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#### Abstract

The main theorem of this paper is that, for a general pair ( $A, X$ ) of an (ample) hypersurface $X$ in an Abelian Variety $A$, the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ of $X$ is birational onto its image if the polarization given by $X$ is not principal (i.e., its Pfaffian $d$ is not equal to 1 ).

We also easily show that, setting $g=\operatorname{dim}(A)$, and letting $d$ be the Pfaffian of the polarization given by $X$, then if $X$ is smooth and $$
\Phi_{X}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N:=g+d-2}
$$ is an embedding, then necessarily we have the inequality $d \geq g+1$, equivalent to $N:=g+d-2 \geq 2 \operatorname{dim}(X)+1$.

Hence we formulate the following interesting conjecture, motivated by work of the second author: if $d \geq g+1$, then, for a general pair $(A, X), \Phi_{X}$ is an embedding.

Dedicated to Olivier Debarre on the occasion of his 60-th $+\epsilon$ birthday.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be an Abelian variety of dimension $g$, and let $X \subset A$ be a smooth ample hypersurface in $A$ such that the Chern class $c_{1}(X)$ of the divisor $X$ is a polarization of type $\bar{d}:=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{g}\right)$, so that the vector space $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(X)\right)$ has dimension equal to the Pfaffian $d:=d_{1} \cdots d_{g}$ of $c_{1}(X)$.

The classical results of Lefschetz Lef21 say that the rational map associated to $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(X)\right)$ is a morphism if $d_{1} \geq 2$, and is an embedding of $A$ if $d_{1} \geq 3$.

There have been several improvements in this direction, by work of several authors, for instance Ob87 showed that for $d_{1} \geq 2$ we have an embedding except in a very special situation, and, for progress in the case $d_{1}=1$, see for instance Na-Ram95, De-Hu-Sp94.

Now, by adjunction, the canonical sheaf of $X$ is the restriction $\mathcal{O}_{X}(X)$, so a natural generalization of Lefschetz' theorems is to ask about the behaviour of the canonical systems of such hypersurfaces $X$. Such a question is important in birational geometry, but the results for the canonical maps can depend on the hypersurface $X$ and not just on the polarization type only.

[^0]We succeed in this paper to find (respectively: conjecture) simple results for general such hypersurfaces.

Our work was motivated by a theorem obtained by the first author in a joint work with Schreyer Cat-Schr02] on canonical surfaces: if we have a polarization of type $(1,1,2)$ then the image $\Sigma$ of the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ is in general a surface of degree 12 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, birational to $X$, while for the special case where $X$ is the pull-back of the Theta divisor of a curve of genus 3 , then the canonical map has degree 2 , and $\Sigma$ has degree 6 .

The connection of the above result with the Lefschetz theorems is, as we already said, provided by adjunction, we have the following folklore result, a proof of which can be found for instance in Ces18 (a referee pointed out that the proof in the case of a principal polarization appears in 2.10 of [Green84, and that of course Green's proof works in general)
Lemma 1.1. Let $X$ be a smooth ample hypersurface of dimension $n$ in an Abelian variety $A$, such that the class of $X$ is a polarization of type $\bar{d}:=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n+1}\right)$.

Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}$ be a basis of $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(X)\right)$ such that $X=\left\{\theta_{1}=0\right\}$.
If $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{g}$ are linear coordinates on the complex vector space $V$ such that $A$ is the quotient of $V$ by a lattice $\Lambda, A=V / \Lambda$, then the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ is given by

$$
\left(\theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{d}, \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial z_{g}}\right)
$$

Hence first of all the canonical map is an embedding if $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(X)\right)$ yields an embedding of $A$; secondly, since a projection of $\Phi_{X}$ is the Gauss map of $X$, given by $\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial z_{g}}\right)$, by a theorem of Ziv Ran Ran84] it follows that the canonical system $\left|K_{X}\right|$ is base-point-free and $\Phi_{X}$ a finite morphism.

This is our main result:
Theorem 1.2. Let $(A, X)$ be a general pair, consisting of a hypersurface $X$ of dimension $n=g-1$ in an Abelian variety $A$, such that the class of $X$ is a polarization of type $\bar{d}:=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{g}\right)$ with Pfaffian $d=d_{1} \ldots d_{g}>1$.

Then the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ of $X$ is birational onto its image $\Sigma$.
The first observation is: the hypothesis that we take a general such pair, and not any pair, is necessary in view of the cited result of Cat-Schr02.

The second observation is that the above result extends to more general situations, using a result on openness of birationality (this will be pursued elsewhere). This allows another proof of the theorem, obtained studying pull-backs of Theta divisors of hyperelliptic curves (observe that for Jacobians the Gauss map of the Theta divisor is a rational map, see CGS17 for a study of its degree).

Here we use the following nice result by Olivier Debarre Deb92:
Theorem 1.3. Let $\Theta \subset A^{\prime}$ be the Theta divisor of a general principally polarized Abelian variety $A^{\prime}$ : then the Gauss map of $\Theta, \psi: \Theta \rightarrow P:=\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ factors through $Y:=\Theta / \pm 1$, and yields a generic covering $\Psi: Y \rightarrow P$, meaning that
(1) The branch divisor $\mathcal{B} \subset P$ of $\Psi$ is irreducible and reduced;
(2) The ramification divisor of $\Psi, \mathcal{R} \subset Y$, maps birationally to $\mathcal{B}$;
(3) the local monodromies of the covering at the general points of $\mathcal{B}$ are transpositions;
(4) the Galois group of $\Psi$ (the global monodromy group of the covering $\Psi)$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$, where $N=\left(\frac{1}{2} g!\right)$.
The next question to which the previous result paves the way is: when is $\Phi_{X}$ an embedding for $(A, X)$ general?

An elementary application of the Severi double point formula Sev02] (see also [FL77], Cat79]) as an embedding obstruction, yields a necessary condition (observe that a similar argument was used by van de Ven in vdVen75, in order to study the embeddings of Abelian varieties).

Theorem 1.4. Let $X \subset A$ be a smooth ample hypersurface in an Abelian variety of dimension g, giving a polarization with Pfaffian d.

If the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ is an embedding of $X$, then necessarily

$$
d \geq g+1
$$

With some optimism (hoping for a simple result), but relying on the highly non-trivial positive result of the second author Ces18 concerning polarizations of type $(1,2,2)$ (here $g=3, d=4$ ), and on the result by Debarre and others De-Hu-Sp94 that this holds true for polarizations of type $(1, \ldots, 1, d)$ with $d>2^{g}$, we pose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. Assume that $(A, X)$ is a general pair of a smooth ample hypersurface $X \subset A$ in an Abelian variety $A$, giving a polarization with Pfaffian $d$ satisfying $d \geq g+1$.

Then the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ is an embedding of $X$.
We end the paper discussing the conjecture.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem 1.2

We give first a quick outline of the strategy of the proof.
The first step 2.1 reduces to the case where the Pfaffian $d$ of the polarization type is a prime number $p$.

Step 2.2 considers the particular case where $d=p$ and where $X$ is the pull-back of the Theta divisor $\Theta$ of a principally polarized Abelian variety. In this case the Gauss map of $X$ factors through $Y:=\Theta / \pm 1$.

Step 2.3 shows that if $g=\operatorname{dim}(A)=2$, then Step 2.2 works and the theorem is proven.

Step 2.4, the Key Step, shows that if $g \geq 3$ and 2.2 does not work, then the image $\Sigma$ of the canonical map lies birationally between $X$ and $Y$, hence corresponds to a subgroup of the dihedral group $D_{p}$

Step 2.5 finishes the proof, showing that, in each of the two possible cases corresponding to the subgroups of $D_{p}$, the canonical map becomes birational onto its image for a general deformation of $X$.
2.1. Reduction to the case of a polarization of type $(1, \ldots, 1, p)$, with $p$ a prime number. We shall proceed by induction, basing on the following concept.

We shall say that a polarization type $\bar{d}:=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{g}\right)$ is divisible by $\bar{\delta}:=\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ if, for all $i=1, \ldots, g$, we have that $\delta_{i}$ divides $d_{i}$, $d_{i}=r_{i} \delta_{i}$.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that the polarization type $\bar{d}$ is divisible by $\bar{\delta}$. Then, if the main Theorem 1.2 holds true for $\bar{\delta}$, then it also holds true for $\bar{d}$.
Proof. We let $\left(A^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$ be a general pair giving a polarization of type $\bar{\delta}$, so that $\Phi_{X^{\prime}}$ is birational.

There exists an étale covering $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, with kernel $\cong \oplus_{i}\left(\mathbb{Z} / r_{i}\right)$, such that the pull back of $X^{\prime}$ is a polarization of type $\bar{d}$.

By induction, we may assume without loss of generality that all numbers $r_{i}=1$, with one exception $r_{j}$, which is a prime number $p$.

Then we have $\pi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, which is an étale quotient with group $\mathbb{Z} / p$. Moreover, since the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is the pull-back of $K_{X^{\prime}}$, the composition $\Phi_{X^{\prime}} \circ \pi$ factors through $\Phi_{X}$, and a morphism $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$.

Since by assumption $\Phi_{X^{\prime}}$ is birational, either $\Phi_{X}$ is birational, and there is nothing to prove, or $\Phi_{X}$ has degree $p, f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$ is birational, hence $\Phi_{X}$ factors (birationally) through $\pi$.

To contradict the second alternative, it suffices to show that the canonical system $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$ separates the points of a general fibre of $\pi$. Since each fibre is an orbit for the group $\mathbb{Z} / p$, it suffices to show that there are at least two different eigenspaces in the vector space $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$, with respective eigenvalues $1, \zeta$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

Since then we would have as projection of the canonical map a rational map $F: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that, for $g \in \mathbb{Z} / p$,

$$
F(x)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \neq F(g x)=\left(x_{0}, \zeta x_{1}\right),
$$

thereby separating the points of a general fibre.
Now, if there were only one non-zero eigenspace, the one for the eigenvalue 1, since we have an eigenspace decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)= & H^{0}\left(X, \pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \pi_{*} \pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\right.\right. \\
= & \oplus_{1}^{p} H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+i \eta\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(here $\eta$ is a nontrivial divisor of $p$-torsion), all eigenspaces would have dimension zero, except for the case $i=p$. In particular we would have that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$ and $H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ have the same dimension.

But this is a contradiction, since the dimension $h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$ equals by lemma 1.1 the sum of the Pfaffian of $X$ with $g-1$, and the Pfaffian of $X$ is $p$-times the Pfaffian of $X^{\prime}$.
2.2. The special case where $X$ is a pull-back of a Theta divisor. Here, we shall consider a similar situation, assuming that $X$ is a polarization of type $(1, \ldots, 1, p)$, and that $X$ is the pull back of a Theta divisor $\Theta \subset A^{\prime}$ via an isogeny $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ with kernel $\cong \mathbb{Z} / p$.

We define $Y:=\Theta / \pm 1$ and use the cited result of Debarre Deb92.
We consider the Gauss map of $X, f: X \rightarrow P:=\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, and observe that we have a factorization

$$
f=\Psi \circ \phi, \phi: X \rightarrow Y, \Psi: Y \rightarrow P .
$$

The essential features are that:
(i) $\phi$ is a Galois quotient $Y=X / G$, where $G$ is the dihedral group $D_{p}$.
(ii) $\Psi$ is a generic map, in particular with monodromy group equal to $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$, $N=g!/ 2$.

Either the theorem is true, or, by contradiction, we have a factorization of $f$ through $\Phi_{X}: X \rightarrow \Sigma$, whose degree $m$ satisfies $2 p N>m \geq 2$.
2.3. Warm-up case: $g=2, X$ defines a polarization of type $(1, p)$ with $p$ a prime number. In this case $X$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / p$ étale covering of a genus 2 curve $C=\Theta$, and $X / G=\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

That the canonical map of $X$ is not birational means that $X$ is hyperelliptic, and then $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ factors through the quotient of the hyperelliptic involution $\iota$, which centralizes $G$. If we set $\Sigma:=X / \iota \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, we see that $\Sigma$ corresponds to a subgroup of order two of $G$ : since $\iota$ centralizes $G$, and is not contained in $\mathbb{Z} / p$, follows that $G$ is abelian, whence $p=2$.

We prove here a result which might be known (but we could not find it in Bar87; a referee points out that, under the stronger assumption that $A$ is also general, such a result is proven in Piro89 and in PenPol14).

Lemma 2.2. The general divisor in a linear system $|X|$ defining a polarization of type $(1,2)$ is not hyperelliptic.
Proof. In this case of a polarization of type (1,2), the linear system $|X|$ on $A$ is a pencil of genus 3 curves with 4 distinct base points, as we now show.

We consider, as before, the inverse image $D$ of a Theta divisor for $g=2$, which will be here called $C$, so that $A^{\prime}=J(C)$.

The curve $D$ is hyperelliptic, being a Galois covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with group $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ (a so-called bidouble cover), fibre product of two coverings respectively branched on 2,4 points (hence $D=E \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve, and $C$ is the quotient of $D$ by the diagonal involution). The divisor cut by $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(X)\right)$ on $X$ is the ramification divisor of $X \rightarrow E$, hence it consists of 4 distinct points.

The double covering $D \rightarrow E$ of an elliptic curve is also induced by the homomorphism $J(D) \rightarrow E$, with kernel isogenous to $A^{\prime}$. Therefore, moving $X$ in the linear system $|D|$, all these curves are a double cover of some elliptic curve $E^{\prime}$, such that $J(X)$ is isogenous to $A \times E^{\prime}$.

Hence $E^{\prime}=X / \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is an involution.
Assume now that $X$ is hyperelliptic and denote by $\iota$ the hyperelliptic involution: since $\iota$ is central, $\iota$ and $\sigma$ generate a group $G \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$, and we claim that the quotient of the involution $\iota \circ \sigma$ yields a genus 2 curve $C^{\prime}$ as quotient of $X$. In fact, the quotient $X / G \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, hence we have a bidouble cover of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with branch loci of respective degrees 2,4 and the quotient $C^{\prime}$ is a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ branched in 6 points.

Hence the hyperelliptic curves in $|D|$ are just the degree 2 étale coverings of genus 2 curves, and are only a finite number in $|D|$ (since $J\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is isogenous to $A$ ).

### 2.4. We proceed with the Key Step: showing that birationally $\Sigma$ must lie between $X$ and $Y$.

2.4.1. To achieve this we need to recall some basic facts about covering spaces. We shall use the Grauert-Remmert [G-R58] extension of Riemann's
theorem, stating that finite coverings $X \rightarrow Y$ between normal varieties correspond to covering spaces $X^{0} \rightarrow Y^{0}$ between respective Zariski open subsets of $X$, resp. $Y$.

Remark 2.1. Given a connected unramified covering $X \rightarrow Y$ between good spaces, we let $\widetilde{X}$ be the universal covering of $X$, and we write $X=H \backslash \widetilde{X}$, $Y=\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{X}$, hence $x \in X$ as $x=H \widetilde{x}, y \in Y$ as $y=\Gamma \widetilde{x}$, where both groups act on the left.
(1) Then the group of covering trasformations

$$
G:=A u t(X \rightarrow Y) \cong N_{H} / H,
$$

where $N_{H}$ is the normalizer subgroup of $H$ in $\Gamma$.
(2) The monodromy group $\operatorname{Mon}(X \rightarrow Y)$, also called the Galois group of the covering in Deb92, is defined as $\Gamma / \operatorname{core}(H)$, where $\operatorname{core}(H)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ contained in $H$,

$$
\operatorname{core}(H)=\cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H^{\gamma}=\cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\left(\gamma^{-1} H \gamma\right),
$$

which is also called the normal core of $H$ in $\Gamma$.
(3) The two actions of the two above groups on the fibre over $\Gamma \widetilde{x}$, namely $H \backslash \Gamma \cong\{H \gamma \widetilde{x} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, commute, since $G$ acts on the left, and $\Gamma$ acts on the right (the two groups coincide exactly when $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$, and we have what is called a normal covering space, or a Galois covering).
We have in fact an antihomomorphism

$$
\Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{Mon}(X \rightarrow Y)
$$

with kernel core $(H)$.
(4) Factorizations of the covering $X \rightarrow Y$ correspond to intermediate subgroups $H^{\prime}$ lying in between, $H \subset H^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$.
2.4.2. Our standard situation. We consider the composition of finite coverings $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow P$.

To simplify our notation, we consider the corresponding composition of unramified covering spaces of Zariski open sets, and the corresponding fundamental groups

$$
1 \rightarrow K_{1} \rightarrow H_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1} .
$$

Then the monodromy group of the Gauss map of $X$ is the quotient $\Gamma_{1} / \operatorname{core}\left(K_{1}\right)$.

We shall now divide all the above groups by the normal subgroup core $\left(K_{1}\right)$, and obtain

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow H \rightarrow \Gamma, \Gamma=\operatorname{Mon}\left(X^{0} \rightarrow P^{0}\right) .
$$

Since $X \rightarrow Y$ is Galois, and by Debarre's theorem $Y \rightarrow P$ is a generic covering, we have:

- we have a surjection of the monodromy group $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{N}:=\mathfrak{S}(H \backslash \Gamma)$ with kernel $\operatorname{core}(H)$, where $N=|H \backslash \Gamma|=\frac{g!}{2}$.
- $H$ is the inverse image of a stabilizer, hence $H$ maps onto $\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$,
- $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $\Gamma$, since $\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$;
- $H / K \cong G:=D_{p}$,
- $\Gamma$ acts on the fibre $M:=K \backslash \Gamma$
- $K^{\prime}:=K \cap \operatorname{core}(H)$ is normal in $K$, and $K^{\prime \prime}:=K / K^{\prime}$ maps isomorphically to a normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$, which is a quotient of $G$, hence it has index at most $2 p$. Therefore there are only two possibilities:
(I) $K^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$, or
(II) $K^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}$.

We consider now the case where there is a nontrivial factorization of the Gauss map $f, X \rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow P$.

Define $\widehat{H}$ to be the subgroup of the monodromy group $\Gamma$ associated to $\Sigma$, so that

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \widehat{H} \rightarrow \Gamma
$$

and set:

$$
H^{\prime}:=\widehat{H} \cap \operatorname{core}(H), H^{\prime \prime}:=\widehat{H} / H^{\prime}, H^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{N}
$$

Obviously we have $K^{\prime \prime} \subset H^{\prime \prime}$ : hence

- in case (I), where $K^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$, we have either
(Ia) $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{N-1}$ or
(Ib) $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{N}$, while
- in case (II), where $K^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}$, either
(IIa) $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}$,
(IIb) $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{A}_{N}$, or
(IIc) $H^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{N}$
We first consider cases b) and c) where the index of $H^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$ is $\leq 2$. Hence the index of $\hat{H}$ in $\Gamma$ is at most 2 times the index of $H^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{core}(H)$. Since $K^{\prime} \subset H^{\prime}$, and $\operatorname{core}(H) / K^{\prime}$ is a quotient of $H / K$, we see that the index of $\hat{H}$ in $\Gamma$ divides $2 p$ ( in fact, in case (IIb) $K^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}$, hence in this case the cardinality of $\operatorname{core}(H) / K^{\prime}$ equals $p$ ).

Lemma 2.3. Cases (b) and (c), where the degree $m$ of the covering $\Sigma \rightarrow P$ divides $2 p$, are not possible.

Proof. Observe in fact that $m$ equals the index of $\widehat{H}$ in $\Gamma$. We have two factorizations of the Gauss map $f$ :

$$
X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow P, X \rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow P
$$

hence we have that the degree of $f$ equals

$$
(2 p) N=m\left(N \frac{2 p}{m}\right)
$$

Consider now the respective ramification divisors $\mathcal{R}_{f}, \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}$ of the respective maps $f: X \rightarrow P, \Psi: Y \rightarrow P, \Sigma \rightarrow P$.

Since $X \rightarrow Y$ is quasi-étale (unramified in codimension 1), $\mathcal{R}_{f}$ is the inverse image of $\mathcal{R}$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{f}$ maps to the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ with mapping degree $2 p$.

Since the branch locus is known to be irreducible, and reduced, and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}$ is non empty, it follows that $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is quasi-étale, and $\mathcal{R}_{f}$ is the inverse image of $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}$, so that $\mathcal{R}_{f}$ maps to the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ with mapping degree $\left(N \frac{2 p}{m}\right) \delta$, where $\delta$ is the mapping degree of $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, which is at most $p$.

From the equality $2 p=\delta N\left(\frac{2 p}{m}\right)$ and since $N=g!/ 2$, we see that $g$ ! divides $4 p$, which is absurd for $g \geq 4$. For $g=3$ it follows that $p=3$, and either $\delta=2, m=6$, or $\delta=1$, and $m=3$.

To show that these special cases cannot occur, we can use several arguments.

For the case $m=3$, then $\Sigma$ is a nondegenerate surface of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ (hence it is by the way the Segre variety $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ ), and has a linear projection to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 3 : hence its branch locus is a curve of degree 6 , contradicting that $\mathcal{B}$ has degree 12 (see Cat-Schr02, $\mathcal{B}$ is the dual curve of the plane quartic curve whose Jacobian is $A^{\prime}$ ).

For the case $m=6$, we consider the normalization of the fibre product

$$
Z:=\Sigma \times_{P} Y
$$

so that there is a morphism of $X$ into $Z$, which we claim to be birational. In fact, the degree of the map $Z \rightarrow P$ is 18 , and each component $Z_{i}$ of $Z$ is a covering of $\Sigma$; therefore, if $Z$ is not irreducible, there is a component $Z_{i}$ mapping to $P$ with degree 6 : and the conclusion is that $\Sigma \rightarrow P$ factors through $Y$, which is what we wanted to happen, but assumed not to happen.

If $Z$ is irreducible, then $X$ is birational to $Z$, hence the group $G$ acts on $Z$, and trivially on $Y$ : follows that the fibres of $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ are $G$-orbits, whence $Y=\Sigma$, again a contradiction.

Remark 2.2. Indeed, we know ( Cat-Schr02]) that the monodromy group of $\Theta \rightarrow P$ is $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, and one could describe explicitly $\Gamma$ in relation to the series of inclusions

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow K_{1} \rightarrow H \rightarrow \Gamma
$$

where $K_{1}$ is the subgroup associated to $\Theta$.
At any rate, if $m=3$, we can take the normalization of the fibre product $W:=\Theta \times{ }_{P} \Sigma$, and argue as before that if $W$ is reducible, then $\Theta$ dominates $\Sigma$, which is only possible if $\Sigma=Y$.

While, if $W$ is irreducible, $W=X$ and the fibres of $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ are made of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$-orbits, hence again $\Theta$ dominates $\Sigma$.

Excluded cases (b) and (c), we are left with case (a), where

$$
H^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{N-1} \Rightarrow \widehat{H} \subset H
$$

equivalently $\Sigma$ lies between $X$ and $Y$, hence it corresponds to an intermediate subgroup of $G=D_{p}$, either $\mathbb{Z} / p$ and then $\Sigma=\Theta$, or $\mathbb{Z} / 2$, and then $\Sigma=$ $X / \pm 1$ for a proper choice of the origin in the Abelian variety $A$.
2.5. In the case where $\Sigma$ lies between $X$ and $Y$, for a general deformation of $X$ the canonical map becomes birational. Here, we can soon dispense of the case $\Sigma=\Theta$ : just using exactly the same argument we gave in lemma 2.1, that $\mathbb{Z} / p$ does not act on $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$ as the identity, so the fibres of $X \rightarrow \Theta$ are separated.

For the case where $\Sigma=X /(\mathbb{Z} / 2)$ we need to look at the vector space $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$, which is a $p$-dimensional representation of $D_{p}$, where we
have a basis $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{p}$ of eigenvectors for the different characters of $\mathbb{Z} / p$. In other words, for a generator $r$ of $\mathbb{Z} / p$, we must have

$$
r\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\zeta^{i} \theta_{i} .
$$

If $s$ is an element of order 2 in the dihedral group, then $r \circ s=s \circ r^{-1}$, hence

$$
r\left(s\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right)=s\left(r^{-1}\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right)=s\left(\zeta^{-1} \theta_{i}\right)=\zeta^{-1} s\left(\theta_{i}\right),
$$

hence we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
s\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\theta_{-i},-i \in \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

It is then clear that $s$ does not act as the identity unless we are in the special case $p=2$.

In the special case $p=2$, we proceed as in Cat-Schr02. We have a basis $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ of even functions, i.e., such that $\theta_{i}(-z)=\theta_{i}(z)$, and $\Theta=X /(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$, where $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ acts sending $z \mapsto \pm z+\eta$, where $\eta$ is a 2 -torsion point on $A$. Since the partial derivatives of $\theta_{1}$ are invariant for $z \mapsto z+\eta$, and since $\theta_{2}(z+\eta)=-\theta_{2}(z)$, the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ factors through the involution $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\iota(z)=-z+\eta
$$

If for a general deformation of $X$ as a symmetric divisor the canonical map would factor through $\iota$, then $X$ would be $\iota$-invariant; being symmetric, it would be $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$-invariant, hence for all deformations $X$ would remain the pull-back of a Theta divisor. This is a contradiction, since the Kuranishi family of $X$ has higher dimension than the Kuranishi family of a Theta divisor $\Theta$ (see [Cat-Schr02]).

## 3. Embedding obstruction

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be an ample smooth divisor in an Abelian variety $A$ of dimension $n+1$.

Assume moreover that $X$ is not a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 yielding a polarization of type $(1,2)$.

If $X$ defines a polarization of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n+1}\right)$, then the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ of $X$ is a morphism, and it can be an embedding only if $p_{g}(X):=$ $h^{0}\left(K_{X}\right) \geq 2 n+2$, which means that the Pfaffian $d:=m_{1} \cdot m_{2} \cdots m_{n+1}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
d \geq n+2=g+1
$$

Proof. Assume the contrary, $d \leq n+1$, so that $X$ embeds in $\mathbb{P}^{n+c}$, with codimension $c \leq n, c=d-1$.

Observe that the pull back of the hyperplane class of $\mathbb{P}^{n+c}$ is the divisor class of $X$ restricted to $X$, and that the degree $m$ of $X$ equals to the maximal self-intersection of $X$ in $A$, namely $m=X^{n+1}=d(n+1)!$.

The Severi double point formula yields see ( FL77, also Cat-Og19)

$$
m^{2}=c_{n}\left(\Phi^{*} T_{\mathbb{P}^{2 n}}-T_{X}\right),
$$

where $\Phi$ is the composition of $\Phi_{X}$ with a linear embedding $\mathbb{P}^{n+c} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2 n}$.
By virtue of the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{X} \rightarrow T_{A} \mid X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(X) \rightarrow 0
$$

we obtain

$$
m^{2}=\left[(1+X)^{2 n+2}\right]_{n}=\binom{2 n+2}{n} X^{n+1} \Leftrightarrow d(n+1)!=m=\binom{2 n+2}{n} .
$$

To have a quick proof, let us also apply the double point formula to the section of $X=\Phi(X)$ with a linear subspace of codimension $(n-d+1)$, which is a variety $Y$ of dimension and codimension $(d-1)$ inside $\mathbb{P}^{2 d-2}$.

In view of the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{Y} \rightarrow T_{A} \mid Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}(X)^{n-d+2} \rightarrow 0
$$

we obtain

$$
m^{2}=\left[(1+X)^{n+d+1} X^{n-d+1}\right]_{n}=\binom{n+d+1}{d-1} X^{n+1}
$$

equivalently,

$$
d(n+1)!=m=\binom{n+d+1}{d-1}
$$

Since, for $d \leq n+1,\binom{n+d+1}{d-1} \leq\binom{ 2 n+2}{n}$, equality holding if and only if $d=n+1$, we should have $d=n+1$ and moreover

$$
(n+1)(n+1)!=\binom{2 n+2}{n} \Leftrightarrow(n+2)!=\binom{2 n+2}{n+1}
$$

We have equality for $n=1$, but then when we pass from $n$ to $n+1$ the left hand side gets multiplied by $(n+3)$, the right hand side by $\frac{(2 n+4)(2 n+3)}{(n+2)^{2}}$, which is a strictly smaller number since

$$
(n+3)(n+2)=n^{2}+5 n+6>2(2 n+3)=4 n+6
$$

We are done with showing the desired assertion since we must have $n=1$, and $d=2$, and in the case $n=1, d=2$ we have a curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 4 and genus 3 .

## 4. Remarks on the conjecture

Recall Conjecture 1.1 .
Conjecture 4.1. Assume that $(A, X)$ is a general pair of a smooth ample hypersurface $X \subset A$ in an Abelian variety $A$, giving a polarization with Pfaffian $d$ satisfying $d \geq g+1$.

Then the canonical map $\Phi_{X}$ is an embedding of $X$.
The first observation is that we can assume $g \geq 3$, since for a curve the canonical map is an embedding if and only if it is birational onto its image, hence we may apply here our main Theorem 1.2 ,

The second remark is that we have a partial result which is similar to lemma 2.1

Lemma 4.1. Assume that the polarization type $\bar{d}$ is divisible by $\bar{\delta}$. Then, if the embedding Conjecture 1.1 holds true for $\bar{\delta}$, and the linear system $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$ is base point free for the general element $X^{\prime}$ yielding a polarization of type $\bar{\delta}$, then the embedding conjecture also holds true for $\bar{d}$.

Proof. As in lemma 2.1 we reduce to the following situation: we have $\pi$ : $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, which is an étale quotient with group $\mathbb{Z} / p$. Moreover, since the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is the pull-back of $K_{X^{\prime}}$, the composition $\Phi_{X^{\prime}} \circ \pi$ factors through $\Phi_{X}$, and a morphism $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$.

Since by assumption $\Phi_{X^{\prime}}$ is an embedding, $\Phi_{X}$ is a local embedding at each point, and it suffices to show that $\Phi_{X}$ separates all the fibres.

Recalling that

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)=\oplus_{1}^{p} H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+i \eta\right)\right)
$$

(here $\eta$ is a nontrivial divisor of $p$-torsion), this follows immediately if we know that for each point $p \in X^{\prime}$ there are two distinct eigenspaces which do not have $p$ as a base-point.

Under our strong assumption $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+i \eta\right|$ contains the restriction of $\left|X^{\prime}+i \eta\right|$ to $X^{\prime}$, but $\left|X^{\prime}+i \eta\right|$ is a translate of $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$, so it is base-point free.

Already in the case of surfaces $(n=2, g=3)$ the result is not fully established, we want $d \geq 4$, and the case of a polarization of type $(1,1,4)$ is not yet written down (Ces18 treats the case of a polarization of type $(1,2,2)$, which is quite interesting for the theory of canonical surfaces in $\left.\mathbb{P}^{5}\right)$.

Were our conjecture too optimistic, then the question would arise about the exact range of validity for the statement of embedding of a general pair ( $X, A$ ).
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