arXiv:2004.09918v1 [hep-ph] 21 Apr 2020

Chiral transition and the chiral charge density of the hot and dense QCD matter.

Chao Shi,^{1,2} Xiao-Tao He,¹ Wen-Bao Jia,^{1,2} Qing-Wu Wang,³ Shu-Sheng Xu,⁴ and Hong-Shi Zong^{5,6,7}

¹Department of Nuclear Science and Technology,

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

²Collaborative Innovation Center of Radiation Medicine of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, Nanjing 211106, China

³College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

⁴College of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China

⁶Department of Physics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, Anhui 241000, China

⁷Nanjing Institute of Proton Source Technology, Nanjing 210046

We study the chirally imbalanced hot and dense strongly interacting matter by means of the Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSEs). The chiral phase diagram is studied in the presence of chiral chemical potential μ_5 . The chiral quark condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is obtained with the Cornwall-Jackiw-Tomboulis (CJT) effective action in concert with the Rainbow truncation. Catalysis effect of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DCSB) by μ_5 is observed. We examine with two popular gluon models and consistency is found within the DSE approach, as well as in comparison with lattice QCD. The CEP location (μ_E, T_E) shifts toward larger T_E but constant μ_E as μ_5 increases. A technique is then introduced to compute the chiral charge density n_5 from the fully dressed quark propagator. We find the n_5 generally increases with temperature T, quark number chemical potential μ and μ_5 . Since the chiral magnetic effect (CME) is typically investigated with peripheral collisions, we also investigate the finite size effect on n_5 and find an increase in n_5 with smaller system size.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the heavy ion collision (HIC), a non-vanishing chiral charge N_5 may be induced through the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly [1–3] due to topologically non-trivial gluon configurations [4, 5]

$$N_5 = N_R - N_L = -\frac{g^2 N_F}{32\pi^2} \int d^4 x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_a^{\mu\nu} F_a^{\lambda\sigma}.$$
 (1)

The $N_{R,L}$ denotes the net number of quarks (minus antiquarks) with right- or left-handed chirality, so N_5 is the net number of right handed quark over left handed ones. Non-vanishing N_5 in a strong magnetic field could result in the chiral magnetic effect (CME) [6–8], i.e., an electric current can be induced along the direction of the magnetic field. Consequently, there will be a charge separation within the produced fireball. Peripheral HICs provide a good testing ground for the CME as an extremely strong magnetic field eB between several m_{π}^2 to 15 m_{π}^2 [9–14] is generated by the colliding ions, in particular the spectator protons. Confirmation of the CME would reflect the local parity and charge-parity violation in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), hence is of great interest. Experimental searches have thus been actively ongoing [15–18].

To facilitate the study involving the chiral imbalance, a chiral chemical potential μ_5 is introduced as conjugate to N_5 . The associated term $\mu_5 \psi \gamma_4 \gamma_5 \psi$ is then added to the Lagrangian density [6]. Technically the N_5 is not conserved in QCD, so the μ_5 serves to mimic the chiral imbalance. It gains support from arguments that the chiral charge density n_5 equilibrates shortly after the collision and stay unchanged in a thermodynamical equilibrium in a longer period [19, 20]. Consequently, the phase diagram of the QCD matter gets extended to a new dimension μ_5 , in addition to the temperature T and quark number chemical potential μ . However, there has been notable contradictions among different calculations, in particular concerning the chiral transition at finite temperature. The debate is over whether the pseudo-critical temperature T_c (defined as the maxima of susceptibilities at finite T with $\mu = 0$) increases with increasing μ_5 , or the opposite. The DSEs [21, 22] and lattice QCD [23, 24] have been giving consistent predictions that T_c increases with μ_5 , while for NJL model the results differ by regularization schemes [25–30]. This problem is also connected with the determination of the CEP. Early model studies [25, 31] suggest that the chiral crossover would turn into a first order phase transition at large μ_5 , which could be an indirect signal for the existence of CEP. However, lattice simulation finds no signal of phase transition as μ_5 increases [23, 24, 32], and the DSEs found the crossover behavior persists [21, 22]. In those work, the separable model [33] and the Maris-Tandy (MT) model [34, 35] were employed for gluon propagator. However, the former model is oversimplified for purpose of computation, and the later's infrared momentum behavior contradicts today's gauge sector study [36-39]. So in this work we will check the consistency within DSEs by supplementing a calculation based on a more realistic gluon model, the so called Qin-Chang (QC) model. It has the correct infrared momentum behavior and also had been used extensively in hadron

⁵Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

 $\mathbf{2}$

studies and finite temperature QCD [40]. However, it has never been employed in the study of finite μ_5 before. Model details will be given in later sections.

The fully dressed quark propagator encodes abundant information of the QCD matter's thermodynamical properties. Among them the finite chiral charge density n_5 is a novel feature of the chirally imbalanced matter. It is indispensable for the CME. Relating n_5 and μ_5 is useful for expressing the induced electric current density as a function of the chirality density [41]. We therefore focus n_5 in various conditions, e.g., T, μ , μ_5 and also the system size. Note that the chemical potential μ is directly computable in the DSEs, so it doesn't pose challenge to DSE as for lattice QCD. Combined effort with DSE and lattice QCD had been carried out to locate the CEP [42, 43]. Meanwhile, the finite size effect could be relevant for the CME experiments since the CME is typically investigated with peripheral collisions. Note that the finite size effect on QCD phase diagram on $T - \mu$ plane had been studied with various methods [44–50], but its influence on the chirally imbalanced medium was seldom studied. For a first investigation, we will consider the system in a cubic box of edge length L.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II we introduce the quark's DSE at finite T, μ and μ_5 and its solution. In section III we study the chiral phase diagram in the presence of μ_5 . With two popular gluon propagator models, the catalysis effect of DCSB by μ_5 is examined and the shift of CEP with μ_5 is also shown. The n_5 is studied in section IV, including the finite volume effects. Finally we summarize in section V.

II. QUARK DSE AT FINITE CHIRAL CHEMICAL POTENTIAL

The Dyson-Schwinger equation of quark, namely the gap equation, at finite T, μ and μ_5 reads [21]

$$[G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)]^{-1} = [G^0(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)]^{-1} + T \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \times \left[g^2 D_{\mu\nu} (\vec{p} - \vec{q}, \tilde{\omega}_n - \tilde{\omega}_l) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu G(\vec{q}, \tilde{\omega}_l) \Gamma_\nu^a \right].$$
(2)

Here $G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ is the fully dressed quark propagator and $G^0(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ is the free quark propagator. The $D_{\mu\nu}$ is the fully dressed gluon propagator (with color index contracted) and Γ^a_{ν} is the fully dressed quark-gluon vertex. The $\tilde{\omega}_n$ denotes $\omega_n + i\mu$, with ω_n quark's Matsubara frequency $\{\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2...\}$. The gluon's Matsubara frequency is $\{\Omega_n = 2n\pi T, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2...\}$. We've set all the renormalization constants to 1.0 since we will use gluon models that are heavily suppressed in the ultraviolet region.

The fully dressed quark propagator $G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ can be generally decomposed as the summation of eight Dirac structures associated with coefficients of scalar functions [21]

$$G^{-1}(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{8} T_i(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n) F_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n),$$
(3a)

or equivalently

$$G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{8} T_i(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n) \sigma_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n).$$
(3b)

The Dirac bases are $T_i \in \{i\vec{p}, I_4, i\gamma_4\tilde{\omega}_n, \vec{p}\gamma_4, i\gamma_5\vec{p}, \gamma_5, \gamma_5\gamma_4, i\gamma_5\vec{p}\gamma_4\}$, with the last four Dirac bases brought about by the presence of chiral chemical potential μ_5 . The $F_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n)$'s and $\sigma_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n)$'s are dressed scalar functions. For the free quark propagator $G^0(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$, one has $F_1 = F_3 = 1$, $F_2 = m$ and $F_7 = \mu_5$, with m the current quark mass.

In [51] we've studied the QCD chiral phase diagram on the $T - \mu$ plane. As a generalization to the case of nonzero μ_5 , we adopt the same setup as in [51], i.e., taking the Rainbow truncation for the quark-gluon vertex

$$\Gamma^a_\nu(p,q) = \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\nu,\tag{4}$$

and the fully dressed gluon propagator takes the model of

$$g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^6} D e^{-(k^2 + \alpha\mu^2)/\omega^2} k^2 \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}\right).$$
 (5)

3

This model is based on the popular MT model that successfully describes many hadron properties [52]. The additional term $e^{-\alpha\mu^2/\omega^2}$ mimics the screening effect of finite chemical potential [53, 54]. We remind that the preferred parameters $\omega = 0.45 \text{ GeV}$, $D\omega = (0.8 \text{ GeV})^3$ and $\alpha = 0.6$ were used in [51], with the current quark mass set to m = 5 MeV. Note that ω here is a parameter instead of the Matsubara frequency $\tilde{\omega}_n$. The functions $F_i(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ can then be solved: In the gap equation (2), expand $[G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)]^{-1}$ with Eq. (3a) and $G(\vec{q}, \tilde{\omega}_l)$ with Eq. (3b), multiply both sides of Eq. (2) with the eight Dirac basis $T_i(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ and then take trace. One finally obtains eight coupled equations

$$F_1 = 1 + \frac{4}{-3\vec{p}^2} \sum \left[\sigma_1 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + 3\vec{k}^2 \right) - 2\sigma_3 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{p} \tilde{\omega}_l \tilde{\omega}_{ln} \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln})$$
(6a)

$$F_2 = m + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{l} \left[3\sigma_2 \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + \vec{k}^2 \right) \right] \times D_{\mathrm{MT}}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln})$$
(6b)

$$F_{3} = 1 + \frac{4}{-3\tilde{\omega}_{n}^{2}} \sum \left[\sigma_{3}\tilde{\omega}_{l}\tilde{\omega}_{n} \left(3\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^{2} + \vec{k}^{2} \right) - 2\sigma_{1}\vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}\tilde{\omega}_{n}\tilde{\omega}_{ln} \right] \times D_{\mathrm{MT}}(\vec{k},\tilde{\omega}_{ln})$$
(6c)

$$F_4 = \frac{4}{-3\vec{p}^2} \sum \left[-\sigma_4 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + \vec{k}^2 \right) \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) \tag{6d}$$

$$F_5 = \frac{4}{3\vec{p}^2} \sum \left[\sigma_5 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + 3\vec{k}^2 \right) + 2i\sigma_7 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{p} \tilde{\omega}_{ln} \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) \tag{6e}$$

$$F_6 = \frac{4}{3} \sum \left[-3\sigma_6 \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + \vec{k}^2 \right) \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) \tag{6f}$$

$$F_7 = \mu_5 + \frac{4}{-3} \sum \left[\sigma_7 \left(-3\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 - \vec{k}^2 \right) + 2i\sigma_5 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q}\tilde{\omega}_{ln} \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) \tag{6g}$$

$$F_8 = \frac{4}{3\vec{p}^2} \sum \left[-\sigma_8 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{q} \left(\tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + \vec{k}^2 \right) \right] \times D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) \tag{6h}$$

with

$$D_{\rm MT}(\vec{k}, \tilde{\omega}_{ln}) = \frac{4\pi^2 D}{\omega^6} e^{-(\vec{k}^2 + \tilde{\omega}_{ln}^2 + \alpha \mu^2)/\omega^2}.$$
 (6i)

The abbreviations we used are $\sum = T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}$, $F_i = F_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n)$, $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(|\vec{q}|, \tilde{\omega}_l)$, $\vec{k} = \vec{p} - \vec{q}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{ln} = \tilde{\omega}_l - \tilde{\omega}_n$. The $\sigma_i(\vec{q}_i, \tilde{\omega}_l)$ can be obtained with $F_i(\vec{q}_l, \tilde{\omega}_l)$ via

$$\sigma_1 = -\frac{1}{2|\vec{q}|} \left(\frac{F_1|\vec{q}| - F_7}{t_1} + \frac{F_1|\vec{q}| + F_7}{t_2} \right)$$
(7a)

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F_2 - F_8[\vec{q}]}{t_1} + \frac{F_8[\vec{q}] + F_2}{t_2} \right)$$
(7b)

$$\sigma_3 = -\frac{1}{2\tilde{\omega}_l} \left(\frac{F_3 \tilde{\omega}_l - iF_5 |\vec{q}|}{t_1} + \frac{F_3 \tilde{\omega}_l + iF_5 |\vec{q}|}{t_2} \right)$$
(7c)

$$\sigma_4 = -\frac{1}{2|\vec{q}|} \left(\frac{F_4|\vec{q}| - iF_6}{t_1} + \frac{F_4|\vec{q}| + iF_6}{t_2} \right)$$
(7d)

$$\sigma_5 = -\frac{1}{2|\vec{q}|} \left(\frac{F_5|\vec{q}| - iF_3\tilde{\omega}_l}{t_1} + \frac{F_5|\vec{q}| + iF_3\tilde{\omega}_l}{t_2} \right)$$
(7e)

$$\sigma_6 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F_6 + iF_4 |\vec{q}|}{t_1} + \frac{F_6 - iF_4 |\vec{q}|}{t_2} \right) \tag{7f}$$

$$\sigma_7 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F_7 - F_1 |\vec{q}|}{t_1} + \frac{F_1 |\vec{q}| + F_7}{t_2} \right) \tag{7g}$$

$$\sigma_8 = \frac{1}{2|\vec{q}|} \left(\frac{F_8|\vec{q}| - F_2}{t_1} + \frac{F_8|\vec{q}| + F_2}{t_2} \right) \tag{7h}$$

$$t_1 = 2iF_3F_5\tilde{\omega}_l|\vec{q}| + F_3^2\tilde{\omega}_l^2 - 2F_8F_2|\vec{q}| - 2iF_4F_6|\vec{q}| + F_2^2 - F_6^2 + F_7^2 + |\vec{q}| \left(\left(F_1^2 + F_4^2 - F_5^2 + F_8^2\right)|\vec{q}| - 2F_1F_7 \right)$$
(7i)

$$t_{2} = -2iF_{3}F_{5}\tilde{\omega}_{l}|\vec{q}| + F_{3}^{2}\tilde{\omega}_{l}^{2} + 2F_{8}F_{2}|\vec{q}| + 2iF_{4}F_{6}|\vec{q}| + F_{2}^{2} - F_{6}^{2} + F_{7}^{2} + |\vec{q}|\left(\left(F_{1}^{2} + F_{4}^{2} - F_{5}^{2} + F_{8}^{2}\right)|\vec{q}| + 2F_{1}F_{7}\right)$$
(7j)

Eqs. (6,7) can be fully solved numerically by iteration. Meanwhile, there are two observations that could further simplify the computation. First, the F_4 and F_6 can simultaneously be zero, as can be read from Eqs. (6d,6f,7d,7f). In principle, there is a chance a non-vanishing solution exists, just as $F_2(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ associated with the quark mass function can be nonzero even in the chiral limit m = 0 due to DCSB. But as we tested they are vanishingly small even if they are kept in the computation. Therefore the terms F_4 and F_6 can be set to zero. Another useful relation is that the scalar functions satisfy

$$F_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n) = F_i^*(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_{-n-1}), \tag{8}$$

so the number of scalar functions with different n's to compute are halved.

III. CHIRAL PHASE DIAGRAM AT FINITE CHIRAL CHEMICAL POTENTIAL

As is well known, the DCSB and confinement are two key properties of QCD at low energy scale. The restoration of chiral symmetry and de-confinement are expected for QCD matter at finite temperature. The influence of μ_5 on the chiral condensate has long been of interest [25, 41]. The quark condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is the order parameter of chiral phase transition in chiral limit, and an indicator in the presence of a small current quark mass. It can be calculated as the trace of full quark propagator

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = T \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Tr}[G(\vec{p},\tilde{\omega}_n)].$$
(9a)

However, this quantity suffers from ultraviolet divergence in the presence of nonzero quark mass, so people define regularized condensates as [55]

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_r = \langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle(T,\mu) - \langle \bar{\psi}_0\psi_0\rangle(T,\mu) \tag{9b}$$

or that proposed in lattice calculation[56]

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_R = \langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle(T,\mu) - \langle \bar{\psi}_0\psi_0\rangle(T=0,\mu=0)$$
(9c)

The ψ_0 indicates the free quark field. Meanwhile, a rigorous definition exists as $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ being the first derivative of partition function versus current quark mass m, e.g.,

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle = \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial m}.$$
(10)

Since the Rainbow truncation renders the partition function calculable in the framework of CJT effective action [57, 58], i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}(T,\mu,\mu_5) = \frac{T}{V} \ln \mathcal{Z} = \frac{T}{V} \operatorname{Tr} \left[Ln(G^{-1}G_0) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - G_0^{-1}G) \right],\tag{11}$$

we employ the definition of Eq. (10) to calculate the quark condensate. This was first done in [51] with μ_5 not introduced. We note that the CJT effective action $\mathcal{P}(T, \mu, \mu_5)$ alone is ultra-violate divergent, but the difference of two actions with two masses, i.e., $\mathcal{P}(T, \mu, \mu_5; m + \delta m) - \mathcal{P}(T, \mu, \mu_5; m)$ is finite.

The obtained $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is shown in Fig. 1. The left panel shows the quark condensate on the T axis with different μ_5 's beyond the chiral limit. An important feature is that $-\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ rises with increasing μ_5 , as also found by lattice simulation [23]. NJL model studies gave similar results [26, 41]. Meanwhile, we find the $-\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ always exhibits crossover behavior regardless of increasing μ_5 , and the pseudo-transition temperature T_c increases with μ_5 . Note that for a first order chiral phase transition the T_c is unique, but for crossover it is defined as the peak location in the susceptibilities, hence definition-dependent [59, 60]. To remove the ambiguity brought by definition of T_c , we supplement with the chiral limit m = 0 case on the right panel. In this case, the chiral crossover becomes a second order phase transition. We again observe that when μ_5 goes larger, the second order phase transition temperature T_c increases. This is known as the catalysis effect of DCBS by μ_5 , as found by lattice result as well [23, 24]. For other model studies as linear sigma model or NJL model, the results differ by regularization schemes [25–29, 31].

We further employ an alternative gluon propagator model, i.e., the QC model. It had never been employed in the case of a finite μ_5 before. The model reads [40]

$$g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\omega^4} D e^{-k^2/\omega^2} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right).$$
(12)



FIG. 1. The quark condensate from MT model at finite T with $\mu = 0$ GeV and different μ_5 's.



FIG. 2. The quark condensate from QC model at finite T with $\mu = 0$ GeV and different μ_5 's.

Constrained by hadron properties as pion mass and decay constant, the parameters are chosen to be $D = 1, \omega = 0.6$, same as our early work [55]. This model is also widely used in hadron study within the DSE approach. Taking away the tensor part $\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^2}$, it is finite (non-vanishing) at $k^2 = 0$ as compared to the MT model Eq. (5), so it better resembles realistic gluon propagator that were produced by gauge sector study from DSE [36, 37] and lattice QCD [38, 39]. The quark condensate from QC model is shown in the Fig. 2. We find the QC model gives same behavior as MT model, e.g., i) the crossover behavior remains with increasing μ_5 and ii) the T_c rises with increasing μ_5 . The DSE approach therefore gives consistent result concerning the chiral crossover in the presence of chiral chemical potential.

We also show the chiral quark condensate at finite temperature T with different μ 's and μ_5 's in figure 3. In the left panel we set $\mu = 0.1$ GeV. In this case, the chiral transition is a crossover when $\mu_5 = 0$ GeV (red solid line), and becomes a first order phase transition when $\mu_5 = 0.2$ GeV (green dashed line). It remains a first order phase transition when μ_5 further increases to 0.4 GeV (blue dotted line). Therefore, the increasing μ_5 changes the chiral transition at low μ from a crossover to first order phase transition. At higher μ like $\mu = 0.2$ GeV in the right panel, the first order phase transition remains in the presence of finite μ_5 . One can observe from Fig. 3 that the T_c generally increases with μ_5 at finite μ , which is similar to the $\mu = 0$ case above. Note that Fig. 3 is plotted using the MT model. The QC model gives qualitatively same result, hence omitted.

These findings indicate that the location of the critical end point in the $T - \mu$ plane shifts with μ_5 . We plot the CEPs for different μ_5 's in Fig. 4. Results from both models are displayed. The rightmost green dot at $(\mu_T, T_E) = (0.135, 0.127)$ GeV denotes the location of CEP at $\mu_5 = 0$ GeV using MT model, and rightmost blue dot at $(\mu_T, T_E) = (0.16, 0.116)$ GeV denotes the one with QC model. The red dotted lines represent the corresponding crossover lines. When μ_5 increases, the CEPs shift from lower-right to upper-left until the μ_E 's for both models stay almost constant at $\mu_E \approx 0.05$ GeV. The CEPs therefore won't hit the temperature axis no matter how large μ_5 is. Note that early model studies [25, 31, 41] suggest that the CEP and first order phase transition would show up on the T axis as μ_5 increases, and confirmation from lattice QCD simulation could provide a strong evidence for the existence of CEP. However, such signal hasn't been found in existing lattice QCD [23, 24, 32]. Therefore in accordance with lattice



FIG. 3. The quark condensate from MT model at finite T with different μ 's and μ_5 's.

QCD at $\mu = 0$, the DSE supplements with a possible trajectory of CEP at finite μ , if it exists, in the presence of μ_5 .



FIG. 4. The shift of CEPs in the presence of μ_5 . The green points (empty circle) shifting from right to left indicate the location of CEP using MT model Eq. (5), when $\mu_5 = 0, 100, 200, 300, 400, 600, 800$ MeV respectively. The blue points (empty triangle) are obtained using QC model Eq. (12). The red dotted lines ended with CEPs are the chiral crossover lines at zero μ_5 .

IV. THE CHIRAL CHARGE DENSITY

The non-vanishing finite chiral charge density n_5 is a novel feature of hot and dense QCD matter in the presence of μ_5 . It is indispensable for the CME effect. Relating n_5 and μ_5 is useful for to expressing the induced electric current density as a function of the chirality density [41]. The $n_5 = \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_4 \gamma_5 \psi \rangle$ can be calculated with the fully dressed quark propagator

$$n_5 = -N_c N_f T \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_n \text{Tr}[\gamma_4 \gamma_5 G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)].$$
(13)

However, directly computing Eq. (13) is very difficult: in computation one always need to truncate the Matsubara frequency n to some maximum value, but Eq. (13) converges very slowly with n. Same situation happens to the computation of quark number density $n = \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_4 \psi \rangle$ as well. In [60], a numerical technique is proposed to deal with this problem. The basic idea is to pick out the UV behavior of the integration, which converges very slowly but

analytically calculable, and compute the remaining integration and summation that converges quickly. Here we take that idea and calculate n_5 with

$$n_{5} = \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_{4} \gamma_{5} \psi \rangle (T, \mu, \mu_{5}; m) - \langle \bar{\psi}_{0} \gamma_{4} \gamma_{5} \psi_{0} \rangle (T, \mu, \mu_{5}; m = 0) + \langle \bar{\psi}_{0} \gamma_{4} \gamma_{5} \psi_{0} \rangle (T, \mu, \mu_{5}; m = 0)$$

$$= N_{c} N_{f} \left(-\int \frac{d^{3} \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{4} \gamma_{5} (G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_{n}) - G_{0}(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_{n}; m = 0)) \right] + \frac{\mu_{5} (\pi^{2} T^{2} + 3\mu^{2} + \mu_{5}^{2})}{3\pi^{2}} \right).$$
(14)

Namely, we subtract the n_5 of free quark propagator and add it back. The summation identity

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i(2n+1)\pi T - \Delta} = \frac{1}{2T} \frac{1 - e^{\Delta/T}}{1 + e^{\Delta/T}}$$
(15)

is useful in the derivation. In this way, the asymptotic behavior of full quark propagator $G(\vec{p}, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ at large n is separated out. The summation over Matsubara frequency n and integration over \vec{p} then converge quickly enough to validate a practical computation with precision.

We then plot the chiral charge density in Fig. 5. At $\mu_5 = 0$ GeV, the n_5 vanishes, hence not plotted. When $\mu_5 = 0.2$ GeV, we show the result of $\mu = 0$ GeV (blue dotted) and $\mu = 0.2$ GeV (green solid) respectively. One can see that n_5 generally increases with temperature T, i.e., it slightly decreases with T in Nambu phase (phase with DCSB) but increases steadily in the Wigner phase (phase with chiral symmetry partially restored). The Nambu phase is irrelevant for CME, since chiral condensate couple the left-handed and right-handed quarks, and with a large chiral condensate the n_5 decays quickly [6]. In such case an equilibrium can not be reached. Note that de-confinement is also a necessary condition for CME, but it's beyond the scope of this work. We see in Fig. 5 that at $\mu = 0$ the transition is a crossover and the n_5 exhibits a smooth continuous curve. At $\mu = 0.2$ GeV, a first order phase transition takes place and it becomes discontinuous. Meanwhile the n_5 increases with μ_5 , see, eg., curves from $\mu_5 = 0.2$ GeV to $\mu_5 = 0.4$ GeV. We also observe an increase of n_5 with respect to quark chemical potential μ for Wigner phase in most area. Therefore, we conclude that in the Wigner phase where chiral symmetry gets partially restored, increasing T, μ_5 and μ all result in an increase in the chiral charge density.



FIG. 5. The chiral densities n_5 at finite T with different μ 's and μ_5 's, obtained with MT model.

Another factor that can potentially influences the n_5 is the size of the fireball created in HIC, namely the system volume. Analysis shows that the volume of homogeneity before freeze-out for Au-Au and Pb-Pb collisions ranges between approximately $50 \sim 250 \text{ fm}^3$ [61], and could go as low as $(2 \text{ fm})^3$ [62]. Since the CME is typically investigated with peripheral collisions, the finite size effect could be relevant. In [47, 48] the finite volume effect on chiral phase diagram in the absence of μ_5 had been studied. The finding was that decreasing the volume would weaken the DCSB, and consequently change the chiral phase diagram in the $\mu - T$ plane. Here we take the formalism and investigate

the finite size effect on n_5 . As a first estimate, we will use a rather simplified approach as in [44], which is explained below.

At finite volume, the quark and gluon fields are constrained by certain spatial boundary condition. If we consider a system in a cubic box of size L, a popular and practical boundary condition is the anti-periodic boundary condition $\psi(\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}, \tau) = -\psi(\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{L}}, \tau)$ for quark fields, and $A^a_{\mu}(\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}, \tau) = A^a_{\mu}(\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \vec{\mathbf{L}}, \tau)$ for gluon fields. In this case, the quark and gluon fields take the same boundary condition in their spatial and temporal directions. As pointed out by authors in [63], such choice allows a permutation symmetry of the spatial and temporal directions in the effective Lagrangian, rendering temperature- and volume-independent coupling constants. One can then directly employ models that were determined at zero temperature and volume. This boundary condition therefore has been widely employed in model studies as Refs. [43, 45, 47–49]. Mathematically, it leads to the discretization of momentum \vec{p} into modes $\vec{p}_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{n_i=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,...} (2n_i + 1)\pi/L\hat{e}_i(\hat{e}_i)$ is the Cartesian unit vectors in momentum space) for quarks, and $\vec{p}_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{n_i=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,...} 2n_i \pi/L\hat{e}_i$ for gluons. So the momentum integration in Eq. (2) becomes

$$\int \frac{dq^3}{(2\pi)^3} (\cdots) \to \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{n_i=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\dots} (\cdots).$$
(16)

However, the breaking of O(3) symmetry leads to scalar functions with more variables, e.g., the $F_i(|\vec{p}|, \tilde{\omega}_n)$ in Eq. (3a) now becomes $F_i(n_1, n_2, n_3, \tilde{\omega}_n)$. This calls for a lot more computing power. So for a first qualitative analyze, we use an approximation method employed by [44, 64], i.e.,

$$\int \frac{dq^3}{(2\pi)^3} (\cdots) \to \int_{|\vec{q}|=\pi/L}^{|\vec{q}|=\infty} \frac{dq^3}{(2\pi)^3} (\cdots).$$
(17)

The right hand side (RHS) of Eq. (17) intends to approximate the RHS of of Eq. (16) by introducing an infrared momentum cutoff. It obviously gets more accurate at larger L but less accurate with smaller L. However, for the purpose of a qualitative study, we find it suffices to give a correct picture in the case of chiral phase diagram. For instance, calculation with [64] and without [48] approximation give qualitatively same results concerning the chiral phase transition.

Take the replacement Eq. (17) in Eq. (2) and Eq. (13), we obtain the n_5 at finite volume as shown in Fig. 6. We remind this result is obtained with MT model at m = 5 MeV, in correspondence with left plot in Fig. 1. There are two set of curves. One is by setting T = 220 MeV which is just above the pseudo-critical temperature $T_c \approx 150$ MeV (when $\mu = 0$ and $\mu_5 = 0$). We see that as system size decreases, the n_5 increases, and the smaller the volume the quicker the increase. At L = 2 fm, the n_5 increases by about 30% for all μ_5 . At high temperature T = 0.4 GeV, the finite volume effect remains but gets weakened, as can be seen from the dotted purple curve against dot-dashed gray curve. Our result therefore suggests an increase in n_5 when the system size decreases.



FIG. 6. The chiral density n_5 at finite μ_5 with different system sizes, obtained by MT model.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we study chirally imbalanced hot and dense strongly interacting matter by means of the Dyson-Schwinger equations. By solving the quark's DSE, the fully dressed quark propagator is obtained with its complete Dirac structures, rendering thermodynamical properties calculable.

The chiral phase diagram is studied in the presence of μ_5 . The chiral quark condensate $\langle \psi \psi \rangle$ is unambiguously obtained with the CJT effective action, in concert with the Rainbow truncation scheme. Catalysis effect of DCSB by μ_5 is observed. For instance, We find the $-\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ increases with μ_5 , along with the increase of pseudo-critical temperature T_c of the crossover at $\mu = 0$. To avoid ambiguities from definition in T_c , we check with the chiral limit when the crossover becomes a second order phase transition. To examine the model dependence within the DSE, we supplemented a new calculation with a more realistic QC gluon propagator model, as compared to the MT model. Consistency is found within the DSE approach, as well as in comparison with lattice QCD. Since finite μ is directly calculable in the DSE, we further study the influence of μ_5 on the CEP location (μ_E, T_E) in the $T - \mu$ plane. It is found that the CEP shifts toward larger T_E but constant μ_E as μ_5 increases.

A technique is then developed to overcome the computational difficulty within n_5 . We then show our results on n_5 with various conditions. We find the n_5 generally increases with the increase of T, μ and μ_5 . Since the CME is typically investigated with peripheral collisions, finite size effect is then considered based on a specific spatial boundary condition, i.e., anti-periodic for quark fields and periodic for gluon fields. We found an increase in n_5 with the decrease of system size.

We remark that to mimic a more realistic condition for the HIC, the magnetic field B should also be taken into account, since it influences the chiral phase diagram as well [65]. The finite size effect study could also be improved. For instance, based on the present boundary condition and within DSEs, a full computation using Eq. (16) rather than the infrared momentum cut off scheme Eq. (17) is worth studying. Meanwhile, alternative boundary conditions implementing more realistic physical constraints, such as considering a sphere or a rotating cylinder instead of cubic box, are also worth investigation [66–68]. These studies could deepen our understanding of chirally imbalanced hot and dense QCD matter produced in HICs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (under Grants No. 11905104, No. 11475085, No. 11535005, No. 11690030, No.11873030 and No. 11905107), the National Major state Basic Research and Development of China (Grant No. 2016YFE0129300), the starting grant of Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics (under Grant No. 1006-YAH20009), the innovation Program of Jiangsu Province, Jiangsu Province Natural Science Foundation, under grant No. BK20190721, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications Science Foundation, under grant No. NY129032 and Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China 19KJB140016.

[2] J. Bell and R. Jackiw, A PCAC puzzle: $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ in the σ model, Nuovo Cim. A **60** (1969) 47–61.

- [9] V. Skokov, A. Illarionov, and V. Toneev, Estimate of the magnetic field strength in heavy-ion collisions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24 (2009) 5925-5932, [arXiv:0907.1396].
- [10] V. Voronyuk, V. Toneev, W. Cassing, E. Bratkovskaya, V. Konchakovski, and S. Voloshin, (Electro-)Magnetic field evolution in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 054911, [arXiv:1103.4239].
- [11] A. Bzdak and V. Skokov, Event-by-event fluctuations of magnetic and electric fields in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 171–174, [arXiv:1111.1949].

^[1] S. L. Adler, Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2426–2438.

^[3] A. V. Smilga, Anomaly mechanism at finite temperature, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 1378–1394.

^[4] L. D. McLerran, E. Mottola, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Sphalerons and Axion Dynamics in High Temperature QCD, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2027–2035.

 ^[5] E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Prompt quark production by exploding sphalerons, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 014006, [hep-ph/0206022].

^[6] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, The Chiral Magnetic Effect, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 074033, [arXiv:0808.3382].

^[7] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, The Effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation', Nucl. Phys. A 803 (2008) 227–253, [arXiv:0711.0950].

^[8] P. Copinger, K. Fukushima, and S. Pu, Axial Ward identity and the Schwinger mechanism – Applications to the real-time chiral magnetic effect and condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018), no. 26 261602, [arXiv:1807.04416].

- [12] W.-T. Deng and X.-G. Huang, Event-by-event generation of electromagnetic fields in heavy-ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 044907, [arXiv:1201.5108].
- [13] Y.-L. Cheng, S. Zhang, Y.-G. Ma, J.-H. Chen, and C. Zhong, Electromagnetic field from asymmetric to symmetric heavy-ion collisions at 200 GeV/c, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019), no. 5 054906, [arXiv:1909.03160].
- [14] K. Xu, S. Shi, H. Zhang, D. Hou, J. Liao, and M. Huang, Extracting the magnitude of magnetic field at freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions, arXiv:2004.05362.
- [15] **STAR** Collaboration, L. Adamczyk et al., Fluctuations of charge separation perpendicular to the event plane and local parity violation in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV } Au + Au$ collisions at the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013), no. 6 064911, [arXiv:1302.3802].
- [16] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev et al., Charge separation relative to the reaction plane in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013), no. 1 012301, [arXiv:1207.0900].
- [17] STAR Collaboration, L. Adamczyk et al., Beam-energy dependence of charge separation along the magnetic field in Au+Au collisions at RHIC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 052302, [arXiv:1404.1433].
- [18] V. Koch, S. Schlichting, V. Skokov, P. Sorensen, J. Thomas, S. Voloshin, G. Wang, and H.-U. Yee, Status of the chiral magnetic effect and collisions of isobars, Chin. Phys. C 41 (2017), no. 7 072001, [arXiv:1608.00982].
- [19] M. Ruggieri, G. Peng, and M. Chernodub, Chiral Relaxation Time at the Crossover of Quantum Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016), no. 5 054011, [arXiv:1606.03287].
- [20] M. Ruggieri and G. Peng, Quark matter in a parallel electric and magnetic field background: Chiral phase transition and equilibration of chiral density, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016), no. 9 094021, [arXiv:1602.08994].
- [21] B. Wang, Y.-L. Wang, Z.-F. Cui, and H.-S. Zong, Effect of the chiral chemical potential on the position of the critical endpoint, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), no. 3 034017.
- [22] S.-S. Xu, Z.-F. Cui, B. Wang, Y.-M. Shi, Y.-C. Yang, and H.-S. Zong, Chiral phase transition with a chiral chemical potential in the framework of Dyson-Schwinger equations, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), no. 5 056003, [arXiv:1505.00316].
- [23] V. Braguta, V. Goy, E. M. Ilgenfritz, A. Y. Kotov, A. Molochkov, M. Muller-Preussker, and B. Petersson, Two-Color QCD with Non-zero Chiral Chemical Potential, JHEP 06 (2015) 094, [arXiv:1503.06670].
- [24] V. Braguta, E. Ilgenfritz, A. Y. Kotov, B. Petersson, and S. Skinderev, Study of QCD Phase Diagram with Non-Zero Chiral Chemical Potential, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016), no. 3 034509, [arXiv:1512.05873].
- [25] M. Ruggieri, The Critical End Point of Quantum Chromodynamics Detected by Chirally Imbalanced Quark Matter, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 014011, [arXiv:1103.6186].
- [26] L. Yu, H. Liu, and M. Huang, Effect of the chiral chemical potential on the chiral phase transition in the NJL model with different regularization schemes, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016), no. 1 014026, [arXiv:1511.03073].
- [27] R. Farias, D. C. Duarte, G. Krein, and R. O. Ramos, Thermodynamics of quark matter with a chiral imbalance, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016), no. 7 074011, [arXiv:1604.04518].
- [28] Z.-F. Cui, I. C. Cloet, Y. Lu, C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt, S.-S. Xu, and H.-S. Zong, Critical endpoint in the presence of a chiral chemical potential, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 071503, [arXiv:1604.08454].
- [29] T. Khunjua, K. Klimenko, and R. Zhokhov, Chiral imbalanced hot and dense quark matter: NJL analysis at the physical point and comparison with lattice QCD, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019), no. 2 151, [arXiv:1812.00772].
- [30] L.-K. Yang, X. Luo, and H.-S. Zong, QCD phase diagram in chiral imbalance with self-consistent mean field approximation, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019), no. 9 094012, [arXiv:1910.13185].
- [31] M. Chernodub and A. Nedelin, Phase diagram of chirally imbalanced QCD matter, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 105008, [arXiv:1102.0188].
- [32] A. Yamamoto, Chiral magnetic effect in lattice QCD with a chiral chemical potential, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 031601, [arXiv:1105.0385].
- [33] C. Burden, L. Qian, C. D. Roberts, P. Tandy, and M. J. Thomson, Ground state spectrum of light quark mesons, Phys. Rev. C 55 (1997) 2649–2664, [nucl-th/9605027].
- [34] P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, Pi- and K meson Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes, Phys. Rev. C 56 (1997) 3369–3383, [nucl-th/9708029].
- [35] P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, Bethe-Salpeter study of vector meson masses and decay constants, Phys. Rev. C 60 (1999) 055214, [nucl-th/9905056].
- [36] A. Aguilar, D. Binosi, and J. Papavassiliou, QCD effective charges from lattice data, JHEP 07 (2010) 002, [arXiv:1004.1105].
- [37] P. Boucaud, M. Gomez, J. Leroy, A. Le Yaouanc, J. Micheli, O. Pene, and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, *The low-momentum ghost dressing function and the gluon mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 054007, [arXiv:1004.4135].
- [38] O. Oliveira and P. Bicudo, Running Gluon Mass from Landau Gauge Lattice QCD Propagator, J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 045003, [arXiv:1002.4151].
- [39] P. O. Bowman, U. M. Heller, D. B. Leinweber, M. B. Parappilly, and A. G. Williams, Unquenched gluon propagator in Landau gauge, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 034509, [hep-lat/0402032].
- [40] S.-x. Qin, L. Chang, Y.-x. Liu, C. D. Roberts, and D. J. Wilson, Interaction model for the gap equation, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 042202, [arXiv:1108.0603].
- [41] K. Fukushima, M. Ruggieri, and R. Gatto, Chiral magnetic effect in the PNJL model, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 114031, [arXiv:1003.0047].
- [42] C. S. Fischer, A. Maas, and J. A. Muller, Chiral and deconfinement transition from correlation functions: SU(2) vs. SU(3), Eur. Phys. J. C 68 (2010) 165–181, [arXiv:1003.1960].

- [43] C. S. Fischer, J. Luecker, and J. A. Mueller, Chiral and deconfinement phase transitions of two-flavour QCD at finite temperature and chemical potential, Phys. Lett. B 702 (2011) 438-441, [arXiv:1104.1564].
- [44] A. Bhattacharyya, R. Ray, and S. Sur, Fluctuation of strongly interacting matter in the Polyakov-Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model in a finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), no. 5 051501, [arXiv:1412.8316].
- [45] R.-A. Tripolt, J. Braun, B. Klein, and B.-J. Schaefer, Effect of fluctuations on the QCD critical point in a finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014), no. 5 054012, [arXiv:1308.0164].
- [46] J. Braun, B. Klein, and B.-J. Schaefer, On the Phase Structure of QCD in a Finite Volume, Phys. Lett. B 713 (2012) 216–223, [arXiv:1110.0849].
- [47] C. Shi, W. Jia, A. Sun, L. Zhang, and H.-S. Zong, Chiral crossover transition in a finite volume, Chin. Phys. C 42 (2018), no. 2 023101.
- [48] C. Shi, Y. Xia, W. Jia, and H. Zong, Chiral phase diagram of strongly interacting matter at finite volume, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 61 (2018), no. 8 082021.
- [49] L. Abreu, E. B. CorrAła, C. A. Linhares, and A. P. Malbouisson, Finite-volume and magnetic effects on the phase structure of the three-flavor Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019), no. 7 076001, [arXiv:1903.09249].
- [50] Y.-P. Zhao, P.-L. Yin, Z.-H. Yu, and H.-S. Zong, Finite volume effects on chiral phase transition and pseudoscalar mesons properties from the Polyakov-Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, Nucl. Phys. B952 (2020) 114919, [arXiv:1812.09665].
- [51] C. Shi, Y.-L. Wang, Y. Jiang, Z.-F. Cui, and H.-S. Zong, Locate QCD Critical End Point in a Continuum Model Study, JHEP 07 (2014) 014, [arXiv:1403.3797].
- [52] P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, Dyson-Schwinger equations: A Tool for hadron physics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 12 (2003) 297-365, [nucl-th/0301049].
- [53] H. Chen, M. Baldo, G. Burgio, and H.-J. Schulze, Hybrid stars with the Dyson-Schwinger quark model, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 105023, [arXiv:1107.2497].
- [54] Y. Jiang, H. Chen, W.-M. Sun, and H.-S. Zong, Chiral phase transition of QCD at finite chemical potential, JHEP 04 (2013) 014.
- [55] C. Shi, Y.-L. Du, S.-S. Xu, X.-J. Liu, and H.-S. Zong, Continuum study of the QCD phase diagram through an OPE-modified gluon propagator, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016), no. 3 036006, [arXiv:1602.00062].
- [56] G. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, S. Krieg, A. Schafer, and K. Szabo, The QCD phase diagram for external magnetic fields, JHEP 02 (2012) 044, [arXiv:1111.4956].
- [57] J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw, and E. Tomboulis, Effective Action for Composite Operators, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2428–2445.
- [58] C. D. Roberts and S. M. Schmidt, Dyson-Schwinger equations: Density, temperature and continuum strong QCD, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45 (2000) S1–S103, [nucl-th/0005064].
- [59] Y.-L. Du, Y. Lu, S.-S. Xu, Z.-F. Cui, C. Shi, and H.-S. Zong, Susceptibilities and critical exponents within the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015), no. 34 1550199, [arXiv:1506.04368].
- [60] S.-S. Xu, P.-L. Yin, and H.-S. Zong, Susceptibilities and the critical band of crossover region in the QCD phase diagram, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019), no. 5 399.
- [61] G. Graef, M. Bleicher, and Q. Li, Examination of scaling of Hanbury-Brown-Twiss radii with charged particle multiplicity, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 044901, [arXiv:1203.4071].
- [62] L. Palhares, E. Fraga, and T. Kodama, Chiral transition in a finite system and possible use of finite size scaling in relativistic heavy ion collisions, J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 085101, [arXiv:0904.4830].
- [63] B. Klein, Modeling Finite-Volume Effects and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in Two-Flavor QCD Thermodynamics, Phys. Rept. 707-708 (2017) 1–51, [arXiv:1710.05357].
- [64] B.-L. Li, Z.-F. Cui, B.-W. Zhou, S. An, L.-P. Zhang, and H.-S. Zong, Finite volume effects on the chiral phase transition from Dyson-Schwinger equations of QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 938 (2019) 298-306, [arXiv:1711.04914].
- [65] G. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. Katz, and A. Schafer, QCD quark condensate in external magnetic fields, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 071502, [arXiv:1206.4205].
- [66] M. Chernodub and S. Gongyo, Interacting fermions in rotation: chiral symmetry restoration, moment of inertia and thermodynamics, JHEP 01 (2017) 136, [arXiv:1611.02598].
- [67] Z. Zhang, C. Shi, and H.-S. Zong, Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model in a sphere, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020), no. 4 043006, [arXiv:1908.08671].
- [68] Z. Zhang, C. Shi, X. Luo, and H.-S. Zong, *Chiral phase transition in a rotating sphere*, *Phys.Rev.D* (in press), [arXiv:2003.03765].