Energy transport in one-dimensional chains of particles with three conservation laws is generically anomalous and belongs to the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang dynamical universality class. Surprisingly, some examples where an apparent normal heat diffusion is found over a large range of length scales were reported. We propose a novel physical explanation of these intriguing observations. We develop a scaling analysis which explains how this may happen in the vicinity of an integrable limit, such as, but not only, the famous Toda model. In this limit, heat transport is mostly supplied by quasi-particles with a very large mean free path $\ell$. Upon increasing the system size $L$, three different regimes can be observed: a ballistic one, an intermediate diffusive range, and, eventually, the crossover to the anomalous (hydrodynamic) regime. Our theoretical considerations are supported by numerical simulations of a gas of diatomic hard-point particles for almost equal masses and of a weakly perturbed Toda chain. Finally, we discuss the case of the perturbed harmonic chain, which exhibits a yet different scenario.

Although the general framework is pretty well understood, there are still open issues that escaped so far a convincing explanation. For definiteness, we focus on anharmonic chains, represented by a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \left[ \frac{p_n^2}{2m_n} + U(q_{n+1} - q_n) \right],$$

where $m_n$, $q_n$ and $p_n$ are respectively the mass, displacement and momentum of the $n$th particle. For a generic potential $U$, this family of models should show superdiffusive heat transport in the KPZ universality class, as confirmed by several studies \cite{32,33}. However, there is evidence of significant deviations of the dynamical exponents in some models with hard-core potential \cite{28}. Moreover, chains allowing for bond dissociation (like e.g. Lennard-Jones, Morse, and Coulomb potentials) unexpectedly display finite thermal conductivity \cite{29,30}, while other similar potentials closely follow the prediction of anomalous scaling \cite{31}. For the double-well potential, an intermediate-energy regime with almost diffusive transport has been reported \cite{32,33}.

Another, more surprising feature is the (apparent) normal diffusive heat transport observed at low energies in asymmetric potentials \cite{34} like the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou-$\alpha\beta$ (FPUT) chain, where $m_n = m$ and $U_{\alpha\beta}(y) = y^2/2 + \alpha y^3/3 + \beta y^4/4$. Yet, more compelling evidence of a seemingly normal transport has been found in a Toda lattice under the action of an additional conservative noise \cite{35} and in the diatomic Hard Point Gas (HPG) \cite{36} (see also \cite{37}). In the first context, successive studies showed that the diffusive regime is a finite-
size effect, whereby anomalous behavior is recovered for large enough $L$ [38, 39] (see also the discussion based on mode-coupling arguments in Ref. [40]). The same is demonstrated in Ref. [41] for a stochastic hard-core gas model.

In this Letter we present a general explanation of the counter-intuitive normal transport observed in several models. In a nutshell the argument runs as follows. The length-independent flux exhibited by integrable systems is the result of the free displacement of quasi-particles (the integrals of motion, such as solitons) from the hot towards the cold reservoir. In the vicinity of the integrable limit, as a result of mutual interactions, the quasi-particles have a finite mean free path $\ell$. Thereby, a purely ballistic behavior can be observed only for $L < \ell$. On the other hand, $L > \ell$ is not a sufficient condition to observe a crossover towards the anomalous behavior predicted by the above mentioned theoretical arguments. In fact, it is necessary for $L$ to be so long that the normal flux induced by inter-particle scattering becomes negligible.

In more quantitative terms, building upon the intuition contained in Ref. [42], we conjecture that the heat flux $J(L, \varepsilon)$ is the sum of two terms,

$$J(L, \varepsilon) = J_A(L, \varepsilon) + J_N(L, \varepsilon),$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the distance from the integrable limit, $J_A$ is the hydrodynamic contribution, arising from the mutual interaction among density, energy, and momentum fluctuations, and $J_N$ is a kinetic contribution, accounting for the energy transported by the weakly interacting quasi-particles.

For $L \to \infty$, $J_A \approx L^{\eta-1}$ with $\eta = 1/3$ in systems belonging to the KPZ class [16, 17], while $\eta = 1/2$ in some special cases, like models with symmetric interaction potentials (e.g., FPUT-$\beta$ chain with potential $U_\beta(y) = y^2/2 + y^4/4$) and models subject to conservative noise (e.g., the noisy harmonic [32, 33] or nonlinear Toda [50] chains).

On the basis of standard kinetic arguments [45], $J_N$ is expected to be a function of a single compound variable, the effective length $\xi = L/\ell$ expressed in units of the mean free path $\ell$, the only relevant scale in this context,

$$J_N(L, \varepsilon) = j_N(L/\ell).$$

For $\xi \gg 1$, we expect $j_N(\xi) \propto 1/\xi$, meaning that the flux is the result of a standard diffusive process, while $j_N(0)$ is a finite value, meaning that the process is ballistic for system sizes smaller than the mean free path ($\xi \ll 1$). The entire $j_N(\xi)$ dependence is captured by the simple effective formula

$$j_N(\xi) = \frac{j_0}{r + \xi},$$

where $r$ is a constant accounting for the boundary resistance [46] and $j_0$ is an additional constant.

The vicinity to the integrable limit manifests itself as a divergence of the mean free path, which we account for by assuming $\ell \approx \varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, where $\theta > 0$ is a system-dependent exponent. As long as $J_A(L, \varepsilon)$ does not display any singularity for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (we return to this point in the final part of the Letter), we can neglect its dependence on $\varepsilon$ (for $\varepsilon \ll 1$). Therefore, for large $L$, Eq. (2) can be rewritten as

$$J(L, \varepsilon) \approx \frac{c_A}{L^{1-\eta}} + \frac{c_N}{L^{\varepsilon^\theta}},$$

where $c_A$ and $c_N$ are two suitable parameters. Accordingly, the anomalous contribution prevails only above the crossover length $\ell_c \approx \varepsilon^{-\theta/\eta}$. For $L \leq \ell_c$, heat conduction is dominated by $j_N$. In particular, within the range $[\ell = \varepsilon^{-\theta}, \ell_c]$ an apparent normal conductivity is expected, which is nothing but a finite size effect.

Now, we start the numerical analysis, focusing on models of the class [11]. More specifically, we shall consider the HPG [47, 48], and the Toda chain [50, 51] with interaction potential $U_T(y) = (e^{-y} + y - 1)$.

The HPG dynamics consists of successive collisions between neighboring particles according to the kinematic rules

$$u'_i = \frac{m_i + m_{i+1}}{m_i + m_{i+1}} u_i + \frac{2m_{i+1}}{m_i + m_{i+1}} u_{i+1},$$

$$u'_{i+1} = \frac{2m_i}{m_i + m_{i+1}} u_i - \frac{m_i - m_{i+1}}{m_i + m_{i+1}} u_{i+1},$$

where $u_n = \dot{q}_n$ and the primed variables denote the values after the collision. Simulations are very efficient since they only require keeping track of the collisions [48].

For equal masses ($m_n = m$), both models are completely integrable: in the HPG, the constants of motion are the initial velocities, while in the Toda model the conserved actions are given functions of positions and momenta [52, 53]. Both models can be seen as gases of quasi-particles: *velocitons* for HPG [47] and *solitons* for Toda [50]. Transport is ballistic: $k(L)$ is proportional to $L$ and the energy-current correlation function does not decay to zero at large times [53, 55]. Note that, according to the classification of Ref. [54], the two models are noninteracting and interacting, respectively.

Here below, we consider two different ways of breaking integrability (i.e. to induce interactions among the quasi-particles): (i) different masses, such as a diatomic arrangement whereby $m_n = m_1 = \frac{m}{2}(1 - \delta)$ ($m_n = m_2 = \frac{m}{2}(1 + \delta)$) for odd (even) $n$ [47, 49]; (ii) a conservative noise through random collisions exchanging the momenta of neighboring particles at a given rate $\gamma$ (see also a related model in Ref. [58]). In the former (latter) case $\delta$ ($\gamma$) plays the role of the above mentioned closeness parameter $\varepsilon$. In either case, only three conservation laws survive, momentum, energy and stretch, yielding anomalous transport and dynamical scaling [59]. For large enough $\delta$-values, there is overwhelming evidence
that both the diatomic HPG and Toda belong to the KPZ universality class. For the randomly perturbed Toda chain, evidence of a diverging conductivity is solid with $\eta \approx 0.44$ for the large collision rate $\gamma = 1$.

where $\chi_{1,2}$ denote the velocities of the quasi-particles encountered by the velociton $u$, whereby assumed to be uncorrelated Gaussian variables with zero average and variance $\langle \chi_{1,2}^2 \rangle = v^2$ (neglecting the mass difference between the two particles). To leading order in $\delta$, the map can be turned into the stochastic differential equation

$$\dot{u} = -\delta^2 u + \sqrt{2\delta} u \zeta,$$

where $\zeta$ is a unit-variance white noise, while time is measured in $2\tau$ units, where $\tau$ is the average collision time. As a result, $u$ diffuses, its variance growing initially as $D_u = 2\delta^2 v^2 t$, so that the time needed for $D_u$ to be approximately equal to $v^2$ is $t \approx \tau/\delta^2$ (in physical time units) and the corresponding mean free path is $\ell \approx \nu \tau/\delta^2$. In other words, we expect $\theta = 2$.

Numerical results have been obtained by implementing the standard non-equilibrium procedure [1, 2]. Left and right edges are attached to Maxwellian heat baths at temperatures $T_L = 6$ and $T_R = 4$ ($\Delta T = T_L - T_R$) and the flux $J$ determined from the average energy exchanged in the steady state. For the HPG we employ the thermal-wall method as detailed, e.g., in Ref. [36].

In Fig. (a) we plot the rescaled thermal conductivity $\delta^2 \kappa$ of the diatomic HPG, referred to the effective length $\hat{\xi} = \delta \xi^2$ (the various curves correspond to different $\delta$ values - $\delta$ decreases from top to bottom). There is clear evidence of a ballistic regime followed by a diffusive one, as accounted for by Eq. (3). For the smaller $\delta$’s, Fourier-like transport persists up to the maximal available $L$, while a crossover to the anomalous regime is seen upon increasing $\delta$ (see the uppermost curve). Upon decreasing $\delta$, the curves converge from above towards an asymptotic shape, $\kappa_N = j_N(\xi) / \Delta T$. In fact, for $\delta \to 0$ and fixed $\xi$, $L$ increases ($L = \xi/\delta^2$), so that the corresponding $J_A(L)$ contribution becomes increasingly negligible.

Then, $j_N$ is estimated from the data for the smallest perturbation amplitude (since $J_A$ is practically negligible over the explored length range). The simple formula [4] proves remarkably accurate: see the solid upper curve in Fig. 2 to be compared with the circles, which represent the numerical HPG results.

As a second test, we consider the diatomic Toda model [36]. For large energy densities, most of its dynamical properties are basically equal to the HPG and we thus expect again $\ell \approx \delta^{-2}$, i.e. $\theta = 2$. At lower energies, one should estimate the soliton scattering rates due to mass inhomogeneities; however there is no reason to expect a different scaling behavior. Indeed, the typical thermalization time is of order $\delta^{-2}$ in a wide energy range [62]. The conductivities taken from Ref. [36], are reported in Fig. 1(b) after a proper rescaling. The data collapse confirms the validity of our arguments.

Finally, we have considered the Toda model with conservative noise. In this case, it is natural to argue that the mean free path scales as the inverse of the collision rate, $\ell \sim \gamma^{-1}$. This intuition is confirmed by the data reported

Figure 1. Nonequilibrium simulations: scaled thermal conductivity versus scaled chain lengths for (a) the HPG model $T_L = 6, T_R = 4$ $M = 1$ $\delta = 0.05, 0.07, 0.10, 0.14, 0.2$ from bottom to top; (b) the diatomic Toda model (data taken from Ref. [36], Fig. 4) $\delta = 0.07, 0.10, 0.14, 0.22, 0.30, 0.50, 1.0$ from bottom to top and (c) the Toda model with conserving noise $\gamma = 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 0.16$ from bottom to top.

Here, we are interested in the quasi-integrable regime, $\delta, \gamma \ll 1$. The first issue is the determination of the mean free path $\ell$. In the HPG with a diatomic mass arrangement, $\ell$ corresponds to the average space travelled by a single velociton before the collisions induce a sizeable change of its original velocity. Given the mass arrangement, the collision types $(m_1, m_2)$ and $(m_2, m_1)$ alternate and it is thereby appropriate to look at velocity changes every second iterate ($u \to u' \to u''$). From Eq. (7)

$$u'' = (1-\delta)u' + \delta \chi_2 = (1-\delta^2)u + \delta(\chi_2 - \chi_1) + \delta^2 \chi_1$$

$$\approx 1 + \delta^2 \chi_1$$

where $\delta \chi_1$ denotes the change of its original velocity. Given the mass arrangement, the collision types $(m_1, m_2)$ and $(m_2, m_1)$ alternate and it is thereby appropriate to look at velocity changes every second iterate ($u \to u' \to u''$). From Eq. (7)
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\[ J_N(L) = J(L, \varepsilon) - j_N(\varepsilon) \]. The data in Fig. 3 indicates
that \( J_A \) exhibits the expected anomalous scaling already
for system sizes where the direct estimates are strongly
affected by the diffusive component. For the HPG the
fitted slope, about \(-0.66\), corresponds to \( \eta = 0.33 \), in
excellent agreement with the KPZ prediction \( \eta = 1/3 \). For
Toda we obtain \( \eta = 0.52 \), consistent with Ref. [32] and
even closer to \( \eta = 1/2 \), the value rigorously proven for
harmonic models with momentum-conserving noise [12–
44]. We thus conclude that the measurements confirm
the above proposed crossover from diffusive to hydrody-
namic behavior.

The same scenario is expected to emerge in the pres-
ence of a generic momentum-conserving perturbation
\( \varepsilon \)W(y) of the potential of the Toda chain. In fact, in this
case, it has been already noticed that the energy-flux cor-
relation decays over a time scale inversely proportional
to a power of \( \varepsilon \) [50].

More in general, we argue that the crossover from
normal to anomalous regimes of the FPUT−\( \alpha \beta \) model
is fully accounted for by the above described sce-
nario. Indeed, the FPUT−\( \alpha \beta \) (at low enough energies)
can be regarded as a perturbed Toda chain over very long
time scales, on which the Toda actions are only weakly
perturbed [63]. We reckon that other potentials should
display the same phenomenology, if their form is “close
enough” to \( U_T \).

What can we say about the simplest model of a
perturbed harmonic chain? This textbook case
deserves a special consideration. Numerical analysis of
the FPUT−\( \beta \) model at very low energy, i.e. below the
strong stochasticity threshold, does not reveal any sig-
nature of an intermediate diffusive regime, but rather a
direct crossover from ballistic to anomalous regimes [64].
More compelling evidence of the absence of a diffusive
regime comes from the study of the harmonic chain with
conservative noise [43] in the limit of vanishing noise, i.e.
\( \gamma \rightarrow 0 \). It has been found analytically [42] and confirmed
numerically [65] that \( J_A(L, \varepsilon) \), exhibits a singular dependence
for \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \) (here \( \gamma \rightarrow 0 \)) in the form of a divergence
of the coefficient \( c_A \) in Eq. (5), \( c_A \approx \gamma^{-1/2} \), which implies
that \( J_A \) prevails over \( J_N \) for any value of \( L \).

Hence, the different behavior displayed by weakly perturbed
harmonic oscillators can be traced back to a diver-
gence of the anomalous component, which is itself a
consequence of the nonlinearity of the dynamical equa-
tions. This counter-intuitive phenomenon reminds us
that Eq. (2) is a conjecture, which still requires a rigorous
derivation. Nevertheless, the successful implementation
of our scaling arguments provides a convincing explana-
tion of the seemingly normal diffusion observed not only
in Refs. [35–37], used as our testbeds, but also in many
nonlinear chains like those discussed in Refs. [38, 39]
where the potential is “well approximated” by the Toda
one.
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Figure 2. Estimates of dependence of the normal component
\( J_N(L) \) of the energy flux, as defined by Eq. (3), versus the
rescaled system length \( L \). Filled circles are for the HPG model
with mass parameter \( \delta = 0.05 \) and triangles for the Toda with
random collisions \( \gamma = 0.005 \). The solid lines are best fit with
the functions \( 6.18/(3.73 + \xi) \) and \( 1/(27.0 + 5.62\xi + 7.87\xi^{0.2}) \)
respectively.

Figure 3. The anomalous part of the energy flux versus the
system length \( L \) calculated as \( J_A = J(L) - J_N(L) \) with \( J_N \) as
determined in the previous figure. HPG model with \( \delta = 0.14 \)
(squares) and \( \delta = 0.20 \) (circles) and for Toda with conserva-
tive noise \( \gamma = 0.04 \) (triangles). The dashed lines are power-
law fit on the largest sizes where scaling sets in (values given
in the text).
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