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We present a wide-bandwidth, voltage-controlled current source that is easily integrated with radiofrequency magnetic field coils. Our design uses current feedback to compensate for the frequency-dependent impedance of a radiofrequency antenna. We are able to deliver peak currents greater than 100 mA over a 300 kHz to 54 MHz frequency span. The radiofrequency current source fits onto a printed circuit board smaller than 4 cm² and consumes less than 1.3 W of power. It is suitable for use in deployable quantum sensors and nuclear-magnetic-resonance systems.

Radiofrequency (RF) manipulation of electronic and nuclear spins is essential to many fields. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) requires RF excitation to initialize spin precession and has applications including magnetic resonance imaging, chemical analysis, inertial navigation, and magnetometry. Radiofrequency magnetic fields are also important in atomic physics research, where they are used to prepare and control atoms. RF magnetic fields can both be impedance matched over a reasonably wide frequency bandwidth by terminating one port of the antenna with a 50 Ω resistor. Resistive impedance matching maximizes the power transmitted through the antenna, but limits the transmitted current. Because the RF magnetic field amplitude depends on the current, resistively matched antennas typically require large, high-power amplifiers to produce the necessary RF field strength. As atomic physics and NMR systems move from laboratories into the field, the size, weight, and power dissipation (SWaP) of RF-field generating electronics will need to be improved.

One approach to reducing the size of RF amplification systems is co-locating a RF metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) and the antenna. Integration of the amplifier with the antenna allows the RF MOSFET to act as a voltage-controlled current source (VCCS). The power dissipation for the desired drive current can also be reduced in RF VCCS designs using class AB or class D amplifier layouts. Radiofrequency voltage-controlled current sources are often used for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) because, in addition to better SWaP, directly controlling the antenna current reduces cross-talk; leading to improved magnetic field uniformity. To ensure that the RF MOSFET behaves as a RF current source, the antenna’s input impedance must be small compared to the MOSFET’s output impedance. Because both the MOSFET and antenna impedances have significant reactive components, MOSFET-based RF voltage-controlled current sources have a narrow operating bandwidth and are not suitable for broadband NMR and atomic physics applications.

We realize a wide-bandwidth, voltage-controlled RF current source. Our design employs current feedback to compensate for the frequency-dependent impedance of the RF antenna. It can drive peak currents \( I_p > 100 \text{ mA} \) through a 300 nH test antenna from 270 kHz to 54 MHz. The VCCS fits onto a printed circuit board (PCB) smaller than 4 cm², making it compatible with compact quantum devices and NMR systems. The design files for the RF VCCS, including schematics, board layouts, and bills of materials, are available online.

The active element in our design is a current-feedback operational amplifier (CFA). In contrast to the more common voltage-feedback operational amplifier (VFA), a CFA buffers the voltage applied to its noninverting input onto its inverting input. The CFA then attempts to drive the buffer’s output current \( I_o \) to zero by outputing a voltage \( V_{out} = I_o Z_o(\omega) \), where \( Z_o(\omega) \) is the transimpedance of the CFA and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency. The current-feedback architecture has two advantages that make CFAs ideal for a RF VCCS. First, CFAs can achieve larger slew rates than VFAs, so they can drive large signals at higher frequencies. Second, the maximum closed-loop bandwidth of a CFA is controlled by the feedback impedance \( Z_f \), rather than a fixed gain-bandwidth product. The independence of gain and bandwidth for CFAs allows us to match the input dynamic range of the VCCS to the output dynamic range of a RF signal source, such as a direct digital synthesizer.

Figure 1 shows the circuit diagram of our voltage-controlled current source. We layout the circuit so the THS3091 current-feedback operational amplifier \( U_1 \) acts as a non-inverting amplifier. Feedback and gain-setting resistors \( R_f = 215 \, \text{Ω} \) and \( R_g = 23.7 \, \text{Ω} \) set the non-inverting DC gain \( G = 1 + R_f/R_g \approx 10 \). The input resistor \( R_{in} \) and capacitor \( C_{in} \) match the non-inverting input impedance of the CFA to 50 Ω and high-pass filter the RF voltage-control input. We represent our loop antenna as an in-
duct or $L_c$ with series resistance $R_p$ and parasitic capacitance $C_p$. The resistor $R_i$ senses the current driven through the antenna by $U_1$. We choose $R_i$, $R_p$, and $R_f = 10 \, \Omega$ so that the DC transadmittance of the VCCS is $Y(\omega = 0) = G/R_f \approx 1 \, \text{A/V}$.

The presence of the loop antenna within the CFA’s feedback loop causes the current source’s AC response to deviate from that of a simple non-inverting amplifier. We model the CFA’s transimpedance as $Z_i(\omega) = R_f/(1 + j\omega R_f C_i)$, where $j$ is the imaginary unit, $R_i \approx 850 \, \text{k}\Omega$ is the amplifier’s DC transimpedance, and the capacitance $C_i = 1 \, \text{pF}$ sets the amplifier’s open-loop bandwidth.\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^5\) The loop inductance $L_c$ and transimpedance $Z_i(\omega)$ form a $RLC$ circuit that adds a pair of conjugate poles to the transadmittance $Y(\omega)$. The poles are underdamped, so they create a sharp peak in $Y(\omega)$ near the natural frequency

$$
\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_c C_i}} \sqrt{\frac{1 + R_i / R_f}{1 + \frac{R_i + R_f}{R_i R_f}}},
$$

where $R_i \approx 30 \, \Omega$ is the inverting input impedance of the CFA and we have assumed that $R_f$ and $C_p$ are negligible.\(^2\)\(^5\)

To flatten the response of the current source, we use a gain compensation inductor $L_g$ in series with $R_g$ to introduce a real pole to the RF VCCS transfer function. The natural frequency of the new pole is approximately $R_g / L_g$, so reduction of the transadmittance peak near $\omega_n$ requires $L_g \geq R_g / \omega_n$. Larger values of $L_g$ give greater suppression of the peak in $Y(\omega)$, but also increase undershoot. By varying populated and simulated component values, we find empirically that $L_g \approx 2R_g / \omega_n$ is a reasonable compromise between overshoot and undershoot ($L_g = 2.2 R_g / \omega_n$ for the data in Fig. 2).

The contribution of $L_c$ to the feedback impedance $Z_f$ also constrains the bandwidth of the RF VCCS. To alleviate the bandwidth constrictions imposed by $L_c$, we select $R_f$ below the recommended value in the THS3091 datasheet.\(^2\)\(^5\) Small values of $R_f$ would normally cause the CFA to become unstable,\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) but in our circuit $Z_f \approx R_f + R_p + j\omega L_c$ increases to match the recommended value of $R_f$ when $\omega$ approaches the maximum bandwidth of the CFA. The wide operating bandwidth of the RF VCCS is possible because the frequency-dependent impedance of $L_c$ stabilizes the CFA. The gain and phase margins for the RF VCCS are approximately 30 dB and 20°, respectively.

Figure 2 shows current output of the RF VCCS as measured at the monitor output (see Fig. 1). We plot the small-signal (blue) and large-signal (red) response versus the frequency of the RF input voltage. The amplitude of the small-signal (large-signal) RF input voltage is $V_p = 28 \, \text{mV} (127 \, \text{mV})$, which corresponds to a commanded current amplitude $I_p = 34 \, \text{mA} (152 \, \text{mA})$. The DC transadmittance increases to $Y(\omega = 0) \approx 1.2 \, \text{A/V}$ because the 50 Ω impedance of our spectrum analyzer is in parallel with $R_f$. A DC block protecting the spectrum analyzer rolls-off the data at low frequency. The low-frequency and high-frequency 3 dB corners in the transadmittance are approximately 300 kHz and 54 MHz, respectively. The transadmittance has approximately ±2 dB of ripple in the passband.

The dashed and dash-dotted curves in Fig. 2 are the result of lumped-element transient simulations of the small-signal (large-signal) response of the circuit in Fig. 1 using the populated component values. The dotted curve shows the response expected from the transadmittance $Y(\omega)$ derived using the circuit’s closed-loop transfer function.
the full transfer function for the circuit in Fig. 1 (see Supplemental Material). We use the closed-loop transfer function to determine the transadmittance $Y(\omega)$. The dotted curve in Fig. 2 shows the small-signal response derived from $Y(\omega)$, with $L_s = 270$ nH and $C_p = 9$ pF. The transfer function accurately predicts the behavior of the RF VCCS at low frequency, but underestimates the achievable bandwidth.

The test antenna limits both the bandwidth and the current output of the RF VCCS. The impedance of the antenna approaches 100 $\Omega$ at 50 MHz, so attempting to drive currents larger than 150 mA reduces the large-signal bandwidth due to the limited output voltage swing of the CFA. The antenna’s high impedance also causes the feature in the large-signal response near 40 MHz (see Fig. 2), where the voltage required to drive the commanded current exceeds the output voltage swing of the CFA. At the frequency’s frequency, the RF VCCS enters a regime of non-linear operation and drives sub-harmonic oscillations. The sub-harmonics only occur within a narrow band around the feature and can be eliminated by reducing the commanded current. We have simulated the performance of the current source with smaller antennas (e.g. the microcoils design of Fratila et al. 30) and found that the RF VCCS bandwidth can approach the 180 MHz bandwidth of the THS3091 (see Supplemental Material). Using a smaller loop would also allow us to exploit the full 250 mA output capability of the THS3091. Wider bandwidth and higher current output could be achieved by using a different CFA (e.g. THS3491 31). We could also increase the output current by driving an antenna with several parallel CFAs, as suggested by the load-sharing circuit in the THS3091 datasheet. 25

We have demonstrated a wideband RF voltage-controlled current source with an integrated antenna. The RF VCCS uses a CFA to deliver peak currents greater than 100 mA over a frequency range of 300 kHz to 54 MHz with power consumption less than 1.3 W. It is suitable for manipulating spin systems in the low magnetic fields typically used in quantum sensors. 4,32 By using a more advanced coil design, the bandwidth of the RF current source could be increased, allowing its use in deployable NMR systems. The design files for our RF VCCS are available online for others to use and modify. 22

The authors thank K. Douglass and W. McGeehee for their careful reading of the manuscript. The data that support our findings are openly available online. 22,33

25 THS3091A High-voltage, Low-distortion, Current-feedback Operational Amplifiers, Texas Instruments (2015), SLOS423H.
26 The identification of commercial products is for information only and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.
27 THS3091 PSpice Model, Texas Instruments (2016), Rev. A.
29 The size of our antenna is set by geometric constraints of our laser-cooling apparatus.
31 THS3491 900-MHz, 500-mA High-Power Output Current Feedback Amplifier, Texas Instruments (2018), SBOS875B.
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**Closed-loop transfer function:** Applying Kirchoff’s rules to the RF VCCS circuit (see Fig. 1 in the main text) and modelling the current-feedback amplifier (CFA) as suggested in Karki yields:

\[
V_{\text{out}} - V_s = I_c L_c s, \\
V_{\text{out}} - V_s = \frac{I_p}{C_p s}, \\
V_s = I_s R_s, \\
V_s - V_i = I_f R_f, \\
V_i = I_g (R_g + L_g s), \\
V_{\text{in}} - V_i = R_i V_{\text{out}} \frac{1 + R_i C_t s}{R_f}, \\
I_c + I_p = I_f + I_s, \\
I_g = V_{\text{out}} \frac{1 + R_i C_t s}{R_f} + I_f. \\
\]

Here, \( s \) is the complex frequency; \( V_{\text{out}} \) is the output voltage of the CFA; \( V_s \) is the voltage across \( R_s \); \( V_i \) is the voltage at the inverting input of the CFA; \( V_{\text{in}} \) is the voltage at the noninverting input of the CFA; \( I_c \) is the current passing through the antenna coil \( L_c \); \( I_p \) is the current passing through \( C_p \); \( I_s \) is the current passing through \( R_s \); \( I_f \) is the current passing through \( R_f \); \( I_g \) is the current passing through \( R_g \), and the other symbols are defined in the main text. We have also assumed that the antenna’s parasitic resistance \( R_p \) is negligible. Eliminating \( V_{\text{out}}, V_i, I_c, I_p, I_s, I_f, \) and \( I_g \) in Eqs. S1 leads to the closed-loop transfer function

\[
H(s) = \frac{V_s}{V_{\text{in}}} = \left[ R_f (R_f(R_f + R_i C_p L_c s^2)) + (R_g + L_g s)(R_f + L_c s + R_i(C_p + C_t)L_c s^2) \right] \\
\left[ R_f (R_g + R_i + L_g s)(1 + R_i C_t s)\left( R_s + L_c s + R_i C_p L_c s^2 \right) \\
+ R_i L_c L_g s(1 + R_i(C_p + C_t)s) + R_i L_g (R_f + R_i + R_i R_i C_t s) \\
+ L_c R_i (1 + R_i C_t s)(R_s + L_c s + R_i C_p L_c s^2) \\
+ R_g (1 + R_i C_t s)(R_s + L_c s + R_i C_p L_c s^2) + R_i R_i L_c s^2) \right].
\]
The transadmittance of the RF VCCS is then
\[ Y(\omega) = \frac{|H(s \to j\omega)|}{R_s}. \]  
(S3)

The linear response of the RF VCCS is given by \( Y(\omega)V_p \), where \( V_p \) is the RF input voltage amplitude. We have found that the full transfer function of Eq. S2 does not usefully guide selection of \( R_f, R_g \), and \( L_g \). Rather, we select \( R_f \) so that \( R_f + R_p + j\omega L_c \) approaches the recommended value of the feedback impedance as \( \omega \) approaches the operating bandwidth of the CFA and choose \( R_g \) to achieve the desired DC gain \( G^2 \). We then take \( C_p = 0 \) and \( L_g = 0 \) to reduce the number of poles in \( H(s) \), allowing us to find that the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) and damping factor \( \zeta \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_n &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_c C_t}} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R_f}{R_t} + \frac{R_f R_f + R_c}{R_c R_t}} \right], \\
\zeta &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{L_c}{R_c} \frac{R_f(R_f + R_c)}{R_c(R_f + R_t)}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{R_c^2 C_t}{L_c} \frac{R_f R_s}{(R_f + R_t)(R_f + R_c)}}.
\end{align*}
\]  
(S4)

Antennas with negligible \( R_p \) and \( L_c \gtrsim 10 \text{ nH} \) will exhibit underdamped poles (\( \zeta < 1 \)). To flatten the response of the RF VCCS, we add a real pole to the transfer function using \( L_g \). When \( R_t \ll R_g \), the pole introduced by \( L_g \) occurs at \( s = R_g/L_g \), so \( L_g \) must be larger than \( R_g/\omega_n \) to flatten \( Y(\omega) \).

**Open-loop transfer function:** To find the open-loop transfer function, we ground the noninverting input of the CFA and break the feedback loop at the CFA’s output. The input voltage \( V_{in} \) is now applied directly to the antenna. Applying Kirchhoff’s rules to the open-loop circuit leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{in} - V_s &= I_s L_c s, \\
V_{in} - V_s &= \frac{I_p}{C_p s}, \\
V_s &= I_s R_s, \\
V_s - V_i &= I_f R_f, \\
V_i &= I_s (R_g + L_g s), \\
V_i &= -I_e R_i, \\
V_{out} &= \frac{I_s R_t}{1 + R_s C_t s}, \\
I_c + I_p &= I_f + I_s, \\
I_g &= I_c + I_f,
\end{align*}
\]  
(S5)
FIG. S1. Simulations of a 25 nH antenna (red) and the design from the supplemental material of Fratila et al.\textsuperscript{S3} (blue). The RF input voltage for both curves is $V_p = 127$ mV.

where $I_e$ is the error current.\textsuperscript{S1} We eliminate $V_s, V_i, I_c, I_p, I_f, I_g,$ and $I_e$ in Eqs. S5 to find the open-loop transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}} = -\left[R_s R_g (R_s + L_g s)(1 + C_p L_c s^2)\right]$$

$$\left[ (1 + C_g R_s) (R_g R_s L_c + R_i + R_s) s + C_p L_c R_s R_i s^2 \right]$$

$$+ R_f (R_g + R_i + L_g s) (R_s + L_c s + C_p L_c R_s s^2)$$

$$+ s (L_c + L_g) R_c R_s + L_c L_g (R_i + R_s) s + C_p L_c L_g R_i R_s s^2 \right].$$

(S6)

Once we select component values using $H(s)$ (see above), we can compute $G(s)$ to estimate the gain margin and phase margin of the RF VCCS.

**Low-inductance antennas:** We have simulated the performance of two low-inductance antennas. The first is an $L_c = 25$ nH loop antenna with $R_p = 100$ m$\Omega$ and $C_p = 1$ pF. The second is the broadband coil design described in the supplemental material of Fratila et al.\textsuperscript{S3} with $L_c = 0.4$ nH, $R_p = 5$ $\Omega$, and $C_p = 0$ pF. The results of transient simulations of the two antennas are shown in...
Fig. S1. For the 25 nH antenna simulation, $R_f = 561 \Omega$, $R_g = 62 \Omega$, $R_s = 8.33 \Omega$ (after accounting for the parallel impedance of a hypothetical spectrum analyzer), $L_g = 130 \text{nH} \approx 1.3R_g/\omega_n$. For the broadband coil simulation, $R_f = 866 \Omega$, $R_g = 95.3 \Omega$, $R_s = 8.33 \Omega$, $L_g = 0 \text{nH}$. The simulated bandwidth of the RF VCCS is approximately 140 MHz for the 25 nH antenna and approximately 210 MHz for the broadband coil. We note that the THS3091 SPICE model underestimates the device bandwidth for $G = 10$, so wider operating bandwidths may be achievable in practice.
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