

The sleep loss insult of Spring Daylight Savings in the US is absorbed by Twitter users within 48 hours

Kelsey Linnell,^{1,2,*} Thayer Alshaabi,¹ Thomas McAndrew,³ Jeanie Lim,⁴ Peter Sheridan Dodds,^{1,2} and Christopher M. Danforth^{1,2,†}

¹*Computational Story Lab, Vermont Complex Systems Center, MassMutual Center of Excellence for Complex Systems & Data Science, University of Vermont*

²*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Vermont*

³*Department of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, School of Public Health & Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts at Amherst*

⁴*MassMutual Data Science*

Abstract: Sleep loss has been linked to heart disease, diabetes, cancer, and an increase in accidents, all of which are among the leading causes of death in the United States. Population-scale sleep studies have the potential to advance public health by helping to identify at-risk populations, changes in collective sleep patterns, and to inform policy change. Prior research suggests other kinds of health indicators such as depression and obesity can be estimated using social media activity. However, the inability to effectively measure collective sleep with publicly available data has limited large-scale academic studies. Here, we investigate the passive estimation of sleep loss through a proxy analysis of Twitter activity profiles. We use “Spring Forward” events, which occur at the beginning of Daylight Savings Time in the United States, as a natural experimental condition to estimate spatial differences in sleep loss across the United States. On average, peak Twitter activity occurs roughly 45 minutes later on the Sunday following Spring Forward. By Monday morning however, activity curves are realigned with the week before, suggesting that at least on Twitter, the lost hour of early Sunday morning has been quickly absorbed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The American Academy of Sleep Medicine recommends adults sleep 7 or more hours per night [1]. However, studies show only 2/3 of adults sleep for this length of time consistently. In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC’s) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System suggested that between 28% and 44% of the adult population of each state received less than the recommended 7 hours of sleep [2]. Despite the scientific consensus that adequate sleep is essential to health, many adults are sleeping less than 7 hours a night on average—a state referred to as *short sleep*. Results from the most recent National Health Interview Survey determined that since 1985, the age-adjusted average sleep duration has decreased, and the percentage of adults who experience short sleep, on average, rose by 31% [3].

Because adequate sleep is necessary for optimal cognition, short sleep is adverse to productivity and learning, and reduces the human capacity to make effort-related choices such as whether to take precautionary safety measures [4–6]. Short sleep’s impact on human cognition is harmful in the workplace, and poses a pronounced and distinct threat to public safety when operating a vehicle [7–10]. Short sleep is linked to increased risk of serious health conditions, including heart disease, obesity, diabetes, arthritis, depression, strokes, hypertension, and

cancer [2, 11–13], and a recent study found that disrupted sleep is also associated with DNA damage [14]. The link between sleep loss and cancer is so strong that the World Health Organization has classified night shift work as “probably carcinogenic to humans” [15]. Socio-economic status is positively correlated with quality of sleep [16–19]. Due to such detrimental effects, and high prevalence among the population, insufficient sleep accounts for between \$280 and over \$400 billion lost in the United States every year [20].

Accurately measuring short sleep in a large population is difficult, and there is often a trade-off between accuracy and the size of the study. Polysomnography—considered the most accurate way to measure sleep—can only measure an individual’s sleep patterns in a controlled laboratory setting [21, 22]. Large studies have relied on participants recording their own sleep, but suffer from reporting bias [2, 23, 24].

Wearable technology can measure short sleep at the population scale, and has the potential to measure short sleep accurately enough to study its association with adverse health risks [4, 21, 25]. One recent large sleep study enrolled 31,000 participants and used sleep data from wearable devices along with participant’s interactions with a web based search engine to compare sleep loss and performance [4]. The authors [4] showed that measurements of cognitive performance (including keystroke and click latency) vary over time, follow a circadian rhythm, and are related to the duration of participant’s sleep, results that closely mirrored those from laboratory settings and validated their methodology.

While promising in the long run, present studies that use wearable devices have limitations. To infer from

* klinnell@uvm.edu

† chris.danforth@uvm.edu

wearables that individuals are sleeping, data must first go through a pipeline of preprocessing, feature extraction and classification. The pipeline for processing sleep data is typically proprietary and dependent on the specific wearable used, and changes to how data is processed can impact results [26]. Moreover, validation studies have yet to explore the effectiveness of these devices across genders, ages, culture, and health [26].

Social media may be an alternative way to measure sleep disturbances in a large population, for example by studying the link between screen time and sleep [27, 28]. Past work has found a correlation between sustained low activity on Twitter and sleep time as measured by conventional surveys, and these results were validated against data collected from the CDC on sleep deprivation [27]. Other work has shown evidence of an increase in a user’s smart phone screen time as being associated with an increase in short sleep [28]. Other mental and physical characteristics have been measured from sociotechnical systems. Several instruments developed by members of our research group including the Hedonometer [29], which measures population sentiment through tweets, and the Lexicocalorimeter [30], which measures caloric balance at the state level, have demonstrated an ability to infer population-scale health metrics from Twitter data. Twitter data has also been used to identify users who experience sleep deprivation and study the ways their social media interactions differ from others [31].

In urban, industrialized societies where social timing is synced to clock time, Daylight Savings— a biannual sudden upset to clock time— creates behavioral stability across seasons [32, 33]. Past work has used Daylight Savings as a natural experiment to show that a one hour collective sleep loss event has large and quantifiable effects on health, safety, and the economy [34–37], with two striking findings being a one day increase in heart attacks by 24% and a loss of \$31 billion on the NYSE, AMEX, and NASDAQ exchanges in the United States [34, 38].

We hypothesize here that sleep loss is measurable in behavioral patterns on Twitter, and changes in population-scale sleep patterns due to Spring Forward can be observed through changes in these behavioral patterns. In what follows, we first outline our methodology for estimating sleep loss from tweets, describing the data and study design. We then visualize and describe the results before concluding with a discussion of limitation and implications.

II. METHODS

Data

We collected a 10% random sample of all public tweets—offered by Twitter’s Decahose API—for Sundays and Mondays in the four weeks leading up to, the week of, and the four weeks following Spring Forward events during the years 2011–2014. Spring Forward is defined

as the instantaneous clock adjustment from 2 a.m. to 3 a.m. on the second Sunday of March each year. We included tweets in the study if the user who created the tweet reported living in the U.S. in their bio, or if the tweet was geo-tagged to a GPS coordinate within the U.S. [39]. With these conditions, we ended up selecting approximately 7% of the messages in the Decahose random sample for analysis [40].

Twitter provided the time-zone from which each message was posted during the period from 2011 to 2014 (for privacy purposes, Twitter discontinued publication of time zone information in 2015). We used the time-zone to determine the local time of posting for each tweet. We binned tweets by 15 minute increments according to the local time of day they were posted.

Experimental setup

To estimate behavioral change associated with Daylight Savings, we partitioned tweets into various groups, primarily a “Before Spring Forward” (BSF) group and a “Spring Forward” (SF) group. To establish a convenient ‘control’ pattern of behavior, all tweets posted on any of the four Sundays before the Spring Forward event were classified as “Before Spring Forward” tweets. We classified the ‘experimental’ set of tweets posted on the Sunday coincident with the Spring Forward event as “Spring Forward”. The above classification created, for every year, a 4:1 matching of before to week of Spring Forward activity. We analyzed tweets posted 1–4 weeks following Spring Forward separately to quantify relaxation to the original behavior.

Analysis

We binned tweets by time in 15 minute intervals starting at the top of the hour, and normalized their frequencies by dividing by the total number of tweets posted on the corresponding day. In this way, we establish a discrete description of the posting volume over the course of a typical 24-hour period.

We averaged the Before Spring Forward tweets over the four Sundays, and the four years as follows:

$$T_{\text{BSF}}(k) = (4 \times 4)^{-1} \sum_{Y=2011}^{2014} \sum_{S=1}^4 \frac{C_{YS}(k)}{C_{YS}},$$

where $C_{YS}(k)$ is the number of tweets in the k^{th} 15 minute interval of the S^{th} Sunday of year Y , C_{YS} is the total number of tweets posted on that Sunday and year, and $T_{\text{BSF}}(k)$ is the average fraction of tweets posted in the k^{th} 15 minute interval of a Sunday prior to Spring Forward,

We also normalized the Spring Forward tweets against




FIG. 1. Diurnal collective attention to meals quantified, by normalized usage of the words ‘breakfast’, ‘lunch’, and ‘dinner’ for states observing Eastern Time (top) and Pacific Time (bottom), for the weeks before (solid), and of (dashed) Spring Forward. The x-axis represents the interval between 3 a.m. Sunday and 9 p.m. Monday local time. Counts for tweets containing each individual word were tallied in 15 minute increments, normalized by the total number of tweets mentioning that word, and smoothed using Gaussian Process Regression. Each day has a clear pattern for frequency of meal name appearance in tweets, with the peak for breakfast, lunch, and dinner occurring in the respective order of the meals themselves. For each of the meals, we observe a slight forward shift in the peak following Spring Forward, suggesting that meals are taking place later than usual on the corresponding Sunday. By Monday, the peak for each meal name appears to be aligned with the week before, with the exception of ‘dinner’ on the west coast, which is still a bit later.

daily activity:

$$T_{\text{SF}}(k) = (4)^{-1} \sum_{Y=2011}^{2014} \frac{C_Y(k)}{C_Y}.$$

To reduce noise that could depend on our choice of bin size and spatial scale, we smoothed normalized tweet activity using Gaussian Process Regression (GPR) [41, 42]. We fit a GPR with a squared exponential kernel and characteristic length scale of 150 minutes (a total of 10 bins of size 15-minutes) to normalized tweets. We chose a characteristic length of 150 minutes for consistency with previous work [27]. Tikhonov regularization with an α penalty of 0.1 was included when finding weights ω_k to prevent overfitting [42]. GPR yielded a smooth **behavioral curve**, $B(t)$, of the functional form:

$$B(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{96} \omega_k \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} k \left(\frac{t}{150}, \frac{t_k}{150} \right)^2 \right],$$

where ω_k is a weight determined by the regression process, k is the squared-exponential kernel (commonly called a radial basis), t is the time in minutes since midnight (00:00), and t_k is the k^{th} 15 minute interval of the day, i.e. t_5 corresponds to 75 minutes past midnight, or 1:15 a.m. The sum to 96 refers to the number of 15 minute intervals in a single 24 hour period.

We generated behavioral curves $B(t)$ for the BSF and SF groups by state, and for the U.S. in aggregate. To estimate behavioral change induced by a Spring Forward event, we calculate two quantities from the behavioral curves: (i) the time of peak activity and (ii) the time of the inflection point between the peak and trough. The inflection point is referred to as a ‘twinflection’ point, and represents a point of diminishing losses in Twitter activity for the night. Peak shift is defined as:

$$\arg \max_t \{B_{\text{SF}}(t)\} - \arg \max_t \{B_{\text{BSF}}(t)\}$$

and twinflexion shift is defined as:

$$\arg \min_{t \in N} \{B'_{\text{SF}}(t)\} - \arg \min_{t \in N} \{B'_{\text{BSF}}(t)\},$$

where $N = \{t : \arg \max_t B(t) < t < \arg \min_t B(t)\}$. We were able to reliably measure peak activity and twinflexion because behavioral curves exhibited a consistent diurnal wave structure: a rise in the evening corresponding to peak Twitter posting activity, followed by a trough during typical sleeping hours, and a plateau throughout the day.

We measured the loss of sleep opportunity by calculating the peak and twinflexion times for the four weeks Before Spring Forward and the week of Spring Forward itself. We then characterize differences between the BSF and SF measures for each state, and for the total U.S., as a proxy for sleep loss.




FIG. 2. Twitter activity behavioral curves $B(t)$. (a) Normalized count of tweets posted from a location within the United States between 12 p.m. Sunday and 12 p.m. Monday before (red) and the week of (blue) the 2013 Spring Forward Event. The time recorded for the tweet is that local to the author. Though the pattern of behavior is preserved following Daylight Savings, peak activity is translated forward in time. (b) The same plot, with location of tweet origin restricted to the state of California. California is the state for which we have the most data, and therefore the most representative behavior profile after smoothing with Gaussian Process Regression (lines). We note that figure 5 shows behavioral curves for all states. (c) The smoothed behavioral pattern for California during the hours of 9 p.m. to 3 a.m. Pacific Time. Activity peaks are denoted by vertical dashed lines, and twinflexion points are marked by squares. To estimate the behavioral shift in time, we compute the distance along the temporal axis between these pairs of lines/points. California’s BSF peak is 30 minutes earlier than the SF peak.

III. RESULTS

Our overall finding is that peak Twitter activity occurs roughly 45 minutes later on the Sunday evening immediately following Spring Forward, with this shift varying among states. By Monday morning, activity is back to normal, suggesting that the hour of sleep lost is overcome, at least on Twitter, within 48 hours.

In Fig 1, we plot $B(t)$ for the subset of posts containing the words ‘breakfast’, ‘lunch’, and ‘dinner’ for the period beginning 3 a.m. on Sunday and ending 9 p.m. on Monday, both before (solid) and after (dashed) Spring Forward events. These curves were constructed for states observing Eastern Time (top row) and Pacific Time (bottom row).

Meal-related language reveals a daily pattern of behavior in which peak volume occurs around the time that meal typically takes place. On an average Sunday, breakfast is most mentioned at 11 a.m., lunch at 1:45 p.m., and dinner at 7 p.m. in Eastern Time Zone states (see Fig 1). On the average Monday, breakfast mentions peak at 10:15 a.m., lunch peaks at 1 p.m., and dinner at 8 p.m. Breakfast is mentioned nearly twice as often on Sunday than on Monday. Lunch shows the opposite trend, doubling on Monday in comparison to Sunday. There is

essentially no discussion of meals during the period from 2 a.m.-4 a.m. These plots also exhibit a small forward shift in time following Spring Forward, suggesting that each meal was tweeted about, and probably eaten, later in the day on Sunday. The effect disappears by Monday.

Broadening from messages mentioning specific meals to all messages, daily activity plots of B_{BSF} and B_{SF} reveal a regular diurnal pattern of behavior that is consistently shifted forward in time the evening following Spring Forward events. Figure 2 shows this shift for the year 2013, but the results were similar for other years. Panel (a) suggests overall activity across the U.S. peaks around 10 p.m. on Sundays before Spring Forward (red circles), and experiences a minimum around 5am. The peak shifts approximately 45 minutes later on the Sunday of Spring Forward (blue squares) before synchronizing again by early morning Monday. In panel (b) California is used as an illustrative example of these patterns existing at the state level, and the smooth behavioral pattern constructed using Gaussian Process Regression. The pattern is similar to that observed for the entire country, with the exception of a slightly reduced amplitude. Twinflexion points are illustrated by black squares in panels (b) and (c).

Figure 2 demonstrates evidence that there is a shift




FIG. 3. Time of peak Twitter activity on Sunday night for each state before (top) and after (bottom) Spring Forward for the four events observed between 2011 and 2014. Before Spring Forward, the time of peak activity occurs around 10 p.m. in the Eastern Time Zone, and around 9:30 p.m. for the rest of the country. After Spring Forward, peak Twitter activity occurs between 0 and 90 minutes later for each state. Texas has the latest peak at 11 p.m. local time, a shift of 90 minutes forward compared with prior Sundays. Pennsylvania, Hawaii, and Washington D.C. are the only states with no observed change in peak time. We note again that the BSF estimates are based on the aggregation of four Sundays prior to Spring Forward, while the ASF estimates are based on the Sunday coincident with Spring Forward, and are therefore estimated using roughly 1/4 the data.

in the peak time spent interacting with Twitter on Sunday evening following Spring Forward, relative to prior Sundays. Given the absence of a corresponding delay in interaction Monday morning, we infer an increase in sleep loss experienced on Sunday night.

To explore the spatial distribution of the behavioral changes induced by Spring Forward, in Fig. 3 we map the time of peak Twitter activity on Sunday night for

each state before (top) and the week of (bottom) Spring Forward, averaged across the years 2011-2014. On the Sundays leading up to Spring Forward (top), peak twitter activity occurs near either 10 p.m. for states on the East Coast, or 9:30 p.m., for the rest of the country. After Spring Forward, nearly all states exhibit peak activity later in the night.

Looking at Texas as an individual example, before




FIG. 4. The magnitude of Twitter behavioral shift following a Spring Forward event, averaged for the four years from 2011 to 2014. (a) Shift measured using behavioral curve peaks, the difference between the pair of maps in Figure 3 (bottom minus top). Texas is estimated to have experienced the greatest time shift. The effect of Spring Forward is more pronounced in the South, and center of the country. No effect is measured for Hawaii. (b) The same map, but with measurements calculated using twinflexion shift instead. The states most affected are Texas and Mississippi, where the shift was 135 and 105 minutes respectively. Hawaii is the only state estimated to have a negative shift (30 minutes). Twinflexion shift produces similar spatial results to peak shift, with more exaggerated shift estimates. (c) The number of tweets posted from each state in the period after Spring Forward. California and Texas both contributed over 40,000 tweets, while Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Wyoming, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Vermont each produced less than 1,000 tweets. (d) The density of data used to establish the experimental pattern of behavior, as measured by tweets per capita. This measurement reflects the ability of the data to capture the behavior of the tweeting population of each state. While Idaho, Wyoming, Montana and South Dakota have relatively little data compared to their populations, the remaining states have similar data density, with somewhere between one and three tweets per thousand residents. Note: both panels (c) and (d) use logarithmically spaced colorbars.

Spring Forward we see peak activity around 9:30 p.m. local time, and after Spring Forward it occurs at 11 p.m. local time. While Texas is one of the latest peaks observed on the evening following Spring Forward, several other states are up late including Oklahoma, Georgia, and Mississippi each peaking around 10:45 p.m.

In the appendix, we show maps estimating the time of peak activity for each of the individual 9 weeks centered

on Spring Forward (Figure A1). There is some week-to-week variation, most notably in the second week prior to Spring Forward, which was the night of the Academy Awards for three of the four years. By four weeks after Spring Forward, the peak activity map has relaxed to roughly the same pattern as BSF.

The magnitude of the forward shift in behavior illustrated in Figure 3 is considered a proxy for the loss of

sleep opportunity on the Sunday night following Spring Forward. We used two distinct methods to estimate this magnitude, namely the peak shift and the twinflexion shift. A comparison of the spatial estimates made using each method are shown in Figure 4.

Panel (a) illustrates the average shift in peak activity observed for 2011-2014 by computing the difference between the pair of maps in Figure 3 (bottom minus top). While all states exhibit a shift forward in time on the night of Spring Forward, there is clear spatial variation. The peak in Twitter behavior for the east and west coasts occurred 15-30 minutes later Sunday night, while it occurred 45-90 minutes later for the central U.S. (Fig 4 panel a).

Figure 4 panel (b) estimates the change using twinflexion, namely the change in concavity of the behavior activity curve from down to up. Every state but Hawaii exhibits a shift forward in time, and with similar spatial regularity. When measured with twinflexion shift, Texas and Mississippi are seen to have the greatest temporal shift following Spring Forward. Texans were tweeting 135 minutes later than usual following a Spring Forward event. Most of the east and west coast states were measured as tweeting 30 to 45 minutes later (Fig 4 panel b). Both measures agreed on a positive shift for the country as a whole, and for all states exclusive of Hawaii. However, the two measures yielded different results for the magnitude of these shifts, with twinflexion shift generally estimating a greater effect size.

Figure 4 panels (c) and (d) illustrate the amount of data contributing to calculations for the behavioral curves, and the density of this data with respect to each state's population. Idaho, Alaska, Hawaii, Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Vermont were the states offering the smallest amount of data, and subsequently have the highest potential for a poor behavioral curve model fit.

Though the amount of data available for California and Texas is much greater than the other states, when considering their large population size we find their twitter activity per capita to be similar to most other states. Based on our estimate of tweets per capita, we expect behavioral curves for most states to be more or less equally representative of their tweeting populations.

Looking at the diurnal cycle of Twitter activity for each individual state, we see remarkable consistency. Fig. 5 shows the 24 hour period spanning noon Sunday to noon Monday local time for the year 2014. Plots for the other 3 years exhibit similar behavior. Before Spring Forward (red), most states show a peak between 9:30 and 10:15 p.m., local time. After Spring Forward (blue), nearly all states have a peak after 10:15 p.m. By Monday morning, nearly all curves have re-aligned. We also consistently observe higher peaks for the BSF curves which we believe to be driven by televised events such as the Oscars. The Sunday of Spring Forward does not have a regularly scheduled popular television event, and as a result the SF curves have lower amplitude.

Both the peak and twinflexion demonstrate that it is possible to observe a measurable decrease in the amount of sleep opportunity people in the United States receive on average due to Spring Forward. They also both demonstrate uneven geographic distribution of the effect of Spring Forward, and therefore the ability to determine geographic disparity in sleep loss.

We also discovered that the Super Bowl occurred exactly 5 weeks prior to Spring Forward in each of the years studied. This annual event watched by over 100 million individuals in the U.S. caused peak Twitter activity to synchronize at roughly the same time nationally, around 9 p.m. Eastern, during the second half of the football game. The map in Figure 6 shows the time of peak activity for each state on Super Bowl Sunday, averaged over the years 2011 to 2014. The colormap is the same as the scale used for 3, with the additional cooler range brought in to reflect the earlier peaks in Mountain and Western time zones. The map bears a remarkable resemblance to the timezone map, demonstrating a synchronization of collective attention across the country. Data from Super Bowl Sunday was not included in the Before Spring Forward data, as it does not accurately reflect the spatial distribution of typical posting behavior on a Sunday evening.

IV. DISCUSSION

Technically speaking, Spring Forward occurs very early Sunday morning, and the instantaneous clock adjustment from 2 a.m. to 3 a.m. is witnessed by very few waking individuals. In addition, we speculate that the majority of individuals do not set an alarm clock for Sunday morning. As a result, we expect that the hour lost to Spring Forward will be felt by our bodies most meaningfully on Monday morning. Indeed, we are likely to experience the Monday morning alarm as occurring an hour early, as Spring Forward shortens the time typically reserved for sleep opportunity Sunday night by one hour.

Considering the correlation between screen time and lack of sleep, the Sunday evening shift, and the corresponding Monday morning re-synchronization, we observe strong evidence that sleep opportunity is lost the evening of Spring Forward. By estimating the magnitude and spatial distribution of the shift in Twitter behavioral curves, we have approximated a lower bound on sleep loss at the state level.

Our pair of measurement methodologies have a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.715, and a Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.645 (See Figure A2). While they produced slightly different estimates of the magnitude of temporal shift in behavior, the resulting geographic profiles of sleep loss were similar. Both suggest that states along the coast are least affected by Spring Forward, while Texas and the states surrounding it to the North and East are the most affected.

Peak shift suggests the temporal shift in behavior due




FIG. 5. Normalized Twitter activity between 12 p.m. Sunday and 12 p.m. Monday prior to and following the 2014 Spring Forward event for each state. Red indicates an aggregation of data from the specified period over four weeks before the Spring Forward Event. Blue indicates data from the single 24 hour period after Spring Forward has occurred. Dots are indicative of 'raw' data, while the corresponding curves demonstrates Gaussian smoothing. Texas exhibits the largest change following Spring Forward. Curves for nearly all states have aligned by Monday morning. The BSF peaks are consistently higher than the SF peaks, largely due to televised events Before Spring Forward such as the Oscars. The Sunday of Spring Forward does not have a regularly scheduled popular television event, and as a result the SF curves have lower amplitude.

to Spring Forward is of a similar magnitude to the actual clock shift (1 hour). California, the state for which we have the most data and therefore the most representative behavior profile after smoothing, was found to have a peak shift of 30 minutes. Considering the clock adjustment of exactly one hour, the peak shift measurement seems likely to be directly representative of the sleep lost. Twinflexion measured similar shifts for most states, but for a few estimated much larger effects. While California was measured as having the same 30 minute shift, Texas, the state for which we have the second most data, was estimated by twinflexion to be delayed by an additional 45 minutes. While the relationship between magnitude of twinflexion shift and magnitude of sleep loss is uncertain, this measure made spatial disparities more apparent.

Hawaii presents interesting and extreme results. In both cases, Hawaii is the state with the least measured sleep loss by both accounts; for twinflexion shift, there is even a demonstrated gain in sleep. Considering that Hawaii does not observe DST, these results are plausible. However, they should be considered tentative at best, given the sparsity of data available. Caution should likewise be extended to measurements ascribed to South Dakota, North Dakota, Wyoming, Idaho, Montana, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine. These states have smaller populations, less population density, and lower volume of tweets. As a result, the behavioral curves associated with these states are less reliable.

Discrepancies in available data were determined to be largely accounted for by differences in population. Thus, we expect results for each state (exclusive of those mentioned earlier) to be comparably reliable in their representation of sleep loss for the state as a whole.

Incremental future work in this area could include looking at the end of Daylight Savings in November, where we are ostensibly given an additional hour of sleep opportunity. Our findings suggest that the sleep behavior associated with other annual events including New Year’s Eve and Thanksgiving ought to be visible through tweets. More ambitiously, proxy data such as this could be verified by matching wearable measurements of sleep (e.g. Fitbit) with social media accounts.

Limitations

Our study suffers from several limitations associated with our data source, we describe a few such examples here. The geographic location users provide in their Twitter bio is static and unlikely to be updated when traveling. As a result, user locations (time zone, state) inferred from this field will not always reflect their precise location. The GPS tagged messages included in our analysis will not suffer from this same uncertainty. Furthermore, the tweeting population of each state is likely to have complicated biases with respect to their representation of the general population [43].

Our dataset likely contains automated activity.

Indeed, an entire ecology of algorithmic tweets evolved during the period in which we collected data for this study. However, we expect the majority of this activity to be scheduled using software that updates local time automatically in response to Daylight Savings. As such, this ‘bot’ type activity should largely serve to reduce our estimate of the time shift exhibited by humans.

As we showed for the Super Bowl, live televised events (e.g. sports, awards shows) have the potential to be a forcing mechanism to synchronize our collective attention throughout the week, and especially on Sunday evenings. Indeed, many individuals take to Twitter as a second screen during such events to interact with other viewers. In addition, streaming services such as Netflix and HBO often release new episodes of popular shows on Sunday night to align with peak consumption opportunity. These cultural attractions exert a temporal organizing influence on our leisure behavior, and the Spring Forward disturbance translates this synchronization forward in time.

It is worth noting that early March is a rather dull time of year for popular professional sports in the United States. While the National Basketball Association and National Hockey League are finishing up their regular seasons, the National Football League is in its off-season and Major League Baseball beginning pre-season exercises. Arguably the most engaging live-televised sporting contests taking place in early March are the NCAA College Basketball Conference Championship games, with March Madness happening weeks after Spring Forward.

In 2014, the Academy Awards were hosted by Ellen DeGeneres on Sunday March 2. Her famous selfie tweet containing many famous actors was posted that evening, a message which held the record for most retweeted status update for several years [44]. The event happened the week before Spring Forward, and led to anomalous behavior compared with all other Sundays we looked at.

Finally, Twitter (and other social media companies) have access to much higher fidelity information regarding user activity than we have analyzed here. We are not able to analyze consumption activity on the site, e.g. when individual messages are interacted with via views, likes, or clicks. These forms of interaction with the Twitter ecosystem are likely to occur chronologically following the final posting of a message in the evening, and prior to the initial posting of a message in the morning. As a result, we expect our estimate of the sleep opportunity lost due to Spring Forward to be a lower bound.

Conclusion

Privacy preserving passive measurement of daily behavior has tremendous potential to transform population-scale human activity into public health insight. The present study demonstrates a proof-of-concept along the path to a far more ambitious goal: construction of an ‘Insomniometer’ capable of real-time estimation of large-scale sleep duration and quality. Which




FIG. 6. Peak activity time (local) for Super Bowl Sunday, 5 weeks prior to Spring Forward, averaged over the years 2011 to 2014. Activity exhibits a clear resemblance to the U.S. timezone map, with a peak near 9 p.m. Eastern Time just following the halftime performance. The data suggests a national collective synchronization in attention. Green Bay Packers d. Pittsburgh Steelers (2011), New York Giants d. New England Patriots (2012), Baltimore Ravens d. San Francisco 49ers (2013), and Seattle Seahawks d. Denver Broncos (2014). Performers included The Black Eyed Peas, Usher, and Slash (2011), Madonna, LMFAO, Cirque du Soleil, Nicki Minaj, M.I.A., and Cee Lo Green (2012), Beyoncé, Destiny’s Child (2013), and Bruno Mars, Red Hot Chili Peppers (2014). We note that the colormap here the same as the scale used for 3, with blue colors included to reflect the earlier peaks seen in Mountain and Western time zones.

cities in the U.S. slept well last night? Which states are increasingly suffering from insomnia? Answers to questions like these are not available today, but could lead to better public health surveillance in the near future. For example, communities exhibiting disrupted sleep in a collective pattern may be in the early stages of the outbreak of the flu or some other virus. Current methodologies for answering these questions are not scalable, but social media, mobile devices, and wearable fitness track-

ers offer a new opportunity for improved monitoring of public health.

Acknowledgements

KL, TA, PSD, and CMD thank MassMutual for contributing funding in support of this research. The authors thank Adam Fox, Marc Maier, Jane Adams, David Dewhurst, Lewis Mitchell, and Henry Mitchell for helpful conversations.

[1] C. C. Panel, N. F. Watson, M. S. Badr, G. Belenky, D. L. Bliwise, O. M. Buxton, D. Buysse, D. F. Dinges, J. Gangwisch, M. A. Grandner, et al. Joint consensus statement

of the american academy of sleep medicine and sleep research society on the recommended amount of sleep for a healthy adult: Methodology and discussion. *Sleep*,

- 38(8):1161–1183, 2015.
- [2] Center for Disease Control. *Short Sleep Duration Among US Adults*, 5 2017.
- [3] E. S. Ford, T. J. Cunningham, and J. B. Croft. Trends in self-reported sleep duration among us adults from 1985 to 2012. *Sleep*, 38(5):829–832, 2015.
- [4] T. Althoff, E. Horvitz, R. W. White, and J. Zeitzer. Harnessing the web for population-scale physiological sensing: A case study of sleep and performance. *WWW '17 Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web*, pages 113–122, 2017.
- [5] G. Curcio, M. Ferrara, and L. De Gennaro. Sleep loss, learning capacity and academic performance. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 10(5):323–337, 2006.
- [6] M. Engle-Friedman. The effects of sleep loss on capacity and effort. *Sleep Science*, 7(4):213–224, 2014.
- [7] M. R. Rosekind, K. B. Gregory, M. M. Mallis, S. L. Brandt, B. Seal, and D. Lerner. The cost of poor sleep: Workplace productivity loss and associated costs. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 52(1):91–98, 2010.
- [8] B. Dean, D. Aguilar, C. Shapiro, W. C. Orr, J. A. Isserman, B. Calimlim, and G. A. Rippon. Impaired health status, daily functioning, and work productivity in adults with excessive sleepiness. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 52(2):144–149, 2010.
- [9] J. Owens, T. Dingus, F. Guo, Y. Fang, M. Perez, J. McClafferty, and B. Tefft. Prevalence of drowsy-driving crashes: Estimates from a large-scale naturalistic driving study. *AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety*, 2018.
- [10] C. Anderson, S. Ftouni, J. M. Ronda, S. M. Rajaratnam, C. A. Czeisler, and S. W. Lockley. Self-reported drowsiness and safety outcomes while driving after an extended duration work shift in trainee physicians. *Sleep*, 41(2):zsx195, 2018.
- [11] G. Medic, M. Wille, and M. E. Hemels. Short-and long-term health consequences of sleep disruption. *Nature and Science of Sleep*, 9:151, 2017.
- [12] M. Walker. *Why we sleep: Unlocking the power of sleep and dreams*. Simon and Schuster, 2017.
- [13] M. Nagai, S. Hoshida, and K. Kario. Sleep duration as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease—a review of the recent literature. *Current cardiology reviews*, 6(1):54–61, 2010.
- [14] V. Cheung, V. Yuen, G. Wong, and S. Choi. The effect of sleep deprivation and disruption on dna damage and health of doctors. *Anaesthesia*, 74(4):434–440, 2019.
- [15] M. Fox. Shift work may cause cancer, world agency says. *Reuters*, 2007.
- [16] N. P. Patel, M. A. Grandner, D. Xie, C. C. Branas, and N. Gooneratne. “sleep disparity” in the population: Poor sleep quality is strongly associated with poverty and ethnicity. *BMC Public Health*, 10(1):475, 2010.
- [17] V. K. Chattu, S. K. Chattu, D. W. Spence, M. D. Manzar, D. Burman, and S. R. Pandi-Perumal. Do disparities in sleep duration among racial and ethnic minorities contribute to differences in disease prevalence? *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 6(6):1053–1061, 2019.
- [18] M. E. Ruiters, J. DeCoster, L. Jacobs, and K. L. Lichstein. Normal sleep in African-Americans and Caucasian-Americans: A meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine*, 12(3):209–214, 2011.
- [19] D. S. Curtis, T. E. Fuller-Rowell, M. El-Sheikh, M. R. Carnethon, and C. D. Ryff. Habitual sleep as a contributor to racial differences in cardiometabolic risk. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(33):8889–8894, 2017.
- [20] M. Hafner, M. Stepanek, J. Taylor, W. M. Troxel, and C. Van Stolk. Why sleep matters—the economic costs of insufficient sleep: A cross-country comparative analysis. *Rand Health Quarterly*, 6(4), 2017.
- [21] M. T. Bianchi. Sleep devices: Wearables and nearables, informational and interventional, consumer and clinical. *Metabolism*, 84:99–108, 2018.
- [22] N. J. Douglas, S. Thomas, and M. A. Jan. Clinical value of polysomnography. *The Lancet*, 339(8789):347–350, 1992.
- [23] A. G. Harvey and N. K. Tang. (Mis) perception of sleep in insomnia: A puzzle and a resolution. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(1):77, 2012.
- [24] D. S. Lauderdale, K. L. Knutson, L. L. Yan, K. Liu, and P. J. Rathouz. Self-reported and measured sleep duration: How similar are they? *Epidemiology*, pages 838–845, 2008.
- [25] M. Marino, Y. Li, M. N. Rueschman, J. W. Winkelman, J. Ellenbogen, J. M. Solet, H. Dulin, L. F. Berkman, and O. M. Buxton. Measuring sleep: Accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of wrist actigraphy compared to polysomnography. *Sleep*, 36(11):1747–1755, 2013.
- [26] S. Roomkham, D. Lovell, J. Cheung, and D. Perrin. Promises and challenges in the use of consumer-grade devices for sleep monitoring. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 11:53–67, 2018.
- [27] E. Leypunskiy, E. Kicman, M. Shah, O. J. Walch, A. Rzhetsky, A. R. Dinner, and M. J. Rust. Geographically resolved rhythms in Twitter use reveal social pressures on daily activity patterns. *Current Biology*, 28(23):3763–3775, 2018.
- [28] M. A. Christensen, L. Bettencourt, L. Kaye, S. T. Moturu, K. T. Nguyen, J. E. Olgin, M. J. Pletcher, and G. M. Marcus. Direct measurements of smartphone screen-time: Relationships with demographics and sleep. *PLOS ONE*, 11(11), 2016.
- [29] P. S. Dodds, K. D. Harris, I. M. Kloumann, C. A. Bliss, and C. M. Danforth. Temporal patterns of happiness and information in a global social network: Hedonometrics and Twitter. *PLOS ONE*, 6(12), 2011.
- [30] S. E. Alajajian, J. R. Williams, A. J. Reagan, S. C. Alajajian, M. R. Frank, L. Mitchell, J. Lahne, C. M. Danforth, and P. S. Dodds. The Lexicocalorimeter: Gauging public health through caloric input and output on social media. *PLOS ONE*, 12(2), 2017.
- [31] D. J. McIver, J. B. Hawkins, R. Chunara, A. K. Chatterjee, A. Bhandari, T. P. Fitzgerald, S. H. Jain, and J. S. Brownstein. Characterizing sleep issues using twitter. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 17(6):e140, 2015.
- [32] J. M. Martín-Olalla. the long term impact of daylight saving time regulations in daily life at several circles of latitude. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):1–13, 2019.
- [33] J. M. Martín-Olalla. Scandinavian bed and rise times in the age of enlightenment and in the 21st century show similarity, helped by daylight saving time. *Journal of Sleep Research*, Sep 2019.
- [34] A. Sandhu, M. Seth, and H. S. Gurm. Daylight Savings Time and myocardial infarction. *Open Heart*,

- 1(1):e000019, 2014.
- [35] J. O. Sipilä, J. O. Ruuskanen, P. Rautava, and V. Kytö. Changes in ischemic stroke occurrence following Daylight Saving Time transitions. *Sleep Medicine*, 27:20–24, 2016.
 - [36] J. Varughese and R. P. Allen. Fatal accidents following changes in Daylight Savings Time: The American experience. *Sleep Medicine*, 2(1):31–36, 2001.
 - [37] J. M. Martín-Olalla. Traffic accident increase attributed to daylight saving time doubled after energy policy act. *Current Biology*, 30(7):R298–R300, Apr 2020.
 - [38] M. J. Kamstra, L. A. Kramer, and M. D. Levi. Losing sleep at the market: The Daylight Saving anomaly. *American Economic Review*, 90(4):1005–1011, 2000.
 - [39] T. J. Gray, A. J. Reagan, P. S. Dodds, and C. M. Danforth. English verb regularization in books and tweets. *PLOS ONE*, 13(12), 2018.
 - [40] Twitter. Developer application program interface (API). <https://developer.twitter.com/en/docs/tweets/sample-realtime/overview/decahose>, 2020.
 - [41] C. E. Rasmussen. Gaussian processes in machine learning. In *Summer School on Machine Learning*, pages 63–71. Springer, 2003.
 - [42] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, et al. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12(Oct):2825–2830, 2011.
 - [43] S. Wojcik and A. Hughes. Sizing up twitter users, 2019.
 - [44] E. DeGeneres. <https://twitter.com/theellenshow/status/440322224407314432>, March 2, 2014.




FIG. A1. Peak activity time (local) for the Sunday of the four weeks prior to, the week of, and the four weeks following Spring Forward, aggregated from 2011 to 2014. We have used the same colormap as for Fig. 3 in the main manuscript. States shown in white had a peak time that was 9 pm or earlier. From 2011 to 2013, the Academy Awards took place two weeks prior to Spring Forward, while in 2014 they took place one week prior. A clear discontinuity is visible between the “One Week Before” and “Week Of” maps.

State	count	State	count	State	log(TPC)	State	log(TPC)
AK	747	CA	49190	AK	1.02e-03	DC	2.93e-03
AL	8072	TX	45406	AL	1.67e-03	LA	2.35e-03
AR	3560	FL	27339	AR	1.21e-03	DE	2.24e-03
AZ	6567	NY	25833	AZ	1.00e-03	MD	1.87e-03
CA	49190	OH	21061	CA	1.29e-03	NJ	1.83e-03
CO	4310	PA	18790	CO	8.31e-04	OH	1.82e-03
CT	5263	MI	17259	CT	1.47e-03	TX	1.81e-03
DC	1854	IL	16620	DC	2.93e-03	RI	1.76e-03
DE	2051	NJ	16216	DE	2.24e-03	MI	1.75e-03
FL	27339	GA	15952	FL	1.42e-03	NV	1.74e-03
GA	15952	NC	13600	GA	1.61e-03	AL	1.67e-03
HI	1309	VA	11761	HI	9.40e-04	SC	1.65e-03
IA	4233	MD	11030	IA	1.38e-03	GA	1.61e-03
ID	934	LA	10822	ID	5.85e-04	MA	1.50e-03
IL	16620	MA	9995	IL	1.29e-03	PA	1.47e-03
IN	8138	TN	8173	IN	1.24e-03	CT	1.47e-03
KS	4063	IN	8138	KS	1.41e-03	KY	1.45e-03
KY	6373	AL	8072	KY	1.45e-03	WV	1.45e-03
LA	10822	SC	7817	LA	2.35e-03	OK	1.44e-03
MA	9995	WA	7469	MA	1.50e-03	VA	1.44e-03
MD	11030	AZ	6567	MD	1.87e-03	FL	1.42e-03
ME	965	KY	6373	ME	7.26e-04	KS	1.41e-03
MI	17259	MO	6099	MI	1.75e-03	MS	1.40e-03
MN	5258	WI	5705	MN	9.77e-04	NC	1.39e-03
MO	6099	OK	5495	MO	1.01e-03	IA	1.38e-03
MS	4182	CT	5263	MS	1.40e-03	NY	1.32e-03
MT	369	MN	5258	MT	3.67e-04	CA	1.29e-03
NC	13600	NV	4792	NC	1.39e-03	IL	1.29e-03
ND	780	CO	4310	ND	1.11e-03	TN	1.25e-03
NE	2262	IA	4233	NE	1.22e-03	IN	1.24e-03
NH	1128	MS	4182	NH	8.54e-04	NE	1.22e-03
NJ	16216	KS	4063	NJ	1.83e-03	AR	1.21e-03
NM	1846	OR	3871	NM	8.85e-04	ND	1.11e-03
NV	4792	AR	3560	NV	1.74e-03	WA	1.08e-03
NY	25833	WV	2683	NY	1.32e-03	AK	1.02e-03
OH	21061	UT	2495	OH	1.82e-03	MO	1.01e-03
OK	5495	NE	2262	OK	1.44e-03	AZ	1.00e-03
OR	3871	DE	2051	OR	9.93e-04	WI	9.96e-04
PA	18790	DC	1854	PA	1.47e-03	OR	9.93e-04
RI	1845	NM	1846	RI	1.76e-03	MN	9.77e-04
SC	7817	RI	1845	SC	1.65e-03	HI	9.40e-04
SD	540	HI	1309	SD	6.48e-04	NM	8.85e-04
TN	8173	NH	1128	TN	1.25e-03	UT	8.74e-04
TX	45406	ME	965	TX	1.81e-03	NH	8.54e-04
UT	2495	ID	934	UT	8.74e-04	CO	8.31e-04
VA	11761	ND	780	VA	1.44e-03	VT	7.59e-04
VT	475	AK	747	VT	7.59e-04	ME	7.26e-04
WA	7469	SD	540	WA	1.08e-03	SD	6.48e-04
WI	5705	VT	475	WI	9.96e-04	ID	5.85e-04
WV	2683	MT	369	WV	1.45e-03	WY	4.25e-04
WY	245	WY	245	WY	4.25e-04	MT	3.67e-04

TABLE A1. Tweet Counts. Tweet count and tweets per capita (\log_{10}) sorted alphabetically and in order of volume for the four ASF Sundays observed in 2011-2014.

State	BSF	State	BSF	State	SF	State	SF
AK	09:45	PA	10:15	AK	10:45	TX	11:00
AL	09:30	FL	10:15	AL	10:15	AK	10:45
AR	09:45	NY	10:15	AR	10:30	GA	10:45
AZ	09:30	KY	10:15	AZ	09:45	OK	10:45
CA	09:30	OH	10:15	CA	10:00	OH	10:45
CO	09:00	IN	10:15	CO	10:00	ND	10:45
CT	10:00	MI	10:15	CT	10:30	MI	10:45
DC	10:00	GA	10:15	DC	10:00	KY	10:45
DE	10:00	SC	10:15	DE	10:15	MS	10:45
FL	10:15	NJ	10:15	FL	10:30	FL	10:30
GA	10:15	VA	10:15	GA	10:45	LA	10:30
HI	06:00	WV	10:15	HI	06:00	NM	10:30
IA	09:30	DE	10:00	IA	10:15	NY	10:30
ID	09:30	DC	10:00	ID	10:15	RI	10:30
IL	09:30	CT	10:00	IL	10:15	SC	10:30
IN	10:15	RI	10:00	IN	10:30	CT	10:30
KS	09:45	NC	10:00	KS	10:30	MD	10:30
KY	10:15	NH	10:00	KY	10:45	AR	10:30
LA	09:30	MA	10:00	LA	10:30	KS	10:30
MA	10:00	MD	10:00	MA	10:15	IN	10:30
MD	10:00	ME	10:00	MD	10:30	VA	10:30
ME	10:00	NM	09:45	ME	10:15	VT	10:30
MI	10:15	AK	09:45	MI	10:45	WV	10:30
MN	09:30	OK	09:45	MN	10:15	NJ	10:30
MO	09:30	TN	09:45	MO	10:15	UT	10:15
MS	09:45	VT	09:45	MS	10:45	DE	10:15
MT	09:00	NE	09:45	MT	10:00	TN	10:15
NC	10:00	MS	09:45	NC	10:15	SD	10:15
ND	09:30	AR	09:45	ND	10:45	PA	10:15
NE	09:45	KS	09:45	NE	10:00	WI	10:15
NH	10:00	ND	09:30	NH	10:15	NH	10:15
NJ	10:15	SD	09:30	NJ	10:30	MN	10:15
NM	09:45	WI	09:30	NM	10:30	ME	10:15
NV	09:30	WA	09:30	NV	10:00	IA	10:15
NY	10:15	AZ	09:30	NY	10:30	NC	10:15
OH	10:15	CA	09:30	OH	10:45	ID	10:15
OK	09:45	UT	09:30	OK	10:45	IL	10:15
OR	09:30	TX	09:30	OR	10:00	AL	10:15
PA	10:15	IA	09:30	PA	10:15	MO	10:15
RI	10:00	ID	09:30	RI	10:30	MA	10:15
SC	10:15	OR	09:30	SC	10:30	WA	10:00
SD	09:30	IL	09:30	SD	10:15	DC	10:00
TN	09:45	LA	09:30	TN	10:15	NE	10:00
TX	09:30	NV	09:30	TX	11:00	CO	10:00
UT	09:30	MN	09:30	UT	10:15	MT	10:00
VA	10:15	MO	09:30	VA	10:30	OR	10:00
VT	09:45	AL	09:30	VT	10:30	CA	10:00
WA	09:30	MT	09:00	WA	10:00	NV	10:00
WI	09:30	CO	09:00	WI	10:15	AZ	09:45
WV	10:15	WY	09:00	WV	10:30	WY	09:45
WY	09:00	HI	06:00	WY	09:45	HI	06:00

TABLE A2. Time of Peak Twitter Activity by State. Time of peak Twitter activity Before Spring Forward (BSF) and the week of Spring Forward (SF) for each state, listed alphabetically and by time of peak.

State	Peak	State	Peak	State	Twin	State	Twin
AK	60	TX	90	AK	60	TX	135
AL	45	ND	75	AL	60	MS	105
AR	45	AK	60	AR	60	LA	90
AZ	15	LA	60	AZ	30	ID	75
CA	30	OK	60	CA	30	TN	75
CO	60	MT	60	CO	75	CO	75
CT	30	MS	60	CT	30	ND	75
DC	0	CO	60	DC	45	MN	75
DE	15	WY	45	DE	15	IL	75
FL	15	MO	45	FL	45	WI	60
GA	30	MN	45	GA	45	OK	60
HI	0	UT	45	HI	-30	NM	60
IA	45	AR	45	IA	45	AL	60
ID	45	VT	45	ID	75	AK	60
IL	45	SD	45	IL	75	AR	60
IN	15	KS	45	IN	30	IA	45
KS	45	NM	45	KS	45	MO	45
KY	30	IL	45	KY	45	VT	45
LA	60	ID	45	LA	90	UT	45
MA	15	IA	45	MA	45	SC	45
MD	30	WI	45	MD	45	OH	45
ME	15	AL	45	ME	15	NJ	45
MI	30	TN	30	MI	45	NE	45
MN	45	CA	30	MN	75	FL	45
MO	45	NV	30	MO	45	DC	45
MS	60	OH	30	MS	105	GA	45
MT	60	MI	30	MT	30	KS	45
NC	15	OR	30	NC	30	MI	45
ND	75	MD	30	ND	75	KY	45
NE	15	CT	30	NE	45	MD	45
NH	15	KY	30	NH	30	MA	45
NJ	15	WA	30	NJ	45	MT	30
NM	45	GA	30	NM	60	WA	30
NV	30	RI	30	NV	30	VA	30
NY	15	VA	15	NY	30	AZ	30
OH	30	SC	15	OH	45	CA	30
OK	60	WV	15	OK	60	SD	30
OR	30	NE	15	OR	30	RI	30
PA	0	AZ	15	PA	30	PA	30
RI	30	NY	15	RI	30	OR	30
SC	15	NJ	15	SC	45	CT	30
SD	45	NH	15	SD	30	NY	30
TN	30	DE	15	TN	75	NV	30
TX	90	NC	15	TX	135	IN	30
UT	45	ME	15	UT	45	NH	30
VA	15	MA	15	VA	30	NC	30
VT	45	IN	15	VT	45	ME	15
WA	30	FL	15	WA	30	DE	15
WI	45	PA	0	WI	60	WV	15
WV	15	HI	0	WV	15	WY	15
WY	45	DC	0	WY	15	HI	-30

TABLE A3. Spring Forward Time Shift (minutes) by State. The temporal shift in (1) peak activity and (2) twinfection sorted alphabetically and by magnitude. Times reported are differences between columns in the preceding table, and reported in minutes.




FIG. A2. Correlation of Peak and Twinflexion shift estimates. Blue discs represent one or more states having that combination of ordered pair estimates (peak shift, twinflexion shift). State abbreviations label each comparison. Given that there is overlap, we label each concurrent point with the state contributing the greatest number of tweets. Table A3 reports all states and shifts using each measure. The Pearson correlation of the two measures plotted here is 0.715, while the Spearman rank correlation is 0.645.