

# Quark-quark-gluon vertex for heavy quarks up to order $1/m^5$

Guojun Huang and Pengfei Zhuang

*Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

(Dated: March 14, 2024)

Instead of the often used Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani (FWT) transformation in non-relativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD), we take a more general relation between the relativistic and non-relativistic on-shell spinors to recalculate the quark-quark-gluon vertex for heavy quarks. In comparison with the previous result using FWT, the recalculated coefficients in the NRQCD Lagrangian are different at order  $1/m^3$  and new at order  $1/m^4$  and  $1/m^5$ , where  $m$  is the heavy quark mass.

The non-relativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) is often used to describe the dynamics of heavy quarks at low energy [1–3]. The usual understanding of the total heavy quark momentum is the summation of the quark mass  $m$  times its velocity  $v$  and the residual momentum  $k$ ,  $p = mv + k$ . Luke and Manohar constructed the transformation for spinor fields in analogy to a vector transformation, which yields the relation between the reparametrized spinor field  $\Psi_v$  and the conventional heavy quark field  $\psi_v$  [4],

$$\Psi_v = \frac{m + i\partial \cdot v + i\not{\partial}_\perp + \sqrt{(m + i\partial \cdot v)^2 + (i\not{\partial}_\perp)^2}}{\left[2\sqrt{(m + i\partial \cdot v)^2 + (i\not{\partial}_\perp)^2} \left(m + i\partial \cdot v + \sqrt{(m + i\partial \cdot v)^2 + (i\not{\partial}_\perp)^2}\right)\right]^{1/2}} \psi_v \quad (1)$$

with the definition of a transverse vector  $a_\perp^\mu = a^\mu - v^\mu a \cdot v$ . On the other hand, the often used Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani (FWT) transformation yields a simple representation [5]

$$\Psi_v = e^{\frac{i\not{D}_\perp}{2m}} \psi_v, \quad (2)$$

which can be expanded in terms of the inverse heavy quark mass,

$$\Psi_v = \left(1 + \frac{\not{k}_\perp}{2m} + \frac{k_\perp^2}{8m^2} + \dots\right) \psi_v \quad (3)$$

in momentum representation. To the order  $1/m^2$ , the two results are consistent with each other, under the condition of  $i\partial \cdot v \psi_v \rightarrow k \cdot v \psi_v = 0$ , which is, however, not easy to be understood for  $p = mv + k$ . Attempts to obtain exact FWT transformation in some cases can be seen, for instance, in reference [6].

In this paper, we will recalculate the quark-quark-gluon vertex, using a more general relation for relativistic and non-relativistic on-shell fields, and fix the coefficients of the corresponding NRQCD Lagrangian by matching the result with the perturbative QCD calculation. We will also make comparison with the previous calculation using the FWT transformation to see the difference.

Under the assumption of on-shell condition  $p_0 = E = \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$ , which is valid for heavy quarks, the relation between the relativistic spinors  $u$  and  $v$  corresponding to positive and negative energies and their non-relativistic limits  $u_{NR}$  and  $v_{NR}$  can be expressed as [7],

$$\begin{aligned} u(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E(E+m)}} (m + \not{p}) u_{NR}(p) = \frac{m + E + \not{p}_\perp}{\sqrt{2E(E+m)}} u_{NR}(p), \\ v(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E(E+m)}} (m - \not{p}) v_{NR}(p) = \frac{m + E - \not{p}_\perp}{\sqrt{2E(E+m)}} v_{NR}(p) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

in the local rest frame with quark velocity  $v_\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ . The  $1/m$  expansion of the relation for heavy quarks to the order  $1/m^2$  is just the normal FWT transformation (2), when we take  $k_\perp$  to be  $p_\perp$ . In this sense the relation (4) can be considered as a generalized FWT transformation. Under the assumption  $i\partial \cdot v \psi_v \rightarrow k \cdot v \psi_v = 0$  and  $i\partial^\mu \psi_v \Rightarrow k^\mu \psi_v = p_\perp^\mu \psi_v$  in momentum representation, the reparameterization (1) and the relation (4) are also consistent to each other.

We now calculate the quark-quark-gluon vertex for heavy quarks. Taking the on-shell condition, the vertex can be expressed in terms of the form factors  $F_1(q^2/m^2)$  and  $F_2(q^2/m^2)$  [4],

$$-ig\bar{u}(p')T^a \left( \gamma^\mu F_1(q^2/m^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m} F_2(q^2/m^2) \right) u(p) \quad (5)$$

with coupling constant  $g$ , Gell-Mann matrices  $T_a$  and momentum transfer  $q = p' - p$  between the initial and final momenta. Taking the relations  $\gamma_0 = \sigma_3 \otimes I_2$  and  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = i\sigma_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , the vertex can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-igT^a}{\sqrt{4E'(E'+m)E(E+m)}} \psi^\dagger \left[ (F_1(q^2/m^2) + F_2(q^2/m^2)) \right. \\ & \times (\delta^{\mu_0} ((m+E')(m+E) + \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p})) + \delta^{\mu_j} ((m+E')\sigma^j \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + (m+E)\mathbf{p}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma^j)) \\ & \left. - \frac{F_2(q^2/m^2)}{2m} (p'^\mu + p^\mu) ((m+E')(m+E) - \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} - i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p})) \right] \psi \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the final state energy  $E' = \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}'^2}$ .

In terms of the small variable  $q^2/m^2$  for heavy quarks, the form factors  $F_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} F_i\left(\frac{q^2}{m^2}\right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n F_i(q^2/m^2)}{d(q^2/m^2)^n} \Big|_{q^2/m^2=0} \left(\frac{q^2}{m^2}\right)^n \\ &= F_i(0) - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{m^2} F'_i(0) + \frac{1}{4m^4} [(\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{p}'^2)^2 F'_i(0) + 2\mathbf{q}^4 F''_i(0)] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To simplify the notation, we will take  $F_i = F_i(0)$ ,  $F'_i = F'_i(0)$  and  $F''_i = F''_i(0)$  in the following.

Taking into account the transformation (4) between relativistic and non-relativistic quark fields, the expansion (7) for the form factors, and the relations  $\gamma_0 = \sigma_3 \otimes I_2$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = i\sigma_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ,  $u_{NR} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\gamma^0 u_{NR} = u_{NR}$ , the vertex can be expressed in terms of the current  $j_\mu$ ,

$$-igT^a u_{NR}^\dagger j_\mu A_a^\mu u_{NR} \quad (8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 &= F_1 - \frac{1}{4m^2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} F_1 + F_2 + 4F'_1 \right) \mathbf{q}^2 - i(F_1 + 2F_2) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{8m^4} \left[ \left( \frac{5}{16} F_1 + \frac{1}{4} F_2 + 2F'_1 \right) (\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{p}'^2)^2 + (F'_1 + 2F'_2 + 4F''_1) \mathbf{q}^4 + \left( \frac{3}{8} F_1 + \frac{1}{2} F_2 \right) (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{q}^2 \right. \\ &\left. - i(2F'_1 + 4F'_2) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{q}^2 - i \left( \frac{3}{4} F_1 + F_2 \right) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}) (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/m^6) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

to the order  $1/m^4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j} &= \frac{1}{2m} [F_1(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') + i(F_1 + F_2) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{q}] - \frac{1}{8m^3} \left\{ \left[ F_1(\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) + \left( \frac{1}{2} F_2 + 4F'_1 \right) \mathbf{q}^2 \right] (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') \right. \\ &+ i[(F_1 + F_2)(\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) + 4(F'_1 + F'_2) \mathbf{q}^2] \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{2}(F_1 + F_2)(\mathbf{p}'^2 - \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{q} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}(F_1 + F_2)(\mathbf{p}'^2 - \mathbf{p}^2) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') - iF_2 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') \left. \right\} \\ &+ \frac{i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times (\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p})}{128m^5} [(\mathbf{p}'^2 - \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} (-16F'_1 - 16F'_2) + (\mathbf{p}'^4 - \mathbf{p}^4) (8F'_1 + 5F_1 + 8F'_2 + 5F_2)] \\ &+ \frac{i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{q}}{8m^5} \left[ (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 (8F''_1 + 8F''_2) - 2(\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} (F'_1 + F'_2 + 4F''_1 + 4F''_2) \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{p}'^2 \left( \frac{3}{16} F_1 + \frac{3}{16} F_2 + 4F''_1 + 4F''_2 \right) + (\mathbf{p}'^4 + \mathbf{p}^4) \left( \frac{21}{32} F_1 + \frac{21}{32} F_2 + 2F'_1 + 2F'_2 + 2F''_1 + 2F''_2 \right) \left. \right] \\ &- \frac{\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}}{8m^5} \left[ \left( F'_2 + \frac{3}{8} F_2 \right) (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) - 2F'_2 \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \right] i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{p}) \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{q}}{128m^5} [(\mathbf{p}'^2 - \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{q}^2 (8F'_1 + 8F'_2) + (\mathbf{p}'^4 - \mathbf{p}^4) (5F_1 + 5F_2)] \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{p}}{16m^5} \left[ (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 (4F'_2 + 16F''_1) - (\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p} \left( \frac{3}{4} F_2 + 4F'_1 + 4F'_2 + 16F''_1 \right) \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{p}'^2 \left( \frac{3}{8} F_1 + \frac{1}{4} F_2 + 2F'_2 + 8F''_1 \right) + (\mathbf{p}'^4 + \mathbf{p}^4) \left( \frac{21}{16} F_1 + \frac{5}{8} F_2 + 4F'_1 + F'_2 + 4F''_1 \right) \left. \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/m^7) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

to the order  $1/m^5$ .

We now turn to the NRQCD calculation. The corresponding NRQCD Lagrangian density can be fixed by matching the above calculated quark-quark-gluon vertex. Integrating out the unphysical field  $\psi'_v$  which is defined as  $\psi(x) = e^{-imv \cdot x}(h_v(x) + \psi'_v(x))$  and satisfies the relation  $\not{v}\psi'_v = -\psi'_v$ , the familiar Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{h}_v (iD \cdot v) h_v + \bar{h}_v \left( i\not{D}_\perp \frac{1}{2m + iD \cdot v} i\not{D}_\perp \right) h_v \quad (11)$$

can be expanded in terms of  $1/m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{h}_v (iD_0) h_v + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2m)^{n+1}} \bar{h}_v \{ (iD_0)^n, \mathbf{D}^2 + g\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \} h_v \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2m)^{n+2}} \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{h}_v (iD_0)^{n-l} g ([\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\times) (iD_0)^l h_v \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2m)^{n+2}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l'=0}^{n-l-1} \bar{h}_v (iD_0)^{n-1-l-l'} g^2 \left( \mathbf{E} \cdot (iD_0)^{l'} \mathbf{E} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times (iD_0)^{l'} \mathbf{E}) \right) (iD_0)^l h_v \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

in the local rest frame with the definitions of  $D_0 = \partial_t - igZA_0$ ,  $\mathbf{D} = \nabla - igZ\mathbf{A}_a T^a$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_i = -G_{i0}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_i = -\epsilon_{ijk} G^{jk}/2$ ,  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]_\cdot = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ ,  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]_\times = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a}$  and  $\{a, b\}_\cdot = ab + ba$ . By redefining the field

$$h_v \rightarrow \left[ 1 + \frac{\mathbf{D}^2 + g\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{8m^2} + \frac{(iD_0)^3 + g([\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\times)}{16m^3} + \dots \right] h_v, \quad (13)$$

dropping the terms unrelated to the quark-quark-gluon vertex, and taking the process similar to [8], we have to the order  $1/m^5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & h_v^\dagger \left[ iD_0 + c_2 \frac{\mathbf{D}^2}{2m} + c_4 \frac{\mathbf{D}^4}{8m^3} + c_6 \frac{\mathbf{D}^6}{16m^5} + g \frac{c_F \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2m} + g \frac{c_D [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot + i c_S \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\times}{8m^2} \right. \\ & + g \frac{c_{W1} \{\mathbf{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\} - 2c_{W2} \mathbf{D} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{D} + c_{p'p} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D}) + i c_M \{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D}\}}{8m^3} \\ & + g \frac{c_{X1} [\mathbf{D}^2, \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D}] + c_{X2} \{\mathbf{D}^2, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot\} + c_{X3} [\mathbf{D}^i, [\mathbf{D}^i, [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot]}{m^4} \\ & + g \frac{i c_{X5} \mathbf{D}^i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\times \mathbf{D}^i + i c_{X6} \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma^i \mathbf{D}^j [\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}]_\cdot \mathbf{D}^k}{m^4} \\ & + g \frac{c_{Y1} \{\mathbf{D}^4, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}\} + c_{Y2} \mathbf{D}^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^2 + c_{Y3} \{\mathbf{D}^2, \mathbf{D}^i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^i\} + c_{Y4} \mathbf{D}^i \mathbf{D}^j \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^j \mathbf{D}^i}{m^5} \\ & + g \frac{c_{Y5} \{\mathbf{D}^2, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D}\} + c_{Y6} \mathbf{D}^i (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{D}^i}{m^5} \\ & + g \frac{i c_{Y7} \{\mathbf{D}^2, \mathbf{D} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D}) + (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{D}\} + i c_{Y8} \mathbf{D}^i [\mathbf{D} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D}) + (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{D}] \mathbf{D}^i}{m^5} \\ & \left. + g \frac{c_{Y9} [\mathbf{D}^2, [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{B}]]}{m^5} + \dots \right] h_v \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with the definitions of  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}\}_\cdot = \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) + (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{a}$ , where  $c_2, c_4, c_6, c_F, c_D, c_S, c_{W1}, c_{W2}, c_{p'p}, c_M, c_{X1}, c_{X2}, c_{X3}, c_{X5}, c_{X6}, c_{Y1}, c_{Y2}, c_{Y3}, c_{Y4}, c_{Y5}, c_{Y6}, c_{Y7}, c_{Y8}$  and  $c_{Y9}$  are the coefficients to be determined. The first four terms in the Lagrangian determine the quark propagator in the gauge field  $A_\mu^a$  as well as contribute to the quark-quark-gluon vertex, and the other terms control the quark-quark-gluon vertex [9, 11].

It is direct to take out the quark-quark-gluon vertex from the NRQCD Lagrangian density (14). By matching it

with the current (8) controlled by the form factors, we extract the NRQCD coefficients to the order  $1/m^5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2 &= c_4 = c_6 = 1, \\
c_F &= F_1 + F_2, \\
c_D &= F_1 + 2F_2 + 8F'_1, \\
c_S &= F_1 + 2F_2, \\
c_{W1} &= F_1 + F_2/2 + 4F'_1 + 4F'_2, \\
c_{W2} &= F_2/2 + 4F'_1 + 4F'_2, \\
c_{p'p} &= F_2, \\
c_M &= F_2/2 + 4F'_1, \\
c_{X1} &= 5F_1/128 + F_2/32 + F'_1/4, \\
c_{X2} &= 3F_1/64 + F_2/16, \\
c_{X3} &= F'_1/8 + F'_2/4 + F''_1/2, \\
c_{X5} &= 3F_1/32 + F_2/8, \\
c_{X6} &= -3F_1/32 - F_2/8 - F'_1/4 - F'_2/2, \\
c_{Y1} &= 27F_1/256 + 23F_2/256 + 5F'_1/16 + 5F'_2/16 + F''_1/4 + F''_2/4, \\
c_{Y2} &= -3F_1/128 - 11F_2/128 - F'_1/8 - 3F'_2/8 + F''_1/2 + F''_2/2, \\
c_{Y3} &= -3F_2/64 - F'_1/4 - F'_2/4 - F''_1 - F''_2, \\
c_{Y4} &= F'_2/4 + F''_1 + F''_2, \\
c_{Y5} &= 3F_2/64 + F'_2/8, \\
c_{Y6} &= -F'_2/4, \\
c_{Y7} &= 3F_2/128 + F'_1/8 + F'_2/16 + F''_1/4, \\
c_{Y8} &= -F'_2/8 - F''_1/2, \\
c_{Y9} &= -3F_1/128 - F_2/32 - F'_1/16 - F'_2/8.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We now compare our result with the previous calculations. Instead of the general transformation (4) between relativistic and non-relativistic on-shell spinors which is employed in the above calculation, the usual FWT transformation (2) was used in the previous calculations. To the order  $1/m^3$  the result here is consistent to the previous work [9], except for the coefficient  $c_M$ , which is consistent to the *Variational method* result in NRQED [10], while the coefficients of order  $1/m^4$  are consistent to the NRQED results [10]. Firstly, the coefficient  $c_M$  at order  $1/m^3$  are different in two transformation. Secondly, the previous calculation is only up to the order  $1/m^3$ , but the calculation here can be up to the  $1/m^5$  and higher order which results in the coefficients  $c_{X1}, c_{X2}, c_{X3}, c_{X5}, c_{X6}, c_{Y1}, c_{Y2}, c_{Y3}, c_{Y4}, c_{Y5}, c_{Y6}, c_{Y7}, c_{Y8}$  and  $c_{Y9}$ .

The final step to determine the coefficients is to calculate the form factors  $F_1(q^2/m^2)$  and  $F_2(q^2/m^2)$  in QCD at some specific level [12]. For instance, computing the Feynman diagrams to one-loop correction and taking into account the related renormalization, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1\left(\frac{q^2}{m^2}\right) &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{144\pi} \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left[ \left( -51 + 154 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right) + \frac{1}{10} \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left( 131 + 888 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right) \right], \\
F_2\left(\frac{q^2}{m^2}\right) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{6\pi} \left\{ \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right) + \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \left( 13 - 54 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right) - \frac{3}{4} \frac{q^2}{m^2} \left( 1 + 6 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with the redefined coupling constant  $\alpha_s = g^2/(4\pi)$  and the cut-off  $\mu$  in dimensional renormalization.

With the known form factors it is straightforward to represent the coefficients with  $m$  and  $\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_F &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{6\pi} \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_D &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{18\pi} \left( 27 + 100 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_S &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi} \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{W1} &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{36\pi} \left( 40 - 89 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{W2} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{36\pi} \left( 40 - 89 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{p'p} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{6\pi} \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_M &= \frac{\alpha_s}{36\pi} \left( -12 + 127 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{X1} &= \frac{5}{128} + \frac{\alpha_s}{576\pi} \left( -12 + 127 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{X2} &= \frac{3}{64} + \frac{\alpha_s}{96\pi} \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{X3} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{5760\pi} \left( 789 + 2162 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{X5} &= \frac{3}{32} + \frac{\alpha_s}{48\pi} \left( 13 - 9 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{X6} &= -\frac{3}{32} + \frac{\alpha_s}{576\pi} \left( -209 + 386 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y1} &= \frac{27}{256} + \frac{\alpha_s}{23040\pi} \left( 4143 - 7741 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y2} &= -\frac{3}{128} + \frac{\alpha_s}{11520\pi} \left( -3587 + 4889 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y3} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{5760\pi} \left( -203 + 2561 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y4} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{360\pi} \left( 8 - 231 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y5} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{1152\pi} \left( 169 - 297 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y6} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{144\pi} \left( -13 + 54 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y7} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{11520\pi} \left( 859 + 3607 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y8} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{720\pi} \left( -98 - 309 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right), \\
c_{Y9} &= -\frac{3}{128} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2304\pi} \left( -209 + 386 \ln \frac{m}{\mu} \right). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we recalculated the quark-quark-gluon vertex for heavy quarks. Instead of the usual FWT transformation which is often used in previous calculations, we employed the more general relation between relativistic and non-relativistic on-shell spinors. By matching our calculation with the standard NRQCD calculation, the coefficients in the NRQCD Lagrangian are fixed. While the result to the order  $1/m^2$  is the same with the previous calculation using the FWT transformation, some coefficients at order  $1/m^3$  are already different, and those coefficients at orders

$1/m^4$  and  $1/m^5$  which can not be determined in the pervious calculation are derived in the current calculation.

**Acknowledgement:** We thank Mr. Jiaxing Zhao and Yan-qing Ma for helpful discussions during the work. The work is supported by the NSFC grant No. 11890712.

- 
- [1] N. Brambilla, M. Kramer, R. Mussa and A. Vairo, CERN-2005-005, arXiv:hep-ph/0412158.
  - [2] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B232**, 113(1989), Phys. Lett. **B237**, 527(1990).
  - [3] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo. Review of Modern Physics **77**, 1423(2005).
  - [4] M. Luke and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. **B286**, 348(1992), arXiv:hep-ph/9205228.
  - [5] E. Braaten, Introduction to the NRQCD factorization approach to heavy quarkonium, arXiv:hep-ph/9702225.
  - [6] B. Goncalves, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A24**, 1717(2009), arXiv:hep-th/0907.1246.
  - [7] S. Weinberg, The quantum theory of fields, Volume 1: Foundations.
  - [8] J. H. Richard, L. Gabriel, P. Gil and P. S. Mikhail. Phys. Rev. **D87**, 053017(2013). arXiv:hep-ph/1212.4508v2.
  - [9] A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 230(1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9701294v2.
  - [10] R. J. Hill, G. Lee, G. Paz and M. P. Solon, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013), 053017 [arXiv:1212.4508 [hep-ph]]
  - [11] S. P. Dye, M. Gonderinger and G. Paz, Phys. Rev. **D100**, 054010(2019), arXiv:hep-hp/1812.05056.
  - [12] M. Gerlach, G. Mishima and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. **D100**, 054016(2019), arXiv:hep-ph/1907.08227.