

Measurement of TeV dark particles due to decay of heavy dark matter in the earth core at IceCube

Ye Xu^{1,2}

¹School of Information Science and Engineering, Fujian University of Technology, Fuzhou 350118, China

²Research center for Microelectronics Technology, Fujian University of Technology, Fuzhou 350118, China
e-mail address: xuy@fjut.edu.cn

Abstract

In the present paper, it is assumed that there exist two species of dark matter: a heavy dark matter particle (HDM) with the mass of O(TeV) which is generated in early universe and a lighter dark matter particle (LDM) which is a relativistic product due to the decay of HDM. HDMs, captured by the earth, decay to high energy LDMs, and these particles can be measured by km³ neutrino telescopes, like the IceCube detector. A Z' portal dark matter model is taken for LDMs to interact with nuclei via a neutral current interaction mediated by a heavy gauge boson Z' . With the different lifetimes of decay of HDMs and Z' masses, the event rates of LDMs, measured by IceCube, are evaluated in the energy range between 1 TeV and 100 TeV. According to the IceCube data, the upper limit for LDM fluxes is estimated at 90% C.L. at IceCube. Finally, it is proved that LDMs could be directly detected in the energy range between O(1TeV) and O(10TeV) at IceCube with $m_{Z'} \lesssim 500\text{GeV}$ and $\tau_\phi \lesssim 10^{21}$ s.

Keywords: Heavy dark matter, TeV dark matter, Z' mediated dark matter model, Neutrino

1 Introduction

The nature and origin of dark matter (DM) remains one of the unanswered puzzles in particle physics, cosmology and astrophysics, but sufficient evidences for the existence of DM and its dominance in matter in our universe are provided by cosmological and astrophysical observations [1, 2]. 26.6% of the overall energy density of the universe is nonbaryonic DM [3]. Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs), predicted by extensions of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, are a class of candidates for dark matter [2] and distributed in a halo surrounding a galaxy. This WIMP halo with a local density of 0.3 GeV/cm³ is assumed and its relative speed to the Sun is 230 km/s [4]. At present, one mainly searches for WIMPs via direct and indirect detections [5–12]. Because of the very small cross sections of the interactions between these WIMPs and nuclei [7, 9], so far no one has found this thermal DM yet.

A heavy dark matter particle (HDM) ϕ is an alternative DM scenario [13–23]. Then it is a reasonable assumption that there exist two DM species in the Universe. One is a non-relativistic dark sector generated by the early universe with its bulk comprised of a massive relic ($m_\phi \sim O(\text{TeV})$) in the Universe. The other is a stable lighter dark matter particle (LDM) χ which is products of the decay of HDMs ($\phi \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}$). It is assumed that HDMs comprise of the bulk of present-day DM. Since the decay of long-living HDMs ($\tau_\phi > t_0$ [24, 25], t_0 is the age of the universe), meanwhile, the present-day DM may also contain a small component which is high energy LDMs. Besides direct measurements of HDMs, one can detect products of the decay of HDMs. That is a flux of protons, gamma rays and neutrinos is produced by the decay of HDMs into the partons. Conversely, it is different from this decay mode referred to above that the products of the decay of HDMs are a class of lighter fermion dark matter [26–30], not SM particles, in the present work. Although the fraction of these relativistic LDM particles is small in the Universe, their large interaction cross sections (including between themselves and between them and SM particles) make it possible to measure them. In the present paper, a Z' portal dark matter model [31, 32] is taken for LDMs χ to interact with nuclei. And, for the $\chi\chi Z'$ and qqZ' interactions, their vertexes are both assumed to be vector-like. These HE LDM particles may be directly measured by their interaction with nuclei. Thus it is indicated that there exist HDMs in the Universe.

HDMs, captured by the earth, decay to high energy LDMs ($\phi \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}$), and these particles (χ), which pass through the Earth and ice and interact with nuclei, can be measured by km^3 neutrino telescopes, such IceCube [33], ANTARES [34], KM3NeT [35]. In this measurement, the main contamination is from astrophysical and atmospheric neutrinos. In what follows, the event rates of LDMs, measured by IceCube, from the earth core will be evaluated in the energy range between 1 TeV and 100 TeV with the different lifetimes of decay of HDMs and Z' masses. Thus it will be proved that the possibility of measurement of these TeV LDM at IceCube.

2 HDM accumulation in the Earth

It is considered a scenario where the dark matter sector is composed of two particle species in the Universe. One is a non-relativistic particle species ϕ , with mass of $O(\text{TeV})$, that is between 1 TeV and 100 TeV in the present paper, the other is much lighter particle species χ ($m_\chi \ll m_\phi$), due to the decay of ϕ , with a very large lifetime. And ϕ comprises the bulk of present-day DM. The lifetime for the decay of HDMs to SM particles is strongly constrained ($\tau \geq O(10^{26} - 10^{29})\text{s}$) by diffuse gamma and neutrino observations [25, 36–38]. However, since in this scenario ϕ does not decay to SM particles, constraints relevant here are only those based on cosmology. Then, in the present work, it is considered an assumption that HDMs only decay to LDMs. So τ_ϕ is taken to be between 10^{17} s (the age of the Universe) and 10^{26} s.

When the HDM wind sweeps through the Earth, those non-relativistic particles could collide with the matter in the Earth and lose their kinetic energy. Then they can be captured by the Earth's gravity and enter the earth core. After a long period of accumulation, the HDMs inside the Earth can begin to decay into LDMs at an appreciable rate. The number N of HDMs, captured by the Earth, obeys the equation [39]

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = C_{cap} - 2\Gamma_{ann} - C_{evp}N \quad (1)$$

where C_{cap} is the capture rate, Γ_{ann} is the annihilation rate and C_{evp} is the evaporation rate. The annihilation of HDMs can be ignored since their cross section is bounded by unitarity ($\sigma_{ann} \propto \frac{1}{m_\phi^2}$). The evaporation rate is only relevant when the DM mass < 5 GeV [40–43], which are much lower than our interested mass scale ($m_\phi \geq 1$ TeV). Thus the HDM evaporation is ignored in my work.

C_{cap} is proportional to $\frac{\sigma_{\phi N}}{m_\phi}$ [44] and $\sigma_{\phi N}$ is the scattering cross section between the nucleons and HDMs. The C_{cap} calculation, with the Gaussian-no solar depletion model in Ref. [45], is adopted but $\sigma_{\phi N}$ is taken to be 10^{-44} cm² for $m_\phi \sim O(\text{TeV})$ [7, 9].

3 LDM and neutrino interactions with nuclei

In the present paper, a Z' portal dark matter model [31, 32] is taken for LDMs to interact with nuclei via a neutral current interaction mediated by a heavy gauge boson Z' . This new boson is considered as a simple and well-motivated extension of SM (see Fig.1(a) in Ref. [26]). Since the interaction vertexes ($\chi\chi Z'$ and qqZ') are assumed to be vector-like in the present work, the effective interaction Lagrangian can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\chi}g_{\chi\chi Z'}\gamma^\mu\chi Z'_\mu + \sum_{q_i} \bar{q}_i g_{qqZ'}\gamma^\mu q_i Z'_\mu \quad (2)$$

where q_i 's are denoting the SM quarks, and $g_{\chi\chi Z'}$ and $g_{qqZ'}$ are denoting the Z' - χ and Z' - q_i couplings, respectively. This deep inelastic scattering (DIS) cross-section is computed in the lab-frame. The coupling constant G ($G = g_{\chi\chi Z'}g_{qqZ'}$) is chosen to be 0.05 and the χ masse is taken to be 10 GeV, and the Z' mass is taken to be 100 GeV, 250 GeV and 500 GeV, respectively. Theoretical models that encompass the LDM spectrum have been discussed in the literature in terms of Z or Z' portal sectors with Z' vector boson typically acquiring mass through the breaking of an additional U(1) gauge group at the high energies (see Ref. [31, 32]). The DIS cross section for LDM interaction is given by a simple power-law form [26]. With $m_{Z'} = 100\text{GeV}$, its cross section is obtained by the following function:

$$\sigma_{\chi N} = 3.83 \times 10^{-36} \text{cm}^2 \left(\frac{E_\chi}{1\text{GeV}} \right)^{0.518} \quad (3)$$

where E_χ is the LDM energy.

The DIS cross-section for neutrino interaction with nuclei is computed in the lab-frame and given by simple power-law forms [46] for neutrino energies above 1 TeV:

$$\sigma_{\nu N}(CC) = 4.74 \times 10^{-35} \text{cm}^2 \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1\text{GeV}} \right)^{0.251} \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_{\nu N}(NC) = 1.80 \times 10^{-35} \text{cm}^2 \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1\text{GeV}} \right)^{0.256} \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma_{\nu N}(CC)$ and $\sigma_{\nu N}(NC)$ are the DIS cross-sections for neutrino interaction with nuclei via a charge current (CC) and neutral current (NC), respectively. E_ν is the neutrino energy.

The LDM and neutrino interaction lengths can be obtained by

$$L_{\nu, X} = \frac{1}{N_A \rho \sigma_{\nu, XN}} \quad (6)$$

where N_A is the Avogadro constant, and ρ is the density of matter, which LDM and neutrinos interact with.

4 Evaluation of the numbers of LDM and neutrinos measured by IceCube

IceCube is a km^3 neutrino telescope and can detect three flavour neutrinos via detecting the secondary particles, that in turn emit Cherenkov photons, produced by the interaction between neutrinos and the Antarctic ice [47]. HDMs, captured by the earth, decays to high energy LDMs, and these particles from the earth core pass through the Earth and ice. Meanwhile they interact with matter of the Earth and ice. Cherenkov Photons are produced by cascades due to LDM interaction with nuclei within the IceCube (see Fig. 1). A small part of these photons will be detected by the IceCube detector. Since these LDMs interact with the nuclei in the ice and this is very similar to DIS of neutrino interaction with nuclei via a neutral current, its secondary particles develop into a cascade at IceCube. In the present paper, it is assumed that all LDMs and neutrinos, detected by IceCube, interact with the ice within its volume.

The number of LDMs, due to the decay of HDMs in the earth core in ten years, N_{decay} can be obtained by the following function:

$$N_{decay} = 2N_0 \left(\exp\left(-\frac{t_0}{\tau_\phi}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{t_0 + T}{\tau_\phi}\right) \right) \quad (7)$$

where $N_0 = \int_0^{t_e} C_{cap} dt$ is the number of HDMs captured by earth, t_0 is the age of the universe and T is the lifetime of taking data for IceCube and taken to be 10 years in the present work. t_e is the age of the earth.

The number of LDMs, detected by IceCube, N_{det} can be obtained by the following function:

$$N_{det} = R \times T \times \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} \int_{S_{eff}} \eta \frac{N_{decay}}{4\pi R_e^2} P(E) dS dE \quad (8)$$

where R is the duty cycle for IceCube and taken to be 100%. dS is the surface element. S_{eff} is the effective observational area for IceCube and about 1 km^2 . E is the energy of an incoming particle and varies from E_{min} to E_{max} . R_e is the radius of the Earth. $P(E) = \exp(-\frac{D_{earth}}{L_{earth}}) \left(1 - \exp(-\frac{D}{L_{ice}})\right)$. $L_{earth,ice}$ is the LDM interaction lengths with the earth and ice, respectively. D is the effective length in the IceCube detector. Since N_{det} is just Roughly estimated in the present paper, the efficiency for measuring showers produced by LDMs or neutrinos, η , is assumed to be 100%.

The background is mainly two sources: astrophysical and atmospheric neutrinos which pass through the earth core and reach IceCube. The astrophysical neutrinos are estimated with a diffuse neutrino flux of $\Phi_{\nu}^{astro} = 0.9_{-0.27}^{+0.30} \times \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{100 \text{ TeV}}\right)^{-2.13 \pm 0.13} \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ [48], where Φ_{ν}^{astro} represents the per-flavor flux, by the above method. And the atmospheric neutrinos is estimated with a flux of $\Phi_{\nu}^{atm} = C_{\nu} \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 y + \gamma_2 y^2)}$ by the same method [49], where Φ_{ν}^{atm} represents the atmospheric neutrino flux, $y = \log_{10}(E_{\nu}/1 \text{ GeV})$, and the coefficients, C_{ν} (γ_0 , γ_1 and γ_2) are given in Table III in Ref. [49].

5 Results

The event rates of LDMs and neutrinos, detected by IceCube, are evaluated in the energy between 1 TeV and 100 TeV, respectively. The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 1974 and 1 at 1 TeV and 47 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{18} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2 (see the red solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 67 and 1 at 1 TeV and 16 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{18} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2 (see the blue solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 4 and 1 at 1 TeV and 2.4 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{18} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2 (see the purple solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 1974 and 1 at 1 TeV and 47 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{18} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3 (see the red solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 291 and 1 at 1 TeV and 21 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{19} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3 (see the green solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 30 and 1 at 1 TeV and 7 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{20} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3 (see the blue solid line). The numbers of the detected LDMs can reach about 3 and 1 at 1 TeV and 2 TeV with $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $\tau_{\phi} = 10^{21} \text{ s}$ in ten years, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3 (see the purple solid line).

The event rate of neutrinos is at least smaller by 5 orders of magnitude within the energy range where LDMs can be measured at IceCube, compared to that of LDMs, as shown in Fig. 2 and 3. So the neutrino contamination is neglected at all.

6 Conclusion

According to the IceCube data from 2008 to 2015 [50], no events from the earth core are measured at IceCube in this period of time. So the upper limit for the

number of LDMs N_{up} is equal to 2.44 at 90% C.L. with the Feldman-Cousins approach [51]. Fig. 4 shows LDM fluxes estimated with $m_{Z'} = 100\text{GeV}$ s (red solid line), 250GeV (blue solid line) and 500GeV (purple solid line) and the upper limit for LDM flux at 90% C.L. (black solid line) at IceCube. This limit excludes LDM flux below 13 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 100\text{GeV}$), 5 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 250\text{GeV}$) and 1.8 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 500\text{GeV}$), respectively. So LDMs, due to the decay of HDMs in the earth core, could be probed in the energy range between 13 TeV and 47 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 100\text{GeV}$ and $\tau_\phi = 10^{18}$ s), 4.3 TeV and 16 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 250\text{GeV}$ and $\tau_\phi = 10^{18}$ s) and 1.5 TeV and 2.4 TeV (with $m_{Z'} = 500\text{GeV}$ and $\tau_\phi = 10^{18}$ s) at IceCube in ten years, respectively.

According to the results described above, it is possible that LDMs are directly detected in the energy range between O(1TeV) and O(10TeV) at IceCube with $m_{Z'} \lesssim 500\text{GeV}$ and $\tau_\phi \lesssim 10^{21}$ s. Thus This might prove whether there exist HDMs in the Universe. Certainly, the above results are based on the assumption that the efficiencies for measuring LDMs and neutrinos are set to be 100%. Besides, sufficient exposure will be used to determine whether it is possible that LDMs are detected at a km^3 neutrino telescope.

7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the contract No. 11235006, the Science Fund of Fujian University of Technology under the contracts No. GY-Z14061 and GY-Z13114 and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province in China under the contract No. 2015J01577.

References

- [1] L. Bergstrom, Rept. Prog. Phys. 63,793 (2000) arXiv: hep-ph/0002126
- [2] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J.Silk, Phys. Rep. 405, 279 (2005) arXiv: hep-ph/0404175
- [3] P.A.R. Abe, et al., Planck collaboration, A&A 594, A13 (2015) arXiv:1502.01589
- [4] J.D.Lewin, P.F.Smith, Astropart. Phys. 6, 87 (1996)
- [5] R.Agnese, et al., SuperCDMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 92, 072003 (2015)
- [6] K.J.Kang, et al., CDEX Collaboration, Front. Phys. 8(4),412-437 (2013)
- [7] E.Aprile, et al., XENON1T Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181301 (2017)
- [8] D.S.Akerib, et al., LUX Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 251302 (2017)
- [9] X.Y.Cui, et al., PandaX-II Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181302 (2017)
- [10] M.Aguilar, et al., AMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 221102 (2014)
- [11] G.Ambrosi, et al., DAMPE Collaboration, Nature, 552, 63-66 (2017)
- [12] A.Albert, et al., Fermi-LAT, DES Collaborations, Astrophys. J. 834, 110 (2017)

-
- [13] M.Yu.Khlopov, V.M.Chechetkin, *Sov. J. Part. Nucl* 18, 267-288 (1987)
- [14] D. J. H. Chung, E. W.Kolb, and A.Riotto, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 81, 4048, (1998)
arXiv: hep-ph/9805473
- [15] D. J. H. Chung, E. W.Kolb, and A.Riotto, *Phys.Rev.* D59, 023501 (1999)
arXiv: hep-ph/9802238
- [16] V. Kuzmin and I. Tkachev, *JETP Lett.* 68, 271-C275 (1998) arXiv:
hep-ph/9802304
- [17] E. W. Kolb, D. J. Chung, and A. Riotto, *WIMPzillas!*, hep-ph/9810361
- [18] D. J. H. Chung, E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto, and I. I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev. D* 62,
043508 (2000) arXiv: hep-ph/9910437
- [19] D. J. Chung, P. Crotty, E. W. Kolb, and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* 64, 043503
(2001) arXiv: hep-ph/0104100
- [20] E. W. Kolb, A. Starobinsky, and I. Tkachev, *JCAP* 0707, 005 (2007) arXiv:
hep-th/0702143
- [21] L.Covi, M.Grefe, A.Ibarra and D.Tran, *JCAP* 1004, 017 (2010) arXiv:
0912.3521
- [22] B.Feldstein, A.Kusenko, S.Matsumoto and T. T.Yanagida *Phys. Rev. D* 88,
015004 (2013) arXiv: 1303.7320
- [23] M. A. Fedderke, E. W. Kolb, and M. Wyman, *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 063505 (2015)
arXiv:1409.1584
- [24] R. Aloisio, S. Matarrese and A. V. Olinto, *JCAP*, 1508, 024 (2015), arXiv:
1504.01319
- [25] A.Esmaili, A.Ibarra and O.L. Peres, *JCAP*, 1211, 034 (2012) arXiv:1205.5281
- [26] A.Bhattacharya, R.Gandhi and A.Gupta, *JCAP* 1503, 027, (2015)
arXiv:1407.3280
- [27] A.Bhattacharya, R.Gandhi, A.Gupta and S.Mukhopadhyay, *JCAP* 05, 002
(2017) arXiv:1612.02834
- [28] Y.Xu, *JCAP* 1805, 055 (2018), arXiv: 1801.06781
- [29] Y.Xu, *Physics of the Dark Universe* 24, 100287 (2019) arXiv: 1811.09793
- [30] Y.Xu, *Astrophys. J.* 876, 14 (2019) arXiv: 1804.10719
- [31] A.Alves, S.Profumo and F. S.Queiroz, *JHEP* 1404, 063 (2014)
arXiv:1312.5281
- [32] D.Hooper, *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 035025 (2015) arXiv:1411.4079
- [33] M.G. Aartsen et al., *IceCube collaboration*, *Astrophys. J.* 849, 67 (2017)
arXiv:1707.03416

-
- [34] A. Albert et al., ANTARES collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 96, 082001 (2017)
arXiv:1706.01857
- [35] S. Adrian-Martinez et al., KM3NeT collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 54
(2016) arXiv:1510.01561
- [36] K.Murase and J.F.Beacom, JCAP 1210, 043 (2012) arXiv:1206.2595
- [37] C.Rott, K.Kohri and S.C.Park, Phys. Rev. D 92, 023529 (2015)
arXiv:1408.4575
- [38] M.Kachelriess, O.E.Kalashev and M.Yu.Kuznetsov, Phys. Rev. D 98, 083016
(2018) arXiv: 1805.04500
- [39] P. Baratella, M. Cirelli, A. Hektor, et al., JCAP 1403, 053 (2014),
arXiv:1312.6408
- [40] A. Gould, Astrophys. J. 321, 571 (1987)
- [41] L. M. Krauss, M. Srednicki and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 33, 2079 (1986)
- [42] M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. D 36, 1080 (1987)
- [43] K. Griest and D. Seckel, Nucl.Phys. B 283, 681 (1987)
- [44] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, Phys. Rept. 267, 195 (1996)
- [45] J.Lundberg and J.Edsjo, Phys.Rev. D 69, 123505 (2004)
- [46] Martin M.Block, Phuoc Ha, Douglas W.McKay, Phys. Rev. D 82, 077302
(2010) arXiv: 1008.4555
- [47] M.G.Aartsen, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 98, 062003 (2018),
arXiv:1807.01820
- [48] M.G.AAartsen, et al., IceCube Collaboration, Astrophysical J. 833,3 (2016),
arXiv:1607.08006
- [49] S.I.Sinegovsky, A.D. Morozova and T.S.Sinegovskaya, Phys. Rev. D 91,
063011 (2015), arXiv:1407.3591
- [50] M.G. Aartsen et al., IceCube Collaboration, Astrophysical J. 835, 151 (2017)
- [51] G. J. Feldman, R. D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998)




Fig. 1: LDMs, due to the decay of HDMs captured in the earth core, pass through the Earth and ice and can be measured by IceCube




Fig. 2: The numbers of the detected LDMs and neutrinos are evaluated at IceCube with the different Z' masses (100 GeV, 250 GeV and 500 GeV) and $\tau_\phi = 10^{18}$ s in ten years, respectively




Fig. 3: The numbers of the detected LDMs and neutrinos are evaluated at IceCube with the different τ_ϕ (10^{18} s , 10^{19} s , 10^{20} s and 10^{21} s) and $m_{Z'} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ in ten years, respectively




Fig. 4: The LDM fluxes and their upper limit at 90% C.L. are estimated at IceCube with the different Z' masses (100 GeV, 250 GeV and 500 GeV) and $\tau_\phi = 10^{18} \text{ s}$, respectively.