} \cdots x^{n_j} (\Theta_{n_1}^{\ell_1} \cdots \Theta_{n_j}^{\ell_j} + \\
& (\overline{\text{III}}_{j-2})_{n_1 \dots n_{j-2} n_{j-1}}^{\ell_1 \dots \ell_{j-2} r_{j-1}} \Theta_{n_j}^{r_j} (\Theta_{r_j}^{\ell_{j-1}} \Theta_{r_{j-1}}^{\ell_j} - \frac{2}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{r_{j-1} r_j} \Theta^{\ell_{j-1} \ell_j})) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\ell_{j-1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\ell_j}} = \\
& = \Theta_{(\partial_t)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} + (j-1) \left(\Theta_{(\partial_c)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-2} - \frac{(j-2)}{(\omega+2(j-3))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(\partial_c)} \Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-3} \right) \\
& \cdot \left(\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)} \Theta_{(c)}^{(\partial_t)} - \frac{2}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(c)}^{(x)} \Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(\partial_t)} \right) = \Theta_{(\partial_t)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} + (j-1) \left(\Theta_{(\partial_t)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} - \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{2}{(\omega+2(j-2))} + \frac{(j-2)}{(\omega+2(j-3))} - \frac{2(j-2)}{(\omega+2(j-2))(\omega+2(j-3))} \right) \Theta_{(x)}^{(\partial_t)} \Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(\partial_t)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-2} \right) = \\
& = j \cdot \Theta_{(\partial_t)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} - \frac{j(j-1)}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(\partial_t)} \Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(\partial_t)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

Here in the first equality we used the recurrence relation (5.42), in the second equality we used the induction hypothesis (equivalence of formulas (5.44) and (5.43) for $\overline{\text{III}}_{j-2}$), and in the third equation we applied differentiation with respect to the auxiliary D -vector c . Based on (5.47), we can conclude that formulas (5.43) and (5.44) are equivalent.

Next, substituting (5.44) in (5.39) and then taking the derivative with respect to the variable t , we get

$$\Xi^{(j)}(x, u) = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \left(\Theta_{(u)}^{(x)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1} - \frac{(j-1)}{(\omega+2(j-2))} \Theta_{(x)}^{(\partial_t)} \Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(u)} (\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-2} \right) \Xi^{(j-1)}(z, u). \tag{5.48}$$

Now taking the expression $(\Theta_{(\partial_z)}^{(x)})^{j-1}$ out of the bracket of the right-hand side of (5.48), we reach formula (5.36). \blacksquare

6 Explicit examples of spin TT-projectors related to special Young diagrams

In this section we give as an example explicit construction of two idempotents of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_j$. These idempotents correspond, in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ (see Section 4), to the D -dimensional projectors of the Behrends-Fronsdal type with symmetries, which are related to the Young diagrams $[1^j]$ and $[2, 1]$ with a number of rows greater than one. For definiteness, we fix in the definition (4.10) of the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ the metric $\eta = \text{diag}(+1, -1, \dots, -1)$ corresponding to the case of the group $ISO(1, D-1)$.

Example 1. At the end of Section 3, we gave explicit formula (3.34) for the symmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[j];j\}}}$. Here we construct the idempotent $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}}$ which is an antisymmetrizer and corresponds to the Young diagram $\lambda = [1^j]$ consisting of one column of height j . According to the general formula (3.29), we have the identity for the antisymmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[1^{j-1}];j-1\}}}$

$$E_{T_{\{[1^{j-1}];j-1\}}} \cdot (y_j - 1)(y_j + j - 1)(y_j + \omega - j + 1) = 0, \tag{6.49}$$

where y_j is the Jucys-Murphy element. Using this identity and formula (3.30), we obtain the

recurrence relation for the antisymmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}}$

$$E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = \frac{E_{T_{\{[1^{j-1}];j-1\}}} \cdot (1 - y_j)(y_j + \omega - j + 1)}{j(-2j + \omega + 2)}. \quad (6.50)$$

Solution of the recurrence relation (6.50) with the initial condition $E_{T_{\{[1];1\}}} = 1$ is

$$E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = \frac{(1 - y_2)(1 - y_3) \dots (1 - y_j) \cdot (y_2 + \omega - 1)(y_3 + \omega - 2) \dots (y_j + \omega - j + 1)}{j!(\omega - 2)(\omega - 4) \dots (\omega - 2(j - 1))}. \quad (6.51)$$

Note that for the antisymmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} \in \mathcal{B}r_j$, one can write a simpler formula than (6.51). To derive this formula, we write the conditions for $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}}$, which are analogs of (3.35):

$$\sigma_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = -E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} \cdot \sigma_r, \quad (6.52)$$

$$\kappa_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = 0 = E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} \cdot \kappa_r, \quad \forall r = 1, \dots, j - 1. \quad (6.53)$$

It is clear that relations (6.53) follow from the conditions (6.52). Indeed, we have

$$\kappa_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = \kappa_r \cdot \sigma_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = -\kappa_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} \Rightarrow \kappa_r \cdot E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = 0, \quad (6.54)$$

where we used the relations $\kappa_r = \kappa_r \sigma_r$ and (6.52). The second equality is proved analogously. Therefore, the conditions (6.52) completely determine the antisymmetrizer $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}}$ in the Brauer algebra. Note that the same conditions determine the antisymmetrizer in the group algebra of the permutation group $\mathbb{C}[S_j]$. It means that the expression for $E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} \in \mathcal{B}r_j$ does not include the elements $\kappa_i \in \mathcal{B}r_j$ and has the form which is specific for $\mathbb{C}[S_j]$ (see for example [22])

$$E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}} = \frac{1}{j!} (1 - \sigma_{1,2}) \cdot (1 - \sigma_{1,3} - \sigma_{2,3}) \cdots (1 - \sigma_{1,j} - \cdots - \sigma_{j-1,j}). \quad (6.55)$$

We expand the brackets in the right-hand side of eq. (6.55) and take it in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$. As a result, we obtain the explicit expression for the **completely antisymmetric** D -dimensional spin projector (antisymmetric analog of the Behrends-Fronsdal projector)

$$(S_{(\vec{k})}(E_{T_{\{[1^j];j\}}}))_{n_1 \dots n_j}^{d_1 \dots d_j} = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_j} (-1)^{p(\sigma)} \Theta_{n_{\sigma(1)}}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_{\sigma(2)}}^{d_2} \cdots \Theta_{n_{\sigma(j)}}^{d_j}, \quad (6.56)$$

where the sum runs over all elements σ of the permutation group S_j and $p(\sigma)$ is the parity of σ . Note that the antisymmetric projector (6.56) is (by construction) the TT-projector and is orthogonal to the completely symmetric Behrends-Fronsdal projector (2.3).

Example 2. Here we consider the Brauer algebra idempotents $E_{T_{\{[2,1];3\}}}$, which correspond to the hook Young diagram $\lambda = [2, 1]$. The images of the idempotents $E_{T_{\{[2,1];3\}}}$ in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ yield the corresponding TT-projectors. To construct the idempotents $E_{T_{\{[2,1];3\}}}$ explicitly, we consider two oscillating Young tableaux Λ_1 and Λ_2 (see Section 3)

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\emptyset \xrightarrow{a_1=0} [1] \xrightarrow{a_2=1} [2] \xrightarrow{a_3=-1'} [2, 1]\}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \{\emptyset \xrightarrow{a_1=0} [1] \xrightarrow{a_2=-1'} [1, 1] \xrightarrow{a_3=1} [2, 1]\}. \quad (6.57)$$

Both tableaux have the length 3 and in both cases the final Young diagram is a hook

$$[2,1] = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \\ \hline \end{array} .$$

Let e_s and e_a be primitive idempotents corresponding to the diagrams [2] and [1²], respectively, which have the following explicit expressions:

$$e_s = \frac{(1+y_2)(y_2+\omega-1)}{2\omega}, \quad e_a = \frac{(1-y_2)(y_2+\omega-1)}{2(\omega-2)}. \quad (6.58)$$

These expressions can be easily obtained from the general formula (3.30). By using the **branching rules** (see Fig. 2) and oscillating Young tableaux Λ_1 and Λ_2 , given in (6.57), we derive two identities (3.29) for the elements e_s , e_a and y_3

$$e_s \cdot (y_3-2)(y_3+1)(y_3+\omega) = 0, \quad e_a \cdot (y_3+2)(y_3-1)(y_3+\omega-2) = 0. \quad (6.59)$$

By means of these identities we construct (see formulas (3.30) and (3.31)) two primitive idempotents

$$e_{\Lambda_1} = \frac{e_s \cdot (2-y_3)(y_3+\omega)}{3(\omega-1)}, \quad e_{\Lambda_2} = \frac{e_a \cdot (2+y_3)(y_3+\omega-2)}{3(\omega-1)}, \quad (6.60)$$

for which (by construction) we have $e_{\Lambda_\alpha}^2 = e_{\Lambda_\alpha}$ and $e_{\Lambda_1} \cdot e_{\Lambda_2} = 0$. Opening the brackets in (6.60), we obtain

$$e_{\Lambda_1} = \frac{1}{6\omega(\omega-1)} (2\omega(\omega-1) + 2\omega^2 y_2 + (\omega(3-\omega) - 2)y_3 + \omega(2-\omega)y_2 y_3 + 2\omega y_2^2 + (1-\omega)y_3^2 + (2-\omega)y_2^2 y_3 - \omega y_2 y_3^2 - y_2^2 y_3^2), \quad (6.61)$$

$$e_{\Lambda_2} = \frac{1}{6(\omega-1)(\omega-2)} (2(\omega(\omega-3) + 2) + 2(\omega(4-\omega) - 4)y_2 + \omega(\omega-1)y_3 + \omega(2-\omega)y_2 y_3 + 2(2-\omega)y_2^2 + (\omega-1)y_3^2 - \omega y_2^2 y_3 + (2-\omega)y_2 y_3^2 - y_2^2 y_3^2). \quad (6.62)$$

Taking into account the identity $\kappa_1 y_3 = 0$ and definition (3.18) for y_2 , one can rewrite relations (6.61), (6.62) in a concise form

$$e_{\Lambda_1} = \frac{1}{6(\omega-1)} \left((1+\sigma_1)(2\omega - (\omega-2)y_3 - y_3^2) - 4\kappa_1 \right), \quad (6.63)$$

$$e_{\Lambda_2} = \frac{1}{6(\omega-1)} \left((1-\sigma_1)(2(\omega-2) + \omega y_3 + y_3^2) \right). \quad (6.64)$$

Now we use the definition (3.18) for y_3 and express idempotents (6.63) and (6.64) in terms of the generators $\sigma_i, \kappa_i \in \mathcal{B}r_3$ ($i = 1, 2$):

$$e_{\Lambda_1} = \frac{1}{6} \left(2 - (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_1) - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} (2(\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_2 \kappa_1) + 2(\kappa_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \kappa_1) - (\kappa_2 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \kappa_2) - 4\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \sigma_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_1) \right), \quad (6.65)$$

$$e_{\Lambda_2} = \frac{1}{6} \left(2 - (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_1) + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} (3(\kappa_2 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \kappa_2) - 3\kappa_2 - 3\sigma_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_1) \right). \quad (6.66)$$

These are primitive idempotents in the algebra $\mathcal{B}r_3$, which are related to the Young diagram $[2, 1]$. The images of the idempotents e_{Λ_1} and e_{Λ_2} in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{\Lambda_1}))_{n_1 n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} &= \frac{1}{6} \left(2 \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} - (\Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} + \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3}) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} + 2 \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} - \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} \cdot \left(4 \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_1 n_2}^{d_1 d_2} + \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2 n_3}^{d_2 d_3} + \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1 n_3}^{d_1 d_3} - \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 2(\Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1 n_2}^{d_2 d_3} + \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_2}) - 2(\Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1 n_2}^{d_1 d_3} + \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_1 n_3}^{d_1 d_2}) + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_3} + \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1 n_3}^{d_2 d_3} \right) \right), \tag{6.67}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{\Lambda_2}))_{n_1 n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} &= \frac{1}{6} \left(2 \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} - (\Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} + \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} - 2 \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} + \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{3}{(\omega-1)} \cdot \left(\Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2 n_3}^{d_2 d_3} + \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1 n_3}^{d_1 d_3} - \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_3} - \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1 n_3}^{d_2 d_3} \right) \right). \tag{6.68}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the operators $S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{\Lambda_1})$ and $S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{\Lambda_2})$ given in (6.67), (6.68) are traceless and transverse (see conditions 3 and 4 in Definition 1, Section 2). It means that these operators are projectors onto two irreducible representations of the group $ISO(1, D-1)$ acting in the space of 3-rank tensors. These two representations are equivalent to each other. The explicit formulas for 3-rank tensors which form irreducible representations of the group $ISO(1, D-1)$ are obtained by action of the TT-projectors (6.67) and (6.68) on arbitrary tensors of rank 3.

The sum of primitive idempotents (6.65) and (6.66) gives the central idempotent in the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_3$:

$$e_{[2,1]} = (e_{\Lambda_1} + e_{\Lambda_2}), \tag{6.69}$$

associated to the Young diagram $[2, 1]$. The element $e_{[2,1]}$ satisfies the relation $e_{[2,1]}^2 = e_{[2,1]}$ and belongs to the center because it is a symmetric function of the elements y_2, y_3 (it means that $e_{[2,1]}$ commutes with all elements of the Brauer algebra $\mathcal{B}r_3$, see [22]). To prove the last statement, we substitute expressions (6.61), (6.62) of the idempotents e_{Λ_1} and e_{Λ_2} into the right-hand side of formula (6.69). As the result, we obtain the explicit form of $e_{[2,1]}$ in terms of the Jucys-Murphy elements y_2 and y_3

$$e_{[2,1]} = \frac{1}{3} \left(2 - y_2 y_3 + \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} (2(y_2 + y_3) - (y_2 y_3^2 + y_2^2 y_3)) \right), \tag{6.70}$$

which is indeed a symmetric function of the elements y_2 and y_3 . In terms of the generators $\sigma_i, \kappa_i \in \mathcal{B}r_3$ ($i = 1, 2$), expression (6.70) is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(2 - (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_1) + \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} ((\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_2 \kappa_1) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\kappa_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \kappa_1) + (\kappa_2 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \kappa_2) - 2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \sigma_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_1)) \right). \tag{6.71}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that formula (6.71) is mirror-symmetric, or in other words, it is invariant under simultaneous substitution: $\sigma_1 \leftrightarrow \sigma_2$ and $\kappa_1 \leftrightarrow \kappa_2$. Finally, the image of the central idempotent

$e_{[2,1]}$ in the representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]}))_{n_1 n_2 n_3}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(2 \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} - (\Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} + \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3}) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(\omega-1)} \cdot (2 \cdot (\Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} + \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3} + \Theta_{n_2}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_3}) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} - \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} - \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} - \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Theta_{n_1}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_2}^{d_3} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_1} - \Theta_{n_2}^{d_1} \Theta_{n_3}^{d_2} \Theta_{n_1}^{d_3} \right). \tag{6.72}
\end{aligned}$$

From the right-hand side of (6.72) we see that $S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]})$ is transversal and traceless with respect to all pairs of lower and upper tensor indices (Properties 3 and 4 in Definition 1, Section 2), i.e. the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
k^{n_1} (S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]}))_{n_{\sigma(1)} n_{\sigma(2)} n_{\sigma(3)}}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} &= 0 = k_{d_1} (S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]}))_{n_1 n_2 n_3}^{d_{\sigma(1)} d_{\sigma(2)} d_{\sigma(3)}}, \\
\eta^{n_1 n_2} (S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]}))_{n_{\sigma(1)} n_{\sigma(2)} n_{\sigma(3)}}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} &= 0 = \eta_{d_1 d_2} (S_{(\vec{k})}(e_{[2,1]}))_{n_1 n_2 n_3}^{d_{\sigma(1)} d_{\sigma(2)} d_{\sigma(3)}}, \tag{6.73}
\end{aligned}$$

where σ is an arbitrary permutation from the group S_3 . Thus, the operator (6.72) is a TT-projector but its action in the space of all 3-rank tensors gives a reducible representation of $ISO(1, D-1)$ since the projector (6.72) in view of relation (6.69) is the sum of two primitive projectors (6.67) and (6.68).

7 Conclusion.

In this paper, a new class of representations of the Brauer algebra is found. This allows us to apply the method of constructing irreducible finite dimensional representations of orthogonal and symplectic Lie groups (based on using the idempotents of the Brauer algebra) to construct irreducible representations of the D -dimensional Poincaré group. Using the new representations of the Brauer algebra, we derive a new recurrence formula for D -dimensional completely symmetric BF projector. In particular, we derive new explicit formulae for D -dimensional BF type projectors related to any symmetries, which correspond to the Young diagrams with two and more rows (in contrast to fully symmetric BF projectors, which correspond to the single-row Young diagram). To illustrate the obtained results, we find images of some special idempotents of the Brauer algebra in the new representations.

We hope that the generalizations of the BF projectors obtained in this paper will have useful applications. For example, generalized BF projectors could be useful for constructing and investigating different higher spin field theories. In particular, we know (see e.g. [35]), that the squares of BF spin projectors are used as building blocks of invariant constructions included in Lagrangians of higher spin field theories.

The formalism described here for constructing irreducible representations of $ISO(1, D-1)$ with a mixed type of symmetry is directly applied to the case of (anti) de Sitter symmetry group. Namely, the Brauer algebra representation $S_{(\vec{k})}$ defined by triple (4.10) can be formulated for metric η (4.6) with any signature (p, q) and in particular for the case $p = 2$ and $q = D - p$, which is specific for the group $ISO(2, D - 2)$. We also note that this generalization seems possible, since some facts are already known in the literature regarding the establishment

of the correct correspondence between unitary irreducible representations of the groups $SO(2, D - 2)$ and $SO(1, D - 1)$ and fields, respectively, in the spaces AdS_{D-1} and dS_{D-1} (see papers [38], [39], [40], [41] and references therein).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank S.O.Krivonos and O.V.Ogievetsky for useful discussions. M.A.P. acknowledges the support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, projects No. 19-01-00726-a. A.P.I. acknowledges the support of the Russian Science Foundation, grant No. 19-11-00131.

References

- [1] M.Fierz, W.Pauli, *On relativistic wave equations for particles of arbitrary spin in an electromagnetic field*, Proc. R. Soc. London. Series A., 173.953 (1939) 211-232.
- [2] E.P.Wigner, *On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group*, An. Math., (1939) 149-204.
- [3] V. Bargmann, E.P. Wigner, *Group theoretical discussion of relativistic wave equations*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 34.5(1948) 211.
- [4] C.Fronsdal, *On the theory of higher spin fields*, Nuovo Cimento, 9 (1958) 416-443.
- [5] R.E.Behrends, C.Fronsdal, *Fermi decay for higher spin particles*, Physical Review, 106.2 (1957) 345.
- [6] W. Siegel, S.J.Gates, Jr., *Superprojectors*, Nucl. Phys. B, 189.2 (1981) 295-316.
- [7] S.J.Gates, Jr., M.T. Grisaru, M.Roček, W. Siegel, *Superspace, or one thousand and one lessons in supersymmetry*, Front. Phys. 58, 1 (1983); [hep-th/0108200].
- [8] M.A.Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge theories in various dimensions*, Fortschritte der Physik: Progress of Physics 52.6-7 (2004) 702; arXiv:hep-th/0401177.
- [9] D.Sorokin, *Introduction to the classical theory of higher spins*, AIP Conference Proceedings, American Institute of Physics, v.767, No.1 (2005) 172; arXiv:hep-th/0405069.
- [10] O.A.Gelfond and M.A.Vasiliev, *Higher-rank fields and currents*, Journal of High Energy Physics 2016.10 (2016) 67; arXiv:1312.6673 [hep-th].
- [11] S.Giombi, *TASI Lectures on the Higher Spin-CFT duality*, arXiv:1607.02967.
- [12] C.Zemach, *Use of angular-momentum tensors*, Physical Review, 140.1B (1965) B97.
- [13] S.U. Chung, *Spin formalisms*, preprint BNL-QGS-02-0900 (2014); <http://suchung.web.cern.ch/suchung/spinfm1.pdf>.

- [14] S.U.Chung, *Helicity-coupling amplitudes in tensor formalism*, Physical Review D, 48.3 (1993) 1225.
- [15] V. Filippini, A. Fontana, A. Rotondi, *Covariant spin tensors in meson spectroscopy*, Physical Review D, 51.5 (1995) 2247.
- [16] A.Y.Segal, *Conformal higher spin theory*, Nucl. Phys. B, 664 (2003) 59-130; [hep-th/0207212].
- [17] D.Ponomarev, A.A.Tseytlin, *On quantum corrections in higher-spin theory in flat space*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2016.5 (2016) 184; arXiv:1603.06273 [hep-th].
- [18] A.P.Isaev, M.A.Podoinitsyn, *Two-spinor description of massive particles and relativistic spin projection operators*, Nucl. Phys. B, 929 (2018) 452-484; arXiv:1712.00833 [hep-th].
- [19] A.P.Isaev, M.A.Podoinicin, *Unitary representations of the Wigner group $ISL(2, C)$ and a two-spinor description of massive particles with an arbitrary spin*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 195.3 (2018) 331–361.
- [20] A.P.Isaev, M.A.Podoinicin, *Polarization tensors for massive arbitrary-spin particles and the Behrends–Fronsdal projection operator*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 198.1 (2019) 101–112.
- [21] M.A.Podoinicin, *Polarization Spin-Tensors in Two-Spinor Formalism and Behrends–Fronsdal Spin Projection Operator for D -Dimensional Case*, Physics of Particles and Nuclei Letters, 16.4 (2019) 315–320.
- [22] A.P.Isaev, V.A.Rubakov, *Theory Of Groups And Symmetries II. Representations of groups and Lie algebras, applications*. JINR preprint 2019-38 (in Russian) 482 pp.; World Scientific (2020), in press.
- [23] M.Nazarov, *Young’s orthogonal form for Brauer’s centralizer algebra*, Journal of Algebra, 182.3 (1996) 664-693.
- [24] R.Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups*, Annals of Mathematics, (1937) 857-872.
- [25] A.M.Vershik, A.Yu.Okounkov, *A New Approach to the Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups. 2.*; arXiv preprint math/0503040 (2005).
- [26] A.P.Isaev, A.I.Molev, *Fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra*, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal, 22.3 (2011) 437-446; arXiv:0812.4113 [math.RT].
- [27] A.P.Isaev, A.I.Molev, O.V.Ogievetsky, *A new fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra and evaluation homomorphisms*, International Mathematics Research Notices, 2012.11 (2012) 2571-2606; arXiv:1101.1336 [math.RT].
- [28] A.P. Isaev and O.V. Ogievetsky, *Representations of A-type Hecke algebras*, Proceedings of International Workshop ”Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries” (Dubna, July 27-31, 2005) 2006, pp. 132-141; arXiv:0912.3701 [math.QA].

- [29] A.P.Isaev, *Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, preprint Bonn MPI-132, 2004; http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/ebook/serien/e/mpi_mathematik/2004/132.pdf.
- [30] A.B.Zamolodchikov, Al.B.Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-matrices in two-dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models*, Annals Phys., 120 (1979) 253; *Relativistic factorized S matrix in two-dimensions having $O(N)$ isotopic symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B, 133.3 (1978) 525.
- [31] A.P.Isaev, O.V.Ogievetsky, *Braids, shuffles and symmetrizers*, J.Phys. A: Math. Theor., 42 (2009) 304017.
- [32] E.S.Fradkin, A.A.Tseytlin, *Conformal supergravity*, Physics Reports, 119.4-5 (1985) 233-362.
- [33] E.I.Buchbinder, S.M.Kuzenko, J.La Fontaine, M.Ponds, *Spin projection operators and higher-spin Cotton tensors in three dimensions*, Physics Letters B, 790 (2019) 389-395.
- [34] M.Henneaux, S.Hörtner, A.Leonard, *Higher spin conformal geometry in three dimensions and prepotentials for higher spin gauge fields*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2016.1 (2016) 73.
- [35] S.M.Kuzenko, M.Ponds, *Spin projection operators in (A) dS and partial masslessness*, Physics Letters B, 800 (2020) 135128.
- [36] S.M.Kuzenko, *Higher spin super-Cotton tensors and generalisations of the linear-chiral duality in three dimensions*, Physics Letters B, 763 (2016) 308-312.
- [37] S.M.Kuzenko, M. Tsulaia, *Off-shell massive $N=1$ supermultiplets in three dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B, 914 (2017) 160-200.
- [38] R.R.Metsaev, *Massless mixed-symmetry bosonic free fields in d-dimensional anti-de Sitter space-time*, Physics Letters B, 354.1-2 (1995) 78-84.
- [39] E.Joung, J.Mourad, R.Parentani, *Group theoretical approach to quantum fields in de Sitter space I. The principal series*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2006.08 (2006) 082.
- [40] E.Joung, J.Mourad, R.Parentani, *Group theoretical approach to quantum fields in de Sitter space II. The complementary and discrete series*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2007.09 (2007) 030.
- [41] T.Basile, X.Bekaert, N.Boulanger, *Mixed-symmetry fields in de Sitter space: a group theoretical glance*, Journal of High Energy Physics, 2017.5 (2017) 81.