

ON ABELIAN AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF HYPERSURFACES

ZHIWEI ZHENG

ABSTRACT. Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Let G be a finite abelian group acting faithfully and linearly on a smooth hypersurface of degree d in the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . Suppose $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ can be lifted to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose moreover that there exists an element g in G such that $G/\langle g \rangle$ has order coprime to $d-1$. Then all possible G are determined (Theorem 4.3). As an application, we derive (Theorem 4.8) all possible orders of linear automorphisms of smooth hypersurfaces for any given (d, N) . In particular, we show (Proposition 5.1) that the order of an automorphism of a smooth cubic fourfold is a factor of 21, 30, 32, 33, 36 or 48, and each of those 6 numbers is achieved by a unique (up to isomorphism) cubic fourfold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $d \geq 3, N \geq 3$ be integers. We consider complex homogeneous polynomials of degree d in N variables. Such a polynomial F defines a hypersurface $V(F)$ in the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . We call such a hypersurface an $(N-2)$ -fold of degree d . By Matsumura and Monsky [MM64], any regular automorphism of $V(F)$ is linear (namely, induced by a linear transformation of the ambient projective space) when $V(F)$ is smooth and $N \geq 4, (d, N) \neq (4, 4)$. Denote by $\mathrm{Lin}(V(F))$ the group of linear automorphisms of $V(F)$. When $V(F)$ is smooth, the group $\mathrm{Lin}(V(F))$ is finite.

One of the goals of this paper is to determine all possible orders of linear automorphisms of smooth hypersurfaces for arbitrary (d, N) . A previous result by González-Aguilera and Liendo [GAL13]¹ classifies orders which are prime-powers coprime to $d(d-1)$. Their work is based on the fact that any automorphism of the projective space with finite order can be represented by a diagonal matrix after suitable choice of homogeneous coordinate. This classification is used by Oguiso and Yu in their work [OY19], which classifies maximal automorphism groups of smooth quintic threefolds. More recently, Wei and Yu [WY19] classified maximal automorphism groups of cubic threefolds. Both [OY19] and [WY19] started with determining possible automorphism groups of small size (for example, cyclic groups and p -groups). Then they found all possible "combinations" (with the help of GAP software) of the small groups which result in automorphism groups. These works motivate us to study abelian automorphism groups of smooth hypersurfaces in a more general setting.

This paper first study abelian group actions on smooth hypersurfaces by extending González-Aguilera and Liendo's approach. More precisely, we will use the basic fact that any abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ are conjugate to subgroups of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$. Our main results (Theorems 4.2 and 4.3) classify all finite abelian groups G such that G can be split as

¹However, there is a small gap in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [GAL13]. See [OY19] (Theorem 5.1) for a corrected statement.

$G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ with G_1 cyclic and $\gcd(|G_2|, d-1) = 1$, and G admits a liftable (see Definition 2.1), linear and faithful action on a smooth $(N-2)$ -fold of degree d .

We sketch our approach and explain the new ideas. Take a finite abelian group G and a character $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. A group homomorphism $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ is equivalent to N characters $\lambda_i: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We consider the set S of monomials $\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = d$ and $\prod_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} = \lambda$. Then each complex linear combination of elements in S gives rise to a polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ of degree d , such that the hypersurface $V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_N}^{N-1}$ admits an action of G . When the data $(G, \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is suitably chosen, the set S can give rise to smooth polynomials (we call a polynomial smooth if it defines a smooth hypersurface). Indeed, any liftable group actions on smooth hypersurfaces arise in this way.

The main novelty of this paper is a choice of a set of very special smooth polynomials, which we call the simple polynomials. For us, a simple polynomial is the sum of finitely many polynomials of special shape that we call of type K and T (see Section 2 for a precise definition). We show that if some mild conditions about G are satisfied and G admits a liftable, linear and faithful action on a smooth hypersurface, then there exist characters $\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that the corresponding set S contains the monomials of certain simple polynomial. In particular, the group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the linear automorphism group of the hypersurface defined by this simple polynomial.

After we obtain our main results on abelian automorphism groups of hypersurfaces, we applied Theorem 4.3 to prove Theorem 4.8, in which we classify all possible orders of linear automorphisms of smooth $(N-2)$ -folds of degree d . We apply Theorem 4.8 for cubic fourfolds (when $(d, N) = (3, 6)$) and show that (Proposition 5.1) the order of an automorphism of a smooth cubic fourfold is a factor of 21, 30, 32, 33, 36 or 48. Moreover, we prove that these six numbers are achieved uniquely by smooth cubic fourfolds. We expect Theorem 4.8 to be useful in further applications.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notations and preliminary results. Especially, we define the simple polynomials and discuss their basic properties. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the diagonal automorphisms of simple hypersurfaces. Section 4 is the main section, where we give the precise formulation and proof of Theorem 4.2, 4.3 and 4.8. We end up with a case-study on cubic fourfolds in Section 5.

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to MPIM for hospitality and excellent research atmosphere. I thank Chenglong Yu for discussion on some examples, and Radu Laza for discussion on cubic fourfolds. After the posting of the first version of the manuscript, I learned from González-Aguilera, Liendo and Montero [GALM20] about the liftability property for automorphism groups of smooth hypersurfaces. I thank the authors of [GALM20] for sharing an early version of their work. Finally, I thank the referee for many helpful comments.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Automorphism Groups of Hypersurfaces. Given integers $d \geq 3, N \geq 3$. All varieties considered in this paper are over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let F be a global section of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . Then F defines an $(N-2)$ -fold $V(F)$ of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . For each choice of a homogeneous coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_N) for \mathbb{P}^{N-1} , the monomials in x_1, \dots, x_N of degree d form a basis for the vector space $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{N-1}, \mathcal{O}(d))$. Thus F can be uniquely

expressed as a homogeneous polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_N of degree d . We simply denote this polynomial by $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$.

We call a homogeneous polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ ($k \geq 1$) smooth if the only solution for

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} = 0$$

in \mathbb{C}^k is $(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (0, \dots, 0)$. For $k \geq 3$, a smooth homogeneous polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_k defines a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{k-1} .

For $g \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ a polynomial of degree d , we define $g(F) = F \circ g^{-1}$. This gives rise to an action of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ on the set of polynomials (in x_1, \dots, x_N) of degree d . The class $[g]$ of g in $\mathrm{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ then sends $V(F)$ to $V(g(F))$. If $V(g(F)) = V(F)$ (equivalently, $g(F) = \lambda F$ for certain $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$), then $[g]$ defines a linear automorphism of $V(F)$. We define $\mathrm{Lin}(V(F))$ to be the group of linear automorphisms of $V(F)$. As we have mentioned in the introduction, by Matsumura and Monsky [MM64], the group $\mathrm{Lin}(V(F))$ is finite when F is smooth. Moreover, when $(d, N) \neq (4, 4)$ and F is smooth, any regular automorphism of the variety $V(F)$ is indeed linear. We will need the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. Given a linear action of a group G on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} (equivalently, given a projective representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ of the group G). We say this action is liftable, if there exists a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$, such that its composition with the natural projection $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is the given projective representation. We call such a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ a lifting for the action.

Definition 2.2. Given a linear action of a group G on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . Suppose

- (a) the action is liftable, and
- (b) there exist a lifting $j: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$, a hypersurface $V(F)$ in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} and a character $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $j(g)(F) = \lambda(g)F$ for any $g \in G$.

Then we say (the action of) G is (F, λ) -liftable.

It is straightforward to check that any linear action of a cyclic group on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} is liftable. In our main results (Theorems 4.2 and 4.3), liftable-ities of abelian group actions are always required. See Remark 4.6 for more discussion.

2.2. Simple Polynomials and Simple Hypersurfaces. For integers $d \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, we call the polynomial $x_1^{d-1}x_2 + x_2^{d-1}x_3 + \dots + x_k^{d-1}x_1$ of type K_k (here the letter K stands for Klein), and the polynomial $x_1^{d-1}x_2 + \dots + x_{k-1}^{d-1}x_k + x_k^d$ of type T_k . A simple polynomial is the sum of finitely many polynomials of type K or T with independent variables and the same degree.

It is straightforward to show that simple polynomials of degree at least 3 are smooth. We call a hypersurface in the projective space a simple hypersurface, if it is defined by a simple polynomial. We write the type of a simple polynomial as direct sum of the types of each component. For a simple polynomial, if all of its components are of type K , then we call it K -pure. For example, the Fermat polynomial $x_1^d + \dots + x_k^d$ is of type $K_1^{\oplus k}$, and is K -pure.

Let $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a smooth polynomial of degree d . Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, there exists a monomial with nonzero coefficient in F such that the multiplicity of x_i in this monomial is at least $d - 1$ (see [GAL13], Lemma 1.2). For any monomial of degree d , there

is at most one x_i with multiplicity at least $d - 1$. This leads us to consider polynomials of the form

$$F_I(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1^{d-1}x_{i_1} + \dots + x_N^{d-1}x_{i_k}$$


where $I = (d, \vec{i}) = (d, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 3} \times \{1, \dots, k\}^k$.

Proposition 2.3. *Given $I = (d, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 3} \times \{1, \dots, k\}^k$, the following three statements are equivalent:*


- (1) *The polynomial F_I is smooth.*
- (2) *We cannot find $1 \leq a < b \leq k$ such that $i_a = i_b$ and $i_a \notin \{a, b\}$.*
- (3) *The polynomial F_I is simple.*

Proof. By definition of simpleness, the statement (3) implies (1). We next show (1) implies (2). Suppose there exist $1 \leq a < b \leq k$ such that $i_a = i_b$ and $i_a \notin \{a, b\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a = 1, b = 2$ and $i_a = i_b = 3$. We claim that $V(F_I)$ is singular at the point $p = [1 : \exp(\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d-1}) : 0 : \dots : 0]$. Indeed, it is straightforward to check that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Therefore, if F_I is smooth, then (2) holds.

Next we prove that (2) implies (3). Suppose we cannot find $1 \leq a < b \leq k$ such that $i_a = i_b$ and $i_a \notin \{a, b\}$. We consider a directed graph H with $1, \dots, k$ being the vertices and $a \rightarrow i_a$ ($a = 1, \dots, N$) being the edges. Notice that each vertex of H is the beginning point of exactly one edge, and each vertex is the ending point of at most two edges. Moreover, due to the assumption, if one vertex is the ending point of two edges, then one of the edges is a circle based on that vertex. These restrictions immediately imply that the components of H are of types



or



Thus F_I is a simple polynomial. □

3. AUTOMORPHISMS OF SIMPLE HYPERSURFACES

In this section we are given integers $d \geq 3, N \geq 2$. For $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we denote by $\text{diag}[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_N]$ the class of the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ in $\text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. The element $\text{diag}[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_N]$ is acting on $\mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_N}^{N-1}$, sending $[x_1 : \dots : x_N]$ to $[\lambda_1 x_1 : \dots : \lambda_N x_N]$. We call this a diagonal automorphism. For a smooth polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, we define G_F to be the group of diagonal automorphisms $\text{diag}[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_N]$ such that

$$F(\lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_N x_N) = \lambda F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

for certain $\lambda \neq 0$. The group G_F is a subgroup of $\text{Lin}(V(F))$, hence a finite abelian group.

Next we characterize the groups G_F for any simple polynomials F . We first consider polynomials of type K or type T .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = x_1^{d-1}x_2 + \dots + x_N^{d-1}x_1$ be the polynomial of degree d and type K_N . Then G_F is a cyclic group of order $\frac{|1-(1-d)^N|}{d}$ with a generator given by $\text{diag}[\zeta : \zeta^{1-d} : \zeta^{(1-d)^2} : \dots : \zeta^{(1-d)^{N-1}}]$ where ζ is a primitive $|1 - (1-d)^N|$ -root of unity.*

Proof. The element $g = \text{diag}[\zeta : \zeta^{1-d} : \zeta^{(1-d)^2} : \dots : \zeta^{(1-d)^{N-1}}]$ is a linear automorphism of $V(F)$ of order $\frac{|1-(1-d)^N|}{d}$. Denote by $\langle g \rangle$ the cyclic group generated by g . Then we have a group inclusion $\langle g \rangle \hookrightarrow G_F$, which is an isomorphism as we are going to show.

Take $h \in G_F$ and choose one of its preimages $\tilde{h} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\tilde{h}(F) = F$. From $\tilde{h}(x_1^{d-1}x_2) = x_1^{d-1}x_2$ we have $\lambda_1^{d-1}\lambda_2 = 1$, hence $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{1-d}$. Accordingly, we have $\lambda_i = \lambda_1^{(1-d)^{i-1}}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, N$. From $\lambda_N^{d-1}\lambda_1 = 1$ we have $\lambda_1^{1-(1-d)^N} = 1$, namely λ_1 is a $|1 - (1-d)^N|$ -root of unity. Thus $h \in \langle g \rangle$. We conclude that $G_F = \langle g \rangle$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = x_1^{d-1}x_2 + \dots + x_{N-1}^{d-1}x_N + x_N^d$ be the polynomial of degree d and type T_N . Then G_F is a cyclic group of order $(d-1)^{N-1}$ with a generator given by $\text{diag}[\zeta : \zeta^{1-d} : \zeta^{(1-d)^2} : \dots : \zeta^{(1-d)^{N-1}}]$, where ζ is a primitive $(d-1)^{N-1}$ -root of unity.*

Proof. The element $g = \text{diag}[\zeta : \zeta^{1-d} : \zeta^{(1-d)^2} : \dots : \zeta^{(1-d)^{N-1}}]$ is a linear automorphism of $V(F)$ of order $(d-1)^{N-1}$. We have the group inclusion $\langle g \rangle \hookrightarrow G_F$. Same proof as Lemma 3.1 implies that $G_F = \langle g \rangle$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be a simple polynomial of degree d and type $K_{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_{a_t} \oplus T_{b_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{b_s}$, with t, s being non-negative integers. Then there is an isomorphism of finite abelian groups:*

$$(3.1) \quad G_F \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}/(|1 - (1-d)^{a_i}|)\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{j=1}^s \mathbb{Z}/(d(d-1)^{b_j-1})\mathbb{Z} \right) / \langle (u_1, \dots, u_t, v_1, \dots, v_s) \rangle.$$

Here u_i, v_j are elements in the corresponding summands with orders equal to d .

Proof. Let $F = F_1 + \dots + F_{t+s}$ where F_i 's have independent variables, F_i ($i = 1, \dots, t$) are of type K_{a_i} and F_{t+j} ($j = 1, \dots, s$) are of type T_{b_j} . For convenience, we denote the N variables x_1, \dots, x_N in a new way as follows. Let the variables appearing in F_i ($i = 1, \dots, t$) be $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,a_i}$, and the variables appearing in F_{t+j} ($j = 1, \dots, s$) be $x_{t+j,1}, \dots, x_{t+j,b_j}$.

Now we define $t + s$ elements $g_1, \dots, g_t, h_1, \dots, h_s \in \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ as follows. For $i = 1, \dots, t$, we take $\zeta_i = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{|1-(1-d)^{a_i}|})$. Let $g_i(x_{i,k}) = \zeta_i^{(1-d)^{k-1}} x_{i,k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, a_i$, and $g_i(x_{i',k}) = x_{i',k}$ for $i' \neq i$. Then $g_i F = F$ and g_i generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(|1 - (1-d)^{a_i}|)\mathbb{Z}$ in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. For $j = 1, \dots, s$, we take $\xi_j = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d(d-1)^{b_j-1}})$. Let $h_j(x_{t+j,k}) = \xi_j^{(1-d)^{k-1}} x_{t+j,k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, b_j$, and $h_j(x_{i',k}) = x_{i',k}$ for $i' \neq t+j$. Then $h_j F = F$ and h_j generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(d(d-1)^{b_j-1})\mathbb{Z}$ in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. The $t + s$ elements $g_1, \dots, g_t, h_1, \dots, h_s$ generate a subgroup $\tilde{G} \subset \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ which is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}/(|1 - (1-d)^{a_i}|)\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{j=1}^s \mathbb{Z}/(d(d-1)^{b_j-1})\mathbb{Z}$. Since the group \tilde{G} leaves F invariant, we have a group homomorphism $\Pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G_F$. We next describe the kernel of Π and show that Π is surjective.

Let φ be an element in the kernel of Π . Then $\varphi = \text{diag}(\rho, \dots, \rho)$ for certain $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. From the definition of \tilde{G} , we can write $\varphi = u_1 \cdots u_t v_1 \cdots v_s$ for $u_i = g_i^{\alpha_i}$, and $v_j = h_j^{\beta_j}$. Since u_i acts with the same scalar on $x_{i,k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, a_i$, we must have $\frac{|1-(1-d)^{a_i}|}{d} \mid \alpha_i$, and the order of u_i is either d or 1. Similarly, we have $(d-1)^{b_j-1} \mid \beta_j$ and the order of v_j is either d or 1. Thus ρ is a d -root of unity. The kernel of Π is generated by the element

$$\text{diag}\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}\right), \dots, \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}\right)\right) = u_1 \cdots u_t v_1 \cdots v_s$$

with $u_i = g_i^{\alpha_i}$, $v_j = h_j^{\beta_j}$, $\alpha_i = \frac{|1-(1-d)^{a_i}|}{d}$ and $\beta_j = (d-1)^{b_j-1}$.

Take $f \in G_F$. The restriction f_i of f to $\mathbb{P}_{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,a_i}}^{a_i-1}$ is a linear automorphism of $V(F_i)$, namely, $f_i \in G_{F_i}$. By Lemma 3.1, the restriction of $[g_i]$ to $\mathbb{P}_{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,a_i}}^{a_i-1}$ generates G_{F_i} . By Lemma 3.2, the restriction of $[h_j^d]$ to $\mathbb{P}_{x_{t+j,1}, \dots, x_{t+j,b_j}}^{b_j-1}$ generates $G_{F_{t+j}}$. Therefore, we can choose an element $f_1 \in \tilde{G}$ such that the restrictions of $f[f_1]^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}_{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,a_i}}^{a_i-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{x_{t+j,1}, \dots, x_{t+j,b_j}}^{b_j-1}$ are trivial for all $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $j = 1, \dots, s$. Take a preimage $\tilde{f} \in \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ of $f[f_1]^{-1}$ such that $\tilde{f}F = F$. Then \tilde{f} is diagonal with all eigenvalues being d -roots of unity. Moreover, \tilde{f} acts with the same scalar on $x_{i,k}$ for fixed i . Notice that $g_i^{\frac{|1-(1-d)^{a_i}|}{d}}$ acts with scalar $\exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}\right)$ on $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,a_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$. And $h_j^{(d-1)^{b_j-1}}$ acts with scalar $\exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}\right)$ on $x_{t+j,1}, \dots, x_{t+j,b_j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore, the element \tilde{f} is generated by $g_1, \dots, g_t, h_1, \dots, h_s$ in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. Thus $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{G}$. This implies that $f = \Pi(\tilde{f}f_1)$ lies in the image of Π . We conclude that Π is surjective. We then have equation (3.1) and the proposition follows. \square

We end up this section with a lemma which will be used (together with Proposition 3.3) to prove Theorem 4.8.

Lemma 3.4. *Given integers $k \geq 2, d \geq 2$ and an abelian group $G = G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k / \langle (u_1, \dots, u_k) \rangle$ with G_1, \dots, G_k cyclic and for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $u_i \in G_i$ is an element of order d . Then an integer n is the order of an element in G if and only if n is a factor of $\text{lcm}(|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|)$.*

Proof. Denote $L = \text{lcm}(|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|)$. An integer n is the order of an element in $G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k$ if and only if n is a factor of L . Thus the orders of any elements in G are factors of L . To prove the lemma, it suffices to construct an element in G with order equals to L . Let p_1, \dots, p_t be all different prime factors of d . For $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, take $j_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that the exponent of p_i in $|G_{j_i}|$ is minimal among the exponents of p_i in $|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, take an element $g_j \in G_j$ with order $|G_j| / \prod_{j_i=j} p_i$. Suppose the order of $[(g_1, \dots, g_k)] \in G_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_k / \langle (u_1, \dots, u_k) \rangle$ is a . We next show that $a = L$.

There exists a positive integer b such that $(g_1^a, \dots, g_k^a) = (u_1^b, \dots, u_k^b)$. Suppose to the contrary that (g_1^a, \dots, g_k^a) is not the identity element. Since u_1, \dots, u_k have the same order d , the powers u_1^b, \dots, u_k^b also have the same order d' . Here $d' \neq 1$ and $d' \mid d$. Let p be a prime factor of d' . Without loss of generality, we assume that $p = p_1$ and $j_1 = 1$. Denote by α_1, α_2 the exponents of p in $|G_1|, |G_2|$ respectively (we can do this because $k \geq 2$). From $j_1 = 1$ we have $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$. By constructions of g_1, g_2 , we know that the exponent of p in $\text{ord}(g_1)$ is less than α_1 , and the exponent of p in $\text{ord}(g_2)$ is equal to α_2 . Therefore, the exponent of p

in $\text{ord}(g_1)$ is less than that in $\text{ord}(g_2)$. On the other hand, the orders of $g_1^a = u_1^b$ and $g_2^a = u_2^b$ all equal to d' and $p \mid d'$, which is a contradiction.

We conclude that (g_1^a, \dots, g_k^a) is the identity element in $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$. Notice that the order of (g_1, \dots, g_k) in $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$ is equal to $\text{lcm}(\text{ord}(g_1), \dots, \text{ord}(g_k))$, which is equal to $L = \text{lcm}(|G_1|, \dots, |G_k|)$ from the constructions of g_1, \dots, g_k . Thus $L \mid a$, which implies that $L = a$. The lemma then follows. \square

4. ABELIAN AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

In this section we formulate and prove our main theorems. For a homogeneous polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ of degree d , we denote by $S(F)$ the set of monomials $\prod x_i^{\alpha_i}$ with nonzero coefficients in F .

The next lemma is equivalent to Lemma 3.2 in [OY19]. The formulation here is easier to use in our setting.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a smooth polynomial of degree d . Then for non-negative integers $a > b$, and distinct integers $i_1, \dots, i_a, j_1, \dots, j_b \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, there exists a monomial $m \in S(F)$, such that the sum of the degrees of x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a} in m is at least $d - 1$, and the variants x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_b} do not appear in m .*

Proof. Suppose there does not exist such a monomial. Let H be the part of F in which the monomials have total degree at least $d - 1$ in x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a} . Then each monomial in H has exactly total degree $d - 1$ in x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a} , and total degree 1 in x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_b} . Thus we can write

$$H = F_1(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a})x_{j_1} + \dots + F_b(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_a})x_{j_b}.$$

Since $b < a$, there exists a nonzero solution $(x_{i_1} = t_1, \dots, x_{i_a} = t_a)$ for equations $F_1 = \dots = F_b = 0$. By straightforward calculation, the polynomial F is singular at the point $[x_1 : \dots : x_N]$ with $x_{i_l} = t_l$ and other coordinates vanish. This contradicts the assumption that F is smooth. \square

Our first main theorem is:

Theorem 4.2. *Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Let G be a finite abelian group acting linearly and faithfully on a smooth $(N - 2)$ -fold in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} of degree d , such that $\text{gcd}(|G|, d - 1) = 1$ and the action is liftable. Then we can choose a coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_N) for \mathbb{P}^{N-1} , such that there exists a K -pure polynomial $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ of degree d with $G < G_F$.*

Proof. By the assumption, we can choose a homogeneous coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_N) for \mathbb{P}^{N-1} , such that G admits a linear, faithful, liftable and diagonal action on a smooth hypersurface $V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_N}^{N-1}$ of degree d . We can take a lift $G \subset \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and a character $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, such that $g(F) = \lambda(g)F$ for any $g \in G$. For each $a = 1, \dots, N$, let λ_a be the character of G such that $g(x_a) = \lambda_a(g)x_a$ for any $g \in G$. Since $F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is smooth, it contains for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ at least one monomial with nonzero coefficient in which the multiplicity of x_i is at least $d - 1$. Next we show the existence of $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^N$ such that $\lambda_a^{d-1} \lambda_{i_a} = \lambda$ for any $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and $F_{d, \bar{i}}$ is K -pure.

Since $|G|$ is coprime to $d - 1$, two characters λ_a and λ_b are different if and only if λ_a^{d-1} and λ_b^{d-1} are different. Suppose first that λ_1 is different from the other $N - 1$ characters

$\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$, then we simply choose $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $x_1^{d-1}x_{i_1} \in S(F)$ in order to obtain the result. Secondly, we consider the case where there exist other characters equal to λ_1 . Without loss of generality, we label them by $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_a$. If $\lambda = \lambda_1^d$, then x_1^d, \dots, x_a^d are (G, λ) -invariant. We can take $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_a = a$. Suppose we have $\lambda \neq \lambda_1^d$. Denote $\lambda' = \lambda/\lambda_1^{d-1}$. Let x_{a+1}, \dots, x_{a+b} be coordinates with associated characters equal to λ' .

Suppose $b < a$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a monomial m such that the total degree of x_1, \dots, x_a in m is at least $d-1$, and the variables x_{a+1}, \dots, x_{a+b} do not appear in m . This implies the existence of an index $c \in \{a+b+1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\lambda_c = \lambda'$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $b \geq a$. This allows us to choose $i_1 = a+1, i_2 = a+2, \dots, i_a = 2a$.

We can continue the above argument for the rest coordinates, and obtain $\bar{i} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^N$. From the construction, we have that for different $a, b \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the numbers i_a and i_b are different. Therefore, the polynomial $F = F_{d, \bar{i}}$ is K -pure with $G < G_F$. \square

We hope to weaken the condition $\gcd(|G|, d-1) = 1$ in Theorem 4.2. In other words, we want to control the structures of finite abelian automorphism groups G of smooth hypersurfaces when $|G|$ may have factors not coprime to $d-1$. An attempt in this direction leads to the next theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Let G be a finite abelian group with a linear, faithful and liftable action on a smooth $(N-2)$ -fold of degree d . Assume that there exists a decomposition $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ such that G_1 is cyclic and G_2 has order coprime to $d-1$. Then there exists a simple polynomial $F_0(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0})$ (for $N_0 \leq N$) of degree d , such that F_0 has at most one component of type $T_{\geq 2}$, and G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group G_{F_0} of diagonal automorphisms of $V(F_0)$. If $\gcd(|G|, d-1) \neq 1$, then F_0 can be chosen with exactly one component of type $T_{\geq 2}$.*

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Let G be a finite abelian group acting faithfully and linearly on an $(N-2)$ -fold $V(F)$ of degree d . Suppose the action is liftable. Then there exists a character λ of G such that G is (F, λ) -liftable, and for any $g \in G$ with order coprime to d , we have $\lambda(g) = 1$.*

Proof. Take a decomposition $G = G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$ such that each factor G_i is cyclic with order a prime power $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, take g_i be a generator of G_i , and take a preimage \tilde{g}_i of g_i in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$, such that the order of \tilde{g}_i is equal to $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $p_i \nmid d$, we modify \tilde{g}_i as follows. Let a be the complex number such that $\tilde{g}_i F = aF$. Since \tilde{g}_i has order $p_i^{\alpha_i}$, the number a is a $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ -root of unity. Since $(p_i^{\alpha_i}, d) = 1$, we can take another $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ -root of unity, denoted by b , such that $a = b^d$. Replace \tilde{g}_i by $b\tilde{g}_i$, we have that $\tilde{g}_i(F) = F$.

Now the subgroup \tilde{G} of $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ generated by $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_k$ is a lifting of G . Take the character λ of G with $\tilde{g}_i F = \lambda(g_i)F$. Then (F, λ) satisfies the requirement in the lemma. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. If $\gcd(|G|, d-1) = 1$, the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2. We next assume $\gcd(|G|, d-1) \neq 1$. We can take a decomposition $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, where $G_1 = \langle g_0 \rangle$

is cyclic with all prime factors of $\text{ord}(g_0)$ also factors of $d - 1$, and G_2 has order coprime to $d - 1$.

Suppose G admits a linear, faithful, liftable and diagonal action on $V(F)$ for $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ smooth of degree d . Since the action is liftable, we can take a lifting $\tilde{G} \subset \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. For element $g \in G$ we denote by \tilde{g} the preimage of g in \tilde{G} . Let λ be the character of G such that $\tilde{g}(F) = \lambda(g)F$ for each $g \in G$. By Lemma 4.4 we can make choice of \tilde{G} such that $\lambda(g) = 1$ when $\text{gcd}(\text{ord}(g), d) = 1$. Since $\text{ord}(g_0)$ is coprime to d , we have $\lambda(g_0) = 1$. For each $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let λ_k be the character of G such that $\tilde{g}(x_k) = \lambda_k(g)x_k$ for any $g \in G$.

Let S be the set of monomials $\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\alpha_i}$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} = \lambda$. Then $S(F) \subset S$. From the smoothness of F , we have that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, there exists j , such that $x_i^{d-1}x_j \in S(F) \subset S$.

Let l be the minimal integer such that $\text{ord}(g_0) \mid (d - 1)^l$. Since $\text{ord}(g_0) \neq 1$, l is at least 1. There exists a prime factor p of $d - 1$, such that the exponent of p in $\text{ord}(g_0)$ is greater than that in $(d - 1)^{l-1}$. Denote by α the exponent of p in $\text{ord}(g_0)$. Let $g_1 = g_0^{\frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p^\alpha}}$. Then $g_1 \in G_1$ has order p^α .

The values $\lambda_1(g_1), \dots, \lambda_N(g_1)$ are p^α -roots of unity and (by the faithfulness of the action of G) at least one of them is primitive. Take $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\lambda_{i_1}(g_1) = \zeta$ is a primitive p^α -root of unity. We have $\tilde{g}_1(x_{i_1}^d) = \zeta^d x_{i_1}^d$ and $\zeta^d \neq 1$. On the other hand, we have $\lambda(g_1) = 1$. Thus $x_{i_1}^d \notin S$.

We can find distinct elements $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, such that $x_{i_t}^{d-1}x_{i_{t+1}} \in S$ for $t = 1, \dots, k - 1$, and there exists $l' \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ such that $x_{i_k}^{d-1}x_{i_{l'+1}} \in S$. We ask k to be minimal among all possible choices. Then $\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_k}$ are distinct. Without loss of generality, we assume $i_t = t$ for $t = 1, \dots, k$.

For $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$, since $x_t^{d-1}x_{t+1} \in S$, we have $\lambda_t(g_1)^{d-1}\lambda_{t+1}(g_1) = \lambda(g_1) = 1$. This implies that $\lambda_{t+1}(g_1) = \lambda_t(g_1)^{1-d}$. Then we have $\lambda_i(g_1) = \zeta^{(1-d)^{i-1}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Since $x_k^{d-1}x_{l'+1} \in S$, we have $\lambda_{l'+1}(g_1) = \lambda_k(g_1)^{1-d} = \lambda_{l'+1}(g_1)^{(1-d)^{k-l'}}$. Notice that $\lambda_{l'+1}(g_1)$ is a p^α -root of unity. Thus $\lambda_{l'+1}(g_1) = 1$. This implies that $l' \geq l$ and $\lambda_t(g_1) = 1$ for $t = l' + 1, \dots, k$.

Next we show that $l' = l$. Assume to the contrary that $l' > l$. For any integer $t \in \{l + 1, \dots, k\}$, we have $\lambda_t(g_0) = \lambda_1(g_0)^{(1-d)^{t-1}}$. Since $\text{ord}(g_0) \mid (d - 1)^l$ and $t \geq l + 1$, we conclude that $\lambda_t(g_0) = 1$. In particular, $\lambda_{l'}(g_0) = \lambda_k(g_0) = 1$. From $\lambda_{l'}^{d-1}\lambda_{l'+1} = \lambda_k^{d-1}\lambda_{l'+1} = \lambda$ we obtain $\lambda_{l'}^{d-1} = \lambda_k^{d-1}$. Since $\text{gcd}(|G_2|, d - 1) = 1$, the restrictions of $\lambda_{l'}$ and λ_k to G_2 are the same. We conclude that $\lambda_{l'} = \lambda_k$. This contradicts the minimality of k . Therefore, we have $l' = l$.

Consider the restrictions of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ to G_2 . From $\lambda_l^{d-1}\lambda_{l+1} = \lambda_k^{d-1}\lambda_{l+1}$ we obtain $\lambda_l|_{G_2} = \lambda_k|_{G_2}$. Then by $\lambda_{l-1}^{d-1}\lambda_l = \lambda_{k-1}^{d-1}\lambda_k$ we obtain $\lambda_{l-1}|_{G_2} = \lambda_{k-1}|_{G_2}$. Continuing this process, we obtain $\lambda_t|_{G_2} = \lambda_{t+k-l}|_{G_2}$ for $t \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. In particular, each $\lambda_t|_{G_2}$ ($t = 1, \dots, k$) is equal to one of $\lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_k|_{G_2}$.

After applying permutations to the coordinates x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N , we can take integers $k = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_s = N_1 \leq N$, such that for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, the Klein polynomial

$$F_i(x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \dots, x_{k_i}) = x_{k_{i-1}+1}^{d-1} x_{k_{i-1}+2} + \dots + x_{k_i-1}^{d-1} x_{k_i} + x_{k_i}^{d-1} x_{k_{i-1}+1}$$

satisfies $S(F_i) \subset S(F)$. Moreover, for any $t \in \{k+1, \dots, N_1\}$, the character $\lambda_t|_{G_2}$ is different from $\lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_k|_{G_2}$. We ask N_1 to be maximal among all possible choices. We next prove that the action of G_2 on $\mathbb{P}_{x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N_1}}^{N_1-l-1}$ is faithful. Suppose not, then there exists $i_1 \in \{N_1+1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\lambda_{i_1}|_{G_2}$ is different from $\lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}|_{G_2}$.

Since F is smooth, there exists $i_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $x_{i_1}^{d-1} x_{i_2} \in S(F)$. Suppose there exists $j \in \{l+1, \dots, N_1\}$ such that $\lambda_{i_2}|_{G_2} = \lambda_j|_{G_2}$. Then $(\lambda_{i_1}^{d-1} \lambda_{i_2})|_{G_2} = \lambda|_{G_2} = (\lambda_{j-1}^{d-1} \lambda_j)|_{G_2}$. This implies that $\lambda_{i_1}|_{G_2} = \lambda_{j-1}|_{G_2}$. This contradicts our assumption on i_1 . Therefore, we have $i_2 \in \{N_1+1, \dots, N\}$ and $\lambda_{i_2}|_{G_2}$ is different from $\lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}|_{G_2}$.

Continuing this process, we have a sequence i_1, i_2, \dots , with each item an element in $\{N_1+1, \dots, N\}$. Take the minimal integer p such that $i_{p+1} = i_q$ for certain $q \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Then the Klein polynomial $F_{s+1} = x_{i_q}^{d-1} x_{i_{q+1}} + \dots + x_{i_{p-1}}^{d-1} x_{i_p} + x_{i_p}^{d-1} x_{i_q}$ satisfies that $S(F_{s+1}) \subset S(F)$. This contradicts the maximality of N_1 . Thus we conclude that the action of G_2 on $\mathbb{P}_{x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N_1}}^{N_1-l-1}$ is faithful.

Next we construct F_0 as required in the theorem. If $k = l+1$, we take $N_0 = N_1$ and

$$F_0(x_1, \dots, x_{N_1}) = x_1^{d-1} x_2 + \dots + x_{k-1}^{d-1} x_k + x_k^d + F_1 + \dots + F_s$$

which is a simple polynomial with exactly one component of type $T_{\geq 2}$. The action of G on $\mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_N}^{N-1}$ then induces a faithful action of G on $V(F_0) \subset \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_{N_1}}^{N_1-1}$.

Now we assume that $k > l+1$. We aim to show that $N_1 < N$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a monomial $m \in S$ with the total degree of x_l, x_k at least $d-1$, and the degree of x_{l+1} being zero. We write $m = x_l^u x_k^{d-u-1} x_t$ with $t \neq l+1$. From $\lambda_l|_{G_2} = \lambda_k|_{G_2}$ and $\lambda_k^{d-1} \lambda_{l+1} = \lambda_l^u \lambda_k^{d-u-1} \lambda_t = \lambda$ we obtain $\lambda_t|_{G_2} = \lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}$. Since $\lambda_{l+1}, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct and they all take value 1 at g_0 , we know that $\lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_k|_{G_2}$ are distinct. Therefore, $t \notin \{l+1, \dots, k\}$. From $k > l+1$ we have $\lambda_l|_{G_2} \neq \lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}$, hence $t \neq l$. From the construction of F_1, \dots, F_s , we know that $\lambda_t|_{G_2} = \lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}$ is different from $\lambda_{k+1}|_{G_2}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}|_{G_2}$. Thus $t \notin \{k+1, \dots, N_1\}$. Take values at $g_0 \in G_1$ on both sides of $\lambda_l^u \lambda_k^{d-u-1} \lambda_t = \lambda$, we have that $\lambda_t(g_0) = \lambda_l(g_0)^{-u}$. This implies that $t \notin \{1, 2, \dots, l-1\}$. We conclude that $t > N_1$. In particular, we must have $N_1 < N$.

Now let $N_0 = N_1 + 1$. We take a simple polynomial

$$F_0(y_1, \dots, y_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N_1}) = F_T(y_1, \dots, y_{l+1}) + F_K(x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N_1})$$

with $F_T = y_1^{d-1} y_2 + \dots + y_l^{d-1} y_{l+1} + y_{l+1}^d$ and $F_K = F_1 + \dots + F_s$. The polynomial F_0 has $N_0 = N_1 + 1 \leq N$ variables. The group G_1 is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_{F_T} , and G_2 is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_{F_K} . Combining with Proposition 3.3 we conclude that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_{F_0} . \square

Remark 4.5. For our application to cubic fourfolds, we give a deeper analysis about the case $d = 3$. In this case, we claim that if $k > l + 1$, then $N_1 \leq N - 2$ (thus $N_0 = N_1 + 1$ can be chosen to be strictly smaller than N).

Assume to the contrary that $N_1 = N - 1$. Suppose $k = l + 2$. Then $\lambda_{l+1}^2 \lambda_k = \lambda_k^2 \lambda_{l+1} = \lambda$, which implies that $\lambda_k = \lambda_{l+1}$. This contradicts the minimality of k . Thus we have that $k \geq l + 3$. We already find $t \in \{N_1 + 1, \dots, N\}$ with $\lambda_t|_{G_2} = \lambda_{l+1}|_{G_2}$. The only possibility is that $t = N$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a monomial $m \in S$ with the total degree of x_N, x_{l+1} at least $d - 1$, and the degree of x_{l+2} zero. We write $m = x_N^v x_{l+1}^{d-v-1} x_{t'}$ with $t' \neq l + 2$. By taking restrictions to G_2 , we have $\lambda_{t'}|_{G_2} = \lambda_{l+2}|_{G_2}$, which implies that $t' \notin \{l, l + 1, \dots, N\}$. We also have $\lambda_{t'}(g_0) = \lambda_t(g_0)^{-v} = \lambda_l(g_0)^{uv}$, which implies that $t' \notin \{1, 2, \dots, l - 1\}$. Which is a contradiction.

Remark 4.6. In Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 we require the actions to be liftable. This condition actually holds in most situations. See Proposition 4.7 below for the case of abelian automorphism groups as we consider. There are more general results for liftability of (non-necessarily abelian) automorphism groups of smooth hypersurfaces, see [OY19] (Theorem 4.8) and the very recent work [GALM20] by González-Aguilera, Liendo and Montero.

Proposition 4.7. *Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Let G be an abelian finite group acting faithfully and linearly on a smooth $(N - 2)$ -fold of degree d . Suppose that there exists an element $g \in G$ such that the order of $G/\langle g \rangle$ is coprime to $\gcd(d, N)$. Then the action is liftable.*

Proof. We can take a decomposition $G = G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_k$ with each G_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) cyclic, such that the orders $|G_2|, \dots, |G_k|$ are all coprime to (d, N) . Denote by n_i the order of G_i . For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, we take g_i to be a generator of G_i and take its preimage \tilde{g}_i in $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ with order n_i .

For any $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have $\tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j = \rho \tilde{g}_j \tilde{g}_i$ for a complex number $\rho \neq 0$. Taking determinant of $\tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j$ and $\rho \tilde{g}_j \tilde{g}_i$, we have that $\rho^N = 1$. By looking at the action of $\tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j$ and $\rho \tilde{g}_j \tilde{g}_i$ on the polynomial defining the invariant $(N - 2)$ -fold of degree d , we have that $\rho^d = 1$. We have $\tilde{g}_j^{-1} \tilde{g}_i \tilde{g}_j = \rho \tilde{g}_i$. Taking n_i -th power of both side, we have $\rho^{n_i} = 1$. Similarly we have $\rho^{n_j} = 1$. Since one of n_i, n_j is coprime to (d, N) , we must have $\rho = 1$.

From the above argument we conclude that each two of $\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_k$ commute. These k elements then generate an abelian subgroup of $\text{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ which is a lifting of G . \square

Next we apply Theorem 4.3 to determine all possible orders of linear automorphisms of smooth hypersurfaces:

Theorem 4.8. *Given integers $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. Suppose n is the order of a linear automorphism of a smooth $(N - 2)$ -fold of degree d , then n is also the order of a linear automorphism of certain $(N - 2)$ -fold of degree d defined by a simple polynomial F with at most one component of type $T_{\geq 2}$. Explicitly, n is either a factor of*

- (i) $\frac{|1 - (1-d)^N|}{d}$, or
- (ii) $(d - 1)^{N-1}$, or
- (iii) $|1 - (1 - d)^a|$, where $1 \leq a \leq N - 1$, or

- (iv) $\text{lcm}(|1 - (1 - d)^{a_1}|, \dots, |1 - (1 - d)^{a_t}|)$, where $t \geq 2, 1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \leq N$,
or
(v) $\text{lcm}(|1 - (1 - d)^{a_1}|, \dots, |1 - (1 - d)^{a_t}|, (d - 1)^{b-1})$, where $t \geq 1, 1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_t, b \geq 2$,
and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i + b \leq N$.

Proof. Let g be a linear automorphism of a smooth hypersurface $V(F)$ of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . First we assume $\text{ord}(g)$ is not coprime to $d-1$. From Theorem 4.3, the group $\langle g \rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_F for $F = F(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ a simple polynomial of degree d and with exactly one component of type $T_{\geq 2}$ (assume it to be T_b with $b \geq 2$). Thus the order $\text{ord}(g)$ of g is equal to the order of a diagonal automorphism of $V(F)$. From Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the number $\text{ord}(g)$ belong to case (ii) (when $b = N$) or case (v) (when $b < N$).

Suppose $(\text{ord}(g), d - 1) = 1$. By Theorem 4.2, $\text{ord}(g)$ is equal to the order of a linear automorphism of an $(N - 2)$ -fold of degree d which is defined by a K -pure simple polynomial F . Then by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the number $\text{ord}(g)$ belong to case (i) (when F is Klein) or cases (iii), (iv) (when F is not Klein). The theorem follows. \square

Remark 4.9. When $d - 1$ is a prime power and G is a cyclic group, the two conditions (a), (b) in Theorem 4.3 automatically hold. In this case, Theorem 4.8 is a corollary of Theorem 4.3 combining with Proposition 3.3. However, in Theorem 4.8 we do not make any assumptions on the degree d .

5. APPLICATION TO CUBIC FOURFOLDS

We end up with an application to cubic fourfolds. Due to its close relation to hyper-Kähler geometry and rationality problems, cubic fourfold has appealed a lot of interest recently. In [GAL11], González-Aguilera and Liendo show that orders of automorphisms of smooth cubic fourfolds only have prime factors 2, 3, 5, 7, 11. An automorphism g of a smooth cubic fourfold X is called symplectic if the induced action on $H^{3,1}(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. Lie Fu [Fu16] classified all symplectic automorphisms of smooth cubic fourfolds whose orders are prime-powers. In [LZ19], Laza and the author classified symplectic automorphism groups for smooth cubic fourfolds. In particular, we know that all possibilities for the orders of symplectic automorphisms are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15. In next proposition we give all possible orders for automorphisms of smooth cubic fourfolds.

Proposition 5.1. *Let n be the order of an automorphism of a smooth cubic fourfold. Then n is a factor of 21, 30, 32, 33, 36 or 48. Each of those six integers can be realized as the order of an automorphism of a smooth cubic fourfold that is unique up to isomorphism. Moreover, we exhibit the constructions explicitly in Table 1.*

Proof. Firstly, by [MM64], any automorphism of a smooth cubic fourfold is linear and of finite order. We apply Theorem 4.8 to the case $(d, N) = (3, 6)$. In case (i), n is a factor of $\frac{|1 - (1 - d)^6|}{d} = 21$. In case (ii), n is a factor of $(d - 1)^5 = 32$. The function $|1 - (1 - d)^a|$ takes values 3, 3, 9, 15, 33 for $a = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ respectively. Thus in case (iii), n can be a factor of 9, 15 or 33.

In case (iv), there are choices $(a_1, \dots, a_t) = (1, 5), (2, 4), (1, 4), (2, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2)$ or $(1, 2, 3)$. Straightforward calculations show that $\text{lcm}(|1 - (1 - d)^{a_1}|, \dots, |1 - (1 - d)^{a_t}|) = 3, 9, 15$ or 33. Thus in this case n is a factor of 9, 15 or 33.

Finally, in case (v) we have choices $(a_1, \dots, a_t, b) = (1, 5), (2, 4), (1, 4), (3, 3), (2, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2, 3), (4, 2), (3, 2), (2, 2), (1, 2), (1, 3, 2), (1, 2, 2)$. Straightforward calculations show that $\text{lcm}(|1 - (1 - d)^{a_1}|, \dots, |1 - (1 - d)^{a_t}|, (d - 1)^{b-1}) = 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36$ or 48 . Thus the order n is a factor of $30, 36$ or 48 . We conclude that n must be a factor of $21, 30, 32, 33, 36$ or 48 . Those six numbers are realized as orders of automorphisms of simple polynomials in the following table:

TABLE 1. Here we denote by $\frac{1}{n}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6)$ the automorphism of \mathbb{C}^6 sending (x_1, \dots, x_6) to $(\zeta_n^{\sigma_1}x_1, \dots, \zeta_n^{\sigma_6}x_6)$. Here $\zeta_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n})$.

Order	Cubic Polynomial	Maximal Automorphism
21	$x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_4 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^2x_6 + x_6^2x_1$	$\frac{1}{63}(1, -2, 4, -8, 16, -32)$
30	$x_1^2x_2 + x_2^3 + x_3^2x_4 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^2x_6 + x_6^2x_3$	$\frac{1}{30}(15, 0, 2, -4, 8, -16)$
32	$x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_4 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^2x_6 + x_6^3$	$\frac{1}{32}(1, -2, 4, -8, 16, 0)$
33	$x_1^3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_4 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^2x_6 + x_6^2x_2$	$\frac{1}{33}(11, 3, -6, 12, 9, -18)$
36	$x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^3 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^2x_6 + x_6^2x_4$	$\frac{1}{36}(9, -18, 0, 4, -8, 16)$
48	$x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_3^2x_4 + x_4^2x_5 + x_5^3 + x_6^3$	$\frac{1}{48}(3, -6, 12, -24, 0, 16)$

Given $n \in \{21, 30, 32, 33, 36, 48\}$, we denote by $F_1(x_1, \dots, x_6)$ the simple polynomial in Table 1 corresponding to n . Next we show the uniqueness of cubic fourfolds that admitting an automorphism of order n . Take $F(x_1, \dots, x_6)$ to be a smooth cubic polynomial such that $V(F)$ admits a diagonal automorphism of order n . We aim to show that $V(F)$ and $V(F_1)$ are isomorphic to each other. Applying Theorem 4.8 for $(d, N) = (3, 5)$, we know that the order of an automorphism of a smooth cubic threefold is a factor of $11, 15, 16, 18$ or 24 . Thus the order of each automorphism of a smooth cubic threefold does not equal to $n \in \{21, 30, 32, 33, 36, 48\}$. Therefore, when we apply Theorem 4.3 for $(d, N) = (3, 6)$, the number N_0 must be equal to 6. By Remark 4.5, we have $k = l + 1$ in the proof of Theorem 4.3. This allows us to make the choice of F_0 such that the two cubic fourfolds $V(F), V(F_0) \subset \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_6}^5$ are preserved by the same automorphism $g \in \text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ of order n .

Now we have two simple cubic polynomials F_0, F_1 in variables x_1, \dots, x_6 , such that both G_{F_0} and G_{F_1} contain elements with order n . From our calculation of the maximal numbers $21, 30, 32, 33, 36, 48$, simple cubic polynomials in (x_1, \dots, x_6) with diagonal automorphisms of order n are unique up to permutation of coordinates. Therefore, we can assume that $S(F_0) = S(F_1)$.

The types of F_1 for $n = 21, 30, 32, 33, 36, 48$ are $K_6, T_2 \oplus K_4, T_6, K_1 \oplus K_5, T_3 \oplus K_3, T_5 \oplus K_1$ respectively. By Proposition 3.3, the group G_{F_1} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/21, \mathbb{Z}/30, \mathbb{Z}/32, \mathbb{Z}/33, \mathbb{Z}/36, \mathbb{Z}/48$ respectively. Therefore, diagonal automorphism of $V(F_1)$ of order n is unique up to conjugation. Without loss of generality, we assume that the action of g on $V(F_0)$ coincides with the explicitly described one in Table 1. We claim that $S(F) = S(F_0)$. The proof is straightforward and we take $n = 48$ for an example. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a monomial $m \in S(F) - S(F_0)$. If the degree of certain x_i is at least 2 in m , then there is a unique x_j with $g(x_i^2x_j) = x_i^2x_j$. Thus m must belong to $S(F_0)$, which is a contradiction. Now

assume $m = x_{i_1}x_{i_2}x_{i_3}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < i_3$. However, $g = \frac{1}{48}(3, -6, 12, -24, 0, 16)$ and the sum of any three distinct numbers in $\{3, -6, 12, -24, 0, 16\}$ is not zero. This is a contradiction.

Now we have $S(F) = S(F_1)$. By taking scalars on x_1, \dots, x_N , the two polynomials F and F_1 can be transformed to each other. Thus $V(F)$ is isomorphic to $V(F_1)$. We conclude the uniqueness. \square

REFERENCES

- [Fu16] L. Fu, *Classification of polarized symplectic automorphisms of Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds*, Glasg. Math. J. **58** (2016), no. 1, 17–37.
- [GAL11] V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo, *Automorphisms of prime order of smooth cubic n -folds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **97** (2011), no. 1, 25–37.
- [GAL13] V. González-Aguilera and A. Liendo, *On the order of an automorphism of a smooth hypersurface*, Israel J. Math. **197** (2013), no. 1, 29–49.
- [GALM20] V. González-Aguilera, A. Liendo, and P. Montero, *On the liftability of the automorphism group of smooth hypersurfaces of the projective space*, arXiv:2004.12455, 2020.
- [LZ19] R. Laza and Z. Zheng, *Automorphisms and periods of cubic fourfolds*, arXiv:1905.11547, 2019.
- [MM64] H. Matsumura and P. Monsky, *On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **3** (1963/1964), 347–361.
- [OY19] K. Oguiso and X. Yu, *Automorphism groups of smooth quintic threefolds*, Asian J. Math. **23** (2019), no. 2, 201–256.
- [WY19] L. Wei and X. Yu, *Automorphism groups of smooth cubic threefolds*, arXiv:1907.00392, 2019.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, BONN
Email address: zhengzw11@mpim-bonn.mpg.de