

Voronoi Shaping with Efficient Encoding

H. Buglia and R. R. Lopes

Abstract—In this letter, we propose a Voronoi shaping method with reduced encoding complexity. The method works for integer shaping and coding lattices satisfying the chain $\Lambda_s \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_c$, with \mathbf{K} an integer diagonal matrix. This assumption is easily satisfied for lattices obtained from error-correcting codes. For those lattices, using this strategy, an explicit set of coset representatives is obtained and lattice encoding complexity is reduced to the linear code encoding complexity. Our proposal is illustrated in construction-D lattices with Gosset (E_8) and Leech (Λ_{24}) lattices as shaping lattices.

Index Terms—Voronoi Shaping, Voronoi constellations, Lattices, Construction D, Encoding, Leech Lattice, E_8 , Voronoi Shaping, Voronoi constellations, Lattices, Construction D, Encoding, Leech Lattice, E_8 .

I. INTRODUCTION

Lattices [1] provide a structured way to find dense sphere packings in high dimensions. As such, they are a natural tool for transmitting digital information over the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) [2] channel. In fact, they can be shown to achieve the capacity of this channel [3].

To transmit using lattice codes [2] we must first find a lattice with a dense packing of points in an n -dimensional space. This is known as the coding lattice. Second, we must select only the points of the coding lattice inside a given region of the n -space. This operation is called shaping, and ensures that the power constraints are satisfied, and that a finite number of points can be transmitted.

For the first step, many authors have proposed good lattice designs based on several error-correcting codes [4]–[7], yielding so-called construction-A or construction-D lattices. However, usually a simple hypercube is used for shaping. This can be implemented with very low complexity, but at the expense of the gains provided by good shaping.

In Voronoi shaping [8], [9], only points of the coding lattice inside the Voronoi region of a shaping lattice are transmitted. These points are known as coset leaders of the quotient group. Recently an efficient nested Voronoi shaping scheme was proposed in [4] for construction-A lattices. The key is a low-complexity strategy to find coset representatives of the quotient group, not necessarily in the Voronoi region of the shaping lattice. Then, these representatives are quantized to the Voronoi region. In this letter we generalize this method to a broad class of lattices that includes those based on the construction D.

We illustrate our proposal for the construction-D lattices obtained from the extended BCH code in [5] and the SC-

LDPC codes in [10]. In these examples, shaping is performed, respectively, by the Gosset lattice E_8 , and the Leech lattice Λ_{24} . We also compare the complexity and performance of our scheme with QC-LDPC lattices proposed in [8].

II. PRELIMINARIES

A lattice Λ is defined as the discrete set

$$\Lambda = \{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}. \quad (1)$$

The $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} is a lattice generator matrix.

In this paper we assume integer lattices, for which the \mathbf{G} is full rank and has integer elements.

The shortest-distance quantization of a point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the point of the lattice Λ that is the closest to \mathbf{y} :

$$Q_\Lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \underset{\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2. \quad (2)$$

A region $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called fundamental region for a lattice Λ if shifts of \mathcal{F} by lattice points are disjoint and cover \mathbb{R}^n , that is, $\Lambda + \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}^n$. The volume of any fundamental region, also known as the volume of the lattice, $\operatorname{Vol}(\Lambda)$, is equal to $\det(\mathbf{G})$ [11]. An important fundamental region of Λ is the Voronoi region \mathcal{V} , which is the set of points that are closer to the origin than to any other point of the lattice Λ :

$$\mathcal{V}(\Lambda) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Q_\Lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}\}. \quad (3)$$

Other important fundamental regions are given in the following lemma:

Lemma 1 [12]: Let \mathbf{G} be a triangular generator matrix of Λ^1 , with diagonal elements g_{ii} . Let \mathbf{P} be a triangular matrix with diagonal elements $p_{ii} = g_{ii}$.

Then, the following parallelotope is a fundamental region of Λ :

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{P}) = \{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{s}, 0 \leq s_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (4)$$

□

Two lattices are nested if $\Lambda_s \subseteq \Lambda_c$. For any $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_c$, the set $\mathbf{x} + \Lambda_s$ is the *coset* of Λ_s in Λ_c containing \mathbf{x} , and \mathbf{x} is called a coset representative. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_s)$, \mathbf{x} is called a coset leader. The quotient group Λ_c/Λ_s is the set of all cosets, i.e.,

$$\frac{\Lambda_c}{\Lambda_s} = \{\mathbf{x} + \Lambda_s \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_c\}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2 [13] Consider the lattice partition chain $\Lambda_s \subseteq \Lambda_{r-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_c$. Let A_1, \dots, A_r be sets of coset representatives of the quotient groups $\Lambda_c/\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{r-1}/\Lambda_s$, respectively. Then,

¹ A triangular generator matrix always exists for any full rank integer lattice, e.g. the Hermite normal form of \mathbf{G} [11].

¹Henrique Buglia was with Télécom ParisTech, Paris, France. He is now with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil. henrique.buglia@gmail.com

²Renato R. Lopes is with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Campinas, CP 6101, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil rlopes@decom.fee.unicamp.br

(5), can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\Lambda_c}{\Lambda_s} = \{\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_r + \Lambda_s \mid \mathbf{a}_i \in A_i\} \quad (6)$$

The number of distinct cosets, M , is the cardinality of the quotient group, and satisfies the following equation [11]:

$$M = \left| \frac{\Lambda_c}{\Lambda_s} \right| = \frac{\text{Vol}(\Lambda_s)}{\text{Vol}(\Lambda_c)} = \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{G}_s}{\det \mathbf{G}_c} \right| \quad (7)$$

A Voronoi constellation or lattice code \mathcal{C} is the set of points of Λ_c inside the Voronoi region of Λ_s : $\mathcal{C} = \Lambda_c \cap \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_s)$ ². In this case, Λ_s is called shaping lattice, Λ_c is called coding lattice and $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ is called the shaping region.

The power savings achieved by a given shaping region, when compared to a standard Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) constellation, is captured by the shaping gain, defined in [2] [14].

III. ENCODING

In this section, we begin by proving a theorem that gives a simple method to explicitly compute a complete set of distinct coset representatives of the quotient group Λ_s/Λ_c . The point in \mathcal{C} to be transmitted can be determined by first choosing a coset representative \mathbf{x} using our theorem, then computing the element of the coset in $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda_s)$:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - Q_{\Lambda_s}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (8)$$

Theorem 1: Let Λ_s and Λ_c be any integer lattices satisfying the lattice chain $\Lambda_s \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_c$, for \mathbf{K} a diagonal matrix with entries k_i . Let a triangular generator matrix of Λ_s be

$$\mathbf{G}_s = \begin{pmatrix} g_{s1,1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ g_{s2,1} & g_{s2,2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{sn,1} & \dots & g_{sn,n-1} & g_{sn,n} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}. \quad (9)$$

Define the set \mathcal{S} as the Cartesian product:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{0, \dots, \frac{g_{s1,1}}{k_1} - 1\} \times \dots \times \{0, \dots, \frac{g_{sn,n}}{k_n} - 1\}^3 \quad (10)$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \Lambda_c \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{K})$, be the set of points of Λ_c in the parallelopete associated with \mathbf{K} , as defined in (4).

Then, a complete set of coset representatives of the quotient group Λ_c/Λ_s is given by

$$\mathcal{X} + \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}\}. \quad (11)$$

Proof: A complete set of coset representatives of the quotient group Λ_c/Λ_s is the set of points of Λ_c inside any fundamental region of Λ_s [3]. Likewise, a complete set of coset representatives of $\Lambda_c/\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$ is the set of points of Λ_c inside the fundamental parallelopete of $\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$, which is a hyperrectangle

²Usually, a translation vector \mathbf{d} , which ensures minimal transmit power, is applied, such that $\mathcal{C} = (\Lambda_c - \mathbf{d}) \cap \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_s)$. In this letter, this translation is omitted since it does not provide significant gains in the simulation results.

³Note that, $g_{s1,1}/k_i$ is an integer, since $\Lambda_s \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$.

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{K})$, with sides (k_1, \dots, k_n) . This is the set \mathcal{X} . By lemma 1, a fundamental region of Λ_s is the hyper-rectangle with sides $(g_{s1,1}, \dots, g_{sn,n})$. Thus a complete set of coset representatives of $\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n/\Lambda_s$ can be written as $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{S}$ with \mathcal{S} given in (10) because of the triviality of the lattice $\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$. Finally, by lemma 2, a complete set of coset representatives of the quotient group Λ_s/Λ_c is the sum of the coset representatives of the quotients $\Lambda_c/\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n/\Lambda_s$, which is $\mathcal{X} + \mathbf{K}\mathcal{S}$ as stated in (11). \square

Theorem 1 provides an efficient way to explicitly construct a set of coset representatives for any integer lattices satisfying the chain $\Lambda_s \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_c$. This is direct for near-ellipsoidal lattice codes $\Lambda_c/\mathbf{K}\Lambda_c$, with \mathbf{K} an integer diagonal matrix [15]. In this case, $\Lambda_s = \mathbf{K}\Lambda_c \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_c$. Self-similar lattices codes $\Lambda_c/k\Lambda_c$ are a particular case of near-ellipsoidal lattices, with $\mathbf{K} = k\mathbf{I}$, so the theorem also applies direct to them. In general, for other lattices pairs, a shaping lattice $\Lambda_s \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$ can be found by first choosing any integer lattice Λ' , then computing $\Lambda_s = \mathbf{K}\Lambda'$. Since Λ' is integer, this ensures that $\mathbf{K}\Lambda' \subseteq \mathbf{K}\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Theorem 1 has an interesting geometric interpretation. To determine a coset representatives, we first apply a hyperrectangular shaping to the coding lattice Λ_c , obtaining an element of \mathcal{X} . Then, we translate the resulting point by an element of the set $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{S}$. The resulting coset representative lies inside the hyperrectangle with sides $(g_{s1,1}, \dots, g_{sn,n})$.

IV. APPLICATION TO CONSTRUCTION-D LATTICES

In this section, we apply theorem 1 to construction-D lattices. We start by showing how theorem 1 applies to these lattices. We then describe the encoding and indexing procedure, followed by the shaping lattice design, and end with a complexity analysis of our scheme. The strategy proposed in this section is a generalization to construction-D lattices of the strategy proposed in [4] for construction-A lattices.

Corollary 1: Construction-D Lattices: Let $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_a = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a sequence of nested linear block code over \mathbb{F}_q and let $\Lambda_c = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} q^i C_i + q^a \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a construction-D coding lattice [1], [5]. Let Λ' be any integer lattice with a triangular generator matrix \mathbf{G}' with entries g'_{ij} . Choose $\Lambda_s = q^a \Lambda'$. A complete set of coset representatives of Λ_c/Λ_s is:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{a-1} q^n C_n + q^a \mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{a-1} q^n \mathbf{c}_n + q^a \mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{c}_n \in C_n, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S} \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} = \{0, \dots, g'_{1,1} - 1\} \times \dots \times \{0, \dots, g'_{n,n} - 1\}. \quad (13)$$

Proof: The proof follows directly from theorem 1 by setting $\mathbf{K} = q^a \mathbf{I}$ and noting that, by construction,

$$\mathcal{X} = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} q^i C_i \quad (14)$$

A. Encoding and Indexing Procedure

Choosing Λ_c , Λ_s and \mathbf{K} as in corollary 1, encoding is done as follows.

- 1) Select message vectors $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, a-1$.
- 2) Encode each message \mathbf{u}_i in order to obtain \mathbf{c}_i .
- 3) Select an element $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ and find the coset leader by computing $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} q^i \mathbf{c}_i + q^a \mathbf{s}$.
- 4) Find the element of the coset of \mathbf{x} inside the Voronoi region of the shaping lattice Λ_s : $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - Q_{\Lambda_s}(\mathbf{x})$.

Indexing, or demapping, is the reverse of encoding, producing the message $\mathbf{m} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{a-1}, \mathbf{s})$ given a Voronoi constellation point \mathbf{x}' . The procedure to find each \mathbf{u}_i follows the indexing of multilevel lattices construction as in [13], and the procedure to find \mathbf{s} is analogous to section III-B of [4].

B. Shaping Lattice Design

To decrease quantization complexity, we can construct Λ' in corollary 1 as the direct sum of copies of an n' -dimensional lattice with generator matrix \mathbf{G}'_s . This construction retains the shaping gain and quantization complexity of the low dimensional lattice [16]. If n is a multiple of n' , the generator matrix of Λ' is written as [3],

$$\mathbf{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{G}'_s & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \mathbf{G}'_s & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha \mathbf{G}'_s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}, \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{G}' is the matrix described in corollary 1 and \mathbf{G}'_s is the low dimensional shaping lattice generator matrix in triangular form and α is a scaling factor.

Note that \mathbf{G}'_s is scaled by α for two reasons. First, it makes \mathbf{G}' an integer matrix and consequently Λ_s an integer lattice as required in theorem 1. Second it allows us to change the information rate, by expanding the shaping region and consequently increasing the number of points of \mathcal{C} . Obviously, this expansion also increases the transmit power. Note that Λ_s and Λ_c satisfy the requirements of theorem 1, because $\Lambda_s = q^a \Lambda' \subseteq q^a \mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \Lambda_c \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$.

With this configuration, we can calculate the information rate R of our transmission system. Using equation (7) and the fact that $R = \log_2(M)/n$, $R_c = \sum_{i=0}^{a-1} k_i/n$ and $\text{Vol}(\Lambda_c) = q^{n(a-R_c)}$, we have

$$R = \log_2 \alpha + \frac{1}{n'} \log_2(\det \mathbf{G}'_s) + R_c \log_2 q \text{ bits/dim.} \quad (16)$$

C. Complexity

Voronoi shaping, as proposed in [3] and [12], computes $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ to find the coset representative, followed by the quantization operation $Q(\mathbf{x})$. If quantization is performed in small dimensions as proposed in section IV-B, the overall encoding complexity is dominated by the matrix multiplication, whose complexity is generally $O(n^2)$.

In contrast, our proposal relies on theorem 1, which shows how the coset representatives can be explicitly computed. Thus, for coding lattices based on error-correcting codes, the

complexity of this step is the same as the encoding complexity of the underlying code, which is usually smaller than $O(n^2)$.

In [8], the authors use a construction-A lattice for shaping. The structure of the underlying code can be exploited for simpler, though sub-optimal, quantization. However, good coding lattices may not provide good shaping gains, especially in small dimensions. For instance, [8] shows a shaping gain of 0.776 dB in dimension 60 using optimal quantization, and negative shaping gains when using sub-optimal quantization. In contrast, the Leech lattice provides a shaping gain of 1.03 dB in 24 dimensions [14], where optimal quantization is much simpler. Sub-optimal quantization enables the use of much larger dimensions. However, only negative coding gains are reported in [8] for this type of quantization.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Extended BCH Lattice Codes

The proposed scheme is first applied to the 128-dimensional 2-levels construction-D lattice obtained with two extended binary BCH codes as designed in [5].

In this example, \mathbf{G}'_s is the unimodular generator matrix of E_8 . Its dimension is a divisor of the dimension of BCH codes, which enables the use of direct sums for building the shaping lattice. Also, in dimension eight, the E_8 lattice has the greatest packing density, is the best known quantizer [1], [11], and its dimension is low enough that sphere decoding is feasible. The codes are the same as in [5], and the ordered statistics (OSD) algorithm described in [17] is used for decoding.




Figure 1. WER performance of 2-level extended BCH code lattices with dimension $n = 128$ over AWGN channel constrained by cubic lattice and E_8 lattice.

Figure 2 shows the word-error rate (WER) as a function of E_s/N_0 for two shaping strategies: E_8 and hypercube shaping, achieved by choosing $\mathbf{G}' = 2\mathbf{I}_n$, which yields an 8-PAM constellation. This choice ensures that both shaping strategies achieve the same rate. We consider two-dimensional passband transmission, so the symbol energy is computed per two dimensions. In comparison with the hypercube shaping, a gain

of 0.63 dB is obtained for WER of 10^{-3} when using the E_8 as shaping lattice. This value is close to the theoretical shaping gain for the E_8 lattice, 0.65 dB [2]. This small difference is possibly to the several approximations involved in the definition and computation of the theoretical shaping gain [2].

B. SC-LDPC Lattice Codes

The proposed strategy was also applied to the construction-D lattice based on nested binary spatially-coupled low-density parity-check codes (SC-LDPC) proposed in [10]. We now use \mathbf{G}_s as the unimodular Leech Λ_{24} generator matrix [1], [11]. It provides nominal shaping gain of 1.03 dB [14]. In order to have an integer Λ_{24} lattice, we set $\alpha = \sqrt{8}$ in (15) which using (16) yields an additional rate of 1.5 bits/dim ($\log_2 \sqrt{8}$), in comparison with a standard hypercube, obtained by setting $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}_n$, yielding to a 8-PAM, or equivalently a 3 level construction with cubic shaping.




Figure 2. Achievable rates (AR) for different levels of construction-D lattices and with different shaping regions.

Figure 2 shows the achievable rates (sum-capacity of a 3, 4 and 5 level Construction-D lattice codes) of the construction proposed in [10] and the achievable rate of our scheme using the Leech lattice for 3 levels as a function of SNR. The SNR required to successfully decode 10 consecutive codewords using message passing algorithm are shown as belief propagation (BP) thresholds for the same code designs in [10]. Our scheme is simulated for the case $R_c = 3.96$ and $R_c = 3.71$ where the additional 1.5 bits/dim is due the utilization of an integer leech lattice Λ_{24} .

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A general method to identify an explicit set of cosets representatives and constructing Voronoi constellations was presented. The scheme is valid for all full rank lattices that satisfy theorem 1. It is shown that reduced encoding complexity is obtained for lattices from codes if an hypercube shaping is performed before standard shaping. It is also shown that the set of cosets representatives obtained lies inside an hyperrectangle. Our method was compared with QC-LDPC, SC-LDPC and BCH lattice codes. In all cases, reduced encoding complexities and shaping gains were obtained using Voronoi

shaping scheme with good small dimensional lattices such as Λ_{24} and E_8 lattices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 and by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, grant 305480/2018-9.

REFERENCES

- [1] John Horton Conway and Neil James Alexander Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, vol. 290, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [2] G David Forney and Gottfried Ungerboeck, "Modulation and coding for linear gaussian channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2384–2415, 1998.
- [3] Ram Zamir, *Lattice Coding for Signals and Networks: A Structured Coding Approach to Quantization, Modulation, and Multiuser Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [4] Nicola Di Pietro and Joseph J Boutros, "Leech constellations of construction-A lattices," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 4622–4631, 2017.
- [5] Toshiki Matsumine, Brian M Kurkoski, and Hideki Ochiai, "Construction D lattice decoding and its application to BCH code lattices," in *2018 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [6] Yanfei Yan and Cong Ling, "A construction of lattices from polar codes," in *2012 IEEE Information Theory Workshop*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 124–128.
- [7] Paulo Ricardo Branco da Silva and Danilo Silva, "Multilevel LDPC lattices with efficient encoding and decoding and a generalization of construction D'," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 3246–3260, 2018.
- [8] Hassan Khodaiemehr, Mohammad-Reza Sadeghi, and Amin Sakzad, "Practical encoder and decoder for power constrained QC LDPC-lattice codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 486–500, 2016.
- [9] Ling Liu, Yanfei Yan, Cong Ling, and Xiaofu Wu, "Construction of capacity-achieving lattice codes: Polar lattices," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 915–928, 2018.
- [10] Avinash Vem, Yu-Chih Huang, Krishna R Narayanan, and Henry D Pfister, "Multilevel lattices based on spatially-coupled LDPC codes with applications," in *2014 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 2336–2340.
- [11] Sueli IR Costa, Frédérique Oggier, Antonio Campello, Jean-Claude Belfiore, and Emanuele Viterbo, *Lattices Applied to Coding for Reliable and Secure Communications*, Springer, 2017.
- [12] Brian M Kurkoski, "Encoding and indexing of lattice codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 6320–6332, 2018.
- [13] G David Forney, Mitchell D Trott, and Sae-Young Chung, "Sphere-bound-achieving coset codes and multilevel coset codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 820–850, 2000.
- [14] G David Forney and L-F Wei, "Multidimensional constellations. i. introduction, figures of merit, and generalized cross constellations," *IEEE journal on selected areas in communications*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 877–892, 1989.
- [15] Stéphane Ragot, Minjie Xie, and Roch Lefebvre, "Near-ellipsoidal voronoi coding," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 1815–1820, 2003.
- [16] Nuwan S Ferdinand, Brian M Kurkoski, Matthew Nokleby, and Behnaam Aazhang, "Low-dimensional shaping for high-dimensional lattice codes," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 7405–7418, 2016.
- [17] Marc PC Fossorier and Shu Lin, "Soft-decision decoding of linear block codes based on ordered statistics," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1379–1396, 1995.